Exhibit No.:

Issues: Test Year; Accounting

Schedules; Jurisdictional Allocation Factors; Asset *Impairment/Write-down of* 

the Eastern System

Witness: Phillip K. Williams, CPA, CIA

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff *Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony* 

Case No.: GR-2004-0072

Date Testimony Prepared: January6, 2004

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

OF

PHILLIP K. WILLIAMS, CPA, CIA

AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS GAS AND AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P GAS

CASE NO. GR-2004-0072

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2004

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of Aquila, Inc<br>Networks-MPS and Aquila<br>Natural Gas General Rate I | Networks-L&P, )              | Case No. GR-2004-0072 |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|
|   |                              |                       |
|   |                              |                       |
| AFI   | FIDAVIT OF PHILLIP I         | C. WILLIAMS           |
| AFI<br>STATE OF MISSOURI  | FIDAVIT OF PHILLIP I         | C. WILLIAMS           |
|   | FIDAVIT OF PHILLIP F ) ) ss. | C. WILLIAMS           |

Phillip K. Williams, being of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $\frac{1}{2}$  pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Phillip K. Williams

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5% day of January 2004.

Notary

TONI M. CHARLTON
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI
COUNTY OF COLE
My Commission Expires December 28, 2004

| 1  | TABLE OF CONTENTS                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF                                  |
| 3  | PHILLIP K. WILLIAMS, CPA, CIA                        |
| 4  | AQUILA, INC.   |
| 5  | D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS GAS                      |
| 6  | AND AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P GAS                        |
| 7  | CASE NO. GR-2004-0072                                |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | BACKGROUND OF WITNESS                                |
| 10 | PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY                                 |
| 11 | TEST YEAR5   |
| 12 | ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES                                 |
| 13 | JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION FACTORS                    |
| 14 | ASSET IMPAIRMENT/WRITE-DOWN OF THE EASTERN SYSTEM 10 |
| 15 |  |

| 1  | DIRECT TESTIMONY   |
|----|--|
| 2  | OF   |
| 3  | PHILLIP K. WILLIAMS, CPA, CIA  |
| 4  | AQUILA, INC.   |
| 5  | D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS GAS  |
| 6  | AND AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P GAS  |
| 7  | CASE NO. GR-2004-0072  |
| 8  | Q. Please state your name and business address   |
| 9  | A. My name is Phillip K. Williams, and my business address is Noland Office                    |
| 10 | Plaza Office Building, Suite 110, 3675 Noland Road, Independence, MO 64055.                    |
| 11 | Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?  |
| 12 | A. I am a Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission                        |
| 13 | (Commission or MoPSC).   |
|    |  |
| 14 | BACKGROUND OF WITNESS  |
| 15 | Q. Please describe your education and other qualifications.                                    |
| 16 | A. I graduated from Central Missouri State University (CMSU) at Warrensburg,                   |
| 17 | Missouri, in August of 1976, with a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration.     |
| 18 | My functional major was Accounting. Upon completion of my undergraduate degree, I              |
| 19 | entered the masters program at CMSU. I received a Masters of Business Administration           |
| 20 | degree from CMSU in February 1978, with an emphasis in Accounting. In May 1989, I              |
| 21 | passed the Uniform Certified Public Accountant (CPA) examination. I am currently licensed      |
| 22 | as a Certified Public Accountant in the state of Missouri. In May 1994, I passed the Certified |
| 23 | Internal Auditors (CIA) examination, and received my CIA designation.                          |

A.

Q Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?

2

cases in which I have filed testimony before this Commission.

4

3

Q. What knowledge, skill, experience, training or education do you have in

analyses in prior rate cases and merger cases before this Commission. I have also acquired

knowledge of these topics through review of Staff workpapers for prior rate cases brought

Yes. Please refer to Schedule 1, attached to this direct testimony, for a list of

I have acquired general knowledge of these topics through my experience and

5

regulatory matters?

A.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

before this Commission. I have reviewed prior Commission decisions with regard to these areas. I have reviewed the Company's testimony, workpapers and responses to Staff's data requests addressing these topics. In addition, my college coursework included accounting and auditing classes. Additionally, I received a Masters in Business Administration degree. I have also successfully passed the Certified Public Accountants Exam, which included sections on accounting practice and theory, as well as auditing. I currently hold a license to practice in Missouri. I also successfully passed the Certified Internal Auditors Exam. Since commencing employment with the Commission in September, 1980, I have attended various in-house training seminars and NARUC conferences. I have participated in approximately 40 formal rate case proceedings. I have also participated in and supervised the work on a

number of informal rate proceedings. As a senior auditor and the Lead Auditor on a number

of cases I have participated in the supervision and instruction of new accountants and

auditors within the Utility Services Division.

### **PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

- Q. With reference to Case No. GR-2004-0072, have you made an examination of the books and records of Aquila Networks MPS (MPS) Gas and Aquila Networks L&P (L&P) Gas divisions of Aquila, Inc?
- A. Yes, I have, with the assistance of other members of the Commission Staff (Staff).
  - Q. What are your areas of responsibility in regard to Case No. GR-2004-0072?
- A. I was assigned the area of allocations and to support other Auditing Staff as needed. I will be sponsoring jurisdictional allocations of administrative and general expense (A&G Expense). I will address the test year and the update period for known and measurable changes the Staff plans to use in this case. Additionally, I will provide testimony concerning the asset impairment and subsequent write-down of the assets of the Aquila Networks MPS Gas Division's Eastern System in accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 144.
  - Q. Does Aquila have separate natural gas operations in the state of Missouri?
- A. Yes. Aquila Networks MPS has three separate natural gas operations in this state—the North and South Systems and the Eastern System. The Company also provides natural gas to customers of the former St. Joseph Light & Power operations as the Aquila Network L&P (Light & Power) System.
- Q. Has Staff calculated separate revenue requirements for each of Aquila's natural gas systems?
- A. Yes. Staff calculated revenue requirements for each of MPS' natural gas operations—the North and South Systems combined, the Eastern System and Light & Power's Natural Gas System.

- Q. What Accounting Adjustments will you be sponsoring?
- A. I will be sponsoring adjustments to plant-in-service associated with the impairment of the assets of the Company's Eastern Gas System. I will list and explain those adjustments in the Asset Impairment/Write-Down section to this testimony relating to the Eastern System's assets.
  - Q. What Accounting Schedules are you sponsoring in Case No. GR-2004-0072?
  - A. I am sponsoring the following Accounting Schedules:

Accounting Schedule 1 Revenue Requirement

Accounting Schedule 9 Income Statement

Accounting Schedule 10 Adjustments to Income Statement

These schedules will apply to the Aquila Networks - MPS and Aquila Networks L&P natural gas operations, which will each have a separate Revenue Requirement run filed. In addition, the Aquila Networks – MPS Gas Division will be separated between the Northern and Southern Systems combined, and the Company's Eastern System. The Northern and Southern Systems will be filed as a separate revenue requirement and the Eastern System will be filed as a separate and distinct revenue requirement.

- Q. Why will the Aquila Networks MPS Gas Division be split out between the Northern and Southern System, and an Eastern Gas System?
- A. In 1994, 1995 and 1997, the Company filed three separate certification applications with the Commission seeking permission to provide natural gas service to the towns of Rolla, Salem and Owensville and the surrounding areas. The certification cases for each of these communities were Case No. GA-94-325 for the City of Rolla, Missouri; Case No. GA-95-216 for the City of Salem, Missouri; and Case Nos. GA-97-132 and GA-97-133

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

which were combined for the City of Owensville, Missouri. The operation in these three communities and the surrounding areas comprise Aquila's Eastern System.

The Commission indicated in those orders that the Company would construct these natural gas systems at the shareholder's risk. It was the Company's intention to keep these systems separate from the other natural gas operations of Aquila. The Eastern System is not integrated with Aquila's other natural gas operations. It has separate tariffs and separate gas supply. It has a separate cost structure and its operations are isolated from the other natural gas systems. A separate revenue requirement was necessary to ensure that the eastern systems costs did not get integrated with those of the other natural gas operations.

In addition, on December 3, 2003, Aguila and Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE filed a joint application with the Commission for AmerenUE to acquire the Eastern System from the Company. This application is presently pending as Case In the event that the Eastern System is sold, a separate revenue No. GM-2004-0244. requirement calculation was also necessary.

I will address the allocation of costs to the Eastern System and the impairment of the assets and the subsequent write-down of the investment later in this testimony.

### TEST YEAR

- Q. What test year is the Staff using in this case?
- A. The test year authorized by the Commission in its October 9, 2003 Order was the 12-month period ending December 31, 2002, with an update for known and measurable period through September 30, 2003. Staff used this test year in the determination of the revenue requirement calculations that are being presented for both MPS and L&P gas operations. Revenue Requirement components that typically change from test year levels are

utility plant-in-service, accumulated depreciation, deferred taxes, gas prices, cash working capital, capital structure and cost of capital, customer growth revenues, payroll, depreciation expense, rate case expense, property insurance, income and property taxes, and allocation factors. Updates are known and measurable changes, which occur within a reasonable time after the close of the test year. The same test year and update period has been used for the gas case as was used in the electric and steam cases currently pending before this Commission. Those cases are designated as Case Nos. ER-2004-0034 and HR-2004-0024 (consolidated). Staff filed its direct filing in these cases on December 9, 2003.

- Q. Would you please describe the test year and how it is used?
- A. The test year is a 12-month period, which is used as the basis for the audit of any rate filing or complaint case. This period serves as the starting point for review and analysis of the utility's operations to determine the reasonableness and appropriateness of the rate filing. The test year forms the basis from which any adjustments necessary to remove abnormalities that have occurred during the period and to reflect any increase or decrease to the accounts of the utility. Adjustments are made to the test year level of revenues, expenses and rate base to determine the proper level of investment on which the utility is allowed to earn a return. After the recommended rate of return is determined for the utility, a review of existing rates is made to determine if any additional revenues are necessary. If the utility's earnings are deficient, rates need to be increased. In some cases, existing rates generate earnings in excess of authorized levels, which may indicate the need for rate reductions. The test year is the time period that is used to evaluate and determine the proper relationship between revenue, expense and investment. This relationship is essential to determine the

appropriate level of earnings for the utility. In this case, the Staff recommended a test year of the 12-months ended December 31, 2002, updated through September 30, 2003.

The Commission described the importance of the test year in its October 9, 2003 Order Concerning Test Year and True-up:

The test year is a central component in the ratemaking process. Rates are usually established based upon a historical test year which focuses on four factors: (1) the rate of return the utility has an opportunity to earn; (2) the rate base upon which a return may be earned; (3) the depreciation costs of plant and equipment; and (4) allowable operating expenses. From these four factors is calculated the 'revenue requirement,' which, in context of ratemaking, is the amount of revenue ratepayers must generate to pay the costs of producing the utility service they receive while yielding a reasonable rate of return to the utility's investors. A historical test year is used because the past expenses of a utility provide a basis for determining what rate is reasonable to be charged in the future.

- Q. Why did the Staff recommend a test year of the 12 months ended December 31, 2002, updated through September 30, 2003?
- A. Shortly after the Company filed its case on August 1, 2003, it approached Staff to discuss the test year Staff planned to recommend. Staff, Public Counsel, the other parties and the Company met to discuss the test year and the need for an update for known and measurable changes. The Company believed there were a number of major changes that would occur between the end of the Test Year and September 30, 2003, that should be taken into account in determining the revenue requirement in this case.

Staff believed the 2002 test year would allow the Company to supply data on a more timely basis and any material changes that occurred between the end of the test year and the update period could be alleviated by the taking the case out through the September 30, 2003, known and measurable period.

Q. Why is a test year update being utilized in this case?

A. The use of a test year update allows test year data to remain current through the update period for changes in material items that are known and measurable. Such items could include plant additions and retirements, payroll increases and changes in employee levels, customer growth, changes in natural gas prices, etc. Test year amounts are adjusted to enable the parties to make rate recommendations on the basis of the most recent auditable information available.

- Q. Is a true-up proposed for this case?
- A. No. Aquila, did not request a true-up be used in this case. Staff did not believe that a true-up was necessary since the material changes that it was aware of would be considered through September 30, 2003. Therefore, Staff is not recommending a true-up in this case.

### ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES

- Q. Are there separate Accounting Schedules for both the MPS and L&P divisions of Aquila?
- A. Yes. There are separate Revenue Requirement runs for the MPS (Northern and Southern System) and the L&P divisions. There is also a separate Revenue Requirement run for the MPS Gas Division's Eastern System. The Accounting Schedule numbers and formats will be the same for each revenue requirement run.
  - Q. Please describe Accounting Schedule 1, Revenue Requirement.
- A. Accounting Schedule 1 is the Revenue Requirement Schedule, which contains the calculations of the Staff's gross revenue requirement. This Accounting Schedule contains information from the Rate Base, Income Statement and Income Tax Accounting Schedules to determine the actual revenue requirements that the Staff recommends. This

- Accounting Schedule details the net original cost rate base to which the rate of return, supplied by Staff witness David Murray of the Commission's Financial Analysis Department, is applied to determine the required net operating income requirement before income taxes. This schedule compares the net operating income requirement with the net income available determined from Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement, to determine the overall net revenue deficiency.
  - Q. Please describe Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement.
- A. Accounting Schedule 9, Income Statement, contains the Staff's adjusted Missouri jurisdictional revenues and expenses for the test year ended December 31, 2002, and updated through September 30, 2003.
  - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 10, Adjustments to Income Statement.
- A. Accounting Schedule 10, Adjustments to Income Statement, contains a listing of the specific adjustments Staff has made to the unadjusted test year income statement to derive the Staff's adjusted net income. A brief explanation for each adjustment and the name of the Staff witness sponsoring the adjustment are listed on Accounting Schedule 10.

### JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATION FACTORS

- Q. What jurisdictional allocation factors did the Staff use in this case?
- A. The allocation factors are broken out between the following: 1) Aquila corporate administrative and general allocators developed by Staff Auditing witness Charles R. Hyneman; 2) the allocation between Aquila Networks MPS electric and gas and Aquila Networks L&P electric, gas and steam operations; and 3) the administrative and general expense allocations, which are separated into directly assignable costs and costs which should be allocated based upon a factor derived from a composite of all other

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

operating and maintenance expenses. Staff is in agreement with the Company in the allocation of common costs of the administrative and general expenses.

Staff calculated allocation factors utilizing the factors described above which are appropriate for each individual Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) account. The MPS gas expense accounts were then allocated between the North and South System and the Eastern System by Staff to arrive at a distribution of expenses between the Northern and Southern System customers and the Eastern System customers.

- Why is it necessary to allocate costs in this case? O.
- A. Since Aguila has both Aguila Networks - MPS and Aguila Networks - L&P Divisions within the state of Missouri which provide natural gas, electric and steam service to the Missouri customers, an allocation process is needed to identify costs specific to the various Aquila utilities operating within Missouri, i.e. natural gas, electric and steam and to specific jurisdictional operations that are under the authority of either the Commission or FERC.

#### ASSET IMPAIRMENT/WRITE-DOWN OF THE EASTERN SYSTEM

- Q. What is the Eastern System?
- The Eastern System is the natural gas operations of Aquila serving the A. communities of Rolla, Salem and Owensville, Missouri. In 1994, 1995 and 1997 the Commission granted the authority to construct and operate natural gas service to these towns in Case Nos. GA-94-325, GA-95-216, GA-97-132 and GA-97-133. It is a separately maintained and operated system from the other natural gas operations of Aquila. Having a separate gas supply, the Eastern System has separate tariffs from the other natural gas operations of the Company.

 Q. Did Staff have concerns about the economic feasibility of operating a natural gas system in each of these communities?

A Yes. In each of these cases, Staff filed testimony that the Company's projections and estimates of customer conversions to natural gas growth and usage were too optimistic, the costs estimates to build the system were too low and the expansion into these areas would not be economically justified. The Commission, in its Report And Orders in each case, granted the Company permission to provide service to these areas but stated that the Company must keep separate books and records concerning the costs associated with the building and operation of these systems. The Commission also indicated the construction of these natural gas systems would be at the shareholder's risk.

In the Commission's Report And Order in Case No. GA-94-325, item six of the Order it was stated:

That UtiliCorp Inc., d/b/a Missouri Public Service, will keep a separate and complete accounting of the Rolla service area and will provide that separate accounting to the Staff upon proper request in any future rate or complaint proceeding.

In the Report And Order in Case No. GA-95-216 the Commission ordered in item six:

That UtiliCorp United, Inc., by its operating division, Missouri Public Service, will keep a separate and complete accounting of the Salem service area and will provide that separate accounting to the Staff upon proper request in any future rate or complaint proceeding.

The Commission also stated in item five of the Report And Order:

That the Commission makes no finding as to the prudence or ratemaking treatment to be given any costs or expenses incurred as the result of the granting of this certificate, except those costs and expenses dealt with specifically in this Report and Order, and reserves the right to make any disposition of the remainder of those costs and expenses it deems reasonable, including charging those costs and expenses to the stockholders of UtiliCorp United, Inc., in any future ratemaking proceeding.

ordered in item number 6:

That the Commission makes no finding as to the prudence or ratemaking treatment to be given any costs or expenses incurred as the result of the granting of this certificate, and reserves the right to make any disposition of the remainder of those costs and expenses it deems reasonable, including charging those costs and expenses to the stockholders of UtiliCorp United, Inc., d/b/a Missouri Public Service, in any future ratemaking proceeding.

In the Report And Order in Case Nos. GA-97-132 and GA-97-133, the Commission

[Note: UtiliCorp United, Inc. is the predecessor company to Aquila, Inc.]

- Q. Has Staff computed separate revenue requirements in this case for the Eastern System?
- A. Yes, as indicated earlier in this testimony. Because of the above Commission orders and the finding of facts in those orders, as well as the Company's write-down of the value of the Eastern System assets along with the requested sale of those assets, Staff has attempted to isolate the investment and costs associated with the eastern system. I will address the allocations of costs to the Eastern System and the impairment of the assets and the subsequent write-down of the investment later in this testimony.
- Q. Why is Staff proposing adjustments to this case to write-down the value of the Company's Eastern System assets?
- A. The Company, in its direct filing, proposed adjustments to write-down the value of the assets of the Eastern System in accordance with SFAS 144.
  - Q. What are the requirements of SFAS 144?
- A. According to SFAS 144, which discusses the Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the requirements that apply regarding the methodology for determining if an asset is impaired are as follows:

# 

# 

### 

# 

#### 

### 1) <u>Impairment, paragraph 7.</u>

For purposes of this Statement, impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) exceed its fair value. An Impairment loss shall be recognized only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) is not recoverable and exceeds fair value. The Carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset (asset group). That assessment shall be based on the carrying amount of the asset (asset group) at the date it is tested for recoverability, whether in use (paragraph 19) or under development (paragraph 20. An impairment loss shall be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long lived asset (asset group) exceeds its fair value.

### 2) <u>Test value, paragraph 16.</u>

Estimates of future cash flows used to test the recoverability of a long-lived asset (asset group) shall include only the future cash flows (cash inflows less associated cash outflows) that are directly associated with and that are expected to arise as a direct result of the use and eventual disposition of the asset (asset group). Those estimates shall exclude interest charges that will be recognized as an expense when incurred.

#### 3) <u>Assumptions, paragraph 17.</u>

"Estimates of future cash flows used to test the recoverability of a long-lived asset (asset group) shall incorporate the entity's own assumptions about it use of the asset (asset group) and shall consider all available evidence. The assumptions used in developing those estimates shall be reasonable in relation to the assumptions used in developing other information used by the entity for comparable periods, such as internal budgets and projections, accruals related to incentive compensation plans, or information communicated to others. However, if alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) are under consideration or if a range is estimated for the amount of possible outcomes shall be considered. A probability-weighted approach may be useful in considering the likelihood of those possible outcomes."

### 4) <u>Fair Value, paragraph 22.</u>

The fair value of an asset (liability) is the amount at which that asset (liability) could be bought (incurred) or sold (settled) in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Quoted market prices in active markets are the best

evidence of fair value and shall be used as the basis for the measurement, if available. However, in many instances, quoted market prices in active markets will not be available for the long-lived assets (asset groups) covered by this Statement. In those instances, the estimate of fair value shall be based on the best information available, including prices for similar assets (groups) and the results of using other valuation techniques.

- Q. Did Staff review the data and analysis used by the Company in determining that impairment existed to the Eastern System assets?
- A. Yes. Staff reviewed the journal entries made by the Company to the corporate books and records and the subsequent reversal of those journal entries and the Company's adjustments to the divisional books and records made in this rate proceeding. Staff further reviewed the calculations used by the Company to determine the amount of the asset impairment and found no discrepancies in the calculations or in the assumptions used in calculating the amount of the impairment.

The Company's plant-in-service and accumulated depreciation reserve balances at September 30, 2003, used by Staff have already taken into account the Company's write-down of the Eastern System assets. This was accomplished by increasing the accumulated depreciation reserve by approximately \$8,980,000. This treatment allows the Company to write-down the asset to its estimated fair value without increasing the revenue requirement. Should the Company have chosen to write-down the value of the asset in the same manner as the retirement of plant, it would have increased the net rate base and subsequently the revenue requirement. The plant-in-service and the accumulated depreciation reserve both would have been adjusted for the full amount of the write-down and the subsequent effect would have been a net increase to the rate base of the amount of difference between the write-down and the actual accumulated depreciation reserve balance at the date of the write-down.

- Q. How did Staff attempt to isolate and portray the effects of the Eastern System's revenue requirement and the subsequent impairment of the Eastern System's assets?
- A. As stated previously in this testimony, Staff has separated the Eastern System revenue requirement from the rest of the Aquila Networks MPS Gas Division revenue requirement. Through a series of data requests, Staff requested that the Company isolate the costs associated with the eastern system in accordance with the Commission Orders that authorized the Company to provide natural gas service to the eastern system communities.

Staff made the following adjustments to the plant-in-service and accumulated depreciation reserve for the Northern and Southern System revenue requirement run to remove the plant-in-service and accumulated depreciation reserve associated with the eastern system assets.

The following plant adjustments, P-9.1, P-10.1, P-11.1, P-13.1, P-14.1, P-15.1, P-16.1, P-17.1, P-18.1, P-19.1, P-22.1, P-23.1, P-24.1, P-29.1, P-30.1, P-32.1, P-33.1, P-34.1, P-35.1, P-36.1, P-37.1, P-38.1, P-39.1, P-40.1, P-41.1, P-42.1, P-43.1, P-44.1, P-45.1, P-46.1, P-47.1, P-48.1, P-49.1, P-50.1, P-51.1, P-52.1, P-53.1, P-54.1, P-55.1, P-56.1, P-57.1, P-58.1, P-59.1, P-60.1, P-61.1, P-62.1 and P-63.1 were made to eliminate the Eastern System assets from the rest of the Aquila Networks-MPS divisional natural gas plant-in-service as of September 30, 2003.

The following reserve adjustments, R-6.1, R-7.1, R-8.1, R-9.1, R-10.1, R-11.1, R-12.1, R-13.1, R-14.1, R-15.1, R-16.1, R-17.1, R-19.1, R-20.1, R-21.1, R-27.1, R-28.1, R-30.1, R-31.1, R-32.1, R-33.1, R-34.1, R-35.1, R-36.1, R-38.1, R-39.1, R-40.1, R-41.1, R-42.1, R-43.1, R-44.1, R-45.1, R-46.1, R-47.1, R-48.1, R-49.1, R-50.1, R-51.1, R-52.1,

R-53.1, R-56.1, R-57.1, R-58.1, R-59.1 and R-60.1 were made to eliminate the Eastern

2

System assets from the rest of the Aquila Networks-MPS divisional natural gas accumulated

3

depreciation reserve as of September 30, 2003.

45

adjustments S-1.1, S-6.1 and S-82.1. The expenses were allocated between the Northern and

The revenues from the eastern system were removed making income statement

6

Southern Gas System and the Eastern Gas System on the income statement.

7

Q. Did Staff make corresponding adjustments for the Eastern Gas System?

8

A. Yes. The amounts of plant in service and reserve removed from the Northern

9

and Southern systems were included in the Eastern System's revenue requirement

10

calculation. Revenues and allocated share of expenses were also included in the revenue

11

requirement calculation. This separate revenue requirement calculation was necessary

12

because the Eastern System of the Company is geographically isolated from the rest of the

13

Company's natural gas systems and is not connected in any way to the rest of the system

14

except through management functions.

15

Q. Has the Staff made an analysis to determine the effects of the proposed sale of

16

the Eastern System to AmerenUE?

17

A. This sale is outside the period of the known and measurable and, as such,

18

should not be included in the analysis of the current revenue requirement.

19

Q. Mr. Williams, does this conclude your direct testimony?

20

A. Yes, it does.

# **CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION**

# PHILLIP K. WILLIAMS

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue  | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name                                     |
|-------------------|--|----------------|---------|--|
|                   | Advertising, Dues & Donations,<br>Plant, Depreciation Reserve,<br>Property Taxes | ER8142         |         | Kansas City Power & Light Company                |
|                   | Material and Supplies, Cash<br>Working Capital                                   | GR81155        |         | The Gas Service<br>Company                       |
|                   | Cash Working Capital   | TR81302        |         | United Telephone<br>Company                      |
|                   | Payroll, O&M Expenses  | GR81332        |         | Rich Hill-Hume<br>Gas Company                    |
|                   | Cash Working Capital   | ER8239         |         | Missouri Public<br>Service Company               |
|                   | Cash Working Capital   | WR8250         |         | Missouri Public<br>Service Company               |
|                   | Cash Working Capital   | GR82151        |         | The Gas Service<br>Company                       |
|                   |  | GR82194        |         | Missouri Public<br>Service                       |
|                   | Revenues   | WR82279        |         | Missouri Water<br>Company-<br>Lexington Division |
|                   | Fuel Expense   | ER8340         |         | Missouri Public<br>Service Company               |
|                   | Cash Working Capital   | GR83225        |         | The Gas Service<br>Company                       |
|                   | Revenues   | GR8424         |         | Rich Hill-Hume<br>Gas Company                    |
|                   | Unit 3/Extra Work, Unit 3/Back charges; Phase IV                                 | ER85128        |         | Kansas City Power & Light Company                |
|                   | Unit 3/Extra Work, Unit 3/Back charges; Phase IV                                 | ER85185        |         | Kansas City Power & Light Company                |
|                   | Payroll, Payroll Taxes, Pensions   | GR8676         |         | KPL Gas Service<br>Company                       |
|                   | Payroll, Payroll Taxes   | TC8757         |         | General Telephone<br>Company of the<br>Midwest   |

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue  | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name  |
|-------------------|--|----------------|---------|---|
|                   | Pensions   | GR88194        |         | Missouri Public<br>Service Company                        |
|                   | Revenues, Pumping Power<br>Expense, Chemical Expense,<br>Vehicle Lease Expense, Interest<br>Expense on Customer Deposits,<br>Bad Debt Expense, Materials &<br>Supplies, Prepayments, Customer<br>Advances, Contributions in Aid<br>of Construction | WR88255        | Direct  | U.S.<br>Water/Lexington,<br>Mo., Inc.                     |
|                   | Cash Working Capital   | GR9050         |         | KPL Gas Service   |
|                   |  | ER90101        |         | UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc., Missouri<br>Public Service     |
| 9/6/1991          | Deferred Income Taxes  | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Liability Insurance Expense  | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Commission Assessment Expense  | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Income Taxes   | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Injuries and Damages Accrual   | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | WOMAC Employee Expense   | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Exempt Employee Compensation<br>Study Expense  | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Rate Case Expense  | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |
| 9/6/1991          | Employee Relocation Expense  | GR91291        | Direct  | Kansas Power and<br>Light Company Gas<br>Service Division |

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue   | Case<br>Number | Exhibit     | Company Name  |
|-------------------|---|----------------|-------------|---|
|                   | Revenue Requirement, Project Feasibility  | GA92269        | Direct      | Missouri Public<br>Service                          |
|                   | Payroll, Employee Benefits,<br>Payroll Taxes, Administrative<br>and General Expense, Donations,<br>Board Fees, Outside Services,<br>Rate Case Expense | WR9285         | Direct      | Raytown Water<br>Company                            |
|                   | Payroll, Salary Increases   | WR9285         | Surrebuttal | Raytown Water<br>Company                            |
|                   |   | GR93240        |             | Western Resources,<br>Inc.                          |
| 1/22/1993         | Ralph Green No. 3 Lease<br>Expense  | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Injuries and Damages Expense  | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Property Tax Expense  | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Interest Expense on Customer Deposits   | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Customer Deposits   | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Customer Advances   | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Prepayments   | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Materials and Supplies  | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Depreciation Expense  | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 1/22/1993         | Plant in Service  | ER9337         | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |

| Date Filed | Issue                                 | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name  |
|------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------|---|
| 1/22/1993  | Amortization Expense                  | ER9337         | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service                   |
| 1/22/1993  | Rate Base                             | ER9337         | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service                   |
| 1/22/1993  | Depreciation Reserve                  | ER9337         | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service                   |
| 5/28/1993  | Plant in Service                      | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Accounting Authority Order            | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Corporate Overheads                   | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Injuries and Damages Expense          | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Property Tax Expense                  | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Interest Expense on Customer Deposits | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Customer Deposits                     | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Customer Advances                     | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |

| Date Filed | Issue  | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name  |
|------------|--|----------------|---------|---|
| 5/28/1993  | Prepayments  | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Materials & Supplies   | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Amortization Expense   | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Depreciation Reserve   | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Rate Base  | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
| 5/28/1993  | Depreciation Expense   | GR93172        | Direct  | Missouri Public<br>Service a Division<br>of UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc. |
|            | Payroll, Payroll Taxes, Insurance,<br>Employee Benefits, Materials and<br>Supplies, Prepayments, Customer<br>Deposits, PSC Assessment,<br>Maintenance Expense, Admin<br>and General Expenses,<br>Donations, Board Fees |                | Direct  | Raytown Water<br>Company  |
|            |  | GR96285        |         | Missouri Gas<br>Energy  |
| 3/28/1997  | Plant  | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service                   |
| 3/28/1997  | Prepayments  | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service                   |
| 3/28/1997  | Amortization of Authority Orders   | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service                   |

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue                            | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name  |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|---|
| 3/28/1997         | Amortization of Authority Orders | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Sale of Accounts Receivable      | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Sale of Accounts Receivable      | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Property Taxes                   | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Property Taxes                   | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Customer Advances                | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Customer Advances                | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Customer Deposits                | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Customer Deposits                | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Prepayments                      | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Materials and Supplies           | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a MO Public Service       |
| 3/28/1997         | Depreciation Reserve             | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |
| 3/28/1997         | Materials and Supplies           | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service |

| Date Filed | Issue                                   | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name  |
|------------|---|----------------|---------|---|
| 3/28/1997  | Depreciation Expense                    | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service             |
| 3/28/1997  | Depreciation Reserve                    | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service             |
| 3/28/1997  | Plant                                   | EC97362        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service             |
| 3/28/1997  | Depreciation Expense                    | EO97144        | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a MO<br>Public Service             |
| 9/16/1997  | Plant                                   | ER97394        | Direct  | MO Public Service,<br>A Division of<br>Utilicorp United<br>Inc. |
| 9/16/1997  | Property Taxes                          | ER97394        | Direct  | MO Public Service,<br>A Division of<br>UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. |
| 9/16/1997  | Depreciation Reserve                    | ER97394        | Direct  | MO Public Service,<br>A Division of<br>UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. |
| 9/16/1997  | Depreciation Expense                    | ER97394        | Direct  | MO Public Service,<br>A Division of<br>UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. |
| 9/16/1997  | Accounting Authority Order Amortization | ER97394        | Direct  | MO Public Service,<br>A Division of<br>UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. |
| 9/16/1997  | Accounts Receivable Sales               | ER97394        | Direct  | MO Public Service,<br>A Division of<br>UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. |

| Date Filed | Issue                                     | Case<br>Number | Exhibit               | Company Name  |
|------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|---|
| 9/30/1997  | Gain on Sale of Assets                    | GM97435        | Rebuttal              | Missouri Public<br>Service, A Division<br>of UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. |
| 11/21/1997 | Property Taxes                            | ER97394        | Surrebuttal           | UtiliCorp United Inc. d/b/a MO Public Service                         |
|            |   | EC98126        |                       | UtiliCorp United,<br>Inc., Missouri<br>Public Service                 |
| 5/15/1998  | Public Affairs and Community<br>Relations | GR98140        | Surrebuttal           | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |
| 7/10/1998  | Staffs' Accounting Schedules              | GR98140        | True-Up               | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |
| 7/10/1998  | True-Up Methodology                       | GR98140        | True-Up               | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |
| 7/10/1998  | Payroll                                   | GR98140        | True-Up               | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |
| 7/10/1998  | Payroll Taxes                             | GR98140        | True-Up               | Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company             |
| 7/10/1998  | Payroll Expense Ratio                     | GR98140        | True-Up               | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |
| 7/10/1998  | AMR Employee Savings                      | GR98140        | True-Up               | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |
| 1/4/1999   | Gross Down Factor                         | GR98140        | Rehearing<br>Rebuttal | Missouri Gas<br>Energy, A Division<br>of Southern Union<br>Company    |

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue                                   | Case<br>Number | Exhibit               | Company Name   |
|-------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1/4/1999          | Gross Up                                | GR98140        | Rehearing<br>Rebuttal | Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company      |
| 4/26/1999         | Rate Disparity                          | EM97515        | Rebuttal              | Western Resources Inc. and Kansas City Power and Light Company |
| 4/26/1999         | Advertising Savings                     | EM97515        | Rebuttal              | Western Resources Inc. and Kansas City Power and Light Company |
| 4/26/1999         | Insurance Savings                       | EM97515        | Rebuttal              | Western Resources Inc. and Kansas City Power and Light Company |
| 4/26/1999         | Vehicle Savings                         | EM97515        | Rebuttal              | Western Resources Inc. and Kansas City Power and Light Company |
| 4/26/1999         | Facility Savings                        | EM97515        | Rebuttal              | Western Resources Inc. and Kansas City Power and Light Company |
| 4/26/1999         | Administrative and General Savings      | EM97515        | Rebuttal              | Western Resources Inc. and Kansas City Power and Light Company |
| 5/2/2000          | Historical Rate Increases/Reductions    | EM2000292      | Rebuttal              | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. / St. Joseph<br>Light and Power       |
| 5/2/2000          | Cost per kWh Comparison                 | EM2000292      | Rebuttal              | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. / St. Joseph<br>Light and Power       |
| 6/21/2000         | Historical Rate<br>Increases/Reductions | EM2000369      | Rebuttal              | UtiliCorp United Inc. / Empire District Electric Company       |
| 6/21/2000         | Cost Per kWh Comparisons                | EM2000369      | Rebuttal              | UtiliCorp United Inc. / Empire District Electric Company       |

| Date Filed | Issue                                   | Case<br>Number | Exhibit           | Company Name  |
|------------|---|----------------|-------------------|---|
| 11/30/2000 | Revenue Requirements                    | TT2001116      | Rebuttal          | Iamo Telephone<br>Company                                 |
| 4/3/2001   | Postage Expense                         | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 4/3/2001   | Test Year/True Up                       | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District Electric Company                      |
| 4/3/2001   | Iatan Maintenance Expense               | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 4/3/2001   | Bad Debt                                | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 4/3/2001   | Banking Fees                            | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 4/3/2001   | State Line Plant Maintenance<br>Expense | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 4/3/2001   | Interest on Customer Deposits           | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 4/3/2001   | Injuries and Damages                    | ER2001299      | Direct            | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 8/7/2001   | Maintenance Expense                     | ER2001299      | True-up<br>Direct | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                   |
| 12/6/2001  | AFUDC                                   | ER2001672      | Direct            | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001  | Test Year                               | ER2001672      | Direct            | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001  | Sale of Accounting Receivable           | ER2001672      | Direct            | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001  | Plant                                   | ER2001672      | Direct            | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001  | True-Up                                 | ER2001672      | Direct            | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001  | Jurisdictional Allocations              | ER2001672      | Direct            | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue  | Case<br>Number | Exhibit | Company Name  |
|-------------------|--|----------------|---------|---|
| 12/6/2001         | Cost per Kwh Comparison                      | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Historical Rate<br>Increases/Decreases       | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Cash Working Capital                         | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Depreciation Expense/Deprecitiaon Reserve    | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Accounting Authority Order                   | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Pensions and OPEBS                           | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Accounting Authority Order                   | EC2002265      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Test Year                                    | EC2002265      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | True-Up Jurisdictional<br>Allocations        | EC2002265      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Historical Rate<br>Increases/Decreases       | EC2002265      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Depreciation<br>Expense/Depreciation Reserve | EC2002265      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Cost per Kwh Comparison                      | EC2002265      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |
| 12/6/2001         | Revenues                                     | ER2001672      | Direct  | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service |

| <b>Date Filed</b> | Issue  | Case<br>Number                  | Exhibit     | Company Name   |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 12/6/2001         | Uncollectible Expense  | ER2001672                       | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service                |
| 12/6/2001         | AFUDC and Sale of Accounts<br>Receivable                                       | EC2002265                       | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service                |
| 12/6/2001         | Cash Working Capital Plant   | EC2002265                       | Direct      | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service                |
| 1/22/2002         | Cost Per kWh Comparison  | ER2001672                       | Surrebuttal | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public Service                |
| 1/22/2002         | Cost Per kWh Comparison  | EC2002265                       | Surrebuttal | UtiliCorp United<br>Inc. d/b/a Missouri<br>Public                        |
| 8/16/2002         | Test Year  | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District Electric Company                                     |
| 8/16/2002         | Jurisdictional Allocators  | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                                  |
| 8/16/2002         | State Line Maintenance Contract  | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District<br>Electric Company                                  |
| 8/16/2002         | State Line 1 and Energy Center 1 & 2 Maintenance Contract                      | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District Electric Company                                     |
| 8/16/2002         | Iatan Maintenance Expense  | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District Electric Company                                     |
| 8/16/2002         | Asbury Maintenance Expense   | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District Electric Company                                     |
| 8/16/2002         | Miscellaneous Expenses &<br>Banking Fees                                       | ER2002424                       | Direct      | The Empire District Electric Company                                     |
| 9/24/2002         | Security Rider   | ER2002424                       | Rebuttal    | The Empire District Electric Company                                     |
| 12/09/2003        | Test Year; Jurisdictional<br>Allocations; Revenue<br>Requirement; Rate History | ER20040034<br>and<br>HR20040024 |             | Aquila, Inc. d/b/a<br>Aquila Networks-<br>MPS and Aquila<br>Networks-L&P |