Exhibit No.: Issue:

Witness: Sponsoring Party:

Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Off-System Sales

Adjustment Michael J. Wallis

MoPSC Staff Surrebuttal Testimony

GR-96-181

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL J. WALLIS

LACLEDE GAS COMPANY

CASE NO. GR-96-181

Jefferson City, Missouri September, 1998

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	MICHAEL J. WALLIS		
4	LACLEDE GAS COMPANY		
5	CASE NO. GR-96-181		
6			
7	Q. Are you the same Michael J. Wallis who filed direct and rebuttal testimony		
8	in this case?		
9	A. Yes, I am.		
LO	Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?		
L1	A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal		
L2	testimony of Laclede Gas Company (Laclede or Company) witness Kenneth J. Neises.		
L3	Q. Do you agree with Mr. Neises where on Page 2, Lines 15 to 17 of his		
L4	rebuttal testimony, he states that "no tariff authority existed during the 1995-96 ACA		
L5	period for the flow through of off-system sale gains or losses to the Company's		
L6	ratepayers"?		
L7	A. No. The Commission has authority under the PGA/ACA tariffs to regulate		
L8	the off-system sales transactions made by Laclede during the 1995/1996 ACA period. The		
L9	Commission regulates, through the PGA/ACA mechanism, Laclede's gas supply costs,		
20	transportation costs, storage costs, transition costs, etc. as well as the revenues billed and		
21	collected by Laclede from its ratepayers.		

The fixed gas supply demand charges and transportation reservation charges, necessary to allow Laclede to have enough extra gas supply and transportation capacity to enter into profit-making off-system sales transactions, are recovered by Laclede through the PGA/ACA mechanism. As a result, any revenues (including profits) collected by Laclede from any off-system sales transaction are regulated by Laclede's Commission approved PGA tariffs.

- Q. Do you agree with Mr. Neises where on Page 2, Lines 22 to 25 of his rebuttal testimony, he indicates that Laclede does not need Missouri PSC tariff approval to engage in off-system sales transactions?
- A. Yes. Staff is not concerned with the fact that Laclede entered into off-system sales transactions during the 1995/1996 ACA period. However, it was improper for Laclede to keep a portion of the profits from the off-system sales transactions without first obtaining Missouri PSC tariff approval.
- Q. Do you agree with Mr. Neises where on Page 3, Lines 16 to 20 of his rebuttal testimony, he indicates that Laclede's ratepayers are not entitled to any of the offsystem sales profits because they do not own the gas supply and transportation contracts used by Laclede to enter into profit-making off-system sales transactions?
- A. No. The firm captive customers paid, through the PGA/ACA mechanism, the fixed gas supply demand charges and transportation reservation charges necessary to allow Laclede to have enough extra gas supply and transportation capacity to make \$3.6 million in off-system sales profits during the 1995/1996 ACA period. Laclede's firm captive customers have (1) reimbursed Laclede for the fixed gas supply demand charges

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Surrebuttal Testimony of Michael J. Wallis

and transportation reservation charges necessary to allow Laclede to meet a peak day, (2) funded the gas supply and transportation contracts used by Laclede to enter into several profit-making off-system sales transactions during the 1995/1996 ACA period, and (3) paid (through the rate case recovery process) the salaries of the Laclede employees who entered into the off-system sales transactions which resulted in the \$3.6 million in offsystem sales profits.

As a result, Laclede should have lowered its customers gas costs by passing on the off-system sales profits. Laclede failed to pass on the off-system sales profits to its customers and thus, its PGA/ACA rates are not just and reasonable.

- Do you have any comments with regard to Page 5, Lines 6 to 8 of Mr. Q. Neises' rebuttal testimony wherein he states that "one could argue that since Laclede is expected to make sales to its on-system customers, it should flow through all profits for such sales"?
- Yes. Mr. Neises statement is incorrect. The purpose of the PGA/ACA Α. recovery mechanism is to insure that actual gas costs are flowed through on a dollar for dollar basis. The PGA/ACA mechanism was never designed to be a profit making tool. Thus, contrary to what Mr. Neises asserts, Laclede is prohibited by its Missouri PSC PGA tariffs from making any profits on sales of gas to its on-system (or off-system) customers.
 - Please summarize your surrebuttal testimony. Q.
- The Commission has authority under the PGA/ACA tariffs to regulate the Α. off-system sales transactions made by Laclede during the 1995/1996 ACA period. The fixed gas supply demand charges and transportation reservation charges, necessary to

allow Laclede to have enough extra gas supply and transportation capacity to enter into profit-making off-system sales transactions, are recovered by Laclede through the PGA/ACA mechanism. As a result, any revenues (including profits) collected by Laclede from any off-system sales transaction are regulated by Laclede's Commission approved PGA tariffs.

Staff is not concerned with the fact that Laclede entered into off-system sales transactions during the 1995/1996 ACA period. However, it was improper for Laclede to keep a portion of the profits from the off-system sales transactions without first obtaining Missouri PSC tariff approval.

Laclede's firm captive customers have (1) reimbursed Laclede for the fixed gas supply demand charges and transportation reservation charges necessary to allow Laclede to meet a peak day, (2) funded the gas supply and transportation contracts used by Laclede to enter into various profit-making off-system sales transactions during the 1995/1996 ACA period, and (3) paid (through the rate case recovery process) the salaries of the Laclede employees who entered into the off-system sales transactions which resulted in the \$3.6 million in off-system sales profits. Laclede failed to pass on the off-system sales profits to its customers and thus, its PGA/ACA rates are not just and reasonable.

- Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Laclede Gas Tariff Sheets to be Reviewed 1995-1996 Actual Cost Adju	l in its)	Case No. GR-96-181	
	AFFIDAVIT OF	F MICHAEL J. WALLIS	
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss.)		
Michael J. Wallis, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.			
		Unichael J. Wallis MICHAEL J. WALLIS	
Subscribed and sworn to befo	ore me this 144	day of September, 1998.	
		Dow Willmeur / Notary Public	
My Commission expires:		TONI WILLMENO NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF CALLAWAY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JUNE 24 2000	