BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Cathy J. Orler,)
	Complainant,)
V.) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0082</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, C Big Island Homeowne	Owning and Controlling the ers Association,)))
	Respondent.)
Benjamin D. Pugh,)
	Complainant,)
V.) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0090</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, C Big Island Homeowne	Owning and Controlling the ers Association,)))
	Respondent.)
Ben F. Weir,)
	Complainant,)
٧.) Case No. WC-2006-0107
Folsom Ridge, LLC, C Big Island Homeowne	Owning and Controlling the ers Association,)))
	Respondent.))

Stan Temares,)
	Complainant,)
V.		Case No. WC-2006-0120
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Owr Big Island Homeowners)))
	Respondent.)
Judy Kenter,)
	Complainant,)
٧.) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0121</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Owr Big Island Homeowners)))
	Respondent.)
Joseph J. Schrader,)
	Complainant,)
V.) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0122</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Owr Big Island Homeowners)))
	Respondent.)

Duane Stoyer,)	
	Complainant,	
V.)	Case No. WC-2006-0129
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Owning Big Island Homeowners A		
	Respondent.	
Cindy Fortney,)	
	Complainant,)	
V.)	Case No. WC-2006-0138
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Owning Big Island Homeowners A		
	Respondent.	
Dean Leon Fortney,)	
	Complainant,)	
V.)	Case No. WC-2006-0139
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Owning Big Island Homeowners A		
	Respondent.)	

ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES

Issue Date: November 4, 2005 Effective Date: November 4, 2005

In August and September of 2005, nine separate complaints were filed by individual homeowners against Folsom Ridge, LLC, owning and controlling the Big Island Homeowners Association. Each complaint is somewhat different, but all allege that Folsom

Ridge, Big Island Homeowners Association, or some combination of the two, is operating a water and sewer utility that should be subject to regulation by this Commission. Thus far, each complaint has been handled separately, but now the Commission has scheduled a joint conference to consider issues common to all of the complaints. That means that any order issued by the Commission that is applicable to each of the complaints must be issued separately in nine cases. Similarly, any motion filed by a party must be filed nine times.

To avoid the repetition of effort necessitated by the existence of nine separate cases, the Commission will consolidate all of the complaints into a single proceeding. The consolidation of the complaints will have no substantive effect on any complaint. If circumstances require that any complaint be handled separately, that complaint can once again be separated.

4 CSR 240-2.110(3) allows the Commission to consolidate pending actions involving related questions of law or fact. The issues in these cases are related and consolidation is appropriate. Case No. WC-2006-0082 will be designated as the lead case and hereafter all pleadings filed in the consolidated case will be filed in WC-2006-0082.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That WC-2006-0082, WC-2006-0090, WC-2006-0107, WC-2006-0120, WC-2006-0121, WC-2006-0122, WC-2006-0129, WC-2006-0138, and WC-2006-0139 are consolidated. Case No. WC-2006-0082 is designated as the lead case.

2. That this order shall become effective on November 4, 2005.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Morris Woodruff, Senior Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 4th day of November, 2005.