## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Cathy J. Orler,		)
	Complainant,	)
٧.		) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0082</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ow Big Island Homeowners	•	
	Respondent.	)
Benjamin D. Pugh,		)
	Complainant,	
<b>v</b> .		) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0090</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ow Big Island Homeowners	•	
	Respondent.	)
Ben F. Weir,		)
	Complainant,	)
v.		) ) <u>Case No. WC-2006-0107</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ow Big Island Homeowners		
	Respondent.	)

Stan Temares,	)	
	Complainant,	
٧.	)	<u>Case No. WC-2006-0120</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ownir Big Island Homeowners As		
	Respondent. )	
Judy Kenter,	)	
	Complainant,	
v.	)	<u>Case No. WC-2006-0121</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ownir Big Island Homeowners As		
	Respondent. )	
Joseph J. Schrader,	)	
	) Complainant, )	
٧.	)	<u>Case No. WC-2006-0122</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ownir Big Island Homeowners As		
	) Respondent. )	

Duane Stoyer,	)	
	Complainant,	
٧.	)	<u>Case No. WC-2006-0129</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ownir Big Island Homeowners As		
	Respondent.	
Cindy Fortney,	)	
	) Complainant, )	
٧.		<u>Case No. WC-2006-0138</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ownir Big Island Homeowners As		
	Respondent.	
Dean Leon Fortney,	)	
	) Complainant, )	
٧.	)	<u>Case No. WC-2006-0139</u>
Folsom Ridge, LLC, Ownin Big Island Homeowners As		
	) Respondent. )	

## **ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES**

Issue Date: November 4, 2005 In August and September of 2005, nine separate complaints were filed by individual homeowners against Folsom Ridge, LLC, owning and controlling the Big Island Homeowners Association. Each complaint is somewhat different, but all allege that Folsom Ridge, Big Island Homeowners Association, or some combination of the two, is operating a water and sewer utility that should be subject to regulation by this Commission. Thus far, each complaint has been handled separately, but now the Commission has scheduled a joint conference to consider issues common to all of the complaints. That means that any order issued by the Commission that is applicable to each of the complaints must be issued separately in nine cases. Similarly, any motion filed by a party must be filed nine times.

To avoid the repetition of effort necessitated by the existence of nine separate cases, the Commission will consolidate all of the complaints into a single proceeding. The consolidation of the complaints will have no substantive effect on any complaint. If circumstances require that any complaint be handled separately, that complaint can once again be separated.

4 CSR 240-2.110(3) allows the Commission to consolidate pending actions involving related questions of law or fact. The issues in these cases are related and consolidation is appropriate. Case No. WC-2006-0082 will be designated as the lead case and hereafter all pleadings filed in the consolidated case will be filed in WC-2006-0082.

## **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

1. That WC-2006-0082, WC-2006-0090, WC-2006-0107, WC-2006-0120, WC-2006-0121, WC-2006-0122, WC-2006-0129, WC-2006-0138, and WC-2006-0139 are consolidated. Case No. WC-2006-0082 is designated as the lead case.

4

2. That this order shall become effective on November 4, 2005.

BY THE COMMISSION



Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Morris Woodruff, Senior Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 4th day of November, 2005.