1	Page 7 STATE OF MISSOURI				
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
	FUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
3					
4					
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
б					
7	Prehearing Conference				
8					
9	May 22, 2012				
10	Jefferson City, Missouri				
11	Volume 3				
12					
13	In the Matter of Lincoln)				
14	County Sewer & Water, LLC,)				
15	for a Certificate of)				
16	Convenience and Necessity) Case No. WA-2012-0018, et al.				
17	Authorizing it to Own,)				
18	Operate, Maintain, Control)				
19	and Manage Water Systems in)				
20	Lincoln County, Missouri)				
21					
22					
23	HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding				
24	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE				
25					

Г

PREHEARING CONFERENCE 5/22/2012

1	Page 8 APPEARANCES				
2	For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:				
	Ms. Rachel Lewis				
3	Public Service Commission				
	200 Madison Street				
4	P.O. Box 360				
	Jefferson City, MO 65102				
5	(573) 526-6715				
6	For Office of Public Counsel:				
	Ms. Christina Baker				
7	Office of the Public Counsel				
8	P.O. Box 2230				
9	Jefferson City, MO 65102				
10	(573) 751-5565				
11					
12	For Lincoln County Sewer & Water, LLC:				
13	Mr. Dean L. Cooper				
14	Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC				
15	P.O. Box 456				
16	312 East Capitol Avenue				
17	Jefferson City, MO 65102				
18	(573) 635-7166				
19					
20	REPORTED BY:				
21	Monnie S. Mealy, CCR, CSR, RPR				
22	Midwest Litigation Services				
23	3432 W. Truman Boulevard, Suite 207				
24	Jefferson City, MO 65109				
25	(573) 636-7551				

Page 9 PROCEEDINGS 1 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Good morning. 2 It's 3 Tuesday, May 22nd, 2012. The Commission set this time for a prehearing conference in File No. WA-2012-0018, et al., 4 5 which is captioned as In the Matter of Lincoln County Sewer & Water, LLC, for a Certificate of convenience & 6 7 Necessity Authorizing it to Own, Operate, Maintain, Control and Manage Water Systems and Sewer Systems in 8 9 Lincoln County, Missouri. 10 My name is Harold Stearley. I'm the presiding officer over today's conference. I will begin by taking 11 entries of appearance starting with Lincoln County. 12 MR. COOPER: Dean L. Cooper from law firm of 13 14 Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC, on behalf of Lincoln County Sewer & Water, LLC. And I'll provide the address 15 to the court reporter. 16 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. Cooper. For the 18 Office of the Public Counsel? 19 MS. BAKER: Thank you. Christina Baker, 20 appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel 21 and the ratepayers. 22 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Ms. Baker. And for 23 the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission? 24 MS. LEWIS: Rachel Lewis and Amy Moore for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. We've 25

1	Page 10 given our address to the court reporter this morning.
2	JUDGE STEARLEY: Also, we have some people over
3	the phone line with us today. Who who all do we have
4	from Staff on the phone?
5	MR. CASSIDY: John Cassidy and Lisa Hannigan.
6	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you. All
7	right. The parties had asked for this prehearing
8	conference when they filed their proposed procedural
9	schedule. Are there any preliminary matters the parties
10	need me to take up at this time?
11	Okay. It's my understanding I know you all
12	have been negotiating on this case, but you're at an
13	<pre>impasse; is that correct?</pre>
14	MS. LEWIS: On some of the issues, we're at an
15	impasse. So some of the issues will go to hearing.
16	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. With regard to the
17	issues that are in dispute, Public Counsel had filed its
18	response for Staff's recommendations. And it appears
19	there is an issue regarding depreciation of a particular
20	pump?
21	MS. BAKER: Yes. One one account in the
22	Bennington Water.
23	JUDGE STEARLEY: And you had also mentioned some
24	concerns regarding meter installation program and
25	subdivision elemental fees. Are those all items that are

Page 11 still in dispute, or have any of those things resolved? 1 MS. BAKER: We believe there's resolution on all 2 3 of the issues except for the pump count. I mean, we are discussing, and -- and I think that is the main one. 4 5 MS. LEWIS: There's also a difference in the б meter reading. 7 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. The company, does that correspond with your position? Are there other things the 8 9 Commission Staff has recommended that the company's not in favor of? 10 MR. COOPER: I think that largely corresponds to 11 our position. There may be another question or two I need 12 to ask as we -- as we move forward but work towards what I 13 14 guess we would hope to be a partial stipulation and 15 agreement. But largely, yes, that -- that corresponds to my understanding. 16 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Any narrowing of the issues 18 would certainly aid the Commission in giving you a quicker 19 decision on the issues that are in dispute. I'm taking it that you guys are at a total impasse that having some type 20 of mediation would not be helpful at this time; is that 21 22 correct? 23 MS. LEWIS: (Witness shakes head.) 24 MS. BAKER: I mean, I've put things on the table 25 that they've fought.

1	Page 12 MS. LEWIS: We've tried. And I don't think				
2	mediation is going to help.				
3	JUDGE STEARLEY: I just wanted to put that				
4	option out because it was available to the parties. All				
5	right. Well, if there's nothing specifically for me to				
6	take up at this time, I appreciate you clarifying for me				
7	what you're all working on, and we will conclude the				
8	on-the-record portion of this.				
9	If any other resolution is reached, you can				
10	you certainly know how to let us know.				
11	MS. LEWIS: Okay.				
12	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you all very				
13	much. We're off the record.				
14	MS. LEWIS: Thank you, Judge. Thank you, Court				
15	Reporter.				
16	(The proceedings were concluded at 9:05 a.m. on				
17	May 22, 2012.)				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	PEDORTER IC CERTIFICATE				
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)				
4)ss.				
5	COUNTY OF OSAGE)				
6					
7	I, Monnie S. Mealy, Certified Shorthand Reporter,				
8	Certified Court Reporter #0538, and Registered				
9	Professional Reporter, and Notary Public, within and for				
10	the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was				
11	personally present at the proceedings as set forth in the				
12	caption sheet hereof; that I then and there took down in				
13	stenotype the proceedings had at said time and was				
14	thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately				
15	set forth in the preceding pages.				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	Monnie S. Mealy, CSR, CCR #0539				
22	Registered Professional Reporter				
23					
24					
25					

	Contified 12.7.9		: agree 10, 10	Maal. 9.21 12.7
A	Certified 13:7,8	<u> </u>	issue 10:19	Mealy 8:21 13:7 13:21
account 10:21	certify 13:10 Christina 8:6	E 8:1,1 9:1,1	issues 10:14,15 10:17 11:3,17	mean 11:3,24
accurately 13:14	9:19	East 8:16	11:19	mediation 11:21
address 9:15	City 7:10 8:4,9	elemental 10:25	items 10:25	12:2
10:1	8:17,24	England 8:14	Items 10.23	mentioned
agreement	<i>'</i>	9:14	J	10:23
11:15	clarifying 12:6 Commission 7:2	entries 9:12	Jefferson 7:10	meter 10:24
aid 11:18	8:2,3 9:3,23,25	et 7:16 9:4	8:4,9,17,24	11:6
al 7:16 9:4	8.2,5 9.5,25,25 11:9,18	except 11:3	John 10:5	Midwest 8:22
Amy 9:24	company 11:7	F	Judge 7:24 9:2	Missouri 7:1,10
another 11:12	company's 11:9	favor 11:10	9:17,22 10:2,6	7:20 8:2 9:9,23
appearance 9:12	concerns 10:24	fees 10:25	10:16,23 11:7	9:25 13:3,10
appearing 9:20	conclude 12:7	File 9:4	11:17 12:3,12	MO 8:4,9,17,24
appears 10:18	concluded 12:16		12:14	Monnie 8:21
appreciate 12:6	conference 7:7	filed 10:8,17	just 12:3	13:7,21
asked 10:7	9:4,11 10:8	firm 9:13		Moore 9:24
Authorizing	Control 7:18 9:8	forth 13:11,15 forward 11:13	K	morning 9:2
7:17 9:7	convenience		know 10:11	10:1
available 12:4	7:16 9:6	fought 11:25	12:10,10	move 11:13
Avenue 8:16	Cooper 8:13	from 9:13 10:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	much 12:13
a.m 12:16	9:13,13,17	fully 13:14	L	IIIUCII 12.15
<u> </u>	9.13,13,17	G	L 8:13 9:13	N
Baker 8:6 9:19	correct 10:13	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 9:1	largely 11:11,15	N 8:1 9:1
	11:22	given 10:1	law 7:24 9:13	name 9:10
9:19,22 10:21	correspond 11:8	giving 11:18	let 12:10	narrowing
11:2,24 hogin 0:11	corresponds	go 10:15	Lewis 8:2 9:24	11:17
begin 9:11	11:11,15	going 12:2	9:24 10:14	Necessity 7:16
behalf 9:14,20 believe 11:2	Counsel 8:6,7	Good 9:2	11:5,23 12:1	9:7
Bennington	9:18,20 10:17	guess 11:14	12:11,14	need 10:10
10:22	count 11:3	guys 11:20	Lincoln 7:13,20	11:12
Boulevard 8:23	County 7:14,20	Suj 511.20	8:12 9:5,9,12	negotiating
Box 8:4,8,15	8:12 9:5,9,12	H	9:14	10:12
	9:15 13:5	Hannigan 10:5	line 10:3	Notary 13:9
Brydon 8:14 9:14	court 9:16 10:1	Harold 7:23	Lisa 10:5	nothing 12:5
7.14	12:14 13:8	9:10	Litigation 8:22	
C	CSR 8:21 13:21	having 11:20	LLC 7:14 8:12	0
$\frac{1}{C 8:1 9:1}$		head 11:23	9:6,15	O 9:1
Capitol 8:16	D	hearing 10:15	<u></u>	off 12:13
caption 13:12	D 9:1	help 12:2		Office 8:6,7 9:18
captioned 9:5	Dean 8:13 9:13	helpful 11:21	Madison 8:3	9:20
case 7:16 10:12	decision 11:19	hereof 13:12	main 11:4	officer 9:11
Cassidy 10:5,5	depreciation	hope 11:14	Maintain 7:18	Okay 10:11,16
CCR 8:21 13:21	10:19		9:7	11:7 12:11
certainly 11:18	difference 11:5	I	Manage 7:19 9:8	one 10:21,21
12:10	discussing 11:4	impasse 10:13	Matter 7:13 9:5	11:4
Certificate 7:15	dispute 10:17	10:15 11:20	matters 10:9	on-the-record
9:6 13:1	11:1,19	installation	may 7:9 9:3	12:8
	down 13:12	10:24	11:12 12:17	Operate 7:18
				-

9:7	R	10:23 11:20	tried 12:1	4
option 12:4		specifically 12:5	Truman 8:23	
OSAGE 13:5	R 8:1 9:1	specifically 12:3	Tuesday 9:3	456 8:15
other 11:8 12:9	Rachel 8:2 9:24	Staff 8:2 9:23,25	two 11:12	5
out 12:4	ratepayers 9:21	10:4 11:9	type 11:20	526-6715 8:5
over 9:11 10:2	reached 12:9	Staff's 10:18	type 11.20	
Own 7:17 9:7	reading 11:6	starting 9:12	U	573 8:5,10,18,25
Uwn 7.17 <i>3</i> .7	recommendati	State 7:1 13:3,10	understanding	6
P	10:18	State 7.1 15.5,10 Stearley 7:23	10:11 11:16	635-7166 8:18
P 8:1,1 9:1	recommended	9:2,10,17,22		636-7551 8:25
pages 13:15	11:9	10:2,6,16,23	V	65102 8:4,9,17
partial 11:14	record 12:13	11:7,17 12:3	very 12:12	65109 8:24
particular 10:19	regard 10:16	12:12	Volume 7:11	03107 0.24
parties 10:7,9	regarding 10:19			7
12:4	10:24	stenotype 13:13	W	751-5565 8:10
PC 8:14 9:14	Registered 13:8	still 11:1	W 8:23	
people 10:2	13:22	stipulation	wanted 12:3	9
personally 13:11	REGULATO	11:14 Streat 8:2	Water 7:14,19	9:05 12:16
phone 10:3,4	7:24	Street 8:3	8:12 9:6,8,15	
portion 12:8	REPORTED	subdivision	10:22	
position 12.8	8:20	10:25	WA-2012-0018	
preceding 13:15	reporter 9:16	Suite 8:23	7:16 9:4	
prehearing 7:7	10:1 12:15	Swearengen	Well 12:5	
9:4 10:7	13:7,8,9,22	8:14 9:14	were 12:16	
preliminary	REPORTER'S	Systems 7:19 9:8	we're 10:14	
10:9	13:1	9:8	12:13	
present 13:11	resolution 11:2		We've 9:25 12:1	
presiding 7:23	12:9	table 11:24	Witness 11:23	
9:10	resolved 11:1	table 11:24 take 10:10 12:6	work 11:13	
procedural 10:8	response 10:18	taking 9:11	working 12:7	
proceedings 7:5	right 9:2 10:6,7	11:19		
12:16 13:11,13	12:5,12	Thank 9:17,19	#	
Professional	RPR 8:21	9:22 10:6	#0538 13:8	
13:9,22		12:12,14,14	#0539 13:21	
program 10:24	$\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}}$	their 10:8		
proposed 10:8	S 8:1,21 9:1 13:7	things 11:1,8,24	2	
provide 9:15	13:21	think 11:4,11	200 8:3	
Public 7:2 8:2,3	schedule 10:9	12:1	2012 7:9 9:3	
8:6,7 9:18,20	SENIOR 7:24	time 9:3 10:10	12:17	
9:23,25 10:17	Service 7:2 8:2,3	11:21 12:6	207 8:23	
13:9	9:23,25	13:13	22 7:9 12:17	
pump 10:20	Services 8:22	today 10:3	22nd 9:3	
11:3	set 9:3 13:11,15	today's 9:11	2230 8:8	
put 11:24 12:3	Sewer 7:14 8:12	total 11:20	3	
P.O 8:4,8,15	9:6,8,15	towards 11:13		
1.0 0.4,0,13	shakes 11:23	transcribed	3 7:11	
Q	sheet 13:12	13:14	312 8:16	
question 11:12	Shorthand 13:7	TRANSCRIPT	3432 8:23	
quicker 11:18	some 10:2,14,15	7:5	360 8:4	
		1.5		