BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF MISSOURI
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Pre-Hearing Conference
Jul y 6, 2015
Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 2
In The Matter of the Application) of Ridge Creek Water Company, LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Install, Own, Operate, Control Manage, and Maintain a Water System for the Public Located in an Unincorporated Area in Pulaski County, Missouri.
KIM BURTON, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
1

Г

1	APPEARANCES
2	MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law
3	601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
4	573.634.2266 FOR: Ridge Creek Water Company, LLC
5	THAIS FOLTA, Assistant Attorney General
6	PO Box 899 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
7	573.751.3962 FOR: Missouri Department of Natural Resources
8	CHRISTINA BAKER, Deputy Public Counsel PO Box 2230
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.5565
10	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
11	KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel MARCELLA MUETH, Assistant Staff Counsel
12	200 Madi son Street, Sui te 800 P0 Box 360
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.4140
14	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
-	
	2
	<u>۲</u>

1	JUDGE BURTON: We are on the record in
2	the matter of the application of Ridge Creek Water
3	Company, LLC for certificate of convenience and
4	necessity authorizing it to construct, install, own,
5	operate, control, manage and maintain a water system
6	for the public located in an unincorporated area in
7	Pulaski County, Missouri; File Number WA-2015-0182.
8	And the reason we are conducting this
9	pre-hearing conference today is because Ridge Creek,
10	you have requested a pre-hearing con or an
11	evidentiary hearing. So at this time we'll go ahead
12	and have the parties enter their appearance on the
13	record. And let's go ahead and begin with the
14	applicant.
15	MR. COMLEY: Let the record reflect the
16	entry of Mark W. Comley of Newman, Comley and Ruth,
17	PC, 601 Monroe Street, Jefferson City, Missouri on
18	behalf of Ridge Creek Water Company, LLC.
19	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you.
20	And on behalf of the Staff of the
21	Missouri Public Service Commission.
22	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. Kevin
23	Thompson and Marcella Mueth for the Staff of the
24	Missouri Public Service Commission, Post Office Box
25	360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

Г

1 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. 2 And on behalf of the Public Counsel. 3 MS. BAKER: Thank you. Christina Baker, PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 appearing 5 on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the 6 customers. 7 JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other 8 parties present? 9 MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the 10 Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. 11 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if 12 you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. 13 MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would 14 prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it 15 seems rude, so 16 JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. 17 JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. 18 Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know 18 that there have been some issues in dispute here and 19 that staff has submitted their recommendation. We've 10 had some local public hearings in this matter. So if 12 everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can 11 that of		
 MS. BAKER: Thank you. Christina Baker, PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the customers. JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other parties present? MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. 	1	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you.
 PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 appearing on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the customers. JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other parties present? MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. 	2	And on behalf of the Public Counsel.
 on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the customers. JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other parties present? MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	3	MS. BAKER: Thank you. Christina Baker,
 customers. JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other parties present? MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	4	PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 appearing
7 JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other 8 parties present? 9 MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the 10 Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. 11 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if 12 you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. 13 MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would 14 prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it 15 seems rude, so 16 JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. 17 Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know 18 that there have been some issues in dispute here and 19 that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've 10 had some local public hearings in this matter. So if 11 everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can 11 Obviously the parties can work for a 12 procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	5	on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the
 parties present? MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	6	customers.
 MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	7	JUDGE BURTON: And are there any other
 Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR. JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	8	parties present?
11 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if 12 you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. 13 MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would 14 prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it 15 seems rude, so 16 JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. 17 Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know 18 that there have been some issues in dispute here and 19 that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've 20 had some local public hearings in this matter. So if 21 everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can 22 Notiously the parties can work for a 23 procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	9	MS. FOLTA: Yes. Thais Folta from the
you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table. MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	10	Attorney General's office on behalf of DNR.
 MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	11	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you. And if
prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	12	you'd like to go and have a seat up at the table.
 seems rude, so JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	13	MS. FOLTA: That's fine. I just would
 JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine. Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	14	prefer not to have my back to you. That's why it
 Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know that there have been some issues in dispute here and that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	15	seems rude, so
18 that there have been some issues in dispute here and 19 that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've 20 had some local public hearings in this matter. So if 21 everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can 22 kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the 23 parties are looking at. 24 Obviously the parties can work for a 25 procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	16	JUDGE BURTON: That would be fine.
 that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	17	Well, let's go ahead and begin. I know
 had some local public hearings in this matter. So if everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	18	that there have been some issues in dispute here and
 everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	19	that Staff has submitted their recommendation. We've
 kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	20	had some local public hearings in this matter. So if
 parties are looking at. Obviously the parties can work for a procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead 	21	everyone can please pull up their calendars and we can
24 Obviously the parties can work for a 25 procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	22	kind of get an idea of what the time frame is that the
25 procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead	23	parties are looking at.
	24	Obviously the parties can work for a
	25	procedural schedule off the record, but let's go ahead
Λ		A

1 and try to get some ideas on an evidentiary hearing 2 date so I can go ahead and get it set on the calendar, 3 and let me know if we think one day would be 4 sufficient. 5 MR. THOMPSON: I think one day would be 6 plenty. 7 MR. COMLEY: I think one day would be 8 plenty. 9 MS. BAKER: More than sufficient. 10 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. That's fine. We are obviously in the beginning of July. Realistically 11 12 what month or what week are we looking at just so I 13 get an idea? Are we thinking any discovery needs to 14 be conducted? 15 MR. COMLEY: Well, discovery's ongoing 16 right now, Judge, but I think maybe it's coming to a 17 conclusion. I was going to ask for another 30 days 18 before any Direct Testimony was going to be filed. 19 And I'm mentioning that because I'm hoping that there 20 may be some opportunities to compromise on some 21 adjustments and perhaps dispense with the hearing 22 altogether. That's optimism, but I wanted to express 23 it on the record. JUDGE BURTON: I appreciate optimism. 24 25 MR. COMLEY: So if we're working on 5

30 day intervals, I think the earliest we would be 1 2 looking at setting a hearing would be within 90 days. 3 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. 4 MR. COMLEY: Or Later. MR. THOMPSON: Well, Judge, I think Staff 5 6 would like to see this matter resolved sooner than 7 that. I think even if we take 30 days from today in 8 order to get Direct Testimony filed, that we could 9 have a hearing in say mid-September. 10 MR. COMLEY: I'm not opposed to that. 11 JUDGE BURTON: Okay. And the other 12 parties? 13 MS. FOLTA: That's agreeable, Judge. 14 MS. BAKER: And I would point out that 15 the customers are being charged without a tariff and 16 so they are being charged in violation of the statute. 17 So sooner is batter for Public Counsel. 18 MR. COMLEY: Your Honor, we're still 19 contending that there's lawful service being provided 20 to the customers there pursuant to other kinds of 21 contractual arrangements by deed. So I would take 22 offense at the remark about -- we are taking offense 23 so -- at any rate, yes, the customers are still being 24 charged. JUDGE BURTON: I am aware that there are 25 6

1	some complaints I believe that are still outstanding
2	for those files. But right now we're not going to be
3	addressing the issues for consolidation. If that's
4	something that the parties are going to be seeking
5	during your discussions off the record, let me know
6	and I will obviously accept any filings on those
7	i ssues.
8	But Office of Public Counsel, do you have
9	any strong opposition to us setting a date let's say
10	in the middle of September?
11	MS. BAKER: I assume that would be fine,
12	because there will need to be, I'm assuming,
13	testimonies so yes.
14	JUDGE BURTON: And obviously there's
15	nothing that prevents the applicant from submitting an
16	interim tariff, let's say, for approval.
17	Let's take a look then at Wednesday,
18	September 16th. Any objections to that date? And I'm
19	just pulling out dates. So if parties have any other
20	dates
21	MR. THOMPSON: That's acceptable to
22	Staff.
23	MS. FOLTA: It's acceptable to DNR,
24	Judge.
25	MR. COMLEY: I'm gazing I'm engaging

Γ

1	in the Blackberry prayer here.					
2	JUDGE BURTON: That's fine.					
3	MR. COMLEY: The 16th is fine.					
4	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you.					
5	Public Counsel?					
6	MS. BAKER: I believe that would be fine.					
7	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Let's go ahead and					
8	schedule the evidentiary hearing to begin at nine					
9	o'clock, September 16th.					
10	MR. THOMPSON: Very good.					
11	JUDGE BURTON: Now, I know there's been					
12	some discussion of discovery being near conclusion and					
13	l've just heard obviously from applicant's					
14	perspective. I don't know if the other parties would					
15	agree with that assessment.					
16	MR. THOMPSON: No.					
17	JUDGE BURTON: Fair enough then. Let's					
18	go ahead and identify what I would I would request					
19	if Staff would do this, file after the parties have					
20	an opportunity to discuss a proposed procedural					
21	schedule. And what I would be looking at is time					
22	frames for the conclusion of discovery and for the					
23	submission of let's say Direct and Rebuttal and					
24	Surrebuttal. That should be sufficient. Correct?					
25	And what I would like to see, based on					

1	what the Commissioners would like to see, is						
2	sufficient time for the position statements and a list						
3	of issues to be addressed. And by that I mean let's						
4	try to work that out for the first week of September						
5	so that the Commissioners will have the time to						
6	opportunity to evaluate what the issues are and what						
7	the positions are before they enter the hearing.						
8	MS. BAKER: So you're saying that you						
9	would like the list of issues and the position						
10	statements filed the first week of September?						
11	JUDGE BURTON: That's correct.						
12	MS. BAKER: So by the 4th?						
13	JUDGE BURTON: That's correct. And I'll						
14	let the parties work on what specific dates within						
15	that week works for you. And the Data Center has						
16	graciously requested that any deadlines that are based						
17	off of the time frames being restricted for discovery,						
18	being addressed by a date certain; not just five days						
19	from the end of this date. It's a five-day response						
20	or a three-day response time frame. So let me know a						
21	specific date when response to discovery will change						
22	or what those deadlines would be.						
23	Now, does if it appears that we're						
24	going to need to Gesundheit to include any						
25	discovery conference, I would prefer that the parties						

Г

1	just follow the Commission rules and just let me know						
2	and request that, rather than having a specific						
3	ongoing weekly or biweekly time set aside.						
4	And are there any other issues that we						
5	need to address while we are on the record today?						
6	Okay. This room is yours for the rest of the day so						
7	feel free to duke it out about those deadlines. And						
8	let's say how about giving me a proposed procedural						
9	schedule by Monday, the 13th of July? Is that						
10	sufficient? That way you guys can check with your						
11	schedules and talk to your witnesses.						
12	MR. THOMPSON: That would be fine, Judge.						
13	JUDGE BURTON: Okay. If there is nothing						
14	else, we can go ahead and go off the record. Do I see						
15	any hands? All right. Well, thank you very much.						
16	And I hope you all have a very pleasant afternoon. We						
17	can go ahead and go off the record.						
18	(Whereupon, the pre-hearing conference						
19	was adjourned.)						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	10						

1							
1							
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER						
3							
4 5	I, Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR No. 939, within the						
5	State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the						
6	testimony appearing in the foregoing matter was duly						
7	sworn by me; that the testimony of said witnesses was						
8	taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter						
9	reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am						
10	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any						
11	of the parties to the action in which this matter was						
12	taken, and further, that I am not a relative or						
13	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the						
14	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise 🛛 💦						
15	interested in the outcome of the action.						
16	YANNI YA JANE VIE						
17	Tracy L.J. Jaylon -						
18	Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR						
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	11						

	·			
A	В	coming 5:16	D	F
ability 11:8	back 4:14	Comley 2:2 3:15	Data 9:15	Fair 8:17
accept 7:6	Baker 2:8 4:3,3	3:16,16 5:7,15	date 5:2 7:9,18	feel 10:7
acceptable 7:21	5:9 6:14 7:11	5:25 6:4,10,18	9:18,19,21	file 1:15 3:7 8:19
7:23	8:6 9:8,12	7:25 8:3	dates 7:19,20	filed 5:18 6:8
action 11:11,15	based 8:25 9:16	Commission 1:1	9:14	9:10
address 10:5	batter 6:17	2:14 3:21,24	day 5:3,5,7 6:1	files 7:2
addressed 9:3	beginning 5:11	10:1	10:6	filings 7:6
9:18	behalf 3:18,20	Commissioners	days 5:17 6:2,7	financially 11:14
addressing 7:3	4:2,5,10	9:1,5	9:18	fine 4:13,16 5:10
adjourned 10:19	believe 7:1 8:6	Company 1:13	deadlines 9:16	7:11 8:2,3,6
adjustments	best 11:8	2:4 3:3,18	9:22 10:7	10:12
5:21	biweekly 10:3	complaints 7:1	deed 6:21	first 9:4,10
afternoon 10:16	Blackberry 8:1	compromise	Department 2:7	five 9:18
agree 8:15	Box 2:5,8,12	5:20 con 3:10	Deputy 2:8	five-day 9:19
agreeable 6:13	3:24 4:4		Direct 5:18 6:8	follow 10:1
ahead 3:11,13	BURTON 1:19	conclusion 5:17	8:23	Folta 2:5 4:9,9
4:17,25 5:2 8:7	3:1,19 4:1,7,11	8:12,22 conducted 5:14	direction 11:9	4:13 6:13 7:23
8:18 10:14,17	4:16 5:10,24		discovery 5:13	foregoing 11:6
altogether 5:22	6:3,11,25 7:14	conducting 3:8 conference 1:6	8:12,22 9:17	frame 4:22 9:20
appearance 3:12	8:2,4,7,11,17	3:9 9:25 10:18	9:21,25	frames 8:22 9:17
appearing 4:4	9:11,13 10:13		discovery's 5:15	free 10:7
11:6	C	consolidation 7:3	discuss 8:20	further 11:12
appears 9:23	$\frac{C}{C 2:1}$	construct 1:15	discussion 8:12	G
applicant 3:14	calendar 5:2	3:4	discussions 7:5	
7:15	calendars 4:21	contending 6:19	dispense 5:21	gazing 7:25 General 2:5
applicant's 8:13	CCR 1:22 11:4	contractual 6:21	dispute 4:18	General's 4:10
application 1:13	11:18	control 1:15 3:5	DNR 4:10 7:23	Gesundheit 9:24
3:2	Center 9:15	convenience	duke 10:7	giving 10:8
appreciate 5:24	certain 9:18	1:14 3:3	duly 11:6	go 3:11,13 4:12
approval 7:16	certificate 1:14	correct 8:24	E	4:17,25 5:2 8:7
area 1:17 3:6	3:3 11:2	9:11,13	$\overline{\mathbf{E} 2:1,1}$	8:18 10:14,14
arrangements 6:21	certify 11:5	counsel 2:8,10	earliest 6:1	10:17,17
aside 10:3	change 9:21	2:11,11 4:2,5	employed 11:10	going 5:17,18
	charged 6:15,16	6:17 7:8 8:5	11:13	7:2,4 9:24
assessment 8:15 Assistant 2:5,11	6:24	11:10,13	employee 11:13	good 8:10
assume 7:11	check 10:10	County 1:17 3:7	engaging 7:25	graciously 9:16
assume 7.11 assuming 7:12	Chief 2:11	COURT 1:22	enter 3:12 9:7	guys 10:10
attorney 2:2,5	Christina 2:8	Creek 1:13 2:4	entry 3:16	
4:10 11:13	4:3	3:2,9,18	evaluate 9:6	H
authorizing 1:15	City 1:8 2:3,6,9	customers 4:6	evidentiary 3:11	hands 10:15
3:4	2:13 3:17,25	6:15,20,23	5:1 8:8	heard 8:13
aware 6:25	4:4		express 5:22	hearing 3:11 5:1
await 0.23				_
L		-	-	-

	1	1	1	1
5:21 6:2,9 8:8	lawful 6:19	necessity 1:14	PC 3:17	record 3:1,13,15
9:7	let's 3:13 4:17	3:4	perspective 8:14	4:25 5:23 7:5
hearings 4:20	4:25 7:9,16,17	need 7:12 9:24	pleasant 10:16	10:5,14,17
Honor 6:18	8:7,17,23 9:3	10:5	please 4:21	reduced 11:9
hope 10:16	10:8	needs 5:13	plenty 5:6,8	reflect 3:15
hoping 5:19	list 9:2,9	neither 11:10	PO 2:5,8,12 4:4	REGULATO
I	LLC 1:14,22 2:4	Newman 3:16	point 6:14	1:19
	3:3,18	nine 8:8	position 9:2,9	related 11:10
idea 4:22 5:13	local 4:20	Number 3:7	positions 9:7	relative 11:12
ideas 5:1	located 1:16 3:6	0	Post 3:24	remark 6:22
identify 8:18	look 7:17		prayer 8:1	REPORTED
include 9:24	looking 4:23	o'clock 8:9	pre-hearing 1:6	1:21
install 1:15 3:4	5:12 6:2 8:21	objections 7:18	3:9,10 10:18	REPORTER
interested 11:15	M	obviously 4:24	prefer 4:14 9:25	11:2
interim 7:16		5:11 7:6,14	present 4:8	REPORTING
intervals 6:1	Madison 2:12	8:13	Presiding 1:19	1:22
issues 4:18 7:3,7	maintain 1:16	offense 6:22,22	prevents 7:15	request 8:18
9:3,6,9 10:4	3:5	office 2:10 3:24	procedural 4:25	10:2
J	manage 1:16 3:5 Marcella 2:11	4:5,10 7:8	8:20 10:8	requested 3:10
Jefferson 1:8 2:3	3:23	Okay 3:19 4:11 5:10 6:3,11 8:7	PROCEEDIN	9:16
2:6,9,13 3:17	Mark 2:2 3:16	10:6,13	1:5	resolved 6:6
3:25 4:4	matter 1:13 3:2	ongoing 5:15	proposed 8:20	Resources 2:7
Judge 1:19 3:1	4:20 6:6 11:6	10:3	10:8	response 9:19,20
3:19,22 4:1,7	11:11	operate 1:15 3:5	provided 6:19	9:21
4:11,16 5:10	mean 9:3	opportunities	public 1:1,16 2:8	rest 10:6
5:16,24 6:3,5	mentioning 5:19	5:20	2:10,14 3:6,21	restricted 9:17
6:11,13,25	mid-September	opportunity	3:24 4:2,5,20	Ridge 1:13 2:4
7:14,24 8:2,4,7	6:9	8:20 9:6	6:17 7:8 8:5	3:2,9,18
8:11,17 9:11	middle 7:10	opposed 6:10	Pulaski 1:17 3:7	right 5:16 7:2 10:15
9:13 10:12,13	Missouri 1:2,8	opposition 7:9	pull 4:21 pulling 7:19	room 10:6
July 1:7 5:11	1:17 2:3,6,7,9	optimism 5:22	pursuant 6:20	rude 4:15
10:9	2:13,14 3:7,17	5:24	pursuant 0.20	rules 10:1
	3:21,24,25 4:4	order 6:8	Q	Ruth 3:16
K	11:5	outcome 11:15		Kutii 3.10
Kevin 2:11 3:22	Monday 10:9	outstanding 7:1	R	S
KIM 1:19	Monroe 2:2 3:17		R 2:1	S 2:1
kind 4:22	month 5:12	P	rate 6:23	saying 9:8
kinds 6:20	Mueth 2:11 3:23	P 2:1,1	Realistically	schedule 4:25
know 4:17 5:3		parties 3:12 4:8	5:11	8:8,21 10:9
7:5 8:11,14	<u> </u>	4:23,24 6:12	reason 3:8	schedules 10:11
9:20 10:1	N 2:1	7:4,19 8:14,19	Rebuttal 8:23	seat 4:12
L	Natural 2:7	9:14,25 11:11	recommendati	see 6:6 8:25 9:1
Law 1:19 2:2	near 8:12	11:14	4:19	10:14
Law 1:19 2:2				
			·	

	I	I	1	I
seeking 7:4	10:15	week 5:12 9:4,10	6	
September 7:10	thereto 11:14	9:15	6 1:7	
7:18 8:9 9:4,10	think 5:3,5,7,16	weekly 10:3	601 2:2 3:17	
service 1:1 2:14	6:1,5,7	witnesses 10:11	65102 2:3,6,9	
3:21,24 6:19	thinking 5:13	11:7	3:25 4:4	
set 5:2 10:3	Thompson 2:11	work 4:24 9:4	65102-0360 2:13	
setting 6:2 7:9	3:22,23 5:5 6:5	9:14	05102-0500 2.15	
sooner 6:6,17	7:21 8:10,16	working 5:25	7	
specific 9:14,21	10:12	works 9:15		
10:2	Thorpe 11:4,18		8	
Staff 2:11,11,14	three-day 9:20	X	800 2:12	
3:20,23 4:19	TIGER 1:22		899 2:5	
6:5 7:22 8:19	time 3:11 4:22	Y		
State 1:2 11:5	8:21 9:2,5,17	Z	9	
statements 9:2	9:20 10:3		90 6:2	
9:10	today 3:9 6:7	0	939 1:22 11:4	
statute 6:16	10:5			
Street 2:2,12	Tracy 1:22 11:4	1		
3:17	11:18	13th 10:9		
strong 7:9	TRANSCRIPT	16th 7:18 8:3,9		
submission 8:23	1:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
submitted 4:19	try 5:1 9:4	2		
submitting 7:15	typewriting 11:9	2 1:9		
sufficient 5:4,9		200 2:12		
8:24 9:2 10:10	U	2015 1:7		
Suite 2:2,12	unincorporated	2230 2:8 4:4		
Surrebuttal 8:24	1:17 3:6			
sworn 11:7		3		
system 1:16 3:5		30 5:17 6:1,7		
	violation 6:16	301 2:2		
T	Volume 1:9	360 2:12 3:25		
table 4:12		4		
take 6:7,21 7:17	$\frac{1}{W2:23:16}$	4th 9:12		
taken 11:8,12	WA-2015-0182	TUI <i>J</i> .1 <i>L</i>		
talk 10:11	1:15 3:7	5		
tariff 6:15 7:16	wanted 5:22	573.634.2266		
Taylor 1:22 11:4	water 1:13,16	2:3		
11:18	2:4 3:2,5,18	573.751.3962		
testimonies 7:13	way 10:10	2:6		
testimony 5:18	we'll 3:11	573.751.4140		
6:8 11:6,7	we're 5:25 6:18	2:13		
Thais 2:5 4:9	7:2 9:23	573.751.5565		
thank 3:19,22	We've 4:19	2:9		
4:1,3,11 8:4	Wednesday 7:17			
	,, cancoudy /.1/	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	