NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
MONROE BLUFF EXECUTIVE CENTER
601 MONROE STREET, SUITE 301
P.O. BOX 537

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0537 TELEPHONE: (573) 634-2266 FACSIMILE: (573) 636-3306 www.ncrpc.com

October 25, 2005

MARTIN A. MILLER STEPHEN G. NEWMAN THOMAS R. O'TOOLE JOHN A. RUTH ALICIA EMBLEY TURNER

FILED²
OCT 2 5 2005

Service Commission

The Honorable Colleen M. Dale Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Re:

ROBERT K. ANGSTEAD

ROBERT J. BRUNDAGE

MARK W. COMLEY

LANETTE R. GOOCH

CATHLEEN A. MARTIN

Case No. WC-2006-0082

Dear Judge Dale:

Please find enclosed for filing in the referenced matter the original and five copies of a Motion for More Definite Statement or Alternatively, Motion for Order Requiring Mediation.

Would you please bring this filing to the attention of the appropriate Commission personnel.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this filing. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH P.C.

By:

comleym@ncrpc.com

MWC:ab Enclosure

cc: Office of Public Counsel

General Counsel's Office

Cathy Orler

Reginald V. Golden

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



Cathy J. Orler,)		Service Commission
Complainant,)		rolesimmos es
V.)	Case No. WC-2006-0082	
Folsom Ridge, LLC,)		
Respondent.)		

MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT OR ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION FOR ORDER REQUIRING MEDIATION

COMES NOW Folsom Ridge, LLC (Folsom Ridge or Company) and pursuant to Mo. Rule of Civil Procedure 55.27(d) moves for a more definite statement of the complaint because it is not pleaded with sufficient definiteness or particularity to enable Folsom Ridge to properly prepare a specific answer (short of a general denial) and applicable defenses, or to prepare generally for hearing. Without a more definite statement of the complaint, neither Folsom Ridge nor the Commission will have a grasp of the issues in dispute and whether and to what extent the Commission has authority to act on the complaint.

Alternatively, Folsom Ridge moves the Commission for an order requiring the parties to mediate this matter. Commission rule 4 CSR 240-2.125(2) provides that the Commission may enter such an order. Furthermore,

[a]s the commission deems appropriate, or upon the filing of a request for mediation by any party, mediation services may be provided by a presiding officer or by a neutral third party for the purpose of identifying the issues and attempting a resolution. [emphasis added]

4 CSR 240-2.125(2)(B). The complaint in this matter has not been crafted in a way that allows for easy identification of the issues or the parties respondent. Folsom Ridge notes that the Commission issued a notice of the complaint strictly to Folsom Ridge but in its October 18, 2005

order it directed Big Island Homeowners Association to file an answer.¹ Resort to the mediation services contemplated by 4 CSR 240-2.125(2)(B) would allow for early issue identification in this complaint,² proper alignment of parties and perhaps advance resolution of issues that in turn would at least shorten a hearing if mediation failed.

WHEREFORE, based upon the above and foregoing, Respondent Folsom Ridge moves for a more definite statement of the complaint or alternatively, that the Commission require mediation of this matter pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.125.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark W. Comley

#28\$47

Newman, Comley & Ruth P.C.

601 Monroe Street, Suite 301

P.O. Box 537

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 634-2266

(573) 636-3306 FAX

Attorneys for Folsom Ridge, LLC

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was sent via e-mail on this 25th day of October, 2005, to General Counsel's Office at gencounsel@psc.mo.gov; and Office of Public Counsel at opcservice@ded.mo.gov; and via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to Cathy Orler, 3252 Big Is and Drive, Roach, MO 65787.

¹ See the Application for Rehearing of Commission's Order Denying Motion to Dismiss filed by Folsom Ridge on October 18, 2005.

² Folsom Ridge is named a respondent in several other complaints before the Commission. Each complaint appears to have a common author and as a consequence, the complaints share common faults. Requiring mediation in those complaints would be similarly productive.