Exhibit No:

Issues: Tariff
Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin
Sponsoring Party: MOPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: GT-2009-0056
Date Testimony Prepared: September 29, 2009

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION**

#### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

LACLEDE GAS COMPANY CASE NO. GT-2009-0056

> Jefferson City, Missouri September 2009

| 1  | DIRECT TESTIMONY   |
|----|--|
| 2  | OF   |
| 3  | KIMBERLY K. BOLIN  |
| 4  | LACLEDE GAS COMPANY  |
| 5  | CASE NO. GT-2009-0056  |
| 6  | Q. Please state your name and business address.  |
| 7  | A. Kimberly K. Bolin, 200 Madison Street, Suite 440, Jefferson City, MO 65102.           |
| 8  | Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?  |
| 9  | A. I am a Utility Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission          |
| 10 | (PSC or Commission).   |
| 11 | Q. What is your educational and employment background?                                   |
| 12 | A. I graduated from Central Missouri State University in Warrensburg, Missour            |
| 13 | with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, major emphasis in Accounting, i   |
| 14 | May 1993. Before coming to work at the Commission, I was employed by the Missour         |
| 15 | Office of the Public Counsel (Public Counsel or OPC) as a Public Utility Accountant from |
| 16 | September 1994 to April 2005. I commenced employment with the Commission i               |
| 17 | April 2005.  |
| 18 | Q. What was the nature of your job duties when you were employed by Publi                |
| 19 | Counsel and in your current position with the Commission?                                |
| 20 | A. I was responsible for performing audits and examinations of the books an              |
| 21 | records of public utilities operating within the state of Missouri.                      |
| 22 | Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?                           |

- A. Yes. Please refer to Schedule 1, attached to this Surrebuttal testimony, for a list of the major audits on which I have assisted and filed testimony with the Public Counsel and with the Commission.
  - Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to address the Rebuttal Testimony of the Office of the Public Counsel witness Barbara A. Meisenheimer relating to OPC's concerns regarding Laclede Gas Company's (Laclede or Company) liability tariff language.
- Q. Witness Meisenheimer states on page four lines 18 through 20, "The modified tariff language is over broad in defining compliance with duties and obligations in providing gas service and in limiting liability related to accident or negligence." Are liability insurance premiums included in Laclede's cost of service?
- A. Yes. Liability insurance premiums are an expense item included in Laclede's cost of service. Insurance coverage is believed to be a prudent and ongoing activity that should be included in the Company's cost of service. Staff normally includes an annualized level of insurance expense into a Company's cost of service during the ratemaking process. By including the insurance premiums into the cost of service, the liability insurance is paid for by the ratepayers through the rates charged for gas service provided by Laclede Gas Company.
- Q. Were the revenues or expenses incurred in relation to the unregulated services witness Meisenheimer quotes on page 8, lines 5 through 8, included in the rate making process in the Company's last rate case, Case No. GR-2007-0208?
- A. No. The revenues and expenses associated with the sales of natural gas appliances were recorded below-the-line as merchandising activities on the Company's books

and were not included in Laclede's cost of service or in the ratemaking process. The Staff reviewed how the Company was booking its direct and indirect costs associated with retail sales of appliances. From its audit, the Staff concluded that these non-regulated revenues and expenses are separately tracked and appropriately reported below-the-line in the Company's books.

- Q. Did the Staff include any revenues or expenses associated with non-regulated services that Laclede provides to customers?
- A. Yes. Staff included Heating Ventilating/Air Conditioning (HVAC) maintenance and repairs and home sale inspections that are performed by Laclede Gas personnel. These services are non-regulated, but have been traditionally treated above-the-line for financial reporting and ratemaking purposes. However, the Commission does not establish the prices charged for these services.

The Staff included the revenues and expenses for these unregulated services in the cost of service because Company personnel perform these services and the Company does not track the time personnel spend on performing these services separately from time spent performing regulated duties. The Company also does not track other costs such as mileage and other miscellaneous expenses that are associated with providing these non-regulated services.

Q. Witness Meisenheimer states on page 13, lines 11 and 12, "The modified tariff language may impact the Company's revenue requirement and is therefore best addressed in a rate case." Are there costs included in the revenue requirement that may be impacted by the modified tariff language?

- A. Yes. The modified tariff language may help to decrease the amount of injuries and damages expense and the outside service expense. However, the impact of the proposed language cannot be quantified at this time and it may not be easily identifiable in future years.
- Q. Are the costs of hiring outside legal counsel to defend claims cases included in the outside services expense?
- A. Yes. Outside legal expense is included in outside services expense. Staff includes in its cost of service a normalized level of outside services expense.
- Q. Did Staff include an amount in its cost of service in the Case No. GR-2007-0208 for injuries and damages?
- A. In the last Laclede Gas Company rate case, Staff included in its cost of service the actual claim payments made during the test year (12 months ending September 30, 2006) for injuries and damages expense. The Staff position on the rate treatment of injuries and damages is to include a normalized level of cash payouts in the cost of service. Staff believed the test year level of actual claim payments made to be a normalized level of cash payouts to include in the cost of service.
- Q. On page 13 of witness Meisenheimer's Rebuttal testimony, she states, "As discussed above, the proposed limitations on liability are extensive and seek to shift risk and associated cost to ratepayers." Are costs already being shifted to the ratepayers without this proposed tariff language?
- A. Yes. The costs of hiring outside legal counsel and the cost of paying settlements related to claims for "injuries and damages" are already included in the cost of service, thus costs are shifted to the ratepayers and those costs are being paid by the ratepayers.

A.

- 1 2
- Q. Are injuries and damages claim payouts disallowed for ratemaking, thus becoming shareholder responsibility?

Very seldom are injuries and damages claim payouts disallowed in ratemaking.

- 3
- 4
- 56
- 7
- 1
- 8
- 10
- If an injuries and damages claim payout is disallowed it is because such payout was connected to the Company being found of improper or negligent actions. However, in many cases, the injuries and damages claims are a result of a negotiated settlement, in which the utility will agree to a settlement for a certain dollar amount, while not admitting any wrongdoing. These
  - Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

settlements are included in the costs of service and are thus paid by ratepayers.

A. Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Tariff Revision Designed to Clarify it Liability for Damages Occurring of Customer Piping and Equipment Beyond the Company's Meter | s ) Case No. GT-2009-0056<br>n )   |
|---|--|
| AFFIDAVIT OF  | KIMBERLY K. BOLIN  |
| STATE OF MISSOURI ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )  |  |
| preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Test pages to be presented in the Surrebuttal Testimony were given by her; the   | her oath states: that she has participated in the stimony in question and answer form, consisting of above case; that the answers in the foregoing at she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such orrect to the best of her knowledge and belief. |
|   | Kimberly K. Bolin  |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this  | day of September, 2009.  |
| NIKKI SENN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Osage County Commission Expires: October 01, 2011 Commission Number: 07287016                   | Sem<br>Notary Public   |

#### CASE PARTICIPATION OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

| Company Name                       | Case Number                       | <u>Testimony/Issues</u>  | Contested          |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Missouri-American<br>Water Company | WR-2008-0311<br>&<br>SR-2008-0312 | Report on Cost of Service – Tank Painting Tracker, Lobbying Costs, PSC Assessment Direct – Overview of Staff's Filing Rebuttal – True-Up Items, Unamortized Balance of Security AAO, Tank Painting Expense, Fire Hydrant Painting Expense Surrebuttal – Unamortized Balance of Security AAO, Cedar Hill Waste Water Plant, Tank Painting Expense, Fire   | or Settled Settled |
| Missouri Gas Utility,<br>Inc.      | GR-2008-0060                      | Hydrant Painting Expense  Report on Cost of Service – Plant-in Service/Capitalization Policy, Plant-in Service/Purchase Price Valuation, Depreciation Reserve, Revenues, Uncollectible Expense   | Settled            |
| Laclede Gas<br>Company             | GR-2007-0208                      | <u>Direct</u> - Test Year and True-Up,<br>Environmental costs, AAOs, Revenue,<br>Miscellaneous Revenue, Gross receipts<br>Tax, Gas Costs, Uncollectibles, EWCR,<br>AMR, Acquisition Adjustment   | Settled            |
| Kansas City Power & Light          | ER-2006-0314                      | Direct- Gross Receipts Tax, Revenues, Weather Normalization, Customer Growth/Loss Annualization, Large Customer Annualization, Other Revenue, Uncollectible (Bad Debt) Expense, Payroll, A&G Salaries Capitalization Ratio, Payroll Taxes, Employer 401 (k) Match, Other Employee Benefits  Surrebuttal- Uncollectible (Bad Debt) Expense, Payroll, A&G Salaries Capitalization Ratio, Other Employee Benefits | Contested          |
| Missouri Gas Energy                | GR-2006-0204                      | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll, Incentive<br>Compensation, Payroll Taxes, Employee<br>Benefits, Lobbying, Customer &<br>Governmental Relations Department,<br>Collections Contract  | Settled            |

# WHILE EMPLOYED WITH THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

| Company Name  | <u>Case Number</u>             | <u>Testimony/Issues</u>   | Contested<br>or Settled |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Missouri Gas Energy   | GU-2005-0095                   | Rebuttal - Accounting Authority Order Surrebuttal - Accounting Authority Order  | Contested               |
| The Empire District<br>Electric Company                               | ER-2004-0570                   | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll   | Settled                 |
| Missouri American<br>Water Company &<br>Cedar Hill Utility<br>Company | SM-2004-0275                   | <u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Premium   | Settled                 |
| Missouri Gas Energy   | GR-2004-0209                   | Direct- Safety Line Replacement Program; Environmental Response Fund; Dues & Donations; Payroll; Customer & Governmental Relations Department Disallowance; Outside Lobbyist Costs Rebuttal- Customer Service; Incentive Compensation; Environmental Response Fund; Lobbying/Legislative Costs True-Up- Rate Case Expense | Contested               |
| Osage Water<br>Company  | ST-2003-0562 /<br>WT-2003-0563 | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll <u>Rebuttal</u> - Payroll; Lease Payments to  Affiliated Company; alleged Legal  Requirement of a Reserve   | Case<br>Dismissed       |
| Missouri American<br>Water Company                                    | WR-2003-0500                   | <u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Water<br>Treatment Plant Excess Capacity; Retired<br>Treatment Plan; Affiliated Transactions;<br>Security AAO; Advertising Expense;<br>Customer Correspondence  | Settled                 |
| Empire District<br>Electric   | ER-2002-424                    | <u>Direct</u> - Dues & Donations; Memberships; Payroll; Security Costs <u>Rebuttal</u> - Energy Traders' Commission <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Energy Traders' Commission   | Settled                 |

| Company Name                       | <u>Case Number</u>           | <u>Testimony/Issues</u>   | Contested<br>or Settled |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Laclede Gas Company                | GR-2002-356                  | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Safety<br>Replacement Program and the Copper<br>Service Replacement Program; Dues &<br>Donations; Rate Case Expense<br><u>Rebuttal</u> - Gas Safety Replacement<br>Program / Deferred Income Taxes for<br>AAOs         | Settled                 |
| Missouri-American<br>Water Company | WO-2002-273                  | Rebuttal- Accounting Authority Order Cross-Surrebuttal- Accounting Authority Order  | Contested               |
| Environmental<br>Utilities         | WA-2002-65                   | <u>Direct</u> - Water Supply Agreement<br><u>Rebuttal</u> - Certificate of Convenience &<br>Necessity   | Contested               |
| Warren County Water & Sewer        | WC-2002-160 /<br>SC-2002-155 | <u>Direct</u> - Clean Water Act Violations; DNR Violations; Customer Service; Water Storage Tank; Financial Ability; Management Issues <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Customer Complaints; Poor Management Decisions; Commingling of Regulated & Non-Related Business | Contested               |
| Laclede Gas Company                | GR-2001-629                  | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Safety<br>Replacement Program; Dues &<br>Donations; Customer Correspondence  | Settled                 |
| Gateway Pipeline<br>Company        | GM-2001-585                  | Rebuttal - Acquisition Adjustment; Affiliated Transactions; Company's Strategic Plan  | Contested               |
| Empire District<br>Electric        | ER-2001-299                  | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll; Merger Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Payroll <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Payroll  | Settled                 |
| Osage Water<br>Company             | SR-2000-556/<br>WR-2000-557  | <u>Direct</u> - Customer Service  | Contested               |
| St. Louis County<br>Water Company  | WR-2000-844                  | <u>Direct</u> - Main Incident Expense   | Settled                 |

| Company Name                       | <u>Case Number</u>          | <u>Testimony/Issues</u>   | Contested<br>or Settled |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Missouri American<br>Water Company | WR-2000-281/<br>SR-2000-282 | <u>Direct</u> - Water Plant Premature Retirement; Rate Case Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Water Plant Premature Retirement <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Water Plant Premature Retirement  | Contested               |
| Laclede Gas Company                | GR-99-315                   | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Dues & Donations; Miscellaneous Expense; Items to be Trued-up  | Contested               |
| St. Joseph Light & Power           | HR-99-245                   | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Dues & Donations; Miscellaneous Expense; Items to be Trued-up <u>Rebuttal</u> - Advertising Expense <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Advertising Expense   | Settled                 |
| St. Joseph Light & Power           | ER-99-247                   | Direct- Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Rebuttal- Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Surrebuttal- Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Mapping/Facility Management Costs | Settled                 |
| Laclede Gas Company                | GR-98-374                   | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Gas Safety<br>Replacement AAO; Computer System<br>Replacement Costs  | Settled                 |
| Missouri Gas Energy                | GR-98-140                   | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll; Advertising; Dues & Donations; Regulatory Commission Expense; Rate Case Expense  | Contested               |
| Gascony Water<br>Company, Inc.     | WA-97-510                   | Rebuttal- Rate Base; Rate Case Expense; Cash Working Capital  | Settled                 |
| Union Electric<br>Company          | GR-97-393                   | <u>Direct</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits  | Settled                 |
| St. Louis County<br>Water Company  | WR-97-382                   | <u>Direct</u> - Interest Rates for Customer<br>Deposits, Main Incident Expense  | Settled                 |

| Company Name                       | <u>Case Number</u>      | <u>Testimony/Issues</u>  | Contested<br>or Settled |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Associated Natural<br>Gas Company  | GR-97-272               | <u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Interest Rates for Customer Deposits <u>Rebuttal</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Interest Rates for Customer Deposits <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits  | Contested               |
| Missouri-American<br>Water Company | WA-97-45                | Rebuttal - Waiver of Service Connection Charges  | Contested               |
| Imperial Utility<br>Corporation    | SC-96-427               | <u>Direct</u> - Revenues, CIAC <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Payroll; Uncollectible Accounts Expense; Rate Case Expense, Revenues   | Settled                 |
| St. Louis Water<br>Company         | WR-96-263               | <u>Direct</u> -Main Incident Repairs <u>Rebuttal</u> - Main Incident Repairs <u>Surrebutta</u> l- Main Incident Repairs  | Contested               |
| Steelville Telephone<br>Company    | TR-96-123               | <u>Direct</u> - Depreciation Reserve Deficiency  | Settled                 |
| Missouri-American<br>Water Company | WR-95-205/<br>SR-95-206 | Direct- Property Held for Future Use; Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant; Depreciation Study Expense; Deferred Maintenance Rebuttal- Property Held for Future Use; Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant; Deferred Maintenance Surrebuttal- Property Held for Future Use; Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant | Contested               |
| St. Louis County<br>Water Company  | WR-95-145               | Rebuttal- Tank Painting Reserve Account; Main Repair Reserve Account Surrebuttal- Main Repair Reserve Account  | Contested               |