BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

Of Missouri-American Water Company for

A Certificate of Convenience and Necessity

Authorizing it to install, own, acquire,

Construct, operate, control, manage, and

Maintain a water system and sewer system

In and around the City of Eureka, Missouri

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, Volume Four, produced,

Sworn and examined on May 6, 2022, between the hours of nine o'clock in the forenoon and five o'clock in the afternoon of that day, via WebEx teleconference, before Jeanne M. Pedrotty, a Certified Court Reporter (MO) and Certified Shorthand Reporter (IL), in a certain cause now pending before the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

| | Page 296 |
|----------|--|
| 1 2 | APPEARANCES |
| 3 | Presiding: Kenneth Seyer, Regulatory Law |
| 4 | Judge |
| 5 | For Missouri-American Water: |
| 6 | Dean Cooper, Esq. Brydon, Swearengen & England |
| 7 | 312 East Capitol Jefferson City, Mo 65102 |
| 8 9 | For the Public Service Commission: |
| 10 | Karen Bretz, Esq. |
| 11 | Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street |
| 12 | Jefferson City, Mo 65102 |
| 13 | For the Office of Public Counsel: |
| 14 | Lindsay Van Gerpen, Esq. |
| 15 | Office of Public Counsel 200 Madison Street |
| 16 17 | Jefferson City, MO 65102 |
| 18 19 | |
| | Court Reporter: |
| 20 | Jeanne M. Pedrotty, CCR/CSR Missouri CCR #618 |
| 21 | Illinois CSR #084-003893 Veritext Legal Solutions |
| 22 | 701 Market Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 |
| 23 | (314) 230-7260 Www.veritext.com |
| 24 | www.vcllccxc.com |
| 25 | |

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between all parties that this proceeding may be taken in shorthand by Jeanne M. Pedrotty, CCR/CSR, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting.

2.0

2.2

* * * * *

JUDGE SEYER: Let's bring this proceeding to order and go on the record. Good morning, today is May 6, 2022. The time is 8:30 a.m.. The Commission has set this time for evidentiary hearing in the case captioned as in the Matter of Application of Missouri-American Water Company for certificate of convenience and necessity authorizing it to install, own, acquire, construct, operate, control, manage, and maintain a water system and sewer system in and around the City of Eureka, Missouri. It is our file number WA-2021-0376 and also includes the sewer system case file number SA-2021-0377.

Previously on January 21st and 22nd -- I'm sorry -- January 20th and 21st, evidence was presented to the Commission in this matter. The purpose of today's evidentiary hearing is to collect additional evidence pursuant to the Commission's order granting request to reopen the record issued April 21st. For

1 that order, today's evidence shall be limited to that related to the Arbors of Rockwood Community 3 Improvement District. My name is Ken Seyer. I am the regulatory law judge presiding over this hearing. 4 The 5 hearing is taking place in Public Service Commission hearing room, Room 310 of the Governor's office 6 7 building in Jefferson City, Missouri. However, witnesses will also be participating over the Internet 8 via Cisco WebEx. So let's have counsel for the 10 parties make their appearances beginning with 11 Missouri-American Water. 12 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. Dean 13 Cooper from the law firm of Brydon, Swearengen & England, P. O. Box 456, Jefferson City, Missouri, 14 15 65102, appearing on behalf of Missouri-American Water 16 Company. 17 JUDGE SEYER: Public Counsel? 18 MS. VAN GERPEN: Good morning, Your Honor. 19 Lindsay Van Gerpen from Office of the Public Counsel, P. O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. 2.0 JUDGE SEYER: Okay. And the Staff of the 21 2.2 Commission? 23 MS. BRETZ: Karen Bretz for Staff, B-r-e-t-z, address is 200 Madison Street, 8th floor, 24

Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

2.5

JUDGE SEYER: All right. For those in the hearing room, I ask that everyone silence all cell phones and mobile devices. If you are in the hearing room and also logged on to WebEx I ask that you log off WebEx to avoid feedback and other potential audio issues. Also, for those in the hearing room please be cognizant of when your microphones are on indicated by a lit green light at the base of microphone and when they are off. For those connected via WebEx I ask that you mute your microphone when not speaking.

2.0

Also the court reporter today is not present in the hearing room, but is instead participating via WebEx. Therefore, it's important that you speak into the hearing room microphones so that she can record all of the proceedings. Do the parties have any preliminary matters today?

MS. BRETZ: Judge, I didn't realize the court reporter was going to be on WebEx. How should we handle exhibits then?

JUDGE SEYER: As long, I think we all have access to those exhibits.

MS. BRETZ: Since they are entered into EFIS already?

JUDGE SEYER: Right. As long as the -- if they are not already entered into EFIS, as long as

they are e-mailed to the exhibits@psc.Mo.gov e-mail address. Okay. Are there any changes to the joint order of witnesses and cross examination filed on Wednesday, May 4th? Okay.

MR. SILVEY: This is Chairman Silvey.

JUDGE SEYER: Yes.

MR. SILVEY: I would like to note that I am present as well as Commissioner Holsman. And I'm not sure if any other commissioners are present.

Commissioner Coleman is here. Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: I'm sorry. Who is that?

MR. SILVEY: I believe Commissioner Coleman and Commissioner Holsman are also present.

JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Thank you. According to the joint order of witnesses, Staff will be calling Craig Sabo, Curt Gateley, Amanda McMellen; and then Missouri-American Water would call Sean Flower and Brian LaGrand; is that correct?

Okay. As far as the numbering of the exhibits, previously exhibit numbers 1 through 99 were assigned to Missouri-American Water Company; 100 through 199 to Staff, 200 through 299 to Public Counsel, and 300 to 399 to the Commission. So any new exhibits should follow that numbering. All right.

So, Ms. Bretz, would you like to make an

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

2.0

opening statement on behalf of the Staff?

2.0

MS. BRETZ: Yes. Thank you. Good morning, may it please the Commission. I am Karen Bretz for Commission Staff. Thank you for re-opening the record in this case to hear evidence whether residents of Arbors of Rockwood subdivision development would pay twice for their water system in the sale of the City of Eureka water system to Missouri-American is completed.

JUDGE SEYER: Excuse me, Ms. Bretz, is that microphone on?

MS. BRETZ: Yes.

JUDGE SEYER: I just want to make sure.

MS. BRETZ: Briefly, the Arbors subdivision is on the north side of I-44 just east of Six Flags. It's a brand new subdivision. There are 528 home lots. And according to Mayor Flower's testimony, 405 occupancy permits have been issued to date. The residents in the Arbors are subject to a community improvement district or CID. Sections 67.1401 through 67.1571 RSMO provides for the formation of CIDs and describes how they operate. Depending on the amount of street frontage, homeowners in Arbors are annually assessed between \$100 and \$800 annually to reimburse the developers costs, which include the costs of

constructing the water system. The CID is authorized to levy these assessments for up to 32 years from the date the City of Eureka ordinance was passed. potentially Arbors residents will be paying these assessments until 2048. Staff's opinion is that residents of the Arbors will pay twice for the water system serving their subdivision if the sale to Missouri-American goes through. Through their CID assessments residents are currently paying the developers costs of installing the water system. Documents filed in EFIS from the state auditor's office and St. Louis County Department of Revenue show that Arbors residents have been paying these assessments since 2018. On their real estate tax receipts these assessments are listed as other This is one time that the Arbors residents will be paying for their water system. If the sale to Missouri-American is completed, the appraised value of the water system will be included in Missouri American's rate base. Therefore, all Missouri American customers including residents in the Arbors will pay for this appraised value through their rates. This is a second time that Arbors ratepayers are paying for their water system. Brian LaGrand of Missouri-American states in his testimony that Arbors

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

residents will not pay twice. His reasoning is that under the appraisal statute fair market value establishes rate base and regardless of whether the value of the Arbors water system is considered distributed property, the fair market value for the entire transactions is \$28 million. Mr. LaGrand further states that customers in the Arbors will pay the same rate as all other Eureka customers. And this actually bolsters staff's arguments because it establishes that Arbors residents are paying fully for Missouri-American investments in the system. LaGrand overlooks that Arbors residents have been and would continue to pay annual assessments to the CID. The parties have briefed the issue where the Commission must make a necessary or convenient for the public interest finding when it purchase is made pursuant to appraisal statute. Staff and OPC's position is that the appraisal statute does not abrogate the commission's duty to make this finding. Missouri American's position, of course, is that the Commission must blanketly accept the Missouri American/City of Eureka appraisal. The necessary or convenient standard safeguards ratepayers to help ensure that their rates are fair, just and reasonable. As we've seen in this case, the necessary or convenient for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

public interest standard provides a vital check and balance. It ensures that people are not paying twice for the same system. Staff has subpoenaed Craig Sabo, an employee of the City of Eureka and a board member of the Arbor's CID to be present today. Staff's other witness Craig Gateley and Amanda McMellen are also available for cross examination and commissioner questions. Thank you.

2.0

JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Ms. Van Gerpen, would you like to make an opening statement.

MS. VAN GERPEN: Very briefly, Your Honor.

MR. SILVEY: This is Chairman Silvey again.

Are we going to have an opportunity to question after the opening statement?

JUDGE SEYER: I'm sorry about that, yes.

MR. SILVEY: Thank you. I have a couple of questions.

JUDGE SEYER: Do any of the other commissions have any questions for Ms. Bretz?

MR. SILVEY: Yes. Ms. Bretz, thank you for your opening statement. A couple questions. Did the residents of this subdivision have an opportunity to vote in the election?

MS. BRETZ: Yes. They voted as did the other residents the City of Eureka did.

MS. SILVEY: And did the ballot language include the sales price of \$26 million.

2.0

2.2

MS. BRETZ: Yes, they did. And it was actually \$28 million.

MR. SILVEY: \$28 million. Thank you for that correction. What portion of the CID that they are paying their annual CID -- what portion of that is specifically related to the water as opposed to streets, sidewalks, and other things covered by the CID.

MS. BRETZ: Amanda McMellen might be able to better answer that question, but Staff did a back of the napkin formulation of how much they will be paying. And Staff estimated the amount they will be paying to reimburse the developer is the same as what Staff assigned as contributed plant which is \$2.9 million, but you're asking how much of their annual assessment will be put towards the paying the developer?

MR. SILVEY: Specifically for the water system because my understanding of how CIDs operate is there is other costs included there, that the developer is being reimbursed for streets, curbs, sidewalks, whatever would have been included in that CID to begin with. So of the aggregate cost how much

of that are specifically related to the water treatment center?

2.0

MS. BRETZ: As I recall and this is in the development agreement, which we will ask to be admitted into evidence. I believe entire total is \$3.4 million, and so approximately 2.9 of that will be for the water system.

MR. SILVEY: Okay. So if the Commission were to determine -- what relief is Staff asking for, are you asking for us to just reject the sale outright or is there a way in which the Commission could craft an order for the sale to proceed, but protecting the interests of that particular neighborhood as it pertains to double paying?

MS. BRETZ: I believe Staff's position is it's an all or nothing situation. And that the language of the appraisal statute says that you must either accept it or reject it. And so we are asking for the Commission to reject entirely the Missouri-American application.

MR. SILVEY: So there are approximately 500 and some lots in this neighborhood, is that what your opening statement says?

MS. BRETZ: 500 and some change, yes.

MR. SILVEY: 500 and some change. How many

1 lots are there in Eureka at large?

2.0

MS. BRETZ: I'm not sure entirely. I recall testimony from, I think, it was from Mayor Flower that he stated that the people living in the Arbors development is approximately ten percent of the population of the number of homes that are would be potentially Missouri-American customers.

MR. SILVEY: So if it's all or nothing proposition and we have no authority to find a middle ground or to specifically carve out that neighborhood, you're asking us to reject an entire application based on ten percent of the population. Is that an accurate assessment?

MS. BRETZ: Well, I mean we had two days of hearing in January, too. And we believe there is other reasons why the application should be rejected. At this time we're just addressing the Arbors -- the Arbor issue. We believe that there are other reasons why the application should be rejected as we described, as we put testimony on in January.

MR. SILVEY: Sure, but as it pertains to this hearing today for this one specific issue, all or nothing based on ten percent for this argument.

MS. BRETZ: Yes. Yes, of course.

Missouri-American is free to come back and submit

1 another application.

2 MR. SILVEY: How long would that take?

MS. BRETZ: We could ask for accelerated schedule. I would think 60 days. Sixty days we could come up with another recommendation -- Staff could

7 MR. SILVEY: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Bretz. 8 Thank you, Judge. No further questions.

MS. BRETZ: Thank you.

JUDGE SEYER: Are there any questions from

11 | the other commissioners?

12 COMMISSIONER: No questions, Judge.

COMMISSIONER: No question.

come up with another recommendation.

JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Then Ms. Van

15 Gerpen.

3

4

5

6

9

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VAN GERPEN: Good morning, and may it please the Commission. My name is Lindsay Van Gerpen and I represent the Office of the Public Counsel. The OPC continues to request that the Commission deny Missouri-American Water Company's application for certificate of convenience and necessity in regards to the acquisition of the City of Eureka, Missouri's water and sewer systems. The OPC maintains the position that it took in its initial and reply post-hearing briefs, specifically that the Commission

1 should deny the requested CCN because Missouri American Water Company plans to pay too much for these systems and it plans to provide water service to 3 Eureka through a new pipeline means that this 5 acquisition simply is not in the public interest. Today we're here to explore the additional fact that 6 7 the residents of the Arbors subdivision will likely 8 pay twice for parts of their water system if Missouri-American Water Company completes this 10 These payments will come once through a purchase. 11 community 12 improvement district special assessment and once 13 through their Missouri-American Water Company rates. 14 Although the OPC does not dispute that 15 Missouri-American Water Company is qualified and has 16 the financial ability to provide water and sewer 17 service to the citizens of Eureka. These facts will further 18 19 support the OPC's position that this acquisition as 2.0 proposed simply is not in the public interest. And 21 for these reasons the OPC continues to request that 2.2 the Commission deny the requested CCN. Are there any 23 questions? 24 JUDGE SEYER: Any questions from the 2.5 commissioners?

MR. SILVEY: Thank you, Judge, this is Chairman Silvey. I have a question. Thank you for your opening statement. So since you mentioned in the opening statement, you're contending Missouri-American is paying too much for the system?

2.0

MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes, that's correct.

MR. SILVEY: And they are paying it to the City of Eureka, correct, not to an individual developer?

MS. VAN GERPIN: That is correct.

MR. SILVEY: And the City of Eureka -- it seems like this is a little bit of a different situation than an acquisition to a private developer because the citizens -- they are essentially paying the citizens who are going to be receiving the service through their elected city council and disbursing those funds, is that an accurate assessment? So they are over paying to themselves?

MS. VAN GERPEN: I'm not sure that I would agree with that. Because the citizens of the Arbors will still continue to pay for their water system through the CID as well.

MR. SILVEY: But you also agree that they participated in the election which stated the amount of the sale?

1 MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes.

2.0

2.2

MR. SILVEY: And that the proceeds of the sale will go to the city, which will be able to be appropriated by their elected representatives?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes.

MR. SILVEY: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any other questions from the commissioners? All right. Thank you, Ms. Van Gerpen. I apologize. All right. Mr. Cooper, would you like to make an opening statement?

MR. COOPER: Thank you. Before I go I guess into my opening statement I did want to respond to one thing that Ms. Bretz stated near the end of her opening. She was asked about the timing it would take to bring another application before this Commission. And she referenced, I think, the 60 days that is sort of aspirational for the Staff to file a recommendation from the time an application has been filed with the Commission. I don't know how many cases that actually happen in 60 days. But more importantly, as has been discussed in this case when we were here before, the sale of the system by the City of Eureka requires a public vote, a public vote that passed calls for a

That

1 purchase price certain. So I think if we're talking about some sort of situation where we came back to the Commission with some other purchase price you're 3 talking about placing another resolution on the ballot 5 and an election and that process before you ever get around to thinking about coming back to this 6 7 Commission. So I think it is a much longer time frame than just coming back with a second application. 8 having been said, I do want to say the issue we're 10 discussing today with the Arbors is largely a continuation of an issue that has been discussed 11 12 previously. The Arbors development was specifically 13 discussed in this case to include staff's calculation 14 in its Staff recommendation was incorporated in its testimony. There was cross examination of the Staff 15 16 witness about the Arbors, and Missouri-American 17 addressed the Arbors in its initial brief. focused on the fact that the Arbors was being treated 18 19 as a contributed property or contributed plant by the And in fact, specifically that's what Missouri 2.0 Staff. 21 American's initial brief talked about with the impact 2.2 contributed plant we believe should have on fair 23 market value which is none. But more to the point is 24 as can been seen in the testimony is Eureka has a

public question and answers website associated with

2.5

1 waste water and water property and sale process that specifically address the Arbors situation -- the Arbors CID, in fact, situation for some time. 3 Missouri-American witness LaGrand points out that the 5 Staff made specific reference to the city's question and answer site as early as October 1st of 2021, when 6 7 the Staff recommendation was filed. Throughout the case, Staff has been aware of Arbors's assets and the 8 fact they were contributed to Eureka. And as I said 10 earlier, took this into account in its recommendation 11 and, in fact, Mr. Gateley's testimony in today's 12 hearing specifically indicates that staff's 13 recommendation remains unchanged as a result of the 14 CID information. Now, more importantly the community 15 improvement district in place to recover a portion of 16 development cost related to property contributed to 17 the city where all development costs are assumed to 18 have been recovered or not in some way -- some other 19 The issue is the same for this Commission, that is once the assets are owned by a city does the path 2.0 21 of the city's acquisition matter in regard to the fair 2.2 market value of the assets owned by that city for 23 purposes specifically of section 393.320 RSMO. Again, Missouri-American believes the answer is it does not. 24 In accordance with 393.320 point 5 subsection 1, the 2.5

lesser of the purchase price or appraised or fair market value together with the reasonable and prudent transaction closing and transition cost incurred by Missouri-American shall constitute the rate making rate base for Eureka's system being acquired by Missouri-American. Assets referenced by Staff are owned by Eureka are currently in service and are used and useful for the provision of water services to Eureka residents. Nothing in section 393.320 references or creates an exception for contributed property in the case of a municipal system. Now, this does not mean that the concept of net book value is completely ignored by section 393.320. If the small water utility is a public utility subject to chapter 386 and small water utility completed a rate case prior to the acquisition, the Commission may utilize the net book value determined by the Commission in its decision as to the rate making rate base. However, again, that provision is not available where the small water utility is a municipal system. That different approach could be for one or all of several good reasons. It could be because of the difficulty in establishing a net original cost for unregulated Because the consolidation of small water and system. sewer systems with large systems should be encouraged

24

2.5

1 or perhaps that's because in the case of a municipality as citizens, the customers, are receiving 3 value in that the city can use and apportion its sales proceeds as it sees fit for the benefit of the city 5 and its citizens Now, I will add to my, perhaps, list one more item, fourth class cities may sell property 6 7 pursuant to the power granted by section 79.010, but its power must necessarily be exercised in conjunction 8 with Missouri, with the Missouri constitutional 10 provisions. Article 6 section 25, and section 23 for 11 that matter, prohibit a city from a grant of public 12 money or property to any private individual, 13 association or corporation. As a result, a city may 14 not donate to private businesses or charters, give 15 away assets or convey assets for nominal 16 consideration. And I would reference as a good 17 summary of this situation and case law surrounding it, 18 I would reference you to AG opinion 98-96, which was 19 issued on July 5th, 1996, and can be also cited in 2.0 Lexus 1996 AG Lexus 77. And I have copies of that 21 Judge if you're interested. If the city were to give 2.2 its water assets to Missouri-American or the Arbor 23 assets to Missouri-American for something less than 24 fair market value such an act would appear to violate the Missouri constitution. 2.5

Now, I would also like to talk a little bit 1 2 about the sort of the perspective proportions involved here in regard to the size of the Arbors. 3 Arbors subdivision, there are, as Ms. Bretz said, about 405 4 5 water customers currently in the Arbors area. 6 compares to approximately 4100 total Eureka water 7 customers and approximately 340,000 Missouri-American water customers in St. Louis County, the district of 8 which Eureka water customers would become a part. 9 \$2.9 million construction cost associated with the 10 11 Arbors water system assets that we're talking about 12 today compares to a water rate base for the St. Louis 13 County district of approximately \$1.2 billion as of 14 December 31st of 2020. The portion of any revenue 15 requirement associated with that \$2.9 million that one 16 could even argue would be recovered from the Arbor 17 water customers through MAWC rates would be extremely small. 18 19

Finally, I leave you with a couple of questions. Staff's argument, again, is its position that the 405 water customers in the Arbors development could pay twice for the Arbors water system. As discussed before, MAWC believes this concept is not applicable to a municipal system. However, even if it were, if the sale does not go through, the assets stay

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1 with Eureka and Arbors residents continue to pay the same water rates as other Eureka customers, would Staff still say those customers are paying twice? 3 What if in the absence of a sale to Missouri-American does Eureka water rates are similar to or even higher 5 than Arbors residents would have paid to 6 7 Missouri-American? Would Staff still argue they are 8 paying twice if the system is acquired by Missouri-American, but not if the sale falls through 10 and they pay higher rates? It's just a fact that the 11 crossover of assets from a municipality to a regulated 12 investor-owned utility necessarily create sort of the 13 overused phrase of apples to orange comparison. 14 original cost and contributed plant are terms 15 applicable to regulated utilities and have nothing to 16 do with municipal 17 utility accounting. And more important, nothing to do with the fair market value of those municipal assets. 18 19 The issues raised in this hearing do not change the 2.0 argument Missouri-American has made in its initial and 21 reply briefs and Missouri-American asks that the Commission apply section 393.320 as written, grant 2.2 Missouri American's certificates of convenience and 23 necessity to provide water and waste water service 24 within the proposed service area subject to the 2.5

conditions described by Staff, and establish the rate making rate base for the systems acquired in amount equal to the fair market value. Thank you.

JUDGE SEYER: All right, Chairman Silvey, do you have questions?

MR. SILVEY: Thank you, Judge, I do.

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. SILVEY:

- Q. Thank you for your opening. So you reference the 405 customers in the subdivision, roughly 4100 customers total in Eureka, and 340,000 in St.
- 12 | Louis County at large?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Approximately, yeah. Perhaps a few more than 340,000, but that's pretty close.
- Q. So just so I'm clear, if this acquisition were to go through then the rate base for citizens of Eureka would become 344,000 people. I mean they would all be paying the same?
- A. The number of customers in the St. Louis County district for water would be approximately 344,000, correct.
 - Q. Would they all be on the same rate?
- A. Well, within that number of customers there are, I can't remember the rate designation, but there would be some differentiation. There is Rate A, there

is rate J for industrial. Mr. LaGrand could probably give a better rundown. I know he can give better rundown than I for the different rates.

- Q. Let me rephrase.
- A. Go ahead.
- Q. So, yes, respective of different rate classes, would the \$2.9 million that's at issue today be spread across 340,000 some thousands people as opposed to just the 4100 people in Eureka?
 - A. Correct. Yes, Chairman. (Inaudible)
- Q. So your contention is the actual amount of double payment would be very small because 340,000 people who aren't currently bearing that cost would also be bearing that cost after the acquisition?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: Do any of the other commissioners have questions?

19 COMMISSIONER: No questions, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you, Mr.

21 Cooper.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

24

25

Q. (By Mr. Silvey) I apologize. I do have one additional question. So we have the election that occurred, is the record -- does the record show what the results of the election were specifically or just

that it was majority that approved the sale?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. No, I believe, Chairman, that our -- I don't remember exact count, but I believe our direct testimony provides the exact count -- our direct testimony in the first part of this case. And I'm sure we can come up with that pretty quickly.
- Q. Okay. For the specific issue today, I don't recall seeing in the record. Would it be possible to get the precinct results for this area where the CID is into the record?
- A. I can certainly look. I think whether it comes into the record or not is a question for yourself and the Judge, but certainly we can see if we can come up with that information, Chairman.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.

 JUDGE SEYER: Thank you, Mr. Cooper. All
 right. Ms. Bretz, call your first witness.
- MS. BRETZ: Our first witness is Craig
 Sabo.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Sabo. And
 he is testifying via WebEx, correct?
- MS. BRETZ: That's my understanding.
- JUDGE SEYER: Are you here, Mr. Sabo?
- THE WITNESS: I'm present.
- JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Would you raise

your right hand to be sworn in please. Thank you. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you give in this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

THE WITNESS: I do.

6 JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Go

ahead, Ms. Bretz.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ:

- Q. Thanks. Good morning, Mr. Sabo?
- A. Good morning.
 - Q. I'll turn this around so I can both look at you and speak into the microphone. It's a little awkward. You're an employee of the City of Eureka; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what's your title?
- 18 A. City administrator.
- Q. And what's your job responsibility in a nutshell?
 - A. Oversee the day-to-day operations of the city, provide managerial support and oversight.
 - Q. And you're also a board member of the

 Arbors of Rockwood community improvement district; is

 that correct?

| | | Page 322 |
|----|-------------|---|
| 1 | Α. | Yes. |
| 2 | Q. | How long have you been a board member? |
| 3 | Α. | Since its formation roughly five years ago. |
| 4 | Q. | If I said 2016, does that sound about |
| 5 | right? | |
| 6 | Α. | Yes. |
| 7 | Q. | Do you have an official position in the |
| 8 | CID? | |
| 9 | Α. | Yes. I'm secretary and treasurer. |
| 10 | Q. | You're currently secretary treasurer of the |
| 11 | CID? | |
| 12 | А. | Yes. |
| 13 | Q. | Is Barb Flint also an employee of the City |
| 14 | of Eureka? | |
| 15 | А. | Yes. She is our finance director. |
| 16 | Q. | And she is also board member of Arbors CID? |
| 17 | Α. | Yes. |
| 18 | Q. | Does she have a position other than being |
| 19 | board membe | r? Is she an officer as well? |
| 20 | Α. | No. |
| 21 | Q. | Okay. Staff subpoenaed you to be present |
| 22 | today, corr | ect? |
| 23 | Α. | Yes. |
| 24 | Q. | And Staff asked you to bring some documents |
| 25 | with you? | |

- A. Yes. Which were provided.
- Q. Correct. We found out you were going to be appearing WebEx and you were gracious enough to provide these documents and you made those available to Staff, right?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. I'll say for the record, Staff distributed to the other parties and also filed into EFIS these documents. What you have marked as document one is a copy of Ordinance 2394, which was passed by the City of Eureka Board of Alderman and it establishes the Arbors CID; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. You're familiar with that ordinance?
- A. I am.
- Q. And what you sent to us is a true and correct copy of that ordinance?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then what you marked as document two are the resolutions passed by the Arbors CID?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you're familiar with those resolutions?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What you sent to us are true and correct copies of those resolutions?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what you marked as document three is the development agreement between the City of Eureka, the developer, and Arbors CID?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you're familiar with that agreement?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And what you sent to us is a true and correct copy of that agreement?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Staff also asked you to bring the receipts and financial records related to the water system at the Arbors; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you wrote to me that that information was contained in what you marked as document 2U, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Judge, we would ask for the subpoenaed documents to be admitted as, I think, Exhibit 100. Is that the correct numbering?
- JUDGE SEYER: I would suggest that we make
 that Exhibit 110, which I think is the next available
 exhibit number.

MS. BRETZ: Sure.

JUDGE SEYER: So all three of those, all of
those documents or just document one?

MS. BRETZ: All the documents.

JUDGE SEYER: Okay. So what's essentially document one, two and three, that collection would be Exhibit 110. Are there any objections to that? All

7 right. Exhibit 110 is admitted.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BRETZ: We don't have any other questions, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any questions.

MS. VAN GERPEN: No questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: No questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any questions from the commissioners? Hearing none, I do have some questions myself.

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

- Q. So Mr. Sabo, you are currently a member of the Board of Directors of the CID, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And as you testified here today, are you representing the district, the city or some combination of both?

- 1 A. Presumably both.
- Q. Okay. This community improvement district,

 did the development of that area start before the

 creation of that CID?
- 5 A. Legislative consideration preceded it for 6 the zoning.
 - Q. But actual shovel in the ground not before the creation of it?
- 9 A. I can't speak as to how the timing of those 10 two met.
- 11 Q. Okay.

7

8

22

- 12 A. Certainly close. But not necessarily -- I
 13 can't nail the time frame.
- Q. Has that subdivision -- has that development been completed?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Are there still lots for sale?
- 18 A. There is approximately 80 percent 19 developed, with lots for sale, yes.
- Q. So approximately 20 percent of lots are still for sale?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. We have what has been filed in the
 EFIS document system as document 2U, 2 and the letter
 "U", are receipts for the development. So I'd like to

draw your attention to that document.

2.0

- A. I have it available.
- Q. Okay. Are any of the projects that were developed under the CID, have they been sold or are they to be sold to Missouri-American water?
- A. Well, the water and sanitary sewer component including infrastructure, located on site, which is well and tank and various appurtenances, softening equipment, and the like.
- Q. And as those portions of the development were constructed, were they transferred to the city?
- A. Upon completion and service being provided by them, yes.
- Q. And logistically, how was that done? Was it done by resolution?
- A. No. It would have been inspection by Staff and any consulting engineers. Same with any other subdivision.
- Q. So you mentioned the subdivision is roughly 80 percent completed. So has there been a certificate of substantial completion submitted to the city?
- A. I don't know. Just those components would have been accepted. I generally view substantial completion as the entire development, including all other forms of infrastructure such as streets. And

that would not be the case in light of subdivision still being under development.

- Q. I'm not sure why the microphone turned off there. If I can draw your attention to the amended petition for creation of the CID?
 - A. Which --

2.0

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Do you have a document number for that?

JUDGE SEYER: I'm sorry.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Do you have a document number for that?

- Q. Give me a second here. It would be in that document one that was filed with the subpoena in EFIS, approximately six pages in.
- A. I apologize. One moment please. Okay. I have it.
- Q. Okay. On the third page of that amended petition it states there is a section for the estimates of costs and improvements. And it states that the estimated costs are approximately \$3,413,574. In the annual report that was submitted to the State Auditor's office, it also lists obligations roughly in that amount for the fiscal year ending June 30th, 2021. So can you tell me when those obligations were issued, what particular date?

- A. One moment please. I apologize. Many documents.
 - O. Of course.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Based on resolution 21-001, they appear to be in 2016, throughout various months throughout 2016.
 - Q. So not issued all at one time?
- A. Submitted -- they were submitted in April of 2017, but not incurred all at the same time of course.
- Q. And what form were those obligations issued -- in what form were those obligations issued?
- A. My understanding there was a note, note or notes issued carried by the developer.
 - Q. And issued to whom -- to what entities?
- A. Rooster Road LLC, the entity, the developer formed in connection with the project.
- Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention, still within that document 2U, roughly page 7 of 48.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. At the top of that page it is headed Parcfront Group LP, and then next line HTV CID reimbursable project costs.
- A. I see that. I'm not familiar with that entity.
 - Q. If you can take a look at that page, would

you agree that this is essentially what those debt obligations paid for, the \$3.4 million?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And so it amounts to roughly half a dozen companies that contributed to the project and majority of it was for water service, would you agree?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then \$509,000 on streets and \$48,000 on asphalt trail?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So were there -- so cost, and I know the ordinance talks about lawns, trees, other landscape, traffic signs, signals, drainage, et cetera, storm and sewer systems. At least out of this \$3.4 million, none of that was spent on lawns and trees and so forth, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. I believe those all the questions I have at this time. All right. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any recross based on my questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Or the commissioners,

23 questions? All right. Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Just a couple for

25 clarification, Your Honor.

| - | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| _ | Ц | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

- Q. Mr. Sabo, you were asked some questions about the status of the subdivision and I think you mentioned that it was about 80/20. About 80 percent of the lots sold and about 20 percent, I guess, have not yet been sold; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would the collection lines for the sewer system and the distribution lines for the water system already be in place in regard to that 20 percent of the lots that have not yet been sold?
- A. It is possible that a few mains have not yet been extended. I'm not certain.
- Q. And it was mentioned -- the sewer system was mentioned, or perhaps I would say it was not mentioned as a part of the cost being recovered through the CID, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That's all the questions I have.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Bretz, do
 you have further questions?
- MS. BRETZ: Nothing. Thank you.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Can this witness
 be excused? All right. Thank you, Mr. Sabo. You are

| | Page 332 |
|----|---|
| 1 | excused. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 3 | JUDGE SEYER: All right, Ms. Bretz, call |
| 4 | your next witness. |
| 5 | MS. BRETZ: Staff's next witness is Curt |
| 6 | Gateley |
| 7 | JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Gateley, would you raise |
| 8 | your right hand please? Thank you. Do you swear or |
| 9 | affirm that the testimony you give in this hearing |
| 10 | shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but |
| 11 | the truth? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 13 | JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Go ahead, Ms. |
| 14 | Bretz. |
| 15 | EXAMINATION |
| 16 | QUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ: |
| 17 | Q. Mr. Gateley, would you please state and |
| 18 | spell your name? |
| 19 | A. C-u-r-t-i-s, Gateley, G-a-t-e-l-e-y. |
| 20 | Q. Where do you work and what's your job |
| 21 | title? |
| 22 | A. I work for Public Service Commission. I'm |
| 23 | the manager of the water, sewer and steam department. |
| 24 | Q. Are you the same Curt Gateley who prepared |
| 25 | testimony it's called direct testimony of Curt G. |

Page 333 Gateley with exhibits attached? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Do you have any changes or corrections to your testimony? 4 5 Α. I do not. If I asked you those same questions today 6 7 your answers would be the same? Α. Yes. 8 9 And your testimony is true and correct to the best of your knowledge? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12

Q. Judge, we would ask for Mr. Gateley's testimony to be -- and exhibits, the attached exhibits -- to be entered as I believe -- is that 111 now?

JUDGE SEYER: Yes, that's correct. Is there any objection? All right. I'm seeing shaking heads with no objection. Exhibit 111 is admitted.

MS. BRETZ: We tender the witness for cross examination.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Van Gerpen?

21 MS. VAN GERPIN: Nothing from OPC.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Nothing at this time, Your

24 Honor.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25 JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any

questions from the commissioners?

MR. SILVEY: No questions, Judge.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Gateley, I

have a couple questions.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

EXAMINATION

OUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

- For this particular subdivision, why is it Ο. staff's position that the residents in that subdivision will be paying twice for their water system?
- Right now those customers, it's my understanding, have been paying to reimburse the developer for the assets and will continue to pay for several years. In addition, the assets as part of the purchase of Eureka will go into rate base for which those customers will continue to pay that rate base and the return on that investment. So unlike other customers for whom Missouri-American might construct some infrastructure, some plant, and then recover that and recover return, for those particular customers they will be subject to the normal situation for customers plus an additional tax assessment.
- If those purchasers of the lots in that Ο. subdivision rather than pay the assessment over the years had paid for those developer costs upfront as

part of the purchase of the lot, would Staff still have that same position?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- The typical development situation, Α. developers, of course, are trying to maximize their profit. They are trying to recover any of their expenses through the sale of lots and development. They are subject to market forces. They may not be able to recover as much as they would like or all of it, but it is expected that folks who are purchasing a lot that aren't subject to a special district like this, that, yeah, they will have already had to pay for some portion of it in the purchase of the lot. Our position would be different if it was an average situation, yeah. These assets being contributed to Eureka and then sold to Missouri-American is a unique situation for me. I have never encountered it. And Staff members I have talked to haven't encountered one in this particular situation before.
- Q. Is it common for developers to construct assets that are then donated to the city, to the municipal water or sewer system?
- A. That's the more typical situation that I am familiar with. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. I don't have any further questions. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any follow-up

1 questions?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Cooper?

MR. COOPER: Yes.

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

- Q. Mr. Gateley, I think I heard you say that in a situation where there was no CID where a developer constructed a system, tried to, maybe did, maybe didn't recover costs through the sale of the lots or lot prices, that Staff would view that differently than the CID situation. Is that what you said?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So in that situation where the assets were contributed and there is no CID -- contributed by a developer and there is no CID, you would not view that as a contributed plant?
- A. I'm not an auditor so I'm not -- I don't calculate such things. That refinement of that kind of question would probably be better for Amanda McMellen.
- Q. Okay. What causes my confusion is in this case Staff suggested that it didn't know about the CID at the time it did its recommendation, and yet it did

view the Arbors construction costs as a contributed plant, correct?

2.0

- A. When we initially asked Missouri-American and DRs for what the contributed plant was the response indicated there was none. It's my understanding the Staff found out about the contributed plant for the Arbors when they made the effort to go to the city and review the records and discuss the situation with the city. Again, I wasn't in that particular portion of the investigation so I'm speaking to my understanding of it.
- Q. But it was still identified as contributed plant, wasn't it?
 - A. Yes. In our recommendation it was.
- Q. Okay. Now, the Judge asked you about the concept of the residents of the Arbors paying twice, and you were talking about both the CID assessments and then whatever might be in their rates if Missouri-American purchases the systems. Now, you're not suggesting that the Arbors development would have its own separate rate from the rest of St. Louis County, are you?
- A. Rates would be determined at the next rate case. So I can't answer that question for sure, but at this point I know Staff is not making that

1 | contention.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. And Staff, in fact, has recommended in this case that if the transition proceeds that all of Eureka's customers should be become a part of the St. Louis County water rate making district, correct?
- A. I would have to look back at my testimony for sponsoring of the Staff rec.
- Q. But let's assume that's the case. If it is, wouldn't the amount associated with the Arbors water system be essentially spread throughout the St. Louis County rate making district?
- A. Yes. The total amount of the purchase of Eureka in addition to the money spent to remediate Eureka's assets would be spread amongst all the customers in that rate district including the assets of Arbors.
- Q. Which is several hundred thousand customers or at least a couple hundred?
 - A. Yeah. More than that, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Ms. Bretz, do
 you have redirect?
- MS. BRETZ: No.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Ms.
- 25 Bretz, call your next witness.

| | Page 339 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MS. BRETZ: Our next witness is Amanda |
| 2 | McMellen. |
| 3 | JUDGE SEYER: Would you raise your right |
| 4 | hand please? Thank you. Do you solemnly swear or |
| 5 | affirm that the testimony you give in this hearing |
| 6 | shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but |
| 7 | the truth? |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 9 | JUDGE SEYER: Go ahead. |
| 10 | EXAMINATION |
| 11 | QUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ: |
| 12 | Q. Ms. McMellen, would you please state and |
| 13 | spell your name? |
| 14 | A. It's Amanda McMellen. A-m-a-n-d-a, |
| 15 | M-c-M-e-l-l-e-n. |
| 16 | Q. Where are you employed and what's your job |
| 17 | title? |
| 18 | A. I'm employed by Missouri Public Service |
| 19 | Commission as utility regulatory audit unit |
| 20 | supervisor. |
| 21 | Q. Are you the same Amanda McMellen who caused |
| 22 | to be prepared testimony titled direct testimony of |
| 23 | Amanda McMellen? |

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Do you have any changes or corrections to

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

EXAMINATION

25

QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

2.0

- Q. Ms. McMellen, do you agree with Mr. Gateley that generally properties that are contributed or given to the utility or city by a developer where there is no CID would be included in rate base or in your experience would you identify that as a contributed plant?
 - A. Yes, we would.
- Q. Okay. If you were to estimate an annual revenue requirement associated with 2.9 million of Missouri-American's rate base, do you have a back of the envelope percentage that you use for -- I have heard people use ten percent or 11 percent or whatever it might be to take into account a rate of return on that plus something for depreciation. Do you have any sort of percentage that you use for that purpose?
- A. As an estimation, typically about ten percent.
- Q. So for 2.9 million that would be about 290,000 would be annual revenue requirement associated with that sort of rate base?
 - A. For Arbors rate base, correct.
- Q. And I suppose from rate case to rate case that would also reduce, wouldn't it, as depreciation, reduce the amount of plant on which the company was

1 receiving a return?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. But in your -- let's say it's 290,000, given the size of St. Louis County district for Missouri-American for water, something perhaps less than a dollar per customer across the district, is that the sort of magnitude that we're talking about?
- A. That sounds about right. That's just for Arbors. That's not including the total difference.
 - Q. Right. Just isolating this Arbors piece?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That's all the questions I have here.

 JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Are there any questions from the commissioners? All right.

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

- Q. Ms. McMellen, I would ask you the same question I asked Mr. Gateley. Would it make a difference if those homeowners who bought lots in the subdivision had paid for those as part of their lot purchase or home, just if it's a completed home, as opposed to paying the assessments, would your opinion be different as far as whether they are paying double?
 - A. In my opinion, they wouldn't be paying

- double, but the Staff calculation would remain unchanged.
- JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any follow-up questions?
- 5 MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Cooper?
- 7 MR. COOPER: Nothing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SEYER: Any redirect?
- 9 MS. BRETZ: Nothing.
- 10 JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you, Ms.
- 11 McMellen.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz, do you have
- 14 | further witnesses?
- MS. BRETZ: We don't have any further
- 16 witnesses. We do have two other exhibits that have
- 17 been filed in EFIS. I would like to have them
- 18 admitted. The first is the certificate of the State
- 19 Auditor and some attached documents. We would ask
- 20 | that to be entered as Exhibit 113.
- JUDGE SEYER: Are there any objections to
- 22 that? Exhibit 113 is admitted.
- 23 MS. BRETZ: And then the other exhibit is
- 24 the affidavit of Sneha Darsi. She is with the County
- 25 Department of Revenue and attached documents. We ask

| | Page 344 |
|----|---|
| 1 | that to be entered as Exhibit 114. |
| 2 | JUDGE SEYER: All right. And that has |
| 3 | already been filed in EFIS, correct? |
| 4 | MS. BRETZ: Yes. Both of these have. |
| 5 | JUDGE SEYER: Is it there any objection to |
| 6 | that? Do we need to take a break here? |
| 7 | MR. COOPER: Just a short one. |
| 8 | JUDGE SEYER: Let's break until about five |
| 9 | 'til and we'll go off the record. |
| 10 | (Whereupon, a break was taken.) |
| 11 | JUDGE SEYER: Let's go back record. Mr. |
| 12 | Cooper, call your first witness. |
| 13 | MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. We |
| 14 | call Sean Flower. |
| 15 | JUDGE SEYER: Good morning. Would you |
| 16 | raise your right hand please? Thank you. Do you |
| 17 | swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in |
| 18 | this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth, and |
| 19 | nothing but the truth? |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 21 | JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Go |
| 22 | ahead, Mr. Cooper. |
| 23 | EXAMINATION |
| 24 | QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER: |
| 25 | O. Please state your name. |

1 A. Sean Flower.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And are the testifying here today in a capacity for the City of Eureka?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is that capacity?
 - A. I'm the mayor of Eureka.
 - Q. And you testified in this case previously, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you prepared for purposes of today's proceeding, rebuttal testimony concerning the Arbors that is found in question and answer form?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Your Honor, I would like to mark that for identification as Exhibit 14.

JUDGE SEYER: All right.

- Q. (By Mr. Cooper) Mayor Flower, do you have any changes that you need to make to that testimony at this time?
 - A. No. It's accurate.
- Q. And thus if I were to ask you those questions and your answers as reflected therein would be true and correct to the best of your information, knowledge, and believe?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit 14 and tender the witness for cross examination.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Are there any objections to the admission of Exhibit 14? All right. Exhibit 14 is admitted. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz?

MS. BRETZ: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Are there any question from the commissioners? All right. Hearing none, Mr. Flowers (sic), I have a couple questions.

EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

2.0

Q. Do you -- or can we provide him with a copy of what is referred to as document 2U?

MS. BRETZ: I can do that, Your Honor.

- Q. (By Judge Seyer) Thank you. If you go to roughly page 7 and 8 of that document, would you agree that those -- that's more or less a breakdown of the costs that were incurred for the CID when it comes to the Arbors subdivision at least as of that date?
- A. Yes. This is the schedule of basically the developer was authorized to have certain classes of reimbursement, and this was their actual request to be

- 1 reimbursed from the CID, the district.
 - Q. And so would you agree that all of that, all of those assets that were constructed are part of the water and sewer assets that are to be sold to Missouri-American water?
 - A. On the schedule that's attached?
 - O. Yes. On what is -- let's just --
 - A. It's the one titled Parcfront Group LP. Am I on the right page?
 - O. Uh-huh.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- A. These are not all water assets.
- Q. So -- and I understand what you're saying, there is the asphalt trail and also streets and what have you?
- A. Yeah. It looks to me on this there was about \$590,000 for the street and paving and then there was another paving and trail that's shown as \$48,000. So there was \$640,000 or so that was non-water assets that were allowed to be reimbursed.
- Q. Okay. And water system assets are what is being sold to Missouri-American Water?
- A. Yes. The rest of the costs were all associated with the water system.
- Q. Was there -- so was there any part -- is there any part of the sewer system that's in the

Arbors subdivision that's also part of the sale?

A. Yes.

2.0

2.2

- O. What is that?
- A. Well, there would be in conjunction with the Arbors subdivision a large section of sewer was constructed to tie in -- there was a big line running underneath Highway 44, and then there is all transmission system for sewer within the subdivision. So there are all sorts of sewer improvements. Some are directly related to the individual homes in the Arbors and zoom are related to hooking that subdivision up to the actual overall system that takes it back to our treatment plant.
- Q. And also were there any lift stations constructed for that subdivision?
- A. I do not believe they had a lift station.

 I believe that is gravity system through there.
- Q. And when those water and sewer system additions were completed, how were they transferred to the city?
- A. Generally, what's done is on water and sewer we'll go out -- the city will inspect after they are complete and make sure those systems are properly built, we'll get as-built plans that shows they have been built as designed. And generally, they

are accepted at that point on plat by plat basis.

Sometimes a large development might have phases, but

as it stands now as soon as it's done they tell us

it's done, we inspect it and accept it.

- Q. How is it that you accept it? What's the procedure?
- A. Generally, it's with the subdivision plat coming in. There is an approval process. It's basically through subdivision inspection. We have a series of things that we know it's eligible.
 - Q. Not a formal resolution?

2.0

- A. There is not a formal resolution. There is not one specific just to that.
- Q. Per the development agreement and I don't know that you need to look at development agreement, but it is schedule three.
- MS. BRETZ: It is at the end of the document.
- Q. (By Judge Seyer) I wasn't sure if you had given him that portion of it. Has a certificate of completion been submitted by the developer and accepted by the City and the CID?
- A. I'd have to really look and see. There is so many different certifications that go in and things that go on with this, I'm not sure. I'd have to read

- that one to give you a good answer.
 - Q. At the risk of getting off on a tangent -I hope I'm not. But you're familiar with the
 engineering report that was used as part of the
 appraisal?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

- Q. Okay. If that engineering report referred to a lift station and it's referring to the Arbors lift station, with a building value of \$350,000 --
- A. You could be correct. That may be and it could be oversight of my knowledge of lift station there in that subdivision. I could rephrase what I'm saying. I'm not familiar with that in there, but if that's in the engineering report it very well could be accurate and it's an omission by me.
- Q. Okay. I don't have any further questions.

 Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz, any --

MS. BRETZ: No, thank you.

JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Cooper, do you have any

22 redirect?

MR. COOPER: No questions.

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you, thank

Mr. Flower. Mr. Cooper, call your next witness.

| | Page 351 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor. We |
| 2 | call Brian LaGrand. |
| 3 | JUDGE SEYER: Mr. LaGrand, would you raise |
| 4 | your right hand please? Thank you. Do you swear or |
| 5 | affirm the testimony you give in this hearing shall |
| 6 | about the truth, whole truth, and nothing but the |
| 7 | truth? |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 9 | JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. |
| 10 | Cooper. |
| 11 | MR. COOPER: Your Honor, we'll mark or we'd |
| 12 | like to mark Mr. LaGrand's rebuttal testimony as |
| 13 | Exhibit 15 for identification. |
| 14 | JUDGE SEYER: All right. |
| 15 | EXAMINATION |
| 16 | QUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER: |
| 17 | Q. Please state your name. |
| 18 | A. Brian W. LaGrand. |
| 19 | Q. By whom are you employed and what position? |
| 20 | A. Missouri-American Water. I'm director of |
| 21 | rates for Missouri. |
| 22 | Q. And you have previously testified in this |
| 23 | case? |
| 24 | A. I have. |
| 25 | Q. Did you prepare for purposes of today's |

proceeding testimony rebuttal testimony concerning the Arbors in question and answer format?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in that exhibit today would your answers as reflected therein be true and correct to the best of your information, knowledge, and belief?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2.0

- Q. Your Honor, at this time I would offer Exhibit 15 into evidence and tender Mr. LaGrand for cross examination.
- JUDGE SEYER: Any objection? Exhibit 15

 is admitted. Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any

 questions?
- MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz?
- MS. BRETZ: Briefly. Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 QUESTIONS BY MS. BRETZ:
 - Q. Good morning, Mr. LaGrand.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Do you have your testimony in front of you there?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. Perhaps you don't have to refer to it, but

- 1 do you remember writing in your testimony that Mr.
- 2 | Gateley's statement that the Arbors residents will pay
- 3 | for the water system twice, that that's incorrect?
- 4 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And that's on page 8 going over to page 9?
- 7 A. Yes, I see that.
 - Q. Let's walk through this just briefly.
- 9 A. Sure.

8

12

- Q. So residents of the Arbors are paying CID assessments, right?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. And are you aware of how much CID assessments are?
- 15 A. I believe it depends on the size of the 16 home or maybe size of the lot. Maybe \$500 to \$700 17 annually.
- 18 Q. If I said \$500 to \$800, that's in the ballpark?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of how long they'll be paying those assessments?
- A. T'm not.
- Q. If I said they will be paying them for up to maybe 32 years, does that sound familiar?

- A. I'm not familiar with the term, but I'm sure it's in the agreement for the CID.
- Q. Okay. But you're aware they will be paying these assessments for a number of years?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Are you aware what these assessments pay for generally?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What do they pay for?
- A. My understanding is that is to reimburse the developer for costs associated with some water infrastructure as well as some roads and other non-water infrastructure.
- Q. Sure. Sure. So the residents of Arbors will be paying for the developer's costs of the water system; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then if Missouri-American purchases the Eureka sewer and water system at \$28 million, that \$28 million will be incorporated in Missouri American's rate base; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And as part of that rate base is it accurate to say that the ratepayers are paying for the water system?

A. It's water system in its entirety, yes.

2.0

2.4

- Q. Okay. So they'll be paying twice for the water system; first through their CID assessment and second time through their American American rates; is that correct?
 - A. No. I disagree with that.
 - Q. What's your reason for that?
- A. Well, when we get the fair market value, you know, appraisal of the property, which of course is different than a net value approach where they say if the company had not elected to use the appraise methodology, the appraisal is for the entire system. There is not appraised value for each specific asset. And that the appraisal price would then be allocated over the assets. So it eventually goes on to Missouri American's books and becomes part of rate base. So if the determination was made that there should be zero value for these assets (inaudible) that would mean other assets would have little bit higher value, but in total the entire system would still be \$28 million.
- Q. But residents of the Arbors will pay same rates as their other neighbors in Eureka, isn't that correct?
 - A. Under Missouri-American ownership?
 - Q. Right.

- A. Yes. As they do today under the city's ownership.
 - Q. Sure. So they will be paying for the water system through their rates?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So they will be paying through the CID and then through Missouri-American rates twice?
 - A. I still don't see it as twice.
- Q. Okay. I don't have any other questions. Thank you.
- 11 A. Okay.
- JUDGE SEYER: Any questions from the commissioners?
- 14 MR. SILVEY: No questions, Judge.
- JUDGE SEYER: Thank you. I have got a question.

17 EXAMINATION

18 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE SEYER:

- Q. This is similar to my question to Mayor Flower. You are familiar with the engineering report that was used as a basis in the appraisal, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in that engineering report there was a City of Eureka asset value report depreciated value assets in insurance list. Does that sound familiar?

- Α. Yes. It's been some time since I reviewed that report, but --
 - Okay. And so that list, it lists assets in Ο. the Arbors subdivision. And I just want to kind of go down the list real quickly. There is a well that was constructed, correct?
 - Α. Yes. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

- A 500,000 gallon storage tank, water storage tank, and a building that included water treatment mechanisms for lack of a better word. Does that sound familiar?
- Α. Generally. Again, I don't have the list in front of me, but in general, yes.
- And also on the sewer system side there was Ο. a lift station that was installed?
- Yes. Again, without reviewing it -subject to check, yes.
- Mr. Cooper, those tables were not -- have Ο. not been previously included in the exhibit that was the engineering report. Would you have any objection to those two tables being admitted as a Commission Exhibit?
- I don't think so, Judge, but MR. COOPER: 24 having said that, I'd like to see them so I make sure I know what you're referencing.

JUDGE SEYER: Sure. Let's go off the record and you may approach the bench.

(Whereupon, a short break was taken.)

JUDGE SEYER: After some investigation off record, those tables are in the record as part of Mr. LaGrand's direct testimony, which is Exhibit 11. So Exhibit 11, schedule BWL-3 pages 17 and 18, so there is no need to resubmit it as an exhibit. So Ms. Van Gerpen, do you have any follow-up questions?

MS. VAN GERPEN: Nothing, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Ms. Bretz?

MS. BRETZ: Nothing.

JUDGE SEYER: Any redirect?

MR. COOPER: Very briefly, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

OUESTIONS BY MR. COOPER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. LaGrand, you were asked by Staff counsel, Ms. Bretz, about why you say that the Arbors customers will not be paying twice for the water system. Were you here earlier when Ms. McMellen testified?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And did you listen to her testimony concerning kind of a high level estimate of what impact \$2.9 million of rate base would have on the

rates for the Arbors customers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Yes. I believe her estimate was approximately \$209,000, but it's a requirement overall which would then be spread across 345,000 customers.
- Q. So something less than a dollar, would you agree with that kind of order of magnitude assessment of what impact this could have at worst on an Arbors customer?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's all the questions I have, Your
 Honor.
- JUDGE SEYER: All right. Anything further for this witness?
- MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor.
- 15 JUDGE SEYER: You may be excused.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I have -- I'll
- 18 | let Mr. LaGrand clear here. I was asked during
- 19 opening statements about the overall vote for the
- 20 Eureka resolution, and that can be found in Mayor
- 21 | Flower's direct testimony, page 7, which is Exhibit 1
- 22 in the case, and reflects that there were 2289 yes
- votes, which is approximately 67 percent yes, to 1127
- 24 no votes, which is approximately 33 percent. And we
- 25 | have since then located the precinct-specific

Page 360 information which we can file pretty quickly, probably 1 by this afternoon if you would like us to do that. 3 JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Yes. And is it 4 obvious which precinct the Arbors is a part of? 5 MR. COOPER: I think we can make it I think there is a map that identifies the 6 obvious. 7 precinct and then by that you can tell that is the 8 precinct that encompasses the Arbors. 9 JUDGE SEYER: Okay. 10 MR. COOPER: Do you want to assign an 11 exhibit number for that identification for that file? 12 JUDGE SEYER: I believe we're up to 13 Exhibit 16. 14 MR. COOPER: Yeah. 15 JUDGE SEYER: So Exhibit 16 would be the 16 results of the election broken down by precinct.

results of the election broken down by precinct.

And are there any objections to that coming into evidence? All right. Then once that is submitted it will be admitted into evidence.

MR. COOPER: Thanks, Your Honor.

JUDGE SEYER: Do you have further

witnesses?

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

25

23 | MR. COOPER: I do not.

JUDGE SEYER: Do the parties need a break

before closing arguments?

1 MS. BRETZ: A short break would be great.

JUDGE SEYER: Let's break for ten minutes

and go back on the record at 10:35.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

(Whereupon, a short break was taken.)

JUDGE SEYER: I'll take closing arguments beginning with the Staff, Ms. Bretz.

MS. BRETZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I would like to briefly remind the Commission of the larger picture here. Sure, the people of Eureka voted to sell their systems to Missouri-American according to the testimony of Mayor Flower. 2289 people in the city of Eureka voted to sell the systems. The bigger picture is that the approximately 340,000 Missouri-American customers of St. Louis County did not have that opportunity. They did not have the opportunity to examine the appraisals. They didn't have the opportunity to go to town hall meetings. They probably didn't think of looking at FAQs on the city of Eureka's website. They didn't have the opportunity to ask Mr. Flower questions. They had really no opportunity to make a decision whether paying more for the system's value was in their best interest. So 2289 voters compared to the larger picture of 340,000 people -- customers is really a

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

drop in the bucket. It's miniscule. And of course,

1 it's pure speculation, but if given the choice whether to pay more than net book value for the system, pay more for the system than they are worth, I would 3 imagine a large number of 340,000 people would say no, 5 I don't want to do that even if it's a dollar a year, a month, there is no value to us there. The appraisal 6 7 statute creates the potential of perverse incentives. Of course, Eureka's voter voted to sell their systems 8 for \$28 million. \$28 million, \$40 million, \$50 10 million, it doesn't make any difference. They were 11 unhappy with the quality of the water. They knew 12 their sewer system was failing. They wanted to unload 13 it. The more the better, and that's what they got. 14 What they are potentially being paid is more than what 15 the system is worth. It also creates perverse 16 incentive for Missouri-American. The stockholders in 17 Missouri-American are not going to pay for that added -- for the increased value. In fact, they get 18 19 rewarded for it because the increased value will be reflected in in their rate of return. Sure, in the 2.0 21 bigger scope of things this purchase -- potential 2.2 purchase will have a small burden on all ratepayers, 23 but there is also a slippery slope argument here. 24 Allowing Missouri-American to purchase for \$28 million, which is substantially more than what Staff 25

computed net book value to be which has been, of course, traditional way of basing rates will encourage Missouri-American to purchase more systems at inflated prices. This clearly does not created fair, just, and reasonable rates. And we ask for the Commission to deny the application entirely.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Ms. Van Gerpen, would you like to make a closing argument? MS. VAN GERPEN: Yes. Just briefly, Your Again, the OPC would like to remind the Commission of its position that the Commission should deny Missouri-American Water Company's application for CCN to acquire the city of Eureka's water and sewer systems because the acquisition simply is not in the public interest. In making its decision the Commission must bear in mind that it is the Commission itself, not Missouri-American Water Company, who must determine whether the requested CCNs are necessary or convenient for the public service. Missouri-American Water Company's decision to proceed under the appraisal statute does not change this fact. Here if Missouri-American Water Company acquires the Eureka systems as it proposes to do, the resident of Arbors subdivision will pay twice for parts of their water system; once through the Arbors of Rockwood community

| 1 | improvement special assessment and once through their |
|----|---|
| 2 | Missouri-American Water rates. Today we learned the |
| 3 | cost or reimbursement through the CID are mostly for |
| 4 | the water system which will be sold to |
| 5 | Missouri-American Water Company. The residents of the |
| 6 | Arbors will continue to pay their special assessment |
| 7 | through approximately 2048. We also learned that |
| 8 | Missouri-American Water Company will place the system |
| 9 | into rate base, which directly affects these customers' |
| 10 | rates for their water service. Therefore, the citizens |
| 11 | will likely pay twice for part of their water system. |
| 12 | For this and the reasons addressed in the OPC's initial |
| 13 | and post-hearing brief, it simply cannot be that under |
| 14 | these circumstances the acquisition is necessary or |
| 15 | convenient for the public service. Therefore, OPC |
| 16 | requests that the Commission deny the requested CCN. |
| 17 | JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. All |
| 18 | right. Mr. Cooper, would you like to make a closing |
| 19 | argument on behalf of Missouri-American Water Company? |
| 20 | MR. COOPER I would. Thank you, Your |
| 21 | Honor. There is argument that St. Louis County |
| 22 | customers had no opportunity to approve or not approve |
| 23 | this transaction. I don't think there is anything |
| 24 | unique |
| 25 | or unusual about that. That's true of every |

situation. Having said that, we addressed in our previous briefs potential rate impact on those existing customers. We think that to use Ms. Bretz's word, any impact on existing water customers from this acquisition would be miniscule, and in fact, on the sewer side there is likely benefits for the existing sewer customers. In regards to the process of arriving at the fair market value, it certainly is what has been used as a process identified in section 393.320, the appraisal process itself. The use of certified appraisers, the use of more than one appraiser, I believe, continues to be a buffer and a good judge of what the fair market value of water and sewer assets are in this case. I think therein kind of lies the fundamental disagreement that we have with In its closing it again mentioned comparison of the net book value, which is purely regulatory rate making term to the fair market value. These things are two different things. We've talked about that before in this matter and in our briefs and would ask the Commission it keep that in mind as it moves forward. I think the one additional fact that we did not have quite as specifically at the start of the day as we have now through testimony is that even if and we don't agree with this -- but even if one wants

24

2.5

| 1 | to view the Arbors residents as paying twice for |
|----|--|
| 2 | assets because of the Missouri-American rate, the |
| 3 | amount of or the annual revenue requirement associated |
| 4 | with \$2.9 million of Missouri-American rate base is |
| 5 | likely to be less than a dollar per year those Arbors |
| 6 | residents would end up paying. So it is an extremely |
| 7 | small amount at worst even if one wants to look at it |
| 8 | in that fashion. Beyond that, Your Honor, I would |
| 9 | just refer you to my opening statement. I don't think |
| 10 | anything would change from my opening statement based |
| 11 | upon the evidence that was taken today. And I very |
| 12 | much thank you for your time. |
| 13 | JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you. Are |

there any other matters that need to be addressed before we adjourn. All right. Then this evidentiary hearing is adjourned and we are off the record.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:41.)

19

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

[& - absence] Page 1

| & | 2016 322:4 329:5,5 | 32 302:2 353:25 | 6 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| & 296:6 298:13 | 2017 329:8 | 33 359:24 | 6 295:9 297:9 |
| 0 | 2018 302:14 | 340,000 316:7 | 315:10 |
| | 2020 316:14 | 318:11,14 319:8 | 60 308:4 311:18,22 |
| 084-003893 | 2021 313:6 328:24 | 319:12 361:13,24 | 618 296:20 |
| 296:21 | 2021-0376 297:18 | 362:4 | 63101 296:22 |
| 1 | 2021-0377 297:19 | 344,000 318:17,21 | 640,000 347:18 |
| 1 300:20 313:25 | 2022 295:9 297:9 | 345,000 359:4 | 65102 296:7,11,16 |
| 359:21 | 2048 302:5 364:7 | 350,000 350:9 | 298:15,20,25 |
| 1.2 316:13 | 209,000 359:3 | 386 314:15 | 67 359:23 |
| 100 300:21 301:24 | 20th 297:21 | 393.320 313:23,25 | 67.1401 301:20 |
| 324:20 | 21-001 329:4 | 314:9 317:22 | 67.1571 301:21 |
| 10:35 361:3 | 21st 297:20,21,25 | 365:10 | 7 |
| 10:41 366:18 | 2230 298:20 | 393.320. 314:13 | - |
| 11 341:13 358:6,7 | 2289 359:22 | 399 300:23 | 7 329:18 346:19 |
| 110 324:23 325:6,7 | 361:11,23 | 4 | 359:21 700 252:16 |
| 111 333:14,17 | 22nd 297:20 | 40 362:9 | 700 353:16 |
| 112 340:15,17 | 23 315:10 | 405 301:17 316:4 | 701 296:22 |
| 1127 359:23 | 230-7260 296:23 | 316:21 318:10 | 77 315:20 |
| 113 343:20,22 | 2394 323:10 | 4100 316:6 318:11 | 79.010 315:7 |
| 114 344:1 | 25 315:10 | 319:9 | 8 |
| 14 345:15 346:1,4 | 26 305:2 | 44 301:15 348:7 | 8 346:19 353:5 |
| 346:5 | 28 303:6 305:4,5 | 456 298:14 | 80 326:18 327:20 |
| 15 340:4 351:13 | 354:19,20 355:20 | 48 329:18 | 331:5 |
| 352:10,12 | 362:9,9,24 | 48,000 330:8 | 80/20 331:5 |
| 15330 367:16 | 290,000 341:20 | 347:18 | 800 301:24 353:18 |
| 16 360:13,15 | 342:3 | 4th 300:4 | 8:30 297:9 |
| 17 358:7 | 299 300:22 | 5 | 8th 298:24 |
| 18 358:7 | 2u 324:16 326:24 | 5 313:25 | 9 |
| 199 300:22 | 329:18 346:16 | 50 362:9 | 9 353:6 |
| 1996 315:19,20 | 3 | 500 306:21,24,25 | 98-96 315:18 |
| 1st 313:6 | 3 358:7 | 353:16,18 | 99 300:20 |
| 2 | 3,413,574 328:20 | 500,000 357:8 | a |
| 2 326:24 340:4 | 3.4 306:5 330:2,15 | 509,000 330:8 | a.m 297:9 |
| 2.9 305:16 306:6 | 300 300:23 | 528 301:16 | a.ii 297.9 ability 309:16 |
| 316:10,15 319:7 | 30th 328:23 | 590,000 347:16 | able 305:11 311:3 |
| 341:10,19 358:25 | 310 298:6 | 5th 315:19 | 335:8 |
| 366:4 | 312 296:6 | | abrogate 303:18 |
| 20 326:20 331:6,11 | 314 296:23 | | absence 317:4 |
| 200 296:11,15 | 31st 316:14 | | ubbeliee 317.7 |
| 298:24 300:22 | | | |

| 1 1 200 2 | 11 | 226 21 220 1 14 | 205.12 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| accelerated 308:3 | addressing 307:17 | 336:21 339:1,14 | answer 305:12 |
| accept 303:21 | adjourn 366:15 | 339:21,23 | 313:6,24 337:24 |
| 306:18 349:4,5 | adjourned 366:16 | amended 328:4,17 | 345:12 350:1 |
| accepted 327:23 | 366:17 | american 295:3 | 352:2 |
| 349:1,22 | administrator | 296:4 297:12 | answers 312:25 |
| access 299:21 | 321:18 | 298:11,15 300:17 | 333:7 340:12 |
| account 313:10 | admission 346:4 | 300:21 301:8 | 345:22 352:5 |
| 341:14 | admitted 306:4 | 302:8,18,21,25 | apologize 311:10 |
| accounting 317:17 | 324:20 325:7 | 303:11,21 306:20 | 319:22 328:15 |
| accurate 307:12 | 333:17 340:17 | 307:7,25 308:20 | 329:1 |
| 310:17 345:20 | 343:18,22 346:5 | 309:2,9,13,15 | appear 315:24 |
| 350:15 354:24 | 352:13 357:21 | 310:4 312:16 | 329:4 |
| acquire 295:4 | 360:19 | 313:4,24 314:4,6 | appearances |
| 297:14 363:13 | affidavit 343:24 | 315:22,23 316:7 | 298:10 |
| acquired 314:5 | affirm 321:2 332:9 | 317:4,7,9,20,21 | appearing 298:15 |
| 317:8 318:2 | 339:5 344:17 | 327:5 334:18 | 323:3 |
| acquires 363:22 | 351:5 | 335:15 337:3,19 | apples 317:13 |
| acquisition 308:22 | aforementioned | 342:5 347:5,21 | applicable 316:24 |
| 309:5,19 310:13 | 367:7 | 351:20 354:18 | 317:15 |
| 313:21 314:16 | afternoon 295:11 | 355:4,4,24 356:7 | application 295:3 |
| 318:15 319:14 | 360:2 | 361:10,14 362:16 | 297:11 306:20 |
| 363:14 364:14 | ag 315:18,20 | 362:17,24 363:3 | 307:11,16,19 |
| 365:5 | aggregate 305:25 | 363:12,17,19,22 | 308:1,20 311:17 |
| act 315:24 | ago 322:3 | 364:2,5,8,19 366:2 | 311:20 312:8 |
| actual 319:11 | agree 310:20,23 | 366:4 | 363:6,12 |
| 326:7 346:25 | 330:1,6 341:2 | american's 302:20 | apply 317:22 |
| 348:12 | 346:19 347:2 | 303:20 312:21 | apportion 315:3 |
| add 315:5 | 359:6 365:25 | 317:23 341:11 | appraisal 303:2,17 |
| added 362:17 | agreed 297:1 | 354:21 355:16 | 303:18,22 306:17 |
| addition 334:14 | agreement 306:4 | amount 301:22 | 350:5 355:9,12,14 |
| 338:13 | 324:3,6,9 349:14 | 305:14 310:24 | 356:21 362:6 |
| additional 297:23 | 349:15 354:2 | 318:2 319:11 | 363:21 365:10 |
| 309:6 319:23 | ahead 319:5 321:7 | 328:23 338:9,12 | appraisals 361:16 |
| 334:22 365:22 | 332:13 339:9 | 341:25 366:3,7 | appraise 355:11 |
| additions 348:19 | 344:22 351:9 | amounts 330:4 | appraised 302:18 |
| address 298:24 | alderman 323:11 | annual 303:13 | 302:22 314:1 |
| 300:2 313:2 | allocated 355:14 | 305:7,17 328:21 | 355:13 |
| addressed 312:17 | allowed 347:19 | 341:9,20 366:3 | appraiser 365:12 |
| 364:12 365:1 | allowing 362:24 | annually 301:23 | appraisers 365:11 |
| 366:14 | amanda 300:16 | 301:24 353:17 | approach 314:21 |
| | 304:6 305:11 | | 355:10 358:2 |
| | | | |

| | ll- 212.0 | 212.0.20.22 | 200.5 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| appropriated | arbors's 313:8 | assets 313:8,20,22 | avoid 299:5 |
| 311:4 | area 316:5 317:25 | 314:6 315:15,15 | aware 313:8 |
| approval 349:8 | 320:9 326:3 | 315:22,23 316:11 | 353:13,21 354:3,6 |
| approve 364:22,22 | argue 316:16 | 316:25 317:11,18 | awkward 321:14 |
| approved 320:1 | 317:7 | 334:13,14 335:14 | b |
| approximately | argument 307:23 | 335:20 336:15 | b 298:24 |
| 306:6,21 307:5 | 316:20 317:20 | 338:14,15 347:3,4 | back 305:12 |
| 316:6,7,13 318:13 | 362:23 363:8 | 347:11,19,20 | 307:25 312:2,6,8 |
| 318:20 326:18,20 | 364:19,21 | 355:15,18,19 | 338:6 341:11 |
| 328:14,20 359:3 | arguments 303:9 | 356:25 357:3 | 344:11 348:13 |
| 359:23,24 361:13 | 360:25 361:5 | 365:14 366:2 | 361:3 |
| 364:7 | arriving 365:8 | assign 360:10 | balance 304:2 |
| appurtenances | article 315:10 | assigned 300:21 | ballot 305:1 312:4 |
| 327:8 | asked 311:16 | 305:16 | ballpark 353:19 |
| april 297:25 329:7 | 322:24 324:11 | associated 312:25 | barb 322:13 |
| arbor 307:18 | 331:3 333:6 337:3 | 316:10,15 338:9 | base 299:8 302:20 |
| 315:22 316:16 | 337:15 340:11 | 341:10,20 347:23 | 303:3 314:5,18 |
| arbor's 304:5 | 342:19 358:17 | 354:11 366:3 | 316:12 318:2,16 |
| arbors 298:2 | 359:18 | association 315:13 | 334:15,16 341:5 |
| 301:6,14,19,23 | asking 305:17 | assume 338:8 | 341:11,21,22 |
| 302:4,6,13,16,21 | 306:9,10,18 | assumed 313:17 | 354:21,23 355:16 |
| 302:23,25 303:4,7 | 307:11 | attached 333:1,13 | 358:25 364:9 |
| 303:10,12 307:5 | asks 317:21 | 343:19,25 347:6 | 366:4 |
| 307:17 309:7 | asphalt 330:9 | attention 327:1 | based 307:11,23 |
| 310:20 312:10,12 | 347:13 | 328:4 329:17 | 329:4 330:20 |
| 312:16,17,18 | aspirational | audio 299:5 | 366:10 |
| 313:2,3 316:3,3,5 | 311:19 | audit 339:19 | basically 346:23 |
| 316:11,21,22 | assessed 301:24 | auditor 336:19 | 349:9 |
| 317:1,6 321:24 | assessment 305:18 | 343:19 | basing 363:2 |
| 322:16 323:12,20 | 307:13 309:12 | auditor's 302:11 | basis 349:1 356:21 |
| 324:4,13 337:1,7 | 310:17 334:22,24 | 328:22 | bear 363:16 |
| 337:16,20 338:9 | 355:3 359:6 364:1 | authority 307:9 | bearing 319:13,14 |
| 338:16 341:22 | 364:6 | authorized 302:1 | beginning 298:10 |
| 342:9,10 345:11 | assessments 302:2 | 346:24 | 361:6 |
| 346:22 348:1,5,11 | 302:5,9,14,15 | authorizing 295:4 | behalf 298:15 |
| 350:8 352:2 353:2 | 303:13 337:17 | 297:13 | 301:1 364:19 |
| 353:10 354:14 | 342:23 353:11,14 | available 304:7 | belief 352:7 |
| 355:21 357:4 | 353:22 354:4,6 | 314:19 323:4 | believe 300:12 |
| 358:18 359:1,7 | asset 355:13 | 324:23 327:2 | 306:5,15 307:15 |
| 360:4,8 363:23,25 | 356:24 | average 335:13 | 307:18 312:22 |
| 364:6 366:1,5 | | | |
| | | | 320:2,3 330:18 |

[believe - cid] Page 4

| Γ | 1 | 1 | ı |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 333:14 345:24 | 299:22 300:25 | building 298:7 | ccns 363:18 |
| 348:16,17 353:15 | 301:2,3,10,12,14 | 350:9 357:9 | ccr 296:20,20 |
| 359:2 360:12 | 304:19,20,24 | built 348:24,24,25 | 297:3 367:17 |
| 365:12 | 305:3,11 306:3,15 | burden 362:22 | cell 299:2 |
| believes 313:24 | 306:24 307:2,14 | businesses 315:14 | center 306:2 |
| 316:23 | 307:24 308:3,7,9 | bwl 358:7 | certain 295:14 |
| bench 358:2 | 311:15 316:4 | c | 312:1 331:14 |
| benefit 315:4 | 320:17,18,22 | c 296:1 332:19 | 346:24 |
| benefits 365:6 | 321:7,9 324:25 | 339:15 | certainly 320:11 |
| best 333:10 345:23 | 325:3,8 331:21,23 | calculate 336:20 | 320:13 326:12 |
| 352:6 361:22 | 332:3,5,14,16 | calculation 312:13 | 365:8 |
| better 305:12 | 333:18 338:21,23 | 343:1 | certificate 295:4 |
| 319:2,2 336:21 | 338:25 339:1,11 | call 300:17 320:17 | 297:12 308:21 |
| 357:10 362:13 | 340:18 343:9,13 | 332:3 338:25 | 327:20 343:18 |
| beyond 366:8 | 343:15,23 344:4 | | 349:20 367:1 |
| big 348:6 | 346:8,9,17 349:17 | 344:12,14 350:25 351:2 | certificates 317:23 |
| bigger 361:12 | 350:19,20 352:16 | called 332:25 | certifications |
| 362:21 | 352:17,19 358:11 | calling 300:15 | 349:24 |
| billion 316:13 | 358:12,18 361:1,6 | calls 311:25 | certified 295:12 |
| bit 310:12 316:1 | 361:7 | | 295:13 297:3,4 |
| 355:19 | bretz's 365:3 | capacity 345:3,5 capitol 296:6 | 365:11 367:5,6 |
| blanketly 303:21 | brian 300:18 | caption 290.0 captioned 297:11 | certify 367:7 |
| board 304:4 | 302:24 351:2,18 | captioned 297.11 | cetera 330:14 |
| 321:23 322:2,16 | brief 312:17,21 | carve 307:10 | chairman 300:5 |
| 322:19 323:11 | 364:13 | case 297:10,18 | 304:12 310:2 |
| 325:21 | briefed 303:14 | 301:5 303:25 | 318:4 319:10 |
| bolsters 303:9 | briefly 301:14 | 311:23 312:13 | 320:2,14 |
| book 314:12,17 | 304:11 352:17 | 313:8 314:11,15 | change 306:24,25 |
| 362:2 363:1 | 353:8 358:14 | 315:1,17 320:5 | 317:19 340:5,8 |
| 365:17 | 361:8 363:9 | 328:1 336:24 | 363:21 366:10 |
| books 355:16 | briefs 308:25 | 337:24 338:3,8 | changes 300:2 |
| bought 342:20 | 317:21 365:2,20 | 341:23,23 345:7 | 333:3 339:25 |
| box 298:14,20 | bring 297:7 | 351:23 359:22 | 345:18 |
| brand 301:16 | 311:17 322:24 | 365:14 | chapter 314:14 |
| break 344:6,8,10 | 324:11 | cases 311:21 | charges 302:16 |
| 358:3 360:24 | broken 360:16 | cases 311.21 cause 295:14 | charters 315:14 |
| 361:1,2,4 | brydon 296:6 | caused 339:21 | check 304:1 |
| breakdown | 298:13 | causes 336:23 | 357:17 |
| 346:20 | bucket 361:25 | | choice 362:1 |
| bretz 296:10 | buffer 365:12 | cen 309:1,22 363:13 364:16 | cid 301:20 302:1,8 |
| 298:23,23 299:17 | | 303:13 304:10 | 303:13 304:5 |
| , | | | |

[cid - construction] Page 5

| 305:6,7,10,25 | city's 313:5,21 | 339:19 357:21 | 326:15 327:20 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 310:22 313:3,14 | 356:1 | 361:8 363:5,11,11 | 342:22 348:19 |
| 320:10 322:8,11 | clarification | 363:16,16 364:16 | completely 314:13 |
| 322:16 323:12,20 | 330:25 | 365:21 | completes 309:9 |
| 324:4 325:21 | class 315:6 | commission's | completion 327:12 |
| 326:4 327:4 328:5 | classes 319:7 | 297:24 303:19 | 327:21,24 349:21 |
| 329:21 331:18 | 346:24 | commissioner | component 327:7 |
| 336:8,12,16,17,24 | clear 318:15 | 300:8,10,12,13 | components |
| 337:17 341:5 | 359:18 | 304:7 308:12,13 | 327:22 |
| 346:21 347:1 | clearly 363:4 | 319:19 | computed 363:1 |
| 349:22 353:10,13 | close 318:14 | commissioners | concept 314:12 |
| 354:2 355:3 356:7 | 326:12 | 300:9 308:11 | 316:23 337:16 |
| 364:3 | closing 314:3 | 309:25 311:9 | concerning 345:11 |
| cids 301:21 305:21 | 360:25 361:5 | 319:18 325:16 | 352:1 358:24 |
| circumstances | 363:8 364:18 | 330:22 334:1 | conditions 318:1 |
| 364:14 | 365:16 | 342:14 346:11 | confusion 336:23 |
| cisco 298:9 | cognizant 299:7 | 356:13 | conjunction 315:8 |
| cited 315:19 | coleman 300:10 | commissions | 348:4 |
| cities 315:6 | 300:12 | 304:19 | connected 299:9 |
| citizens 309:18 | collect 297:23 | common 335:19 | connection 329:16 |
| 310:14,15,20 | collection 325:5 | community 298:2 | consideration |
| 315:2,5 318:16 | 331:9 | 301:19 309:11 | 315:16 326:5 |
| 364:10 | combination | 313:14 321:24 | considered 303:4 |
| city 295:6 296:7 | 325:25 | 326:2 363:25 | consolidation |
| 296:11,16 297:16 | come 307:25 308:5 | companies 330:5 | 314:24 |
| 298:7,14,20,25 | 308:6 309:10 | company 295:3 | constitute 314:4 |
| 301:7 302:3 | 320:6,14 | 297:12 298:16 | constitution |
| 303:21 304:4,25 | comes 320:12 | 300:21 309:2,9,13 | 315:25 |
| 308:22 310:8,11 | 346:21 | 309:15 341:25 | constitutional |
| 310:16 311:3,24 | coming 312:6,8 | 355:11 363:17,22 | 315:9 |
| 313:17,20,22 | 349:8 360:17 | 364:5,8,19 | construct 295:5 |
| 315:3,4,11,13,21 | commission 295:1 | company's 308:20 | 297:14 334:18 |
| 321:14,18,22 | 295:15 296:9,10 | 363:12,20 | 335:19 |
| 322:13 323:10 | 297:9,22 298:5,22 | compared 361:23 | constructed |
| 324:3 325:24 | 300:23 301:3,4 | compares 316:6 | 327:11 336:9 |
| 327:11,21 335:20 | 303:14,20 306:8 | 316:12 | 347:3 348:6,15 |
| 337:7,9 341:4 | 306:11,19 308:17 | comparison | 357:6 |
| 345:3 348:20,22 | 308:19,25 309:22 | 317:13 365:16 | constructing |
| 349:22 356:24 | 311:17,21 312:3,7 | complete 348:23 | 302:1 |
| 361:12,18 363:13 | 313:19 314:16,17 | completed 301:9 | construction |
| 367:3 | 317:22 332:22 | 302:18 314:15 | 316:10 337:1 |
| | | | |

888-391-3376

| | T | I | |
|--|--|--|---|
| consulting 327:17 | 351:11,16 357:18 | 346:21 347:22 | crossover 317:11 |
| contained 324:16 | 357:23 358:14,16 | 354:11,15 | csr 296:20,21 |
| 352:5 | 359:14,17 360:5 | council 310:16 | 297:3 367:17 |
| contending 310:4 | 360:10,14,20,23 | counsel 296:13,15 | curbs 305:23 |
| contention 319:11 | 364:18,20 | 298:9,17,19 | currently 302:9 |
| 338:1 | copies 315:20 | 300:23 308:18 | 314:7 316:5 |
| continuation | 323:25 | 358:18 | 319:13 322:10 |
| 312:11 | copy 323:10,17 | count 320:3,4 | 325:20 |
| continue 303:13 | 324:9 346:15 | county 302:12 | curt 300:16 332:5 |
| 310:21 317:1 | corporation | 316:8,13 318:12 | 332:24,25 |
| 334:13,16 364:6 | 315:13 | 318:20 337:22 | customer 342:6 |
| continues 308:19 | correct 300:18 | 338:5,11 342:4 | 359:8 |
| 309:21 365:12 | 310:6,8,10 318:21 | 343:24 361:14 | customers 302:21 |
| contributed | 319:10,15 320:21 | 364:21 | 303:7,8 307:7 |
| 305:16 312:19,19 | 321:15,25 322:22 | couple 304:16,21 | 315:2 316:5,7,8,9 |
| 312:22 313:9,16 | 323:2,12,17,24 | 316:19 330:24 | 316:17,21 317:2,3 |
| 314:10 317:14 | 324:9,13,17,21 | 334:4 338:18 | 318:10,11,19,23 |
| 330:5 335:14 | 325:21 326:22 | 346:12 | 334:11,16,18,20 |
| 336:16,16,18 | 330:16,17 331:7 | course 303:20 | 334:22 338:4,15 |
| 337:1,4,6,12 341:3 | 331:18 333:9,15 | 307:24 329:3,9 | 338:17 358:19 |
| 341:7 | 337:2 338:5 | 335:4 355:9 | 359:1,4 361:14,24 |
| | | | |
| control 295:5 | 341:22 342:2,11 | 361:25 362:8 | 364:9,22 365:3,4,7 |
| | 341:22 342:2,11 344:3 345:8,23 | 361:25 362:8 363:2 | 364:9,22 365:3,4,7 d |
| control 295:5 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | d |
| control 295:5 297:14 | 344:3 345:8,23 | 363:2 | d d 339:14 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 | 363:2 court 295:12 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 320:16 325:13,14 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 317:14 319:13,14 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 362:7,15 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 decision 314:18 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 320:16 325:13,14 330:23,24 331:2 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 317:14 319:13,14 330:11 331:17 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 362:7,15 creation 326:4,8 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 decision 314:18 361:21 363:15,20 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 320:16 325:13,14 330:23,24 331:2 333:22,23 336:3,4 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 317:14 319:13,14 330:11 331:17 364:3 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 362:7,15 creation 326:4,8 328:5 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 decision 314:18 361:21 363:15,20 deny 308:19 309:1 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 320:16 325:13,14 330:23,24 331:2 333:22,23 336:3,4 336:6 340:23,24 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 317:14 319:13,14 330:11 331:17 364:3 costs 301:25,25 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 362:7,15 creation 326:4,8 328:5 cross 300:3 304:7 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 decision 314:18 361:21 363:15,20 deny 308:19 309:1 309:22 363:6,12 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 copper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 320:16 325:13,14 330:23,24 331:2 333:22,23 336:3,4 336:6 340:23,24 341:1 343:6,7 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 317:14 319:13,14 330:11 331:17 364:3 costs 301:25,25 302:10 305:22 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 362:7,15 creation 326:4,8 328:5 cross 300:3 304:7 312:15 333:18 | d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 decision 314:18 361:21 363:15,20 deny 308:19 309:1 309:22 363:6,12 364:16 |
| control 295:5 297:14 convenience 295:4 297:13 308:21 317:23 convenient 303:15 303:22,25 363:19 364:15 convey 315:15 cooper 296:5 298:12,13 311:11 311:13 319:21 320:16 325:13,14 330:23,24 331:2 333:22,23 336:3,4 336:6 340:23,24 341:1 343:6,7 344:7,12,13,22,24 | 344:3 345:8,23 350:10 352:6 354:16,21 355:5 355:23 356:21 357:6 correction 305:6 340:2 corrections 333:3 339:25 cost 305:25 313:16 314:3,23 316:10 317:14 319:13,14 330:11 331:17 364:3 costs 301:25,25 302:10 305:22 313:17 328:19,20 | 363:2 court 295:12 296:19 297:4 299:11,18 367:5 covered 305:9 craft 306:11 craig 300:16 304:3 304:6 320:18 create 317:12 created 363:4 creates 314:10 362:7,15 creation 326:4,8 328:5 cross 300:3 304:7 312:15 333:18 340:19 346:2 | d d 339:14 darsi 343:24 date 301:18 302:3 328:25 346:22 day 295:11 321:21 321:21 365:23 days 307:14 308:4 308:4 311:18,22 dean 296:5 298:12 debt 330:1 december 316:14 decision 314:18 361:21 363:15,20 deny 308:19 309:1 309:22 363:6,12 |

| 0.40.07 | 2002 | 0.47.4 | 204.00 |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 343:25 | devices 299:3 | 347:1 | election 304:23 |
| depending 301:22 | difference 342:9 | document 323:9 | 310:24 312:5 |
| depends 353:15 | 342:20 362:10 | 323:19 324:2,16 | 319:23,25 360:16 |
| depreciated | different 310:12 | 325:2,5 326:24,24 | eligible 349:10 |
| 356:24 | 314:20 319:3,6 | 327:1 328:7,10,13 | employed 339:16 |
| depreciation | 335:13 342:24 | 329:18 346:16,19 | 339:18 351:19 |
| 341:15,24 | 349:24 355:10 | 349:18 | employee 304:4 |
| described 307:20 | 365:19 | documents 302:11 | 321:14 322:13 |
| 318:1 367:8 | differentiation | 322:24 323:4,9 | encompasses |
| describes 301:22 | 318:25 | 324:20 325:2,3 | 360:8 |
| designation | differently 336:12 | 329:2 343:19,25 | encountered |
| 318:24 | difficulty 314:22 | dollar 342:6 359:5 | 335:16,17 |
| designed 348:25 | direct 320:3,4 | 362:5 366:5 | encourage 363:2 |
| determination | 329:17 332:25 | donate 315:14 | encouraged |
| 355:17 | 339:22 340:9 | donated 335:20 | 314:25 |
| determine 306:9 | 358:6 359:21 | double 306:14 | engineering 350:4 |
| 363:18 | directly 348:10 | 319:12 342:24 | 350:7,14 356:20 |
| determined | 364:9 | 343:1 | 356:23 357:20 |
| 314:17 337:23 | director 322:15 | dozen 330:4 | engineers 327:17 |
| developed 326:19 | 351:20 | drainage 330:13 | england 296:6 |
| 327:4 | directors 325:21 | draw 327:1 328:4 | 298:14 |
| developer 305:15 | disagree 355:6 | drop 361:25 | ensure 303:23 |
| 305:19,23 310:9 | disagreement | drs 337:4 | ensures 304:2 |
| 310:13 324:4 | 365:15 | duty 303:19 | entered 299:22,25 |
| 329:13,15 334:13 | disbursing 310:16 | e | 333:14 343:20 |
| 334:25 336:9,17 | discuss 337:8 | e 296:1,1 298:24 | 344:1 |
| 341:4 346:24 | discussed 311:23 | 300:1,1 332:19,19 | entire 303:6 306:5 |
| 349:21 354:11 | 312:11,13 316:23 | 339:15,15 | 307:11 327:24 |
| developer's | discussing 312:10 | earlier 313:10 | 355:12,20 |
| 354:15 | dispute 309:14 | 358:20 | entirely 306:19 |
| developers 301:25 | distributed 303:5 | | 307:2 363:6 |
| 302:10 335:4,19 | 323:7 | early 313:6 | entirety 355:1 |
| development | distribution | east 296:6 301:15 effort 337:7 | entities 329:14 |
| 301:6 306:4 307:5 | 331:10 | | entity 329:15,24 |
| 312:12 313:16,17 | district 298:3 | efis 299:23,25 | envelope 341:12 |
| 316:21 324:3 | 301:20 309:12 | 302:11 323:8 | equal 318:3 |
| 326:3,15,25 | 313:15 316:8,13 | 326:24 328:13 | equipment 327:9 |
| 327:10,24 328:2 | 318:20 321:24 | 343:17 344:3 | esq 296:5,10,14 |
| 335:3,6 337:20 | 325:24 326:2 | either 306:18 | essentially 310:14 |
| 349:2,14,15 | 335:10 338:5,11 | elected 310:16 | 325:4 330:1 |
| , , | 338:15 342:4,6 | 311:4 355:11 | 338:10 |
| | <u> </u> | | |

[establish - frame] Page 8

| | | | 04 1 222 22 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| establish 318:1 | exact 320:3,4 | f | fiscal 328:23 |
| establishes 303:3 | examination 300:3 | fact 309:6 312:18 | fit 315:4 |
| 303:10 323:11 | 304:7 312:15 | 312:20 313:3,9,11 | five 295:10 322:3 |
| establishing | 318:7 321:8 | 317:10 338:2 | 344:8 |
| 314:23 | 325:18 331:1 | 362:18 363:21 | flags 301:15 |
| estate 302:14 | 332:15 333:19 | 365:5,22 | flint 322:13 |
| estimate 341:9 | 334:5 336:5 | facts 309:18 | floor 298:24 |
| 358:24 359:2 | 339:10 340:19,25 | failing 362:12 | flower 300:17 |
| estimated 305:14 | 342:16 344:23 | fair 303:2,5,24 | 307:4 340:5 |
| 328:20 | 346:2,13 351:15 | 312:22 313:21 | 344:14 345:1,17 |
| estimates 328:19 | 352:11,18 356:17 | 314:1 315:24 | 350:25 356:20 |
| estimation 341:17 | 358:15 | 317:18 318:3 | 361:11,20 |
| et 330:13 | examine 361:16 | 355:8 363:4 365:8 | flower's 301:17 |
| eureka 295:6 | examined 295:9 | 365:13,18 | 359:21 |
| 297:17 301:8 | exception 314:10 | falls 317:9 | flowers 346:12 |
| 302:3 303:8,22 | excuse 301:10 | familiar 323:14,22 | focused 312:18 |
| 304:4,25 307:1 | excused 331:25 | 324:6 329:23 | folks 335:9 |
| 308:22 309:4,18 | 332:1 359:15 | 335:23 350:3,13 | follow 300:24 |
| 310:8,11 311:24 | exercised 315:8 | 353:25 354:1 | 335:25 343:4 |
| 312:24 313:9 | exhibit 300:20 | 356:20,25 357:11 | 358:9 |
| 314:7,9 316:6,9 | 324:20,23,24 | faqs 361:18 | forces 335:7 |
| 317:1,2,5 318:11 | 325:6,7 333:17 | far 300:19 342:24 | forenoon 295:10 |
| 318:17 319:9 | 340:15,17 343:20 | fashion 366:8 | form 329:10,11 |
| 321:14 322:14 | 343:22,23 344:1 | feedback 299:5 | 345:12 |
| 323:11 324:3 | 345:15 346:1,4,5 | file 297:17,19 | formal 349:11,12 |
| 334:15 335:15 | 351:13 352:5,10 | 311:19 360:1,11 | format 352:2 |
| 338:13 345:3,6 | 352:12 357:19,22 | filed 300:3 302:11 | formation 301:21 |
| 354:19 355:22 | 358:6,7,8 359:21 | 311:20 313:7 | 322:3 |
| 356:24 359:20 | 360:11,13,15 | 323:8 326:23 | formed 329:16 |
| 361:9,12 363:22 | exhibits 299:19,21 | 328:13 343:17 | forms 327:25 |
| eureka's 314:5 | 300:1,20,24 333:1 | 344:3 | formulation |
| 338:4,14 361:19 | 333:13,13 343:16 | finally 316:19 | 305:13 |
| 362:8 363:13 | existing 365:3,4,6 | finance 322:15 | forth 330:16 |
| eventually 355:15 | expected 335:9 | financial 309:16 | forward 365:22 |
| evidence 297:21 | expenses 335:6 | 324:12 | found 323:2 337:6 |
| 297:24 298:1 | experience 341:6 | find 307:9 | 345:12 359:20 |
| 301:5 306:5 | explore 309:6 | finding 303:16,19 | four 295:7 |
| 352:10 360:18,19 | extended 331:14 | firm 298:13 | fourth 315:6 |
| 366:11 | extremely 316:17 | first 320:5,17,18 | frame 312:7 |
| evidentiary | 366:6 | 343:18 344:12 | 326:13 |
| 297:10,23 366:15 | | 355:3 | |
| | | 333.3 | |

| - | | | 9 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| free 307:25 | gerpin 310:10 | group 329:21 | honor 298:12,18 |
| front 352:22 | 333:21 | 347:8 | 304:11 325:12,14 |
| 357:13 | getting 350:2 | guess 311:14 | 330:21,25 333:24 |
| frontage 301:23 | give 315:14,21 | 331:6 | 336:2 340:22,24 |
| fully 303:10 | 319:2,2 321:3 | h | 343:5,7 344:13 |
| fundamental | 328:12 332:9 | half 330:4 | 345:14 346:1,7,9 |
| 365:15 | 339:5 344:17 | hall 361:17 | 346:17 350:18 |
| funds 310:17 | 350:1 351:5 | hand 321:1 332:8 | 351:1,11 352:9,15 |
| further 303:7 | given 341:4 342:4 | 339:4 344:16 | 358:10,14 359:11 |
| 308:8 309:18 | 349:20 362:1 | 351:4 | 359:14,17 360:20 |
| 331:22 335:24 | go 297:8 311:3,13 | handle 299:19 | 361:7 363:10 |
| 343:14,15 350:16 | 316:25 318:16 | happen 311:22 | 364:21 366:8 |
| 359:12 360:21 | 319:5 321:6 | headed 329:20 | hooking 348:11 |
| g | 332:13 334:15 | heads 333:17 | hope 350:3 |
| g 332:19,25 | 337:7 339:9 344:9 | hear 301:5 | hours 295:9 |
| gallon 357:8 | 344:11,21 346:18 | heard 336:7 | htv 329:21 |
| gateley 300:16 | 348:22 349:24,25 | 341:13 | huh 347:10 |
| 304:6 332:6,7,17 | 351:9 357:4 358:1 | hearing 297:10,23 | hundred 338:17 |
| 332:19,24 333:1 | 361:3,17 | 298:4,5,6 299:2,3 | 338:18 |
| 334:3 336:7 341:2 | goes 302:8 355:15 | 299:6,12,14 | i |
| 342:19 | going 299:18 | 307:15,22 308:25 | identification |
| gateley's 313:11 | 304:13 310:15 | 313:12 317:19 | 345:15 351:13 |
| 333:12 353:2 | 323:2 353:5 | 321:3 325:16 | 360:11 |
| general 357:13 | 362:17 | 332:9 339:5 | identified 337:12 |
| generally 327:23 | good 297:8 298:18 | 344:18 346:11 | 365:9 |
| 341:3 348:21,25 | 301:2 308:16 | 351:5 364:13 | identifies 360:6 |
| 349:7 354:7 | 314:21 315:16 | 366:16,17 | identify 341:6 |
| 357:12 | 321:10,11 344:15 | held 367:7 | ignored 314:13 |
| gerpen 296:14 | 350:1 352:20,21 | help 303:23 | il 295:13 367:6,17 |
| 298:18,19 304:9 | 365:13 | high 358:24 | illinois 296:21 |
| 304:11 308:15,16 | governor's 298:6 | higher 317:5,10 | imagine 362:4 |
| 308:17 310:6,19 | gracious 323:3 | 355:19 | impact 312:21 |
| 311:1,5,10 325:10 | grant 315:11 | highway 348:7 | 358:25 359:7 |
| 325:12 330:20,21 | 317:22 | holsman 300:8,13 | 365:2,4 |
| 333:20 335:25 | granted 315:7 | home 301:16 | important 299:13 |
| 336:2 340:20,22 | granting 297:24 | 342:22,22 353:16 | 317:17 |
| 343:3,5 346:5,7 | gravity 348:17 | homeowners | importantly |
| 350:17,18 352:13 | great 361:1 | 301:23 342:20 | 311:22 313:14 |
| 352:15 358:9,10 | green 299:8 ground 307:10 | homes 307:6 | improvement |
| 363:8,9 | 326:7 | 348:10 | 298:3 301:20 |
| | 320.7 | | 309:12 313:15 |
| 1 | t and the second | t . | t . |

www.veritext.com

| 321:24 326:2 | initially 337:3 | 367:5,17 | 360:12,15,21,24 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 364:1 | inspect 348:22 | jefferson 296:7,11 | 361:2,5 363:7 |
| improvements | 349:4 | 296:16 298:7,14 | 364:17 365:13 |
| 328:19 348:9 | inspection 327:16 | 298:20,25 | 366:13 |
| inaudible 319:10 | 349:9 | job 321:19 332:20 | july 315:19 |
| 355:18 | install 295:4 | 339:16 | june 328:23 |
| incentive 362:16 | 297:13 | joint 300:2,15 | k |
| incentives 362:7 | installed 357:15 | judge 296:3 297:7 | karen 296:10 |
| include 301:25 | installing 302:10 | 298:4,17,21 299:1 | 298:23 301:3 |
| 305:2 312:13 | insurance 356:25 | 299:17,20,24 | keep 365:21 |
| included 302:19 | interest 303:16 | 300:6,10,11,14 | ken 298:3 |
| 305:22,24 341:5 | 304:1 309:5,20 | 301:10,13 304:9 | kenneth 296:2 |
| 357:9,19 | 361:23 363:15 | 304:15,18 308:8 | |
| includes 297:18 | interested 315:21 | 308:10,12,14 | kind 336:20 357:4 |
| including 302:21 | interests 306:13 | 309:24 310:1 | 358:24 359:6 |
| 327:7,24 338:15 | internet 298:8 | 311:7,8 315:21 | 365:14 |
| 342:9 | investigation | 318:4,6 319:16,17 | knew 362:11 |
| incorporated | 337:10 358:4 | 319:19,20 320:13 | know 311:21 |
| 312:14 354:20 | investment 334:17 | 320:15,16,20,23 | 319:2 327:22 |
| incorrect 353:3 | investments | 320:25 321:6 | 330:11 336:24 |
| increased 362:18 | 303:11 | 324:19,22 325:1,4 | 337:25 349:10,15 |
| 362:19 | investor 317:12 | 325:9,10,13,15,19 | 355:9 357:25 |
| incurred 314:3 | involved 316:2 | 328:9 330:22 | knowledge 333:10 |
| 329:8 346:21 | isolating 342:10 | 331:21,24 332:3,7 | 340:9 345:24 |
| indicated 299:7 | issue 303:14 | 332:13 333:12,15 | 350:11 352:7 |
| 337:5 | 307:18,22 312:9 | 333:20,22,25 | l |
| indicates 313:12 | 312:11 313:19 | 334:2,3,6 336:3 | l 332:19 339:15,15 |
| individual 310:8 | 319:7 320:7 | 337:15 338:21,24 | lack 357:10 |
| 315:12 348:10 | issued 297:25 | 339:3,9 340:14,16 | lagrand 300:18 |
| industrial 319:1 | 301:18 315:19 | 340:20,23 342:13 | 302:24 303:6,12 |
| inflated 363:3 | 328:25 329:6,10 | 342:17 343:3,6,8 | 313:4 319:1 351:2 |
| information | 329:11,13,14 | 343:10,13,21 | 351:3,18 352:10 |
| 313:14 320:14 | issues 299:6 | 344:2,5,8,11,15,21 | 352:20 358:17 |
| 324:15 345:23 | 317:19 | 345:16 346:3,8,10 | 359:18 |
| 352:7 360:1 | item 315:6 | 346:14,18 349:19 | lagrand's 351:12 |
| infrastructure | j | 350:19,21,24 | 358:6 |
| 327:7,25 334:19 | | 351:3,9,14 352:12 | landscape 330:13 |
| 354:12,13 | j 319:1 | 352:16 356:12,14 | language 305:1 |
| initial 308:24 | january 297:20,21 | 356:15,18 357:23 | 306:17 |
| 312:17,21 317:20 | 307:15,20 | 358:1,4,11,13 | large 307:1 314:25 |
| 364:12 | jeanne 295:12 | 359:12,15 360:3,9 | 318:12 348:5 |
| | 296:20 297:3 | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[large - missouri] Page 11

| 349:2 362:4 | long 299:20,24,25 | making 314:4,18 | means 309:4 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| largely 312:10 | 308:2 322:2 | 318:2 337:25 | mechanisms |
| larger 361:8,23 | 353:21 | 338:5,11 363:15 | 357:10 |
| law 296:2 298:4 | longer 312:7 | 365:18 | meeting 340:6 |
| 298:13 315:17 | look 320:11 | manage 295:5 | meetings 361:17 |
| lawns 330:12,15 | 321:12 329:25 | 297:14 | member 304:4 |
| learned 364:2,7 | 338:6 349:15,23 | manager 332:23 | 321:23 322:2,16 |
| leave 316:19 | 366:7 | managerial | 322:19 325:20 |
| legal 296:21 | looking 361:18 | 321:22 | members 335:17 |
| legislative 326:5 | looks 347:15 | map 360:6 | mentioned 310:3 |
| lesser 314:1 | lot 335:1,10,12 | mark 345:14 | 327:19 331:5,15 |
| letter 326:24 | 336:11 342:21 | 351:11,12 | 331:16,17 365:16 |
| level 358:24 | 353:16 | marked 323:9,19 | met 326:10 |
| levy 302:2 | lots 301:17 306:22 | 324:2,16 | methodology |
| lexus 315:20,20 | 307:1 326:17,19 | market 296:22 | 355:12 |
| lies 365:15 | 326:20 331:6,12 | 303:2,5 312:23 | microphone 299:8 |
| lift 348:14,16 | 334:23 335:6 | 313:22 314:2 | 299:10 301:11 |
| 350:8,9,11 357:15 | 336:11 342:20 | 315:24 317:18 | 321:13 328:3 |
| light 299:8 328:1 | louis 296:22 | 318:3 335:7 355:8 | microphones |
| limited 298:1 | 302:12 316:8,12 | 365:8,13,18 | 299:7,14 |
| lindsay 296:14 | 318:12,19 337:21 | matter 295:3 | middle 307:9 |
| 298:19 308:17 | 338:5,11 342:4 | 297:11,22 313:21 | million 303:6 |
| line 329:21 340:4 | 361:14 364:21 | 315:11 365:20 | 305:2,4,5,17 306:5 |
| 348:6 | 367:3 | matters 299:16 | 316:10,15 319:7 |
| lines 331:9,10 | lp 329:21 347:8 | 366:14 | 330:2,15 341:10 |
| list 315:5 356:25 | m | mawc 316:17,23 | 341:19 354:20,20 |
| 357:3,5,12 | m 295:12 296:20 | maximize 335:4 | 355:20 358:25 |
| listed 302:15 | | mayor 301:17 | 362:9,9,9,10,25 |
| listen 358:23 | 297:3 339:14,15 339:15 367:5,17 | 307:3 340:5 345:6 | 366:4 |
| lists 328:22 357:3 | madison 296:11 | 345:17 356:19 | mind 363:16 |
| lit 299:8 | 296:15 298:24 | 359:20 361:11 | 365:21 |
| little 310:12 316:1 | | mcmellen 300:16 | miniscule 361:25 |
| 321:13 355:19 | magnitude 342:7 359:6 | 304:6 305:11 | 365:5 |
| living 307:4 | mail 300:1 | 336:22 339:2,12 | minutes 361:2 |
| llc 329:15 | mail 300:1 | 339:14,21,23 | missouri 295:1,3,6 |
| located 327:7 | mains 331:13 | 341:2 342:18 | 295:14 296:4,10 |
| 359:25 | maintain 295:5 | 343:11 358:20 | 296:20,22 297:12 |
| log 299:4 | 297:15 | mcmellen's 340:14 | 297:17 298:7,11 |
| logged 299:4 | maintains 308:23 | mean 307:14 | 298:14,15,20,25 |
| logistically 327:14 | | 314:12 318:17 | 300:17,21 301:8 |
| | majority 320:1 330:5 | 355:18 | 302:8,18,19,20,25 |
| | 330.3 | | |

| | _ | | G |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 303:11,19,21 | municipality | notes 329:13 | 329:19 330:11 |
| 306:20 307:7,25 | 315:2 317:11 | number 297:17,19 | 336:23 337:15 |
| 308:20 309:1,9,13 | mute 299:10 | 307:6 318:19,23 | 338:20 341:9 |
| 309:15 310:4 | n | 324:24 328:8,11 | 346:10 347:20 |
| 312:16,20 313:4 | n 296:1 339:14,15 | 354:4 360:11 | 350:7,16 353:5 |
| 313:24 314:4,6 | nail 326:13 | 362:4 | 354:3,18 355:2 |
| 315:9,9,22,23,25 | name 298:3 | numbering 300:19 | 356:6,9,11 357:3 |
| 316:7 317:4,7,9,20 | 308:17 332:18 | 300:24 324:21 | 360:3,9 |
| 317:21,23 327:5 | 339:13 344:25 | numbers 300:20 | omission 350:15 |
| 334:18 335:15 | 351:17 | nutshell 321:20 | once 309:10,12 |
| 337:3,19 339:18 | napkin 305:13 | 0 | 313:20 360:18 |
| 341:11 342:5 | near 311:15 | o 298:14,20 | 363:25 364:1 |
| 347:5,21 351:20 | necessarily 315:8 | o'clock 295:10,10 | opc 308:19,23 |
| 351:21 354:18,21 | 317:12 326:12 | objection 333:16 | 309:14,21 333:21 |
| 355:15,24 356:7 | necessary 303:15 | 333:17 340:17 | 363:10 364:15 |
| 361:10,14 362:16 | 303:22,25 363:18 | 344:5 352:12 | opc's 303:17 |
| 362:17,24 363:3 | 364:14 | 357:20 | 309:19 364:12 |
| 363:12,17,19,22 | necessity 295:4 | objections 325:6 | opening 301:1,4 |
| 364:2,5,8,19 366:2 | 297:13 308:21 | 343:21 346:4 | 304:10,14,21 |
| 366:4 367:2 | 317:24 | 360:17 | 306:23 310:3,4 |
| missouri's 308:22 | need 344:6 345:18 | obligations 328:22 | 311:11,14,16 |
| mistake 340:7 | 349:15 358:8 | 328:24 329:10,11 | 318:9 359:19 |
| mo 295:13 296:7 | 360:24 366:14 | 330:2 | 366:9,10 |
| 296:11,16 367:5 | neighborhood | obvious 360:4,6 | operate 295:5 |
| 367:17 | 306:13,22 307:10 | occupancy 301:18 | 297:14 301:22 |
| mobile 299:3 | neighbors 355:22 | occurred 319:24 | 305:21 |
| moment 328:15 | net 314:12,17,23 | october 313:6 | operations 321:21 |
| 329:1 | 317:13 355:10 | offer 340:14 346:1 | opinion 302:5 |
| money 315:12 | 362:2 363:1 | 352:9 | 315:18 342:23,25 |
| 338:13 | 365:17 | office 296:13,15 | opportunity |
| month 362:6 | never 335:16 | 298:6,19 302:12 | 304:13,22 361:15 |
| months 329:5 | new 300:23 301:16 | 308:18 328:22 | 361:16,17,19,21 |
| morning 297:8 | 309:4 | officer 322:19 | 364:22 |
| 298:18 301:2 | nine 295:10 | official 322:7 | opposed 305:8 |
| 308:16 321:10,11 | nominal 315:15 | okay 298:21 300:2 | 319:9 342:23 |
| 344:15 352:20,21 | non 347:19 354:13 | 300:4,14,19 306:8 | orange 317:13 |
| moves 365:21 | normal 334:21 | 308:7 311:6 | order 297:8,24 |
| municipal 314:11 | north 301:15 | 319:16 320:7,15 | 298:1 300:3,15 |
| 314:20 316:24 | note 300:7 329:12 | 322:21 325:4 | 306:12 359:6 |
| 317:16,18 335:21 | 329:12 | 326:2,11,23 327:3 | ordinance 302:3 |
| | | 328:15,17 329:17 | 323:10,14,17 |
| | | ĺ | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

888-391-3376

| 220.12 | 4 4. | 1. 207.14 | 244 16 25 251 4 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 330:12 | participating | pending 295:14 | 344:16,25 351:4 |
| original 314:23 | 298:8 299:13 | people 304:2 | 351:17 |
| 317:14 | particular 306:13 | 307:4 318:17 | plus 334:22 |
| outright 306:10 | 328:25 334:7,20 | 319:8,9,13 341:13 | 341:15 |
| overall 348:12 | 335:18 337:9 | 361:9,11,24 362:4 | point 312:23 |
| 359:3,19 | parties 297:2 | percent 307:5,12 | 313:25 337:25 |
| overlooks 303:12 | 298:10 299:16 | 307:23 326:18,20 | 349:1 |
| oversee 321:21 | 303:14 323:8 | 327:20 331:5,6,11 | points 313:4 |
| oversight 321:22 | 360:24 | 341:13,13,18 | population 307:6 |
| 350:11 | parts 309:8 363:24 | 359:23,24 | 307:12 |
| overused 317:13 | passed 302:3 | percentage 341:12 | portion 305:6,7 |
| owned 313:20,22 | 311:25 323:10,20 | 341:16 | 313:15 316:14 |
| 314:7 317:12 | path 313:20 | permits 301:18 | 335:12 337:9 |
| ownership 355:24 | paving 347:16,17 | perspective 316:2 | 349:20 |
| 356:2 | pay 301:6 302:6 | pertains 306:14 | portions 327:10 |
| p | 302:22 303:1,7,13 | 307:21 | position 303:17,20 |
| p 296:1,1 298:14 | 309:2,8 310:21 | perverse 362:7,15 | 306:15 308:24 |
| p 290.1,1 298.14 298:20 | 316:22 317:1,10 | petition 328:5,18 | 309:19 316:20 |
| page 328:17 | 334:13,16,24 | phases 349:2 | 322:7,18 334:8 |
| 329:18,20,25 | 335:11 353:2 | phones 299:3 | 335:2,13 351:19 |
| 340:4 346:19 | 354:6,9 355:21 | phrase 317:13 | 363:11 |
| 347:9 353:5,6 | 362:2,2,17 363:24 | picture 361:9,13 | possible 320:9 |
| 359:21 | 364:6,11 | 361:24 | 331:13 |
| | paying 302:4,9,13 | piece 342:10 | post 308:25 |
| pages 328:14 | 302:17,23 303:10 | pipeline 309:4 | 364:13 |
| 358:7 | 304:2 305:7,14,15 | place 298:5 313:15 | potential 299:5 |
| paid 317:6 330:2 | 305:18 306:14 | 331:11 364:8 | 362:7,21 365:2 |
| 334:25 342:21 | 310:5,7,14,18 | 367:8 | potentially 302:4 |
| 362:14 | 317:3,8 318:18 | placing 312:4 | 307:7 362:14 |
| parcfront 329:21 | 334:9,12 337:16 | plans 309:2,3 | power 315:7,8 |
| 347:8 | 342:23,24,25 | 348:24 | preceded 326:5 |
| part 316:9 320:5 | 353:10,21,24 | plant 305:16 | precinct 320:9 |
| 331:17 334:14 | 354:3,15,24 355:2 | 312:19,22 317:14 | 359:25 360:4,7,8 |
| 335:1 338:4 | 356:3,6 358:19 | 334:19 336:18 | 360:16 |
| 342:21 347:3,24 | 361:22 366:1,6 | 337:2,4,6,13 341:7 | preliminary |
| 347:25 348:1 | payment 319:12 | 341:25 348:13 | 299:16 |
| 350:4 354:23 | payments 309:10 | plat 349:1,1,7 | prepare 351:25 |
| 355:16 358:5 | pedrotty 295:12 | please 299:6 301:3 | prepared 332:24 |
| 360:4 364:11 | 296:20 297:3 | 308:17 321:1 | 339:22 345:10 |
| participated | 367:5,17 | 328:15 329:1 | present 299:12 |
| 310:24 | 307.0,17 | 332:8,17 339:4,12 | 300:8,9,13 304:5 |
| | | 332.0,17 337.1,12 | 300.0,5,13 301.3 |

[present - rates] Page 14

| 320:24 322:21 | project 329:16,22 | 362:24 363:3 | 346:6,12,14 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 340:6 | 330:5 | purchasers 334:23 | 350:16,17,23 |
| presented 297:21 | projects 327:3 | purchases 337:19 | 351:16 352:4,14 |
| presiding 296:2 | properly 348:24 | 354:19 | 352:19 356:9,12 |
| 298:4 | properties 341:3 | purchasing 335:9 | 356:14,18 358:9 |
| presumably 326:1 | property 303:5 | pure 362:1 | 358:16 359:10 |
| pretty 318:14 | 312:19 313:1,16 | purely 365:17 | 361:20 |
| 320:6 360:1 | 314:11 315:6,12 | purpose 297:22 | quickly 320:6 |
| previous 365:2 | 355:9 | 341:16 | 357:5 360:1 |
| previously 297:20 | proportions 316:2 | purposes 313:23 | quite 365:23 |
| 300:20 312:12 | proposed 309:20 | 345:10 351:25 | r |
| 345:7 351:22 | 317:25 | pursuant 297:24 | r 296:1 298:24 |
| 357:19 367:8 | proposes 363:23 | 303:16 315:7 | 332:19 |
| price 305:2 312:1 | proposition 307:9 | put 305:18 307:20 | raise 320:25 332:7 |
| 312:3 314:1 | protecting 306:12 | q | 339:3 344:16 |
| 355:14 | provide 309:3,16 | qualified 309:15 | 351:3 |
| prices 336:11 | 317:24 321:22 | quality 362:11 | raised 317:19 |
| 363:4 | 323:4 346:15 | quanty 302:11 question 304:13 | rate 302:20 303:3 |
| prior 314:16 | provided 323:1 | 305:12 308:13 | 303:8 314:4,5,15 |
| private 310:13 | 327:12 | 310:2 312:25 | · · · |
| 315:12,14 | provides 301:21 | 310:2 512:25 | 314:18,18 316:12 |
| probably 319:1 | 304:1 320:4 | 320:12 336:21 | 318:1,2,16,22,24 |
| 336:21 360:1 | provision 314:8,19 | 337:24 342:19 | 318:25 319:1,6 334:15,16 337:21 |
| 361:18 | provisions 315:10 | | |
| procedure 349:6 | prudent 314:2 | 345:12 346:11 | 337:23 338:5,11 |
| proceed 306:12 | psc.mo.gov 300:1 | 352:2 356:16,19 | 338:15 341:5,11 341:14,21,22,23 |
| 363:20 | public 295:1,14 | questions 304:8,17 304:19,21 308:8 | |
| proceeding 297:2 | 296:9,10,13,15 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 341:23 354:21,23 |
| 297:7 345:11 | 298:5,17,19 | 308:10,12 309:23 | 355:16 358:25 362:20 364:9 |
| 352:1 367:7 | 300:22 303:15 | 309:24 311:9 | |
| proceedings 295:7 | 304:1 308:18 | 316:20 318:5,8 319:18,19 321:9 | 365:2,17 366:2,4 ratepayers 302:23 |
| 299:15 | 309:5,20 311:25 | 319:18,19 321:9 | 303:23 354:24 |
| proceeds 311:2 | 311:25 312:25 | 325:9,11,12,14,16 | 362:22 |
| 315:4 338:3 | 314:14 315:11 | 330:20,23 331:2,3 | rates 302:22 |
| process 312:5 | 332:22 339:18 | 330:20,23 331:2,3 | 303:24 309:13 |
| 313:1 349:8 365:7 | 363:15,19 364:15 | 333:6 334:1,2,4,6 | 316:17 317:2,5,10 |
| 365:9,10 | purchase 303:16 | 335:25 336:1,6 | 319:3 337:18,23 |
| produced 295:7 | 309:10 312:1,3 | 339:11 340:11,21 | 351:21 355:4,22 |
| profit 335:5 | 314:1 334:15 | 341:1 342:12,14 | 356:4,7 359:1 |
| prohibit 315:11 | 335:1,12 338:12 | 342:17 343:4 | 363:2,5 364:2,10 |
| | 342:22 362:21,22 | 344:24 345:22 | 303.2,3 304.2,10 |
| | | 344.24 343.22 | |

[read - right] Page 15

| 1 240 25 | 220.20 | | . 211.24 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| read 349:25 | recross 330:20 | rejected 307:16,19 | requires 311:24 |
| real 302:14 357:5 | redirect 338:22 | related 298:2 | resident 363:23 |
| realize 299:17 | 343:8 350:22 | 305:8 306:1 | residents 301:5,19 |
| really 349:23 | 358:13 | 313:16 324:12 | 302:4,6,9,13,16,21 |
| 361:20,24 | reduce 341:24,25 | 348:10,11 | 303:1,10,12 |
| reason 355:7 | refer 352:25 366:9 | relief 306:9 | 304:22,25 309:7 |
| reasonable 303:24 | reference 313:5 | remain 343:1 | 314:9 317:1,6 |
| 314:2 363:5 | 315:16,18 318:10 | remains 313:13 | 334:8 337:16 |
| reasoning 303:1 | referenced 311:18 | remediate 338:13 | 353:2,10 354:14 |
| reasons 307:16,18 | 314:6 | remember 318:24 | 355:21 364:5 |
| 309:21 314:22 | references 314:10 | 320:3 353:1 | 366:1,6 |
| 364:12 | referencing | remind 361:8 | resolution 312:4 |
| rebuttal 345:11 | 357:25 | 363:10 | 327:15 329:4 |
| 351:12 352:1 | referred 346:16 | reopen 297:25 | 349:11,12 359:20 |
| rec 338:7 | 350:7 | rephrase 319:4 | resolutions 323:20 |
| recall 306:3 307:3 | referring 350:8 | 350:12 | 323:22,25 |
| 320:8 | refinement 336:20 | reply 308:24 | respective 319:6 |
| receipts 302:15 | reflected 345:22 | 317:21 | respond 311:14 |
| 324:11 326:25 | 352:6 362:20 | report 328:21 | response 337:4 |
| receiving 310:15 | reflects 359:22 | 350:4,7,14 356:20 | responsibility |
| 315:2 342:1 | regard 313:21 | 356:23,24 357:2 | 321:19 |
| recommendation | 316:3 331:11 | 357:20 | rest 337:21 347:22 |
| 308:5,6 311:19 | regardless 303:3 | reporter 295:12 | resubmit 358:8 |
| 312:14 313:7,10 | regards 308:21 | 295:13 296:19 | result 313:13 |
| 313:13 336:25 | 365:7 | 297:4,4 299:11,18 | 315:13 |
| 337:14 | regulated 317:11 | 367:1,5,6 | results 319:25 |
| recommended | 317:15 | represent 308:18 | 320:9 360:16 |
| 338:2 | regulatory 296:2 | representatives | return 334:17,20 |
| record 297:8,25 | 298:4 339:19 | 311:4 | 341:14 342:1 |
| 299:15 301:4 | 365:17 | representing | 362:20 |
| 319:24,24 320:8 | reimbursable | 325:24 | revenue 302:12 |
| 320:10,12 323:7 | 329:22 | request 297:25 | 316:14 341:10,20 |
| 344:9,11 358:2,5,5 | reimburse 301:24 | 308:19 309:21 | 343:25 366:3 |
| 361:3 366:16 | 305:15 334:12 | 346:25 | review 337:8 |
| records 324:12 | 354:10 | requested 309:1 | reviewed 357:1 |
| 337:8 | reimbursed | 309:22 363:18 | reviewing 357:16 |
| recover 313:15 | 305:23 347:1,19 | 364:16 | rewarded 362:19 |
| 334:19,20 335:5,8 | reimbursement | requests 364:16 | right 299:1,24 |
| 336:10 | 346:25 364:3 | requirement | 300:24 311:8,9,10 |
| recovered 313:18 | reject 306:10,18 | 316:15 341:10,20 | 318:4 319:20 |
| 316:16 331:17 | 306:19 307:11 | 359:3 366:3 | 320:17,20 321:1,6 |

[right - silvey] Page 16

| 322:5 323:5 325:7 | 331:3,25 | sent 323:16,24 | 340:16,20,23 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 325:10,13,15 | safeguards 303:23 | 324:8 | 342:13,17 343:3,6 |
| 330:18,19,23 | sale 301:7 302:7 | separate 337:21 | 343:8,10,13,21 |
| 331:21,24,25 | 302:17 306:10,12 | series 349:10 | 344:2,5,8,11,15,21 |
| 332:3,8 333:16,20 | 310:25 311:3,24 | service 295:1,15 | 345:16 346:3,8,10 |
| 333:22,25 334:3 | 313:1 316:25 | 296:9,10 298:5 | 346:14,18 349:19 |
| 334:11 335:24 | 317:4,9 320:1 | 309:3,17 310:15 | 350:19,21,24 |
| 336:3 338:21,24 | 326:17,19,21 | 314:7 317:24,25 | 351:3,9,14 352:12 |
| 339:3 340:16,17 | 335:6 336:10 | 327:12 330:6 | 352:16 356:12,15 |
| 342:8,10,13,15 | 348:1 | 332:22 339:18 | 356:18 358:1,4,11 |
| 343:10 344:2,16 | sales 305:2 315:3 | 363:19 364:10,15 | 358:13 359:12,15 |
| 344:21 345:16 | sanitary 327:6 | services 314:8 | 360:3,9,12,15,21 |
| 346:3,4,11 347:9 | saying 347:12 | serving 302:7 | 360:24 361:2,5 |
| 350:24 351:4,14 | 350:13 | set 297:10 | 363:7 364:17 |
| 353:11 355:25 | says 306:17,23 | sewer 295:5 | 366:13 |
| 359:12 360:18 | schedule 308:4 | 297:15,18 308:23 | shaking 333:16 |
| 363:7 364:17,18 | 346:23 347:6 | 309:16 314:25 | short 344:7 358:3 |
| 366:13,15 | 349:16 358:7 | 327:6 330:14 | 361:1,4 |
| risk 350:2 | scope 362:21 | 331:9,15 332:23 | shorthand 295:13 |
| road 329:15 | sean 300:17 340:5 | 335:21 347:4,25 | 297:3,4 367:6 |
| roads 354:12 | 344:14 345:1 | 348:5,8,9,18,22 | shovel 326:7 |
| rockwood 298:2 | second 302:23 | 354:19 357:14 | show 302:12 |
| 301:6 321:24 | 312:8 328:12 | 362:12 363:13 | 319:24 |
| 363:25 | 355:4 | 365:6,7,14 | shown 347:17 |
| room 298:6,6 | secretary 322:9,10 | seyer 296:2 297:7 | shows 348:24 |
| 299:2,4,6,12,14 | section 313:23 | 298:3,17,21 299:1 | sic 346:12 |
| rooster 329:15 | 314:9,13 315:7,10 | 299:20,24 300:6 | side 301:15 357:14 |
| roughly 318:10 | 315:10 317:22 | 300:11,14 301:10 | 365:6 |
| 322:3 327:19 | 328:18 348:5 | 301:13 304:9,15 | sidewalks 305:9 |
| 328:22 329:18 | 365:9 | 304:18 308:10,14 | 305:24 |
| 330:4 346:19 | sections 301:20 | 309:24 311:8 | signals 330:13 |
| rsmo 301:21 | see 320:13 329:23 | 318:4 319:17,20 | signature 367:16 |
| 313:23 | 349:23 353:7 | 320:16,20,23,25 | signs 330:13 |
| rundown 319:2,3 | 356:8 357:24 | 321:6 324:22 | silence 299:2 |
| running 348:6 | seeing 320:8 | 325:1,4,10,13,15 | silvey 300:5,5,7,12 |
| S | 333:16 | 325:19 328:9 | 304:12,12,16,20 |
| s 296:1 332:19 | seen 303:25 | 330:22 331:21,24 | 305:1,5,20 306:8 |
| sa 297:19 | 312:24 | 332:3,7,13 333:15 | 306:21,25 307:8 |
| sabo 300:16 304:3 | sees 315:4 | 333:20,22,25 | 307:21 308:2,7 |
| 320:19,20,23 | sell 315:6 361:10 | 334:3,6 336:3 | 310:1,2,7,11,23 |
| 321:10 325:20 | 361:12 362:8 | 338:21,24 339:3,9 | 311:2,6 318:4,6,8 |
| 321.10 323.20 | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

| 319:22 334:2 | sorts 348:9 | 306:9 308:5 | statute 303:2,17 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 356:14 | sound 322:4 | 311:19 312:14,15 | 303:18 306:17 |
| similar 317:5 | 353:25 356:25 | 312:20 313:5,7,8 | 362:7 363:21 |
| 356:19 | 357:11 | 314:6 317:3,7 | stay 316:25 |
| simply 309:5,20 | sounds 342:8 | 318:1 322:21,24 | steam 332:23 |
| 363:14 364:13 | speak 299:14 | 323:5,7 324:11 | stipulated 297:1 |
| sir 335:23 | 321:13 326:9 | 327:16 335:1,17 | stockholders |
| site 313:6 327:7 | speaker 328:7,10 | 336:11,24 337:6 | 362:16 |
| situation 306:16 | speaking 299:10 | 337:25 338:2,7 | storage 357:8,9 |
| 310:13 312:2 | 337:10 | 340:18 343:1 | storm 330:14 |
| 313:2,3 315:17 | special 309:12 | 358:17 361:6 | street 296:11,15 |
| 334:21 335:3,14 | 335:10 364:1,6 | 362:25 365:16 | 296:22 298:24 |
| 335:16,18,22 | specific 307:22 | staff's 302:5 303:9 | 301:23 347:16 |
| 336:8,12,15 337:8 | 313:5 320:7 | 304:5 306:15 | streets 305:9,23 |
| 365:1 | 349:13 355:13 | 312:13 313:12 | 327:25 330:8 |
| six 301:15 328:14 | 359:25 | 316:20 332:5 | 347:13 |
| sixty 308:4 | specifically 305:8 | 334:8 | strike 340:4 |
| size 316:3 342:4 | 305:20 306:1 | standard 303:23 | subdivision 301:6 |
| 353:15,16 | 307:10 308:25 | 304:1 | 301:14,16 302:7 |
| slippery 362:23 | 312:12,20 313:2 | stands 349:3 | 304:22 309:7 |
| slope 362:23 | 313:12,23 319:25 | start 326:3 365:23 | 316:4 318:10 |
| small 314:13,15,19 | 365:23 | state 302:11 | 326:14 327:18,19 |
| 314:24 316:18 | speculation 362:1 | 328:21 332:17 | 328:1 331:4 334:7 |
| 319:12 362:22 | spell 332:18 | 339:12 343:18 | 334:9,24 342:21 |
| 366:7 | 339:13 | 344:25 351:17 | 346:22 348:1,5,8 |
| sneha 343:24 | spent 330:15 | 367:2 | 348:12,15 349:7,9 |
| softening 327:9 | 338:13 | stated 307:4 | 350:12 357:4 |
| sold 327:4,5 331:6 | sponsoring 338:7 | 310:24 311:15 | 363:24 |
| 331:7,12 335:15 | spread 319:8 | statement 301:1 | subject 301:19 |
| 347:4,21 364:4 | 338:10,14 359:4 | 304:10,14,21 | 314:14 317:25 |
| solemnly 321:2 | ss 367:3 | 306:23 310:3,4 | 334:21 335:7,10 |
| 339:4 | st 296:22 302:12 | 311:12,14 353:2 | 357:17 |
| solutions 296:21 | 316:8,12 318:11 | 366:9,10 | submit 307:25 |
| soon 349:3 | 318:19 337:21 | statements 359:19 | submitted 327:21 |
| sorry 297:21 | 338:4,10 342:4 | states 302:25 | 328:21 329:7,7 |
| 300:11 304:15 | 361:14 364:21 | 303:7 328:18,19 | 349:21 360:18 |
| 328:9 | 367:3 | station 348:16 | subpoena 328:13 |
| sort 311:18 312:2 | staff 298:21,23 | 350:8,9,11 357:15 | subpoenaed 304:3 |
| 316:2 317:12 | 300:15,22 301:1,4 | stations 348:14 | 322:21 324:19 |
| 341:16,21 342:7 | 303:17 304:3 | status 331:4 | subsection 313:25 |
| | 305:12,14,16 | | |
| | | | |

[substantial - titled] Page 18

| substantial 327:21 | 334:10 335:21 | tell 328:24 349:3 | 350:24 351:1,4,9 |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 327:23 | 336:9 338:10 | 360:7 | 352:17 356:10,15 |
| substantially | 347:20,23,25 | ten 307:5,12,23 | 359:16 361:7 |
| 362:25 | 348:8,12,17,18 | 341:13,17 361:2 | 363:7 364:17,20 |
| suggest 324:22 | 353:3 354:16,19 | tender 333:18 | 366:12,13 |
| suggested 336:24 | 354:25 355:1,3,12 | 346:2 352:10 | thanks 321:10 |
| suggesting 337:20 | 355:20 356:4 | tenders 340:18 | 360:20 |
| summary 315:17 | 357:14 358:20 | term 354:1 365:18 | thing 311:15 |
| supervisor 339:20 | 362:2,3,12,15 | terms 317:14 | things 305:9 |
| support 309:19 | 363:25 364:4,8,11 | testified 325:23 | 336:20 349:10,24 |
| 321:22 | system's 361:22 | 345:7 351:22 | 362:21 365:18,19 |
| suppose 341:23 | systems 308:23 | 358:21 | think 299:20 |
| sure 300:9 301:13 | 309:3 314:25,25 | testifying 320:21 | 307:3 308:4 |
| 307:2,21 310:19 | 318:2 330:14 | 345:2 | 311:18 312:1,7 |
| 320:6 324:25 | 337:19 348:23 | testimony 301:17 | 320:11 324:20,23 |
| 328:3 337:24 | 361:10,12 362:8 | 302:25 307:3,20 | 331:4 336:7 |
| 348:23 349:19,25 | 363:3,14,23 | 312:15,24 313:11 | 357:23 360:5,6 |
| 353:9 354:2,14,14 | t | 320:4,5 321:2 | 361:18 364:23 |
| 356:3 357:24 | t 298:24 332:19,19 | 332:9,25,25 333:4 | 365:3,14,22 366:9 |
| 358:1 361:9 | tables 357:18,21 | 333:9,13 338:6 | thinking 312:6 |
| 362:20 | 358:5 | 339:5,22,22 340:1 | third 328:17 |
| surrounding | take 308:2 311:16 | 340:8,14 344:17 | thousand 338:17 |
| 315:17 | 329:25 341:14 | 345:11,18 351:5 | thousands 319:8 |
| swear 321:2 332:8 | 344:6 361:5 | 351:12 352:1,1,22 | three 324:2 325:1 |
| 339:4 344:17 | taken 297:2 | 353:1 358:6,23 | 325:5 349:16 |
| 351:4 | 344:10 358:3 | 359:21 361:11 | tie 348:6 |
| swearengen 296:6 | 361:4 366:11 | 365:24 | time 297:9,10 |
| 298:13 | takes 348:12 | thank 298:12 | 302:16,23 307:17 |
| sworn 295:9 321:1 | talk 316:1 | 300:10,14 301:2,4 | 311:20 312:7 |
| system 295:5,5 | talked 312:21 | 304:8,9,16,20 | 313:3 326:13 |
| 297:15,15,18 | 335:17 365:19 | 305:5 308:7,8,9,14 | 329:6,8 330:19 |
| 301:7,8 302:1,7,10 | talking 312:1,4 | 310:1,2 311:6,6,10 | 333:23 336:25 |
| 302:17,19,24 | 316:11 337:17 | 311:13 318:3,6,9 | 345:19 352:9 |
| 303:4,11 304:3 | 342:7 | 319:16,16,20 | 355:4 357:1 |
| 305:21 306:7 | talks 330:12 | 320:15,15,16,25 | 366:12 367:8 |
| 309:8 310:5,21 | tangent 350:2 | 321:1,6 331:23,25 | timing 311:16 |
| 311:24 314:5,11 | tank 327:8 357:8,9 | 332:2,8,13 338:20 | 326:9 |
| 314:20,24 316:11 | tank 327.8 337.8,5 tax 302:14 334:22 | 338:24 339:4 | title 321:17 332:21 |
| 316:22,24 317:8 | teleconference | 342:13 343:10,12 | 339:17 |
| 324:12 326:24 | 295:11 | 344:13,16,21 | titled 339:22 347:8 |
| 331:10,10,15 | 275.11 | 346:18 350:20,24 | |
| | | | |

[today - water] Page 19

| today 297:8 | 364:25 | unit 339:19 | various 327:8 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 299:11,16 304:5 | truth 321:3,4,4 | unknown 328:7,10 | 329:5 |
| 307:22 309:6 | 332:10,10,11 | unload 362:12 | veritext 296:21 |
| 312:10 316:12 | 339:6,6,7 344:18 | unregulated | view 327:23 |
| 319:7 320:7 | 344:18,19 351:6,6 | 314:23 | 336:11,17 337:1 |
| 322:22 325:23 | 351:7 | unusual 364:25 | 366:1 |
| 333:6 340:11 | trying 335:4,5 | upfront 334:25 | violate 315:24 |
| 345:2 352:5 356:1 | turn 321:12 | use 315:3 341:12 | vital 304:1 |
| 364:2 366:11 | turned 328:3 | 341:13,16 355:11 | volume 295:7 |
| today's 297:23 | twice 301:7 302:6 | 365:3,10,11 | vote 304:23 |
| 298:1 313:11 | 303:1 304:2 309:8 | useful 314:8 | 311:25,25 359:19 |
| 345:10 351:25 | 316:22 317:3,8 | utilities 317:15 | voted 304:24 |
| top 329:20 | 334:9 337:16 | utility 314:14,14 | 361:9,12 362:8 |
| total 306:5 316:6 | 353:3 355:2 356:7 | 314:15,20 317:12 | voter 362:8 |
| 318:11 338:12 | 356:8 358:19 | 317:17 339:19 | voters 361:23 |
| 342:9 355:20 | 363:24 364:11 | 341:4 | votes 359:23,24 |
| town 361:17 | 366:1 | utilize 314:16 | \mathbf{w} |
| traditional 363:2 | two 307:14 323:19 | V | w 351:18 |
| traffic 330:13 | 325:5 326:10 | value 302:18,22 | wa 297:18 |
| trail 330:9 347:13 | 343:16 357:21 | 303:2,4,5 312:23 | walk 353:8 |
| 347:17 | 365:19 | 313:22 314:2,12 | want 301:13 |
| transaction 314:3 | typewriting 297:5 | 314:17 315:3,24 | 311:14 312:9 |
| 364:23 | typical 335:3,22 | 317:18 318:3 | 340:4 357:4 |
| transactions 303:6 | typically 341:17 | 350:9 355:8,10,13 | 360:10 362:5 |
| transcribed 297:5 | u | 355:18,19 356:24 | wanted 362:12 |
| transcript 295:7 | u 326:25 332:19 | 356:24 361:22 | wants 365:25 |
| transferred | uh 347:10 | 362:2,6,18,19 | 366:7 |
| 327:11 348:19 | unchanged 313:13 | 363:1 365:8,13,17 | waste 313:1 |
| transition 314:3 | 343:2 | 365:18 | 317:24 |
| 338:3 | underneath 348:7 | van 296:14 298:18 | water 295:3,5 |
| transmission | understand | 298:19 304:9,11 | 296:4 297:12,15 |
| 348:8 | 347:12 | 308:14,16,17 | 298:11,15 300:17 |
| treasurer 322:9,10 | understanding | 310:6,10,19 311:1 | 300:21 301:7,8 |
| treated 312:18 | 305:21 320:22 | 311:5,10 325:10 | 302:1,6,10,17,19 |
| treatment 306:2 348:13 357:10 | 329:12 334:12 | 325:12 330:19,21 | 302:24 303:4 |
| trees 330:12,16 | 337:5,10 353:12 | 333:20,21 335:25 | 305:8,20 306:1,6 |
| tried 336:9 | 354:10 | 336:2 340:20,22 | 308:20,23 309:2,3 |
| true 323:16,24 | unhappy 362:11 | 343:3,5 346:5,7 | 309:8,9,13,15,16 |
| 324:8 333:9 340:8 | unique 335:15 | 350:17,18 352:13 | 310:21 313:1,1 |
| 345:23 352:6 | 364:24 | 352:15 358:8,10 | 314:8,14,15,20,24 |
| JTJ.43 JJ4.U | | 363:8,9 | 315:22 316:5,6,8,9 |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[water - zoom] Page 20

| 316:11,12,17,21 | 343:16 360:22 |
|--|--------------------|
| 316:22 317:2,5,24 | word 357:10 365:4 |
| 317:24 318:20 | work 332:20,22 |
| 324:12 327:5,6 | worst 359:7 366:7 |
| 330:6 331:10 | worth 362:3,15 |
| 332:23 334:9 | writing 353:1 |
| 335:21 338:5,10 | written 317:22 |
| 342:5 347:4,5,11 | wrote 324:15 |
| 347:19,20,21,23 | www.veritext.com |
| 348:18,21 351:20 | 296:23 |
| 353:3 354:11,13 | y |
| 354:15,19,25 | v 332:19 |
| 355:1,3 356:3 | veah 318:13 |
| 357:8,9 358:19 | 335:11,14 338:19 |
| 362:11 363:12,13 | 347:15 360:14 |
| 363:17,20,22,24 | vear 328:23 362:5 |
| 364:2,4,5,8,10,11 | 366:5 |
| 364:19 365:4,13 | years 302:2 322:3 |
| way 306:11 313:18 | 334:14,25 353:25 |
| 313:19 363:2 | 354:4 |
| we've 303:24 | Z |
| 365:19 | _ |
| webex 295:11 | z 298:24 |
| 298:9 299:4,5,9,13 | zero 355:17 |
| 299:18 320:21 | zoning 326:6 |
| 323:3 | zoom 348:11 |
| website 312:25 | |
| 361:19 | |
| wednesday 300:4 | |
| witness 304:6 | |
| 312:16 313:4 | |
| 320:17,18,24 | |
| 321:5 331:24 | |
| 332:2,4,5,12 | |
| 333:18 338:25 | |
| 339:1,8 340:18 | |
| 343:12 344:12,20 | |
| , | |
| 346:2 350:25 | |
| 346:2 350:25 351:8 359:13,16 | |
| 346:2 350:25 351:8 359:13,16 witnesses 298:8 | |
| 346:2 350:25 351:8 359:13,16 | |