```
0001
 1
                          STATE OF MISSOURI
 2
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 3
 4
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 5
                       Procedural Conference
 6
 7
                           October 19, 2017
                      Jefferson City, Missouri
 8
 9
                           Volume 1, Page 1
10
11
     In the Matter of
     Application of the Public
12
     Funding Corporation of the
     Funding Corporation of the )
City of Ozark, Missouri, to ) File No. WM-2017-0342
13
     Sell its Water System,
14
     located in Christian County
                                      )
     to the City of
15
     Ozark, Missouri.
                                      )
16
17
                      DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding
18
                         REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19
20
21
     REPORTED BY:
22
     Ashley M. Rouse, CCR No. 1403
23
     TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PUBLIC FUNDING CORPORATION AND CITY OF OZARK:
4	DAVID V. COLLIGNON (Via phone)
5	Attorney at Law 201 Brick Street Ozark, Missouri 65721
6	Telephone: 417-581-4651 Facsimile: 417-581-0695
7	E-mail: dcollignon@ozarkmissouri.org
8	FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
9	TOR BINIT OF HIBBOOK FOREIGN BERVIOL COMMISSION
10	JACOB T. WESTEN MARCIE FORCK
11	Staff of Missouri Public Service Commission GOVERNOR OFFICE BUILDING
12	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
13	Telephone: 573-751-5472 Facsimile: 573-751-9285
14	E-mail: jacob.westen@psc.mo.gov marcie.forck@psc.mo.gov
15	
16	FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL:
17	LERA L. SHEMWELL
18	General Counsel GOVERNOR OFFICE BUILDING
19	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230
20	Telephone: 573-751-7431 Facsimile: 573-751-5562
21	E-mail: lera.shemwell@ded.mo.gov
22	ALCO DECEME: Tomog Mongiel weeken af the Mater and
23 24	ALSO PRESENT: James Merciel, member of the Water and Sewer Department staff.
24 25	
د ک	

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE JORDAN: The commission is calling the action in File No. WM-2017-0342. And this is in the matter of the application of the Public Funding Corporation of the City of Ozark, Missouri, to sell its water system located in Christian County to the City of Ozark, Missouri.

I'm Daniel Jordan. I'm the regulatory law judge assigned to this action. Let's take entries of appearances, and if someone has a client representative present for this conference, please introduce them.

We'll start with the applicant, Public Funding

Corporation of the City of Ozark, Missouri.

MR. COLLIGNON: This is Dave Collignon. I'm the City Attorney for the City of Ozark, representing both the Funding -- Public Funding Corporation and the City of Ozark.

JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And for the staff of the public service commission.

MR. WESTEN: Good morning. My name is Jacob Westen. I am staff attorney with the Public Service Commission staff. My contact information has already been provided to the court reporter. With me this morning is also staff attorney, Marcie Forck. And also with us today is Mr. James Merciel, who is a member of

```
the Water and Sewer Department staff.
 1
 2
               JUDGE JORDAN: Yes. The court reporter has
    asked me to provide spellings and I neglected to do
 3
 4
     that. Mr. Collignon, will you spell your name for the
 5
     court reporter, please?
 6
               MR. COLLIGNON: The last name is spelled
 7
    C, as in Charles, o-l-l-i-g-n-o-n.
 8
               JUDGE JORDAN: And everyone else, just go
 9
    around.
10
               MR. WESTEN:
                            Sure. Westen is spelled,
11
    W-e-s-t-e-n. Forck is F-o-r-c-k. And Merciel is
12
    M-e-r-c-i-e-1?
13
               MR. MERCIEL: Right.
14
               JUDGE JORDAN: And that's everyone so far.
    And the Office of the Public Counsel.
15
16
               MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning. Lera Shemwell
17
    representing the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
18
    and the public. I've given my information to the court
19
    reporter so she has the spelling of my name.
20
               JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. We're here for a
21
    pre-hearing conference on this application. We're
22
    going to have some discussions on the record. We can
23
    discuss procedures, some substantive issues, even
    possibilities for settlement if the parties wish.
24
                                                        And
2.5
     I have some issues that I need to clarify. After
```

```
that's done, we will go off the record so that the
 1
 2
    parties can discuss these issues outside of my
    presence, including, sensitive information that might
 3
    be useful in settlement, but that the parties don't
 4
    want me to hear. We have this room and this line
 5
    until 9:30. At 9:30, the Commission will take up its
 6
 7
    public meeting of the posted agenda.
               I will also ask -- I will also mention that
 8
 9
    mediation differences is also possible on the -- almost
10
    all the regulatory law judges are trained in civil
11
    mediation. My hope is that the parties will come up
12
    with a proposed procedural schedule for the procedural
13
     advices they deem useful. When we're done, I'm going
14
     to ask staff if they would hang up the line.
15
               Any questions on what we're doing so far
16
     today?
17
               MR. COLLIGNON: No, sir, I'm good.
                              Okay. Staff?
18
               JUDGE JORDAN:
               MR. WESTEN: None from staff.
19
20
               JUDGE JORDAN:
                              Ms. Shemwell?
21
                             No questions. Thank you.
               MS. SHEMWELL:
22
               JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Well, I've got a couple
23
     questions for the parties, let's start with OPC.
24
     the Office of Public Counsel have a position on this
2.5
    application? I didn't see a response to the
```

1	recommendation.
2	MS. SHEMWELL: Public Counsel is still
3	researching its position legal position.
4	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
5	MS. SHEMWELL: And our hope would be that we
6	can discuss with the parties and reach a settlement.
7	That's where we are. But we have asked for a public
8	hearing because we have received many public calls;
9	calls from the public expressing concerns with the
10	amount of the rate increase.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Well, let's see if we can set
12	a date certain for filing something some kind of a,
13	maybe that would take the form of a response to the
14	application
15	MS. SHEMWELL: Okay.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: or response to the
17	recommendation, something like that. I'd like to see
18	that on a proposed procedural schedule.
19	MS. SHEMWELL: Okay. I can do that.
20	JUDGE JORDAN: As Counsel mentions, there's a
21	request for local public hearing, if the parties can
22	come up with a range of dates, that would be helpful,
23	mindful of Commission's crowded schedule this autumn.
24	Here's my question for staff, if I read if
25	I recall staff's recommendations, staff doesn't think

that the Commission should be regulating this water system at all; is that correct?

2.5

MR. WESTEN: That's -- that's correct, Judge. The nature of what the Public Funding Corporation is as an entity actually owned and operated by the City of Ozark, that would put it within the control of the municipality. Our statutes do not authorize the regulation of municipal systems. There's been several Missouri Supreme Court cases that address that issue very specifically.

And I would direct the Commission's attention to a couple cases in particular. One is Forest City v. City of Oregon, where the statute that remains within our structure, which it suggests that we might -- the Commission might be able to regulate outside of municipal limits. That case very explicitly says that statute is not enough on its own to regulate a municipally owned system.

We know the system is being run by the employees of the city. It doesn't -- PFC Ozark doesn't have its own employees. It's -- it is essentially an arm of the City. And just looking at the case law, I think it's pretty clear that this is not an entity within the Commission jurisdiction.

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Does the City of Ozark

1	and the City of Ozark's Public Funding Corporation, do
2	you want to throw in on that theory, is that where you
3	are? Do you have something to add to that perspective?
4	MR. COLLIGNON: We don't really have anything
5	to add to that. And yes, most certainly, Mr. Westen
6	characterized the relationship between the Public
7	Funding Corporation and the City of Ozark accurately.
8	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. If I understand it,
9	the that little statutory provision to which
10	Mr. Westen referred to is a fragment of an earlier set
11	of statutes under which the Commission was directed to
12	regulate municipal water rates, but that was all
13	repealed.
14	MR. WESTEN: That's staff's understanding of
15	the history of the statute and the regulation, Your
16	Honor.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah, that's what I gather
18	from the case law.
19	MS. SHEMWELL: Except it does say outside of
20	the City boundaries is that's part that's a
21	statute.
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Right. Right. Yeah,
23	that little stub still remains in the statute.
24	MS. SHEMWELL: It does remain just by
25	JUDGE JORDAN: Here's my issue though; I

mean, even if -- assuming that the applicant, the City and staff are correct, does that -- does the existence of a corporation mean nothing here? I mean, certainly the City formed a corporation for a reason and there -- there are benefits. Also, are there not burdens and I ignore the corporate forming?

MR. WESTEN: Well, I think, Your Honor -- I agree that I don't believe you can ignore the corporate form. And I'm not prepared, nor do I have research to try to discuss piercing of a corporate veil from a separate legal entity to the City itself, and I don't want to speak for Mr. Collignon or his thoughts on that issue.

JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.

2.5

MR. WESTEN: I do think, however, that while it would be staff's position that we don't regulate them, there's no reason that this process that we are currently in would not ultimately resolve any jurisdictional questions as, if the Commission having already previously stated in 1995 that it believes it has jurisdiction, completes this transaction, approves the sale as if it were still in control of the transaction, and letting the situation wrap up with a transfer, acknowledging it's no longer within our control, and approving the sale. I think that might

1	side step some of these more thorny gray area
2	questions, and reach a result that I think Staff and
3	the City would be happy with, and potentially Public
4	Counsel, depending on their viewpoint.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: All right. So you're saying
6	in the alternative, if the Commission approves the
7	transaction, then the Commission certainly will not
8	have jurisdiction?
9	MR. WESTEN: Yes, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: There won't be will
11	there because that system will be owned and operated
12	by an entity over which at least Staff and the
13	Corporation and the City believe the Commission cannot
14	regulate?
15	MR. WESTEN: Yes, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: OPC is suggesting that
17	portions outside the City are still subject to the
18	Commission's regulations?
19	MS. SHEMWELL: Potentially, because they have
20	no representation.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. I think that's I
22	noted that point as well. So noted.
23	MS. SHEMWELL: Let me may I?
24	JUDGE JORDAN: Please, please, go ahead.
25	MS. SHEMWELL: The case law so far what

I've read so far is that if it's two municipals, one 1 2 buying from the other, that's a totally different situation than we have here, because they are each 3 represented by group politics. So the customers have 4 some representation. Here, the customers will have no 5 6 representation, and are questioning the amount to be 7 charged through the Commission while they can. 8 JUDGE JORDAN: Right. This is -- this is the 9 opposite of a scenario that came across my desk a 10 couple years ago, in which -- which involved a water 11 district -- a public water district, in which the 12 person -- the customers of the system that was being bought would have votes in the water district. Staff 13 14 opposed that. I believe we did have jurisdiction even 15 though there was representation. Here, there will be 16 no representation and staff is okay with it, so that's 17 why I'm inquiring. It's essentially different. 18 MR. WESTEN: Well, I'm not -- Judge, I'm not 19 familiar with the case that you've referenced. I don't 20 know if Mr. Merciel might want to --21 JUDGE JORDAN: Why don't I just give you a 22 reference on that. 23 MR. WESTEN: Sure. 24 JUDGE JORDAN: Here we go. Oh, here we go. Try this file number, WM-2015-0231. And the order 2.5

```
granting application in that case came out on June 24th
 1
 2
     of 2015. So there's that.
               Mr. Collignon, anything that you would like
 3
     to add to this discussion of jurisdiction?
 4
 5
               MR. COLLIGNON: Yeah --
 6
               JUDGE JORDAN: Hang on a second, we're having
 7
     a problem hearing you.
 8
               MR. COLLIGNON: I --
 9
               JUDGE JORDAN: I'm sorry. Mr. Collignon,
10
     we're still having a hard time hearing you. I wonder
11
     if you're muted at your end?
12
               MR. COLLIGNON: -- from a lawyer. So I have
13
     a couple of personal comments that also represent --
14
               JUDGE JORDAN: Mr. Collignon, can you hear us
15
     at all?
16
               MR. WESTEN: Dave, we can't hear you at all.
17
               MR. COLLIGNON:
                               Okay.
18
               MS. FORCK: Should we try calling back into
19
     the line, perhaps?
20
               MR. COLLIGNON: I'd be more than happy to
21
     dial in on whichever number you want.
22
               MS. SHEMWELL: I can hear him.
23
               MS. FORCK: He's on the line, but it sounds
24
     as though he's not -- you know, this is a speaker
2.5
    phone, but it sounds like it's not.
```

```
MS. SHEMWELL:
 1
                              It's not.
 2
               MR. WESTEN: Yeah.
               MR. COLLIGNON: Want me to call back in?
 3
 4
               JUDGE JORDAN: Can you tell whether he can
    hear us?
 5
 6
               MR. WESTEN: I think he can. Can you hear
 7
     us?
 8
               MR. COLLIGNON: (No audible response.)
 9
               MR. WESTEN: I can't hear him.
               MS. FORCK: Mr. Collignon, why don't you try
10
11
     and hang up and call back in, and we'll hang up and
12
     call back in as well.
13
               (Phone line goes blank.)
14
               JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Staff Counsel is
15
     dialing in again.
16
               MR. COLLIGNON: Hello.
17
               MR. WESTEN: There we go.
18
               JUDGE JORDAN: Here we are.
                                            Well,
19
     Mr. Collignon, I was asking whether you had anything to
20
     throw in on the discussion of the Commission's
21
     jurisdiction?
22
               MR. COLLIGNON: Let me put it to you this
23
     way, what I started to say is, is that I'm a very
24
    practical kind of a lawyer. What I'm about to tell you
2.5
     is not necessarily obviously a reasoned legal opinion,
```

I don't have anything to back this up. I'm telling you 1 2 that the City of Ozark is willing to do whatever the Public Service Commission finds appropriate. I think, 3 obviously, that the situation requires the Public 4 5 Service Commission's jurisdiction to approve the 6 application. The question then ultimately becomes 7 rates. And that's the only issue here. If the Public Service Commission determines 8 9 that they would prefer to take jurisdiction strictly 10 over the rate structure, fine, I don't care. We'll 11 submit the appropriate application to submit rates. 12 We'll do whatever the PSC wants us to do. JUDGE JORDAN: Well, Mr. Collignon, I 13 14 appreciate that candid and pragmatic approach to 15 governmental affairs. So --16 MR. COLLIGNON: Now, maybe that's the 17 discussion we were going to have about settlement off the record, but I don't know that we need to waste a 18 19 hell of a lot of time on this. 20 JUDGE JORDAN: Well, a waste of time is never 21 a good idea when it comes to taxpayer money or rate 22 payer money, so I think that's a constructive direction 23 for this. 24 Okay. I think that's pretty much covered the 2.5 issues that I had for the parties. While I'm still

here in the room, what else can I do for the parties, 1 2 anything else before we go off the record? 3 MR. WESTEN: Judge, one question for you. JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. 5 MR. WESTEN: Do you have a sense of the --6 just a rough sense of a timeline for a procedural 7 schedule? Are you anticipating a -- I think a local 8 public hearing makes sense. Are you anticipating 9 something shortly, or in the mid-term, I -- as you identified, this is a busy autumn for the Commission. 10 11 JUDGE JORDAN: Right. 12 MR. WESTEN: I just wanted to get an idea if 13 you had a sense of a rough timeline? JUDGE JORDAN: The Commission has four 14 15 general rate actions pending. 16 MR. WESTEN: Yes. 17 JUDGE JORDAN: So the Commission, its judges, its advisors, and its staff are pretty well occupied. 18 19 I don't get any sense of urgency for deciding this from the Commission. If the parties have deadlines that 20 21 they wish to meet, that's an issue for the parties. 22 just want them to be aware that there are these other 23 cases that the parties will have to look for on the 24 Commission's calendars to structure the flow of this 2.5 case around.

1	MR. WESTEN: Okay.
2	MS. SHEMWELL: Okay.
3	JUDGE JORDAN: That's my only point with
4	that.
5	What else can we do for the parties while I'm
6	still in the room and we're on the record?
7	MS. SHEMWELL: I don't think of anything.
8	JUDGE JORDAN: Is there anything from staff?
9	MS. FORCK: I don't think so.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: Anything from the Public
11	Funding Corporation or the City?
12	MR. COLLIGNON: No, sir. Thank you for your
13	time.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: Oh, you're quite welcome. And
15	happy to be of assistance. And I'll be in the building
16	all day if the parties need me to sort out anything
17	else for them.
18	Well, not hearing anything else, I think I
19	will call this part of the conference to an end. I
20	will adjourn this conference for now. And we will go
21	off the record.
22	(Conference concluded.)
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)
4	COUNTY OF BOONE)
5	T Addless M. Design Combified Count
6	I, Ashley M. Rouse, Certified Court
7	Reporter for the firm of Tiger Court Reporting, do
8	hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true
9	and accurate transcription, to the best of my ability,
10	of the procedural conference of the Missouri Public
11	Service Commission held In the Matter of the
12	Application of the Public Funding Corporation of the
13	City of Ozark, Missouri, to sell its water system
14	located in Christian County, Missouri, to the City of
15	Ozark, Case File No. WM-2017-0342, on October 19, 2017.
16	Ashley M. Rouse
17	Ashley M. Rouse TIGER COURT REPORTING
18	TIGER COURT REPORTING
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	approves 9:21 10:6	care 14:10	corporation 3:5,13, 16 7:4 8:1,7 9:3,4
	approving 9:25	case 7:16,22 8:18 10:25 11:19 12:1	10:13 16:11
1995 9:20	area 10:1	15:25	correct 7:2,3 9:2
2	arm 7:22	cases 7:9,12 15:23	Counsel 4:15,17 5:24
	assigned 3:9	characterized 8:6	6:2,20 10:4 13:14
2015 12:2	assistance 16:15	charged 11:7	County 3:6
24th 12:1	assuming 9:1	Charles 4:7	couple 5:22 7:12 11:10 12:13
	attention 7:11	Christian 3:6	court 3:23 4:2,5,18
9	attorney 3:15,21,24	city 3:5,6,13,15,17	7:9
9:30 5:6	audible 13:8	7:5,13,20,22,25 8:1,7,	covered 14:24
	authorize 7:7	20 9:1,4,11 10:3,13,17 14:2 16:11	crowded 6:23
A	autumn 6:23 15:10	civil 5:10	customers 11:4,5,12
accurately 8:7	aware 15:22	clarify 4:25	
acknowledging 9:24	В	clear 7:23	D
action 3:3,9		client 3:10	Daniel 3:8
actions 15:15	back 12:18 13:3,11,12 14:1	Collignon 3:14 4:4,6	date 6:12
add 8:3,5 12:4	believes 9:20	5:17 8:4 9:12 12:3,5,8, 9,12,14,17,20 13:3,8,	dates 6:22
address 7:9	benefits 9:20	10,16,19,22 14:13,16	Dave 3:14 12:16
adjourn 16:20	blank 13:13	16:12	day 16:16
advices 5:13	bought 11:13	comments 12:13	deadlines 15:20
advisors 15:18	bought 11:13 boundaries 8:20	commission 3:2,19, 22 5:6 7:1,15,24 8:11	deciding 15:19
affairs 14:15	building 16:15	9:19 10:6,7,13 11:7	deem 5:13
agenda 5:7	building 16:15 burdens 9:5	14:3,8 15:10,14,17,20	Department 4:1
agree 9:8	busy 15:10	Commission's 6:23 7:11 10:18 13:20 14:5	depending 10:4
ahead 10:24	_	15:24	desk 11:9
alternative 10:6	buying 11:2	completes 9:21	determines 14:8
amount 6:10 11:6	С	concerns 6:9	dial 12:21
anticipating 15:7,8		concluded 16:22	dialing 13:15
appearances 3:10	calendars 15:24	conference 3:11 4:21	didn't 5:25
applicant 3:12 9:1	call 13:3,11,12 16:19	16:19,20,22	differences 5:9
application 3:4 4:21	calling 3:2 12:18	constructive 14:22	direct 7:11
5:25 6:14 12:1 14:6,11	calls 6:8,9	contact 3:22	directed 8:11
approach 14:14	candid 14:14	control 7:6 9:22,25	direction 14:22
approve 14:5	can't 12:16 13:9	corporate 9:6,8,10	discuss 4:23 5:2 6:6
			9:10

discussion 12:4	forming 9:6	identified 15:10	judges 5:10 15:17
13:20 14:17	fragment 8:10	ignore 9:6,8	June 12:1
discussions 4:22	Funding 3:4,12,16	including 5:3	jurisdiction 7:24
district 11:11,13	7:4 8:1,7 16:11	increase 6:10	9:21 10:8 11:14 12:4 13:21 14:5,9
doesn't 6:25 7:20 don't 5:4 8:4 9:8,11,	G	information 3:22 4:18 5:3	jurisdictional 9:19
16 11:19,21 13:10 14:1,10,18 15:19 16:7,	gather 8:17	inquiring 11:17	K
9	general 15:15	introduce 3:11	
	give 11:21	involved 11:10	kind 6:12 13:24
earlier 8:10	good 3:20 4:16 5:17 14:21	issue 7:9 8:25 9:13 14:7 15:21	L
employees 7:20,21	governmental 14:15	issues 4:23,25 5:2	law 3:8 5:10 7:22 8:18
end 12:11 16:19	granting 12:1	14:25	10:25
	gray 10:1	it's 7:21,23 9:24 11:1, 17 12:25 13:1	lawyer 12:12 13:24
entity 7:5,23 9:11 10:12	group 11:4	l'd 6:17 12:20	legal 6:3 9:11 13:25
entries 3:9		l'II 16:15	Lera 4:16
essentially 7:21	Н	l'm 3:8,14 5:13,17 9:9	letting 9:23
11:17	hang 5:14 12:6 13:11	11:17,18 12:9 13:23,	let's 3:9 5:23 6:11
existence 9:2	happy 10:3 12:20	24 14:1,25 16:5	limits 7:16
explicitly 7:16	16:15	l've 4:18 5:22 11:1	local 6:21 15:7
expressing 6:9	hard 12:10	J	located 3:6
F	hear 5:5 12:14,16,22		longer 9:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13:5,6,9	Jacob 3:20	lot 14:19
F-O-R-C-K 4:11	hearing 6:8,21 12:7, 10 15:8 16:18	James 3:25	
familiar 11:19	hell 14:19	Jordan 3:2,8,18 4:2,8, 14,20 5:18,20,22 6:4,	M
file 3:3 11:25	helpful 6:22	11,16,20 7:25 8:8,17,	M-E-R-C-I-E-L 4:12
filing 6:12	Here's 6:24 8:25	22,25 9:14 10:5,10,16, 21,24 11:8,21,24 12:6,	makes 15:8
finds 14:3	he's 12:23,24	9,14 13:4,14,18 14:13,	Marcie 3:24
fine 14:10	history 8:15	20 15:4,11,14,17 16:3, 8,10,14	matter 3:4
flow 15:24	Honor 8:16 9:7 10:9,	judge 3:2,9,18 4:2,8,	mediation 5:9,11
Forck 3:24 4:11 12:18,23 13:10 16:9	15	14,20 5:18,20,22 6:4,	meet 15:21
Forest 7:12	hope 5:11 6:5	11,16,20 7:3,25 8:8, 17,22,25 9:14 10:5,10,	meeting 5:7
form 6:13 9:9		16,21,24 11:8,18,21,	member 3:25
formed 9:4	<u> </u>	24 12:6,9,14 13:4,14, 18 14:13,20 15:3,4,11,	mention 5:8
ioiiiieu 9.4	idea 14:21 15:12	14,17 16:3,8,10,14	mentions 6:20

Merciel 3:25 4:11,13	Ozark's 8:1	procedures 4:23	reference 11:22
11:20		process 9:17	referenced 11:19
mid-term 15:9	P	proposed 5:12 6:18	referred 8:10
mindful 6:23	part 8:20 16:19	provide 4:3	regulate 7:15,17 8:12
Missouri 3:5,7,13 4:17 7:9	parties 4:24 5:2,4,11,	provided 3:23	9:16 10:14
money 14:21,22	23 6:6,21 14:25 15:1,	provision 8:9	regulating 7:1
morning 3:20,24 4:16	20,21,23 16:5,16	PSC 14:12	regulation 7:8 8:15
municipal 7:8,16	payer 14:22	public 3:4,12,16,19,	regulations 10:18
8:12	pending 15:15	21 4:15,17,18 5:7,24	regulatory 3:8 5:10
municipality 7:7	person 11:12	6:2,7,8,9,21 7:4 8:1,6 10:3 11:11 14:3,4,8	relationship 8:6
municipally 7:18	personal 12:13	15:8 16:10	remain 8:24
municipals 11:1	perspective 8:3	put 7:6 13:22	remains 7:13 8:23
muted 12:11	PFC 7:20		repealed 8:13
	phone 12:25 13:13	Q	reporter 3:23 4:2,5,19
N	piercing 9:10	question 6:24 14:6	represent 12:13
mature 7.4	point 10:22 16:3	15:3	representation
nature 7:4	politics 11:4	questioning 11:6	10:20 11:5,6,15,16
necessarily 13:25	portions 10:17	questions 5:15,21,23	representative 3:10
neglected 4:3	position 5:24 6:3	9:19 10:2	represented 11:4
noted 10:22	9:16	R	representing 3:15
number 11:25 12:21	possibilities 4:24		4:17
0	posted 5:7	range 6:22	request 6:21
	potentially 10:3,19	rate 6:10 14:10,21	requires 14:4
o-l-l-i-g-n-o-n 4:7	practical 13:24	15:15	research 9:9
occupied 15:18	pragmatic 14:14	rates 8:12 14:7,11	researching 6:3
Office 4:15,17 5:24	pre-hearing 4:21	reach 6:6 10:2	resolve 9:18
OPC 5:23 10:16	prefer 14:9	read 6:24 11:1	response 5:25 6:13,
operated 7:5 10:11	prepared 9:9	reason 9:4,17	16 13:8
opinion 13:25	presence 5:3	reasoned 13:25	result 10:2
opposed 11:14	present 3:11	recall 6:25	room 5:5 15:1 16:6
opposite 11:9	pretty 7:23 14:24	received 6:8	rough 15:6,13
order 11:25	15:18	recommendation	run 7:19
Oregon 7:13	previously 9:20	6:1,17	S
owned 7:5,18 10:11	problem 12:7	recommendations 6:25	
Ozark 3:5,7,13,15,17	procedural 5:12 6:18	record 4:22 5:1 14:18	sale 9:22,25
7:6,20,25 8:7 14:2	15:6	15:2 16:6,21	scenario 11:9

schedule 5:12 6:18,	statute 7:13,17 8:15,	trained 5:10	
23 15:7	21,23	transaction 9:21,23	Y
sell 3:5	statutes 7:7 8:11	10:7	years 11:10
sense 15:5,6,8,13,19	statutory 8:9	transfer 9:24	you're 10:5 12:11
sensitive 5:3	step 10:1	U	16:14
separate 9:11	strictly 14:9		you've 11:19
service 3:19,21 14:3, 5,8	structure 7:14 14:10 15:24	ultimately 9:18 14:6 understand 8:8	
set 6:11 8:10	stub 8:23	understanding 8:14	
settlement 4:24 5:4	subject 10:17	urgency 15:19	
6:6 14:17	submit 14:11		
Sewer 4:1	substantive 4:23	V	
Shemwell 4:16 5:20, 21 6:2,5,15,19 8:19,24	suggesting 10:16	veil 9:10	
10:19,23,25 12:22	suggests 7:14		
13:1 16:2,7	Supreme 7:9	viewpoint 10:4	
shortly 15:9 side 10:1	system 3:6 7:2,18,19	votes 11.15	
sir 5:17 16:12	10:11 11:12 systems 7:8	W	
situation 9:23 11:3		W-E-S-T-E-N 4:11	
14:4	T	wanted 15:12	
sort 16:16	taxpayer 14:21	waste 14:18,20	
sounds 12:23,25	telling 14:1	water 3:6 4:1 7:1 8:12	
speak 9:12	that's 4:14 5:1 6:7	11:10,11,13	
speaker 12:24	7:3 8:14,17,20 10:21	Westen 3:20,21 4:10	
specifically 7:10	11:2,16 14:7,16,22,24 15:21 16:3	5:19 7:3 8:5,10,14 9:7, 15 10:9,15 11:18,23	
spell 4:4	theory 8:2	12:16 13:2,6,9,17	
spelled 4:6,10	there's 6:20 7:8 9:17	15:3,5,12,16 16:1	
spelling 4:19	12:2	we'll 3:12 13:11 14:10,12	
spellings 4:3	thorny 10:1	we're 4:20,21 5:13,	
staff 3:18,21,22,24 4:1 5:14,18,19 6:24,25	thoughts 9:12	15 12:6,10 16:6	
9:2 10:2,12 11:13,16	throw 8:2 13:20	whichever 12:21	
13:14 15:18 16:8	time 12:10 14:19,20	WM-2015-0231 11:25	
staff's 6:25 8:14 9:16	16:13	WM-2017-0342 3:3	
start 3:12 5:23	timeline 15:6,13	won't 10:10	
started 13:23	today 3:25 5:16		
stated 9:20	totally 11:2	wrap 9:23	