

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Halo Wireless, Inc.,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc.,)	
Ellington Telephone Company,)	
Goodman Telephone Company,)	
Granby Telephone Company,)	
Iamo Telephone Company,)	
Le-Ru Telephone Company,)	
McDonald County Telephone Company,)	
Miller Telephone Company,)	
Ozark Telephone Company,)	
Rock Port Telephone Company,)	
Seneca Telephone Company,)	
Alma Communications Company, d/b/a)	
Alma Telephone Company,)	
Choctaw Telephone Company;)	
MoKan Dial, Inc.,)	
Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc., and,)	
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a)	
AT&T Missouri)	
)	
Respondents.)	

File No: TC-2012-0331

ISSUES LIST, WITNESS LIST, ORDER OF WITNESSES,
ORDER OF CROSS-EXAMINATION AND
ORDER OF OPENING STATEMENTS

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and
states as follows:

1. The Parties:

Halo:

Counsel: Daniel R. Young, Troy Majoue, W. Scott McCollough
Witnesses: Russ Wiseman, Robert Johnson

AT&T:

Counsel: Leo J. Bub, Dennis Friedman

Witnesses: J. Scott McPhee, Mark Neinast, Raymond W. Drause

Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc. et al. (Craw-Kan, Ellington, Goodman, Granby, IAMO, Le-Ru, McDonald County, Miller, Ozark, Peace Valley, Rock Port, Seneca):

Counsel: William R. England, III, Brian T. McCartney

Witnesses: Kelly M. Bosserman, Craig K. Wilbert, Rick Bradley, Dee M. McCormack, W. Jay Mitchell, Jack Jones, Jack Rickett, Kevin L. Johnson, Robert Hart, Debbie Choate¹

Alma Telephone Company et al. (Alma, Choctaw, MoKan Dial):

Counsel: Craig S. Johnson

Witnesses: Amanda Molina², Tommie Sue Loges

Staff:

Counsel: Meghan McClowry, Colleen M. Dale

Witness: William Voight

OPC:

Counsel: Christina Baker

2. Issues:³⁴

A. Blocking Under the Missouri ERE Rule

(1) Does 4 CSR 240-29.010 *et seq.*, (the “Missouri ERE Rule”), apply to Halo’s traffic?

(2) Has Halo placed interLATA wireline telecommunications traffic on the LEC-to-LEC network?

(3) Has Halo appropriately compensated the Respondents for traffic it is delivering to them for termination pursuant to Halo’s Interconnection Agreement with AT&T?

¹ Ms. Choate will be the last of the Alma/Craw-Kan witnesses, due to availability issues.

² Ms. Molina will be the first of the Alma/Craw-Kan witnesses, due to availability issues.

³ This list is non-unanimous, and applies to all the Parties except Halo, which will submit its own list.

⁴ While Commission Case Nos. TC-2012-0331 and TC-2012-0035 have been consolidated for purposes of hearing, Alma et al. and Craw-Kan et al. are not seeking, at this time, a decision on the issues presented in Case No. TC-2012-0035. Rather, they are willing to defer a decision on those issues pending the outcome of the Commission’s decision in Case No. TC-2012-0331.

(4) Has Halo delivered the appropriate originating caller identification to Respondents along with the traffic it is delivering to them for termination?

(5) Is the blocking of Halo's traffic in accordance with the ERE rules appropriate?

B. AT&T's ICA Complaint

(1) Has Halo delivered traffic to AT&T Missouri that was not "originated through wireless transmitting and receiving facilities" as provided by the parties' ICA?

(2) Has Halo paid the appropriate compensation to AT&T Missouri as prescribed by the parties' ICA? If not, what compensation, if any, would apply?

(3) Has Halo committed a material breach of its ICA with AT&T Missouri? If so, is AT&T Missouri entitled to discontinue performance under the ICA?

3. Opening Statements

A. Order of Opening: Halo, AT&T, Craw-Kan, et al., Alma, et al., OPC, Staff

B. Time Limit: 30 minutes per party

4. Order of Witnesses: Halo, AT&T, Craw-Kan, et al., Alma, et al., Staff

5. Order of Cross:

A. Halo Witnesses: AT&T, Craw-Kan, et al., Alma, et al., OPC, Staff. Time Limit: One hour of initial cross, plus 30 minutes additional cross based on questions from the bench and finishing with 30 minutes of redirect.

B. AT&T Witnesses: Halo, OPC, Staff Craw-Kan, et al., Alma, et al. Time Limit: One hour of initial cross, plus 30 minutes additional cross based on questions from the bench and finishing with 30 minutes of redirect.

C. Craw-Kan, et al. Witnesses: Halo, OPC, Staff. Time Limit: Fifteen minutes of initial cross, plus 10 minutes additional cross based on questions from the bench and finishing with 5 minutes of redirect.

D. Alma, et al. Witnesses: Halo, OPC, Staff. Time Limit: Fifteen minutes of initial cross, plus 10 minutes additional cross based on questions from the bench and finishing with 5 minutes of redirect.

E. Staff Witness: AT&T, Craw-Kan, et al., Alma, et al., OPC, Halo.
Time Limit: One Hour of initial cross, plus 30 minutes additional cross based on questions from the bench and finishing with 30 minutes of redirect.

Respectfully submitted,



Colleen M. Dale
Senior Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 31624
Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-4255 (Telephone)
cully.dale@psc.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 21st day of June, 2012.

