Page 357 1 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 3 Evidentiary Hearing 4 JUNE 27, 2012 5 Jefferson City, Missouri б Volume 4 7 Halo Wireless, Inc., 8 Complainant, ) 9 ) File No. TC-2012-0331 vs. Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, ) 10 Inc., Ellington Telephone 11 Company, Goodman Telephone Company, Granby Telephone Company, Iamo Telephone Company,) 12 Le-Ru Telephone Company, 13 McDonald County Telephone Company, Miller Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone 14 Company, Rock Port Telephone 15 Company, Seneca Telephone Company, Alma Communications 16 Company d/b/a Alma Telephone ) Company, Choctaw Telephone ) 17 Company, MoKan Dial, Inc., Peace) Valley Telephone Company, Inc., ) 18 and Southwestern Bell Telephone ) Company d/b/a AT&T Missouri, ) 19 ) Respondents. ) 20 HAROLD STEARLEY, Presiding 21 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE KEVIN D. GUNN, Chairman 22 TERRY M. JARRETT, ROBERT KENNEY, 23 STEPHEN STOLL, COMMISSIONERS. REPORTED BY: 24 Pamela Fick, RMR, RPR, CCR# 447: 25

Page 358 **APPEARANCES:** 1 DANIEL YOUNG, Attorney at Law 2 Schlee Huber McMullen and Krause P.C. 3 4050 Pennsylvania, Suite 300 Kansas City, Missouri 64171 (816) 931-3500 4 dyoung@schleehuber.com 5 TROY P. MAJOUE McGuire, Craddock & Strother, P.C. 6 2501 N. Harwood St. Suite 1800 7 Dallas, TX 75201 8 (214) 954-6800 tmajoue@mcslaw.com 9 W. SCOTT McCOLLOUGH 10 McCollough Henry 1250 South Capital of Texas Highway Building 2, Suite 235 11 West Lake Hills, Texas 78746 (512) 888-1112 12 wsmc@dotlaw.biz 13 Halo Wireless, Inc. FOR: 14 15 LEO J. BUB, Senior Counsel DENNIS FRIEDMAN, Attorney at Law, Mayer Brown 16 AT&T Missouri 909 Chestnut Street, Room 3518 17 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314)235 - 250818 leo.bub@att.com 19 AT&T Missouri. FOR: 20 CRAIG JOHNSON, Attorney at Law 21 JOHNSON & SPORLEDER 22 304 East High Street, Suite 200 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 23 (573) 659-8734 cj@cjaslaw.com 24 FOR: Alma Telephone Company, Choctaw 25 Telephone Company and MoKan Dial,

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

```
Page 359
     W.R. ENGLAND III, Attorney at Law
1
     BRIAN T. McCARTNEY, Attorney at Law
 2
     Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C.
     312 East Capitol
     P.O. Box 456
 3
     Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
 4
    (573)635-7166
     trip@brydonlaw.com
 5
          FOR:
                   Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, et al.
6
     COLLEEN M. DALE, Senior Counsel
7
     MEGHAN McCLOWRY, Legal Counsel
     P.O. Box 360
 8
     200 Madison Street
9
     Jefferson City, MO 65102
     (573)751 - 3234
10
                   Staff of the Missouri Public
          FOR:
                   Service Commission.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 360 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Good morning. It's Wednesday, June 27th, 2012. We are 3 back on the record in File No. TC-2012-0331. We will 4 be picking up with the remainder of Craw-Kan 5 б witnesses. And as far as the schedule today, I did 7 want to let you know I had mentioned yesterday that I might have to be called out for the Commission's 8 9 regularly scheduled agenda meeting. At this point it does not look like we will have to break for agenda. 10 11 So the plan is to proceed and try to complete the testimony on the small telco witnesses 12 and we will break about that time. I know a couple 13 14 of the Commissioners want to be present for the testimony of Staff witness Voigt, so we will 15 hopefully have this timed out roughly with them with 16 the completing agenda. 17 18 Also wanted to announce again that 19 Commissioner Jarrett is viewing the hearing remotely, so he is present here in spirit if not in person and 20 may be sending me questions to ask the witnesses. 21 22 So are there any preliminary matters 23 before we begin today? 24 (NO RESPONSE.) 25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Hearing none,

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

1	Page 361 Mr. England, you may call your next witness.		
2	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.		
3	Mr. Jack Jones, please.		
4	(The witness was sworn.)		
5	JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be		
6	seated, and you may proceed, Mr. England.		
7	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.		
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND:		
9	Q. Would you please state your name and		
10	business address for the record, please.		
11	A. My name is Jack Jones. I work at IAMO		
12	Telephone Company in Coin, Iowa, 104 Crook Street.		
13	Q. And what is your position with IAMO		
14	Telephone Company?		
15	A. General manager and CEO.		
16	Q. Mr. Jones, are you the same Jack Jones		
17	that has caused to be prepared and filed in this case		
18	prepared direct testimony that I believe has been		
19	marked for purposes of identification as Craw-Kan		
20	Exhibit 4?		
21	A. Yes, I am.		
22	Q. Are there any corrections or revisions		
23	that you need to make to that testimony at this time?		
24	A. No, there are not.		
25	Q. Is the information contained in that		

1	Page 362 testimony and the exhibits attached thereto true and			
2	correct to the best of your knowledge, information			
3	and belief?			
4	A. Yes.			
5	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you. Your Honor,			
6	I'd tender the witness for cross-examination, offer			
7	Exhibit Craw-Kan Exhibit 4 subject to your ruling			
8	on the motions to strike.			
9	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you.			
10	Once again, as with the prior witnesses, we'll be			
11	reserving ruling until the parties have a chance to			
12	file written responses.			
13	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you.			
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.			
15	Cross-examination from Halo.			
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MAJOUE:			
17	Q. Good morning, Mr. Jones.			
18	A. Good morning.			
19	Q. As you know, I represent Halo Wireless			
20	and I'll, again, start with the same questions with			
21	all the folks yesterday. Did you actually write your			
22	testimony?			
23	A. I got an outline from counsel, and we			
24	went over the outline and I verified the accuracy of			
25	the data and filled in the personal information when			

1	Page 363 it comes to my own bio and that type of information.	
2	Q. And so you confirmed what he told you.	
3	Did you actually write any of the stuff other than	
4	your biographical information?	
5	A. I went over it with counsel and made	
6	sure that the answers were correct.	
7	Q. Meaning, though, that you didn't	
8	physically create it yourself?	
9	A. Not all of it, no.	
10	Q. But you created some of it?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Did you then help write some of the	
13	testimony for the other witnesses that	
14	A. No. I've not seen any of the other	
15	witnesses' information.	
16	Q. Let's turn to page 3 of your testimony,	
17	in particular, lines 11 through 14 where you discuss	
18	how you found out about Halo delivering traffic to	
19	it. And it says that you began receiving records of	
20	that traffic from AT&T is that correct?	
21	A. Correct.	
22	Q. Then earlier on at the bottom of page 2	
23	going onto page 3, lines 1 through 3, you again	
24	indicate that you received records from AT&T	
25	regarding the traffic that's at issue; is that	

	Page 364			
1	correct?			
2	A. Yes.			
3	Q. Then on page 6 of your testimony,			
4	starting at line 20 going onto page 7, through 5, you			
5	discuss traffic studies that you received from AT&T			
6	is that correct?			
7	A. Correct.			
8	Q. All right. So all the information that			
9	you have regarding the traffic at issue is based on			
10	records and traffic studies that you got from AT&T,			
11	correct?			
12	A. That's correct.			
13	Q. Did you do anything to verify those			
14	traffic studies or records?			
15	A. Typically they're they've been so			
16	accurate over the years that we've never had any			
17	reason to question. We have had traffic studies done			
18	in the past from cost consultants, and the data was			
19	extremely accurate so no reason to question it.			
20	Q. Okay. And when was the last time you			
21	did a cost verification or a			
22	A. Probably three years ago.			
23	Q. Three years ago? And how would you go			
24	about verifying or how would your consultant go			
25	about verifying the records of AT&T?			

Г

1	Page 365 A. They put a device on our switches that		
2	measures all the traffic and captures all the		
3	information and verifies the traffic.		
4	Q. Did you talk to anyone at AT&T about		
5	verifying the		
6	A. Not in this case, no.		
7	Q. How well, how are interconnected		
8	or are you interconnected with AT&T?		
9	A. Indirectly.		
10	Q. Do you use SS7 signaling?		
11	A. Yes.		
12	Q. And who do you get that from?		
13	A. Iowa Network Services.		
14	Q. In the billing records that you got from		
15	AT&T, did it provide the CPN and CN information for		
16	the Halo traffic that's at issue?		
17	A. We get that information from our billing		
18	company, and typically I don't see all that		
19	information.		
20	Q. Okay. So you don't know one way or the		
21	other whether that information was passed on to your		
22	company?		
23	A. I don't have personal knowledge of that,		
24	no.		
25	Q. Do you claim that the traffic at issue,		

Page 366 1 the Halo traffic at issue is subject to access 2 charges? It makes sense to me that that much 3 Α. 4 traffic is -- just suddenly appears from the wireless 5 carrier that nobody's ever heard of, but I don't have personal knowledge of that one way -- one way or the 6 7 other. 8 Whether it's subject to access or not? Q. 9 It appears to me when the traffic Α. 10 appears on our network, we feel like it needs to be billed. 11 12 Okay. And you mentioned the volume of 0. 13 traffic. In your opinion is volume something that's 14 determinative of whether access is due? No, no. All of it needs to be billed. 15 Α. 16 Okay. But all of it needs to be billed 0. 17 at access rates, is that what you're telling me? 18 Not necessarily, just -- just depends if Α. 19 it's wireless or whatever. 20 Q. Now, for any traffic that you would 21 argue that is subject to access charges, is there --22 does your company have an intrastate switched access 23 tariff that it claims applies? 24 Α. Yes. We adhere to the same Oregon 25 Farmers tariff.

	Page 367			
1	Q. Is it true that the description and			
2	terms and conditions of any access service you would			
3	claim to be providing is set forth in that tariff?			
4	A. Yes.			
5	Q. Let's turn to page 4 of your testimony,			
б	specifically lines 1 through 3 where you claim that			
7	Halo refused to negotiate with your company. You			
8	heard me ask this of the other witnesses yesterday.			
9	Have you seen any of the correspondence that was			
10	attached to the rebuttal testimony of Russ Wiseman?			
11	A. No.			
12	Q. And after hearing me ask all the folks			
13	about it yesterday, you did not go look at it			
14	yesterday?			
15	A. I did not.			
16	Q. Is there a reason why you didn't go look			
17	at it?			
18	A. I don't have it, is one thing.			
19	Q. Okay. Is that something you could have			
20	asked your attorney for?			
21	A. Could have.			
22	Q. And why didn't you?			
23	A. Well, at this point I don't feel that			
24	it's necessary, and of the opinion that we're not			
25	going to see any money out of this and it doesn't			

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 368 really matter what we do. 1 2 Q. Okay. Well, you understand that you're 3 under oath, correct? 4 Α. Yeah. 5 Q. And that here you've claimed that Halo refused to negotiate with your company? 6 7 Α. Uh-huh. 8 And I'm asking you about correspondence Q. 9 that we have asserted says we were offering, 10 notwithstanding a dispute over the rules, to 11 negotiate with you. Is there a reason that you have 12 not gone and tried to verify that one way or the 13 other to correct your testimony? 14 MR. ENGLAND: Objection, your Honor, to the form of the question. Counsel's testifying as to 15 what they're... 16 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: You can rephrase. 18 MR. MAJOUE: Well, and I'll just -- I'll 19 move on. BY MR. MAJOUE: 20 21 So do you know one way or the other 0. 22 whether Halo agreed -- notwithstanding any dispute 23 about the application of rules, agreed to negotiate 24 with your company one way or the other? 25 What I know is that the letters that are Α.

Page 369 in my testimony from counsel where counsel did 1 contact Halo and Halo refused to do anything except 2 ask for interconnection with the companies. 3 4 That's -- that's my knowledge of it. 5 Q. Okay. So you never received any copies 6 of proposed agreements or anything like that --7 Α. No. 8 -- from Halo? And you don't know one Q. 9 way or the other whether they offered proposed terms 10 notwithstanding any dispute regarding 11 interconnection? 12 I don't know of any terms that were Α. offered. 13 14 Now, at the time that those letters were 0. 15 sent to you -- or that your attorney sent those 16 letters to Halo, if you were aware that 17 notwithstanding any dispute in the rules Halo told 18 you we will agree to negotiate with you if you simply 19 request interconnection from us and you agree to 20 enter into a negotiations process under the rule, and 21 then if you do that, we'll give you interim 22 compensation at the applicable rates, is that 23 something you would have done? 24 Α. I would have looked at it, but it's more than likely doubtful, based on cost, that it wouldn't 25

1	Page 370		
1	make sense, but I would have looked at it.		
2	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether		
3	there are any companies out in the industry that have		
4	requested interconnection and requested to		
5	interconnect with Halo and have received interim		
6	compensation?		
7	A. I don't know of anything other than what		
8	I've heard in this meeting.		
9	MR. MAJOUE: All right. No further		
10	questions.		
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Do we have		
12	any other parties that wish to cross-examine this		
13	witness?		
14	MS. McCLOWRY: No, your Honor.		
15	JUDGE STEARLEY: Very well. Any		
16	questions, Commissioner Stoll?		
17	COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions, your		
18	Honor.		
19	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Redirect.		
20	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.		
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND:		
22	Q. Mr. Jones, you were asked some questions		
23	about the billing records you received from AT&T.		
24	A. Uh-huh.		
25	Q. I believe you indicated that you've		

Page 371 1 found those to be accurate over the years; is that 2 correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And do you ordinarily receive those Q. 5 records in the course of your business? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Do you rely on those records for Q. 8 purposes of billing other wireless carriers besides 9 Halo? 10 Α. Yes, we do. 11 Q. Do you have any reason to believe those records are inaccurate? 12 13 Α. None whatsoever. 14 As they pertain to Halo's traffic? Q. 15 Α. No, shouldn't, no. 16 Q. When you send bills based on those 17 records to other wireless carriers, do they pay those 18 bills? 19 Α. Yes they do. 20 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, sir. No other 21 questions. JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you, 22 23 Mr. Jones. That concludes your testimony. You may 24 step down. At this point I'm not going to finally excuse you just like with the other witnesses in case 25

Page 372 1 the Commissioners would have additional questions. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 3 JUDGE STEARLEY: And Mr. England, you 4 may call your next witness. 5 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor. Ι 6 believe our next witness is Benjamin Jack Rickett. 7 (The witness was sworn.) 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be 9 seated, and Counsel, you may proceed. MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor. 10 11 Just a second. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND: 12 13 Would you please state your name and Q. 14 business address for the record, please. Benjamin Jack Rickett and I work at 15 Α. McDonald County Telephone Company at 704 Main Street, 16 Pineville, Missouri. 17 18 And what's your position with Q. 19 McDonald --20 I'm the CEO technician. Α. 21 Thank you. Mr. Rickett, did you cause 0. 22 to be prepared and filed in this case direct 23 testimony that I believe has been marked for purposes 24 of identification as Craw-Kan Exhibit No. 6? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Page 373
	Q. And do you have any corrections or
2	revisions that you need to make to either that
3	testimony or the exhibits attached thereto?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Is the information contained in that
6	testimony and attached as exhibits true and correct
7	to the best of your knowledge, information and
8	belief?
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, sir. I have no
11	other questions of the witness, would tender him for
12	cross-examination, offer Craw-Kan Exhibit No. 6
13	subject to your supplemental ruling on the motions to
14	strike.
15	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
16	Mr. England. Cross-examination by Halo.
17	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Your Honor, is it
18	permissible to appear without my
19	JUDGE STEARLEY: It is.
20	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Thank you.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
22	Q. How are you today, sir?
23	A. Sure, I'm great.
24	Q. My name is Scott McCollough. I'm
25	counsel for Halo Wireless. You've worked with

1	Page 374 McDonald for how many years?		
2	A. This is my fifth year.		
3	Q. Okay. You appear to be someone with		
4	some technical background		
5	A. Yes, sir.		
6	Q based on your indication of		
7	background. You run the systems there as CEO		
8	technician?		
9	A. Yes, sir.		
10	Q. One of the things that you say you do		
11	with McDonald is verifying billing and usage. That		
12	appears on page 1 of your testimony.		
13	A. That is correct.		
14	Q. Explain to me how it is you verify		
15	billing and usage.		
16	A. We'll take the records from AT&T. If		
17	there's any doubt, we'll compare them with our own		
18	billing records. It's a long, tedious process, takes		
19	several days to verify that the information we get		
20	from AT&T matches the billing records we get in our		
21	own switch.		
22	Q. When you say your "own billing records,"		
23	are you referring to AMA records generated by your		
24	switch?		
25	A. That is correct.		

Γ

1	Page 375 Q. Okay. AMA stands for automated message			
2	and accounting [sic]?			
3	A. That's correct.			
4	Q. Let's talk a little bit about how AMA			
5	records are generated because I believe there was			
6	discussion of that earlier with a prior witness.			
7	When a call comes in, the setup information is			
8	delivered to a class 5 switch. Somehow or another			
9	the SS7 point code has been decided that a call needs			
10	to go to this particular switching point, SSP, right?			
11	A. Correct.			
12	Q. And SSP is basically a switching entity,			
13	correct			
14	A. Correct.			
15	Q in the SS7 network? So a signaling			
16	system 7 STP will send a message to the SSP or end			
17	office and it will say, I want to set up a call with			
18	you and the SSP will then typically send back a			
19	message over the SS7 network and go, okay, I'm here,			
20	put it on this trunk, correct?			
21	A. Correct.			
22	Q. And so the SS7 network more or less			
23	mediates the setup of a call?			
24	A. Correct.			
25	Q. And among other things, there is			

Г

1	Page 376 information that is provided through the SS7 process.		
2	In addition to just calling/called number, there are		
3	a host of parameters which are used in the initial		
4	address message which is basically the invite,		
5	correct?		
6	A. Yes, sir.		
7	Q. Okay. Then the SSP will tell the STP,		
8	okay, have your switching entity put it on this trunk		
9	and the two switching entities, perhaps a tandem and		
10	the end office, will then mutually wait for a call to		
11	go on the bearer side where the voice information is?		
12	A. Yes, sir.		
13	Q. Okay. And you have a communications		
14	link established?		
15	A. Yes.		
16	Q. As part of that process, the switch,		
17	your end office switch is generating information		
18	about what has happened, correct?		
19	A. Yes.		
20	Q. Including, among other things, perhaps		
21	the calling number and, of course, the called number?		
22	A. Yes.		
23	Q. While the call is holding, the switch is		
24	holding this information and continuing to record		
25	information in a register, right?		

1	А.	Page 377 Yes.
2		Typically at the end of a call when the
	Q.	
3	call is torn	down, then the end office switch will
4	write to a m	ore permanent record all of the
5	information	that you as a technician have told it to
6	maintain for	purposes of generating whatever records
7	you need associated with that call?	
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And typically that is produced in what
10	ultimately becomes an AMA record?	
11	Α.	Yes, sir.
12	Q.	Now, the AMA record includes information
13	beyond just	what was in the SS7 stream, right?
14	Α.	I'm not sure I understand.
15	Q.	Well, certainly we'll have more
16	information	than appeared in the initial address
17	message, won	't we?
18	Α.	You'll have to define the information
19	you're describing.	
20	Q.	It may have call start time, call end
21	time?	
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	So duration can be computed?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Now, the call end time is not in the

	Page 378
1	initial address message, is it?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. So the switch itself, the end
4	office switch also generates information that is
5	recorded and ultimately appears in the AMA record?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. After the AMA record is generated, it is
8	held in storage at the end office switch for use
9	later, right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. The process then for billing is that the
12	AMA record, along with many, many other AMA records
13	that have been generated during whatever period of
14	time, are sent for processing, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. They are rolled up into a certain
17	category of records, aren't they? Have I lost you?
18	Have I gone beyond where you are now?
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q. Okay. Ultimately, however, after
21	processing, the AMA record may turn into what shows
22	up in a carrier access billing system bill, right, a
23	CABS bill?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And a CABS bill is what is used for

Page 379 1 access charges, yes? 2 Α. I don't know. 3 You don't? 0. 4 Α. I'm not in the billing department. 5 Q. So then your job description here really 6 just kind of stops at verifying what your end office 7 switch has recorded? 8 Α. Correct. 9 And when you get the information from 0. 10 AT&T, what you are doing is comparing the AT&T tandem 11 switch records to what your own switch has generated? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Now, an end office switch can only Q. 14 record the information that it either receives or 15 generates on its own, right? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Let me now go through a short line 0. 18 that's very similar to what you probably heard from 19 my compatriot, Mr. Majoue, if we could. Did you 20 actually write your testimony? 21 Α. With the facts that my counsel had gave me and I, we worked together. 22 23 The information that you rely on in your 0. 24 testimony regarding the nature and volume of 25 AT&T's -- of Halo's traffic, that's all based on the

	Page 380
1	information provided to you by AT&T, isn't it?
2	A. A majority of it is, yes.
3	Q. Okay. You did not perform any of the
4	verification that we discussed right at the beginning
5	of your testimony for this particular traffic?
6	A. I did perform some short verification of
7	the records.
8	Q. And tell me about that if you would.
9	A. We took the records that we got from
10	AT&T and the AMA records along with clean data that
11	we had in our switch and compared and lined up calls
12	and can verify which calls came in from a landline
13	and which lines were not.
14	Q. Using your switch information?
15	A. Along with AT&T's.
16	Q. Now, your switch information would have
17	the SS7 information including the point code
18	information, right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do your AMA records record point codes?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Just for those of us who are perhaps
23	less technically minded, a point code is an address
24	that is used by an entity such as an SSP or end
25	office switch, right?
1	

## **EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012**

Page 381 1 Α. Correct. 2 Q. So you do not record point codes? 3 Α. No. 4 What you have -- what you basically saw, Q. 5 then, was the calling and called number in your 6 switch records? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Did you also see any information related Q. 9 to charge number? 10 Α. No. 11 There was no charge number information? Q. 12 Correct. Α. 13 Do you have any knowledge as a Q. 14 technician what might have happened to any charge 15 number information that Halo populated and sent to 16 AT&T before it got to you? I understand charge numbers are inserted 17 Α. 18 from the billing from AT&T based on the arrangement 19 with the carrier. 20 Q. I see. So to you, the charge number would be the information AT&T would put in there? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 Not necessarily what Halo would have Q. 24 populated in the SS7? 25 I don't know. Α.

Page 382 1 0. You don't know. Okay. But you did see 2 CPN information, did you not? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And this is what you have characterized Q. 5 as some being landline? 6 Α. Yes. 7 THE COURT REPORTER: As what? I'm 8 sorry. 9 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Some being landline. 10 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 11 12 Therefore, what you might have seen --0. 13 and we've used this example throughout the hearing, 14 you might have seen a telephone number associated 15 with a rate center held by some CO code owner in 16 California, little Suzie in the example? 17 Α. It's possible. 18 Okay. Would you agree with me, then, 0. 19 that it is highly likely that Halo did, in fact, 20 populate calling party number information when it was 21 signaling to AT&T? 22 During my study, yes. Α. 23 Who is it that provides your STP 0. functionality for SS7? 24 25 AT&T. Α.

Page 383 1 0. Which particular AT&T STP do you use, do 2 you know? It is AT&T Missouri Southwestern Bell. 3 Α. 4 Do you use the same STP that AT&T does? Q. 5 Α. I do not know. 6 You don't know. You don't know whether 0. 7 it's a partitioned STP or you're getting a port on 8 the same STP that perhaps is used --9 Α. I do not know. 10 0. -- for the intraLATA network? I don't know. 11 Α. 12 Now, are you of the opinion or position 0. 13 that some of the Halo traffic at issue in this case 14 is subject to access charges? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 0. McDonald has an access tariff, and maybe 17 just in the interest of time, let me try to lead you 18 a little bit. McDonald concurs in the Oregon Farmers 19 Mutual Telephone Company tariff? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 However, you maintain your own specifics 0. 22 which access element rates --23 Α. Yes. 24 -- that appear in your tariff? Q. 25 (HALO EXHIBIT NO. 17 A AND 17 C WERE

Page 384 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT REPORTER.) 1 2 BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 3 Okay. Sir, let's start with what's been 0. 4 marked as Halo Exhibit 17 C. You see that, sir? 5 It's the short one. Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 Does that appear to be McDonald County Q. 8 Telephone Company's PSC Missouri tariff No. 5? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Have you looked at the tariff in the 11 past? 12 Vaguely. Not -- it's not my department Α. to look at tariffs and billings, so... 13 14 Okay. You had mentioned that McDonald 0. 15 County concurs in the Farmers Mutual Telephone 16 Company tariff. Does that appear to be what is 17 represented on 14 B on the first page? 18 Is it 14 B? It's not marked. Α. 19 Q. I'm sorry. Section 14 B on the very 20 first page of Halo Exhibit 17 C. 21 Α. Yes. 22 And then the third page of the exhibit, 0. 23 do those appear to be the McDonald County intrastate 24 switched access rate elements? 25 Α. Yes, they do.

1	Page 385 MR. McCOLLOUGH: I will represent that
2	we pulled this off the Missouri Commission's website
3	over the weekend.
4	MR. ENGLAND: Well, as a matter of fact,
5	those are the same tariff sheets we've asked the
6	Commission to take official notice of.
7	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Okay. Offer 17 C.
8	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. We have
9	taken official notice of them, but I can take them as
10	an exhibit.
11	MR. McCOLLOUGH: If they're already in,
12	it matters not to me which vehicle is used.
13	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Well, we
14	can go ahead and formally admit it even if it's
15	redundant and it will be into the record.
16	MR. McCOLLOUGH: That way I can refer to
17	my own exhibit.
18	JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.
19	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Okay.
20	(HALO EXHIBIT NO. 17 C WAS RECEIVED INTO
21	EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THE RECORD.)
22	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
23	Q. Can you now turn to what's been marked
24	as Halo Exhibit 17 A?
25	A. Would that be this sheet here that's not

Page 386 1 marked? 2 Q. The one that has written on it "Oregon 3 Farmers Mutual Telephone Company Access Services, PSC 4 Missouri No. 6." 5 Α. Okay. 6 0. Does that appear to be excerpts from the 7 Oregon Farmers tariff in which your company concurs? 8 Α. Appears to be. 9 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Again, I will represent 10 to counsel that I've pulled this off of the Missouri Commission website. 11 12 MR. ENGLAND: Yeah, we have no objection if it's a true copy of what's on file with the 13 Commission. I would point out it's a little 14 different from the prior exhibit. 15 16 We had asked the Commission to take official notice of the entire terms and conditions of 17 18 the Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company access 19 tariff which I believe is roughly 140 or 150 pages in length. So I assume this is -- these are just 20 21 certain pages, this is not the complete tariff. 22 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Why don't we do it this 23 way just so that we don't burden the record, your 24 Honor. I don't need to formally offer this. We have no problem with their official notice. 25

1	Page 387
1	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.
2	MR. McCOLLOUGH: But perhaps we can use
3	this just perhaps for cross-examination.
4	JUDGE STEARLEY: That's acceptable.
5	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
6	Q. Sir, if you could turn to the third page
7	of this demonstrative exhibit of the tariff. It's
8	first revised sheet 11. Do you see that?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Now, Mr. Majoue's been asking all of the
11	prior witnesses whether the terms, conditions of
12	switched access service that to the extent that it
13	is Halo's traffic is deemed to be access would be
14	covered by the access tariff that would be consistent
15	with 1.1 says, using your layman's understanding,
16	wouldn't it?
17	MR. ENGLAND: Objection, your Honor. I
18	don't think there's been any foundation for the
19	witness to answer the question. He's indicated that
20	he's, one, not that familiar with his own access
21	tariff concurrence and the rates of the McDonald
22	County tariff which was the prior exhibit, and
23	there's been no foundation that he has any knowledge
24	of the Oregon Farmers access tariff terms and
25	conditions. And I think the tariff speaks for

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

1	Page 388
1	itself, and it may be getting into probably asking
2	for a lay opinion, and it still may be getting into
3	more of a legal conclusion.
4	MR. McCOLLOUGH: No, I think now that
5	counsel has made that assertion, I can change the
6	question and we can deal with this in a far more
7	efficient
8	JUDGE STEARLEY: You can probably lay
9	some foundation also.
10	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
11	Q. You don't purport to be knowledgeable of
12	the tariff, what it says, how it works?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. Okay. The arrangement between McDonald
15	County and AT&T for purposes of traffic that flows
16	over what the ILECs have been saying is goes over
17	the LEC-to-LEC network, let's talk a little bit about
18	how that is billed when AT&T sends, for example, you
19	the records. Let's see how far we can go with your
20	knowledge.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. So AT&T will send you its tandem
23	records, and you will take those records and you will
24	identify certain relevant information for it. For
25	example, you will look and see for each call who the

Page 389 1 carrier is that AT&T says is the originating carrier 2 for purposes of the call, right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 In this instance in the records that 0. 5 AT&T provided to you, Halo was identified as the 6 originating carrier, correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And then your company will take that Q. 9 information and figure out what rate applies, 10 correct? 11 Α. I assume so. 12 The billing, then, after the rate 0. 13 determination is made will be for those portions of 14 the service that your company provides, correct? Clarify for me. 15 Α. 16 0. Well, you would -- you would bill, for 17 example, end office switching? 18 Α. Yes. 19 If your company has a portion of the Q. 20 transport link between your company and the access --21 and the tandem that AT&T uses, you would bill for a 22 portion of that transfer? 23 I don't know. Α. 24 You don't know. Well, your bills do not 0. 25 charge the customer that you believe is at issue here

Page 390 for the entirety of the call, including the portion 1 2 provided by AT&T, does it? I don't know. 3 Α. 4 You don't know. Do you know the purpose Q. 5 of switched access as described in the tariff? No, sir. 6 Α. 7 Have you ever seen what switched access Q. 8 for as described in the tariff? 9 Α. No, sir. 10 Q. For that traffic that you believe access 11 applies, what specific switched access feature group 12 do you think is being provided to Halo? Provided to Halo? 13 Α. 14 Q. Yes. I guess I don't understand. 15 Α. 16 Well, switched access is a service, Q. 17 correct? 18 Yes. Α. 19 It has a service definition? Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Do you know how many types of switched 0. 22 access there are? 23 Α. No. 24 You've never heard of Feature Group A, 0. 25 Feature Group B, Feature Group C, Feature Group D?

Page 391 Yes, sir. 1 Α. 2 Q. Okay. So you are familiar with those four feature groups? 3 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 Q. Do you know of any other feature groups? No, sir. 6 Α. 7 That's it, right? Q. Yes, sir. 8 Α. 9 Which of those four is it that McDonald Q. 10 County is providing to Halo for that traffic that you 11 contend is subject to access? 12 Α. They appear to come in Feature Group C. 13 Q. So your position would be then that for 14 this traffic that is subject to access, McDonald 15 County is providing switched access Feature Group C 16 to Halo? To the best of my knowledge. 17 Α. 18 Do you know whether Halo is using Q. interim NXX translation or 800 database service 19 20 provided by McDonald County? 21 Α. Do not know. 22 You do not know. So you're not contending Q. 23 that Halo is using either of those options? 24 Α. I don't know Halo's business model. I 25 don't know what they use.

Page 392 What I'm really -- perhaps I was not 1 0. 2 clear. What I'm really asking is whether you think you are providing those things to Halo. 3 4 Α. Redefine the things that we're 5 providing. 6 Do you know whether as part of the 0. 7 arrangement Halo is using anything that looks like 8 interim NXX translation? 9 Α. Halo has no arrangement with us. 10 Q. Okay. So then you're probably not, 11 right? 12 Probably not. Α. 13 Q. Okay. What about 800 database access, 14 same answer? We don't have an 800 database. 15 Α. 16 Do you contend that Halo is a provider Q. 17 of MTS or WATs? 18 I do not know. Α. 19 Your end office, is it capable of Q. 20 providing equal access IXCs? 21 Α. Yes, sir. MR. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me. 22 I'm sorry. Ι 23 meant to impose an objection. Our -- AT&T's interest 24 is in expediting the proceeding. 25 JUDGE STEARLEY: Could you use your

1	Page 393 microphone, make sure it's on, Mr. Friedman?
2	MR. FRIEDMAN: Sure. The green light is
3	on. I believe that we've been at this for 25
4	minutes, and I believe that the limit is 15. And
5	although certainly AT&T I don't represent the
б	witness, but AT&T Missouri does have an interest in
7	expediting the proceeding.
8	MR. ENGLAND: Your Honor, I guess I
9	better weigh in. I did not want to raise that issue
10	because, quite honestly, Halo's counsel has
11	accommodated me on some other things, so obviously I
12	do not want to cut off his cross-examination at least
13	insofar as this witness is able to answer those
14	questions.
15	JUDGE STEARLEY: I think we can allow a
16	little latitude here as long as we don't get into an
17	excessive amount of time.
18	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Quite frankly, your
19	Honor, this is the last question that I was going to
20	ask with regard to the tariff, and then I was going
21	to just move back into the same questions.
22	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.
23	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
24	Q. Do you contend that Halo is a provider
25	of MTS or WATs service?

Page 394 Α. I do not know. 1 2 Q. You can set aside that exhibit. Now, a 3 couple of the prior witnesses in the Craw-Kan Group 4 have indicated that their bills to Halo have been not 5 the access-related charges but instead this 6 Commission's reciprocal compensation -- wireless 7 reciprocal compensation rates set in prior 8 arbitrations. Is it the same for you? 9 That's true. Α. 10 Q. On page 3 of your testimony, line 15, 11 you state your understanding that, "Halo refused to 12 negotiate primarily because our company did not 13 specifically request interconnection with Halo." Do 14 you see that? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 0. I'm not going to get into you about just 17 what may or may not be required concerning requesting 18 interconnection. I just want to get your 19 understanding of what it was that actually transpired 20 between your company representatives, including your 21 lawyers and Halo, okay? (Nodded head.) 22 Α. 23 You attached to your testimony Q. 24 correspondence from your counsel. Did you see any of 25 the responsive letters that Halo sent to your

Page 395 1 counsel? 2 Α. No, sir. 3 0. Since the time that the parties were 4 exchanging correspondence a year or so ago, have you 5 had occasion to ask for or look at any of the 6 correspondence that Halo sent? 7 Α. No, sir. 8 You did not look at it before you put Q. 9 your testimony together? 10 Α. Just the letters that our attorneys had 11 sent. 12 0. Okay. So you saw what you sent, you 13 never saw what you got? 14 Α. No, sir. 15 0. You didn't see the correspondence that 16 was attached to Mr. Wiseman's testimony? 17 Α. No, sir. 18 So then you do not personally know 0. 19 whether Halo did, in fact, offer to negotiate with 20 your company for terms and conditions on an 21 interconnection agreement, do you? 22 Α. Personally no. I'm just a technician. 23 And you don't know whether Halo told 0. 24 your representatives, if you guys just do what 20.11 25 of the FCC rule says, request interconnection, invoke

1	Page 396 the negotiation and arbitration procedures contained
2	in Section 252 of the Act, we will negotiate with you
3	and we will pay you interim compensation at the rate
4	set by this Commission; you don't know that?
5	A. I do not know.
6	Q. Do you know why it is that your
7	representatives chose to not do the two things that
8	we said were required in order for you to get paid?
9	MR. ENGLAND: Objection, your Honor.
10	Form of the question. One, the witness has indicated
11	he's not familiar with the correspondence; two, may
12	get into confidential attorney/client privilege.
13	MR. McCOLLOUGH: I'm sorry, your Honor.
14	The second part of his objection is correct,
15	certainly. It did sound like I was asking for
16	privileged information.
17	JUDGE STEARLEY: You may rephrase.
18	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Thank you.
19	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
20	Q. If you had heard that Halo had indicated
21	it would pay interim compensation if the Commission
22	set rates if your company would request
23	interconnection and invoke the negotiation and
24	arbitration procedures in the Act, is that something
25	you would have been interested in?

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

1	Page 397 MS. McCLOWRY: Excuse me. I think that
2	question has been asked and answered more than once.
3	- MR. McCOLLOUGH: Not of this witness.
4	JUDGE STEARLEY: I don't believe it has
5	of this witness. I'll overrule it.
6	THE WITNESS: I'd give that information to
7	my counsel and he would be the advice for our company.
8	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Okay. That's all I
9	have, your Honor.
10	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any other
11	party wish to cross-examine this witness?
12	MS. McCLOWRY: No, Judge.
13	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Questions,
14	Commissioner Kenney?
15	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: No, thank you.
16	JUDGE STEARLEY: Commissioner Stoll?
17	COMMISSIONER STOLL: No questions.
18	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. I have a few
19	questions that Commissioner Jarrett has sent me for
20	you.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	JUDGE STEARLEY: You testified this
23	morning that at times you run your own tests to
24	verify AT&T's records; is that correct?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

1	Page 398 JUDGE STEARLEY: Based on that testimony,
2	have you found their records to be accurate?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE STEARLEY: Generally have you
5	found AT&T's records to be accurate?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
7	JUDGE STEARLEY: Have you received any
8	complaints from any other carriers requesting AT&T's
9	records?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Those are
12	Commissioner Jarrett's questions. Any recross based
13	on questions from the bench?
14	MR. McCOLLOUGH: If I may, just very
15	short.
16	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay.
17	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
18	Q. The information that AT&T sends to you
19	can be correlated to the information that is
20	contained in your switch?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Calling and called number, that is
23	essentially all that you can verify, correct?
24	A. There's some a little more
25	information but that is the basic, yes.

Page 399 1 0. And so you can only verify what your STP 2 and your end office switch get from AT&T, right? That's not all I can verify. 3 Α. 4 What else can you verify? Q. 5 Α. I can verify in some instances where the call originated. 6 7 Q. Based on? Based on that that number was tied to a 8 Α. 9 direct line card out of our switch. 10 0. I see. When you say "a direct line 11 card," you mean a call that started on the line side 12 of your switch? We have two switching centers, so a 13 Α. 14 call -- we have seen calls that are originating in one switching center from a line card which is 15 directly tied to a pair to a physical customer's 16 house, leave on a Feature Group D trunk, go to an IXC 17 18 carrier and return to the other switching center as a 19 Halo wireless call, but we have verified that it is a 20 wireline call. 21 Who was the IXC? 0. 22 I don't know that I'm allowed to give Α. 23 that information. 24 MR. McCOLLOUGH: I'd like to get that 25 information.

	Bass 100
1	Page 400 JUDGE STEARLEY: Is that information
2	considered confidential? We can go in-camera,
3	Counsel.
4	MR. ENGLAND: In an abundance of
5	caution, I would suggest yes. It sounds to me like
6	he has the name, but just to be careful, I'd say
7	let's go in-camera.
8	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. We will go
9	in-camera and the parties need to clear the gallery
10	as necessary.
11	(Reporter's Note: At this point, an
12	in-camera session was held, which is contained in
13	Volume 5, pages 401 through 409 of the transcript.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 401 JUDGE STEARLEY: And you may proceed,
2	Mr. England.
3	MR. ENGLAND: We may have some people
4	coming into the room. I'll give them a chance to get
5	settled if you don't mind.
6	JUDGE STEARLEY: That's quite all right.
7	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND:
9	Q. Mr. Rickett, I want to follow up with
10	some questions regarding your study and the
11	comparison of your switch records with the records
12	you receive from AT&T. First of all, when was that
13	study performed?
14	A. I believe it was March the 26th.
15	Q. Of this year?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Thank you. The AMA record that
18	you pulled from your switch, is that something that
19	you pull at the time the call was made or after the
20	fact?
21	A. It's logged for a certain amount of
22	time, so we go back and pull it after the call has
23	already been made.
24	Q. Okay. And in order to match it up with
25	the billing record you get from AT&T, I think you

Page 402 1 indicated there are certain common pieces of 2 information; for example, the called party? 3 Α. Correct. 4 How about the duration of the call? Q. 5 Α. True. 6 0. So that would give you the start time 7 and the start end of the call? 8 Α. Correct. 9 What other pieces of information is your 0. 10 switch able to capture and match against the AT&T 11 record? The time of day of the call -- the date, 12 Α. the time of day and the trunk group that it arrives 13 14 on. 15 0. What about the calling party number? The calling party cannot be matched 16 Α. because it doesn't exist in the AT&T record. 17 18 Okay. But it does in your switch? Q. 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. Okay. And that's how you were able to 21 determine whether or not other subscribers of 22 McDonald County Telephone Company may have made a 23 long distance call terminating to another customer of 24 McDonald County Telephone Company? 25 Α. Yes, sir.

	D 402
1	Page 403 Q. Okay. Thank you. Similar to other
2	questions of other small company witnesses, are these
3	AT&T records received by you in the normal course of
4	business?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And are they customarily used by you for
7	purposes of billing other wireless carriers in
8	addition to Halo?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And I'm probably plowing some ground
11	that Commissioner Jarrett already did, but have you
12	received any objections from other wireless carriers
13	for bills based on those records?
14	A. No.
15	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, sir. I have no
16	other questions.
17	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
18	Mr. England. Thank you, Mr. Rickett. You may step
19	down. As with the other witnesses, I'm not going to
20	finally excuse you yet at this time, though, in case
21	the Commissioners would have additional questions for
22	you. And Mr. England, you may call your next
23	witness.
24	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
25	Kevin Johnson, please, your Honor.

Г

Page 404 1 (The witness was sworn.) 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be seated and you may proceed. 3 4 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND: 6 Would you please state your name and Q. 7 business address. My name is Kevin Johnson from Granby 8 Α. 9 Telephone Company, Granby, Missouri. That's 126 South Beaver Avenue. 10 11 And what is your position with the Q. 12 Granby Telephone Company? Central office manager. 13 Α. 14 Thank you, sir. Are you the same Kevin Q. 15 Johnson that has caused to be prepared and filed in 16 this case direct testimony as well as some exhibits 17 that are attached thereto? 18 Α. I am. 19 I believe it's been marked for purposes Q. 20 of identification as Craw-Kan Exhibit No. 3? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 Okay. Do you have any corrections or 0. 23 revisions to that testimony at this time? 24 Α. No, I do not. 25 Q. Is the information contained in the

Page 405 direct testimony as well as the exhibits attached 1 2 thereto true and correct to the best of your 3 knowledge, information and belief? 4 Α. Yes, they are. 5 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you sir. Again, I'd tender the witness for cross-examination, offer 6 Craw-Kan Exhibit 3 subject to your rulings on the 7 motions to strike. 8 9 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you, 10 Mr. England. Cross-examination by Halo. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MAJOUE: 11 12 And you said you're appearing on behalf 0. 13 of Granby, correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 0. And the same question as the other 16 folks. Did you actually write your testimony? I worked with my counsel in preparing 17 Α. 18 it, yes, I did. 19 In connection with working with your 0. 20 counsel, did you work with any of the other witnesses 21 in preparing their testimony? 22 No, I did not. Α. 23 Have you reviewed any of the testimony 0. 24 that they've submitted? 25 Α. No, I have not.

	Page 406
1	Q. And do you know one way or the other
2	whether it's similar or identical to the testimony
3	you've prepared?
4	A. I have no way of knowing. I haven't
5	read theirs.
6	Q. You're not a lawyer, correct?
7	A. No, I am not.
8	Q. Now, let's turn to page 2 of your
9	testimony, in particular lines 14 through 17 where
10	you discuss how Halo's delivering traffic to your
11	company. Is it correct that you rely on the records
12	you receive from AT&T to determine that?
13	A. Yes, we do.
14	Q. Let's turn to page 6 of your testimony,
15	lines 12 through 20, where you discuss traffic
16	studies regarding the amount of traffic that Halo
17	was terminating to your company. Is it correct
18	that in making your opinions here in this testimony
19	that you've relied on the traffic studies as well of
20	AT&T?
21	A. Yes, we have relied on AT&T's
22	information.
23	Q. So all of the information you have
24	regarding your belief of the nature of Halo's
25	traffic, the amounts and whatnot, that's all based on

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## **EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012**

Page 407 1 either the traffic records or the traffic studies? 2 Α. Yes, that's correct. 3 0. Are you interconnected with AT&T? 4 Α. Yes, we are. 5 Q. Do you use SS7 signaling? 6 Yes, we do. Α. 7 And who provides that SS7 functionality? Q. 8 AT&T. Α. 9 Do you know one way or the other whether 0. 10 in the traffic or billing records or SS7 information 11 that AT&T passed on the CPN and CN information of the Halo traffic that's at issue? 12 13 Α. No, I do not. 14 Do you claim that any of the Halo Q. 15 traffic at issue is subject to access charges? 16 Α. Yes, we do. 17 And based on that response, do you claim 0. 18 that your company has an intrastate switched access 19 tariff that is applicable to this traffic? 20 Α. Yes, I do. I believe we have one. 21 Can you tell me which tariff that is? 0. I'm not in the tariff department. 22 Α. I do 23 believe to my best ability it's the Oregon Farmers 24 Mutual. 25 Is it your position that the description Q.

Page 408 and terms and conditions of the access service you 1 2 claim to be providing is set forth in that tariff? 3 I would assume so, yes. Α. 4 Let's turn to page 3 of your testimony, Q. 5 specifically line 16 through 18 where you assert that 6 Halo refused to negotiate with your company. 7 Α. That was my understanding. 8 Have you reviewed any of the Q. 9 correspondence that was attached to the rebuttal 10 testimony of Russ Wiseman? 11 Α. No, I have not. 12 Were you at this hearing yesterday? 0. 13 Α. Yes, I was. 14 Did you hear the discussion of that Q. 15 correspondence? I heard you ask other witnesses about 16 Α. 17 it, yes. 18 Was there a reason why you didn't go 0. 19 attempt to look at that? 20 Α. Didn't feel it was necessary. 21 Why not? 0. I get all my information from my 22 Α. 23 counsel. 24 And do you know one way or the other in 0. 25 that correspondence whether Halo did, in fact, agree

Page 409 1 to negotiate? 2 Α. I do know that I was told that they would only consider direct trunking and we won't 3 consider that. 4 5 Q. And who told you that? 6 My counsel. Α. 7 Okay. But you didn't look at any of the Q. 8 correspondence one way or the other? 9 Α. No, I did not. 10 Q. And so, in fact, when you say that Halo 11 refused to negotiate, you don't know one way or the 12 other whether they refused or not? I would -- I would again say I was 13 Α. 14 acting on the advice of my counsel, but to me that's not negotiating. 15 16 What's not negotiating? Q. Stipulating demands that we direct 17 Α. 18 connect. And we are connected. We talk just fine to 19 AT&T SBC. 20 0. Did you ever review any proposed terms 21 or agreement that are along the lines you're 22 suggesting? 23 No, did not. Α. 24 So you don't know one way or the other 0. 25 whether that's, in fact, what Halo offered?

	Page 410
1	A. Again, all I know is what my attorney
2	counseled, and that's what I was told.
3	Q. And your attorney never did give you any
4	agreement that Halo offered?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Now, had Halo told you, we will agree to
7	negotiate with you if you simply request
8	interconnection with us and request negotiations, and
9	if do you that, we'll pay you interim compensation,
10	is that something your company would have agreed to?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether
13	there are any companies in the industry to whom Halo
14	has said, if you request interconnection and request
15	negotiations, we'll enter negotiations and pay you
16	interim compensation?
17	A. No, I'm not aware of any others.
18	Q. And you don't know one way or the other
19	whether those companies that may have followed those
20	steps are receiving interim compensation today as we
21	speak?
22	A. No, I do not.
23	MR. MAJOUE: No other questions.
24	JUDGE STEARLEY: Any other parties wish
25	to cross-examine? Mr. Johnson.

Page 411 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor. 1 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON: 3 Mr. Johnson, I'm Brother Johnson. 0. Ι 4 want to ask you a few questions. Granby has two 5 exchanges, Diamond and Granby? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And looking at the map that Q. 8 Mr. England used during the opening statement 9 yesterday, it appears to me that those two exchanges 10 are very close to the MTA boundary line between 11 Kansas City and St. Louis. Okay. If you say so. 12 Α. 13 Are you not familiar with the MTA Q. 14 boundary? 15 Α. Not entirely, but --16 0. I'll get away from that. Let me ask you 17 this. Do you have -- does Granby have 18 interconnection agreements with known CMRS providers 19 such as AT&T Wireless, Verizon Wireless, T-Mobile, US 20 Cellular? 21 Α. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Ι know we have towers because I work on them. 22 23 Have those agreements been approved by 0. 24 this Commission? 25 It is my understanding they have. Α.

	Page 412
1	Q. Do you know whether or not any of those
2	have interMTA factors whereby you bill those wireless
3	carriers access traffic for a portion of the traffic
4	that terminates to you?
5	A. I'm not sure. I believe the answer is
6	yes, but I'm not sure.
7	Q. Do you know whether or not if you've
8	required any of those national wireless carriers for
9	interMTA traffic to order access from you before they
10	can send it to you?
11	A. No, none of them have.
12	MR. JOHNSON: That's all I have, your
13	Honor.
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any other
15	cross?
16	(NO RESPONSE.)
17	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. No questions
18	from the bench so there's no recross. We're at
19	redirect.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND:
21	Q. Mr. Johnson, let me follow up on some
22	questions regarding the billing records. Do you
23	receive those from AT&T in the normal course of your
24	business?
25	A. Yes, we do.

Г

Page 413 1 0. Do you have any reason to doubt their 2 accuracy? MR. MAJOUE: Objection, your Honor. 3 4 That's outside the scope of our cross-examination. In this particular witness we never raised the 5 verification issue like we did with the other 6 7 witnesses. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. England? MR. ENGLAND: Well, if they didn't, then 9 10 I guess I'm not entitled to redirect on that. JUDGE STEARLEY: I don't recall that 11 12 they did. If anyone else does, they can correct me 13 on that. 14 MR. ENGLAND: I was assuming that Mr. Majoue was following his script. 15 16 MR. MAJOUE: Just wanted to keep it lively for everybody. 17 18 MR. ENGLAND: I would appreciate -- I 19 would appreciate it if he does deviate from his script in the future that he'd let me know. I have 20 no other redirect examination. 21 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Very well. 22 23 Mr. Johnson, that concludes your testimony then. You 24 may step down. However, as with the other witnesses, I'm not finally excusing you yet in case the 25

## **EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012**

Page 414 1 Commissioners would have some additional questions. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 JUDGE STEARLEY: If you review the 4 transcript and find that that's not the case --5 MR. ENGLAND: I think we know what the 6 answers -- the questions and answers are, your Honor. 7 Thank you. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. You may 9 call your next witness. 10 MR. ENGLAND: Bob Hart, please. JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Hart, if you'll 11 12 raise your right hand. 13 (The witness was sworn.) 14 JUDGE STEARLEY: You may be seated, and 15 you may proceed. 16 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND: 17 18 Would you please state your name for the Q. 19 record. Robert Hart. 20 Α. 21 And your business address, please? 0. Lu-Ru Telephone Company at 555 Carter 22 Α. Street, Stellar, Missouri. 23 24 And what is your -- in what capacity are Q. 25 you employed by the Le-Ru Telephone Company?

Page 415 1 Α. General manager. 2 Q. And I'm sure everyone here wants to know 3 how the Le-Ru Telephone Company got its name. Can 4 you describe or tell us about that? 5 Α. Yes. My stepfather bought the telephone company in 1962. His name is Leon and my mother's 6 7 name is Ruth, Le-Ru. There it is. 8 Q. Thank you. 9 Α. It's a family thing, you know. 10 Q. Thank you. Mr. Hart, did you cause to 11 be prepared the written direct testimony of Bob Hart 12 that was filed in this case? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And I believe it's been marked as 0. 15 Craw-Kan Exhibit No. 5? 16 Uh-huh. Α. 17 Are there any corrections or changes to 0. 18 that testimony that you need to make at this time? 19 Α. No. 20 0. Is the information contained in that 21 testimony and the exhibits attached thereto true and 22 correct to the best of your knowledge, information 23 and belief? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MR. ENGLAND: Thank you. No other

1	Page 416
1	questions. We'd tender the witness for
2	cross-examination and offer Craw-Kan Exhibit No. 5,
3	again, subject to your ruling on the motions to
4	strike. Thank you.
5	JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you, Mr. England.
6	Cross-examination by Halo.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MAJOUE:
8	Q. Okay. Mr. Hart, same group of questions
9	here. Did you actually write your own testimony?
10	A. Most of it.
11	Q. Most of it?
12	A. Most of it, yes. Some of it was already
13	available to my attorney, and he went ahead and
14	filled it in, and then he called me and we worked on
15	the results.
16	Q. In preparing your testimony with your
17	attorney, did you work with any of the other
18	witnesses in preparing theirs?
19	A. No, I did not.
20	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether
21	your testimony is similar or identical to theirs?
22	A. I have no idea. I still haven't seen
23	any of them.
24	Q. And you're not a lawyer, correct?
25	A. That's correct.

Г

Γ

	Page 417
1	Q. Turning to page 2 of your testimony
2	where you discuss how do you know Halo's delivering
3	traffic to your company, you say that's based on
4	records from AT&T Missouri?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And I believe in the general course of
7	these questions, your counsel's identified these are
8	just records you've received in the ordinary course
9	of business, correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. And then on page 6 of your testimony,
12	lines 12 through 20, you discuss traffic studies that
13	you received from AT&T, correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So your understanding regarding the
16	nature of Halo's traffic and the amounts and the
17	percentages that you identify is based on those
18	records that you receive in the ordinary course of
19	business and these traffic studies, correct?
20	A. From the traffic studies, that's
21	correct, uh-huh.
22	Q. Are you interconnected with AT&T?
23	A. Yes, we are.
24	Q. Do you use SS7 signaling?
25	A. I really don't know. I'm not a

Page 418 technical guy, so I really don't know what kind of a 1 circuit that is. 2 3 Do you know what CPN is? 0. 4 Α. Huh-uh. 5 Q. What about CN? 6 No. Α. 7 Do you know generally one way or the Q. 8 other whether AT&T sends some type of signaling that 9 might provide the calling parties' number who 10 initiated a call? Yeah. We have caller ID, we have that. 11 Α. And I couldn't tell you how it works. 12 13 Okay. Is it your position that the Halo Q. 14 traffic that's at issue or at least some portion of 15 it is subject to access charges? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Do you claim that your company has an 0. 18 intrastate switched access tariff that is applicable 19 to this traffic? Yes. We concur in the Oregon Farmers 20 Α. tariff. 21 22 Is it your position that the description 0. 23 and terms and conditions of the access service you 24 claim to be providing are set forth in that tariff? 25 Α. Yes.

	Page 419
1	Q. Let's turn to page 3 of your testimony,
2	lines 14 through 16 where you discuss or claim that
3	Halo refused to negotiate. Have you reviewed the
4	correspondence that was attached to the rebuttal
5	testimony of Russ Wiseman?
6	A. I have not.
7	Q. Were you in this hearing yesterday?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Did you hear me discuss with the other
10	witnesses or ask them about that correspondence?
11	A. I did.
12	Q. Is there a reason why you did not go
13	look at that correspondence in light of those
14	questions?
15	A. I didn't think it applied to me.
16	Q. And why not?
17	A. I've made I knew we were getting
18	traffic coming in that was a lot more than what the
19	normal is, and I was told that it was through this
20	study. I didn't know how to interpret it or
21	anything, but I was told that it was some traffic
22	that we didn't have agreements, tariffs with. And so
23	I didn't we sent my attorney sent a letter to
24	Halo to ask for to have talk about it, you
25	know, negotiations. And as far as I'm concerned from
1	

Page 420 my viewpoint, I heard no result from that letter. 1 2 Q. Okay. So you -- you've attached to your 3 testimony letters that your attorney sent on your 4 company's behalf, correct? 5 Α. Yes. Yes, that's correct. 6 And to your knowledge you did not 0. 7 receive any responses to those letters? 8 No, did not. Α. 9 And you don't know one way or the other 0. 10 whether Halo did attempt to negotiate with your 11 attorney on this dispute? 12 No, I sure don't. Α. 13 0. And you don't know one way or the other 14 whether they ever offered any type of agreement of 15 any kind? Huh-uh. 16 Α. 17 Now, had Halo, in fact, offered to pay 0. 18 you an interim compensation rate if you would agree 19 to request interconnection and agree to enter into 20 negotiations, is that something your company would 21 have done? I don't think that we would have 22 Α. 23 considered it, considering we have circuits already 24 set up with AT&T and everybody else has been using it. And we've -- we've not ever had any special 25

Page 421 circuits into our place, data circuits, except for 1 2 maybe from Joplin and places like that, local companies. 3 4 And you're claiming Halo, though, owes 0. 5 you money, correct, for --That's right. 6 Α. 7 But you don't know one way or the other Q. 8 whether they actually offered to pay you any money? 9 Α. I tell you, if you don't mind me being 10 candid here, the first month that we started getting 11 Halo traffic, we got -- I did not have a clue that 12 there was traffic coming in until we got a CABS bill, and I thought, oh, we've got a new carrier. 13 14 So I told the girls to watch it real careful and -- since it's new, so -- because there's 15 supposed to be an agreement on this before they start 16 sending traffic in here. Before, not after. 17 18 And so the second month came along and 19 the -- my accounts receivable lady, she came in the room, my office and she said, "We have not got paid 20 for the first month yet." 21 22 Okay. Well -- and I don't want to cut 0. 23 you off, but it's gone a little bit beyond and we are 24 tight on time. I just -- I understand that there was 25 some traffic --

1	Page 422 A. The point is the point is, a carrier
2	with good with good intentions gets these things
3	set up ahead of time before spinning a bunch of
4	stuff
5	MR. MAJOUE: Objection, your Honor. I
6	move to strike. This is nonresponsive to my question
7	which is do you know whether they offered to pay you
8	at any point. So I move to strike.
9	JUDGE STEARLEY: Any response,
10	Mr. England?
11	MR. ENGLAND: Yes. I think Mr. Hart's
12	trying to put in perspective in response to
13	Mr. Majoue's hypothetical of whether he'd consider a
14	certain arrangement or why he would not consider that
15	arrangement in this case.
16	MR. MAJOUE: Well, and that's two
17	questions ago. I asked him a specific question, do
18	you know one way or the other whether they offered
19	you any money, and he said, well, you know, I'll be
20	candid, I'll give you some context. But all that
21	context is about why he's upset and why you know,
22	the whole history, and I believe some of his history
23	is already outlined in his testimony. And the
24	question asks for a simple yes or no and then we're
25	getting a whole narrative.

	Page 423
1	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Well, you
2	didn't object when he began his narrative. I'm going
3	to overrule the objection. I think it was responsive
4	as the best this witness can interpret your
5	questions.
6	MR. FRIEDMAN: Can he finish his answer
7	then?
8	BY MR. MAJOUE:
9	Q. And again, the question is, did Halo
10	do you know one way or the other whether they offered
11	to ever pay you any money?
12	JUDGE STEARLEY: Since we've refocused
13	the question here, I'm going to ask that you do
14	specifically answer that question.
15	THE WITNESS: After the after several
16	months of not being paid and then I found out from my
17	attorney that we were having a problem with the
18	carrier, and so I put that in their hands. And in
19	the meantime, we got no response from Halo as to if
20	they were going to pay us intermediately until we got
21	some kind a final setup done or whatever. We heard
22	nothing and no offer to pay the bills, period.
23	BY MR. MAJOUE:
24	Q. Okay. And that is responsive. Do you
25	know one way or the other whether there are any

1	Page 424 companies in the industry that Halo has gone to and
2	agreed to pay interim compensation if they would
3	request interconnection and request negotiations?
4	A. No.
5	Q. And do you know one way or the other
6	whether there are any such carriers who have gone
7	through that process and are currently being paid
8	interim compensation from Halo?
9	A. No.
10	MR. MAJOUE: No further questions.
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: Any other party wish to
12	cross-examine this witness? Mr. Johnson.
13	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON:
15	Q. Does Le-Ru knowingly agree to take
16	reciprocal compensation on traffic for which you're
17	entitled to access compensation?
18	A. Yes, we would.
19	MR. JOHNSON: That's all.
20	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any other
21	cross?
22	(NO RESPONSE.)
23	JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, there's
24	no questions from the bench. Redirect, Mr. England.
25	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you, your Honor.

1	Page 425 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND:
2	Q. I note that I was paying attention
3	this time and I noted that Mr. Majoue asked a couple
4	of my questions, so not all of them. Mr. Hart, do
5	you have any reason to dispute the accuracy of the
6	billing records you get from AT&T?
7	A. No.
8	Q. And when you bill from those records to
9	the other wireless carriers, have they paid those
10	bills based on the AT&T
11	A. Yes, they have.
12	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you. No other
13	questions.
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
15	Mr. Hart. That concludes your testimony. You may
16	step down. As with the other witnesses, I'm not
17	finally excusing you yet just in case the
18	Commissioners would like to ask you some additional
19	questions. And you may call your next witness.
20	MR. ENGLAND: Your Honor, our last
21	witness is Debbie Choate with the Miller Telephone
22	Company, and I visited with counsel for Halo before
23	the hearing. She had a family commitment that caused
24	her to be out of town. She thought she could be back
25	in time for the hearing, but that has just not

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 426 1 happened, so she is unavailable. 2 I would propose to offer her testimony with the understanding, one, it's subject to the 3 motions to strike and that her answers would be 4 5 essentially the same to the questions that Mr. Majoue 6 has asked of the other similarly situated witnesses. 7 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. MR. MAJOUE: And Halo has discussed that 8 9 with her counsel, and we agree to that. 10 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. And you agree to 11 that -- foregoing cross-examination, then? MR. MAJOUE: Well, that our 12 13 cross-examination would be the same, so we forego it 14 under the stipulation that her answers would be consistent with the answers of the other witnesses. 15 16 JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. All the parties 17 are in agreement with that? No other parties wanting 18 to cross-examine this witness? 19 (NO RESPONSE.) JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Just want 20 to be sure everyone's waiving cross and I understand 21 there's an agreement reached by counsel here. All 22 23 right. Very well. I believe we have one witness 24 from Alma; is that correct? 25 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor. Tommie

1	Page 427 Sue Loges.
2	(The witness was sworn.)
3	JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be
4	seated, and you may proceed, Counsel.
5	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor.
б	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON:
7	Q. Would you tell us your name, please.
8	A. Tommie Sue Loges.
9	Q. And what's your business address?
10	A. 102 Third Street, Alma, Missouri.
11	Q. And what's your capacity with Alma?
12	A. Administrative assistant.
13	Q. Ms. Loges, did you and I cooperate in
14	drafting testimony that you signed and refiled here
15	as Exhibit No. 2 in this proceeding?
16	A. Yes, we did.
17	Q. And if I were to ask you the same
18	questions that show up in writing on Exhibit 2, would
19	the answers that you've prepared also that show up
20	on Exhibit 2, would they be the same today if we were
21	doing this verbally?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. And are those answers true to the
24	best of your knowledge?
25	A. Yes.

	Page 428
1	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I would offer
2	Exhibit No. 2 subject to the outstanding motions and
3	tender Ms. Loges for cross-examination.
4	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.
5	Cross-examination by Halo.
б	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MAJOUE:
7	Q. Would you pronounce your last name for
8	me again?
9	A. Loges.
10	Q. Loges. Okay. Ms. Loges, were you
11	present at the hearing yesterday?
12	A. No.
13	Q. But you've been here this morning,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. So I'm going to go through the same
17	group of questions with you. And I note that your
18	counsel did identify that you worked with him in
19	preparing your testimony; is that correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Did you actually write any portions of
22	this testimony?
23	A. The bio. The rest we discussed.
24	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether
25	your testimony is similar or identical to the other
1	

Г

## **EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012**

1	Page 429 witnesses represented by your counsel?
2	A. From listening this morning, I would
3	assume that.
4	Q. Ms. Loges, you're not an attorney,
5	correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Is your company interconnected with
8	AT&T?
9	A. Yes. They're a tandem.
10	Q. Does your company receive SS7 signaling?
11	A. Yes, we have links through our tandem.
12	Q. Do you know who provides the SS7
13	signaling functionality?
14	A. The links are through Bluebird.
15	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. And I want to
16	remind counsel and the witness that you're doing
17	pretty well, but please do speak into your
18	microphones.
19	BY MR. MAJOUE:
20	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether
21	the signaling information that you received, the SS7
22	signaling information, contains CPN and CN
23	information for the Halo traffic that's at issue?
24	A. I have nothing that indicates that off
25	of my reports that I receive.

	Page 430
1	Q. Okay. So it would be correct that you
2	don't know one way or the other whether that
3	information was in the SS7 signaling information you
4	received?
5	A. Based on the data that I receive.
6	Q. Let's turn to page 4 of your testimony,
7	in particular lines 13 through 20 where you discuss
8	how you believe you know that Halo has sent traffic
9	to AT&T AT&T destined for Alma. Is it correct
10	that you received billing records from AT&T in the
11	ordinary course of business?
12	A. The reports that we receive from AT&T
13	are transmitted, retrieved electronically to our bill
14	vendor. The bill vendor takes those electronically
15	and puts them into a format and then sends us a
16	report.
17	Q. Okay. But it's based on data from
18	AT&T's system, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And the information that is sent from
21	AT&T to your billing vendor, that's an ordinary
22	course transaction that you do, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Let's turn to page 8 of your testimony,
25	lines 1 through 22 and then going to page 9, lines 1

Γ

Page 431 1 through 8. You discuss again the billing records 2 from AT&T and traffic studies from AT&T. Did you 3 review the traffic studies from AT&T? 4 Α. I did. 5 Q. And are the percentages that you discuss 6 in your testimony on pages 8 and 9, those are based 7 on your understanding of the AT&T records and traffic 8 studies, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And so in forming your opinions today, 11 you're relying on the billing, the traffic studies from AT&T, correct? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Do you claim that the Halo traffic Q. 15 that's at issue is subject to access charges? 16 Α. In the absence of an agreement, we bill 17 access rates. 18 And you claim that your company has an 0. 19 intrastate switched access tariff that is applicable 20 to the traffic that's at issue? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Can you tell me what tariff that is? Q. 23 I don't have the tariff in front of me, Α. 24 but I do keep that at home in a record and I 25 reference back to that. It would have been

Page 432 documented probably back in '87, '88. I think we 1 have two different ones. 2 3 Is it your company's position that the 0. 4 description and terms and conditions of the access 5 service you claim to be providing are set forth in 6 that tariff? 7 Α. Can you repeat that? 8 Sure. Is it your company's position Q. 9 that the description of the services you're 10 providing, the access services and the terms and 11 conditions of how it's provided are set forth in the tariff that you referenced? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Is it your understanding that Halo Q. 15 refused to negotiate with your company regarding 16 setting up some type of billing arrangement? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Have you reviewed the correspondence 0. 19 that was attached to the testimony -- the rebuttal 20 testimony of Russ Wiseman? 21 Α. Briefly. 22 And what correspondence in particular 0. 23 did you review? 24 Α. His testimony and the rebuttal, I briefly read through them. 25

1	Page 433 Q. Okay. And did you read any of the
2	letters that were attached?
3	A. Yes, but I didn't see our company listed
4	in any of those letters, and to my knowledge
5	internally within our company, the only
6	correspondence that I have found where we have had
7	correspondence with Halo was a postal delivery card
8	providing us with a change in bill-to address.
9	Q. And do you know one way or the other
10	whether your counsel ever did speak with counsel for
11	Halo regarding attempts to negotiate interconnection
12	agreements or attempts to get interim compensation
13	for your company?
14	A. Again, I would have to assume. We hire
15	a competent counsel. I'm sure that he has followed
16	through. However, my position, we had a manager a
17	different manager between January, and when all of
18	this started going on, then he resigned. So if there
19	was something that he discussed with our attorney
20	that I have no knowledge of, I can't state on that.
21	But to my knowledge, no.
22	Q. Okay. And so do you know one way or the
23	other whether Halo did offer to pay some rate to your
24	company in exchange for your company requesting
25	interconnection and requesting to enter negotiations?

Page 434 1 Α. If they contacted our company, no. То 2 my knowledge they never contacted our company directly. 3 4 But did they ever contact your 0. 5 counsel -- let me back up. Do you know one way or 6 the other whether they contacted your counsel 7 requesting -- or advising that they would agree to 8 pay interim compensation if your company would 9 request interconnection and request negotiations? 10 Α. If they did, I do not have a document to 11 support that. 12 So as you sit here today, you don't have 0. 13 a document, but do you know one way or the other 14 whether that ever occurred? No, I have no document to support it. 15 Α. 16 You're claiming that Halo does as of 0. 17 today owe you money for termination of traffic, 18 correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 0. Now, had Halo come to your company 21 directly and said, we will pay you for all the 22 traffic if you request interconnection from us and 23 enter negotiations, is that something your company 24 would have agreed to? 25 We would have advised -- gone into Α.

1	Page 435 advisement with counsel, as we have with others. If
2	they would have sought an interconnection agreement
3	
5	with us, to my knowledge we would have advised with
4	the counsel. As I'm not a manager and have
5	previously not been involved in that end of
6	negotiations, I can't say one way or the other
7	whether then we would have advised with counsel and
8	proceeded from there.
9	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether
10	there are any other carriers in the industry whom
11	Halo has agreed to pay interim compensation rates if
12	that carrier requested interconnection and requested
13	negotiations?
14	A. I do not know others. All I know is for
15	us.
16	Q. Do you know one way or the other whether
17	there are any carriers with whom Halo has agreed and
18	is paying interim compensation after they requested
19	interconnection or requested negotiations?
20	MR. JOHNSON: Objection, your Honor.
21	It's irrelevant and immaterial to this case.
22	JUDGE STEARLEY: Any response?
23	MR. MAJOUE: Well, she has already
24	testified that she isn't quite sure what she would
25	have done one way or the other. I'm simply if

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

## **EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012**

Page 436 1 Halo had offered these things -- and I'm trying to see if she has any knowledge just to determine 2 whether that would have affected her decision-making. 3 4 I mean, it's the same question that we've asked all 5 the witnesses and prior witnesses haven't been 6 objected to at this point. 7 JUDGE STEARLEY: I'll overrule. She can answer to the extent that she can answer that 8 9 question based on her position. She's already 10 indicated she wasn't in a managerial position. 11 THE WITNESS: My position would only be to gather the information, the data, work with 12 counsel and follow through with the proper paperwork. 13 14 I can't make the decisions in my job capacity. Ι can't sign off on an agreement. 15 MR. MAJOUE: All right. I have no 16 further questions. 17 18 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any other 19 party wish to cross-examine this witness? 20 (NO RESPONSE.) 21 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Hearing none, there's no questions from the bench. Redirect, 22 23 Mr. Johnson? 24 MR. JOHNSON: No, your Honor. 25 Thank you very much for JUDGE STEARLEY:

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

	Page 437
1	your testimony. All right. At this time we're going
2	to recess until about 10:30 and we'll pick back up
3	with Staff's witness, Mr. Voight, and I believe the
4	Commissioners are wrapping up their agenda meeting,
5	so we may have a couple of them with us on the bench.
6	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
7	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. We are back
8	on the record, and Staff, you may call your witness.
9	MS. McCLOWRY: Staff calls William
10	Voight.
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Voight, please
12	raise your right hand.
13	(The witness was sworn.)
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. You may be
15	seated, and Counsel, you may proceed.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McCLOWRY:
17	Q. Good morning, Mr. Voight. Will you
18	please state your name for the record?
19	A. William L., Bill, Voight.
20	Q. And by whom are you employed?
21	A. I'm employed by the Missouri Public
22	Service Commission.
23	Q. And in what capacity?
24	A. I'm the supervisor of the rate and
25	tariff section of the telecommunications work unit.

	Page 438
1	Q. Are you the same William Voight who
2	prepared and caused to be filed direct testimony in
3	this matter filed on June 4th, 2012, marked as Staff
4	Exhibit 1?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And are you the same William Voight who
7	prepared and caused to be filed rebuttal testimony in
8	this matter filed on June 19th, 2012, marked as
9	Exhibit Staff Exhibit 2?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Do you have any corrections to your
12	testimony?
13	A. Yes, I have one correction to my direct
14	testimony and two corrections to my rebuttal
15	testimony. First of all, in my direct testimony on
16	page 2, there's references to schedules 1 and 2.
17	Those were inadvertently left out of the electronic
18	filing, and I believe you have supplied copies of
19	those. So I wanted to add those to my direct
20	testimony. That's a summary of my work experience.
21	The second correction or there are
22	two to my rebuttal testimony. And first of all, on
23	page 2, line 5 of my rebuttal testimony, there's a
24	reference to Halo that's only supposed to send
25	traffic that is originated by its own endusers. I'd

Page 439 like to change that to Halo's only supposed to send 1 2 wireless-originated traffic. Second correction. On page 16 are -- an 3 4 example can be found on page 16 of my rebuttal 5 testimony at lines 3 and lines 9. There may be some other instances throughout my testimony, but any 6 7 time -- I reference Halo's traffic. Any time I reference the word "reorigination," I would like for 8 9 the word reorigination to be surrounded by quotation 10 marks. 11 Okay. Aside from those corrections, do Q. 12 you have any more? 13 Α. No. 14 Is the testimony that you have filed in Q. 15 this matter true and accurate to the best of your 16 knowledge and belief? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And if asked the same questions today as 0. 19 were contained in your testimony with the 20 corrections, would your answers be the same? 21 Α. Yes. 22 MS. McCLOWRY: Okay. And at this time I 23 would move for admission of Mr. Voight's direct 24 testimony and rebuttal testimony marked as Staff Exhibits 1 and 2 subject to the pending objections. 25

	Page 440
1	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you,
2	Counsel.
3	MR. McCOLLOUGH: My assumption, your
4	Honor, is that the result is going to be essentially
5	the same as the prior witnesses. We did file some
6	objections.
7	JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes, and we'll reserve
8	a ruling until we get written responses on that. If
9	we may proceed with cross-examination beginning with
10	AT&T.
11	MR. BUB: Thank you, your Honor. We
12	just have a couple of questions.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BUB:
14	Q. Mr. Voight, we noticed on Staff's
15	position statement that it took no position on AT&T's
16	request to be excused from performance under the
17	AT&T/Halo ICA agreement. Does Staff oppose the
18	requested relief? Let me
19	A. I'm not following, Mr. Bub. I'm sorry.
20	Q. Sure. Let's go to Staff's position
21	statement.
22	A. And I don't have a copy of that. I
23	would appreciate seeing a copy of that. Thank you.
24	Q. What I'm talking about, Mr. Voight,
25	is it's the second portion. It starts on page 2.

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

	Page 441
1	The first page begins the issues of blocking issues,
2	and then underneath that, section 2 at the bottom
3	page 2, bottom of the complaint, AT&T's ICA complaint
4	and Staff's set of issues.
5	The first issue No. 6, Staff indicated
6	that its position on question 6, Has Halo delivered
7	traffic to AT&T Missouri that was not originated
8	through wireless transmitting and receiving
9	facilities as provided by the parties' ICA, has Halo
10	delivered that type of traffic?" Staff there says,
11	"Yes, Halo was delivering traffic which is not
12	covered by the interconnection agreement."
13	Is that Staff's position on that issue?
14	A. Yes, that's Staff's
15	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Object as to
15 16	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Object as to cross-examination from an incomplete a document
16	cross-examination from an incomplete a document
16 17	cross-examination from an incomplete a document that's not in evidence.
16 17 18	cross-examination from an incomplete a document that's not in evidence. MR. BUB: I'm just asking about Staff's
16 17 18 19	cross-examination from an incomplete a document that's not in evidence. MR. BUB: I'm just asking about Staff's position. Is that Staff's position, that's my
16 17 18 19 20	cross-examination from an incomplete a document that's not in evidence. MR. BUB: I'm just asking about Staff's position. Is that Staff's position, that's my question.
16 17 18 19 20 21	cross-examination from an incomplete a document that's not in evidence. MR. BUB: I'm just asking about Staff's position. Is that Staff's position, that's my question. JUDGE STEARLEY: I'll overrule the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cross-examination from an incomplete a document that's not in evidence. MR. BUB: I'm just asking about Staff's position. Is that Staff's position, that's my question. JUDGE STEARLEY: I'll overrule the objection

	Page 442
1	MR. BUB: I think I'm entitled to ask
2	and cross-examine Staff on its own position.
3	JUDGE STEARLEY: And indeed you are.
4	I'm overruling the objection.
5	THE WITNESS: The answer, Mr. Bub, is
6	yes, that is Staff's position on No. 6.
7	BY MR. BUB:
8	Q. And on No. 7, the question is at
9	issue is, "Has Halo paid the appropriate compensation
10	to AT&T Missouri as prescribed by the parties' ICA?
11	If not, what compensation, if any, would apply?"
12	And Staff's position according to the
13	written position is, "No, Halo has not paid the
14	appropriate compensation. Intrastate switched access
15	is the appropriate compensation for such traffic."
16	Could you confirm whether or not that is
17	Staff's position on that issue?
18	A. Traffic that's subject to switched
19	access charges is payable for switched access. If
20	it's reciprocal comp-type traffic, then it's subject
21	to reciprocal comp.
22	Q. Okay. And then the final issue, "Has
23	Halo committed a material breach of its ICA with AT&T
24	Missouri? If so, is AT&T Missouri entitled to
25	discontinue performance under the ICA?" Do you see

Г

Page 443 1 that? 2 Α. Yes, I see that. 3 Okay. And what I'd like to ask you 0. 4 specifically about is that the remedy that AT&T's 5 asking to be excused from performance. Does Staff 6 oppose the requested relief? 7 Α. No, not as I understand the relief. 8 In Staff's view, is the requested relief Q. 9 something that the Commission could appropriately 10 grant if the Commission deemed warranted? 11 Α. Absolutely. 12 MR. BUB: Thank you. Those are all the questions that AT&T has. 13 14 JUDGE STEARLEY: Cross-examination from Craw-Kan. 15 16 Thank you, your Honor. MR. ENGLAND: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND: 17 18 Good morning, Mr. Voight. Q. 19 Α. Good morning, Mr. England. 20 0. At page 2 of your rebuttal testimony, 21 lines 3 and 4, you reference the interconnection 22 agreement between Halo and AT&T and the fact that it 23 has been approved by the Commission. Do you see 24 that? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 444 1 0. Now, am I correct in understanding that 2 typically when an interconnection agreement is filed 3 with the Commission for approval, the Commission 4 issues notice to affected parties to include 5 third-party carriers such as my clients? Is that 6 your understanding? 7 Α. Yes, that's my understanding. I think 8 possibly and particularly in instances where an 9 amendment, for example, has not been previously 10 approved by the Commission. But certainly it's my understanding that a case is docketed and the matter 11 12 is noticed up. 13 0. Okay. Well -- and I'll take it in two 14 pieces. With respect to the original agreement which 15 I understand was an adoption of an existing agreement 16 between AT&T and at that time Voice Stream that Halo 17 was opting into, do you know if the Commission sent 18 any notice to the industry about the filing of that 19 agreement in its request or the parties' request for 20 approval? 21 Α. No, I do not know categorically if that was noticed up. I don't know. 22 23 And sort of the same question with 0. 24 respect to the subsequent amendment that was filed, 25 do you know if there was any notice issued to third

Page 445 1 parties? 2 A. No, I would have to check. I don't know. 3 4 MR. ENGLAND: Okay. Thank you. No 5 other questions. 6 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. 7 Cross-examination, Alma? 8 MR. JOHNSON: No cross, thank you. 9 JUDGE STEARLEY: Cross-examination, 10 Halo? 11 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Thank you, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 12 13 Q. I want to make sure I don't mispronounce 14 your name. And if I do, please accept my apologies. 15 Is it Voight? 16 Yes, that's correct. Α. 17 You provided a supplement to your Q. 18 testimony with your summary of work experience? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Q. Can we visit about that for a second? 21 Α. Yes. 22 First of all, I want to ask you a couple Q. 23 of questions about what doesn't seem to appear here. 24 Α. Okay. 25 Q. I notice that there is no listing of any

Page 446 1 work in relation to the proceeding that gave rise to 2 the ERE Rules. Were you involved in the development 3 of those rules? 4 Α. Yes, I was involved. 5 Q. They just don't happen to be listed 6 here? 7 Α. Apparently not. There were some proceedings of this 8 Q. 9 Commission in the early 2000s related to wireless 10 traffic that traversed what you have referred to as 11 the LEC-to-LEC network regarding AT&T's provision of 12 transit service and how that would work with relation 13 to the ILECs. Are you aware of those proceedings? 14 Α. Yes, generally. 15 0. Were you involved in any of those? 16 Well, the most significant one was what Α. I would call the mega arbitration, the mega 17 interconnection agreement between AT&T and 18 19 Southwestern Bell, and transiting traffic, I believe, was involved in that issue. But I was not involved 20 21 in that case. There are other cases involving transiting traffic where I have been involved. 22 23 I'm specifically asking about the series 0. 24 of proceedings that involved, for example, the 25 wireless termination tariffs that many of the nonAT&T

Page 447 1 ILECs filed prior to the FCC's so-called T-Mobile 2 decision. Were you involved in those? I certainly reviewed and undoubtedly 3 Α. 4 wrote recommendations to approve those tariff sheets, 5 so yes, to some extent I would have been involved. I honestly don't recall testifying in any of the 6 7 contested proceedings. Perhaps I was, I just don't 8 recall. 9 Were you involved in any of the 0. 10 arbitrations between the nonAT&T ILECs that may have 11 been -- that are in this case and some of the 12 wireless carriers like T-Mobile, Verizon, AT&T 13 Mobility? 14 Α. I apologize that my arbitration -serving on arbitration advisory staff experience is 15 not listed, but I honestly don't recall if I was or 16 not. 17 18 I gather, then, that what's listed here 0. 19 is cases where you actually provided testimony? What I would call contested case 20 Α. Yes. 21 proceedings where I would appear in a -- what I would call an adversarial role advocating for one point of 22 23 view or another. 24 I gather, then, there were some other 0. 25 proceedings where you had an involvement perhaps in

1	Page 448 an advisory capacity to the full Commission where you
2	did not provide testimony but were assisting them in
3	their decision-making regarding the terms and
4	conditions of, say, an interconnection agreement?
5	A. I've certainly been on the arbitration
6	advisory staffs. I do not recall if any issues
7	were involved wireless traffic. I just honestly
8	don't recall.
9	Q. Understood. There's one particular
10	listing on your schedule 2.2 that I want to ask you a
11	few questions about. It appears on the second page
12	of your testimony experience, the third page of
13	A. I'm there.
14	Q. Okay. The proceeding down near the
15	bottom on the third page, TC-2000-0111, Staff of the
16	Public Service Commission and State of Missouri,
17	Plaintiff versus Comcast IP Phone. You see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. You were a Staff witness in that case?
20	A. I feel certain I was, yes.
21	Q. Without going into great detail, could
22	you describe for me what the basic issues were, why
23	the Staff brought a complaint against Comcast
24	IP Phone?
25	A. Yes. My recollection is that there was

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

1	Page 449 a law passed in Missouri which essentially says that
2	IP-type traffic is subject to access charges in the
3	same respects as is TDM-based traffic. As I recall,
4	Comcast IP Phone probably did not have a certificate
5	or a tariff, and I think the Staff filed a complaint
6	on that basis. That's the best I remember of that.
7	Q. Do you remember generally how that case
8	turned out, what the decision or disposition was?
9	A. No. Best of my knowledge Comcast
10	IP Phone is now certificated and/or registered as a
11	VoIP provider in Missouri. I don't recall if that
12	case actually went to the hearing phase or if the
13	parties settled. I honestly don't remember.
14	Q. You can't remember whether it was
15	settled or the contested case went to decision, and
16	if so, what the ultimate result was other than you
17	now know that Comcast is either certificated or
18	registered?
19	A. Yes, yes.
20	Q. Let's start out with a new topic, your
21	testimony your rebuttal testimony on page 7.
22	A. Okay. I'm there.
23	Q. You indicate there beginning on line 4
24	that Transcom was certificated as an interexchange
25	carrier in 2003?
1	

Page 450 Α. Correct. 1 2 Q. You say "Transcom." Do you mean to say 3 Transcom Enhanced Services, Inc.? 4 Α. No, sir. Clarify that right now, if we 5 may. That was Transcom Communications, Inc. 6 We can shorten a whole bunch of this --0. 7 Α. Sure. 8 -- if you just acknowledge that that was Q. 9 a completely different entity. 10 Α. Yes. If I may say, I anticipated some 11 of your questions, and I've done some additional 12 research since I wrote that testimony a few weeks 13 ago. 14 So your testimony when you wrote it, you 0. 15 now know was incorrect? No, I don't -- I mean, as far as the 16 Α. word Transcom, no, I don't think there's any of my 17 18 testimony that I need to correct other than some 19 additional clarification that the company I was referring to was Transcom Communications, Inc. which 20 has some of the same common ownership as Transcom 21 Enhanced Services. They operate in the greater 22 23 Dallas/Fort Worth Metroplex area. I think it's --24 legally they do have two different names. Some of the ownership is the same, though. 25

1	Page 451
	Q. So you did not check, for example, the
2	motion to cancel certificate of service authority
3	filed by Transcom Communications, Inc. that you
4	referred to in your testimony?
5	A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
6	Yes, that's that was checked. At what point are
7	you talking about, when we wrote the recommendation
8	to cancel it or when I wrote my testimony? I'm not
9	sure that I follow your question.
10	Q. Well, you refer on in response to the
11	question that's at the bottom of page 7, your answer
12	on page 8, correspondence advising of the
13	cancellation status mailed to Transcom's address in
14	Irving, Texas was returned to the post office by the
15	Missouri Public Service Commission.
16	Did you check the records to see whether
17	there was a motion to cancel certificate of service
18	authority filed by Transcom Communications, Inc.?
19	A. Yes, yes. There was a motion, I
20	believe, Mr. McCollough, that canceled their
21	authority. I believe it was filed by Kelley Drye $\&$
22	Warren.
23	Q. I've handed you what's been marked Halo
24	Exhibit 27. Does this appear to be the motion to
25	cancel certificate of service authority that you just

Page 452 1 referred to? 2 Α. Excuse me. The letter we received was from Kelley Drye & Warren. Yes, this is 3 Ms. Hernandez, Staff Counsel's motion to cancel the 4 5 certificate of Transcom Communications, Inc. (HALO EXHIBIT NO. 27 WAS MARKED FOR б 7 IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT REPORTER.) 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. You may 9 continue, Counsel. 10 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Thank you. I offer Halo Exhibit 24 [sic]. 11 12 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Any objection to admission of Halo Exhibit No. 27? 13 14 MR. McCOLLOUGH: I'm sorry. I said 24. 15 I meant 27. 16 MS. McCLOWRY: No objection. 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, it shall 18 be admitted and received into the record. 19 (HALO EXHIBIT NO. 27 WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE AND MADE A PART OF THE RECORD.) 20 BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 21 22 Let's take a look at the bottom of Halo 0. 23 Exhibit 27, the indented portion of paragraph 3. 24 Α. I'm there. 25 "Although Transcom, ellipse, intended to Q.

	Page 453
1	provide service in Missouri Transcom's parent
2	company, Vyke Communications, PLC, a publicly traded
3	company incorporated in the United Kingdom has
4	decided to withdraw most of its operations. "
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Is it your understanding that Transcom
7	Enhanced Services, Inc. is owned by Vyke
8	Communications, PLC, a publicly traded company
9	incorporated in the United Kingdom?
10	A. No. It's my belief that the current
11	ownership of Transcom Enhanced Services is shown in
12	the Wisconsin exhibit attachment of some testimony in
13	this case.
14	Q. And is not Vyke Communications, PLC a
15	United Kingdom company?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. So then based on Exhibit 27, it does
18	appear that there is not, in fact, common ownership,
19	does it?
20	A. No, I wouldn't say that at all. First
21	of all, those are the representations not of Staff
22	but of Transcom Communications, Inc.'s counsel at the
23	time. The certificate of application for Transcom
24	Communications, Inc. does show that Mr. Scott
25	Birdwell and Mr. Britt Birdwell were owners and

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 454 1 officers of Transcom Communications, Inc. and are 2 also owners and officers of Transcom Enhanced Services. 3 4 Did you do any diligence to determine Q. 5 whether perhaps the ownership interest of the 6 Birdwell individuals you just mentioned had been 7 transferred to Vyke Communications, PLC? 8 Α. I'm relying on the exhibit from 9 Wisconsin to establish ownership of Transcom Enhanced 10 Services. 11 I'm now referring to Transcom Q. 12 Communications Services. 13 Α. Forgive me. May I ask you to restate 14 your question? 15 0. Sure. Did you do any diligence to 16 determine whether perhaps the persons, the Birdwells, 17 for example, had sold their interest in Transcom 18 Communications, Inc. to Vyke Communications, PLC 19 sometime in the past? Well, I believe the answer is no. 20 Α. We 21 relied on the representations that were presented to the Commission in 2003 to establish ownership of 22 23 Transcom Communications, Inc. 24 Do you -- did you attempt to determine 0. 25 whether sometime after the application, the

1	Page 455 Birdwells' interest in Transcom Communications, Inc.
2	had been transferred to Vyke?
3	A. I'm going to have to answer yes, I did
4	some I attempted to do some research on the
5	Internet, for example, Transcom there are numerous
6	references to Transcom and its ownership, some of it
7	fairly recent. It continues. For example, Bloomberg
8	Business Week, it looks like as much as as
9	recently as June of this year, talks about
10	Mr. Birdwell's founding of Transcom in 1999. It
11	doesn't say anything about transferring ownership to
12	Vyke, V-y-k-e.
13	Q. Does that article refer to Transcom
14	Enhanced Services, Inc. or Transcom Communications,
15	Inc.?
16	A. That is I only presume that it refers
17	to Transcom Enhanced Services because Transcom
18	Communications, Inc. left the country in 2005.
19	(HALO EXHIBIT NO. 26 WAS MARKED FOR
20	IDENTIFICATION BY THE COURT REPORTER.)
21	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
22	Q. Sir, I've handed you what's been marked,
23	I believe, as Halo Exhibit No. 26. Do you have that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Does this appear to be an order by the

Page 456 1 Wireline Competition Bureau in WC docket 05229 2 released DA 072948, released July 2nd, 2007, reported 3 at 22 FCC record 111849? 4 Α. I'm sorry. May we refer to this as a 5 transfer of control document or --6 Q. Yes, sir. I'm just trying to 7 authenticate the document. 8 Α. Sure. 9 Are you familiar with the process that 0. 10 is used at the FCC for licensure of domestic common 11 carrier? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Have you ever heard of a 214 14 application? 15 I don't recall. Α. 16 0. You don't. Okay. Does this document 17 just on its face -- and again, I'm trying to 18 authenticate here -- appear to address domestic 214 19 filed for the transfer of control of Maskina 20 Communications from Transcom Holdings, LLC to Vyke 21 and Vyke Communications, PLC nonTranscom pleading 22 cycle established? 23 MR. FRIEDMAN: Objection. A document 24 cannot be authenticated by asking the witness if it 25 looks like something.

Page 457 1 MR. McCOLLOUGH: This is just --MR. FRIEDMAN: A document can be 2 authenticated by asking a witness if he has seen the 3 document before, and based on his familiarity with 4 the document, if he can attest that it is what it 5 appears to be. This is not authentication. It's not 6 7 a relevant question. 8 JUDGE STEARLEY: I have to agree with 9 counsel, Mr. Friedman. It's not the way we 10 authenticate documents. MR. McCOLLOUGH: Very well, your Honor. 11 12 JUDGE STEARLEY: You can ask if this witness recognizes this document. You can have him 13 14 read it and ask if he has some understanding of what he's reading, but --15 16 MR. McCOLLOUGH: I'll back up. 17 JUDGE STEARLEY: -- I don't believe he's 18 a proper custodian of some type of record that can 19 identify or authenticate this document. 20 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Actually, your Honor, I 21 was just simply trying to authenticate under the public records exemption --22 23 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. 24 MR. McCOLLOUGH: -- but that's fine. 25 BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:

	Page 458
1	Q. Sir, did you undertake to check FCC
2	records regarding Transcom Communications, Inc. and
3	its federal licensure to determine whether there
4	continued to be common ownership and control of
5	Transcom Communications, Inc. and Transcom Enhanced
6	Services, Inc.
7	A. No, Mr. McCollough, I did not check
8	federal records.
9	Q. Do you routinely look at FCC orders as
10	part of your job duties and responsibilities?
11	A. Certain subject matters, yes.
12	Q. Do you know what the Wireline
13	Competition Bureau is?
14	A. I have an idea.
15	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Your Honor, I'm going
16	to either seek for admission or request judicial
17	notice of this document. It was on our list that was
18	filed on Monday.
19	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Are there
20	any objections? It's been offered for admission as
21	Halo Exhibit No. 26.
22	MS. DALE: We have no objection to the
23	Commission taking judicial notice of it.
24	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Since
25	you've given me an alternative and there's no

Page 459 objections to notice, we'll take official notice of 1 2 this exhibit. BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 3 4 Let's just take a look very briefly to 0. 5 the last full paragraph on the first page. Could you just read that to yourself? 6 7 Α. Yes. It speaks of ownership of Transcom 8 Holdings. 9 Okay. And do you see the name Birdwell 0. 10 there, Scott Birdwell? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 Okay. Is that the same -- probably the 0. 13 same individual you were referring to earlier? 14 Α. I would expect so, yes. 15 0. So then if we assume that sometime prior 16 to 2005 the ownership of Mr. Birdwell is 35 percent, 17 or whatever ownership it was, and Transcom 18 Communications, Inc. was transferred to Vyke 19 Communications, Inc., then would you agree with me 20 that your information in your testimony regarding 21 Transcom being a certificated carrier in Missouri as 22 late as 2009 -- now I say Transcom Enhanced Services, 23 Inc. -- was not, in fact, correct? There was no 24 longer any ownership? 25 I don't know if that's the case. Α. Ι

1	Page 460 don't know why in I believe 2003 the
	-
2	representation was made that Mr. Birdwell was a
3	principal owner. I just don't know. But relying on
4	your document, I think it appears to state something
5	differently than what was presented to the Commission
6	when Transcom Communications, Inc. applied for its
7	certificate.
8	Q. So it's at least possible based on what
9	you've seen here that sometime after the certificate
10	was issued here, that the ownership of Transcom
11	Communications, Inc. was transferred out to this
12	foreign corporation, Vyke?
13	A. That could be, yes, sir.
14	Q. You have some testimony on pages 16, 17,
15	19 and 20 of your rebuttal, and I'm just giving
16	you-all the page references because they all address
17	mostly the same thing, but I think we can focus in a
18	very particular part of your testimony on page 19 to
19	20. We're talking about delivery of CPN.
20	A. Very well.
21	Q. Caller identification information, I
22	believe, is the definition in the ERE Rules. Do you
23	have a copy of the ERE Rules with you?
24	A. Yes, I do.
25	Q. Let's turn to that very quickly if we

Page 461 1 It would be originating caller identification can. 2 as defined -- I have a hard time finding my 3 reference. I believe it would be definition No. 28, 4 Α. 5 29.020. It would be on page 4. 6 0. Thank you. Your understanding is what 7 I'm going to ask for here. Would you agree with me 8 based on your understanding that originating caller 9 identification as used in ERE Rule 240-29.020(28) is 10 CPN? 11 I certainly won't quibble that caller ID Α. and CPN are the same thing. 12 13 Well -- and let's just talk a little bit Q. 14 of technology for a second. 15 Α. Okay. 16 When the SS7 network does what it does 0. 17 and there is information in the CPN parameter of the 18 ISDN user part initial address message, and say an 19 enduser, a subscriber to basic telephone service has 20 caller ID capability including the little display. 21 When the caller looks down on the caller ID and sees 22 a number there, that is the number that was 23 transmitted in the CPN parameter, isn't it? 24 Α. Yes, that would be my understanding. 25 And we can talk about how it gets to Q.

> MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

	Page 462
1	there some other day, perhaps, where we put on our
2	propeller heads and talk about when it shows up and
3	all of that, but basically speaking, what people see
4	that you say is important to consumers is the content
5	that's in the CPN parameter?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. You've been here during the whole
8	hearing, haven't you?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Have you heard the testimony go
11	ahead.
12	MS. DALE: I just need to add that he
13	did absent himself during the last in-camera because
14	he was afraid that he might hear something that might
15	be have a bearing on a different case.
16	MR. McCOLLOUGH: And that's fine, your
17	Honor, because the stuff that was taken up then does
18	not pertain to my questions.
19	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Very well.
20	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
21	Q. You've heard the various witnesses, AT&T
22	witnesses, the other ILEC witnesses all testify that
23	Halo was, in fact, populating the CPN parameter and
24	that they all received it, haven't you?
25	A. Yes.

Page 463 1 0. So then do you still take the position 2 that Halo was not delivering originating caller 3 identification as defined in the ERE Rules? 4 Α. You may need to point me to my 5 testimony. And I don't know that I was necessarily 6 taking that position as I was attempting to 7 characterize the position of somebody else. Part of 8 my job, I try to understand -- as a Staff person I 9 try to understand all the parties' points of view. 10 Q. Well, I mean, you know, do you now take 11 a position on whether Halo was, in fact, supplying 12 information that might meet the definition of 13 originating caller identification as defined in the 14 rule? Well, I think the short answer is yes. 15 Α. 16 0. Okay. Thank you. 17 Α. I mean, I think that it's being 18 delivered in the SS7 bit stream to the terminating 19 end office is what it sounds like to me. 20 Q. And that's what the ERE asks people to 21 do, right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 So just so that we don't have to 0. Okay. 24 go through it, therefore, the Commission should not 25 take your testimony to state or imply in any way that

1	Page 464 regardless of whatever else we may talk about in the
2	rule, you're not trying to suggest to the Commission
3	that Halo was manipulating or failing to transmit CPN
4	originating caller identification information in any
5	way?
б	A. No, I don't believe I've ever said that.
7	Forgive me if I may have given that impression. I
8	think the issue has more to do with insertion of a
9	charge number and how that may impact the AMA
10	records, the category 1101 records that are being
11	created at the tandem is my general understanding.
12	But based on the testimony and evidence
13	in this case, it sounds to me like Halo's populating
14	or passing the calling party number, caller ID,
15	passing that to the terminating end office via the
16	SS7 signaling parameters. That that is my
17	understanding.
18	Q. Thank you. Now, you mentioned 1101
19	records.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What are the last couple of digits after
22	1101 that you were referring to?
23	A. They're undefined pursuant to the rule.
24	They're denoted by placeholders, if I understand your
25	question correctly.

1	Page 465 Q. Well, the specific records that AT&T
2	
	provides to the ILECs that are behind its tandem on
3	what you call the LEC-to-LEC network, the records
4	that they receive, those are not, in fact, industry
5	standard 1101 X records, are they? They're
6	specifically designed for this state?
7	A. I believe the answer to that is yes. I
8	think it's what we would characterize as a
9	Missouri-specific category 1101.
10	Q. It is a variation on other types of 1101
11	records?
12	THE COURT REPORTER: 1101 what?
13	MR. McCOLLOUGH: 1101 records.
14	THE COURT REPORTER: Records. Okay.
15	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know if
16	that's a question. It has to do with the OCN and the
17	CIC.
18	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
19	Q. Understood.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. 1101 records are, in fact, records that
22	are defined various types of records that are
23	defined by an industry group, isn't it, the OBF, the
24	order and billing form?
25	A. Yes, that would be my understanding.

	Page 466
1	Q. And 1101 records are generated from
2	processed AMA information; isn't that true?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So I believe, then, what your testimony
5	would be is that when AT&T gets a call that is placed
6	on what you call the LEC-to-LEC network and when it
7	is creating the tandem records that it provides to
8	the other ILECs, it creates a special record that is
9	unique to Missouri and it may not be including the
10	original CPN information that was transmitted,
11	correct?
12	A. Well, I agree completely with everything
13	up to the very last thing that you said, and I simply
14	don't know what's occurring at that point.
15	Q. Okay. So you don't know if these 1101
16	XX records have the CPN-type information anywhere in
17	there?
18	A. No, I do not know. It sounds like it
19	may not, but I don't know.
20	Q. Wasn't there a proceeding at this
21	Commission about that very question? Didn't AT&T
22	come up here and ask for a special order from the
23	Commission saying that it didn't have to produce or
24	provide that CPN information in these 1101 records?
25	A. You may have to refresh my memory.

1	Page 467 Q. If you don't remember, that's fine, sir.
2	A. Yeah, I don't think I remember that.
3	Which is not to say that when we made the ERE Rule
4	there was a lot of discussion on who should do what,
5	but I'm not sure if that's what you're referring to
6	or not.
7	Q. In fact, as part of this 1101 XX
8	Missouri-specific process, what AT&T does is it
9	identifies the carrier that it says is the
10	originating carrier using a what is the equivalent
11	of a charge number for the carrier that AT&T is
12	interconnected with; here Halo, right?
13	A. They certainly do identify what I would
14	characterize as the entity who placed the call onto
15	the LEC-to-LEC network. Whether or not it's called a
16	charge number, I don't know.
17	Q. Well, we're going to discuss in a minute
18	whether the entity is the one who placed the call on
19	the LEC-to-LEC network.
20	A. Fair enough. I thought you might ask me
21	that.
22	Q. But let's just see if for now we can
23	agree the entity that handed the call to AT&T.
24	A. Sure.
25	Q. Okay. Over interconnection trunk.

1	Page 468 A. Okay.
2	Q. All right. And that identifier, isn't
3	it true that it looks like a phone number?
4	A. Well, the charge number certainly looks
5	like a phone number. I think what the rule talks
6	about, though, are OCN, and I think it talks about an
7	originating company number in bit positions 167
8	through 170 of this record, and that would not be a
9	telephone number.
10	Q. Halo has an OCN as far as you know,
11	right?
12	A. Well, no. I thought I read somewhere in
13	the testimony where I don't remember if it's Halo
14	and/or Transcom that didn't have CICs and OCNs. Go
15	ahead and refresh my memory. Okay. If Halo has an
16	OCN, fine.
17	Q. Well, you have to have an OCN in order
18	to get telephone numbers from NANPA, don't you?
19	A. Oh, okay. I'm following you. Yes,
20	and yeah.
21	Q. I think what you may be referring to is
22	that neither Halo nor Transcom have a CIC, which is
23	carrier identification code.
24	A. Fair enough.
25	Q. And then a CIC is something that an IXC

Г

Page 469 1 has. 2 Α. Correct. 3 0. An OCN is something that at an exchange 4 carrier has. 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 While my compatriot was cross-examining 0. 7 one of the witnesses, I believe it was one of 8 Mr. England's clients, I notice that a call came in 9 on a monitor. Did you see that? Yes, I was -- I did notice that. 10 Α. 11 Did you notice that it said "call coming Q. in" down at the bottom, and it said "analog" and then 12 13 it said "unknown caller at..." Did you read that? 14 Α. I was not able to -- I saw some writing at the bottom of the screen, but I didn't know what 15 16 it said. 17 You understand technology somewhat? Q. 18 A little bit. Α. 19 The indication "unknown caller" meant 0. 20 that there was no CPN, didn't it? In all likelihood, yeah, that's what it 21 Α. 22 meant. 23 And it also said down at the bottom 0. 24 "analog." Did you see that? 25 No, but -- yeah, I -- I can certainly Α.

-	Page 470
1	accept that. An unknown analog telephone number
2	an unknown telephone number was coming into these
3	facilities, I guess, over an analog facility of some
4	sort.
5	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Great, because that
6	takes me to my next question. If I can get close to
7	the witness? I'm sorry.
8	JUDGE STEARLEY: You may.
9	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
10	Q. This unit right here is a Polycon unit,
11	correct? I'm referring to the speaker phone. Is
12	that connected to the phone system here at the
13	Commission?
14	A. Yes, that's connected to what I would
15	call the State Centrex system.
16	Q. State Centrex system?
17	A. Yes, Centrex.
18	Q. Centrex being
19	A. A fancy PDX.
20	Q. Well, is it Centrex CO or Centrex CU?
21	A. I think it's CO, but I don't know what
22	CU is.
23	Q. CU was the original on-premise switching
24	system that the telephone companies developed before
25	there was competitive PBX.

	Page 471
1	A. Oh, this is Central Office-based.
2	Q. So CenturyTel is the provider?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Are the links between the Commission and
5	CenturyTel, are they digital or analog?
6	A. I would expect them to be completely
7	digital. Whether or not they're timed division
8	multiplexing or Internet protocol session-initiated,
9	I don't know. I would expect they're TDM digital
10	circuits.
11	Q. They may be T-1s.
12	A. I expect T-1s, yes.
13	Q. Does the Commission have some kind of
14	switching intelligence here on premise? Does it have
15	its own key system or some kind of IP-based system
16	that it uses to distribute to stations?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you know
19	A. Not for voice, no.
20	Q. Do you know whether the Centrex service
21	that CenturyTel provides to the Commission is using
22	IP-based technologies or whether they're TDM?
23	A. No, I don't know that.
24	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Your Honor, if I may, I
25	want to do a demonstration. In order to do so, we're

Г

Page 472 going to need to have a cell phone on. May I have 1 2 your permission to do that? 3 JUDGE STEARLEY: Certainly. Hopefully that won't interfere with our webcast. 4 5 BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: Sir, if you would just take a -- watch б 0. 7 what happens with that. I'm handing you the cell 8 phone of Mr. Johnson, and I'm going to ask Mr. Johnson 9 to use his Laptop Client to launch a call. Would you 10 watch as it comes in? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Do you recognize that phone number? 0. 13 Α. Yes. That's my telephone number in my 14 work area. 15 0. That's the number that's associated with 16 your landline station at your desk, I gather? I've had it for almost 20 years. 17 Α. Yes. 18 We don't need to get into what that 0. 19 number is, although it's obviously public because we 20 found it. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Now, did you just make a phone 0. 23 call to yourself or to that cell phone there? 24 Α. No, I didn't generate that call. 25 Probably that phone sitting on your desk Q.

Page 473 1 wasn't used to make that call, was it? 2 Α. No, no. 3 Just to confirm, that is a -- that 0. 4 number that you saw that is your number, that's a 5 Century Telephone wireline number listed as such in 6 the LERG associated with the rate center that serves 7 this Commission, isn't it? 8 Α. Yes. 9 I'm going to ask Mr. Johnson to hold up 0. 10 for just a moment the device that he used to launch 11 this call. A laptop, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. With a device attached to it, Q. 14 doesn't that look like it's just a broadband Verizon 15 Wireless unit? Well, it certainly does -- I don't know 16 Α. how broad the broadband is, but yes. 17 18 You don't see any wires coming from Q. 19 that? 20 Α. No. 21 So was that a wireline call or a 0. 22 wireless call? 23 I think it's a wireless call. Α. 24 Turn to page 5 of your rebuttal. Q. 25 Α. Okay. I'm there.

Page 474 1 0. On lines 1 to 2 you're asked whether 2 Halo's high-volume wireless connection to Transcom is 3 a broadband offering? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Q. And you answered on line 3, "No, not on 6 my experience"? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Let's just do a couple of introductory Q. 9 questions. What's your definition of broadband that 10 you were using for purposes of this answer? What's my definition of broadband, was 11 Α. that -- is that the question? 12 13 Yes, sir. You were asked whether the Q. 14 service that Halo -- the connection between Halo and 15 Transcom is a broadband offering and you say no. 16 Α. Right. 17 Well, what is broadband as used in this 0. 18 question and your answer according to you? 19 Α. It's a service offered to an enduser 20 that is greater than -- equal to or greater than 21 1.544 megabits. 22 Is this -- 1.544, first of all, that's 0. 23 the equivalent of a T-1? 24 Α. Essentially, yes. 25 Is that a state-specific capacity Q.

Page 475 1 threshold or is that something that you use for 2 acquiring what the FCC has defined as broadband? I -- neither one. I understood the 3 Α. 4 question to be what do I consider it to be. 5 Q. And that's fine. If you think that it's 6 got to be 1.544 to be broadband, that's fine. I was 7 just asking the source. 8 Yeah, I don't know. As long as we're Α. 9 talking about this question, I mean, this is between 10 two entities. Whether or not they're telecommunications companies or whether they're 11 12 wireless companies or however we want -- whatever box we want to put them into, Mr. McCollough, T-1 line --13 14 high-capacity lines between two entities, OC 3 or OC 12, all of these high-capacity lines has never 15 really been -- even a T-1 line has never really been 16 looked at as broadband. 17 18 Okay. To you it's not broadband unless 0. 19 it's delivered to an enduser? 20 Α. Yes. 21 So if the customer is an enduser and if 0. 22 the capacity of the system is a T-1 or greater and 23 it's IP, then it's broadband? 24 Α. And -- yes. And I understand Transcom's position that it is an enduser. 25

Page 476 1 0. We're going to get to that in a second. 2 I just wanted to get the technical issue out of the 3 way. 4 Α. Sure. 5 Q. The 3650 to 3700 band that Halo has its 6 license --7 Α. Okay. 8 -- do you know the capacity of that Q. 9 particular spectrum? 10 Α. No. As of now you're beginning to 11 exceed my knowledge. And that's fine. The difference between 12 0. 13 3650 and 3700 is 50 megahertz, right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. Fifty megahertz of wireless spectrum can 16 get you some pretty decent throughput, can't it? 17 Α. I'm sure it can, yes. 18 Probably greater than 1.5? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. So then when you say it's not broadband, 21 it's not because it -- it's not 1.5, and not IP, it 22 is because of the status of the entities? 23 Yes, as the term broadband is commonly Α. 24 used, yes, and as I've used it here, yes. 25 Q. And I'm really just trying to get at

Page 477 1 what it is you're getting at and your purpose and 2 attempt. Right. 3 Α. 4 Do you know anything about the session Q. 5 initiation protocol? б The short answer is no, I've not Α. 7 received technical training on session initiation protocol, nor have I received formal training on the 8 9 SS7 network. The only thing I know about Internet 10 protocol is that it's a pack of switching technology, that's about all. I don't know SIP. 11 12 Have you ever done any research into the 0. 13 way that the Internet protocol stack operates? 14 Α. No, not beyond the line of questioning that's occurred here yesterday. 15 16 Do you know what the OSI layers are? Q. No, I really don't. 17 Α. 18 Do you know what the TCP IP layers are? Q. 19 Α. No, sir, I don't. 20 Q. So you don't know --21 Α. I'm just drawing a blank on that. 22 Have you ever heard of the application Q. 23 layer? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Do you have an understanding of what Q.

Page 478 that might be? 1 2 Α. Not really, not an informed understanding. 3 4 Do you have an understanding about other Q. 5 types of Internet protocol-based applications that 6 handle media and specifically audio? 7 Α. I honestly do not. 8 So you've never studied H323? Q. 9 Α. No, sir. 10 Q. You've never studied Megaco? 11 Α. No. 12 Q. Have you used Skype? 13 Α. No, I have not. I realize a lot of 14 people do, but I haven't. 15 0. Do you know how many people use Skype? I'm sure it's in the millions if not 16 Α. tens of millions worldwide. 17 18 Do you know how many in Missouri use Q. 19 Skype? 20 Α. No. 21 Would it surprise you if there are 0. 22 millions of minutes of use from Missouri customers --23 or users of Skype that originate to -- originate from 24 a Skype user or terminate to... 25 It's extraordinarily popular, and no, it Α.

Page 479 1 wouldn't surprise me whatever the data show. 2 Q. Pardon me just a second. All right. 3 Sir, I'm going to show you my tablet computer. 4 Happens to be an Android system. 5 Α. Sure. 6 0. Not the new Google one that we read 7 about in the paper today. Does it appear to be 8 running Skype? I know you've got -- I know you're on a 9 Α. 10 time here. I just want to make sure. It says 11 "Skype" on it, sure. 12 Still got that phone? 0. 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 MR. McCOLLOUGH: I misdialed. Fat fingers. I apologize. As big as those buttons are, 15 16 your Honor, I hit two wrong ones. My apologies. 17 THE WITNESS: I think I'm about to 18 receive a Skype call from my desk, no doubt. 19 BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 20 Q. No, sir, not this time. 21 Α. Well, we have an incoming call. There's a question mark, there's a ten-digit number. 22 May be 23 an MPA NXX. 24 Okay. Could you just read into the 0. 25 record what that number is that's being displayed?

1	Page 480
1	A. 000, dash excuse me. It timed out.
2	I didn't answer it. It timed out.
3	Q. I apologize.
4	A. To me it was certainly unidentified.
5	Q. It was not a ten-digit telephone number?
6	A. Well, it was ten digits that looked
7	like well, no, it did not conform to the North
8	American dialing plan because the area code was 000.
9	MR. McCOLLOUGH: I know this is becoming
10	tedious, your Honor, but I promise you this is my
11	last demonstration.
12	BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
13	Q. Okay. Sir, I've keyed in the number for
14	this. Just so that you can see, I'm using my
15	T-Mobile phone.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. And I've keyed in the number and it's
18	giving me an option. Do you see what the option is?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. It says "call with"
21	A. "With or without Google Voice."
22	Q. Let's hit Google Voice.
23	A. You took the device away.
24	Q. Did I take the phone? Okay. Very

Page 481 1 you're seeing. 2 Α. Okay. So the incoming call, from area code (210) 646-1457. 3 4 Q. Okay. Α. 5 But it does not say Google Voice or it doesn't tell me any more than just gives me the phone 6 7 number. 8 That's right. Did that appear to be Q. 9 a -- and I can take it back because we're done 10 playing with our phones. 11 Α. Okay. 12 0. That appeared to be a regular telephone 13 number, didn't it? 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. Associated with a 210 area code? Yes. And Google is a registered VoIP 16 Α. provider in Missouri, so I'm sure that's fine. 17 18 If that 210 number that you read into 0. 19 the record is registered in the local exchange 20 routing guide as a wireline number, would that LERG 21 designation be consistent with the type of call we 22 just did? In other words, we just did a wireless 23 call, but that's a wireline number, correct? 24 Α. Sure, yeah. I understand. 25 Let's see if we can't knock out a couple Q.

1	Page 482 of other things noted in your testimony. I believe
2	you question on looking for the reference. I
3	thought I had it and I apologize. I believe you
4	questioned on page 14 and elsewhere in your rebuttal
5	whether Halo does, in fact, have an FCC license for
б	CMRS service. Do you recall that testimony?
7	A. Yes, I recall that testimony.
8	Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to
9	examine what's already been admitted into the record
10	as Halo Exhibit 2?
11	A. Exhibit 2? I didn't examine it. I
12	accept it for what it is.
13	Q. So you acknowledge, then, that Halo does
14	have a radio station authorization issued by the FCC
15	to provide common carrier services?
16	A. Could you point me to where it says
17	common carrier?
18	Q. Yes, sir, under "Regulatory status" on
19	the right-hand side near the top.
20	A. I see, okay. Very well. Yes.
21	Q. Now, do you know what that designation
22	means under the FCC rules?
23	A. No, I honestly do not.
24	Q. So you don't know whether designation
25	and regulatory regulatory status common carrier

Page 483

1 means that you can then be -- seek and obtain 2 interconnected status as a CMRS provider? You just 3 don't know? 4 Α. No, I don't know. I know that wireless 5 carriers have a right under the Telecommunications Act to interconnect, I believe, both directly and 6 7 indirectly. Whether or not that right comes from 8 your status of a common carrier in this letter, I 9 presume it does. 10 0. You don't know. Do you know the source 11 of this right to interconnect? If you don't know, 12 for example, that it's 332(c)(1)(B) of the Act and 13 20.11 of the FCC rules, that's fine. 14 Α. Sure. Yeah, fine. 15 0. So do you still question whether Halo 16 was providing CMRS service as part of the calls that 17 are at issue in this case? 18 The only thing I really question is the Α. 19 definition under Missouri laws whether or not -- the 20 only question is about the status of how you use a 21 radio license, whether or not you provide it to endusers. But no, I don't quite -- the short answer 22 to your question is no, I don't question that Halo 23 24 has a license from the FCC, granted a CMRS license, 25 no.

Page 484 1 0. As part of your testimony in this case, 2 are you asking the Commission to make a determination 3 whether with regard to the traffic at issue, Halo is 4 acting within the scope of its FCC licensure? 5 Α. No, I don't have any reason to believe that Halo is acting outside the scope of its FCC CMRS 6 7 license unless there's something I've overlooked 8 about providing -- this question of providing service 9 to endusers and the whole status of Transcom being, 10 you know, that -- that -- with that exception, no, I 11 don't have any reason to believe that Halo's 12 operated --MS. McCLOWRY: I'm going to interject 13 14 here -- sorry, Mr. Voight -- that Mr. McCollough has 15 gone over his time. 16 JUDGE STEARLEY: I was going to bring that up myself. I let you go a little bit over an 17 18 hour, and I was going to see if you were about ready 19 to wrap it up. 20 MR. McCOLLOUGH: I'm trying to, your Honor, I'm trying to. Could I have five more 21 22 minutes? 23 JUDGE STEARLEY: I'll give you five more 24 minutes and that will be it. 25 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Thank you very much.

Page 485 BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 1 2 Q. You're not asking the Commission to 3 check whether there's some enduser requirement in the 4 FCC license, are you? 5 Α. No, I'm not asking that. 6 Okay. You don't know whether under FCC 0. 7 rules a CMRS provider is authorized to provide 8 exchange access services, do you? 9 No, that was a new one on me. I had Α. 10 never thought of wireless carriers as providing 11 exchange access service. 12 You don't know whether as part of the 0. 13 authorization, CMRS providers can provide telephone 14 exchange service, do you? No, that's really a strange concept, the 15 Α. idea that a wireless carrier might be bound by an 16 exchange boundary line. 17 18 Well, I said provide telephone exchange 0. 19 service. Even the mention of the word exchange 20 Α. associated with wireless service is a new concept to 21 22 me. 23 Understood. So you don't recall the 0. 24 FCC's discussion of this in the 1996 local 25 competition order?

	Page 486
1	A. No.
2	Q. Okay. Then we just saved some cross.
3	On page 10 of your testimony you say in a couple of
4	places here and elsewhere that Halo is somehow
5	getting telephone service for free. Do you recall
6	that testimony?
7	A. Yeah.
8	Q. What telephone service is Halo receiving
9	from the ILECs at issue?
10	A. It's a general statement. It's an
11	attachment to the Feature Group C network, the
12	LEC-to-LEC network, call termination services
13	provided by the local exchange carriers, both
14	incoming and competitive.
15	Q. Okay. So as a Staff expert here, you
16	consider interconnection to be a service rather than
17	a duty?
18	A. I don't know I don't know that those
19	terms are in conflict with each other. The service
20	is defined in Missouri statute. That's what I go by.
21	Q. Since I'm about to run out of time, you
22	have expressed the opinion that part of the traffic
23	that Halo has here is subject to the exchange access
24	tariffs of the various ILECs. Have you reviewed
25	their access tariffs to find out which specific

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 487 1 exchange or switched access service that you believe 2 Halo is receiving? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Which one do you think it is? 0. 5 Α. Local switching carrier, common line, 6 local transport, Feature Group C. 7 Q. There. Okay, Feature Group C. The rest that you listed were elements that are associated 8 9 with a particular feature group. But you said 10 Feature Group C? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And that is because the technical 0. 13 characteristics of the arrangement match up with 14 Feature Group C? No. It has to do more with the 15 Α. characteristics -- and Feature Group C, as you know, 16 is a specific signaling protocol. The whole notion 17 18 of LEC-to-LEC network and utilizing Feature Group C 19 protocol is -- it comes actually from the access tariff of the incumbents, and all that terminology 20 comes from the access tariffs of incumbents. 21 You haven't actually sat down, though, 22 0. 23 and looked through these tariffs and looked at the 24 Feature Group C service description and the technical 25 characteristics and said, yep, that's what Halo has,

Page 488 1 have you? 2 Α. I really don't understand the question. That's what Halo has. Yeah, I've looked at the 3 tariffs many times, and Halo's attaching to that 4 5 network. I understand it's a type 2 wireless 6 connection. I understand that when you program your 7 switches, you program with certain characteristics. 8 You may not -- your software may not call it Feature 9 Group C. 10 0. Well, Feature Group C under the tariffs 11 is only available to providers of MTS and WATs, isn't 12 it? No, not to my knowledge. 13 Α. 14 Well, we can look at the tariff and see Q. 15 that, I guess. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. Would you contend that Halo is Q. 18 providing message telephone service or wide area 19 telephone service? Those words are not defined in Missouri 20 Α. 21 statutes, so I don't even know if they're defined in the tariffs. 22 23 You're not taking the position here 0. 24 today, though, that Halo was providing either of 25 those things?

1	Page 489 A. Certainly not providing WATs service,
2	Mr. McCollough.
3	MR. McCOLLOUGH: That's all I have.
4	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Questions from
5	the bench? Commissioner Kenney, do you have
6	questions of this witness?
7	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I do.
8	QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER KENNEY:
9	Q. How are you?
10	A. Good morning.
11	Q. Let me I want to ask some questions
12	and work backwards. The demonstrations that were
13	just done, the first one, it was to demonstrate that
14	a wireless call can be made over a wireline call, is
15	that your understanding?
16	A. Yes. Not only can be made to look like
17	a wireline call but can be made to look like it comes
18	from someplace where it really did not come from.
19	Q. And then the Google Voice demonstration,
20	Google Voice allows you to pick or create a telephone
21	number or do you know?
22	A. I don't know.
23	Q. The demonstration and the number that
24	came in, the 210 number, could you just tell by
25	looking at that whether that was a wireline or a

Page 490 1 wireless number? 2 Α. No, I couldn't tell. 3 0. And then the Skype number, how did it 4 appear? 5 Α. It appeared like a, quote/unquote, 6 normal telephone call. 7 So just so I can understand as a Q. 8 technically unsophisticated viewer of these 9 demonstrations, the point of all three of those was 10 to demonstrate that a wireline call can look like a 11 wireless and maybe vice versa? 12 Yes, I think that was part of the point. Α. 13 Q. Okay. And then the discussion that you 14 were having regarding Transcom Communications and 15 Transcom Holdings, Transcom Holdings and I think 16 there was a document that was referred to as a 214 17 proceeding of some sort, what -- is Transcom 18 Communications -- are Transcom Communications and 19 Transcom Holdings the same entity, if you know? 20 Α. Today was the first I became aware of 21 Transcom Holdings. The line of questioning had to do with the Missouri certificated entity was Transcom 22 23 Communications, Inc. who exited the market at some 24 point in time and what -- and the entity that's here today which is Transcom Enhanced Services. 25

Page 491 1 0. What's the relationship between those 2 three entities? Well, my point was that there was some 3 Α. 4 common ownership and some other similarities. His 5 point of cross-examination was that the common ownership, I think, no longer exists. 6 7 Because Transcom Holdings was sold to Q. 8 this British company or something? 9 I think, if I understood it correctly, Α. 10 or that the -- the owners transferred their ownership 11 to someone else. 12 Of Transcom Holdings, which may or may 0. 13 not have some relationship to Transcom Communications 14 and which may or may not have some relationship to 15 Transcom Enhanced Services? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. Is there anything about that Q. 18 exchange and that discussion and that 19 cross-examination that changes anything in your 20 testimony? 21 Α. No. 22 Okay. Q. 23 In particular, the question is --Α. No. 24 the question was leading up to is the company called Transcom, should they be certificated in the state of 25

	Page 492
1	Missouri currently. That was really the question.
2	And nothing in this cross-examination causes me to
3	change, you know, questioning that.
4	Q. All right. Now, I want to I want to
5	step back and make sure and the point of my
6	questions is just to make sure that I'm understanding
7	what Staff's contention is or what those contentions
8	are. And my first question is, is it Staff's
9	contention that Halo and Transcom Enhanced Services
10	are related entities?
11	A. Yes, as shown in the exhibit of the
12	Wisconsin document.
13	Q. Was that a document that's attached to
14	your testimony?
15	A. No. It was a document attached, I
16	believe, to the direct testimony of an AT&T Missouri
17	witness.
18	Q. Okay. And is it Staff's contention or
19	belief that Transcom was set up specifically to avoid
20	paying access or interconnection charges to local
21	Missouri companies?
22	A. Yes, I made that allegation. It's a
23	serious allegation and I recognize the seriousness of
24	it given the totality of the circumstances and the
25	evidence in this case. I cannot arrive at any other

And I'm going to ask -- this may be a

3 legal -- I may be asking you for a legal opinion, and 4 maybe somebody will object if I ask the question, but 5 I'm going to ask it anyway. But if you can't answer 6 it, I understand. I mean, my -- is it Staff's 7 contention that that is somehow fraudulent or 8 illegal, or conversely, is it merely that they've 9 figured out a way within the confines of the law to 10 beat the system? I mean, it's kind of a legal 11 question and it's kind of just your opinion. 12 Α. Well, my contention is that what they're doing violates the Commission's rules, number one. 13 14 Which one of our rules? 0. The Enhanced Record Exchange Rule. 15 Α. And, in particular, this network we're talking about 16 should be limited in the traffic that is put onto it. 17 18 In particular, interstate/interLATA 19 landline-originated calls should not be appearing there. So that's the first Staff contention that --20 21 Say that again. 0.

A. Interstate, the call we've seen, for example, from California and Grandma and so forth, that type of call should not be put onto this network. If Halo and Transcom or anyone else wanted

1

2

conclusion.

0.

Page 493

1	Page 494 a waiver of the Commission's rules, then, you know,
2	Staff would consider that, but they haven't. They
3	haven't asked for a waiver. So we have to go by the
4	rule.
5	And it precludes carrying the type of
б	traffic that Halo and Transcom are putting onto the
7	network. That's our first contention. I am not
8	making any contention about fraudulent intentions or
9	anything of the sort. I also believe that Halo and
10	Transcom believe that they have found a unique way to
11	carry traffic over the networks of some of the call
12	examples that we've seen today.
13	Q. By the creation of Transcom as an
14	intermediary that transforms the call from a wireline
15	call into a wireless call?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And I think this question was answered,
18	but I'm not sure. Does Halo have a CMRS license or
19	no?
20	A. Yes, they do. I questioned that in my
21	testimony because until yesterday, they had not
22	provided any such documentation into this record.
23	Yesterday they introduced Halo's Exhibit 2 which is
24	their common carrier CMRS license.
25	Q. They just produced it yesterday?

	Page 495
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. When was the actual license obtained?
3	A. It became it was granted on
4	January 27th, 2009, and became effective on
5	April 16th, 2011. It expires on November 30th, 2018.
6	Q. Has Staff taken a position on whether or
7	not Halo's breached its interconnection agreement
8	with AT&T?
9	A. Yes, we have taken a position. We
10	believe that they have breached their interconnection
11	agreement. The reason is they the agreement
12	limits traffic exchange to wireless-originated
13	traffic.
14	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: All right. That's
15	all the questions I have. Thank you for your time.
16	JUDGE STEARLEY: Questions,
17	Commissioners?
18	COMMISSIONER STOLL: I have no
19	questions, thank you.
20	JUDGE STEARLEY: Mr. Voight, I think I
21	have one. On the issue of Transcom in its current
22	entity, whatever it may be called, as it's currently
23	located in Missouri, does Staff have an opinion as to
24	whether or not it should be certificated for the type
25	of activity it's doing?

	Page 496
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, it's the Staff
2	position that both Halo and Transcom are providing
3	interexchange telecommunications services as defined
4	by Missouri statutes, and they both should be
5	certificated.
6	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Thank you.
7	No other questions from the bench. Recross based on
8	questions from the bench, starting with AT&T.
9	MR. McCOLLOUGH: If I could have just a
10	moment, I have two very short things.
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: I'm going in order,
12	Mr. McCollough.
13	MR. McCOLLOUGH: I apologize, your
14	Honor. I apologize.
15	JUDGE STEARLEY: Going to start with
16	AT&T first.
17	MR. McCOLLOUGH: My apologies.
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FRIEDMAN:
19	Q. Hi, Mr. Voight. I'm Dennis Friedman
20	with AT&T. I think I have just one question by way
21	of follow-up to Commissioner Kenney's questions. As
22	you mentioned in response to, I think, one of his
23	very last questions, you testified to the view that
24	Halo has breached its interconnection agreement with
25	AT&T by sending AT&T traffic that is not

1	Page 497 wireless-originated.
2	You also indicated in response to a
3	question by Commissioner Kenney that to your
4	understanding, the purpose of the demonstration
5	Mr. McCollough did with some of the gadgetry was to
б	show that it's possible to make a call that's
7	wireless-originated look landline-originated; is that
8	right?
9	A. Yes, I believe that was my answer.
10	Q. My question is, after that did that
11	cross-examination cause you to want to change your
12	testimony, your view that Halo is, in fact, sending
13	AT&T landline-originated traffic?
14	A. No. I think the evidence in this case
15	is I don't think that I've even heard Halo or
16	Transcom deny that some of these calls are
17	landline-originated. Did I understand your question
18	correctly?
19	MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, sir, you did, and
20	you've answered it. Thank you.
21	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Recross,
22	Craw-Kan?
23	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLAND:
24	Q. Mr. Voight, couple of quick questions.
25	We've talked about calls via Skype, via Google Voice,

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

1	Page 498 wireless looking like wireline and wireline looking
2	like wireless. Are there any people out there like
3	me that still make plain old telephone calls on their
4	landline equipment?
5	A. Yes, there are many people like you,
6	Mr. England.
7	Q. You don't know how comforting that makes
8	me feel because most people tell me that's not the
9	case. On the CMRS license in response to a question
10	from Commissioner Kenney, you indicated that it
11	became effective on April 26th, 2011?
12	A. A document that Mr. McCollough handed me
13	says effective date, April 16th, 2011.
14	Q. Thank you. Does that, to your nonlegal
15	way of thinking, indicate to you that any traffic
16	that was delivered by Halo prior to April 16th, 2011,
17	to my clients, for example, was done so without an
18	appropriate CMRS license?
19	A. Yes, it certainly calls that into
20	question.
21	MR. ENGLAND: Thank you. No other
22	questions.
23	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. I've got a
24	question from Commissioner Jarrett, so before I
25	continue with recross, let me get this one out there.

	Page 499
1	Commissioner Jarrett is asking if the FCC agrees on
2	whether or not the call being routed through Transcom
3	somehow I believe the word you used is reoriginate
4	or converts a wireline to a wireless call.
5	THE WITNESS: It's my understanding that
6	the Federal Communications Commission very recently
7	has said no, that so-called wireless in the middle
8	does not constitute wireless traffic for purposes
9	well, for any purpose that I'm aware of.
10	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. That's his
11	question, so let me back up for just a second. AT&T,
12	would you have any recross based on that question?
13	MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, no.
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right.
15	MR. ENGLAND: We would have none either.
16	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Now I can
17	get to you, Mr. Johnson, for Alma.
18	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor.
19	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON:
20	Q. Mr. Voight, I wanted to go back to
21	Exhibit No. 2, Halo Exhibit 2, the radio station
22	authorization. Just ask you, how familiar are you
23	with the different types of frequency licensing and
24	station authorizations that the FCC permits?
25	A. I'm not familiar with that.
1	

	Page 500
1	Q. I believe at some point you agreed with
2	Mr. McCollough that this document gave Halo a
3	commercial mobile radio station authorization. Do
4	you know whether or not this document authorized them
5	to conduct mobile wireless operations?
6	A. Well, no, I don't. The word mobile I
7	don't believe appears on here.
8	Q. Okay. So you weren't intending to
9	suggest to this Commission that any wireless
10	offerings of Transcom or Halo were really mobile,
11	were you?
12	A. No.
13	MR. JOHNSON: That's all I have.
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Now,
15	Mr. McCollough.
16	MR. McCOLLOUGH: Sometimes when you're
17	having fun, you just get too anxious.
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McCOLLOUGH:
19	Q. Two very short things. Commissioner
20	Kenney asked you some questions, one of which was
21	whether Transcom was set up to avoid access charges.
22	I'm just trying to see where we might have had some
23	entity confusion here. When you were answering that
24	question, were you answering about Transcom or were
25	you answering about Halo?

Page 501 1 Α. The answer is both. 2 Q. Do you know when Transcom was created? All the documents that I've relied on 3 Α. 4 say 1999. 5 Q. 1999 was when Transcom was -- Transcom 6 Enhanced Services? 7 Α. Created, I -- the answer to your 8 question is no, I don't know. I thought it was 1999. 9 So you don't know whether, for example, 0. Transcom Enhanced Services was created as a result of 10 11 acquisition of assets out of bankruptcy from the 12 so-called Datavon entity where the bankruptcy 13 declared Datavon to be ESP? 14 Α. No, Mr. McCollough, I don't know. 15 0. You don't. Did you look at the ESP --16 did you happen to look at any of the bankruptcy court orders? 17 18 Oh, goodness, no. Α. 19 So you are testifying to the Commission Q. 20 that Transcom was set up sometime around 2003, 21 perhaps, just for the purpose of avoiding access 22 charges? 23 I don't know. I'm testifying I don't Α. 24 know when Transcom was set up. I want to further testify that I am aware that the bankruptcy courts 25

1	Page 502 have declared Transcom to be an enhanced service
2	provider and that it is called into question by
3	others. I didn't want to give you the impression I
4	totally avoided the bankruptcy court rulings.
5	Q. Well, you do understand Mr. Johnson's
6	testimony to be that, well, we relied on this order
7	for purposes of our position, is what created a
8	MS. McCLOWRY: I'm going to object that
9	this is outside the scope of
10	MR. McCOLLOUGH: No, I think, your
11	Honor, it's perfectly within the clarifying
12	examination of Commissioner Kenney. He asked what
13	was the intent of the creation of Transcom, and this
14	line of question goes into the creation of Transcom
15	which was out of a bankruptcy court order giving
16	assets from an entity called Datavon, Inc. which was
17	declared in the reorganization order to be an
18	enhanced service provider.
19	MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to
20	object to the continued now and it's late in the
21	game, I recognize testimony by counsel. And I
22	would add, though it's late in the game, that I
23	object to it and it takes the form the following
24	form: "So you know nothing about X, correct? Oh, so
25	you don't know, for example, that: Speech. Right, I

Page 503 1 don't know that." 2 JUDGE STEARLEY: Going off into the bankruptcy rulings, I'm not sure that is falling 3 4 under the questions that Commissioner Kenney was 5 asking, so I'm going to sustain that objection. As far as counsel testifying, I realize you follow a 6 7 long statement with a "correct," but can you turn it 8 into a question? If the witness can know an answer 9 to that, that's fine, but at a certain point it does 10 start crossing into getting pretty close to 11 testifying, Mr. McCollough. 12 MR. McCOLLOUGH: Yes, sir. BY MR. McCOLLOUGH: 13 14 The last issue is the line of questions 0. 15 about -- you mentioned the FCC order where you say 16 that the FCC indicated that Halo's traffic that what 17 you were referring to as wireless reorigination 18 doesn't turn it into wireless traffic? 19 Α. Yes, I said that. 20 0. Let's just get a reference here so that 21 everybody can go look at it in the room. You're 22 talking about paragraph 10.06 of the Connect America order, aren't you? 23 24 Α. I don't remember the paragraph number. It's in evidence in this case. It's been entered 25

Page 504 electronically. If you wish to represent that's 1 2 10.06, that's fine. 3 You don't recall seeing the "for 0. 4 purposes of the intraMTA rule" in the disjunctive 5 after the holding or statement that you... No, I don't recall that. 6 Α. 7 So whatever the order says, the order Q. 8 says. It's -- the breadth of the order regarding 9 origination is whatever the FCC says? 10 Α. Yes, sir. MR. McCOLLOUGH: Okay. Now we don't 11 12 have to argue with the witness about what the order 13 says. Thank you. 14 JUDGE STEARLEY: Thank you. Redirect. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McCLOWRY: 15 16 Q. I just have one question for you, 17 Mr. Voight. You recall that entertaining display put 18 on by Mr. McCollough with the cell phone and the 19 computer? 20 Α. Yes. 21 All three of those? 0. 22 Α. Yes. 23 And what was the device you were Q. 24 receiving the calls on, what type of device? 25 It was a -- what's commonly called a Α.

Page 505 1 cellular telephone. 2 MS. McCLOWRY: I have no further 3 questions. 4 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. If the 5 parties will indulge me just a few minutes, I'm going 6 to try to determine if the Chairman has any questions 7 for this witness. I've been trying to locate him by e-mail, so let's take about a ten-minute recess and 8 9 we'll come back on. If I can confirm or not, we'll 10 be wrapping up here pretty quickly and we'll go into 11 our housekeeping of the case. (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.) 12 13 JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. We are back 14 on the record. I was able to determine that the Chairman has no questions for you, Mr. Voight, so you 15 16 may step down. Your testimony is complete, and we 17 appreciate it. 18 And at this point, I will excuse all the 19 witnesses, which leaves us with our housekeeping. I'd already set a schedule which requires the 20 parties, with the exception of Staff and Public 21 Counsel, to file proposed orders which include 22 23 proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law 24 followed by reply briefs, but I need to know if I need to adjust that schedule. 25

1	Page 506 We are now allowing for written
2	responses to Halo's objections and motion to strike
3	virtually every witnesses' portions thereof of any
4	opposing witnesses' testimony, and the parties may
5	want to have a ruling on that before they start
6	preparing those proposed orders and then moving on to
7	reply briefs.
8	So how much time do the parties wish to
9	have in terms of filing their written responses to
10	Halo's objections and motions to strike?
11	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, what was the
12	date the proposed findings and conclusions were
13	scheduled to be due?
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: Currently we have
15	proposed orders with proposed findings and
16	conclusions due on July 23rd. And if we add time for
17	responses to the objections and motions to strike,
18	that day can be pushed back, but it depends on
19	what how much time the parties need.
20	MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, there had
21	been some discussion. I don't know if all the
22	responding parties are on the same page on this, but
23	there had been some mention of Friday, July 6th as a
24	possible response date, so I'd just float that out
25	there. And that would work great with AT&T.

1	Page 507 JUDGE STEARLEY: That would also be in					
2	line with us having the transcripts expedited by					
3	July 6th, and that would mean we would not need to					
4	change any of the other deadlines.					
5	MR. ENGLAND: That's acceptable to					
6	Craw-Kan.					
7	MR. JOHNSON: With the understanding					
8	that his Honor would rule on those in time to prepare					
9	the proposed findings and conclusions by the 23rd.					
10	JUDGE STEARLEY: Understood. It may					
11	take a couple days for me to get an order out, so I					
12	wouldn't stop writing.					
13	MS. DALE: So you can take that weekend.					
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes, try to get					
15	something out early the next week. All right. Are					
16	there any other scheduling matters I need to address?					
17	(NO RESPONSE.)					
18	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Any other					
19	matters at all?					
20	MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, if I may ask					
21	a question about the content of the proposed orders					
22	which I understand are not done so routinely here					
23	that local counsel know the answers. I would take it					
24	that in a final that a final order would recite					
25	the positions of the parties and then would go on to					

1	Page 508 some kind of discussion of conclusions.
2	JUDGE STEARLEY: Well, if you reference
3	one of my past report and orders, you can have a very
4	brief procedural history, identification of the
5	parties. Findings generally don't have to go into a
6	lot of discussion. A finding which supports one
7	party's position over another implicitly is saying
8	it's finding that more credible.
9	If you have discussion, I would expect
10	that to be in your legal conclusions proposed
11	conclusions section where you might explain why you
12	believe one party's position over another was
13	supported by substantial and competent evidence in
14	the record. Does that add some clarity?
15	MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you.
16	JUDGE STEARLEY: Counsel, you have a
17	question?
18	MR. MAJOUE: Just one other logistical
19	matter. Earlier on we put in as Halo Exhibit No. 2
20	the wireless license, the radio service
21	authorization.
22	JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes.
23	MR. MAJOUE: And it was brought up in
24	the cross-examination that there was a effective date
25	and that there may have been traffic being delivered

1	Page 509 prior to that effective date, so we just wanted to
2	request the opportunity to put in the original
3	license to which the license that's in the record
4	is just a supplement. And just so that the
5	Commission has a complete record of the licenses and
6	there's, in fact, no question as to the effective
7	date. And in fact, I have in front of me the
8	effective date was back in 2010. So that's really
9	not an issue that needs to muddy the water, and I
10	think we can clarify with that exhibit.
11	JUDGE STEARLEY: Okay. Any objections
12	to Halo filing that late exhibit?
13	MS. DALE: No.
14	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. We will do
15	so we've got a holiday in the middle of the week.
16	Why don't we just keep it all on July 6th, no later
17	than July 6th.
18	MR. MAJOUE: Thank you.
19	JUDGE STEARLEY: Yes, Commissioner
20	Kenney.
21	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: As you-all are
22	preparing your proposed orders, a question that
23	occurs to me that is a legal question that I asked
24	Mr. Voight was if it is someone's contention that
25	Transcom Enhanced Services was created for the

1	Page 510 purposes of avoiding having to pay access charges,
2	whether that is illegal or whether that is merely a
3	permissible clever strategy, if somebody could
4	address that.
5	And it may just be that that's addressed
6	in the normal course of your proposed orders, but
7	that is a question that I particularly would like to
8	see answered and/or discussed.
9	JUDGE STEARLEY: All right. Anything
10	else?
11	(NO RESPONSE.)
12	JUDGE STEARLEY: Hearing none, the
13	evidentiary hearing in TC-2012-0331 is hereby
14	adjourned. I thank you all very much.
15	(WHEREUPON, the hearing was concluded at
16	12:38 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

1	I N D E X	Page 511
2		
	CRAW-KAN'S, ET AL.'S EVIDENCE (CONTI	NUED)
3		
4	JACK JONES	
	Direct Examination by Mr. England	361
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Majoue	362
	Redirect Examination by Mr. England	370
6		
7		
	BENJAMIN JACK RICKETT	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. England	372
	Cross-Examination by Mr. McCollough	373
9	Questions from Commission Jarrett by	
	Judge Stearley	397
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. McCollough	398
	Redirect Examination by Mr. England	410
11		
	BENJAMIN JACK RICKETT (IN-CAMERA)	
12	Recross-Examination (Continued) by	
	Mr. McCollough	402
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. England	405
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Friedman	406
14		
15		
	KEVIN JOHNSON	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. England	413
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Majoue	414
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Johnson	420
	Redirect Examination by Mr. England	421
18		
19		
	ROBERT HART	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. England	423
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Majoue	425
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Johnson	433
	Redirect Examination by Mr. England	434
22	·	
23		
24		
25		

Г

1	ALMA, ET AL.'S EVIDENCE	Page 512
2		
3	TOMMIE SUE LOGES	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson	436
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Majoue	437
5		
б		
7		
_	STAFF'S EVIDENCE	
8		
~	WILLIAM L. VOIGHT	115
9	Direct Examination by Ms. McClowry	446
1.0	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bub	449
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. England	452
	Cross-Examination by Mr. McCollough	454
11	Questions by Commissioner Kenney	498
1.0	Questions by Judge Stearley	504
12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Friedman	505
	Recross-Examination by Mr. England	506
13	Questions from Commission Jarrett by	
	Judge Stearley	507
14	Recross-Examination by Mr. Johnson	508
	Recross-Examination by Mr. McCollough	509
15	Redirect Examination by Ms. McClowry	513
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

1	EXHIBITS INDEX		Page 513
2	EVUIDILO INDEX	муркер	RECEIVED
3	Halo Exhibit 17 A	MARINED	KECEIVED
	RLEC Tariff Excerpt - Farmers		
4	Mutual Intrastate Access Tariff	383	*
5	Halo Exhibit 17 C	505	
	RLEC Tariff Excerpt - McDonald		
6	County Concurrence in Farmers		
	Terms and Rates	383	385
7			
	Halo Exhibit No. 26		
8	22 FCCR 11849 (Application		
	for Transfer of Control)	464	*
9			
	Halo Exhibit No. 27		
10	2009 Motion and Exhibits		
	to Withdraw from MO	461	461
11			
	* Official notice taken.		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Page 514 CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF MISSOURI )
	) ss.
3	COUNTY OF COLE )
4	
5	I, Pamela Fick, Registered Merit Reporter
6	and Certified Shorthand Reporter do hereby certify
7	that I was personally present at the proceedings had
8	in the above-entitled cause at the time and place set
9	forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
10	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
11	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
12	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such
13	time and place.
14	Given at my office in the City of
15	Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.
16	
17	
18	PAMELA FICK, RMR, CCR #447, CSR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		1	1	Page 515
A	acceptable	Act 396:2,24	<b>advice</b> 397:7	424:2 434:24
<b>ability</b> 407:23	387:4 507:5	483:6,12	409:14	435:11,17
<b>able</b> 393:13	access 366:1,8	acting 409:14	advised 434:25	500:1
402:10,20	366:14,17,21	484:4,6	435:3,7	agreement
469:14 505:14	366:22 367:2	activity 495:25	advisement	395:21 409:21
<b>about</b> 360:13	378:22 379:1	actual 495:2	435:1	410:4 420:14
363:18 364:24	383:14,16,22	actually 362:21	advising 434:7	421:16 426:17
364:25 365:4	384:24 386:3	363:3 379:20	451:12	426:22 431:16
367:13 368:8	386:18 387:12	394:19 405:16	advisory 447:15	435:2 436:15
368:23 370:23	387:13,14,20	416:9 421:8	448:1,6	440:17 441:12
375:4 376:18	387:24 389:20	428:21 447:19	advocating	443:22 444:2
380:8 388:17	390:5,7,10,11	449:12 457:20	447:22	444:14,15,19
392:13 394:16	390:16,22	487:19,22	affected 436:3	446:18 448:4
402:4,15	391:11,14,15	add 438:19	444:4	495:7,11,11
408:16 415:4	392:13,20	462:12 502:22	afraid 462:14	496:24
418:5 419:10	407:15,18	506:16 508:14	after 367:12	agreements
419:24 422:21	408:1 412:3,9	addition 376:2	378:7,20	369:6 411:18
437:2 440:24	418:15,18,23	403:8	389:12 401:19	411:23 419:22
441:18 443:4	424:17 431:15	additional 372:1	401:22 421:17	433:12
444:18 445:20	431:17,19	403:21 414:1	423:15,15	agrees 499:1
445:23 446:23	432:4,10	425:18 450:11	435:18 454:25	ahead 385:14
448:11 451:7	442:14,19,19	450:19	460:9 464:21	416:13 422:3
455:9,11	449:2 485:8,11	address 361:10	497:10 504:5	462:11 468:15
460:19 461:25	486:23,25	372:14 376:4	<b>again</b> 360:18	<b>al</b> 359:5 511:2
462:2 464:1	487:1,19,21	377:16 378:1	362:10,20	512:1
466:21 468:6,6	492:20 500:21	380:23 404:7	363:23 386:9	allegation
475:9 477:4,9	501:21 510:1	414:21 427:9	405:5 409:13	492:22,23
477:11 478:4	513:4	433:8 451:13	410:1 416:3	<b>allow</b> 393:15
479:7,17	access-related	456:18 460:16	423:9 428:8	allowed 399:22
483:20 484:8	394:5	461:18 507:16	431:1 433:14	allowing 506:1
484:18 486:21	accommodated	510:4	456:17 493:21	<b>allows</b> 489:20
491:17 493:16	393:11	addressed 510:5	against 402:10	<b>Alma</b> 357:15,16
494:8 497:25	according	<b>adhere</b> 366:24	448:23	358:24 426:24
500:24,25	442:12 474:18	adjourned	<b>agenda</b> 360:9,10	427:10,11
502:24 503:15	accounting	510:14	360:17 437:4	430:9 445:7
503:22 504:12	375:2	adjust 505:25	ago 364:22,23	499:17 512:1
505:8 507:21	accounts 421:19	Administrative	395:4 422:17	almost 472:17
above-entitled	<b>accuracy</b> 362:24	427:12	450:13	along 378:12
514:8	413:2 425:5	admission	agree 369:18,19	380:10,15
<b>absence</b> 431:16	accurate 364:16	439:23 452:13	382:18 408:25	409:21 421:18
<b>absent</b> 462:13	364:19 371:1	458:16,20	410:6 420:18	<b>already</b> 385:11
Absolutely	398:2,5 439:15	<b>admit</b> 385:14	420:19 424:15	401:23 403:11
443:11	acknowledge	admitted 441:25	426:9,10 434:7	416:12 420:23
abundance	450:8 482:13	452:18 482:9	457:8 459:19	422:23 435:23
400:4	acquiring 475:2	adoption 444:15	461:7 466:12	436:9 482:9
	acquisition	adversarial	467:23	505:20
<b>accept</b> 445:14 470:1 482:12	501:11	447:22	agreed 368:22	alternative
4/0.1 402.12			368:23 410:10	458:25
		1		

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				Page 516
although 393:5	426:14,15	applicable	<b>arrive</b> 492:25	408:9 415:21
452:25 472:19	427:19,23	369:22 407:19	arrives 402:13	419:4 420:2
AMA 374:23	439:20 507:23	418:18 431:19	article 455:13	432:19 433:2
375:1,4 377:10	anticipated	application	<b>aside</b> 394:2	473:13 492:13
377:12 378:5,7	450:10	368:23 453:23	439:11	492:15
378:12,12,21	anxious 500:17	454:25 456:14	asked 367:20	attaching 488:4
380:10,20	<b>anyone</b> 365:4	477:22 513:8	370:22 385:5	attachment
401:17 464:9	413:12 493:25	applications	386:16 397:2	453:12 486:11
466:2	anything 364:13	478:5	422:17 425:3	attempt 408:19
amendment	369:2,6 370:7	<b>applied</b> 419:15	426:6 436:4	420:10 454:24
444:9,24	392:7 419:21	460:6	439:18 474:1	477:2
<b>America</b> 503:22	455:11 477:4	<b>applies</b> 366:23	474:13 494:3	attempted 455:4
American 480:8	491:17,19	389:9 390:11	500:20 502:12	attempting
among 375:25	494:9 510:9	<b>apply</b> 442:11	509:23	463:6
376:20	anyway 493:5	appreciate	asking 368:8	attempts 433:11
amount 393:17	anywhere	413:18,19	387:10 388:1	433:12
401:21 406:16	466:16	440:23 505:17	392:2 396:15	attention 425:2
<b>amounts</b> 406:25	apologies 445:14	appropriate	441:18 443:5	attest 457:5
417:16	479:16 496:17	442:9,14,15	446:23 456:24	attorney 358:2
<b>analog</b> 469:12	apologize	498:18	457:3 475:7	358:15,21
469:24 470:1,3	447:14 479:15	appropriately	484:2 485:2,5	359:1,1 367:20
471:5	480:3 482:3	443:9	493:3 499:1	369:15 410:1,3
<b>Android</b> 479:4	496:13,14	approval 444:3	503:5	416:13,17
and/or 449:10	Apparently	444:20	asks 422:24	419:23 420:3
468:14 510:8	446:7	<b>approve</b> 447:4	463:20	420:11 423:17
announce	<b>appear</b> 373:18	approved	assert 408:5	429:4 433:19
360:18	374:3 383:24	411:23 443:23	asserted 368:9	attorneys
another 375:8	384:7,16,23	444:10	assertion 388:5	395:10
402:23 447:23	386:6 391:12	<b>April</b> 495:5	assets 501:11	attorney/client
508:7,12	445:23 447:21	498:11,13,16	502:16	396:12
answer 387:19	451:24 453:18	arbitration	assistant 427:12	AT&T 357:18
392:14 393:13	455:25 456:18	396:1,24	assisting 448:2	358:16,19
412:5 423:6,14	479:7 481:8	446:17 447:14	associated 377:7	363:20,24
436:8,8 442:5	490:4	447:15 448:5	382:14 472:15	364:5,10,25
451:11 454:20	APPEARAN	arbitrations	473:6 481:15	365:4.8.15
455:3 463:15	358:1	394:8 447:10	485:21 487:8	370:23 374:16
465:7 474:10	appeared	<b>area</b> 450:23	<b>assume</b> 386:20	374:20 379:10
474:18 477:6	377:16 481:12	472:14 480:8	389:11 408:3	379:10 380:1
480:2 483:22	490:5	481:2,15	429:3 433:14	380:10 381:16
493:5 497:9	appearing	488:18	459:15	381:18,21
501:1,7 503:8	405:12 493:19	<b>argue</b> 366:21	assuming	382:21,25
answered 397:2	<b>appears</b> 366:4,9	504:12	413:14	383:1,3,4
474:5 494:17	366:10 374:12	<b>around</b> 501:20	assumption	388:15,18,22
497:20 510:8	378:5 386:8	arrangement	440:3	389:1,5,21
answering	411:9 448:11	381:18 388:14	<b>attached</b> 362:1	390:2 393:5,6
500:23,24,25	457:6 460:4	392:7,9 422:14	367:10 373:3,6	398:18 399:2
<b>answers</b> 363:6	437.8460.4 500:7	422:15 432:16	394:23 395:16	401:12,25
414:6,6 426:4	500.7	422:13 432:10	404:17 405:1	401:12,23
414.0,0 420:4		407.13	404.17 403.1	402.10,17

Page	517

	<b></b>	<b></b>	1	Page 517
403:3 406:12	automated	436:9 453:17	<b>believe</b> 361:18	476:12 491:1
406:20 407:3,8	375:1	457:4 460:8	370:25 371:11	beyond 377:13
407:11 409:19	available 416:13	461:8 464:12	372:6,23 375:5	378:18 421:23
411:19 412:23	488:11	496:7 499:12	386:19 389:25	477:14
417:4,13,22	<b>Avenue</b> 404:10	<b>basic</b> 398:25	390:10 393:3,4	<b>big</b> 479:15
418:8 420:24	avoid 492:19	448:22 461:19	397:4 401:14	<b>bill</b> 378:22,23,25
425:6,10 429:8	500:21	basically 375:12	404:19 407:20	389:16,21
430:9,9,10,12	avoided 502:4	376:4 381:4	407:23 412:5	412:2 421:12
430:21 431:2,2	avoiding 501:21	462:3	415:14 417:6	425:8 430:13
431:3,7,12	510:1	<b>basis</b> 449:6	422:22 426:23	430:14 431:16
440:10 441:7	<b>aware</b> 369:16	<b>bearer</b> 376:11	430:8 437:3	437:19
442:10,23,24	410:17 446:13	bearing 462:15	438:18 446:19	<b>billed</b> 366:11,15
443:13,22	490:20 499:9	<b>beat</b> 493:10	451:20,21	366:16 388:18
444:16 446:18	501:25	<b>Beaver</b> 404:10	454:20 455:23	<b>billing</b> 365:14,17
447:12 462:21	<b>away</b> 411:16	<b>became</b> 490:20	457:17 460:1	370:23 371:8
465:1 466:5,21	480:23	495:3,4 498:11	460:22 461:4	374:11,15,18
467:8,11,23		becomes 377:10	464:6 465:7	374:20,22
492:16 495:8	B	becoming 480:9	466:4 469:7	378:11,22
496:8,16,20,25	<b>B</b> 384:17,18,19	<b>before</b> 360:23	482:1,3 483:6	379:4 381:18
496:25 497:13	390:25	381:16 395:8	484:5,11 487:1	389:12 401:25
499:11 506:25	<b>back</b> 360:4	412:9 421:16	492:16 494:9	403:7 407:10
AT&T's 379:25	375:18 393:21	421:17 422:3	494:10 495:10	412:22 425:6
380:15 392:23	401:22 425:24	425:22 457:4	497:9 499:3	430:10,21
397:24 398:5,8	431:25 432:1	470:24 498:24	500:1,7 508:12	431:1,11
406:21 430:18	434:5 437:2,7	506:5	<b>Bell</b> 357:18	432:16 465:24
440:15 441:3	457:16 481:9	<b>began</b> 363:19	383:3 446:19	<b>billings</b> 384:13
443:4 446:11	492:5 499:11	423:2	bench 398:13	<b>bills</b> 371:16,18
AT&T/Halo	499:20 505:9	begin 360:23	412:18 424:24	389:24 394:4
440:17	505:13 506:18	beginning 380:4	436:22 437:5	403:13 423:22
<b>audio</b> 478:6	509:8	440:9 449:23	489:5 496:7,8	425:10
authenticate	background	476:10	Benjamin 372:6	<b>bill-to</b> 433:8
456:7,18	374:4,7	begins 441:1	372:15 511:7	<b>bio</b> 363:1 428:23
457:10,19,21	backwards	<b>behalf</b> 405:12	511:11	biographical
authenticated	489:12	420:4	besides 371:8	363:4
456:24 457:3	<b>band</b> 476:5	<b>behind</b> 465:2	<b>best</b> 362:2 373:7	<b>Birdwell</b> 453:25
authentication	bankruptcy	being 382:5,9	391:17 405:2	453:25 454:6
457:6	501:11,12,16	390:12 421:9	407:23 411:21	459:9,10,16
<b>authority</b> 451:2	501:25 502:4	423:16 424:7	415:22 423:4	460:2
451:18,21,25	502:15 503:3	459:21 463:17	427:24 439:15	Birdwells
authorization	<b>based</b> 364:9	464:10 470:18	449:6,9	454:16 455:1
482:14 485:13	369:25 371:16	479:25 484:9	<b>better</b> 393:9	Birdwell's
499:22 500:3	374:6 379:25	499:2 508:25	<b>between</b> 388:14	455:10
508:21	381:18 398:1	<b>belief</b> 362:3	389:20 394:20	<b>bit</b> 375:4 383:18
authorizations	398:12 399:7,8	373:8 405:3	411:10 433:17	388:17 421:23
499:24	403:13 406:25	406:24 415:23	443:22 444:16	461:13 463:18
authorized	407:17 417:3	439:16 453:10	446:18 447:10	468:7 469:18
485:7 500:4	417:17 425:10	492:19	471:4 474:14	484:17
102.7 200.7	430:5,17 431:6	172.17	475:9,14	101.17
			773.7,17	

		1		Page 518
<b>blank</b> 477:21	<b>Bub</b> 358:15	465:3 466:5,6	452:4	372:22 383:13
blocking 441:1	440:11,13,19	467:14,18,23	<b>canceled</b> 451:20	403:20 404:16
Bloomberg	441:18 442:1,5	469:8,11	cancellation	413:25 414:4
455:7	442:7 443:12	470:15 472:9	451:13	415:12 422:15
<b>Bluebird</b> 429:14	512:9	472:23,24	candid 421:10	425:17 435:21
<b>Bob</b> 414:10	Building 358:11	473:1,11,21,22	422:20	444:11 446:21
415:11	<b>bunch</b> 422:3	473:23 479:18	capability	447:11,20
<b>both</b> 483:6	450:6	479:21 480:20	461:20	448:19 449:7
486:13 496:2,4	<b>burden</b> 386:23	481:2,21,23	capable 392:19	449:12,15
501:1	Bureau 456:1	486:12 488:8	capacity 414:24	453:13 459:25
<b>bottom</b> 363:22	458:13	489:14,14,17	427:11 436:14	462:15 464:13
441:2,3 448:15	business 361:10	490:6,10	437:23 448:1	483:17 484:1
451:11 452:22	371:5 372:14	493:22,24	474:25 475:22	492:25 497:14
469:12,15,23	391:24 403:4	494:11,14,15	476:8	498:9 503:25
<b>bought</b> 415:5	404:7 412:24	494:15 497:6	<b>Capital</b> 358:10	505:11
<b>bound</b> 485:16	414:21 417:9	499:2,4	Capitol 359:2	cases 446:21
boundary	417:19 427:9	called 360:8	caption 514:9	447:19
411:10,14	430:11 455:8	376:21 381:5	<b>capture</b> 402:10	categorically
485:17	<b>buttons</b> 479:15	398:22 402:2	captures 365:2	444:21
box 359:3,8		416:14 467:15	card 399:9,11,15	category 378:17
475:12	C	491:24 495:22	433:7	464:10 465:9
breach 442:23	C 360:1 383:25	502:2,16	careful 400:6	cause 372:21
breached 495:7	384:4,20 385:7	504:25	421:15	415:10 497:11
495:10 496:24	385:20 390:25	<b>caller</b> 418:11	carrier 366:5	514:8
breadth 504:8	391:12,15	460:21 461:1,8	378:22 381:19	<b>caused</b> 361:17
<b>break</b> 360:10,13	486:11 487:6,7	461:11,20,21	389:1,1,6	404:15 425:23
BRIAN 359:1	487:10,14,16	461:21 463:2	399:18 421:13	438:2,7
<b>brief</b> 508:4	487:18,24	463:13 464:4	422:1 423:18	causes 492:2
briefly 432:21	488:9,10 513:5	464:14 469:13	435:12 449:25	caution 400:5
432:25 459:4	514:1,1	469:19	456:11 459:21	<b>CCR</b> 357:25
briefs 505:24	<b>CABS</b> 378:23,25	calling 376:21	467:9,10,11	514:18
506:7	421:12	381:5 382:20	468:23 469:4	<b>cell</b> 472:1,7,23
<b>bring</b> 484:16	California	398:22 402:15	482:15,17,25	504:18
<b>British</b> 491:8	382:16 493:23	402:16 418:9	483:8 485:16	cellular 411:20
<b>Britt</b> 453:25	call 361:1 372:4	464:14	487:5 494:24	505:1
<b>broad</b> 473:17	375:7,9,17,23	calling/called	carriers 371:8	<b>center</b> 382:15
broadband	376:10,23	376:2	371:17 398:8	399:15,18
473:14,17	377:2,3,7,20	<b>calls</b> 380:11,12	403:7,12 412:3	473:6
474:3,9,11,15	377:20,25	399:14 437:9	412:8 424:6	<b>centers</b> 399:13
474:17 475:2,6	388:25 389:2	483:16 493:19	425:9 435:10	<b>Central</b> 404:13
475:17,18,23	390:1 399:6,11	497:16,25	435:17 444:5	471:1
476:20,23	399:14,19,20	498:3,19	447:12 483:5	<b>Centrex</b> 470:15
<b>Brother</b> 411:3	401:19,22	504:24	485:10 486:13	470:16,17,18
brought 448:23	402:4,7,12,23	came 380:12	<b>carry</b> 494:11	470:20,20
508:23	403:22 414:9	421:18,19	carrying 494:5	471:20
<b>Brown</b> 358:15	418:10 425:19	469:8 489:24	<b>Carter</b> 414:22	Century 473:5
<b>Brydon</b> 359:2	437:8 446:17	cancel 451:2,8	<b>case</b> 361:17	CenturyTel
•	447:20,22	451:17,25	365:6 371:25	471:2,5,21
		,		···,-, <b>-</b> ,

				Page 319
<b>CEO</b> 361:15	389:25 464:9	clarifying	<b>coming</b> 401:4	commitment
372:20 374:7	467:11,16	502:11	419:18 421:12	425:23
<b>certain</b> 378:16	468:4	<b>clarity</b> 508:14	469:11 470:2	committed
386:21 388:24	<b>charges</b> 366:2	<b>class</b> 375:8	473:18	442:23
401:21 402:1	366:21 379:1	<b>clean</b> 380:10	commercial	<b>common</b> 402:1
422:14 448:20	383:14 394:5	<b>clear</b> 392:2	500:3	450:21 453:18
458:11 488:7	407:15 418:15	400:9	Commission	456:10 458:4
503:9	431:15 442:19	<b>clever</b> 510:3	357:1 359:11	482:15,17,25
certainly 377:15	449:2 492:20	<b>Client</b> 472:9	385:6 386:11	483:8 487:5
393:5 396:15	500:21 501:22	<b>clients</b> 444:5	386:14,16	491:4,5 494:24
444:10 447:3	510:1	469:8 498:17	396:4,21	commonly
448:5 461:11	<b>check</b> 445:2	<b>close</b> 411:10	411:24 437:22	476:23 504:25
467:13 468:4	451:1,16 458:1	470:6 503:10	443:9,10,23	communicatio
469:25 472:3	458:7 485:3	<b>clue</b> 421:11	444:3,3,10,17	357:15 376:13
473:16 480:4	<b>checked</b> 451:6	CMRS 411:18	446:9 448:1,16	450:5,20 451:3
489:1 498:19	<b>Chestnut</b> 358:16	482:6 483:2,16	451:15 454:22	451:18 452:5
certificate 449:4	CHIEF 357:21	483:24 484:6	458:23 460:5	453:2,8,14,22
451:2,17,25	<b>Choate</b> 425:21	485:7,13	463:24 464:2	453:24 454:1,7
452:5 453:23	<b>Choctaw</b> 357:16	494:18,24	466:21,23	454:12,18,18
460:7,9	358:24	498:9,18	470:13 471:4	454:23 455:1
certificated	<b>chose</b> 396:7	<b>CN</b> 365:15	471:13,21	455:14,18
449:10,17,24	CIC 465:17	407:11 418:5	473:7 484:2	456:20,21
459:21 490:22	468:22,25	429:22	485:2 499:6	458:2,5 459:18
491:25 495:24	CICs 468:14	<b>CO</b> 382:15	500:9 501:19	459:19 460:6
496:5	<b>circuit</b> 418:2	470:20,21	509:5 511:9	460:11 490:14
Certified 514:6	<b>circuits</b> 420:23	<b>code</b> 375:9	512:13	490:18,18,23
<b>certify</b> 514:6	421:1,1 471:10	380:17,23	Commissioner	491:13 499:6
Chairman	circumstances	382:15 468:23	360:19 370:16	<b>comp</b> 442:21
357:21 505:6	492:24	480:8 481:3,15	370:17 397:14	companies
505:15	<b>City</b> 357:5 358:3	<b>codes</b> 380:20	397:15,16,17	369:3 370:3
<b>chance</b> 362:11	358:22 359:3,9	381:2	397:19 398:12	410:13,19
401:4	411:11 514:14	<b>Coin</b> 361:12	403:11 489:5,7	421:3 424:1
<b>change</b> 388:5	cj@cjaslaw.com	<b>Cole</b> 514:3,15	489:8 495:14	470:24 475:11
433:8 439:1	358:23	COLLEEN	495:18 496:21	475:12 492:21
492:3 497:11	<b>claim</b> 365:25	359:7	497:3 498:10	<b>company</b> 357:11
507:4	367:3,6 407:14	<b>Comcast</b> 448:17	498:24 499:1	357:11,12,12
<b>changes</b> 415:17	407:17 408:2	448:23 449:4,9	500:19 502:12	357:12,13,14
491:19	418:17,24	449:17	503:4 509:19	357:14,15,15
characteristics	419:2 431:14	<b>come</b> 391:12	509:21 512:11	357:16,16,17
487:13,16,25	431:18 432:5	434:20 466:22	Commissioners	357:17,18
488:7	claimed 368:5	489:18 505:9	357:23 360:14	358:24,25
characterize	claiming 421:4	<b>comes</b> 363:1	372:1 403:21	361:12,14
463:7 465:8	434:16	375:7 472:10	414:1 425:18	365:18,22
467:14	<b>claims</b> 366:23	483:7 487:19	437:4 495:17	366:22 367:7
characterized	clarification	487:21 489:17	Commission's	368:6,24
382:4	450:19	comforting	360:8 385:2	372:16 383:19
charge 381:9,11	<b>clarify</b> 389:15	498:7	394:6 493:13	384:16 386:3,7
381:14,17,20	450:4 509:10		494:1	386:18 389:8

				Page 520
389:14,19,20	competitive	408:1 418:23	contains 429:22	374:25 375:3
394:12,20	470:25 486:14	432:4,11 448:4	contend 391:11	375:11,13,14
395:20 396:22	Complainant	<b>conduct</b> 500:5	392:16 393:24	375:20,21,24
397:7 402:22	357:8	confidential	488:17	376:5,18 378:2
402:24 403:2	complaint 441:3	396:12 400:2	contending	378:14 379:8
404:9,12	441:3 448:23	confines 493:9	391:22	379:12,16
406:11,17	449:5	confirm 442:16	<b>content</b> 462:4	381:1,12,22
407:18 408:6	complaints	473:3 505:9	507:21	389:3,6,10,14
410:10 414:22	398:8	confirmed 363:2	contention	390:17 396:14
414:25 415:3,6	<b>complete</b> 360:12	conflict 486:19	492:7,9,18	397:24 398:23
417:3 418:17	386:21 505:16	<b>conform</b> 480:7	493:7,12,20	402:3,8,19
420:20 425:22	509:5	confusion	494:7,8 509:24	404:21 405:2
429:7,10	completely	500:23	contentions	405:13,14
431:18 432:15	450:9 466:12	<b>connect</b> 409:18	492:7	406:6,11,17
433:3,5,13,24	471:6	503:22	contested 447:7	407:2 413:12
433:24 434:1,2	completing	connected	447:20 449:15	415:22 416:24
434:8,20,23	360:17	409:18 470:12	<b>context</b> 422:20	416:25 417:9
450:19 453:2,3	computed	470:14	422:21	417:10,13,19
453:8,15 468:7	377:23	connection	continue 452:9	417:21 420:4,5
491:8,24	computer 479:3	405:19 474:2	498:25	421:5 426:24
company's	504:19	474:14 488:6	continued 458:4	428:14,19
384:8 420:4	comp-type	<b>consider</b> 409:3,4	502:20 511:2	429:5,6 430:1
432:3,8	442:20	422:13,14	511:12	430:9,18,19,22
<b>compare</b> 374:17	concept 485:15	475:4 486:16	continues 455:7	431:8,12,13
compared	485:21	494:2	continuing	434:18,19
380:11	concerned	considered	376:24	444:1 445:16
comparing	419:25	400:2 420:23	<b>control</b> 456:5,19	450:1,18
379:10	concerning	considering	458:4 513:8	453:16 459:23
comparison	394:17	420:23	conversely	466:11 469:2
401:11	concluded	consistent	493:8	470:11 474:4,7
compatriot	510:15	387:14 426:15	converts 499:4	481:23 502:24
379:19 469:6	concludes	481:21	cooperate	503:7 514:11
compensation	371:23 413:23	constitute 499:8	427:13	correction
369:22 370:6	425:15	consultant	Cooperative	438:13,21
394:6,7 396:3	conclusion	364:24	357:10 359:5	439:3
396:21 410:9	388:3 493:1	consultants	<b>copies</b> 369:5	corrections
410:16,20	conclusions	364:18	438:18	361:22 373:1
420:18 424:2,8	505:23 506:12	consumers	сору 386:13	404:22 415:17
424:16,17	506:16 507:9	462:4	440:22,23	438:11,14
433:12 434:8	508:1,10,11	<b>contact</b> 369:2	460:23	439:11,20
435:11,18	<b>concur</b> 418:20	434:4	corporation	correctly 464:25
442:9,11,14,15	concurrence	contacted 434:1	460:12	491:9 497:18
competent	387:21 513:6	434:2,6	<b>correct</b> 362:2	correlated
433:15 508:13	<b>concurs</b> 383:18	contained	363:6,20,21	398:19
competition	384:15 386:7	361:25 373:5	364:1,6,7,11	correspondence
456:1 458:13	conditions 367:2	396:1 398:20	364:12 368:3	367:9 368:8
485:25	386:17 387:11	400:12 404:25	368:13 371:2	394:24 395:4,6
	387:25 395:20	415:20 439:19	373:6 374:13	395:15 396:11

		I	1	Page 521
408:9,15,25	course 371:5	Crook 361:12	<b>cut</b> 393:12	<b>define</b> 377:18
409:8 419:4,10	376:21 403:3	cross 412:15	421:22	defined 461:2
419:13 432:18	412:23 417:6,8	424:21 426:21	cycle 456:22	463:3,13
432:22 433:6,7	417:18 430:11	445:8 486:2		465:22,23
451:12	430:22 510:6	crossing 503:10	D	475:2 486:20
<b>cost</b> 364:18,21	court 382:7,10	cross-examina	<b>D</b> 357:21 360:1	488:20,21
369:25	384:1 452:7	362:6,15,16	390:25 399:17	496:3
counsel 358:15	455:20 465:12	373:12,16,21	511:1	definition
359:7,7 362:23	465:14 501:16	387:3 393:12	<b>DA</b> 456:2	390:19 460:22
363:5 369:1,1	502:4,15	405:6,10,11	<b>DALE</b> 359:7	461:4 463:12
372:9 373:25	courts 501:25	411:2 413:4	458:22 462:12	474:9,11
379:21 386:10	covered 387:14	416:2,6,7	507:13 509:13	483:19
388:5 393:10	441:12	424:14 426:11	<b>Dallas</b> 358:7	delivered 375:8
394:24 395:1	<b>CPN</b> 365:15	426:13 428:3,5	Dallas/Fort	441:6,10
397:7 400:3	382:2 407:11	428:6 440:9,13	450:23	463:18 475:19
405:17,20	418:3 429:22	441:16 443:14	<b>DANIEL</b> 358:2	498:16 508:25
408:23 409:6	460:19 461:10	443:17 445:7,9	<b>dash</b> 480:1	delivering
409:14 425:22	461:12,17,23	445:12 491:5	<b>data</b> 362:25	363:18 406:10
426:9,22 427:4	462:5,23 464:3	491:19 492:2	364:18 380:10	417:2 441:11
428:18 429:1	466:10,24	497:11 508:24	421:1 430:5,17	463:2
429:16 433:10	469:20	511:5,8,16,17	436:12 479:1	delivery 433:7
433:10,15	CPN-type	511:20,21	database 391:19	460:19
434:5,6 435:1	466:16	512:4,9,10,10	392:13,15	demands 409:17
435:4,7 436:13	Craddock 358:6	cross-examine	<b>Datavon</b> 501:12	demonstrate
437:15 440:2	CRAIG 358:21	370:12 397:11	501:13 502:16	489:13 490:10
452:9 453:22	Craw-Kan	410:25 424:12	<b>date</b> 402:12	demonstration
457:9 502:21	357:10 359:5	426:18 436:19	498:13 506:12	471:25 480:11
503:6 505:22	360:5 361:19	442:2	506:24 508:24	489:19,23
507:23 508:16	362:7 372:24	cross-examini	509:1,7,8	497:4
counseled 410:2	373:12 394:3	469:6	<b>day</b> 402:12,13	demonstrations
counsel's 368:15	404:20 405:7	<b>CSR</b> 514:18	462:1 506:18	489:12 490:9
417:7 452:4	415:15 416:2	<b>CU</b> 470:20,22,23	<b>days</b> 374:19	demonstrative
<b>country</b> 455:18	443:15 497:22	<b>current</b> 453:10	507:11	387:7
<b>County</b> 357:13	507:6	495:21	deadlines 507:4	<b>Dennis</b> 358:15
372:16 384:7	CRAW-KAN'S	currently 424:7	<b>deal</b> 388:6	496:19
384:15,23	511:2	492:1 495:22	<b>Debbie</b> 425:21	<b>denoted</b> 464:24
387:22 388:15	<b>create</b> 363:8	506:14	<b>decent</b> 476:16	<b>deny</b> 497:16
391:10,15,20	489:20	custodian	decided 375:9	department
402:22,24	created 363:10	457:18	453:4	379:4 384:12
513:6 514:3,15	464:11 501:2,7	customarily	decision 447:2	407:22
<b>couple</b> 360:13	501:10 502:7	403:6	449:8,15	<b>depends</b> 366:18
394:3 425:3	509:25	<b>customer</b> 389:25	decisions 436:14	506:18
437:5 440:12	<b>creates</b> 466:8	402:23 475:21	decision-maki	DEPUTY
445:22 464:21	creating 466:7	customers	436:3 448:3	357:21
474:8 481:25	creation 494:13	478:22	declared 501:13	describe 415:4
486:3 497:24	502:13,14	customer's	502:1,17	448:22
507:11	<b>credible</b> 508:8	399:16	<b>deemed</b> 387:13	described 390:5
			443:10	390:8

				Page 522
describing	diligence 454:4	docket 456:1	462:7,13	379:13 380:24
377:19	454:15	docketed 444:11	duties 458:10	389:17 392:19
description	direct 361:8,18	document	duty 486:17	399:2 402:7
367:1 379:5	372:12,22	434:10,13,15	dyoung@schl	435:5 463:19
407:25 418:22	399:9,10 404:5	441:16 456:5,7	358:4	464:15
432:4,9 487:24	404:16 405:1	456:16,23	<b>d/b/a</b> 357:16,18	enduser 461:19
designation	409:3,17	457:2,4,5,13		474:19 475:19
481:21 482:21	414:17 415:11	457:19 458:17	E	475:21,25
482:24	427:6 437:16	460:4 490:16	<b>E</b> 360:1,1 511:1	485:3
designed 465:6	438:2,13,15,19	492:12,13,15	514:1,1	endusers 438:25
desk 472:16,25	439:23 492:16	498:12 500:2,4	each 388:25	483:22 484:9
479:18	511:4,8,16,20	documentation	486:19	<b>England</b> 359:1,2
destined 430:9	512:3,9	494:22	<b>earlier</b> 363:22	361:1,2,6,7,8
detail 448:21	directly 399:16	documented	375:6 459:13	362:5,13
determination	434:3,21 483:6	432:1	508:19	368:14 370:20
389:13 484:2	discontinue	documents	<b>early</b> 446:9	370:21 371:20
determinative	442:25	457:10 501:3	507:15	372:3,5,10,12
366:14	discuss 363:17	doing 379:10	East 358:22	373:10,16
determine	364:5 406:10	427:21 429:16	359:2	385:4 386:12
402:21 406:12	406:15 417:2	493:13 495:25	effective 495:4	387:17 393:8
436:2 454:4,16	417:12 419:2,9	<b>domestic</b> 456:10	498:11,13	396:9 400:4
454:24 458:3	430:7 431:1,5	456:18	508:24 509:1,6	401:2,3,7,8
505:6,14	467:17	<b>done</b> 364:17	509:8	403:15,18,22
developed	discussed 380:4	369:23 420:21	efficient 388:7	403:24 404:4,5
470:24	426:8 428:23	423:21 435:25	either 373:2	405:5,10 411:8
development	433:19 510:8	450:11 477:12	379:14 391:23	412:20 413:8,9
446:2	discussion 375:6	481:9 489:13	407:1 449:17	413:14,18
deviate 413:19	408:14 467:4	498:17 507:22	458:16 488:24	414:5,10,16,17
<b>device</b> 365:1	485:24 490:13	<b>doubt</b> 374:17	499:15	415:25 416:5
473:10,13	491:18 506:21	413:1 479:18	electronic	422:10,11
480:23 504:23	508:1,6,9	<b>doubtful</b> 369:25	438:17	424:24,25
504:24	disjunctive	<b>down</b> 371:24	electronically	425:1,12,20
<b>Dial</b> 357:17	504:4	377:3 403:19	430:13,14	443:16,17,19
358:25	<b>display</b> 461:20	413:24 425:16	504:1	445:4 497:23
dialing 480:8	504:17	448:14 461:21	element 383:22	498:6,21
<b>Diamond</b> 411:5	displayed	469:12,23	elements 384:24	499:15 507:5
difference	479:25	487:22 505:16	487:8	511:4,5,8,10
476:12	disposition	514:10	<b>Ellington</b> 357:10	511:13,16,17
different 386:15	449:8	drafting 427:14	<b>ellipse</b> 452:25 <b>elsewhere</b> 482:4	511:20,21
432:2 433:17	<b>dispute</b> 368:10	<b>drawing</b> 477:21		512:10,12
450:9,24	368:22 369:10	<b>Drye</b> 451:21	486:4	England's 469:8
462:15 499:23	369:17 420:11	452:3	<b>employed</b> 414:25 437:20	enhanced 450:3
differently	425:5	<b>due</b> 366:14	414:25 437:20 437:20	450:22 453:7
460:5	distance 402:23	506:13,16		453:11 454:2,9
<b>digital</b> 471:5,7,9	distribute	<b>duration</b> 377:23	end 375:16	455:14,17
<b>digits</b> 464:21	471:16	402:4	376:10,17	458:5 459:22
480:6	division 471:7	during 378:13	377:2,3,20,25 378:3,8 379:6	490:25 491:15
		382:22 411:8	570.5,0 579.0	492:9 493:15

r				r age 523
501:6,10 502:1	<b>even</b> 385:14	493:23 498:17	458:21 459:2	401:20 408:25
502:18 509:25	441:23 475:16	501:9 502:25	482:10,11	409:10,25
<b>enough</b> 467:20	485:20 488:21	examples 494:12	492:11 494:23	420:17 443:22
468:24	497:15	exceed 476:11	499:21,21	453:18 459:23
enter 369:20	ever 366:5 390:7	except 369:2	508:19 509:10	462:23 463:11
410:15 420:19	409:20 420:14	421:1	509:12 513:3,5	465:4,21 467:7
433:25 434:23	420:25 423:11	exception	513:7,9	482:5 497:12
entered 503:25	433:10 434:4	484:10 505:21	exhibits 362:1	505:23 509:6,7
entertaining	434:14 456:13	Excerpt 513:3,5	373:3,6 404:16	factors 412:2
504:17	464:6 477:12	excerpts 386:6	405:1 415:21	facts 379:21
entire 386:17	477:22	excessive 393:17	439:25 513:1	<b>failing</b> 464:3
entirely 411:15	every 506:3	<b>exchange</b> 433:24	513:10	<b>Fair</b> 467:20
entirety 390:1	everybody	469:3 481:19	exist 402:17	468:24
entities 376:9	413:17 420:24	485:8,11,14,17	existing 444:15	fairly 455:7
475:10,14	503:21	485:18,20	<b>exists</b> 491:6	falling 503:3
476:22 491:2	everyone 415:2	486:13,23	exited 490:23	<b>familiar</b> 387:20
492:10	everyone's	487:1 491:18	expect 459:14	391:2 396:11
entitled 413:10	426:21	493:15 495:12	471:6,9,12	411:13 456:9
424:17 442:1	everything	exchanges 411:5	508:9	499:22,25
442:24	466:12	411:9	expedited 507:2	familiarity
entity 375:12	evidence 385:21	exchanging	expediting	457:4
376:8 380:24	441:17 452:20	395:4	392:24 393:7	family 415:9
450:9 467:14	464:12 492:25	excuse 371:25	experience	425:23
467:18,23	497:14 503:25	392:22 397:1	438:20 445:18	fancy 470:19
490:19,22,24	508:13 511:2	403:20 452:2	447:15 448:12	far 360:6 388:6
495:22 500:23	512:1,7	480:1 505:18	474:6	388:19 419:25
501:12 502:16	evidentiary	excused 440:16	expert 486:15	450:16 468:10
equal 392:20	357:3 510:13	443:5	expires 495:5	503:6
474:20	examination	excusing 413:25	<b>explain</b> 374:14	Farmers 366:25
equipment	361:8 370:21	425:17	508:11	383:18 384:15
498:4	372:12 401:8	exemption	expressed	386:3,7,18
equivalent	404:5 412:20	457:22	486:22	387:24 407:23
467:10 474:23	413:21 414:17	exhibit 361:20	extent 387:12	418:20 513:3,6
<b>ERE</b> 446:2	425:1 427:6	362:7,7 372:24	436:8 447:5	Fat 479:14
460:22,23	437:16 502:12	373:12 383:25	extraordinarily	FCC 395:25
461:9 463:3,20	504:15 511:4,5	384:4,20,22	478:25	456:3,10 458:1
467:3	511:8,10,13,16	385:10,17,20	extremely	458:9 475:2
<b>ESP</b> 501:13,15	511:17,20,21	385:24 386:15	364:19	482:5,14,22
essentially	512:3,9,15	387:7,22 394:2	<b>e-mail</b> 505:8	483:13,24
398:23 426:5	<b>examine</b> 482:9	404:20 405:7		484:4,6 485:4
440:4 449:1	482:11	415:15 416:2	<u> </u>	485:6 499:1,24
474:24	<b>example</b> 382:13	427:15,18,20	<b>F</b> 514:1	503:15,16
establish 454:9	382:16 388:18	428:2 438:4,9	<b>face</b> 456:17	504:9
454:22	388:25 389:17	438:9 451:24	facilities 441:9	FCCR 513:8
established	402:2 439:4	452:6,11,13,19	470:3	FCC's 447:1
376:14 456:22	444:9 446:24	452:23 453:12	facility 470:3	485:24
<b>et</b> 359:5 511:2	451:1 454:17	453:17 454:8	fact 382:19	<b>feature</b> 390:11
512:1	455:5,7 483:12	455:19,23	385:4 395:19	390:24,25,25
		1		

www.midwestlitigation.com

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				1 age 524
390:25 391:3,5	findings 505:23	formal 477:8	401:18,25	gallery 400:9
391:12,15	506:12,15	formally 385:14	403:12 404:8	game 502:21,22
399:17 486:11	507:9 508:5	386:24	406:12 408:22	gather 436:12
487:6,7,9,10	<b>fine</b> 409:18	format 430:15	411:16 412:9	447:18,24
487:14,16,18	457:24 462:16	forming 431:10	412:18,23	472:16
487:24 488:8	467:1 468:16	<b>forth</b> 367:3	413:19 417:4	gave 379:21
488:10	475:5,6 476:12	408:2 418:24	417:13,20	446:1 500:2
<b>federal</b> 458:3,8	481:17 483:13	432:5,11	419:25 420:1	general 361:15
499:6	483:14 503:9	493:23 514:9	421:2 423:16	415:1 417:6
feel 366:10	504:2	found 363:18	423:19 424:8	464:11 486:10
367:23 408:20	fingers 479:15	371:1 398:2,5	424:24 425:6,8	generally 398:4
448:20 498:8	<b>finish</b> 423:6	423:16 433:6	426:24 429:2	418:7 446:14
few 397:18	first 384:17,20	439:4 472:20	430:10,12,17	449:7 508:5
411:4 448:11	387:8 401:12	494:10	430:20 431:2,2	generate 472:24
450:12 505:5	421:10,21	foundation	431:3,12	generated
Fick 357:25	438:15,22	387:18,23	434:22 435:8	374:23 375:5
514:5,18	441:1,5 445:22	388:9	436:22 439:11	378:7,13
<b>fifth</b> 374:2	453:20 459:5	founding 455:10	440:16 441:16	379:11 466:1
Fifty 476:15	474:22 489:13	four 391:3,9	443:5,14 452:3	generates 378:4
<b>figure</b> 389:9	490:20 492:8	frankly 393:18	454:8 456:20	379:15
figured 493:9	493:20 494:7	fraudulent	466:1,22	generating
file 357:9 360:4	496:16	493:7 494:8	468:18 473:18	376:17 377:6
362:12 386:13	<b>five</b> 484:21,23	<b>free</b> 486:5	478:22,23	gets 422:2
440:5 505:22	float 506:24	frequency	479:18 481:2	461:25 466:5
filed 361:17	flows 388:15	499:23	483:7,24 486:9	getting 383:7
372:22 404:15	focus 460:17	Friday 506:23	487:19,21	388:1,2 419:17
415:12 438:2,3	folks 362:21	Friedman	489:4,18,18	421:10 422:25
438:7,8 439:14	367:12 405:16	358:15 392:22	493:23 494:14	477:1 486:5
444:2,24 447:1	follow 401:9	393:1,2 423:6	496:7,8 498:10	503:10
449:5 451:3,18	412:21 436:13	456:23 457:2,9	498:24 501:11	girls 421:14
451:21 456:19	451:9 503:6	496:18,19	502:16 511:9	give 369:21
458:18	followed 410:19	497:19 499:13	512:13 513:10	397:6 399:22
filing 438:18	433:15 505:24	502:19 506:20	<b>front</b> 431:23	401:4 402:6
444:18 506:9	following 413:15	507:20 508:15	509:7	410:3 422:20
509:12	440:19 468:19	511:13 512:12	full 448:1 459:5	484:23 502:3
filled 362:25	502:23	<b>from</b> 362:15,23	514:11	given 458:25
416:14	follow-up	363:20,24	<b>fun</b> 500:17	464:7 492:24
final 423:21	496:21	364:5,10,18	functionality	514:14
442:22 507:24	forego 426:13	365:12,14,17	382:24 407:7	gives 481:6
507:24	foregoing	366:4 369:1,8	429:13	<b>giving</b> 460:15
finally 371:24	426:11 514:11	369:19 370:23	further 370:9	480:18 502:15
403:20 413:25	foreign 460:12	374:16,20	424:10 436:17	<b>go</b> 364:23,24
425:17	<b>Forgive</b> 454:13	379:9,18 380:9	501:24 505:2	367:13,16
<b>find</b> 414:4	464:7	380:12 381:18	<b>future</b> 413:20	375:10,19
486:25	form 368:15	381:18 386:6		376:11 379:17
<b>finding</b> 461:2	396:10 465:24	386:15 394:24	G	385:14 388:19
508:6,8	502:23,24	398:8,13 399:2	<b>G</b> 360:1	399:17 400:2,7
		399:15 401:12	gadgetry 497:5	400:8 401:22

				Page 525
408:18 419:12	411:17	390:13 391:10	497:12,15	heard 366:5
428:16 440:20	Grandma	391:16,18,23	498:16 499:21	367:8 370:8
462:10 463:24	493:23	392:3,7,9,16	500:2,10,25	379:18 390:24
468:14 484:17	grant 443:10	393:24 394:4	508:19 509:12	396:20 408:16
486:20 494:3	granted 483:24	394:11,13,21	513:3,5,7,9	420:1 423:21
499:20 503:21	495:3	394:25 395:6	Halo's 371:14	456:13 462:10
505:10 507:25	great 373:23	395:19,23	379:25 387:13	462:21 477:22
508:5	448:21 470:5	396:20 399:19	391:24 393:10	497:15
goes 388:16	506:25	403:8 405:10	406:10,24	hearing 357:3
502:14	greater 450:22	406:16 407:12	417:2,16 439:1	360:19,25
going 363:23	474:20,20	407:14 408:6	439:7 464:13	367:12 382:13
364:4 367:25	475:22 476:18	408:25 409:10	474:2 484:11	408:12 419:7
371:24 393:19	green 393:2	409:25 410:4,6	488:4 494:23	424:23 425:23
393:20 394:16	ground 403:10	410:13 416:6	495:7 503:16	425:25 428:11
403:19 423:2	group 390:11,24	418:13 419:3	506:2,10	436:21 449:12
423:13,20	390:25,25,25	419:24 420:10	hand 414:12	452:17 462:8
428:16 430:25	391:12,15	420:17 421:4	437:12	510:12,13,15
433:18 437:1	394:3 399:17	421:11 423:9	handed 451:23	held 378:8
440:4 448:21	402:13 416:8	423:19 424:1,8	455:22 467:23	382:15 400:12
455:3 458:15	428:17 465:23	425:22 426:8	498:12	help 363:12
461:7 467:17	486:11 487:6,7	428:5 429:23	handing 472:7	Henry 358:10
472:1,8 473:9	487:9,10,14,16	430:8 431:14	<b>handle</b> 478:6	her 425:24 426:2
476:1 479:3	487:18,24	432:14 433:7	hands 423:18	426:4,9,14
484:13,16,18	488:9,10	433:11,23	happen 446:5	436:3,9
493:2,5 496:11	groups 391:3,5	434:16,20	501:16	Hernandez
496:15 502:8	guess 390:15	435:11,17	happened	452:4
502:19 503:2,5	393:8 413:10	436:1 438:24	376:18 381:14	<b>Hi</b> 496:19
505:5	470:3 488:15	441:6,9,11	426:1	<b>High</b> 358:22
<b>gone</b> 368:12	<b>guide</b> 481:20	442:9,13,23	happens 472:7	highly 382:19
378:18 421:23	GUNN 357:21	443:22 444:16	479:4	Highway 358:10
424:1,6 434:25	<b>guy</b> 418:1	445:10 451:23	hard 461:2	high-capacity
484:15	<b>guys</b> 395:24	452:6,11,13,19	HAROLD	475:14,15
<b>good</b> 360:2	H	452:22 455:19	357:20	high-volume
362:17,18		455:23 458:21	Hart 414:10,11	474:2
422:2,2 437:17	Halo 357:7	462:23 463:2	414:20 415:10	Hills 358:11
443:18,19	358:13 362:15	463:11 464:3	415:11 416:8	him 373:11
489:10	362:19 363:18 365:16 366:1	467:12 468:10	425:4,15	422:17 428:18
Goodman		468:13,15,22	511:19	457:13 505:7
357:11	367:7 368:5,22 369:2,2,8,16	474:14,14	Hart's 422:11	himself 462:13
goodness 501:18	369:17 370:5	476:5 482:5,10	Harwood 358:6	hire 433:14
<b>Google</b> 479:6	371:9 373:16	482:13 483:15	having 423:17	history 422:22
480:21,22	373:25 381:15	483:23 484:3,6	490:14 500:17	422:22 508:4
481:5,16	381:23 382:19	486:4,8,23	507:2 510:1	hit 479:16
489:19,20	383:13,25	487:2,25 488:3	head 394:22	480:22
497:25	384:4,20	488:17,24 492:9 493:25	heads 462:2	hold 473:9
<b>Granby</b> 357:11	385:20,24		<b>hear</b> 408:14	<b>holding</b> 376:23 376:24 504:5
404:8,9,12	389:5 390:12	494:6,9,18 496:2,24	419:9 462:14	570.24 304:3
405:13 411:4,5	507.5 570.12	490.2,24		

				Page 526
Holdings 456:20	hypothetical	inaccurate	individual	inserted 381:17
459:8 490:15	422:13	371:12	459:13	insertion 464:8
490:15,19,21	H323 478:8	inadvertently	individuals	<b>insofar</b> 393:13
491:7,12		438:17	454:6	instance 389:4
holiday 509:15	<u> </u>	<b>Inc</b> 357:7,10,17	indulge 505:5	instances 399:5
home 431:24	Iamo 357:12	357:17 358:13	industry 370:3	439:6 444:8
honestly 393:10	361:11,13	450:3,5,20	410:13 424:1	instead 394:5
447:6,16 448:7	ICA 440:17	451:3,18 452:5	435:10 444:18	intelligence
449:13 478:7	441:3,9 442:10	453:7,22,24	465:4,23	471:14
482:23	442:23,25	454:1,18,23	information	intended 452:25
Honor 361:2,7	<b>ID</b> 418:11	455:1,14,15,18	361:25 362:2	intending 500:8
362:5 368:14	461:11,20,21	458:2,5,6	362:25 363:1,4	<b>intent</b> 502:13
370:14,18,20	464:14	459:18,19,23	363:15 364:8	intentions 422:2
372:5,10	<b>idea</b> 416:22	460:6,11	365:3,15,17,19	494:8
373:17 386:24	458:14 485:16	490:23 502:16	365:21 373:5,7	interconnect
387:17 393:8	identical 406:2	include 444:4	374:19 375:7	370:5 483:6,11
393:19 396:9	416:21 428:25	505:22	376:1,11,17,24	interconnected
396:13 397:9	identification	includes 377:12	376:25 377:5	365:7,8 407:3
401:7 403:24	361:19 372:24	including	377:12,16,18	417:22 429:7
403:25 404:4	384:1 404:20	376:20 380:17	378:4 379:9,14	467:12 483:2
411:1 412:13	452:7 455:20	390:1 394:20	379:23 380:1	interconnection
413:3 414:6,16	460:21 461:1,9	461:20 466:9	380:14,16,17	369:3,11,19
422:5 424:25	463:3,13 464:4	<b>incoming</b> 479:21	380:18 381:8	370:4 394:13
425:20 426:25	468:23 508:4	481:2 486:14	381:11,15,21	394:18 395:21
427:5 428:1	identified 389:5	incomplete	382:2,20	395:25 396:23
435:20 436:24	417:7	441:16	388:24 389:9	410:8,14
440:4,11	identifier 468:2	incorporated	396:16 397:6	411:18 420:19
441:24 443:16	identifies 467:9	453:3,9	398:18,19,25	424:3 433:11
445:11 457:11	identify 388:24	incorrect 450:15	399:23,25	433:25 434:9
457:20 458:15	417:17 428:18	incumbents	400:1 402:2,9	434:22 435:2
462:17 471:24	457:19 467:13	487:20,21	404:25 405:3	435:12,19
479:16 480:10	<b>III</b> 359:1	indeed 442:3	406:22,23	441:12 443:21
484:21 496:14	<b>ILEC</b> 462:22	<b>indented</b> 452:23	407:10,11	444:2 446:18
499:18 502:11	ILECs 388:16	<b>INDEX</b> 513:1	408:22 415:20	448:4 467:25
502:19 506:11	446:13 447:1	<b>indicate</b> 363:24	415:22 429:21	486:16 492:20
506:20 507:8	447:10 465:2	449:23 498:15	429:22,23	495:7,10
507:20	466:8 486:9,24	indicated 370:25	430:3,3,20	496:24
hopefully	<b>illegal</b> 493:8	387:19 394:4	436:12 459:20	interest 383:17
360:16 472:3	510:2	396:10,20	460:21 461:17	392:23 393:6
host 376:3	immaterial	402:1 436:10	463:12 464:4	454:5,17 455:1
hour 484:18	435:21	441:5 497:2	466:2,10,16,24	interested
house 399:17	impact 464:9	498:10 503:16	informed 478:2	396:25
housekeeping	implicitly 508:7	indicates 429:24	<b>initial</b> 376:3	interexchange
505:11,19	<b>imply</b> 463:25	indication 374:6	377:16 378:1	449:24 496:3
Huber 358:2	important 462:4	469:19	461:18	<b>interfere</b> 472:4
Hub-uh 418:4	impose 392:23	indirectly 365:9	<b>initiated</b> 418:10	interim 369:21
420:16	impression	483:7	initiation 477:5	370:5 391:19
	464:7 502:3		477:7	392:8 396:3,21
			.,,.,	0,2,00,0,0,21

r		1	1	Page 327
410:9,16,20	<b>Iowa</b> 361:12	512:13	412:17 413:8	387:3 393:21
420:18 424:2,8	365:13	Jarrett's 398:12	413:11,22	394:16,18
433:12 434:8	<b>IP</b> 448:17,24	Jefferson 357:5	414:3,8,11,14	395:10,22,24
435:11,18	449:4,10	358:22 359:3,9	416:5 422:9	398:14 400:6
interject 484:13	475:23 476:21	514:15	423:1,12	409:18 413:16
intermediary	477:18	<b>job</b> 379:5 436:14	424:11,20,23	417:8 421:24
494:14	<b>IP-based</b> 471:15	458:10 463:8	425:14 426:7	425:17,25
intermediately	471:22	Johnson 358:21	426:10,16,20	426:20 436:2
423:20	<b>IP-type</b> 449:2	358:21 403:25	427:3 428:4	440:12 441:18
interMTA 412:2	irrelevant	404:8,15	429:15 435:22	446:5 447:7
412:9	435:21	410:25 411:1,2	436:7,18,21,25	448:7 450:8
internally 433:5	Irving 451:14	411:3,3 412:12	437:7,11,14	451:25 454:6
Internet 455:5	<b>ISDN</b> 461:18	412:21 413:23	440:1,7 441:21	456:6,17 457:1
471:8 477:9,13	<b>issue</b> 363:25	424:12,13,14	442:3 443:14	457:21 459:4,6
478:5	364:9 365:16	424:19 426:25	445:6,9 452:8	460:3,15
interpret 419:20	365:25 366:1	427:5,6 428:1	452:12,17	461:13 462:12
423:4	383:13 389:25	435:20 436:23	457:8,12,17,23	463:23 467:22
Interstate	393:9 407:12	436:24 445:8	458:19,24	472:6,22 473:3
493:22	407:15 413:6	472:8,8 473:9	462:19 470:8	473:10,14
interstate/inte	418:14 429:23	499:17,18,19	472:3 484:16	474:8 475:7
493:18	431:15,20	500:13 506:11	484:23 489:4	476:2,25
intraLATA	441:5,13 442:9	507:7 511:15	495:16,20	477:21 479:2
383:10	442:17,22	511:17,21	496:6,11,15	479:10,24
intraMTA 504:4	446:20 464:8	512:3,14	497:21 498:23	480:14 481:6
intrastate	476:2 483:17	<b>Johnson's</b> 502:5	499:10,14,16	481:22,22
366:22 384:23	484:3 486:9	<b>Jones</b> 361:3,11	500:14 503:2	483:2 486:2
407:18 418:18	495:21 503:14	361:16,16	504:14 505:4	489:13,24
431:19 442:14	509:9	362:17 370:22	505:13 506:14	490:7 492:6
513:4	issued 444:25	371:23 511:4	507:1,10,14,18	493:11 494:25
introduced	460:10 482:14	<b>Joplin</b> 421:2	508:2,16,22	496:9,20
494:23	<b>issues</b> 441:1,1,4	<b>Judge</b> 357:21	509:11,14,19	499:11,22
introductory	444:4 448:6,22	360:2,25 361:5	510:9,12 511:9	500:17,22
474:8	<b>IXC</b> 399:17,21	362:9,14	512:11,13	501:21 503:20
<b>invite</b> 376:4	468:25	368:17 370:11	judicial 458:16	504:16 505:5
invoke 395:25	<b>IXCs</b> 392:20	370:15,19	458:23	506:24 508:18
396:23	J	371:22 372:3,8	<b>July</b> 456:2	509:1,4,4,16
<b>involved</b> 435:5	<b>J</b> 358:15	373:15,19	506:16,23	510:5
446:2,4,15,20	<b>J</b> 358:15 <b>Jack</b> 361:3,11	385:8,13,18	507:3 509:16	K
446:20,22,24	361:16 372:6	387:1,4 388:8	509:17	Kansas 358:3
447:2,5,9	372:15 511:4,7	392:25 393:15	<b>June</b> 357:4	411:11
448:7	511:11	393:22 396:17	360:3 438:3,8	<b>keep</b> 413:16
involvement	<b>January</b> 433:17	397:4,10,12,13	455:9	431:24 509:16
447:25	495:4	397:16,18,22	<b>just</b> 366:4,18,18	<b>Kelley</b> 451:21
<b>involving</b> 446:21 <b>in-camera</b> 400:2	<b>Jarrett</b> 357:22	398:1,4,7,11	368:18 371:25 372:11 376:2	452:3
400:7,9,12	360:19 397:19	398:16 400:1,8 401:1,6 403:17	377:13 379:6	<b>Kenney</b> 357:22
462:13 511:11	403:11 498:24	401:1,6 403:17	380:22 383:17	397:14,15
402.15 511.11	499:1 511:9	410:24 412:14	386:20,23	489:5,7,8
	177.1 511.7	410.24 412:14	300.20,23	102.0,7,0

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				Page 528
495:14 497:3	424:5 428:24	433:4,20,21	lead 383:17	452:22 459:4
498:10 500:20	429:12,20	434:2 435:3	leading 491:24	460:25 461:13
502:12 503:4	430:2,8 433:9	436:2 439:16	least 393:12	467:22 474:8
509:20,21	433:22 434:5	449:9 476:11	418:14 460:8	480:22 481:25
512:11	434:13 435:9	488:13	leave 399:17	503:20 505:8
Kenney's 496:21	435:14,14,16	knowledgeable	leaves 505:19	<b>Le-Ru</b> 357:12
Kevin 357:21	444:17,21,22	388:11	LEC-to-LEC	414:25 415:3,7
403:25 404:8	444:25 445:3	<b>known</b> 411:18	388:17 446:11	424:15
404:14 511:15	449:17 450:15	Krause 358:2	465:3 466:6	license 476:6
key 471:15	458:12 459:25		467:15,19	482:5 483:21
keyed 480:13,17	460:1,3 463:5	L	486:12 487:18	483:24,24
kind 379:6 418:1	463:10 465:15	<b>L</b> 437:19 512:8	left 438:17	484:7 485:4
420:15 423:21	466:14,15,18	lady 421:19	455:18	494:18,24
471:13,15	466:19 467:16	Lake 358:11	legal 359:7	495:2 498:9,18
493:10,11	468:10 469:15	landline 380:12	388:3 493:3,3	508:20 509:3,3
508:1	470:21 471:9	382:5,9 472:16	493:10 508:10	licenses 509:5
Kingdom 453:3	471:18,20,23	498:4	509:23	licensing 499:23
453:9,15	473:16 475:8	landline-origi	legally 450:24	<b>licensure</b> 456:10
knew 419:17	476:8 477:4,9	493:19 497:7	length 386:20	458:3 484:4
knock 481:25	477:11,16,18	497:13,17	<b>LEO</b> 358:15	light 393:2
<b>know</b> 360:7,13	477:20 478:15	laptop 472:9	Leon 415:6	419:13
362:19 365:20	478:18 479:9,9	473:11	leo.bub@att.c	like 360:10
368:21,25	480:9 482:21	<b>last</b> 364:20	358:18	366:10 369:6
369:8,12 370:2	482:24 483:3,4	393:19 425:20	<b>LERG</b> 473:6	371:25 392:7
370:7 379:2	483:4,10,10,11	428:7 459:5	481:20	396:15 399:24
381:25 382:1	484:10 485:6	462:13 464:21	less 375:22	400:5 413:6
383:2,5,6,6,9	485:12 486:18	466:13 480:11	380:23	421:2 425:18
383:11 389:23	486:18 487:16	496:23 503:14	let 360:7 379:17	439:1,8 443:3
389:24 390:3,4	488:21 489:21	late 459:22	383:17 411:16	447:12 455:8
390:4,21 391:5	489:22 490:19	502:20,22	412:21 413:20	456:25 463:19
391:18,21,22	492:3 494:1	509:12	434:5 440:18	464:13 466:18
391:24,25	498:7 500:4	later 378:9	484:17 489:11	468:3,5 473:14
392:6,18 394:1	501:2,8,9,14	509:16	498:25 499:11	480:7 489:16
395:18,23	501:23,24	latitude 393:16	<b>letter</b> 419:23	489:17 490:5
396:4,5,6	502:24,25	launch 472:9	420:1 452:2	490:10 498:1,2
399:22 406:1	503:1,8 505:24	473:10	483:8	498:2,5 510:7
407:9 408:24	506:21 507:23	law 357:21	<b>letters</b> 368:25	likelihood
409:2,11,24	knowing 406:4	358:2,15,21	369:14,16	469:21
410:1,12,18	knowingly	359:1,1 449:1	394:25 395:10	likely 369:25
411:22 412:1,7	424:15	493:9 505:23	420:3,7 433:2	382:19
413:20 414:5	knowledge	laws 483:19	433:4	<b>limit</b> 393:4
415:2,9 416:20	362:2 365:23	<b>lawyer</b> 406:6	<b>let's</b> 363:16	<b>limited</b> 493:17
417:2,25 418:1	366:6 369:4	416:24	367:5 375:4	<b>limits</b> 495:12
418:3,7 419:20	373:7 381:13	<b>lawyers</b> 394:21	384:3 388:17	<b>line</b> 364:4
419:25 420:9	387:23 388:20	lay 388:2,8	388:19 400:7	379:17 394:10
420:13 421:7	391:17 405:3	<b>layer</b> 477:23	406:8,14 408:4	399:9,10,11,15
422:7,18,19,21	411:21 415:22	layers 477:16,18	419:1 430:6,24	408:5 411:10
423:10,25	420:6 427:24	layman's 387:15	440:20 449:20	438:23 449:23

			<b></b>	Page 529
474:5 475:13	long 374:18	maintain 377:6	March 401:14	470:8 471:11
475:16 477:14	393:16 402:23	383:21	mark 479:22	471:24 472:1
485:17 487:5	475:8 503:7	majority 380:2	marked 361:19	479:22 488:8,8
490:21 502:14	longer 459:24	Majoue 358:5	372:23 384:1,4	491:12,12,14
503:14 507:2	491:6	362:16 368:18	384:18 385:23	491:14 493:2,3
lined 380:11	look 360:10	368:20 370:9	386:1 404:19	495:22 505:16
lines 363:17,23	367:13,16	379:19 405:11	415:14 438:3,8	506:4 507:10
367:6 380:13	384:13 388:25	410:23 413:3	439:24 451:23	507:20 508:25
406:9,15	395:5,8 408:19	413:15,16	452:6 455:19	510:5
409:21 417:12	409:7 419:13	416:7 422:5,16	455:22 513:2	maybe 383:16
419:2 430:7,25	452:22 458:9	423:8,23	market 490:23	421:2 490:11
430:25 439:5,5	459:4 473:14	424:10 425:3	marks 439:10	493:4
443:21 474:1	480:25 488:14	426:5,8,12	Maskina 456:19	Mayer 358:15
475:14,15	489:16,17	428:6 429:19	match 401:24	McCARTNEY
link 376:14	490:10 497:7	435:23 436:16	402:10 487:13	359:1
389:20	501:15,16	508:18,23	matched 402:16	McCLOWRY
links 429:11,14	503:21	509:18 511:5	matches 374:20	359:7 370:14
471:4	looked 369:24	511:16,20	material 442:23	397:1,12 437:9
list 458:17	370:1 384:10	512:4	matter 368:1	437:16 439:22
listed 433:3	475:17 480:6	Majoue's 387:10	385:4 438:3,8	452:16 484:13
446:5 447:16	487:23,23	422:13	439:15 444:11	502:8 504:15
447:18 473:5	488:3	make 361:23	508:19	505:2 512:9,15
487:8	looking 411:7	370:1 373:2	matters 360:22	McCOLLOU
listening 429:2	482:2 489:25	393:1 415:18	385:12 458:11	358:9,10
<b>listing</b> 445:25	498:1,1	436:14 445:13	507:16,19	373:17,20,21
448:10	looks 392:7	472:22 473:1	may 360:21	373:24 382:9
<b>little</b> 375:4	455:8 456:25	479:10 484:2	361:1,5,6	382:11 384:2
382:16 383:18	461:21 468:3,4	492:5,6 497:6	371:23 372:4,8	385:1,7,11,16
386:14 388:17	lost 378:17	498:3	372:9 377:20	385:19,22
393:16 398:24	lot 419:18 467:4	makes 366:3	378:21 385:18	386:9,22 387:2
421:23 461:13	478:13 508:6	498:7	388:1,2 394:17	387:5 388:4,10
461:20 469:18	Louis 358:17	making 406:18	394:17 396:11	393:18,23
484:17	411:11	494:8	396:17 398:14	396:13,18,19
lively 413:17	<b>Lu-Ru</b> 414:22	manager 361:15	401:1,3 402:22	397:3,8 398:14
<b>LLC</b> 456:20		404:13 415:1	403:18,22	398:17 399:24
local 421:2	M	433:16,17	404:2,3 410:19	440:3 441:15
481:19 485:24	<b>M</b> 357:22 359:7	435:4	413:24 414:8	441:23 445:11
486:13 487:5,6	made 363:5	managerial	414:14,15	445:12 451:20
492:20 507:23	385:21 388:5	436:10	425:15,19	452:10,14,21
<b>locate</b> 505:7	389:13 401:19	manipulating	427:3,4 437:5	455:21 457:1
<b>located</b> 495:23	401:23 402:22	464:3	437:8,14,15	457:11,16,20
<b>Loges</b> 427:1,8	419:17 452:20	<b>many</b> 374:1	439:5 440:9	457:24,25
427:13 428:3,9	460:2 467:3	378:12,12	447:10 450:5	458:7,15 459:3
428:10,10	489:14,16,17	390:21 446:25	450:10 452:8	462:16,20
429:4 512:3	492:22 514:12	478:15,18	454:13 456:4	465:13,18
logged 401:21	<b>Madison</b> 359:8	488:4 498:5	463:4 464:1,7	470:5,9 471:24
logistical 508:18	mailed 451:13	<b>map</b> 411:7	464:9 466:9,19	472:5 475:13
	<b>Main</b> 372:16		466:25 468:21	479:14,19

<b></b>		1		Page 530
480:9,12	memory 466:25	Missouri 357:1	487:15 508:8	400:6 404:6,8
484:14,20,25	468:15	357:5,18 358:3	morning 360:3	414:18 415:3,6
485:1 489:2,3	mention 485:20	358:16,17,19	362:17,18	415:7 427:7
496:9,12,13,17	506:23	358:22 359:10	397:23 428:13	428:7 437:18
497:5 498:12	mentioned	372:17 383:3	429:2 437:17	445:14 459:9
500:2,15,16,18	360:7 366:12	384:8 385:2	443:18,19	names 450:24
501:14 502:10	384:14 454:6	386:4,10 393:6	489:10	NANPA 468:18
503:11,12,13	464:18 496:22	404:9 414:23	most 416:10,11	narrative
504:11,18	503:15	417:4 427:10	416:12 446:16	422:25 423:2
511:8,10,12	merely 493:8	437:21 441:7	453:4 498:8	national 412:8
512:10,14	510:2	442:10,24,24	mostly 460:17	nature 379:24
McDonald	Merit 514:5	448:16 449:1	mother's 415:6	406:24 417:16
357:13 372:16	message 375:1	449:11 451:15	motion 451:2,17	<b>near</b> 448:14
372:19 374:1	375:16,19	453:1 459:21	451:19,24	482:19
374:11 383:16	376:4 377:17	466:9 478:18	452:4 506:2	necessarily
383:18 384:7	378:1 461:18	478:22 481:17	513:10	366:18 381:23
384:14,23	488:18	483:19 486:20	motions 362:8	463:5
387:21 388:14	Metroplex	488:20 490:22	373:13 405:8	necessary
391:9,14,20	450:23	492:1,16,21	416:3 426:4	367:24 400:10
402:22,24	microphone	495:23 496:4	428:2 506:10	408:20
513:5	393:1	514:2,15	506:17	need 361:23
<b>McGuire</b> 358:6	microphones	Missouri-spec	<b>move</b> 368:19	373:2 377:7
McMullen 358:2	429:18	465:9 467:8	393:21 422:6,8	386:24 400:9
mean 399:11	middle 499:7	<b>MO</b> 359:3,9	439:23	415:18 450:18
436:4 450:2,16	509:15	513:10	moving 506:6	462:12 463:4
463:10,17	might 360:8	<b>mobile</b> 500:3,5,6	<b>MPA</b> 479:23	472:1,18
475:9 493:6,10	381:14 382:12	500:10	MTA 411:10,13	505:24,25
507:3	382:14 418:9	<b>Mobility</b> 447:13	MTS 392:17	506:19 507:3
Meaning 363:7	462:14,14	model 391:24	393:25 488:11	507:16
means 482:22	463:12 467:20	<b>MoKan</b> 357:17	<b>much</b> 366:3	needs 366:10,15
483:1	478:1 485:16	358:25	436:25 455:8	366:16 375:9
meant 392:23	500:22 508:11	moment 473:10	484:25 506:8	441:24 509:9
452:15 469:19	Miller 357:13	496:10	506:19 510:14	negotiate 367:7
469:22	425:21	Monday 458:18	muddy 509:9	368:6,11,23
measures 365:2	millions 478:16	money 367:25	multiplexing	369:18 394:12
<b>media</b> 478:6	478:17,22	421:5,8 422:19	471:8	395:19 396:2
mediates 375:23	mind 401:5	423:11 434:17	Mutual 383:19	408:6 409:1,11
meet 463:12	421:9	monitor 469:9	384:15 386:3	410:7 419:3
meeting 360:9	minded 380:23	month 421:10	386:18 407:24	420:10 432:15
370:8 437:4	minute 467:17	421:18,21	513:4	433:11
<b>mega</b> 446:17,17	<b>minutes</b> 393:4	months 423:16	<b>mutually</b> 376:10	negotiating
megabits 474:21	478:22 484:22	more 369:24	myself 484:17	409:15,16
Megaco 478:10	484:24 505:5	375:22 377:4		negotiation
megahertz	misdialed	377:15 388:3,6	N	396:1,23
476:13,15	479:14	397:2 398:24	N 358:6 360:1	negotiations
MEGHAN	mispronounce	419:18 439:12	511:1	369:20 410:8
359:7	445:13	464:8 481:6	<b>name</b> 361:9,11	410:15,15
		484:21,23	372:13 373:24	419:25 420:20
		,		1

r1				Page 531
424:3 433:25	North 480:7	NXX 391:19	423:22 426:2	402:20 403:1
434:9,23 435:6	<b>note</b> 400:11	392:8 479:23	428:1 433:23	404:22 409:7
435:13,19	425:2 428:17		452:10	411:7,12
<b>neither</b> 468:22	noted 425:3	0	offered 369:9,13	412:17 416:8
475:3	482:1	<b>O</b> 360:1	409:25 410:4	418:13 420:2
network 365:13	notes 514:12	oath 368:3	420:14,17	421:22 423:24
366:10 375:15	nothing 423:22	<b>OBF</b> 465:23	421:8 422:7,18	426:10,16
375:19,22	429:24 492:2	<b>object</b> 423:2	423:10 436:1	427:23 428:10
383:10 388:17	502:24	441:15 493:4	458:20 474:19	429:15 430:1
446:11 461:16	notice 385:6,9	502:8,20,23	offering 368:9	430:17 433:1
465:3 466:6	386:17,25	<b>objected</b> 436:6	474:3,15	433:22 439:11
467:15,19	444:4,18,25	<b>objection</b> 368:14	offerings 500:10	439:22 442:22
477:9 486:11	445:25 458:17	386:12 387:17	office 375:17	443:3 444:13
486:12 487:18	458:23 459:1,1	392:23 396:9	376:10,17	445:4,24
488:5 493:16	469:8,10,11	396:14 413:3	377:3 378:4,8	448:14 449:22
493:25 494:7	513:11	422:5 423:3	379:6,13	456:16 459:9
networks 494:11	noticed 440:14	435:20 441:22	380:25 389:17	459:12 461:15
<b>never</b> 364:16	444:12,22	442:4 452:13	392:19 399:2	462:7 463:16
369:5 390:24	notion 487:17	452:16 456:23	404:13 421:20	463:23 465:14
395:13 410:3	notwithstanding	458:22 503:5	451:14 463:19	465:20 466:15
413:5 434:2	368:10,22	objections	464:15 514:14	467:25 468:1
475:15,16	369:10,17	403:12 439:25	officers 454:1,2	468:15,19
478:8,10	November 495:5	440:6 458:20	Office-based	472:22 473:13
485:10	<b>number</b> 376:2	459:1 506:2,10	471:1	473:25 475:18
<b>new</b> 421:13,15	376:21,21	506:17 509:11	official 385:6,9	476:7 479:24
449:20 479:6	381:5,9,11,15	<b>obtain</b> 483:1	386:17,25	480:13,16,24
485:9,21	381:20 382:14	obtained 495:2	459:1 513:11	481:2,4,11
<b>next</b> 361:1 372:4	382:20 398:22	obviously	<b>oh</b> 421:13	482:8,20 485:6
372:6 403:22	399:8 402:15	393:11 472:19	468:19 471:1	486:2,15 487:7
414:9 425:19	418:9 461:22	OC 475:14,15	501:18 502:24	488:17 489:4
470:6 507:15	461:22 464:9	occasion 395:5	okay 360:25	490:13 491:17
<b>nobody's</b> 366:5	464:14 467:11	occurred 434:14	364:20 365:20	491:22 492:18
Nodded 394:22	467:16 468:3,4	477:15	366:12,16	500:8 504:11
nonAT&T	468:5,7,9	occurring	367:19 368:2	507:18 509:11
446:25 447:10	470:1,2 472:12	466:14	369:5 374:3	<b>old</b> 498:3
none 360:25	472:13,15,19	occurs 509:23	375:1,19 376:7	<b>once</b> 362:10
371:13 412:11	473:4,4,5	OCN 465:16	376:8,13 378:3	397:2
424:23 436:22	479:22,25	468:6,10,16,17	378:20 380:3	one 365:20
452:17 499:15	480:5,13,17,25	469:3	382:1,18 384:3	366:6,6 367:18
510:12	481:7,13,18,20	<b>OCNs</b> 468:14	384:14 385:7	368:12,21,24
nonlegal 498:14	481:23 489:21	<b>off</b> 385:2 386:10 393:12 421:23	385:19 386:5	369:8 370:2
nonresponsive	489:23,24		388:14,21	374:10 384:5
422:6	490:1,3 493:13	429:24 436:15	391:2 392:10	386:2 387:20
nonTranscom	503:24	503:2	392:13 394:21	396:10 399:15
456:21	numbers 381:17	offer 362:6	395:12 397:8	406:1 407:9,20
<b>normal</b> 403:3	468:18	373:12 385:7	397:13,18,21	408:24 409:8
412:23 419:19	numerous 455:5	386:24 395:19 405:6 416:2	398:16 401:17	409:11,24
490:6 510:6		403.0410.2	401:24 402:18	410:12,18

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page	532
I ugo	222

				Page 532
416:20 418:7	opportunity	366:7 367:8	370:3 386:14	owners 453:25
420:9,13 421:7	482:8 509:2	368:13,21,24	389:9 399:9	454:2 491:10
422:18 423:10	oppose 440:17	369:9 370:2,7	423:16 425:24	ownership
423:25 424:5	443:6	370:12 371:8	438:17 449:8	450:21,25
426:3,23	opposing 506:4	371:17,20,25	449:20 460:11	453:11,18
428:24 429:20	opting 444:17	373:11 375:25	476:2 480:1,2	454:5,9,22
430:2 433:9,22	<b>option</b> 480:18,18	376:20 378:12	481:25 486:21	455:6,11 458:4
434:5,13 435:6	options 391:23	391:5 393:11	486:25 493:9	459:7,16,17,24
435:9,16,25	order 396:8	397:10 398:8	498:2,25	460:10 491:4,6
438:13 446:16	401:24 412:9	399:18 402:9	501:11 502:15	491:10
447:22 448:9	455:25 465:24	402:21 403:1,2	506:24 507:11	<b>Ozark</b> 357:14
467:18 469:7,7	466:22 468:17	403:7,12,16,19	507:15	
475:3 479:6	471:25 485:25	405:15,20	outline 362:23	<u> </u>
485:9 487:4	496:11 502:6	406:1 407:9	362:24	<b>P</b> 358:5 360:1
489:13 493:13	502:15,17	408:16,24	outlined 422:23	<b>pack</b> 477:10
493:14 495:21	503:15,23	409:8,12,24	outside 413:4	page 363:16,22
496:20,22	504:7,7,8,12	410:12,18,23	484:6 502:9	363:23 364:3,4
498:25 500:20	507:11,24	410:24 412:14	outstanding	367:5 374:12
504:16 508:3,6	orders 458:9	413:6,21,24	428:2	384:17,20,22
508:12,18	501:17 505:22	415:25 416:17	over 362:24	387:6 394:10
ones 432:2	506:6,15	416:20 418:8	363:5 364:16	406:8,14 408:4
479:16	507:21 508:3	419:9 420:9,13	368:10 371:1	417:1,11 419:1
<b>only</b> 379:13	509:22 510:6	421:7 422:18	375:19 385:3	430:6,24,25
399:1 409:3	ordinarily 371:4	423:10,25	388:16,16	438:16,23
433:5 436:11	ordinary 417:8	424:5,11,20	467:25 470:3	439:3,4 440:25
438:24 439:1	417:18 430:11	425:9,12,16	484:15,17	441:1,3 443:20
455:16 477:9	430:21	426:6,15,17	489:14 494:11	448:11,12,15
483:18,20	<b>Oregon</b> 366:24	428:24,25	508:7,12	449:21 451:11
488:11 489:16	383:18 386:2,7	429:20 430:2	overlooked	451:12 459:5
<b>onto</b> 363:23	386:18 387:24	433:9,23 434:6	484:7	460:16,18
364:4 467:14	407:23 418:20	434:13 435:6,9	overrule 397:5	461:5 473:24
493:17,24	original 444:14	435:10,16,25	423:3 436:7	482:4 486:3
494:6	466:10 470:23	436:18 439:6	441:21	506:22
on-premise	509:2	445:5 446:21	overruling	pages 386:19,21
470:23	originate 478:23	447:24 449:16	442:4	400:13 431:6
opening 411:8	478:23	450:18 462:1	<b>owe</b> 434:17	460:14
operate 450:22	originated 399:6	462:22 465:10	owes 421:4	paid 396:8
operated 484:12	438:25 441:7	466:8 478:4	<b>own</b> 363:1	421:20 423:16
operates 477:13	originating	481:22 482:1	374:17,21,22	424:7 425:9
operations	389:1,6 399:14	486:19 491:4	379:11,15	442:9,13
453:4 500:5	461:1,8 463:2	492:25 496:7	383:21 385:17	<b>pair</b> 399:16
<b>opinion</b> 366:13	463:13 464:4	498:21 507:4	387:20 397:23	Pamela 357:25
367:24 383:12	467:10 468:7	507:16,18	416:9 438:25	514:5,18
388:2 486:22	origination	508:18	442:2 471:15	paper 479:7
493:3,11	504:9	others 410:17	owned 453:7	paperwork 436:13
495:23	<b>OSI</b> 477:16	435:1,14 502:3	owner 382:15	
<b>opinions</b> 406:18	other 363:3,13	out 360:8,16	460:3	<b>paragraph</b> 452:23 459:5
431:10	363:14 365:21	363:18 367:25		432.23 439:3

				Page 555
503:22,24	<b>passing</b> 464:14	permission	456:21	440:15,15,20
parameter	464:15	472:2	pleading 456:21	441:6,13,19,19
461:17,23	<b>past</b> 364:18	permits 499:24	<b>please</b> 361:3,9	442:2,6,12,13
462:5,23	384:11 454:19	person 360:20	361:10 372:13	442:17 463:1,6
parameters	508:3	463:8	372:14 403:25	463:7,11
376:3 464:16	<b>pay</b> 371:17	personal 362:25	404:6 414:10	475:25 488:23
<b>Pardon</b> 479:2	396:3,21 410:9	365:23 366:6	414:18,21	495:6,9 496:2
parent 453:1	410:15 420:17	personally	427:7 429:17	502:7 508:7,12
<b>part</b> 376:16	421:8 422:7	395:18,22	437:11,18	positions 468:7
385:21 392:6	423:11,20,22	514:7	445:14	507:25
396:14 452:20	424:2 433:23	persons 454:16	plowing 403:10	<b>possible</b> 382:17
458:10 460:18	434:8,21	perspective	point 360:9	460:8 497:6
461:18 463:7	435:11 510:1	422:12	367:23 371:24	506:24
467:7 483:16	payable 442:19	pertain 371:14	375:9,10	possibly 444:8
484:1 485:12	<b>paying</b> 425:2	462:18	380:17,20,23	<b>post</b> 451:14
486:22 490:12	435:18 492:20	<b>phase</b> 449:12	381:2 386:14	postal 433:7
particular	<b>PBX</b> 470:25	<b>phone</b> 448:17,24	400:11 422:1,1	precludes 494:5
363:17 375:10	PDX 470:19	449:4,10 468:3	422:8 436:6	preliminary
380:5 383:1	<b>Peace</b> 357:17	468:5 470:11	447:22 451:6	360:22
406:9 413:5	pending 439:25	470:12 472:1,8	463:4 466:14	premise 471:14
430:7 432:22	Pennsylvania	472:12,22,23	482:16 490:9	prepare 507:8
448:9 460:18	358:3	472:25 479:12	490:12,24	prepared 361:17
476:9 487:9	<b>people</b> 401:3	480:15,24	491:3,5 492:5	361:18 372:22
491:23 493:16	462:3 463:20	481:6 504:18	500:1 503:9	404:15 406:3
493:18	478:14,15	<b>phones</b> 481:10	505:18	415:11 427:19
particularly	498:2,5,8	<b>physical</b> 399:16	<b>points</b> 463:9	438:2,7
444:8 510:7	percent 459:16	physically 363:8	<b>Polycon</b> 470:10	preparing
parties 362:11	percentages	<b>pick</b> 437:2	<b>popular</b> 478:25	405:17,21
370:12 395:3	417:17 431:5	489:20	<b>populate</b> 382:20	416:16,18
400:9 410:24	perfectly 502:11	<b>picking</b> 360:5	populated	428:19 506:6
418:9 426:16	<b>perform</b> 380:3,6	pieces 402:1,9	381:15,24	509:22
426:17 441:9	performance	444:14	populating	prescribed
442:10 444:4	440:16 442:25	<b>Pineville</b> 372:17	462:23 464:13	442:10
444:19 445:1	443:5	place 421:1	<b>port</b> 357:14	<b>present</b> 360:14
449:13 463:9	performed	514:8,13	383:7	360:20 428:11
505:5,21 506:4	401:13	placed 466:5	portion 389:19	514:7
506:8,19,22	perhaps 376:9	467:14,18	389:22 390:1	presented
507:25 508:5	376:20 380:22	placeholders	412:3 418:14	454:21 460:5
partitioned	383:8 387:2,3	464:24	440:25 452:23	Presiding
383:7	392:1 447:7,25	<b>places</b> 421:2	<b>portions</b> 389:13	357:20
<b>party</b> 382:20	454:5,16 462:1	486:4	428:21 506:3	<b>presume</b> 455:16
397:11 402:2	501:21	<b>plain</b> 498:3	<b>position</b> 361:13	483:9
402:15,16	period 378:13	<b>Plaintiff</b> 448:17	372:18 383:12	pretty 429:17
424:11 436:19	423:22	<b>plan</b> 360:11	391:13 404:11	476:16 503:10
464:14	permanent	480:8	407:25 418:13	505:10
party's 508:7,12	377:4	<b>playing</b> 481:10	418:22 432:3,8	previously 435:5
passed 365:21	permissible	<b>PLC</b> 453:2,8,14	433:16 436:9	444:9
407:11 449:1	373:18 510:3	454:7,18	436:10,11	

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				Page 534
primarily	processing	429:12 465:2	<b>p.m</b> 510:16	398:12,13
394:12	378:14,21	466:7 471:21	<b>P.O</b> 359:3,8	401:10 403:2
principal 460:3	produce 466:23	providing 367:3		403:16,21
<b>prior</b> 362:10	produced 377:9	391:10,15	Q	410:23 411:4
375:6 386:15	494:25	392:3,5,20	question 364:17	412:17,22
387:11,22	program 488:6	408:2 418:24	364:19 368:15	414:1,6 416:1
394:3,7 436:5	488:7	432:5,10 433:8	387:19 388:6	416:8 417:7
440:5 447:1	promise 480:10	483:16 484:8,8	393:19 396:10	419:14 422:17
459:15 498:16	pronounce	485:10 488:18	397:2 405:15	423:5 424:10
509:1	428:7	488:24 489:1	422:6,17,24	424:24 425:4
<b>privilege</b> 396:12	propeller 462:2	496:2	423:9,13,14	425:13,19
privileged	proper 436:13	provision	436:4,9 441:6	426:5 427:18
396:16	457:18	446:11	441:20 442:8	428:17 436:17
probably 364:22	propose 426:2	<b>PSC</b> 384:8 386:3	444:23 451:5,9	436:22 439:18
379:18 388:1.8	proposed 369:6	public 357:1	451:11 454:14	440:12 443:13
392:10,12	369:9 409:20	359:10 437:21	457:7 464:25	445:5,23
403:10 432:1	505:22,23	448:16 451:15	465:16 466:21	448:11 450:11
449:4 459:12	506:6,12,15,15	457:22 472:19	470:6 474:12	462:18 474:9
472:25 476:18	507:9,21	505:21	474:18 475:4,9	489:4,6,8,11
problem 386:25	508:10 509:22	<b>publicly</b> 453:2,8	479:22 482:2	492:6 495:15
423:17	510:6	<b>pull</b> 401:19,22	483:15,18,20	495:16,19
procedural	protocol 471:8	<b>pulled</b> 385:2	483:23,23	496:7,8,21,23
508:4	477:5,8,10,13	386:10 401:18	484:8 488:2	497:24 498:22
procedures	487:17,19	purport 388:11	491:23,24	500:20 503:4
396:1,24	protocol-based	purpose 390:4	492:1,8 493:4	503:14 505:3,6
proceed 360:11	478:5	477:1 497:4	493:11 494:17	505:15 511:9
361:6 372:9	provide 365:15	499:9 501:21	496:20 497:3	512:11,11,13
401:1 404:3	418:9 448:2	purposes 361:19	497:10,17	quibble 461:11
414:15 427:4	453:1 466:24	371:8 372:23	498:9,20,24	quick 497:24
437:15 440:9	482:15 483:21	377:6 388:15	499:11,12	quickly 460:25
proceeded 435:8	485:7,13,18	389:2 403:7	500:24 501:8	480:25 505:10
proceeding	provided 376:1	404:19 474:10	502:2,14 503:8	quite 393:10,18
392:24 393:7	380:1 389:5	499:8 502:7	504:16 507:21	401:6 435:24
427:15 446:1	390:2,12,13	504:4 510:1	508:17 509:6	483:22
448:14 466:20	391:20 432:11	pursuant 464:23	509:22,23	quotation 439:9
490:17	441:9 445:17	pushed 506:18	510:7	quote/unquote
proceedings	447:19 486:13	<b>put</b> 365:1 375:20	questioned	490:5
357:2 446:8,13	494:22	376:8 381:21	482:4 494:20	
446:24 447:7	provider 392:16	395:8 422:12	questioning	R
447:21,25	393:24 449:11	423:18 462:1	477:14 490:21	<b>R</b> 360:1 514:1
514:7,10	471:2 481:17	475:13 493:17	492:3	radio 482:14
process 369:20	483:2 485:7	493:24 504:17	questions	483:21 499:21
374:18 376:1	502:2,18	508:19 509:2	360:21 362:20	500:3 508:20
376:16 378:11	providers	puts 430:15	370:10,16,17	<b>raise</b> 393:9
424:7 456:9	411:18 485:13	putting 494:6	370:22 371:21	414:12 437:12
467:8	488:11	<b>P.C</b> 358:2,6	372:1 373:11	raised 413:5
processed 466:2	<b>provides</b> 382:23	359:2	393:14,21	rate 382:15
<b>`</b>	389:14 407:7		397:13,17,19	384:24 389:9

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				Fage 353
389:12 396:3	449:11 456:15	record 360:4	466:1,7,16,24	refocused
420:18 433:23	482:6,7 485:23	361:10 372:14	recross 398:12	423:12
437:24 473:6	486:5 504:3,6	376:24 377:4	412:18 496:7	refresh 441:23
rates 366:17	504:17	377:10,12	497:21 498:25	466:25 468:15
369:22 383:22	receivable	378:5,7,12,21	499:12	refused 367:7
387:21 394:7	421:19	379:14 380:20	<b>Recross-Exam</b>	368:6 369:2
396:22 431:17	receive 371:4	381:2 385:15	398:17 496:18	394:11 408:6
435:11 513:6	401:12 406:12	385:21 386:23	497:23 499:19	409:11,12
rather 486:16	412:23 417:18	401:17,25	500:18 511:10	419:3 432:15
<b>reached</b> 426:22	420:7 429:10	402:11,17	511:12,13	regard 393:20
read 406:5	429:25 430:5	414:19 431:24	512:12,12,14	484:3
432:25 433:1	430:12 465:4	437:8,18	512:14	regarding
457:14 459:6	479:18	452:18,20	Redefine 392:4	363:25 364:9
468:12 469:13	received 363:24	456:3 457:18	redirect 370:19	369:10 379:24
479:6,24	364:5 369:5	466:8 468:8	370:21 401:8	401:10 406:16
481:18	370:5,23	479:25 481:19	412:19,20	406:24 412:22
reading 457:15	385:20 398:7	482:9 493:15	413:10,21	417:15 432:15
<b>ready</b> 484:18	403:3,12 417:8	494:22 505:14	424:24 425:1	433:11 446:11
<b>real</b> 421:14	417:13 429:21	508:14 509:3,5	436:22 504:14	448:3 458:2
<b>realize</b> 478:13	430:4,10 452:2	recorded 378:5	504:15 511:5	459:20 490:14
503:6	452:18,19	379:7	511:10,13,17	504:8
really 368:1	462:24 477:7,8	records 363:19	511:21 512:15	regardless 464:1
379:5 392:1,2	513:2	363:24 364:10	redundant	<b>register</b> 376:25
417:25 418:1	receives 379:14	364:14,25	385:15	registered
475:16,16	receiving 363:19	365:14 370:23	<b>refer</b> 385:16	449:10,18
476:25 477:17	410:20 441:8	371:5,7,12,17	451:10 455:13	481:16,19
478:2 483:18	486:8 487:2	374:16,18,20	456:4	514:5
485:15 488:2	504:24	374:22,23	reference	regular 481:12
489:18 492:1	recent 455:7	375:5 377:6	431:25 438:24	regularly 360:9
500:10 509:8	recently 455:9	378:12,17	439:7,8 443:21	regulatory
<b>reason</b> 364:17	499:6	379:11 380:7,9	461:3 482:2	357:21 482:18
364:19 367:16	<b>recess</b> 437:2,6	380:10,20	503:20 508:2	482:25,25
368:11 371:11	505:8,12	381:6 388:19	referenced	related 381:8
408:18 413:1	reciprocal 394:6	388:23,23	432:12	446:9 492:10
419:12 425:5	394:7 424:16	389:4 397:24	references	<b>relation</b> 446:1
484:5,11	442:20,21	398:2,5,9	438:16 455:6	446:12
495:11	<b>recite</b> 507:24	401:11,11	460:16	relationship
rebuttal 367:10	recognize	403:3,13	<b>referred</b> 446:10	491:1,13,14
408:9 419:4	472:12 492:23	406:11 407:1	451:4 452:1	<b>released</b> 456:2,2
432:19,24	502:21	407:10 412:22	490:16	relevant 388:24
438:7,14,22,23	recognizes	417:4,8,18	referring 374:23	457:7
439:4,24	457:13	425:6,8 430:10	450:20 454:11	<b>relied</b> 406:19,21
443:20 449:21	recollection	431:1,7 451:16	459:13 464:22	454:21 501:3
460:15 473:24	441:24 448:25	457:22 458:2,8	467:5 468:21	502:6
482:4	recommendati	464:10,10,19	470:11 503:17	<b>relief</b> 440:18
recall 413:11	451:7	465:1,3,5,11	<b>refers</b> 455:16	443:6,7,8
447:6,8,16	recommendati	465:13,14,21	<b>refiled</b> 427:14	<b>rely</b> 371:7
448:6,8 449:3	447:4	465:21,22		379:23 406:11

		1	1	Page 536
relying 431:11	request 369:19	responsibilities	426:20,23	468:5 493:15
454:8 460:3	394:13 395:25	458:10	428:4 436:16	494:4 504:4
remainder	396:22 410:7,8	responsive	436:18,21	507:8
360:5	410:14,14	394:25 423:3	437:1,7,12	rules 368:10,23
remedy 443:4	420:19 424:3,3	423:24	440:1 445:6	369:17 446:2,3
remember 449:6	434:9,9,22	rest 428:23	450:4 452:8,12	460:22,23
449:7,13,14	440:16 444:19	487:7	457:23 458:19	463:3 482:22
467:1,2 468:13	444:19 458:16	<b>restate</b> 454:13	458:24 462:19	483:13 485:7
503:24	509:2	result 420:1	463:21 467:12	493:13,14
remind 429:16	requested 370:4	440:4 449:16	468:2,11	494:1
remotely 360:19	370:4 435:12	501:10	470:10 473:11	ruling 362:7,11
reorganization	435:12,18,19	results 416:15	474:16 476:13	373:13 416:3
502:17	440:18 443:6,8	retrieved 430:13	477:3 479:2	440:8 506:5
reoriginate	requesting	return 399:18	481:8 483:5,7	rulings 405:7
499:3	394:17 398:8	returned 451:14	483:11 492:4	502:4 503:3
reorigination	433:24,25	review 409:20	495:14 496:6	<b>run</b> 374:7
439:8,9 503:17	434:7	414:3 431:3	497:8,21	397:23 486:21
<b>repeat</b> 432:7	required 394:17	432:23	498:23 499:10	running 479:8
<b>rephrase</b> 368:17	396:8 412:8	<b>reviewed</b> 405:23	499:14,16	<b>Russ</b> 367:10
396:17	requirement	408:8 419:3	500:14 502:25	408:10 419:5
reply 505:24	485:3	432:18 447:3	505:4,13	432:20
506:7	<b>requires</b> 505:20	486:24	507:15 509:14	<b>Ruth</b> 415:7
report 430:16	<b>research</b> 450:12	<b>revised</b> 387:8	510:9	
508:3	455:4 477:12	revisions 361:22	right-hand	<u>S</u>
reported 357:24	<b>reserve</b> 440:7	373:2 404:23	482:19	s 360:1 453:22
456:2	reserving	<b>Rickett</b> 372:6,15	<b>rise</b> 446:1	511:2 512:1
Reporter 382:7	362:11	372:21 401:9	<b>RLEC</b> 513:3,5	same 361:16
382:10 384:1	resigned 433:18	403:18 511:7	<b>RMR</b> 357:25	362:20 366:24
452:7 455:20	<b>respect</b> 444:14	511:11	514:18	383:4,8 385:5
465:12,14	444:24	<b>right</b> 360:2	<b>Robert</b> 357:22	392:14 393:21
514:5,6	respects 449:3	362:9,14 364:8	414:20 511:19	394:8 404:14
Reporter's	Respondents	370:9,11,19	<b>Rock</b> 357:14	405:15 416:8
400:11	357:19	371:22 373:15	role 447:22	426:5,13
reports 429:25	responding	375:10 376:25	rolled 378:16	427:17,20
430:12	506:22	377:13 378:9	room 358:16	428:16 436:4
represent	response 360:24	378:22 379:15	401:4 421:20	438:1,6 439:18 439:20 440:5
362:19 385:1	407:17 412:16	380:4,18,25	503:21	444:23 449:3
386:9 393:5	422:9,12	385:8,13 387:1	<b>roughly</b> 360:16	450:21,25
504:1	423:19 424:22	389:2 391:7	386:19	459:12,13
representation	426:19 435:22	392:11 393:22	routed 499:2	460:17 461:12
460:2	436:20 451:10	397:10 398:11	<b>routinely</b> 458:9	490:19 506:22
representations	496:22 497:2	399:2 400:8	507:22	sat 487:22
453:21 454:21	498:9 506:24 507:17 510:11	401:6 403:17 405:9 412:14	<b>routing</b> 481:20 <b>RPR</b> 357:25	saved 486:2
representatives 394:20 395:24		405:9 412:14 413:22 414:8	<b>rule</b> 369:20	saved 480.2 saw 381:4
394:20 395:24 396:7	responses 362:12 420:7	413:22 414:8 414:12 421:6	395:25 461:9	395:12,13
	440:8 506:2,9	414:12 421:6 423:1 424:20	463:14 464:2	469:14 473:4
represented 384:17 429:1	506:17	425:14 426:7	464:23 467:3	saying 388:16
JOH.1/427.1	500.17	423.14 420.7	404.23 407.3	~~ <u>,</u> ~~ <u>B</u> 000.10

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

r				Fage 557
466:23 508:7	433:3 436:2	serious 492:23	501:24 505:20	similarly 426:6
says 363:19	442:25 443:2	seriousness	514:8	<b>simple</b> 422:24
368:9 387:15	443:23 448:17	492:23	setting 432:16	simply 369:18
388:12 389:1	451:16 459:9	serves 473:6	<b>settled</b> 401:5	410:7 435:25
395:25 441:10	462:3 467:22	service 357:1	449:13,15	457:21 466:13
449:1 467:9	469:9,24	359:11 367:2	setup 375:7,23	<b>since</b> 395:3
479:10 480:20	473:18 480:14	387:12 389:14	423:21	421:15 423:12
482:16 498:13	480:18,25	390:16,19	several 374:19	450:12 458:24
504:7,8,9,13	481:25 482:20	391:19 393:25	423:15	486:21
<b>SBC</b> 409:19	484:18 488:14	408:1 418:23	<b>sheet</b> 385:25	<b>SIP</b> 477:11
<b>schedule</b> 360:6	500:22 510:8	432:5 437:22	387:8 514:9	sir 371:20 372:2
448:10 505:20	seeing 440:23	446:12 448:16	sheets 385:5	373:10,22
505:25	481:1 504:3	451:2,15,17,25	447:4	374:5,9 376:6
scheduled 360:9	seek 458:16	453:1 461:19	<b>short</b> 379:17	376:12 377:11
506:13	483:1	471:20 474:14	380:6 384:5	381:7 383:15
schedules	<b>seem</b> 445:23	474:19 482:6	398:15 463:15	383:20 384:3,4
438:16	<b>seen</b> 363:14	483:16 484:8	477:6 483:22	384:6,9 387:6
scheduling	367:9 382:12	485:11,14,19	496:10 500:19	387:9 388:13
507:16	382:14 390:7	485:21 486:5,8	<b>shorten</b> 450:6	390:6,9 391:1
Schlee 358:2	399:14 416:22	486:16,19	Shorthand	391:4,6,8
<b>scope</b> 413:4	457:3 460:9	487:1,24	514:6	392:21 394:15
484:4,6 502:9	493:22 494:12	488:18,19	<b>show</b> 427:18,19	395:2,7,14,17
Scott 358:9	sees 461:21	489:1 502:1,18	453:24 479:1,3	397:25 398:3,6
373:24 453:24	send 371:16	508:20	497:6	402:25 403:15
459:10	375:16,18	services 365:13	<b>shown</b> 453:11	404:14 405:5
screen 469:15	388:22 412:10	386:3 432:9,10	492:11	428:15 445:19
script 413:15,20	438:24 439:1	450:3,22 453:7	<b>shows</b> 378:21	450:4 455:22
seated 361:6	sending 360:21	453:11 454:3	462:2	456:6 458:1
372:9 404:3	421:17 496:25	454:10,12	<b>sic</b> 375:2 452:11	459:11 460:13
414:14 427:4	497:12	455:14,17	<b>side</b> 376:11	467:1 469:5
437:15	sends 388:18	458:6 459:22	399:11 482:19	471:3 472:6
second 372:11	398:18 418:8	482:15 485:8	<b>sign</b> 436:15	474:13 477:19
396:14 421:18	430:15	486:12 490:25	signaling 365:10	478:9 479:3,13
438:21 439:3	Seneca 357:15	491:15 492:9	375:15 382:21	479:20 480:13
440:25 445:20	<b>Senior</b> 358:15	496:3 501:6,10	407:5 417:24	482:18 497:19
448:11 461:14	359:7	509:25	418:8 429:10	503:12 504:10
476:1 479:2	<b>sense</b> 366:3	serving 447:15	429:13,21,22	508:15
499:11	370:1	session 400:12	430:3 464:16	<b>sit</b> 434:12
section 384:19	sent 369:15,15	477:4,7	487:17	<b>sitting</b> 472:25
396:2 437:25	378:14 381:15	session-initiated	signed 427:14	situated 426:6
441:2 508:11	394:25 395:6	471:8	significant	<b>Skype</b> 478:12,15
<b>see</b> 365:18	395:11,12	set 367:3 375:17	446:16	478:19,23,24
367:25 381:8	397:19 419:23	394:2,7 396:4	<b>similar</b> 379:18	479:8,11,18
381:20 382:1	419:23 420:3	396:22 408:2	403:1 406:2	490:3 497:25
384:4 387:8	430:8,20	418:24 420:24	416:21 428:25	small 360:12
388:19,25	444:17	422:3 432:5,11	similarities	403:2
394:14,24	<b>series</b> 446:23	441:4 492:19	491:4	software 488:8
395:15 399:10		500:21 501:20		
Description of the second s		-	-	

www.midwestlitigation.com

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

r				Page 538
<b>sold</b> 454:17	something	speaks 387:25	494:2 495:6,23	statutes 488:21
491:7	366:13 367:19	459:7	496:1 505:21	496:4
<b>some</b> 363:10,12	369:23 396:24	special 420:25	<b>staffs</b> 448:6	Stearley 357:20
370:22 374:4	401:18 410:10	466:8,22	Staff's 437:3	360:2,25 361:5
380:6 382:5,9	420:20 433:19	<b>specific</b> 390:11	440:14,20	362:9,14
382:15 383:13	434:23 443:9	422:17 465:1	441:4,13,14,18	368:17 370:11
388:9 393:11	456:25 460:4	486:25 487:17	441:19 442:6	370:15,19
398:24 399:5	462:14 468:25	specifically	442:12,17	371:22 372:3,8
401:3,10	469:3 475:1	367:6 394:13	443:8 492:7,8	373:15,19
403:10 404:16	484:7 491:8	408:5 423:14	492:18 493:6	385:8,13,18
412:21 414:1	507:15	443:4 446:23	512:7	387:1,4 388:8
416:12 418:8	sometime	465:6 478:6	standard 465:5	392:25 393:15
418:14 419:21	454:19,25	492:19	stands 375:1	393:22 396:17
421:25 422:20	459:15 460:9	<b>specifics</b> 383:21	start 362:20	397:4,10,13,16
422:22 423:21	501:20	spectrum 476:9	377:20 384:3	397:18,22
425:18 432:16	Sometimes	476:15	402:6,7 421:16	398:1,4,7,11
433:23 439:5	500:16	Speech 502:25	449:20 496:15	398:16 400:1,8
440:5 446:8	somewhat	spinning 422:3	503:10 506:5	401:1,6 403:17
447:5,11,24	469:17	<b>spirit</b> 360:20	started 399:11	404:2 405:9
450:10,11,18	somewhere	SPORLEDER	421:10 433:18	410:24 412:14
450:21,24	468:12	358:21	starting 364:4	412:17 413:8
453:12 455:4,4	sorry 382:8	<b>ss</b> 514:2	496:8	413:11,22
455:6 457:14	384:19 392:22	<b>SSP</b> 375:10,12	starts 440:25	414:3,8,11,14
457:18 460:14	396:13 440:19	375:16,18	state 357:1	416:5 422:9
462:1 469:14	452:14 456:4	376:7 380:24	361:9 372:13	423:1,12
470:3 471:13	470:7 484:14	<b>SS7</b> 365:10	394:11 404:6	424:11,20,23
471:15 476:16	<b>sort</b> 444:23	375:9,15,19,22	414:18 433:20	425:14 426:7
485:3 486:2	470:4 490:17	376:1 377:13	437:18 448:16	426:10,16,20
489:11 490:17	494:9	380:17 381:24	460:4 463:25	427:3 428:4
490:23 491:3,4	sought 435:2	382:24 407:5,7	465:6 470:15	429:15 435:22
491:13,14	sound 396:15	407:10 417:24	470:16 491:25	436:7,18,21,25
494:11 497:5	sounds 400:5	429:10,12,21	514:2,15	437:7,11,14
497:16 500:1	463:19 464:13	430:3 461:16	statement 411:8	440:1,7 441:21
500:20,22	466:18	463:18 464:16	440:15,21	442:3 443:14
506:21,23	source 475:7	477:9	486:10 503:7	445:6,9 452:8
508:1,14	483:10	<b>St</b> 358:6,17	504:5	452:12,17
somebody 463:7	South 358:10	411:11	state-specific	457:8,12,17,23
493:4 510:3	404:10	stack 477:13	474:25	458:19,24
somehow 375:8	Southwestern	staff 359:10	station 472:16	462:19 470:8
486:4 493:7	357:18 383:3	360:15 437:8,9	482:14 499:21	472:3 484:16
499:3	446:19	438:3,9 439:24	499:24 500:3	484:23 489:4
someone 374:3	<b>so-called</b> 447:1	440:17 441:5	stations 471:16	495:16,20
491:11	499:7 501:12	441:10 442:2	status 451:13	496:6,11,15
someone's	speak 410:21	443:5 447:15	476:22 482:18	497:21 498:23
509:24	429:17 433:10	448:15,19,23	482:25 483:2,8	499:10,14,16
someplace	<b>speaker</b> 470:11	449:5 452:4	483:20 484:9	500:14 503:2
489:18	speaking 462:3	453:21 463:8	<b>statute</b> 486:20	504:14 505:4
		486:15 493:20		505:13 506:14
		1		

				Page 539
507:1,10,14,18	Strother 358:6	supplement	401:18 402:10	430:14 470:6
508:2,16,22	<b>studied</b> 478:8,10	445:17 509:4	402:18	502:23
509:11,14,19	studies 364:5,10	supplemental	switched 366:22	taking 458:23
510:9,12 511:9	364:14,17	373:13	384:24 387:12	463:6 488:23
512:11,13	406:16,19	supplied 438:18	390:5,7,11,16	talk 365:4 375:4
<b>Stellar</b> 414:23	407:1 417:12	supplying	390:21 391:15	388:17 409:18
Stenotype	417:19,20	463:11	407:18 418:18	419:24 461:13
514:10,12	431:2,3,8,11	support 434:11	431:19 442:14	461:25 462:2
step 371:24	study 382:22	434:15	442:18,19	464:1
403:18 413:24	401:10,13	supported	487:1	talked 497:25
425:16 492:5	419:20	508:13	switches 365:1	talking 440:24
505:16	stuff 363:3 422:4	supports 508:6	488:7	451:7 460:19
stepfather 415:5	462:17	<b>supposed</b> 421:16	switching	475:9 493:16
STEPHEN	subject 362:7	438:24 439:1	375:10,12	503:22
357:23	366:1,8,21	<b>sure</b> 363:6	376:8,9 389:17	talks 455:9
steps 410:20	373:13 383:14	373:23 377:14	399:13,15,18	468:5,6
still 388:2	391:11,14	393:1,2 412:5	470:23 471:14	tandem 376:9
416:22 463:1	405:7 407:15	412:6 415:2	477:10 487:5	379:10 388:22
479:12 483:15	416:3 418:15	420:12 426:21	sworn 361:4	389:21 429:9
498:3	426:3 428:2	432:8 433:15	372:7 404:1	429:11 464:11
Stipulating	431:15 439:25	435:24 440:20	414:13 427:2	465:2 466:7
409:17	442:18,20	445:13 450:7	437:13	tariff 366:23,25
stipulation	449:2 458:11	451:5,9 454:15	system 375:16	367:3 383:16
426:14	486:23	456:8 467:5,24	378:22 430:18	383:19,24
<b>Stoll</b> 357:23	submitted	476:4,17	470:12,15,16	384:8,10,16
370:16,17	405:24	478:16 479:5	470:24 471:15	385:5 386:7,19
397:16,17	subscriber	479:10,11	471:15 475:22	386:21 387:7
495:18	461:19	481:17,24	479:4 493:10	387:14,21,22
<b>stop</b> 507:12	subscribers	483:14 492:5,6	<b>systems</b> 374:7	387:24,25
stops 379:6	402:21	494:18 503:3		388:12 390:5,8
storage 378:8	subsequent	surprise 478:21	T	393:20 407:19
<b>STP</b> 375:16	444:24	479:1	<b>T</b> 359:1 514:1,1	407:21,22
376:7 382:23	substantial	surrounded	tablet 479:3	408:2 418:18
383:1,4,7,8	508:13	439:9	<b>take</b> 374:16	418:21,24
399:1	suddenly 366:4	sustain 503:5	385:6,9 386:16	431:19,22,23
<b>strange</b> 485:15	Sue 427:1,8	Suzie 382:16	388:23 389:8	432:6,12
strategy 510:3	512:3	Swearengen	424:15 444:13	437:25 447:4
stream 377:13	<b>suggest</b> 400:5	359:2	452:22 459:1,4	449:5 487:20
444:16 463:18	464:2 500:9	<b>switch</b> 374:21,24	463:1,10,25	488:14 513:3,4
<b>Street</b> 358:16,22	suggesting	375:8 376:16	472:6 480:24	513:5
359:8 361:12	409:22	376:17,23	480:25 481:9	tariffs 384:13
372:16 414:23	Suite 358:3,7,11	377:3 378:3,4	505:8 507:11	419:22 446:25
427:10	358:22	378:8 379:7,11	507:13,23	486:24,25
<b>strike</b> 362:8	summary	379:11,13	taken 385:9	487:21,23
373:14 405:8	438:20 445:18	380:11,14,16	437:6 462:17	488:4,10,22
416:4 422:6,8	supervisor	380:25 381:6	495:6,9 505:12	<b>TCP</b> 477:18
426:4 506:2,10	437:24	398:20 399:2,9	513:11	TC-2000-0111
506:17		399:12 401:11	takes 374:18	448:15
				-

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				Page 540
TC-2012-0331	486:5,8 488:18	testifying 368:15	486:3,6 491:20	<b>theirs</b> 406:5
357:9 360:4	488:19 489:20	447:6 501:19	492:14,16	416:18,21
510:13	490:6 498:3	501:23 503:6	494:21 497:12	thereof 506:3
<b>TDM</b> 471:9,22	505:1	503:11	502:6,21	514:9
<b>TDM-based</b>	tell 376:7 380:8	testimony	505:16 506:4	thereto 362:1
449:3	407:21 415:4	360:12,15	tests 397:23	373:3 404:17
technical 374:4	418:12 421:9	361:18,23	Texas 358:10,11	405:2 415:21
418:1 476:2	427:7 431:22	362:1,22	451:14	thing 367:18
477:7 487:12	481:6 489:24	363:13,16	thank 361:2,5,7	415:9 460:17
487:24	490:2 498:8	364:3 367:5,10	362:5,9,13	461:12 466:13
technically	telling 366:17	368:13 369:1	370:20 371:20	477:9 483:18
380:23 490:8	<b>ten</b> 480:6	371:23 372:23	371:22 372:2,5	things 374:10
technician	tender 362:6	373:3,6 374:12	372:8,10,21	375:25 376:20
372:20 374:8	373:11 405:6	379:20,24	373:10,15,20	392:3,4 393:11
377:5 381:14	416:1 428:3	380:5 394:10	382:10 396:18	396:7 422:2
395:22	tens 478:17	394:23 395:9	397:15 401:7	436:1 482:1
technologies	ten-digit 479:22	395:16 398:1	401:17 403:1	488:25 496:10
471:22	480:5	404:16,23	403:15,17,18	500:19
technology	ten-minute	405:1,16,21,23	403:24 404:2,4	think 387:18,25
461:14 469:17	505:8	406:2,9,14,18	404:14 405:5,9	388:4 390:12
477:10	<b>term</b> 476:23	408:4,10	411:1 414:2,7	392:2 393:15
tedious 374:18	terminate	413:23 415:11	414:16 415:8	397:1 401:25
480:10	478:24	415:18,21	415:10,25	414:5 419:15
<b>telco</b> 360:12	terminates	416:9,16,21	416:4,5 424:25	420:22 422:11
telecommunic	412:4	417:1,11 419:1	425:12,14	423:3 432:1
437:25 475:11	terminating	419:5 420:3	427:3,5 436:25	442:1 444:7
483:5 496:3	402:23 406:17	422:23 425:15	437:14 440:1	449:5 450:17
telephone	463:18 464:15	426:2 427:14	440:11,23	450:23 460:4
357:10,10,11	termination	428:19,22,25	443:12,16	460:17 463:15
357:11,12,12	434:17 446:25	430:6,24 431:6	445:4,8,11	463:17 464:8
357:13,13,14	486:12	432:19,20,24	452:10 461:6	465:8 467:2
357:14,15,16	terminology	437:1 438:2,7	463:16 464:18	468:5,6,21
357:16,17,18	487:20	438:12,14,15	484:25 495:15	470:21 473:23
358:24,25	terms 367:2	438:15,20,22	495:19 496:6	475:5 479:17
359:5 361:12	369:9,12	438:23 439:5,6	497:20 498:14	487:4 490:12
361:14 372:16	386:17 387:11	439:14,19,24	498:21 499:13	490:15 491:6,9
382:14 383:19	387:24 395:20	439:24 443:20	499:18 504:13	494:17 495:20
384:8,15 386:3	408:1 409:20	445:18 447:19	504:14 508:15	496:20,22
386:18 402:22	418:23 432:4	448:2,12	509:18 510:14	497:14,15
402:24 404:9	432:10 448:3	449:21,21	their 386:25	502:10 509:10
404:12 414:22	486:19 506:9	450:12,14,18	394:4 398:2	thinking 498:15
414:25 415:3,5	513:6	451:4,8 453:12	405:21 413:1	third 384:22
425:21 461:19	<b>TERRY</b> 357:22	459:20 460:14	423:18 437:4	387:6 427:10
468:9,18 470:1	testified 397:22	460:18 462:10	448:3 451:20	444:25 448:12
470:2,24	435:24 496:23	463:5,25	454:17 486:25	448:15
472:13 473:5	testify 462:22	464:12 466:4	491:10 494:24	third-party
480:5 481:12	501:25	468:13 482:1,6	495:10 498:3	444:5
485:13,18		482:7 484:1	506:9	
		1	1	

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

				Page 541
though 363:7	484:15 486:21	412:3,3,9	495:21 496:2	394:9 402:5
403:20 421:4	490:24 495:15	417:3,12,16,19	497:16 499:2	405:2 415:21
450:25 468:6	506:8,16,19	417:20 418:14	500:10,21,24	427:23 439:15
487:22 488:24	507:8 514:8,13	418:19 419:18	501:2,5,5,10	466:2 468:3
502:22	<b>timed</b> 360:16	419:21 421:11	501:20,24	514:11
thought 421:13	471:7 480:1,2	421:12,17,25	502:1,13,14	trunk 375:20
425:24 467:20	times 397:23	424:16 429:23	509:25	376:8 399:17
468:12 482:3	488:4	430:8 431:2,3	Transcom's	402:13 467:25
485:10 501:8	tmajoue@mcs	431:7,11,14,20	451:13 453:1	trunking 409:3
three 364:22,23	358:8	434:17,22	475:24	<b>try</b> 360:11
490:9 491:2	today 360:6,23	438:25 439:2,7	transcript 357:2	383:17 463:8,9
504:21	373:22 410:20	441:7,10,11	400:13 414:4	505:6 507:14
threshold 475:1	427:20 431:10	442:15,18,20	514:12	trying 422:12
through 363:17	434:12,17	446:10,19,22	transcripts	436:1 456:6,17
363:23 364:4	439:18 479:7	448:7 449:2,3	507:2	457:21 464:2
367:6 376:1	488:24 490:20	484:3 486:22	transfer 389:22	476:25 484:20
379:17 400:13	490:25 494:12	493:17 494:6	456:5,19 513:8	484:21 500:22
406:9,15 408:5	together 379:22	494:11 495:12	transferred	505:7
417:12 419:2	395:9	495:13 496:25	454:7 455:2	<b>turn</b> 363:16
419:19 424:7	told 363:2	497:13 498:15	459:18 460:11	367:5 378:21
428:16 429:11	369:17 377:5	499:8 503:16	491:10	385:23 387:6
429:14 430:7	395:23 409:2,5	503:18 508:25	transferring	406:8,14 408:4
430:25 431:1	410:2,6 419:19	<b>training</b> 477:7,8	455:11	419:1 430:6,24
432:25 433:16	419:21 421:14	transaction	transforms	460:25 473:24
436:13 441:8	<b>Tommie</b> 426:25	430:22	494:14	503:7,18
463:24 468:8	427:8 512:3	Transcom	transit 446:12	<b>turned</b> 449:8
487:23 499:2	top 482:19	449:24 450:2,3	transiting	<b>Turning</b> 417:1
throughout	topic 449:20	450:5,17,20,21	446:19,22	<b>two</b> 376:9 396:7
382:13 439:6	torn 377:3	451:3,18 452:5	translation	396:11 399:13
throughput	totality 492:24	452:25 453:6	391:19 392:8	411:4,9 422:16
476:16	totally 502:4	453:11,22,23	transmit 464:3	432:2 438:14
tied 399:8,16	towers 411:22	454:1,2,9,11	transmitted	438:22 444:13
tight 421:24	town 425:24	454:17,23	430:13 461:23	450:24 475:10
<b>time</b> 360:13	traded 453:2,8	455:1,5,6,10	466:10	475:14 479:16
361:23 364:20	<b>traffic</b> 363:18,20	455:13,14,17	transmitting	496:10 500:19
369:14 377:20	363:25 364:5,9	455:17 456:20	441:8	<b>TX</b> 358:7
377:21,25	364:10,14,17	458:2,5,5	transpired	<b>type</b> 363:1 418:8
378:14 383:17	365:2,3,16,25	459:7,17,21,22	394:19	420:14 432:16
393:17 395:3	366:1,4,9,13	460:6,10	transport	441:10 457:18
401:19,22	366:20 371:14	468:14,22	389:20 487:6	481:21 488:5
402:6,12,13	379:25 380:5	474:2,15 484:9	traversed	493:24 494:5
403:20 404:23	383:13 387:13	490:14,15,15	446:10	495:24 504:24
415:18 421:24	388:15 390:10	490:17,18,19	tried 368:12	types 390:21
422:3 425:3,25	391:10,14	490:21,22,25	trip@brydonl	465:10,22
437:1 439:7,7	406:10,15,16	491:7,12,13,15	359:4	478:5 499:23
439:22 444:16	406:19,25	491:25 492:9	<b>TROY</b> 358:5	typically 364:15
453:23 461:2	407:1,1,10,12	492:19 493:25	<b>true</b> 362:1 367:1	365:18 375:18
479:10,20	407:15,19	494:6,10,13	373:6 386:13	377:2,9 444:2
179.10,20	107.10,17	121.0,10,10	575.0 500.15	<i>311.2,7</i> 177.2

www.midwestlitigation.com

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

				Page 542
<b>T-Mobile</b>	461:24 464:11	474:17 476:24	436:25 457:11	460:12
411:19 447:1	464:17 465:25	476:24 478:12	459:4 460:18	V-y-k-e 455:12
447:12 480:15	477:25 478:3,4	499:3	460:20,25	
<b>T-1</b> 474:23	489:15 492:6	user 461:18	462:19 466:13	W
475:13,16,22	497:4 499:5	478:24	466:21 480:24	<b>W</b> 358:9
<b>T-1s</b> 471:11,12	507:7	users 478:23	482:20 484:25	wait 376:10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	understood	uses 389:21	496:10,23	waiver 494:1,3
U	448:9 465:19	471:16	499:6 500:19	waiving 426:21
<b>uh-huh</b> 368:7	475:3 485:23	using 380:14	508:3 510:14	want 360:7,14
370:24 415:16	491:9 507:10	387:15 391:18	<b>via</b> 464:15	375:17 393:9
417:21	undertake 458:1	391:23 392:7	497:25,25	393:12 394:18
<b>ultimate</b> 449:16	undoubtedly	420:24 467:10	<b>vice</b> 490:11	401:9 411:4
ultimately	447:3	471:21 474:10	<b>view</b> 443:8	421:22 426:20
377:10 378:5	unidentified	480:14	447:23 463:9	429:15 445:13
378:20	480:4	<b>utilizing</b> 487:18	496:23 497:12	445:22 448:10
unavailable	<b>unique</b> 466:9		<b>viewer</b> 490:8	471:25 475:12
426:1	494:10	V	<b>viewing</b> 360:19	475:13 479:10
undefined	<b>unit</b> 437:25	Vaguely 384:12	viewpoint 420:1	489:11 492:4,4
464:23	470:10,10	Valley 357:17	violates 493:13	497:11 501:24
<b>under</b> 368:3	473:15	variation 465:10	virtually 506:3	502:3 506:5
369:20 426:14	United 453:3,9	various 462:21	<b>visit</b> 445:20	wanted 360:18
440:16 442:25	453:15	465:22 486:24	visited 425:22	413:16 438:19
457:21 482:18	unknown	<b>vehicle</b> 385:12	<b>voice</b> 376:11	476:2 493:25
482:22 483:5	469:13,19	vendor 430:14	444:16 471:19	499:20 509:1
483:19 485:6	470:1,2	430:14,21	480:21,22	wanting 426:17
488:10 503:4	unless 475:18	verbally 427:21	481:5 489:19	wants 415:2
underneath	484:7	verification	489:20 497:25	warranted
441:2	unsophisticated	364:21 380:4,6	Voight 437:3,10	443:10
understand	490:8	413:6	437:11,17,19	Warren 451:22
368:2 377:14	until 362:11	verified 362:24	438:1,6 440:14	452:3
381:17 390:15	421:12 423:20	399:19	440:24 443:18	wasn't 436:10
421:24 426:21	437:2 440:8	verifies 365:3	445:15 484:14	466:20 473:1
443:7 444:15	494:21	verify 364:13	495:20 496:19	watch 421:14
451:5 463:8,9	upset 422:21	368:12 374:14	497:24 499:20	472:6,10
464:24 469:17	usage 374:11,15	374:19 380:12	504:17 505:15	water 509:9
475:24 481:24	<b>use</b> 365:10 378:8	397:24 398:23	509:24 512:8	<b>WATs</b> 392:17
488:2,5,6	383:1,4 387:2	399:1,3,4,5	Voight's 439:23	393:25 488:11
490:7 493:6	391:25 392:25	verifying 364:24	Voigt 360:15	489:1
497:17 502:5	407:5 417:24	364:25 365:5	<b>VoIP</b> 449:11	<b>way</b> 365:20
507:22	472:9 475:1	374:11 379:6	481:16	366:6,6 368:12
understanding	478:15,18,22	Verizon 411:19	<b>volume</b> 357:6	368:21,24
387:15 394:11	483:20	447:12 473:14	366:12,13	369:9 370:2
394:19 408:7	<b>used</b> 376:3	<b>versa</b> 490:11	379:24 400:13	385:16 386:23
411:25 417:15	378:25 380:24	<b>versus</b> 448:17	<b>vs</b> 357:9	406:1,4 407:9
426:3 431:7	382:13 383:8	<b>very</b> 370:15	<b>Vyke</b> 453:2,7,14	408:24 409:8
432:14 444:1,6	385:12 403:6	379:18 384:19	454:7,18 455:2	409:11,24
444:7,11 453:6	411:8 456:10	398:14 411:10	455:12 456:20	410:12,18
457:14 461:6,8	461:9 473:1,10	413:22 426:23	456:21 459:18	416:20 418:7

				Tuge 5 15
420:9,13 421:7	485:18 488:10	<b>we've</b> 364:16	490:10 494:14	394:3 403:2,19
422:18 423:10	488:14 491:3	382:13 385:5	498:1,1 499:4	405:20 408:16
423:25 424:5	493:12 499:9	393:3 420:25	wires 473:18	413:7,24
428:24 429:20	500:6 502:5,6	420:25 421:13	Wisconsin	416:18 419:10
430:2 433:9,22	508:2	423:12 436:4	453:12 454:9	425:16 426:6
434:5,13 435:6	went 362:24	493:22 494:12	492:12	426:15 429:1
435:9,16,25	363:5 416:13	497:25 509:15	Wiseman	436:5,5 440:5
457:9 463:25	449:12,15	whatnot 406:25	367:10 408:10	462:21,22,22
464:5 476:3	<b>were</b> 363:6	whatsoever	419:5 432:20	469:7 505:19
477:13 493:9	368:9 369:12	371:13	Wiseman's	506:3,4
494:10 496:20	369:14,16	While 376:23	395:16	witness's 441:24
498:15	370:22 380:13	469:6	wish 370:12	word 439:8,9
WC 456:1	383:25 395:3	whole 422:22,25	397:11 410:24	450:17 485:20
webcast 472:4	396:8 402:20	450:6 462:7	424:11 436:19	499:3 500:6
website 385:2	408:12 419:7	484:9 487:17	504:1 506:8	words 481:22
386:11	419:17 423:17	wide 488:18	withdraw 453:4	488:20
Wednesday	423:20 427:17	<b>William</b> 437:9	513:10	work 361:11
360:3	427:20 428:10	437:19 438:1,6	witness 360:15	372:15 405:20
week 455:8	433:2 438:17	512:8	361:1,4 362:6	411:22 416:17
507:15 509:15	439:19 446:2,8	wireless 357:7	370:13 372:2,4	436:12 437:25
weekend 385:3	446:15 447:2,9	358:13 362:19	372:6,7 373:11	438:20 445:18
507:13	447:24 448:2,7	366:4,19 371:8	375:6 387:19	446:1,12
weeks 450:12	448:19,22	371:17 373:25	393:6,13	472:14 489:12
weigh 393:9	453:25 454:21	394:6 399:19	396:10 397:3,5	506:25
well 365:7	459:13 464:22	403:7,12	397:6,11,21,25	worked 373:25
367:23 368:2	474:10,13	411:19,19	398:3,6,10	379:22 405:17
368:18 370:15	484:18 487:8	412:2,8 425:9	403:23 404:1	416:14 428:18
377:15 385:4	489:12 490:14	441:8 446:9,25	405:6 413:5	working 405:19
385:13 389:16	500:10,11,23	447:12 448:7	414:2,9,13	works 388:12
389:24 390:16	500:24,24	473:15,22,23	416:1 423:4,15	418:12
404:16 405:1	503:17 504:23	474:2 475:12	424:12 425:19	worldwide
406:19 413:9	506:12	476:15 481:22	425:21 426:18	478:17
413:22 421:22	weren't 500:8	483:4 485:10	426:23 427:2	Worth 450:23
422:16,19	West 358:11	485:16,21	429:16 436:11	wouldn't 369:25
423:1 426:12	we'll 362:10	488:5 489:14	436:19 437:3,8	387:16 453:20
426:23 429:17	369:21 374:16	490:1,11	437:13 442:5	479:1 507:12
435:23 444:13	374:17 377:15	494:15 498:1,2	448:19 456:24	wrap 484:19
446:16 451:10	410:9,15 437:2	499:4,7,8	457:3,13	wrapping 437:4
454:20 457:11	440:7 459:1	500:5,9 503:17	465:15 470:7	505:10
460:20 461:13	505:9,9,10	503:18 508:20	479:17 489:6	write 362:21
462:19 463:10	<b>we're</b> 367:24	wireless-origi	492:17 496:1	363:3,12 377:4
463:15 465:1	392:4 412:18	439:2 495:12	499:5 503:8	379:20 405:16
465:15 466:12	422:24 437:1	497:1,7	504:12 505:7	416:9 428:21
467:17 468:4	460:19 467:17	wireline 399:20	witnesses 360:6	writing 427:18
468:12,17	471:25 475:8	456:1 458:12	360:12,21	469:14 507:12
470:20 473:16	476:1 481:9	473:5,21	362:10 363:13	written 362:12
474:17 479:21	493:16	481:20,23	363:15 367:8	386:2 415:11
480:6,7 482:20		489:14,17,25	371:25 387:11	440:8 442:13
L	1		1	1

www.midwestlitigation.com

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

	•	•		Page 544
506:1,9	<b>1</b> 363:23 367:6	<b>170</b> 468:8	489:24	<b>3650</b> 476:5,13
wrong 479:16	374:12 430:25	<b>18</b> 408:5	<b>214</b> 358:8	<b>370</b> 511:5
wrote 447:4	430:25 438:4	<b>1800</b> 358:7	456:13,18	<b>3700</b> 476:5,13
450:12,14	438:16 439:25	<b>19</b> 460:15,18	490:16	<b>372</b> 511:8
451:7,8	474:1	<b>19th</b> 438:8	<b>22</b> 430:25 456:3	<b>373</b> 511:8
wsmc@dotlaw	<b>1.1</b> 387:15	<b>1962</b> 415:6	513:8	<b>383</b> 513:4,6
358:12	<b>1.5</b> 476:18,21	<b>1996</b> 485:24	<b>23rd</b> 506:16	<b>385</b> 513:6
<b>W.R</b> 359:1	<b>1.544</b> 474:21,22	<b>1999</b> 455:10	507:9	<b>397</b> 511:9
	475:6	501:4,5,8	<b>235</b> 358:11	<b>398</b> 511:10
X	10 486:3		<b>24</b> 452:11,14	
<b>X</b> 465:5 502:24	<b>10.06</b> 503:22	2	240-29.020(28)	4
511:1	504:2	<b>2</b> 358:11 363:22	461:9	<b>4</b> 357:6 361:20
<b>XX</b> 466:16 467:7	<b>10:30</b> 437:2	406:8 417:1	<b>25</b> 393:3	362:7 367:5
	<b>102</b> 427:10	427:15,18,20	<b>2501</b> 358:6	430:6 443:21
Y	<b>102</b> 427:10 <b>104</b> 361:12	428:2 438:9,16	<b>2501</b> 350:0 <b>252</b> 396:2	449:23 461:5
<b>yeah</b> 368:4	<b>11</b> 363:17 387:8	438:16,23	<b>26</b> 455:19,23	<b>4th</b> 438:3
378:19 386:12	<b>11</b> 303.17 387.8 <b>1101</b> 464:10,18	439:25 440:25	458:21 513:7	<b>401</b> 400:13
418:11 467:2	464:22 465:5,9	441:2,3 443:20	<b>26th</b> 401:14	<b>402</b> 511:12
468:20 469:21	465:10,12,13	474:1 482:10	498:11	<b>405</b> 511:13
469:25 475:8	465:21 466:1	482:11 488:5	<b>27</b> 357:4 451:24	4050 358:3
481:24 483:14	466:15,24	494:23 499:21	452:6,13,15,19	<b>406</b> 511:13
486:7 488:3	467:7	499:21 508:19	452:23 453:17	<b>409</b> 400:13
year 374:2 395:4	<b>111849</b> 456:3	<b>2nd</b> 456:2	432:23 433:17 513:9	<b>410</b> 511:10
401:15 455:9	<b>111849</b> 436:3 <b>11849</b> 513:8	<b>2.2</b> 448:10	<b>27th</b> 360:3 495:4	<b>413</b> 511:16
years 364:16,22		<b>20</b> 364:4 406:15		<b>414</b> 511:16
364:23 371:1	<b>12</b> 406:15	417:12 430:7	<b>28</b> 461:4	<b>420</b> 511:17
374:1 472:17	417:12 475:15	460:15,19	<b>29.020</b> 461:5	<b>420</b> 511:17 <b>421</b> 511:17
<b>yep</b> 487:25	<b>12:38</b> 510:16	472:17	3	<b>423</b> 511:20
yesterday 360:7	<b>1250</b> 358:10 <b>126</b> 404:9	<b>20.11</b> 395:24	<b>3</b> 363:16,23,23	<b>425</b> 511:20
362:21 367:8		483:13	367:6 394:10	<b>433</b> 511:21
367:13,14	<b>13</b> 430:7	<b>200</b> 358:22	404:20 405:7	<b>434</b> 511:21
408:12 411:9	<b>14</b> 363:17	359:8	404:20 403.7	<b>436</b> 512:3
419:7 428:11	384:17,18,19	<b>2000s</b> 446:9	439:5 443:21	<b>430</b> 512:3 <b>437</b> 512:4
477:15 494:21	406:9 419:2	<b>20003</b> 449:25	452:23 474:5	<b>446</b> 512:9
494:23,25	482:4	454:22 460:1	475:14	<b>440</b> 312.9 <b>447</b> 357:25
YOUNG 358:2	<b>140</b> 386:19	434:22 400:1 501:20		<b>447</b> 537:25 <b>449</b> 512:9
you-all 460:16	<b>15</b> 393:4 394:10		<b>30th</b> 495:5	
509:21	<b>150</b> 386:19	<b>2005</b> 455:18	<b>300</b> 358:3	<b>452</b> 512:10
507.21	<b>16</b> 408:5 419:2	459:16	<b>304</b> 358:22	<b>454</b> 512:10
#	439:3,4 460:14	<b>2007</b> 456:2	<b>312</b> 359:2	<b>456</b> 359:3
<b>#447</b> 514:18	<b>16th</b> 495:5	<b>2009</b> 459:22	314)235-2508	<b>461</b> 513:10,10
	498:13,16	495:4 513:10	358:17	<b>464</b> 513:8
0	<b>167</b> 468:7	<b>2010</b> 509:8	332(c)(1)(B)	<b>498</b> 512:11
000 480:1,8	<b>17</b> 383:25,25	2011 495:5	483:12	5
<b>05229</b> 456:1	384:4,20 385:7	498:11,13,16	<b>35</b> 459:16	<b>5</b> 364:4 375:8
<b>072948</b> 456:2	385:20,24	<b>2012</b> 357:4	<b>3518</b> 358:16	
	406:9 460:14	360:3 438:3,8	<b>360</b> 359:8	384:8 400:13
1	513:3,5	2018 495:5	<b>361</b> 511:4	415:15 416:2
		<b>210</b> 481:3,15,18	<b>362</b> 511:5	438:23 473:24
	1	1	I	1

## EVIDENTIARY HEARING 6/27/2012

			Page 545
<b>50</b> 476:13	<b>888-1112</b> 358:12		
<b>504</b> 512:11			
<b>505</b> 512:12	9		
<b>506</b> 512:12	<b>9</b> 430:25 431:6		
<b>507</b> 512:13	439:5		
<b>508</b> 512:14	<b>909</b> 358:16		
<b>509</b> 512:14	<b>931-3500</b> 358:4		
<b>512</b> 358:12	<b>954-6800</b> 358:8		
<b>513</b> 512:15			
<b>555</b> 414:22			
<b>573</b> 358:23			
573)635-7166			
359:4			
573)751-3234			
359:9			
559.9			
6			
<b>6</b> 364:3 372:24			
373:12 386:4			
406:14 417:11			
441:5,6 442:6 6th 506:23 507:3			
509:16,17			
<b>63101</b> 358:17			
<b>64171</b> 358:3			
<b>646-1457</b> 481:3			
<b>65102</b> 358:22			
359:9			
65102-0456			
359:3			
<b>659-8734</b> 358:23			
7			
<b>7</b> 364:4 375:16			
442:8 449:21			
451:11			
<b>704</b> 372:16			
<b>75201</b> 358:7			
<b>78746</b> 358:11			
8			
<b>8</b> 430:24 431:1,6			
451:12			
800 391:19			
392:13,15			
<b>816</b> 358:4			
<b>87</b> 432:1			
<b>88</b> 432:1			
	1		