

**BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF MISSOURI**

R. MARK,

Complainant

v.

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.
d/b/a AT&T Missouri

Respondent

TC-2006-0354

FILED²
APR 14 2006
Missouri Public
Service Commission

COMPLAINT'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR MEDIATION

Comes now Complainant with COMPLAINT'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR MEDIATION, and states:

1. That Respondent filed a Request for Mediation March 30, 2006 and the Commission ordered, on April 3, 2006, that the Complaint agree or not agree to such voluntary mediation on or before April 13, 2006.

2. That the Complainant, in view of Complainant's past unproductive multiple attempts to resolve this matter with the Respondent and the Respondent's: 1) inexplicable intransigence in agreeing to stipulate to the undisputed facts (including, but not limited to even the fact that Respondent provides, and has provided, P.O.T.S. [plain, ordinary, telephone service] to the Complainant, 2) its refusal to acknowledge even the existence of the Commission's applicable tariff, and 3) its refusal to explain to the Complainant any reason(s) for Respondent's position, believes that no useful purpose would now be served by voluntary mediation.

3. That, according, Complainant is not willing to enter into voluntary mediation.

Respectfully,

Complainant

Copies faxed to the Public Service Commission,
General Counsel's Office, 573-751-9285;
Lewis R. Mills, Jr., Office of Public Counsel,
573-751-5562, and mailed to the Attorneys for
AT&T Missouri, Respondent.

9029 Gravois View Ct. #C
St. Louis, Missouri 63123