Susan C. Kliethermes (573) 761-5001 Email: skliethermes@lathropgage.com www.lathropgage.com



326 E. CAPITOL AVENUE JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65101 (573) 893-4336, FAX (573) 893-5398

March 31, 2003

HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102



Service Commission

Re:

In the Matter of the Application of Missouri RSA No. 7 Limited Partnership d/b/a Mid Missouri Cellular for Designation as a Telecommunications Company Carrier Eligible for Federal Universal Service Support Pursuant to § 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996;

Case No. TO-2003-288

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above case is an original and eight copies of RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS.

If you have any questions concerning this filing, please contact Paul DeFord in our Kansas City office.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

LATHROP & GAGE L.C.

Susan C. Klietheri

Paralegal

enclosures

cc:

Office of Public Counsel

Office of General Counsel

JCDOCS 13721v1



BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

		es Wissouri Public
In the Matter of the Application of)	Service Commission
Missouri RSA No. 7 Limited Partnership)	
d/b/a Mid-Missouri Cellular for)	
Designation as a Telecommunications)	Case No. TO-2003-288
Carrier Eligible for Federal Universal)	
Service Support Pursuant to Section 254)	
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES NOW Missouri RSA No. 7 Limited Partnership d/b/a Mid-Missouri Cellular (MMC) and for its response to the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission's (Staff) Motion to Dismiss states as follows:

- 1. On March 19, 2003, Staff filed its Motion to Dismiss MMC's Application for Designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) pursuant to Sections 214 and 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff contends that the Commission lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the facilities and services of commercial mobile radio services (CMRS) carriers such as MMC.
- 2. Staff further points out that Section 214(e)(6) of the Telecommunications Act anticipates that state commissions may not have jurisdiction over all carriers that seek ETC designation. According to Staff where state commissions lack jurisdiction, an ETC applicant may request ETC designation from the FCC. Staff contends that MMC will not be harmed by a dismissal because MMC may petition the FCC for ETC designation. Staff cites a number of cases in which the FCC has addressed ETC designation for wireless carriers making proper application.

3. MMC has considered Staff's motion and based upon the premise that the FCC would exercise jurisdiction if MMC were to make a proper application requesting designation of ETC status, and has determined that it will not oppose Staff's motion to dismiss.

WHEREFORE, MMC respectfully requests that the Commission expeditiously grant Staff's motion to dismiss so that a proper application may be submitted to the FCC.

Respectfully submitted,

ATHROP & GAGE L. C.

Paul S. DeFord

#29509

LATHROP & GAGE L.C.

2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 2500

Kansas City, MO 64108-2684

(816) 460-5827 - FAX (816) 292-2001

Janes De Ford

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or e-mailed to all counsel of record this 31st day of March, 2003.

John B. Coffman Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102