```
00001
 1
                         STATE OF MISSOURI
 2
                     PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 3
 4
 5
 6
                      TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 7
                       Prehearing Conference
 8
                           April 7, 2004
                       Jefferson City, Missouri
 9
                              Volume 1
10
11
12
     The Staff of the Missouri Public )
     Service Commission,
13
                    Petitioner,
14
                                       ) Case No. TC-2004-0407
     V.
15
     St. John's Mercy Hospital,
16
                    Respondent.
17
18
                    RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding,
19
                        REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
20
21
22 REPORTED BY:
23 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR
     MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
24
25
```

```
00002
  1
                             APPEARANCES:
  2
     LISA C. LANGENECKERT, Attorney at Law
     ROBERT C. JOHNSON, Attorney at Law
  3
             The Stolar Partnership
             911 Washington Avenue
  4
              St. Louis, MO 63101-1209
              (314)641-5158
  5
                     FOR: St. John's Mercy Healthcare.
  6
     BRUCE H. BATES, Associate General Counsel
  7
             P.O. Box 360
             200 Madison Street
  8
             Jefferson City, MO 65102
              (573) 751-3234
  9
                     FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
10
                               Service Commission.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: We're on the record. Good
3	morning. This is the prehearing conference in Case No.
4	TC-2004-0407, the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
5	Commission vs. St. John's Mercy Hospital.
6	I am Ron Pridgin. I am the Regulatory Law
7	Judge assigned to preside over this prehearing conference.
8	It's being held on April 7th, 2004, in the Governor Office
9	Building in Jefferson City, Missouri. The time is
10	ten o'clock in the morning.
11	We are here because of my March 24, 2004
12	Order setting this case for prehearing conference and
13	requiring the subsequent filing of a proposed procedural
14	schedule.
15	At this time I would like to get entries of
16	appearance from counsel, beginning with the Staff, please.
17	MR. BATES: Good morning, your Honor.
18	Bruce H. Bates appearing on behalf of the Staff of the
19	Missouri Public Service Commission. My address is Post
20	Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Bates, thank you. On
22	behalf of St. John's Mercy Hospital, please?
23	MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Robert C. Johnson and
24	Lisa C. Langeneckert, in care of the Stolar Law Firm,
25	911 Washington Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63101.

1 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, thank you.
2 I don't believe I have any pending motions.
3 Is there anything that the parties need to bring to my
4 attention before we proceed?
5 MR. BATES: Your Honor, just for the

MR. BATES: Your Honor, just for the record, due to the nature of these cases that have come before the Commission, these are somewhat unusual. In the Order Setting Prehearing Conference, the Commission refers, as it normally does in these cases, to the parties discussing the status of settlement negotiations.

I understand that is something the Commission normally puts in these Orders. However, because of the unusual nature of these cases, I do think it's important to put on the record that settlement negotiations have not been authorized for Staff in these cases at this stage.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I understand, Mr. Bates, and thank you for putting that on the record for me. Notwithstanding your perhaps not being able to settle, obviously the other main reason for this prehearing conference is for the parties to get together to try to hammer out a proposed procedural schedule.

You certainly have access to this room until five o'clock to talk. I will be on the ninth floor. If anybody has any scheduling questions, the Commission's

calendar is also on the ninth floor.

If the parties are looking at some dates around Memorial Day for a hearing, even though they may appear to be clear on the Commission's calendar, they may not be because I will be out of town. So if you're looking at some dates in late May or early June, please consult with me before you file your proposed procedural schedule with those dates so you don't get some sort of unpleasant surprise getting dates besides the ones that you picked.

Any other questions or anything else that the parties need to bring to my attention? Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor. We -frankly, I don't understand this case, because the notice apparently was not properly given to our client about the annual reports, but our client is in the process of finishing completing those annual reports and I anticipate they'll be filed within a short period of time.

So what the purpose of a hearing would be I don't understand, because the Staff complaint has asked us to file reports. We're going to file the reports. I don't know what else has to be done in the case. This is a -- St. John's is a not-for-profit hospital. They are one of the major health care systems in the St. Louis area.

There's a question, they've always had a question as to whether or not they were required to file, and there's some factual issues on shared tenant services. But rather than debate some of these issues, they're going ahead and file the annual reports. So I anticipate that those will be done within a week to ten days.

And after that's done, you know, we certainly will ask them to waive the penalties under the circumstances of the case, and then I think life can go on for all of us after that. I don't see any point in really spending a lot of time on worrying about hearings and testimony and evidence at this point.

Anyway, that's our comments generally about the case. I do anticipate that those filings will be complete in a very short period of time.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, thank you. And obviously if that's something you wish to discuss with Mr. Bates as to whether or not there's any need for a hearing, that's obviously part of the reason for the conference.

If you do file those or that annual report,
I would ask that you file a motion with that report
because I believe that that's going to be a report that's
out of time, and so you will need to ask leave of the
Commission to file that report out of time. If you do

1 that, that may or may not create a separate case because of the way our filing system works. If that does create a separate case, do not panic or be alarmed. Chances are I would simply consolidate those two cases and put them together. So don't -- if you get some sort of reply back 5 with a different case number, don't -- feel free to contact Mr. Bates about that. It's probably something that we would catch and I would -- I would almost 9 definitely be assigned that same case so we can 10 consolidate them. So like I said, don't give that another 11 thought if that happens. 12 And I appreciate your letting me know that 13 your client is working on trying to file those reports. I 14 don't know if that will dispense with the issues or not, 15 but again that's something that you can certainly discuss with the Staff and with Mr. Bates. Again, I appreciate 16 17 you bringing that to my attention and appreciate your 18 client trying to come into compliance. 19 Anything else from the parties? 20 MR. BATES: I don't believe so. 21 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Again, you have access to 22 this room until five o'clock. I'm on the ninth floor. 23 The Commission's calendar is on the ninth floor. Please 24 contact me if you have any questions or anything. 25 If there'll be nothing further, we will

```
00008
     then go off the record. This prehearing conference is
  1
     adjourned. Thank you.

WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the
  2
  4
     prehearing conference was concluded.
  5
  6
  7
  8
  9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```