In the Matter of:

SOCKET TELECOM, LLC

V.

EMBARQ MO, INC.

TC-2020-0409, VOL. I

February 09, 2021



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

```
1
          BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 2
                   STATE OF MISSOURI
 3
 4
               TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 5
                 Procedural Conference
 6
                   February 9, 2021
 8
               Jefferson City, Missouri
 9
                       Volume 1
10
                         WebEx
11
12
13
14
      In the Matter of:
15
      Socket Telecom, LLC,
16
             Complainant,
                               File No. TC-2020-0409
17
      vs.
18
      Embarq MO, Inc.,
19
              Respondent.
20
                  NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding
21
                SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
22
     REPORTED BY:
23
     Cynthia P. Lakin, CCR No. 323
     TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
24
25
```

1		APPEARANCES
2	CARL :	LUMLEY Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe
3		130 S. Bemiston, Ste. 200 St. Louis, Missouri 63105
4	FOR:	314-725-8788
5		EY PAYNE
6	WIII IIV.	20 Madison Street, Ste. 800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
7 8	FOR:	573-526-4887
	mp t p	
9 10	TRIP.	ENGLAND Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC P.O. Box 456
11		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573-635-7166
12	FOR:	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: We can go ahead and
3	begin. This is February 9, 2021, and we are
4	meeting for a prehearing procedural
5	conference in case number TC-2020-0409,
6	Socket Telecom, LLC, Complainant, versus
7	Embarq Missouri, Incorporated, doing business
8	as CenturyLink, the Respondent.
9	My name is Nancy Dippell and I'm the
10	Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this case,
11	and I'm going to begin then with entries of
12	appearance. So can we have CentryLink?
13	MR. ENGLAND: Yes. Trip England
14	with the law firm of Brydon, Swearengen &
15	England, P.O. Box 456, Jefferson City,
16	Missouri, 65102, appearing on behalf of
17	Embarq doing business as CenturyLink.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: And Socket?
19	MR. LUMLEY: Carl Lumley with the
20	Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe law firm
21	representing Socket Telecom in this matter.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: And Public Service
23	Commission?
24	MS. PAYNE: Whitney Payne on behalf
25	of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service

1 Commission, 20 Madison Street, Suite 800, 2 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65101. JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And we 3 weren't expecting Public Counsel to really 4 5 join us, they haven't been active in this 6 case and aren't usually in this kind of a 7 dispute, so we'll go ahead, then. So this complaint was filed sometime 8 9 ago and over the last year or so we've been, 10 the parties have been negotiating. But it's 11 my understanding from the last status report 12 perhaps you guys have reached an impasse in your discussions. 13 14 So we wanted to meet to discuss 15 procedural schedule, and you also had some, 16 maybe some discovery disputes that you wanted 17 to bring to my attention. So I don't know 18 who wants to begin with that, or. 19 MR. LUMLEY: Well, yes. I sent to 20 Mr. England a rough outline of a potential 21 case schedule, kind of worked from the steps 22 that we had in our other complaint case last 23 year that he and I were the counsel in. I think he shared that with his client. 24

can comment further on that.

2.5

```
So we're trying to reach agreement.
 1
 2
     I would expect this to be able to agree.
                                                Ι
     didn't share it with Staff Counsel yet.
 3
     the last case they didn't file testimony and
 4
 5
     I thought Mr. England and I should try to
 6
     agree on a schedule first before sharing it
 7
     with them so it wasn't a moving target for
 8
     them.
 9
              So that's what I know about the case
     schedule so far.
10
11
              MR. ENGLAND: And Carl is correct.
12
     I forwarded it to my folks yesterday
13
                 Generally it looks pretty good to
     afternoon.
14
          It's pushed -- Carl was kind enough to
15
     kind of accommodate my schedule. I may be
16
     involved in the Missouri American case coming
17
     up.
          I think you may be as well, Judge Dippell.
18
              JUDGE DIPPELL:
                              I am.
19
              MR. ENGLAND: And then I'm going to
20
     take some personal time off after that
21
     hearing, so as I said it looks good to me.
22
     It coordinates with the hearing in the May
23
     timeframe.
24
              I'm assuming we'll probably still be
    having or holding hearings via WebEx or
25
```

```
virtually, but I did want my folks to make
 1
 2
     sure at the very least that whoever our
 3
     witnesses are going to be that they be
     available in the week that Carl has suggested
     we have the hearing, so. I'm hopeful we'll
 5
 6
     be able to get back to them in the next day
 7
     or so on that.
 8
              MR. LUMLEY: I guess the operative
 9
     question then is what the Commissioner's
10
     calendar looks like for the week of May 17th,
11
     which I picked at random based on my own
12
     personal calendar, so.
13
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Let me look really
14
     quick and I can actually answer that for you.
15
              I think that week is clear.
16
     According to our Internet calendar it appears
17
     to be.
18
              MR. ENGLAND: I'll speak for myself,
19
     I'm not sure this would take more than a day.
20
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah, we have like
21
     one hearing on that Friday. The Commission
22
     has a small complaint hearing scheduled on
23
     that Friday. But other than that that week
24
     is available, and that does get us out past
2.5
     the Missouri American case, which is -- will
```

```
be taking up my time as well. But I can
 1
 2
     always pass this off to another judge if that
     doesn't work out, so.
 3
              MR. LUMLEY: Yeah, in terms of
 5
     length of hearing, right now I'm anticipating
     one witness for us, potentially a second
 6
 7
     short witness in terms of like accounting
 8
     issues as opposed to substance.
 9
              I don't know what Mr. England's
     contemplating, we haven't talked about that.
10
11
              MR. ENGLAND: I honestly don't know,
12
     but I can't imagine we would have more than
13
     two.
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, and so it
14
15
     looks like, I mean from your complaint this
16
     is really one, one issue, one main issue.
17
              MR. LUMLEY: Right.
                                   From that
     regard it's a little more simple and
18
19
     streamlined than the prior case.
20
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And that was
21
     my question for you was going to be what,
22
     what kind of timing you were looking at, but
23
     so you've answered that.
24
              What about your discovery issues,
2.5
     then?
```

```
MR. LUMLEY: Well, before we bore
 1
 2
     you with that, I got what I read to be a
     favorable e-mail from Mr. England yesterday.
 3
     We didn't get into the nitty-gritty of it,
 4
 5
     but it sounded like maybe we're past that
 6
     impasse so I'll let him comment on that.
 7
              MR. ENGLAND: We're working on
 8
     trying to provide Carl with some additional
 9
     information he requested. I hope to have
10
     that to him no later than tomorrow.
11
              I'm not going to go as far as to say
12
     that should satisfy him, but at least it's
     more information than he's had before and
13
14
     maybe that would satisfy him, or if not
15
     narrow whatever discovery issues we had.
16
              MR. LUMLEY: So we can put that
17
     discussion off from my perspective.
18
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Okay.
19
     that sounds good.
20
              Miss Payne, did you have anything
21
    you needed to add from the Staff?
22
              MS. PAYNE: We may conduct some
23
     discovery of our own, just to get a better
24
     understanding of the case.
2.5
              I don't know, I have not seen the
```

```
proposed schedule of any kind. I don't know
 1
 2
     if there's other intent to file anything on
     that. But I think Staff would probably
 3
     appreciate more, more knowledge of the
 4
 5
     specific issue it has, you know, that we
 6
     intend to take to the hearing.
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah. And I may,
 7
 8
     depending on the schedule and everything, I
 9
     may ask the Staff for recommendation or an
     opinion or something, some guidance from
10
11
     their side as well.
12
              But again, I'm not that familiar
     with the issues and will be more so obviously
13
     when we get into it, so.
14
15
              MR. LUMLEY: And the schedule
16
     contemplates a direct rebuttal, surrebuttal,
17
    pre-filed testimony for the parties to flesh
18
     out their positions with direct in about a
19
     month from now.
20
              But certainly if you give us a date
21
     to file a schedule, you know, once
22
     Mr. England and I are done discussing it we
23
     can share it with Miss Payne and whatever
24
     fine tuning we need to do and then submit it.
2.5
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, it
```

```
sounds like you guys are close to having
 1
 2
     something worked out, so can we just say a
     week from today you're each to file a
 3
     proposed schedule?
 4
 5
              MR. ENGLAND: I think that works.
 6
              MR. LUMLEY: Certainly.
 7
              MR. ENGLAND: Judge, quick question
 8
     though, your comment about asking Staff to
 9
     weigh-in on it raises a question with me.
10
     Should Staff be treated as an adjunctive body
11
     in this case or as a litigant, because that
12
     impacts the ex-parte requirements?
              JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, so this goes
13
14
     back to when the complaint was originally
15
     filed and it included a demand for
     arbitration.
16
              But under the provisions of the
17
18
     interconnection agreement as I read them this
19
     isn't really an arbitration, like an
20
     arbitration of an interconnection agreement,
     this is more of a complaint dispute.
21
22
              MR. ENGLAND: Yeah.
23
              JUDGE DIPPELL: So at this point I
24
     would say that Staff is like a litigant in
25
     that they're -- it's more attuned to a
```

```
1
     regular complaint and in those -- if it was a
 2
     consumer complaint for instance, Staff would
     usually do an investigation and file a
 3
     report. So they're somewhat neutral but
 4
 5
     they're not the Commission making the
     decision.
 6
 7
              So I don't know if that muddies it
 8
     or clears it up.
              MR. ENGLAND: I think that helps. I
 9
     mean thank you. If Staff is going to engage
10
11
     in discovery I imagine there will be some
12
     back and forth between the parties and Staff.
              So I'm satisfied with that, but when
13
14
     you mentioned it it did remind me sometimes,
15
     like you said, in arbitration they have sort
     of a different role.
16
17
              JUDGE DIPPELL:
                              Right.
18
              MS. PAYNE: No, I appreciate that,
19
     Trip.
20
              That was kind of my concern as well,
21
     was that the fact that this is now going to
22
     hearing I anticipated that we might be called
23
     upon to provide our own opinion of the
24
     situation, you know. Using our normal stance
2.5
     of neutral party, but trying to provide the
```

Commission with our own specialized knowledge 1 2 of, you know, what exactly we see the issue in dispute as being. 3 So that's what I would foresee. 5 if the parties intend to file testimony that 6 might be sufficient. I'll have to talk to 7 John and Carrie (phonetic) and see if we want 8 any other, you know, discovery or that up 9 front, or wait until after direct is filed and see if that's enough information for us 10 11 to produce some kind of investigative report. 12 MR. ENGLAND: Okay. 13 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yeah. I mean I 14 envision Staff's role as, you know, they 15 provide the Commission expertise that is more 16 unbiased, you know, with a look toward the whole industry as a whole and the consumers 17 18 and everything, so. 19 MR. ENGLAND: I'm hurt, Judge, that 20 you would think I might be biased. 21 JUDGE DIPPELL: We are all biased, 22 It is just what our bias is, so. so. 23 MR. ENGLAND: Fair enough. 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, and are 2.5 there any other questions? Do you all need

TC-2020-0409, Vol. I

1	to use this line to have any more discussions
2	or anything?
3	MR. LUMLEY: I don't believe so.
4	MR. ENGLAND: I don't think so.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: And anything else
6	then that you need to bring to my attention
7	or ask on the record?
8	MR. LUMLEY: No.
9	MR. ENGLAND: Not today.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, I
11	appreciate you all coming together and I will
12	look for your procedural schedule a week from
13	today. If I don't get an order in paper
14	please accept my apologize but I will try,
15	okay?
16	Looks like then that that concludes
17	all of our discussions and we can go ahead
18	and go off the record. Thank you.
19	(Off the record.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Cynthia P. Lakin, RPR, CCR No.
4	343, Certified Court Reporter with the firm
5	of Tiger Court Reporting, LLC, within the
6	State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I
7	was present through WebEx Video Conferencing
8	at the proceedings had in the above-entitled
9	cause at the time and place set forth in the
10	caption sheet thereof; that I then and there
11	took down in Stenotype the proceedings had;
12	and that the foregoing is a full, true, and
13	correct transcript of such stenotype notes so
14	made at such time and place.
15	
16	1 1:00 016.
17	Cynthia P. Lakin, CCR No. 343
18	Cyncima P. Lakin, CCR No. 343
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	American 5:16 6:25	5:4,9,16 6:25 7:19	depending 9:8
1	anticipated 11:22	8:24 10:11	Dippell 3:2,9,18,22
17th 6:10	anticipating 7:5	Centrylink 3:12	4:3 5:17,18 6:13,20 7:14,20 8:18 9:7,25
	apologize 13:14	Centurylink 3:8,17	10:13,23 11:17 12:13,
2	appearance 3:12	City 3:15 4:2	21,24 13:5,10
20 4:1	appearing 3:16	clear 6:15	direct 9:16,18 12:9
2021 3:3	appears 6:16	clears 11:8	discovery 4:16 7:24 8:15,23 11:11 12:8
	arbitration 10:16,19,	client 4:24	discuss 4:14
4	20 11:15	close 10:1	discussing 9:22
456 3:15	assigned 3:10	comment 4:25 8:6 10:8	discussion 8:17
430 3.15	assuming 5:24	Commission 3:23	discussions 4:13
6	attention 4:17 13:6	4:1 6:21 11:5 12:1,15	13:1,17
	attuned 10:25	Commissioner's 6:9	dispute 4:7 10:21
65101 4:2	В	Complainant 3:6	12:3
65102 3:16		complaint 4:8,22	disputes 4:16
8	back 6:6 10:14 11:12	6:22 7:15 10:14,21 11:1,2	E
	based 6:11	concern 11:20	
800 4:1	begin 3:3,11 4:18	concludes 13:16	e-mail 8:3
9	behalf 3:16,24	conduct 8:22	Embarq 3:7,17
	bias 12:22	conference 3:5	engage 11:10
9 3:3	biased 12:20,21	consumer 11:2	England 3:13,15 4:20
	body 10:10	consumers 12:17	5:5,11,19 6:18 7:11 8:3,7 9:22 10:5,7,22
A	bore 8:1	contemplates 9:16	11:9 12:12,19,23 13:4,
accept 13:14	Box 3:15	contemplating 7:10	9 Frankrika 70
accommodate 5:15	bring 4:17 13:6	coordinates 5:22	England's 7:9
accounting 7:7	Brydon 3:14		entries 3:11
active 4:5	business 3:7,17	correct 5:11 counsel 4:4,23 5:3	envision 12:14
add 8:21			ex-parte 10:12
additional 8:8	C	Curtis 3:20	expect 5:2
adjunctive 10:10	calendar 6:10,12,16		expecting 4:4
afternoon 5:13	called 11:22	data 0.00	expertise 12:15
agree 5:2,6	Carl 3:19 5:11,14 6:4	date 9:20	F
agreement 5:1 10:18,	8:8	day 6:6,19	
20	Carrie 12:7	decision 11:6	fact 11:21
ahead 3:2 4:7 13:17	case 3:5,10 4:6,21,22	demand 10:15	Fair 12:23

familiar 9:12		knowledge 9:4 12:1	
favorable 8:3	I		0
February 3:3	imagine 7:12 11:11	L	O'KEEFE 3:20
file 5:4 9:2,21 10:3	impacts 10:12	law 3:10,14,20	operative 6:8
11:3 12:5	impasse 4:12 8:6	length 7:5	opinion 9:10 11:23
filed 4:8 10:15 12:9	included 10:15	litigant 10:11,24	opposed 7:8
fine 9:24	Incorporated 3:7	LLC 3:6	order 13:13
firm 3:14,20	industry 12:17	Lumley 3:19 4:19 6:8	originally 10:14
flesh 9:17 folks 5:12 6:1	information 8:9,13 12:10	7:4,17 8:1,16 9:15 10:6 13:3,8	outline 4:20
foresee 12:4	instance 11:2	M	P
forwarded 5:12	intend 9:6 12:5		B.O. 2:45
Friday 6:21,23	intent 9:2	Madison 4:1	P.O. 3:15
front 12:9	interconnection	main 7:16	paper 13:13 parties 4:10 9:17
	10:18,20	make 6:1	11:12 12:5
	Internet 6:16	making 11:5	party 11:25
Garrett 3:20	investigation 11:3	matter 3:21	pass 7:2
Generally 5:13	investigative 12:11	meet 4:14	past 6:24 8:5
give 9:20	involved 5:16	meeting 3:4	Payne 3:24 8:20,22
good 5:13,21 8:19	issue 7:16 9:5 12:2 issues 7:8,24 8:15	mentioned 11:14	9:23 11:18
guess 6:8	9:13	Missouri 3:7,16,25 4:2 5:16 6:25	personal 5:20 6:12
guidance 9:10		month 9:19	perspective 8:17
guys 4:12 10:1	J	moving 5:7	phonetic 12:7
Н	Jefferson 3:15 4:2	muddies 11:7	picked 6:11 point 10:23
	John 12:7		positions 9:18
hearing 5:21,22 6:5, 21,22 7:5 9:6 11:22	join 4:5	N	potential 4:20
hearings 5:25	judge 3:2,10,18,22	Nancy 3:9	potentially 7:6
Heinz 3:20	4:3 5:17,18 6:13,20 7:2,14,20 8:18 9:7,25	narrow 8:15	pre-filed 9:17
helps 11:9	10:7,13,23 11:17	needed 8:21	prehearing 3:4
holding 5:25	12:13,19,21,24 13:5, 10	negotiating 4:10	pretty 5:13
honestly 7:11		neutral 11:4,25	prior 7:19
hope 8:9	К	nitty-gritty 8:4	procedural 3:4 4:15
hopeful 6:5	kind 4:6,21 5:14,15	normal 11:24	13:12
hurt 12:19	7:22 9:1 11:20 12:11	number 3:5	produce 12:11

proposed 9:1 10:4	rough 4:20	sufficient 12:6	
provide 8:8 11:23,25		suggested 6:4	W
12:15	s	Suite 4:1	wait 12:9
provisions 10:17	satisfied 11:13	surrebuttal 9:16	wanted 4:14,16
Public 3:22,25 4:4	satisfy 8:12,14	Swearengen 3:14	Webex 5:25
pushed 5:14	schedule 4:15,21 5:6,		week 6:4,10,15,23
put 8:16	10,15 9:1,8,15,21 10:4	т	10:3 13:12
Q	scheduled 6:22	taking 7:1	weigh-in 10:9
	Service 3:22,25	talk 12:6	Whitney 3:24
question 6:9 7:21 10:7,9	share 5:3 9:23	talked 7:10	witnesses 6:3
questions 12:25	shared 4:24	target 5:7	work 7:3
quick 6:14 10:7	sharing 5:6	TC-2020-0409 3:5	worked 4:21 10:2
quick 0.14 10.7	short 7:7	Telecom 3:6,21	working 8:7
R	side 9:11	terms 7:4,7	works 10:5
raises 10:9	simple 7:18	testimony 5:4 9:17 12:5	Υ
random 6:11	situation 11:24	thought 5:5	VO2r 4:0.22
reach 5:1	small 6:22	time 5:20 7:1	year 4:9,23 yesterday 5:12 8:3
reached 4:12	Socket 3:6,18,21	timeframe 5:23	yesterday 5.12 6.3
read 8:2 10:18	sort 11:15	timing 7:22	
rebuttal 9:16	sounded 8:5	today 10:3 13:9,13	
recommendation	sounds 8:19 10:1	tomorrow 8:10	
9:9	speak 6:18	treated 10:10	
record 13:7,18,19	specialized 12:1	Trip 3:13 11:19	
regard 7:18	specific 9:5	tuning 9:24	
regular 11:1	Staff 3:25 5:3 8:21	turning 9.24	
Regulatory 3:10	9:3,9 10:8,10,24 11:2, 10.12	U	
remind 11:14	Staff's 12:14		
report 4:11 11:4	stance 11:24	unbiased 12:16	
12:11	status 4:11	understanding 4:11 8:24	
representing 3:21	steps 4:21		
requested 8:9	streamlined 7:19	V	
requirements 10:12	Streamined 7:19	versus 3:6	
Respondent 3:8		versus 3.6	
role 11:16 12:14	submit 9:24	virtually 0.1	
	substance 7:8		
	l	l	l