Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Retail Rate Revenue

Witness: Kim Cox

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2022-0337

Date Testimony Prepared: January 10, 2023

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION TARIFF/RATE DESIGN DEPARTMENT

**DIRECT TESTIMONY Revenue Requirement** 

**OF** 

**KIM COX** 

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

**CASE NO. ER-2022-0337** 

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2023

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	KIM COX
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
6	CASE NO. ER-2022-0337
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
8	RATE REVENUES AND BILLING DETERMINANTS2
9	Update Period Adjustment
10	Rate Switchers Adjustment5
11	Weather Normalization and 365 Days Adjustment
12	MEEIA Adjustment
13	CONCLUSION11

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
2		OF
3		KIM COX
4 5		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
6		CASE NO. ER-2022-0337
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Kim Cox, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as
11	a Senior Re	esearch/Data Analyst for the Tariff/Rate Design Department, in the Industry
12	Analysis Div	vision.
13	Q.	Please describe your educational and work background.
14	A.	Please see Schedule KC-d1.
15	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
16	A.	Yes. Please refer to Schedule KC-d1.
17	EXECUTIV	VE SUMMARY
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
19	A.	The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide the billed rate revenue
20	adjustments	for Ameren Missouri, which are applied to the test year actual revenues
21	experienced	by Ameren Missouri in the respective Staff accounting schedules. These
22	adjustments	are also applied to the test year billing determinants of Ameren Missouri that
	II .	

Q.

underlie the Staff's fuel and production cost modeling, and will be the basis of Staff's 1 2 recommended rate designs. 3 Q. Through this testimony, do you provide any recommendations that should be specifically reflected in the Commission's Report and Order in this case? 4 5 A. Yes, I recommend that the Commission Order reflect Staff's adjusted rate 6 revenue as provided in my testimony and as updated in my true-up direct testimony along with 7 the billing determinants which were used to calculate the adjusted rate revenue. 8 RATE REVENUES AND BILLING DETERMINANTS 9 Q. What are rate revenues? 10 Rate revenues are defined as the revenue a utility collects from its customers A. 11 based on its Commission approved base rates. 12 Q. What are base rates? 13 A. Base rates are made up of a fixed monthly customer charge and variable rates 14 that are dependent on usage (demand, energy, etc.) and the season (summer vs. winter). 15 Rate revenues are the largest component of operating revenues. 16 Q. What are billing determinants? 17 A. Billing determinants are what a revenue requirement is divided into to produce 18 rates. Billing determinants are the combination of components to which rates are applied to 19 calculate the customer's bill. Examples of billing determinant components are: customer 20 charge, usage, peaks, and demand.

How does Staff use the billing determinants?

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A. Staff uses billing determinants in two ways. First, billing determinants are used to establish normalized revenues. Second, billing determinants are used to calculate the new base rates.

As an example, every month an Ameren Missouri residential ("RES") anytime customer<sup>1</sup> is billed a fixed monthly customer charge and an energy charge based on the season<sup>2</sup> and the block<sup>3</sup> in which the usage occurred. For Staff to calculate the RES monthly rate revenue, the billing determinant components, the number of RES customer charges and the usage per month, are multiplied by the applicable tariff rate. Inversely, billing determinants are what a revenue requirement is divided by to produce rates.

- Q. What are operating revenues?
- A. Operating revenues are composed of three components: (1) Rate Revenue, (2) Other Operating Revenue, and (3) Off System Sales. This testimony will address rate revenues for Ameren Missouri.
  - Q. What is the purpose of calculating operating revenues?
- A. It is a test of the adequacy of the currently effective retail electricity rates<sup>4</sup> and the cost of service.

One of the major tasks in a rate case is to determine the magnitude of any deficiency (or excess) between cost of service and operating revenues. Once determined, the deficiency

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> RES anytime customers do not receive service either through an advanced meter or under an optional residential rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ameren Missouri summer season consist of the monthly billing periods of June through September. The winter season consist of the monthly billing periods of October through May.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ameren Missouri residential anytime customer's summer usage is billed at the same rate regardless of use while the winter usage is billed a different rate for the first 750 kWh and over 750 kWh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The fixed monthly customer charge and variable rates that are dependent on usage and the season.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 (or excess) can only be corrected (or otherwise addressed) by adjusting retail rates (i.e., rate revenue) prospectively.
  - Q. How did Staff determine the retail rate revenue for Ameren Missouri rate classes?
  - A. Staff adjusted Ameren Missouri jurisdictional billing units and rate revenues based upon information that is "known and measurable" as of the end of the update period. In these two particular cases, the test year is the 12 months ended March 31, 2022, updated for known and measurable changes through December 31, 2022. The two major categories of revenue adjustments are known as "normalization" and "annualization."
    - Q. What is normalization?
  - A. Normalization are adjustments to the company's billing determinants that account for unusual and unlikely events that would not be repeated in the years when the new rates from this case are in effect, e.g., events such as the update period weather.
    - Q. What are annualizations?
  - A. In this instance, annualizations are adjustments to the company's billing determinants to reflect known conditions at the end of the update period. Adjustments for customer growth are an example of an annualization.
    - Q. What rate classes did Staff normalize and annualize?
  - A Staff normalized and annualized billing determinants for the RES, small general service ("SGS"), large general service ("LGS"), small primary service ("SPS"), Metropolitan Sewer District ("MSD"), and the Lighting service classes<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Staff witness, Nancy L. Harris discusses the large primary service ("LPS") rate class in her direct testimony.

What rate revenue adjustments did Staff make to these classes? 1 Q. 2 Staff made the following adjustments, however not all of these adjustments A. 3 affect both sales and rate revenue dollars, and not all rate classes are subject to all adjustments. 4 a. Update period adjustment; 5 b. Rate switcher adjustment; 6 c. Weather normalization and 365 days adjustment; 7 d. Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA") adjustment; 8 Customer growth and inter-class switching<sup>6</sup> adjustment; 9 Community solar adjustment; and 10 Rate change annualization adjustment. 11 **Update Period Adjustment** 12 Q. What is the update period adjustment? The update period adjustment is the difference of billed usage and revenue 13 A. 14 through March 31, 2022, compared to the billed usage and revenue through June 30, 2022. 15 Q. How did Staff calculate its update period adjustment? Staff first calculated the test year revenue<sup>7</sup> based on Ameren Missouri's billing 16 A. 17 determinants provided by the Company. Staff requested and the Company provided the billing 18 determinants for April 1, 2021 through March 31, 2022. Staff then calculated the revenue for 19 the 12 months ending June 30, 2022. 20 Q. What classes did Staff adjust for the update period? 21 Staff adjusted Residential, SGS, LGS, SPS, MDS, and the lighting rate classes<sup>8</sup>. A. 22 **Rate Switchers Adjustment** 23 What rate switcher adjustment did Staff make? Q. <sup>6</sup> The residential anytime and evening and morning rate codes were adjusted for interclass switching. <sup>7</sup> Twelve months ending March 31, 2022. <sup>8</sup> Staff witness, Nancy L. Harris discusses LPS in her direct testimony.

During the update period the following customers switched rate classes. A. 1 LGS customers: 2 3 One customer switched to SPS 4 One customer switched from SPS SPS customers: 5 6 One customer switched from LPS 7 Two customers switched from LGS 8 One customer switched to LGS 9 One customer switched to SPS 10 Staff removed and added the customer billing units and revenue from the LGS and SPS 11 rate classes<sup>9</sup>. 12 Weather Normalization and 365 Days Adjustment Q. 13 How did Staff calculate the weather normalization and 365 days adjustment? 14 A. Staff witness Hari K. Poudel, PhD provided the monthly weather normalization 15 factor for each rate class and the 365 days adjustment for each rate class. Mr. Poudel discusses 16 the data he provided in his direct testimony of this case. 17 Staff applied the combined weather normalization and 365 days factor to each month 18 for each rate class. For example, if the normalized and annualized kWh factor is .97 for the 19 month of September in the RES rate class, then the total actual usage for that month and for that 20 rate class is decreased by .03. Staff witness Michael L. Stahlman provided the normalized first 21 block percentage that was applied to distribute normalized and annualized monthly kWh to the <sup>9</sup> Staff witness Nancy L. Harris provides testimony on the LPS rate switchers.

1 rate blocks<sup>10</sup>. The total normalized and annualized rate block usage was multiplied by the appropriate rates.

## **MEEIA Adjustment**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. What MEEIA adjustment did Staff make?
- A. Staff witness Poudel provided total kWh MEEIA adjustment<sup>11</sup> for the Res, SGS, LGS and SPS<sup>12</sup>. Staff developed and applied a monthly MEEIA factor equally to all rate blocks for each tariff rate class<sup>13</sup>. The total normalized and annualized usage was multiplied by the appropriate rates. The MEEIA revenue adjustment accounts for the test period revenue difference of applying the change in normalized and annualized usage.

#### **Growth and Inter-class Switching Adjustment**

- Q. What is inter-class switching?
- A. Inter-class switching is when a customer switches from one rate schedule to another within the same class.
  - Q. Why did Staff make an adjustment for the residential inter-class switching?
- A. Ameren Missouri updated the default residential rate policy following the conclusion of Case No. ER-2021-0240. After a customer receives an advanced meter and has had service for six months, the customer is automatically switched from the Anytime rate to the Evening Morning Savers<sup>14</sup> rate unless they choose a different rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Mr. Stahlman discusses the normalized usage by rate block in his direct testimony.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Mr. Poudel discusses MEEIA and the calculation of the MEEIA kWh adjustment in his direct testimony.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  Mr. Poudel provided LPS total kWh MEEIA adjustment to Staff witness Nancy L. Harris. She discusses it in her direct testimony.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The factor developed is the weather normalized and 365 days adjusted usage minus the MEEIA kWh divided by the weather normalized and 365 day adjusted usage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> This rate schedule is available to residential customers being served through an advanced meter. The rate consist of a basic service charge and an energy charge that varies by the time of day that energy is used.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. How did Staff account for customers switching from the Anytime rate to the Evening Morning Savers rate?
- A. Staff calculated the difference of customer counts for June 2022 from each month of the update period<sup>15</sup>. The chart below provides the customer counts switching from each rate and the combined growth for each month.

	202107	202108	202109	202110	202111	202112	202201	202202	202203	202204	202205	202206
Anytime Users												
Update	1,039,577	1,002,303	962,785	928,937	903,591	888,481	869,732	847,393	826,819	809,981	784,264	754,732
	-284,845	-247,571	-208,053	-174,205	-148,859	-133,749	-115,000	-92,661	-72,087	-55,249	-29,532	0
<b>Evening Morning</b>												
Savers Update	37,721	74,906	114,969	148,399	173,875	190,502	209,797	232,839	253,211	269,104	294,921	324,192
	286,471	249,286	209,223	175,793	150,317	133,690	114,395	91,353	70,981	55,088	29,271	0
								·	•			
Growth	1,626	1,715	1,170	1,588	1,458	-59	-605	-1,308	-1,106	-161	-261	0

Q. What is customer growth and why did Staff make a growth adjustment?

- A. Staff made a growth adjustment to reflect the impact in the change of customer levels (for each rate) for the update period kWh sales, kW demand, and revenues that would have occurred if the number of customers taking service had existed throughout the update period.
  - Q. What customer classes did Staff adjust for customer growth?
- A. Staff calculated customer growth for the following customer classes: RES, SGS, LGS, and SPS.
  - Q. Did Staff apply customer growth the same for all classes?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 12 months ending June 2022.

Q.

No. Staff applied customer growth differently for the Anytime rate and Evening 1 A. 2 Morning Savers rate for the RES class because of the inter-class switching that occurred during 3 the 12 months. 4 Q. How did Staff apply the growth adjustment for the Anytime rate and Evening 5 Morning rate? 6 A. Staff first combined the customer counts and usage for the Anytime rate and the 7 Evening Morning Savers rate for each of the 12 months ending June 2022. Staff then calculated 8 the use per customer ("UPC") for the combined rates by dividing the monthly customer count 9 by the monthly kWh usage. Finally, Staff calculated the kWh growth of each month and rate 10 by multiplying the combined monthly UPC by the number of rate switchers each month. Q. 11 How did Staff apply the customer growth adjustment to the remaining RES 12 customer rates and the SGS, LGS and SPS rate classes? 13 A. For each rate, Staff applied the June 2022 customer counts to all 12 months. Staff developed a growth factor<sup>16</sup> and applied it to kWh sales and kW demand. The total 14 15 normalized and annualized usage for each rate and class was multiplied by the appropriate rates. 16 Q. Will Staff update its growth adjustment in its true-up direct filing? 17 A. Staff will review customer growth through December 31, 2022, true-up cut-off 18 and make adjustments as necessary to reflect the change in customer levels. 19 **Community Solar Adjustment** 

Please explain the community solar programs Ameren Missouri provides.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The growth factor is customer count for June 2022 divided by each of the 12 months customer counts.

- A. Ameren Missouri currently has a community solar pilot program and a permanent community solar program ("CSP"). The pilot program is the only one that impacts billing determinants and revenues as part of this rate proceeding. The pilot program is offered to RES and SGS customers. If available, a customer can subscribe to a solar block that is equivalent to 100 kWh. The customers' billed kWh is reduced by the applicable solar blocks subscribed to and, in return, the customer is billed the solar block charge<sup>17</sup>.
- Q. Did Staff make an adjustment for kWh and revenues associated with the solar block charge to test year and update period?
- A. Staff did not adjust the kWh when calculating test year revenues or update revenues, as the kWh was already reduced in the billing determinants that Ameren Missouri provided. However, Staff did make an adjustment to revenues for the test year and update period, as Ameren Missouri did collect the solar block charge.
  - Q. Did Staff make any other adjustments for community solar?
- A. Yes. Staff made a kWh and solar block annualization adjustment. The solar availability bank increased by 9,884 as another solar facility was in service as of March 22, 2022. In order to account for the additional facility coming on, Staff applied the difference of the actual and the maximum subscribed blocks and kWh to the 12 months ending June 2022 for the RES and SGS rate classes. Staff applied the kWh reduction to the developed percent in each applicable season and block. The total normalized and annualized usage for each rate and class was multiplied by the appropriate rates 18.
  - Q. Will Staff update its community solar adjustment in its true-up direct filing?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The solar block charge consist of the solar generation charge and the facilities charge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Staff witness, Sarah L.K. Lange will discuss community solar rate design in her direct rate design testimony.

A. Staff will review the subscribed community solar bank through December 31, 2022, true-up cut-off, and make adjustments as necessary to reflect the change in subscribed solar blocks and kWh usage.

#### **Rate Change Annualization Adjustment**

- Q. What rate change annualization adjustment did Staff make?
- A. Staff made a rate change annualization adjustment to all rate classes. Ameren Missouri's currently effective rates went into effect February 28, 2022. Staff applied the current rates to all billing determinants for the 12 months ending June 2022. The adjustment is the difference between the currently effective rates and the rates prior to February 28, 2022.
- Q. Once Staff completed its analysis of the rate revenue adjustments as discussed above, what did Staff do with its results?
- A. Staff provided the normalized and annualized usage for Ameren to Staff witness Hari K. Poudel, PhD for inclusion in his calculation of Net System Input ("NSI") and to Staff witness Alan J. Bax for inclusion of their determination of jurisdictional allocations. These witnesses provide more detail in their direct testimony. Staff also provided each revenue adjustment discussed above to Staff witness Keith Majors to include in the overall revenue requirement.

#### **CONCLUSION**

- Q. What are your recommended rate revenue adjustments?
- A. The Commission should base its awarded revenue requirement on Staff's rate revenue adjustments as provided below and as updated in true up direct<sup>19</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Staff will update growth to reflect the most current customer charge counts and may update community solar.

Rate Class		<u>Test Year</u> <u>Revenue</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>Update</u> Period Adj.	ate Switch & Large Customer nnualization	_	Veather & Days Adj.	<u>M</u>	EEIA Adj.	_	Frowth Adj.	<u>Co</u>	mmunity Solar	_	ate change nualization		v Income Charge	EDI Adj.	<u>!</u>	<u>Total</u> Normalized Revenue
Res 1M	\$1	,288,410,742	\$	36,774,669		\$	(24,005,088)	\$	(5,387,648)	\$ 1,	,670,945	\$	309,414	\$	84,253,690	\$ (	1,814,219)		\$1	,380,212,504
SGS 2M	\$	281,277,422	\$	9,499,924		\$	(3,509,106)	\$	(1,732,680)	\$ 1,	,797,408	\$	23,361	\$	17,795,243	\$	(310, 195)		\$	304,841,376
LGS 3M	\$	520,252,648	\$	14,986,823	\$ 1,140	\$	(3,275,441)	\$	(1,851,093)	\$(1,	,487,699)			\$	33,147,944	\$	(263,837)	(482,414)	\$	561,028,072
SPS 4M	\$	222,687,480	\$	6,480,369	\$ (2,612,133)	\$	(1,559,854)	\$	(428,867)	\$ 2,	,212,400			\$	13,978,220	\$	(16,562)	(179,990)	\$	240,561,062
LPS 11M	\$	190,174,326	\$	4,244,098	\$ 1,677,473	\$	(785,375)	\$	(49,051)					\$	11,680,178			(61,598)	\$	206,880,052
Lighting 5m	\$	35,346,814	\$	874,654										\$	2,099,963				\$	38,321,431
Lighting 6m	\$	881,596	\$	17,243										\$	66,885				\$	965,723
Lighting 6m																				
metered	\$	1,810,487	\$	12,866										\$	145,553				\$	1,968,907
MSD	\$	75,516	\$	1,649										\$	4,398				\$	81,564
Total	\$2	,540,917,031	\$	72,892,296	\$ (933,520)	\$	(33,134,865)	\$(	9,449,340)	\$ 4,	,193,054	\$	332,775	\$:	163,172,075	\$(2	2,404,813)	\$(724,002)	\$2	2,734,860,691

3

4

2

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust Its Revenues for Electric Service  )  Case No. ER-2022-0337
AFFIDAVIT OF KIM COX
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss. COUNTY OF COLE )
COMES NOW KIM COX and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing <i>Direct Testimony of Kim Cox</i> ; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.
Further the Affiant sayeth not.  KIM COX
JURAT
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for
the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this day
of January 2023.
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

## KIM COX

### **Education and Employment Background and Credentials**

I attended Central Missouri State University at Warrensburg, Missouri. In May 1996, I received a Bachelor of Science degree.

I am currently employed as a Senior Research/Data Analyst with the Tariff/Rate Design Department within the Industry Analysis Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission). I have been employed by the Commission since July, 2009. From July 2009 to June 2013, I worked in the Tariffs/Rate Design Section of the Energy Unit as a Rate and Tariff Examiner III, where my duties consisted of analyzing applications, reviewing tariffs and making recommendations based upon those evaluations. On June 16, 2013, I assumed the position of a Utility Policy Analyst II (which is now reclassified as a Senior Research/Data Analyst) within the same Section, where my duties consist of coordinating highly complex activities, analyzing applications, reviewing tariffs, and making recommendations based upon my evaluations. I currently serve on the NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Rate Design. Prior to joining the Commission, I held the position of a Quality Assurance Analyst in the regulatory field for ten years.

# KIM COX

## **Summary of Case Involvement**

	Company	Issue	Type of Filing
GR-2009-0434	The Empire District Gas Company	Weather Normalized Sales and Coincident-Peak Day Demand	Staff Report
GR-2010-0171	Laclede Gas Company	Weather Normalized Sales, Blocks and Coincident-Peak Day Demand	Staff Report
GR-2010-0171	Laclede Gas Company	Weather Normalized Sales	Rebuttal
GR-2010-0363	Union Electric d/b/a AmerenUE	Weather Normalized Sales, Blocks and Coincident-Peak Day Demand	Staff Report
GR-2010-0347	Southern Missouri Natural Gas	Weather Normalized Sales	Staff Report
GR-2010-0192	Atmos	Weather Normalized Sales and Coincident-Peak Day Demand	Staff Report
HR-2011-0241	Veolia	Weather Normalized Sales	Staff Report
ER-2012-0175	KCP&L and GMO	L&P Normalization and Annualization	Staff Report
GR-2014-0007 Coordinated	Missouri Gas Energy	Direct COS sponsor of Weather, Weather Normalization and Large Volume Customer Revenue Adjustment	Direct Testimony
GR-2014-0007 Coordinated	Missouri Gas Energy	Direct CCOS sponsor of Rate Design, Miscellaneous Tariff Issues, School Transportation Capacity, Gas Supply Incentive Plan and Staff's CCOS	Direct Testimony
GR-2014-0086	Summit Natural Gas	Lake Ozark Transportation	Staff Report
GR-2014-0152	Liberty Utilities	Special Contract, Large and Industrial Customers	Staff Report, Rebuttal and Surrebuttal
ER-2016-0023	Empire	Large Power Feed Mill Annualization	Staff Report
GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216	Spire Missouri Inc.	Executive Summary, Background, Test Year/True- Up Period and Staff's Revenue Requirement Recommendation	Staff Report

	Company	Issue	Type of Filing
	Kansas City Power & Light Company and	Rate Revenues Introduction, The Development of Rate Revenue, Regulatory Adjustments to Test Year Sales and Rate Revenue, Customer Growth, and	
ER-2018-0145 and ER- 2018-0146	KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	Adjustment for Non- Missouri classes	Staff Report
GR-2019-0077	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Class Cost of Service, Rate Design and Bill Format Recommendation	Staff Report
ED 2040 0225	Union Electric Company, d/b/a	Cost of Service, Update Period Adjustments, Large Customer Annualization, MEEIA Revenue Adjustment, Weather Normalization of Revenue and 365 Day	Claff Daniel
ER-2019-0335	Ameren Missouri	Adjustment  Cost of Service, Large Customer Annualization, Weather Normalization of Revenue and 365 Day Adjustment, Rate Switching Adjustment and Growth	Staff Report and
GR-2021-0108  ER-2021-0240	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Adjustment  Cost of Service, Update Period Adjustments, Community Solar, Rate Switching, MEEIA Revenue Adjustment, Weather Normalization of Revenue and 365 Day Adjustment, and Growth Adjustment	Staff Report and Rebuttal Testimony
ER-2021-0312	The Empire District Electric Company, d/b/a Liberty	Cost of Service, Update Period Adjustments, Weather Normalization of Revenue and 365 Day Adjustment, Rate Switching, Customer Growth, Adjustments for Non- Missouri classes	Staff Report and Rebuttal Testimony

## cont'd Kim Cox

	Company	Issue	Type of Filing
		Test year revenues, Update	
	Evergy Metro, Inc.	Period Adjustment, Rate	
	d/b/a Evergy Missouri	Switchers, Weather	
	Metro & Evergy	Normalization, 365 days	Direct Testimony,
	Missouri West, Inc.	adjustment, MEEIA Revenue	Rebuttal and
	d/b/a Evergy Missouri	Adjustment, and Customer	Surrebuttal/True-
ER-2022-0129 & 0130	West	Growth	up