1	STATE OF MISSOURI												
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION												
3													
4													
5													
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS												
7	Prehearing Conference												
8	Jefferson City, Missouri												
9	Volume 1												
10													
11													
12	In the Matter of Missouri-American ) Water Company's Tariff to Revise ) Case No. WR-2003-0500												
13	Water and Sewer Rate Schedules. )												
14													
15													
16	BILL HOPKINS, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.												
17	SENIOR RECOEFFICIRE EARL CODE.												
18													
19													
20													
21	REPORTED BY:												
22													
23	KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS												
24													
25													

## 1 APPEARANCES: 2 DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 3 312 East Capitol P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 (573)635-71665 FOR: Missouri-American Water Company. MARK W. COMLEY, Attorney at Law Newman, Comley & Ruth 601 Monroe, Suite 301 P.O. Box 537 8 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 9 (573) 634-2266 10 FOR: City of Jefferson, Missouri. 11 CHARLES BRENT STEWART, Attorney at Law Stewart & Keevil 12 1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302 Columbia, Missouri 65201 13 (573)499-063514 FOR: Empire District Electric Company. 15 LELAND B. CURTIS, Attorney at Law Curtis, Oetting, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200 16 Clayton, Missouri 63105-1913 17 (314)725-878818 FOR: City of Warrensburg. 19 JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law Fischer & Dority 20 101 Madison, Suite 400 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 21 (573)636-675822 FOR: Public Water Supply District No. 1 & 2 of Andrew County. 23 Public Water Supply District No. 1 of DeKalb County. 24 25

1		F. DOWNEY, Attorney at Law
2	;	Bryan Cave, LLP 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101
3		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573)556-6622
4		FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
5		CONRAD, Attorney at Law Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson
6		3100 Broadway 1209 Penntower Office Center
7	]	Kansas City, MO 64111 (816)753-1122
8		FOR: Ag Processing.
9		
10		H FINNEGAN, Attorney at Law Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson 3100 Broadway
11		1209 Penntower Office Center Kansas City, MO 64111
12		(816) 753-1122
13		FOR: City of Riverside.
14		LANGENECKERT, Attorney at Law 720 Olive Street, Suite 2400
15	:	St. Louis, MO 63101 (314)345-6441
16		FOR: Missouri Energy Group.
17		
18	]	D, Attorney at Law Diekemper, Hammond 7730 Carondelet, Suite 200
19	:	St. Louis, MO 63105 (314)727-1015
20		
21		FOR: Utility Workers Union Local 335.
22		NEILL, Assistant Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800
23		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-780 (573)751-4857
24		FOR: Office of the Public Counsel and the Public.
25		and the rubire.
		ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS

1	KEITH R. KRUEGER, De CLIFF E. SNODGRASS,	eputy General Counsel
2	VICTORIA L. KIZITO,	Associate General Counsel
3	P.O. Box 360 Jefferson Cit	ty, Missouri 65102
4	(573) 751-3234	
	FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public
5		Service Commission.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Ρ	R	$\cap$	C	E	F.	D	Т	N	G	S

- JUDGE HOPKINS: This is Case No. WR-2003-0500,
- 3 and the operation of law date is currently April 16th, 2004.
- 4 My name is Bill Hopkins, and I'm the Law Judge
- 5 here. And if we could have you-all make your oral entries
- 6 of appearance, and we need the written ones, too. If we
- 7 could start with Mr. Snodgrass and go over this way, please.
- 8 MR. SNODGRASS: Good morning, Judge. My name
- 9 is Cliff Snodgrass. I represent the interests of the Staff
- 10 of the Missouri Public Service Commission. Keith Krueger
- 11 and Victoria Kizito from the General Counsel's Office will
- 12 also be appearing in this case, your Honor, and perhaps
- 13 several other attorneys from the General Counsel's Office
- 14 may appear in this case, too.
- MR. COOPER: Dean L. Cooper from the law firm
- 16 of Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C., P.O. Box 456,
- 17 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of
- 18 Missouri-American Water Company.
- 19 MS. O'NEILL: Good morning, Judge. Ruth
- 20 O'Neill from the Office of the Public Counsel representing
- 21 the Office of the Public Counsel and the public. Our
- 22 mailing address is P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 23 65102.
- MR. CURTIS: Good morning, Judge. Leland B.
- 25 Curtis of the law firm of Curtis, Oetting, Heinz, Garrett &

- 1 O'Keefe, 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200, St. Louis, Missouri
- 2 63105, enter appearance on behalf of the City of
- 3 Warrensburg.
- 4 MR. CONRAD: Good morning. Stuart W. Conrad,
- 5 law firm of Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, 1209 Penntower,
- 6 3100 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri 64111, on behalf of
- 7 intervenor Ag Processing.
- 8 I'd also like to enter the appearance
- 9 remotely, if I may, of Mr. Jeremiah D. Finnegan who is in
- 10 other parts of the world today, but had -- of the same law
- 11 firm, same address, not surprisingly, but he has filed a
- 12 petition to intervene on behalf of the City of Riverside.
- 13 JUDGE HOPKINS: Thank you. Anybody else?
- 14 MR. DEUTSCH: Jim Deutsch with the law firm of
- 15 Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch, 308 East High Street, Suite 301,
- 16 Jefferson City, 65101, representing the intervenor City of
- 17 Joplin.
- 18 MR. STEWART: Good morning. Charles Brent
- 19 Stewart of the law firm Stewart & Keevil, 1001 Cherry
- 20 Street, Suite 302, Columbia, Missouri 65201, representing
- 21 the Empire District Electric Company.
- MR. FISCHER: James M. Fischer, Fischer &
- 23 Dority, PC, 101 Madison Street, Suite 400, Jefferson City,
- 24 Missouri, appearing today on behalf of three public water
- 25 supply districts, Public Water Supply District No. 1 and 2

- ${\bf 1}$  of Andrew County, and Public Water Supply District No. 1 of
- 2 DeKalb County.
- 3 MS. BOND: Good morning. Jan Bond on behalf
- 4 of Utility Workers Local 335 out of St. Louis. I'm with the
- 5 firm of Diekemper, Hammond. My address is 7730 Carondelet,
- 6 Suite 200, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.
- 7 MR. DOWNEY: Good morning, Judge. Ed Downey,
- 8 Bryan Cave, LLP, 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101, Jeff City,
- 9 Missouri, representing the Missouri Industrial Energy
- 10 Consumers.
- 11 MS. LANGENECKERT: Good morning, Judge. My
- 12 name is Lisa C. Langeneckert, law office of Robert Johnson,
- 13 720 Olive, 24th Floor, St. Louis, Missouri 63101. I and
- 14 Robert Johnson will be representing the Missouri Energy
- 15 Group in this matter.
- MR. COMLEY: Good morning, Judge Hopkins.
- 17 Mark W. Comley, and today I'm appearing on behalf of the
- 18 City of Jefferson law department, representing the
- 19 intervenor City of Jefferson. And it is an interim post
- 20 and, as a consequence, I cannot recall the address of the
- 21 City Hall, and I have told the court reporter that she'll
- 22 have to look it up.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: It's right down there, I
- 24 think.
- MR. COMLEY: We can both find it. However,

- 1 the numbers are escaping me today.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: Is that all?
- 3 Now, I have some unruled motions which, of
- 4 course, are the applications to intervene. They will be
- 5 ruled on later. I also have some unruled motions that
- 6 Public Counsel and -- I didn't bring my whole file down here
- 7 because it weighs too much -- Staff and someone else asked
- 8 for a different procedural schedule, which if you-all want
- 9 to fix up a different procedural schedule -- yes, sir?
- MR. CONRAD: Well, when you're finished.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: Okay. You can get me a
- 12 different procedural schedule by June the 26th. Is there
- 13 anything else that I haven't talked about as far as unruled
- 14 motions?
- 15 MR. CONRAD: Well, I did want to mention, your
- 16 Honor, that my client, Ag Processing, had filed their
- 17 application to intervene on the 5th, which has been well
- 18 more than ten days, and I've received no indication of
- 19 anyone that has any objection. You might inquire, but if
- 20 it's -- I would say that one's ripe right now.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: Yes, sir, I'm sure that's
- 22 true. As you know, there's many motions to intervene. I
- 23 think the latest one was filed yesterday or maybe the day
- 24 before. So I was going to wait ten days and do them all in
- 25 one order. So that will be done within or by ten days.

- 1 And as I said, if you-all want a different
- 2 procedural schedule, you can present me with one. And I
- 3 would ask that if you do this, if you change one part of the
- 4 procedural schedule, present the whole brand-new one from
- 5 this point forward because it will be a lot less confusing
- 6 if we try to modify different parts of it.
- 7 I see some people shaking their head yes and
- 8 some people not doing anything. So I'm assuming that's
- 9 going to be all right.
- 10 On behalf of Missouri-American Water Company,
- 11 I'm assuming that the number of witnesses you will have will
- 12 be the number of witnesses you had filed direct testimony;
- 13 is that correct?
- MR. COOPER: In the eventual hearing, your
- 15 Honor?
- JUDGE HOPKINS: In the evidentiary hearing.
- 17 MR. COOPER: It will certainly be at least
- 18 that many.
- 19 JUDGE HOPKINS: At least that many. Okay.
- 20 I'm just trying to get a handle on this. I know we're going
- 21 to have a three-week hearing, but I'm still trying to get a
- 22 handle on this. Does anybody else know how many witnesses
- 23 they're going to call at this point? Mr. Snodgrass?
- MR. SNODGRASS: Your Honor, I really don't
- 25 know at this point in time.

- JUDGE HOPKINS: Public Counsel?
- MS. O'NEILL: I don't know for sure. I'm sure
- 3 that we will have at least four or five people prefiling
- 4 testimony. Whether or not we have more than that I think's
- 5 going to depend.
- 6 JUDGE HOPKINS: Who else expects to call
- 7 witnesses?
- 8 MR. CONRAD: We would probably, your Honor,
- 9 have, I would say, a couple, possibly three.
- 10 JUDGE HOPKINS: Okay. Is there anything that
- 11 you-all can stipulate to? I know it's very early in the
- 12 hearing. Mr. Conrad's laughing.
- 13 MS. O'NEILL: Your Honor, if I can address
- 14 that. At this point in time we're very early in the
- 15 proceedings, and we've begun our discovery process, of
- 16 course. And certainly the procedural schedule that I'm
- 17 hoping we can put together and propose to you would include
- 18 a more substantive prehearing conferencing time at which we
- 19 would certainly explore settlement options on various
- 20 issues.
- 21 But at this point in time I think everyone's
- 22 pretty new in the process, and I'm not sure we're ready to
- 23 say how many issues we're going to be able to settle. Of
- 24 course, you know, if we can come to agreement, we do that.
- 25 So we'll try to do that later on.

- 1 MR. CONRAD: And, your Honor, that's why I was
- 2 asking specifically about the time we filed a now more than
- 3 ten day old petition to intervene, because in theory I can't
- 4 start my discovery until that's ruled.
- 5 JUDGE HOPKINS: I understand.
- 6 MR. CONRAD: If you want to add another ten
- 7 days to it, that just puts us ten days behind. Now, if
- 8 Mr. Cooper has no objection or wants to make an objection
- 9 that he has known, I'll be happy to proceed if he wants to
- 10 honor discovery that we want to go forward with.
- 11 MR. COOPER: I'm not in a position to tell you
- 12 one way or the other today on the record, Stuart. But
- 13 certainly what I will say on the record is that we have not
- 14 made an objection to your intervention, and you know our
- 15 address. So I would suspect by the time any Data Request
- 16 would become -- responses to those Data Requests would
- 17 become due, it sounds like you would be an intervenor and we
- 18 would answer them accordingly.
- 19 MR. CONRAD: The remaining question, then,
- 20 counselor would be -- rather your Honor, whether if I sent
- 21 those Data Requests today or tomorrow, that we would start
- 22 the 20-day clock running today or tomorrow or would start
- 23 the 20-day clock running after the petition to intervene
- 24 that's timely filed and overdue now, which just simply tacks
- 25 another 10 or 12 days on the time, which if we're interested

- 1 in expediting the proceeding, I can't see why there would be
- 2 a problem with that.
- JUDGE HOPKINS: All right. I can't answer
- 4 your question, but those motions, applications to intervene
- 5 will be ruled on. And is there anything else anybody needs
- 6 to bring up?
- 7 MR. SNODGRASS: Judge, real briefly, Staff is
- 8 inquiring about whether there would be a separate case
- 9 number assigned to the sewer part of this case. Do you
- 10 know, Judge? I think there's a separate application filed
- 11 regarding the sewer matter.
- 12 JUDGE HOPKINS: If there was a separate
- 13 application, I think Mr. Cooper might be able to answer
- 14 that. The only one I got was the water rate one.
- MR. COOPER: I think the caption as
- 16 established by the Commission incorporated both water and
- 17 the sewer.
- 18 JUDGE HOPKINS: You're right. It incorporated
- 19 both of them. Here I'm looking at them.
- 20 MR. SNODGRASS: I think that answers our
- 21 question. Thank you.
- 22 JUDGE HOPKINS: Yes. It depends on how it's
- 23 filed. So both of them will be in one case. Does that
- 24 present a problem for Staff?
- MR. SNODGRASS: I don't think so, Judge.

1		JUDGE	HOPKIN	ıs:	Okay.	Anyth	ing	else	? I	11	be
2	available all	day to	oday.	If	you-all	need	me ]	back	down	her	ce,
3	I'll be happy	to he	lp you.	Т	hank you	1.					
4		WHERE	JPON, t	he	recorded	d port	ion	of t	he		
5	prehearing com	nferend	ce was	con	cluded.						
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
2.5											