

**STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a session of the Public Service
Commission held at its office in
Jefferson City on the 19th day of
September, 2006.

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,)	
)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	<u>Case No. WC-2006-0303</u>
)	
Hurricane Deck Holding Company, Chelsea)	
Rose Land Owners Association, Inc., Gregory D.)	
Williams, Debra J. Williams, and Charles H.)	
Williams,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REHEARING

Issue Date: September 19, 2006

Effective Date: September 20, 2006

On January 23, 2006, the Commission's Staff filed a complaint against Hurricane Deck Holding Company, Chelsea Rose Land Owners Association, Inc., Gregory D. Williams, Debra J. Williams, and Charles H. Williams. On August 31, the Commission issued an Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Staff's Motion for Summary Determination. The Commission's order found in favor of Staff against Hurricane Deck on four of the five counts of Staff's complaint. The Commission denied Staff's motion for summary determination against the other Respondents on all counts, and against

Hurricane Deck on the fifth count of Staff's complaint.¹ The Commission's order was given an effective date of September 20. On September 8, Hurricane Deck filed a timely motion for rehearing.

Section 386.500.1, RSMo (2000), provides that the Commission shall grant an application for rehearing if "in its judgment sufficient reason therefor be made to appear." In the judgment of the Commission, Hurricane Deck has failed to establish sufficient reason to grant its Motion for Rehearing. The Motion for Rehearing will be denied.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion for Rehearing filed by Hurricane Deck Holding Company is denied.
2. This order shall become effective on September 20, 2006.

BY THE COMMISSION



Colleen M. Dale
Secretary

(S E A L)

Davis, Chm., Murray, Gaw, Clayton and Appling, CC., concur

Woodruff, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge

¹ On September 14, the Commission, in response to Staff's motion, dismissed Staff's complaint as to the other respondents and as to the remaining count.