Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 3/19/2004

```
Page 1
1
                        STATE OF MISSOURI
                   PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2
3
4
5
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6
                     Prehearing Conference
8
                           March 19, 2004
                   Jefferson City, Missouri
9
                           Volume 1
10
11
   The Staff of the Missouri
12
    Public Service Commission,
13
             Complainant,
14
                                   ) Case No.: TC-2004-0325
        VS.
15
    New Century Telecom, Inc.,
16
             Respondent.
17
18
19
                  KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Presiding,
                        DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
20
21
    REPORTED BY:
22
              STEPHANIE L. KURTZ MORGAN, RPR, CCR
23
                  MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
                      714 West High Street
24
                         P. O. Box 1308
                Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
25
                         (573) 636-7551
```

Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 3/19/2004

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		Associate General Counsel
		Box 360
3	Jeffer	rson City, Missouri 65102
	(573)	751-6434
4		
	FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public Service
5		Commission.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
li .		

Page 3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Good morning. We are here in the matter of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service 3 4 Commission, Complainant, versus New Century Telecom, Inc., Respondent, Case No. TC-2004-0325. 5 My name is Kevin Thompson. I'm the Regulatory 6 7 Law Judge assigned to preside over this matter. 8 don't we take oral entries of appearance at this time. 9 Mr. Berlin? MR. BERLIN: Yes, Your Honor. 10 Robert S. Berlin, appearing on behalf of Staff of the Missouri 11 12 Public Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson 13 City, Missouri 65102. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 14 15 There was a filing on March 12th from New 16 Century Telecom entitled New Century Telecom, Inc. 17 responds to order setting prehearing conference and requiring filing of proposed procedural schedule. 18 19 The -- to summarize the pleading, it indicates 20 that New Century Telecom will not be appearing today. 21 They have not been excused. The prehearing conference has not been continued, obviously. 22 23 And, in fact, there's been no such motion 24 made. Neither was there any sort of motion made from 25 the Commission to participate by telephone or in some

Page 4

- 1 other manner.
- Consequently, let the record reflect that New
- 3 Century Telecom is not present, although we are here
- 4 convened at the time and place set in the notice.
- 5 Do you have anything for me, Mr. Berlin?
- 6 MR. BERLIN: Yes, Your Honor. I have to say
- 7 that I have been in conference with the New Century
- 8 Telephone counsel. I did not lead them towards the
- 9 notion that they could appear by telephone.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.
- 11 MR. BERLIN: However, I believe they may have
- 12 done so, if afforded that opportunity. I was fully
- 13 expecting that they would make an appearance; however,
- 14 as you know, and according to that motion that they
- 15 filed, they are in Virginia and they cited cost as a
- 16 prohibitive expense of making a physical appearance
- 17 here today.
- 18 I'll also point out that your order setting
- 19 this prehearing conference directed Staff to enter into
- 20 some settlement negotiations on this complaint. And as
- 21 you know, Staff is not authorized to enter into any
- 22 settlement negotiations, and that the -- New Century's
- 23 response to this order setting prehearing conference
- 24 that was filed March 12th, that you referred to, stated
- 25 that they are prepared to pursue settlement

Page 5 negotiations when that time is appropriate. 1 2 JUDGE THOMPSON: Should the Commissioners 3 decide to allow such to go forward? 4 MR. BERLIN: That's correct. 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okav. 6 MR. BERLIN: And so --7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Well, I apologize if I 8 muddied the waters with my order. 9 MR. BERLIN: Well --10 JUDGE THOMPSON: Perhaps I did. MR. BERLIN: Well, what I -- what -- what 11 12 Staff is considering right now is -- well, we'd -- we'd 1.3 like to go on record that New Century Telephone has submitted their 2002 annual report. 1.4 JUDGE THOMPSON: 15 Okay. 16 MR. BERLIN: We show that it was received on February 9th. And indeed, on the February 19th notice 17 of satisfaction that was filed by New Century Telephone 18

- 19 in their paragraph 6, they state that on or about
- 20 February 6th of 2004 N-- NCT would file its 2002 annual
- 21 report.
- 22 And we would like the record to reflect that
- 23 we have received it as of the 9th of February.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: I understand that Staff will
- 25 still be seeking authorization to pursue penalties;

Page 6 isn't that correct? 2 MR. BERLIN: Yes, Your Honor. And what --3 in --4 JUDGE THOMPSON: Will you --5 MR. BERLIN: In looking at --JUDGE THOMPSON: I'm sorry. 6 7 Will you still be pursuing, also, cancellation 8 of certificate? 9 MR. BERLIN: No, because of the fact that they did file -- they filed the notice of satisfaction on 10 11 February 19th. We -- while -- while that may be viewed 12 as an answer, they never answered the -- the allegations in the complaint. 13 They did file their 2002 annual report with 14 15 that particular filing. While that filing was made on 16 the 19th, as I had mentioned, of course, we reflect in our records a receipt dated the annual report of the 17 9th. 18 19 But with regard to the -- the pursuit of -- of 20 penalties, Staff's view is that by their own admission 21 in their own filing, particularly of their 22 February 19th notice of satisfaction, which they 23 indicate the filing and have act-- actually attached 24 their '02 annual report, that that establishes the 25 material fact that indeed the 2002 annual report was

Prehearing Conference, Vol. 1 3/19/2004 Page 7 filed late, so --1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you like to make a 2 3 motion for summary determination at this time? MR. BERLIN: Yes, Your Honor. Staff intends 4 to motion for summary disposition so that the 5 Commission may -- may make a ruling on the pleadings 7 and on the record. And I understand that's, according to the 8 rules, to be done in writing -- in kind of written 9 motion. 10 11 JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe that is what the 12 rule contemplates. MR. BERLIN: And so it is my intention to file 13 a motion for summary disposition. 1415 JUDGE THOMPSON: Very good. Anything else? 16 17 (No response.) JUDGE THOMPSON: There being nothing further, 18 19 we will adjourn the recorded portion of the prehearing 20 conference at this time. And since you guys are the 21 only ones who showed up, I guess that adjourns the

24 prehearing conference was concluded.

whole thing.

22

23

WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the

		 		Τ
A	by 1:21 3:25 4:9	expecting 4:13	I'll 4:18	never 6:12
a 1:19 3:15 4:15,16	5:18 6:20	expense 4:16	I'm 3:6 6:6	New 1:15 3:4,15,16
6:17 7:2,6,14		_ 	l	3:20 4:2,7,22 5:13
about 5:19	C	<u>F</u>	J	5:18
according 4:14 7:8	C 3:1	fact 3:23 6:9,25	Jefferson 1:8,24 2:3	no 1:14 3:5,23 6:9
act 6:23	cancellation 6:7	February 5:17,17,20	3:12	7:17
actually 6:23	Case 1:14 3:5	5:23 6:11,22	Judge 1:19 3:2,7,14	not 3:20,21,22 4:3,8
adjourn 7:19	CCR 1:22	file 5:20 6:10,14	4:10 5:2,5,7,10,15	4:21
adjourns 7:21	Century 1:15 3:4,16	7:13	5:24 6:4,6 7:2,11	nothing 7:18
admission 6:20	3:16,20 4:3,7 5:13	filed 4:15,24 5:18	7:15,18	notice 4:4 5:17 6:10
	5:18	6:10 7:1	7.13,10	6:22
afforded 4:12	Century's 4:22	filing 3:15,18 6:15	K	notion 4:9
allegations 6:13	certificate 6:8	6:15,21,23	Kevin 1:19 3:6	
allow 5:3	CHIEF 1:19	for 2:4 4:5 7:3,5,14	kind 7:9	now 5:12
also 4:18 6:7	cited 4:15	forward 5:3	1 ·	0
although 4:3			know 4:14,21	
an 4:13 6:12	City 1:8,24 2:3 3:13	from 3:15,24	KURTZ 1:22	O 1:24 2:2 3:1,12
and 3:17,23 4:4,14	Commission 1:1,12	fully 4:12		obviously 3:22
4:15,20,22 5:6,17	2:5 3:4,12,25 7:6	further 7:18	L	of 1:1,5,12 2:4 3:3,3
5:22 6:2,23 7:7,8	Commissioners 5:2		L 1:22	3:8,11,11,18,24
7:13,20	Complainant 1:13	<u> </u>	late 7:1	4:16 5:18,20,23,23
annual 5:14,20 6:14	3:4	G 3:1	Law 1:19 3:7	6:8,9,10,16,17,19
6:17,24,25	complaint 4:20 6:13	General 2:2	lead 4:8	6:19,21,22 7:9,19
answer 6:12	concluded 7:24	go 5:3,13	let 4:2	7:23
answered 6:12	conference 1:6 3:17	good 3:2 7:15	like 5:13,22 7:2	Okay 4:10 5:5,15
any 3:24 4:21	3:21 4:7,19,23	guess 7:21	LITIGATION 1:23	on 3:11,15 4:20 5:13
anything 4:5 7:16	7:20,24	guys 7:20	looking 6:5	5:16,17,19 6:10,15
apologize 5:7	Consequently 4:2			7:6,7
appear 4:9	considering 5:12	Н	M	ones 7:21
appearance 3:8 4:13	contemplates 7:12	had 6:16	made 3:24,24 6:15	only 7:21
4:16	continued 3:22	has 3:22 5:13	make 4:13 7:2,6	on-the-record 7:23
APPEARANCES	convened 4:4	have 3:21 4:5,6,7,11	making 4:16	opportunity 4:12
2:1	correct 5:4 6:1	5:23 6:23	manner 4:1	or 3:25 5:19
I I	cost 4:15	here 3:2 4:3,17	March 1:8 3:15 4:24	oral 3:8
appearing 3:11,20	could 4:9	High 1:23	material 6:25	!
appropriate 5:1	counsel 2:2 4:8	Honor 3:10 4:6 6:2	matter 3:3,7	order 3:17 4:18,23
are 3:2 4:3,15,25	course 6:16	7:4		5:8
7:20	course 0.10		may 4:11 6:11 7:6,6	other 4:1
as 4:14,15,20 5:23		however 4:11,13	me 4:5	our 6:17
6:12,16	D 3:1		mentioned 6:16	out 4:18
assigned 3:7			MIDWEST 1:23	over 3:7
Associate 2:2	dated 6:17	I 3:1 4:6,7,8,11,12	Missouri 1:1,8,12,24	own 6:20,21
at 3:8 4:4 6:5 7:3,20	decide 5:3	5:7,7,10,11,24	2:3,4 3:3,11,13	
attached 6:23	DEPUTY 1:19	6:16 7:8,11,21	MORGAN 1:22	P
authorization 5:25	determination 7:3	if 4:12 5:7	morning 3:2	P 1:24 2:2 3:1,12
authorized 4:21	did 4:8 5:10 6:10,14	in 3:2,23,25 4:4,7,15	motion 3:23,24 4:14	paragraph 5:19
	directed 4:19	5:19 6:3,5,13,16	7:3,5,10,14	participate 3:25
B	disposition 7:5,14	6:21 7:9,9	Mr 3:9,10 4:5,6,11	particular 6:15
be 3:20 5:25 6:7,11	Do 4:5	Inc 1:15 3:5,16	5:4,6,9,11,16 6:2,5	particularly 6:21
7:9	done 4:12 7:9	indeed 5:17 6:25	6:9 7:4,13	penalties 5:25 6:20
because 6:9	don't 3:8	indicate 6:23	muddied 5:8	Perhaps 5:10
been 3:21,22,23 4:7		indicates 3:19	my 3:6 5:8 7:13	physical 4:16
behalf 3:11	<u>E</u>	intends 7:4		place 4:4
being 7:18	E 3:1,1	intention 7:13	N	pleading 3:19
believe 4:11 7:11	else 7:16	into 4:19,21	N 3:1 5:20	pleadings 7:6
Berlin 2:2 3:9,10,11	enter 4:19,21	is 3:6 4:3,21 5:1,12	name 3:6	point 4:18
	entitled 3:16	5:12 6:20 7:11,13	NCT 5:20	point 4:18 portion 7:19,23
4:5,6,11 5:4.6,9,11	entries 3:8	isn't 6:1	negotiations 4:20,22	
5:16 6:2,5,9 7:4,13	establishes 6:24	it 3:19 5:16,23 7:13	5:1	prehearing 1:6 3:17
Box 1:24 2:2 3:12	excused 3:21	its 5:20		3:21 4:19,23 7:19
But 6:19	eacuseu 3.21	118 3.20	Neither 3:24	7:24
				l _

	 			
prepared 4:25	since 7:20	5:10,15,24 6:4,6	7:4	
	so 4:12 5:6 7:1,5,13	7:2,11,15,18		
	some 3:25 4:20	time 3:8 4:4 5:1 7:3	0	İ
1 -	sorry 6:6	7:20	02 6:24	
, - ,	sort 3:24	to 3:7,17,19,25 4:6		
	Staff 1:12 2:4 3:3,11	4:14,19,21,23,24	1	
1:5	4:19,21 5:12,24	4:25 5:3,3,13,22	1 1:9	
prohibitive 4:16	7:4	5:25 6:19 7:2,5,8,9	12th 3:15 4:24	
	Staff's 6:20	7:13	1308 1:24	
Public 1:1,12 2:4 3:3	state 1:1 5:19	today 3:20 4:17	19 1:8	
	stated 4:24	towards 4:8	19th 5:17 6:11,16,22	
pursue 4:25 5:25	STEPHANIE 1:22	TRANSCRIPT 1:5		•
pursuing 6:7	still 5:25 6:7		2	
pursuit 6:19	Street 1:23	U	2002 5:14,20 6:14,25	
l	submitted 5:14	understand 5:24 7:8	2004 1:8 5:20	
R	such 3:23 5:3	up 7:21		
R 3:1	summarize 3:19		3	
receipt 6:17	summary 7:3,5,14	v	360 2:2 3:12	
received 5:16,23		versus 3:4		
record 4:2 5:13,22	T	Very 7:15	5	
7:7	take 3:8	view 6:20	573 1:25 2:3	!
recorded 7:19	TC-2004-0325 1:14	viewed 6:11		
records 6:17	3:5	Virginia 4:15	6	
referred 4:24	Telecom 1:15 3:4,16	Volume 1:9	6 5:19	
reflect 4:2 5:22 6:16	3:16,20 4:3	vs 1:14	6th 5:20	
regard 6:19	telephone 3:25 4:8,9		636-7551 1:25	
Regulatory 1:19 3:6	5:13,18	\ <u> \ </u>	65102 1:24 2:3 3:13	
report 5:14,21 6:14	Thank 3:14	was 3:15,24 4:12,24		
6:17,24,25	that 3:20 4:2,7,9,12	5:16,18 6:15,25	7	
REPORTED 1:21	4:13,14,14,18,22	7:24	714 1:23	
requiring 3:18	4:24,24,25 5:1,13	waters 5:8	751-6434 2:3	
Respondent 1:16 3:5	5:16,18,19,22,24	we 3:2,8 4:3 5:16,22	9	
responds 3:17	6:1,9,11,15,15,20	5:23 6:11,16 7:19		
response 4:23 7:17	6:24,24,25 7:5,11	well 5:7,9,11,12	9th 5:17,23 6:18	
right 5:12	7:21	West 1:23		
Robert 2:2 3:10	that's 5:4 7:8	we'd 5:12,12		
RPR 1:22	the 1:12,12 2:4 3:3,3	what 5:11,11,11 6:2		
rule 7:12	3:3,6,11,19,19,21	7:11		
rules 7:9	3:25 4:2,4,4,7,8,22	when 5:1		
ruling 7:6	5:2,8,17,22,23 6:9	WHEREUPON 7:23		
	6:10,12,12,13,16	which 6:22		
<u>S</u>	6:17,17,19,19,23	while 6:11,11,15		
S 3:1,10	6:24,25 7:5,6,7,8	who 7:21		
satisfaction 5:18	7:11,19,19,20,21	whole 7:22		
6:10,22	7:23,23	Why 3:7		
say 4:6	their 5:14,19 6:14,20	will 3:20 5:24 6:4,7 7:19		ĺ
schedule 3:18 seeking 5:25	6:21,21,24 them 4:8	with 4:7 5:8 6:14,19		
Service 1:1,12 2:4	there 3:15,24 7:18	would 4:13 5:20,22		
3:3,12	there's 3:23	7:2		Ì
SERVICES 1:23	they 3:21 4:9,11,13	writing 7:9		ì
set 4:4	4:14,15,15,25 5:19	written 7:9		[
setting 3:17 4:18,23	6:9,10,12,14,22			
settlement 4:20,22	thing 7:22	Y		[
4:25	this 3:7,8 4:19,20,23	Yes 3:10 4:6 6:2 7:4		
Should 5:2	7:3,20	you 3:14 4:5,14,21		
show 5:16	Thompson 1:19 3:2	4:24 6:4,7 7:2,20		[
showed 7:21				i
SHOWEU /.Z1	3:6,14 4:10 5:2,5,7	your 3:10 4:6,18 6:2		