DEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of a Wireline E911 Network Services) | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Commercial Interconnection Agreement Between | (|
| Intrado Communications, Inc. and Embarq Missouri, | (|
| Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC) | File No. TK-2012-0099 |
| d/b/a CenturyLink and Spectra Communications) | 1 |
| Group, LLC d/b/a Century Link Pursuant to Sections) | |
| 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996) | |

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") and submits its Recommendation as follows:

- On October 3, 2011, Embarg Missouri, Inc., d/b/a CenturyLink, 1. CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink, and Spectra Communications Group, LLC, d/b/a CenturyLink ("Company") filed an application with the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval Wireline E911 Network Services Commercial interconnection agreement Intrado Communications, Inc. under the provisions of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Agreement was revised through an Amendment, which was filed on October 26, 2011.
- 2. On October 5, 2011, the Commission issued its *Order Directing Notice* and *Making Intrado Communications, Inc. a Party* and in which it directed Staff to file a memorandum regarding the Application.
- 3. 47 USC 252(e)(2) provides that a state commission may only reject an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation if the agreement discriminates

against a telecommunications carrier not a party to it or its implementation is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

- 4. In the attached Memorandum, Staff states that the interconnection agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not parties to it, nor is its implementation inconsistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.
- 5. The Company is not delinquent in filing its annual report, or in paying its PSC assessment, or MoUSF and Relay Missouri surcharges.

WHEREFORE, Staff recommends the Commission approve the Amended Agreement and direct the parties to submit to the Commission any subsequent modifications or amendments to the Interconnection Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

Colleen M. Dale
Senior Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 31624
Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-4255 (Telephone)
cully.dale@psc.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 7th day of November, 2011.

MEMORANDUM

| 10: | Case No. TK-2012-0099 Party: Centurylink Type of Carrier: LEC CLEC Wireless | I Case File | |
|---|--|---------------|------------------|
| | Party: Intrado Communications Inc. Type of Carrier: ILEC CLEC Wireless | | |
| From: | Kari Salsman, Telecommunications Unit | | |
| | William Voight 11-4-11 Tariff, Safety, Economic and Engineering Ar | nalysis/Date | |
| Subject: | Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interest Amendment to Interconnection Agreement | connection Ag | greement or |
| Date: | 11-4-11 | | |
| Date Filed: | 10-3-11 Staff D | eadline: | 11-4-11 |
| The Telecomrapproval of the | munications Department Staff (Staff) recomme submitted: | nends the Par | rties be granted |
| \boxtimes | Interconnection Agreement | | |
| | Amendment not previously approved | | |
| The parties submitted the proposed Agreement or Amendment to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the | | | |

Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

| The applicants have not submitted a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment. | |
|---|--|
| Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment. | |
| Additional Interconnection Agreement or Amendment Review Items | |
| No applications to intervene filed. | |
| Agreement or Amendment signed by both Parties. | |
| Additional recommendations or special considerations (if any): | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report, paying the PSC assessment, paying Relay Missouri, and paying MoUSF. | |
| No annual report Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed: | |
| ☐ Unpaid MoUSF ☐ Unpaid Relay Missouri The Company is either delinquent or is not shown to be submitting revenue into the ndicated fund based on the latest records available to the MoPSC. Failure to submit revenue to either the Relay Missouri Fund or the Missouri USF fund should not | |
| necessarily reflect the company is delinquent. | |
| | |
| Under penalty of perjury, I affirm that the above statement is true and correct. | |
| KARISAISMAN | |
| VADI SAI SMAN | |