

Exhibit No:
Issue: Business Private Line/Dedicated Services
Witness: DeHahn
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
Case No: TO-2001-467

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

CASE NO. TO-2001-467

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

THOMAS S. DEHAHN

Exhibit No. 4
Date 9/24/01 Case No. TO-01-467
Reporter KEM

Chicago, Illinois
September, 2001

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Investigation of the State of) Case No. TO-2001-467
Competition in the Exchanges of Southwestern Bell)
Telephone Company.)

AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS S. DEHAHN

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
CITY OF CHICAGO)

I, Thomas S. DeHahn, of lawful age, being duly sworn, depose and state:


1. My name is Thomas S. DeHahn. I am presently Executive Director – Dedicated Networking Product Management for SBC.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal testimony.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Thomas S. DeHahn
Thomas S. DeHahn

Subscribed and sworn to before this 6th day of September, 2001

Kyla J. Brown
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 1/4/03



1 **Case No. TO-2001-467**

2 **Southwestern Bell Telephone Company**

3 **Surrebuttal Testimony of Thomas S. DeHahn**

4
5 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

6 A. Thomas S. DeHahn, 225 W. Randolph Street, Room 17B, Chicago, IL 60606

7 **Q. Are you the same Thomas S. DeHahn that has filed direct testimony on Business**
8 **Private Line services in this proceeding?**

9 A. Yes, I am.

10 **Q. Did any party to this case specifically discuss Private Line services receiving**
11 **Competitive Classification in their rebuttal?**

12 A. Yes, William L. Voight, on behalf of the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff,
13 agreed that the Commission should confirm a competitive classification for SWBT's
14 Private Line services on a statewide basis. I agree with Mr. Voight that it is appropriate
15 for private line services to be classified as competitive.

16 **Q. Did any other party specifically comment on or object to Private Line services**
17 **receiving Competitive Classification in their rebuttal?**

18 A. No. No party provided any evidence that SWBT's private line services do not face
19 effective competition.

20 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

21 A. Yes, it does.