# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,	)
Complainant,	)
	) <u>Case No. WC-2008-</u>
v.	)
	)
Suburban Water and Sewer Company, Inc.,	)
and Gordon Burnam.	)
	)
Respondents.	)

#### COMPLAINT AND MOTION FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) pursuant to Section 386.390, RSMo (2000), and for its Complaint respectfully states as follows:

#### Complainant

1. Complainant is the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff), acting through the Commission's General Counsel as authorized by Commission Rule 4 C.S.R. 240-2.070(1). A "Complaint may be made. . .in writing, setting forth any act or thing done or omitted to be done by any corporation. . .in violation, or claimed to be in violation, of any provision of law, or of any rule or order or decision of the Commission. . . . ." Section 386.390.1 RSMo (2000).

#### Respondents

2. Respondent Suburban Water and Sewer Co., Inc., (Suburban or Company)
possesses a certificate of convenience and necessity granted by the Missouri Public
Service Commission (Commission) to provide water service in the Bon Gor Estates

subdivision located in Boone County, Missouri and is a water corporation pursuant to Section 386.020(52) RSMo. Suburban is also a public utility within the meaning of Section 386.020(42) RSMo. Suburban's business address is 1501 Vandiver Dr. #88, Columbia, MO 65202. Its registered agent is Bonnie Burnam, 3438 Woodrail Terrace, Columbia, MO 65203.

- 3. Suburban's President is Gordon Burnam. Mr. Burnam's business address is 1501 Vandiver Dr. #88, Coulmbia, MO 65202.
- Gordon Burnam and Bonnie Burnam are Suburban's two shareholders.
   They each own 50% of Suburban's stock.
- 5. Suburban Water and Sewer Co. is a water corporation operating pursuant to a certificate of convenience and necessity issued by the Commission on April 12, 1973 in Case No. 17652.
- 6. Suburban provides water service to approximately 151 residential customers in the Bon-Gor Estates subdivision located in Boone County, Missouri. Suburban does not have any commercial customers. Suburban is the only entity currently authorized to provide water service in its service area.
- 7. The Commission has authority under Section 393.140(2) to investigate the quality of water supplied by persons and corporations and the methods employed by persons and corporations in supplying and distributing water for any purpose, and has the power to order such reasonable improvements as will best promote the public interest, preserve the public health, and protect those using the water system.

- 8. Suburban has failed and refused to comply with the Commission's June 16, 2005 Order in Case No. WR-2005-0455 directing Suburban to make improvements to the system, including:
  - a) Installing meters to all buildings;
  - b) Implementing a ten year replacement program for existing meters;
  - c) Installing flush valves with the flushing capability of at least 3 feet per second in all mains;
  - d) Replacing the standpipe inlet high enough to provide adequate circulation and detention time; and
  - e) Contracting with a certified operator to maintain the company's well and distribution system.
- 9. Suburban's failure has put the system and its customers at great risk. Staff brought a complaint based on Suburban's failure to comply with the terms of the disposition agreement. (Case No. WC-2007-0452). That case is currently pending before the Commission. At present, the system has fallen into additional disrepair and is in need of additional improvements to maintain safe and adequate service, including but not limited to:
  - f) Installing meters to each and every building;
  - g) Replacing meters that are more than ten years old in compliance with Suburban's commitment to implement a replacement program and 4 CSR 240-10.030(37) and (38). Some meters may be 35 years old;

- h) Installing flush valves with the flushing capability of at least 3 feet per second in all mains;
- i) Contracting with a certified operator to maintain the company's well and distribution system;
- j) Installing a pressure reducing valve in the connection with PWSD #1;
- k) Replace, rather than repair, the standpipe; and
- 1) Cap the well if water is taken from PWSD #1.
- m) Making repairs or installing equipment necessary to maintain a minimum pressure of 20 psi.
- 10. Suburban issued a Notice of Dissolution to its customers on or about March 30, 2007. (A true and correct copy of the Notice of Dissolution is attached as Attachment A and is incorporated herein by reference). The Notice informed Suburban customers that the shareholders and board of directors had voted to dissolve the corporation. The Notice also stated that customers would lose water service effective July 1, 2007. The Notice made no mention of how customers would receive safe and adequate water service after July 1, 2007, despite the fact that Suburban is the only source of water service currently available to these customers.
- 11. The Commission petitioned the Boone County Circuit Court to halt the threatened discontinuation of water service and an injunction was issued on June 29, 2007. (Transcript, June 29, 2007) (A true and correct copy of the Transcript of the June 29 hearing is attached hereto as Attachment B and is incorporated herein by reference). On June 25, 2007, Gordon and Bonnie Burnam as shareholders of Suburban, stated that

they had voted to delay dissolution due to pending litigation. (Unanimous Written Consent of the Shareholders of Suburban Water and Sewer Company) (A true and correct copy of the Unanimous Statement is attached as Attachment C and is incorporated herein by reference).

- 12. At the hearing on June 29, 2007, Respondent Burnam testified that Suburban would not shut off the water as long as the company was working with the Commission to resolve its financial problems. (Transcript, June 29, 2007, p. 39, ln. 1-8). However, Mr. Burnam would not commit to Suburban making repairs in the case of a system breakdown absent a court order. (Transcript, June 29, 2007, p. 42, ln. 6-25, p. 43, ln. 1-21).
- 13. Section 393.130(1) RSMo. provides that "every water corporation, and every sewer corporation shall furnish and provide such service instrumentalities and facilities as shall be safe and adequate and in all respects just and reasonable."
- 14. Suburban is responsible for providing safe and adequate service to customers, pursuant to section 393.130(1) RSMo., and making all necessary improvements to provide safe and adequate service. Suburban and Mr. Burnam have refused to make the necessary improvements.
- 15. The Commission has authority to order Suburban to make the above and additional improvements under Section 393.140(2).
  - 16. Section 386.020(58) RSMo. defines "water corporation" as including:

[E]very... person, their lessees, trustees, or receivers appointed by any court whatsoever, owning, operating, controlling, or managing any plant or property, dam or water supply, canal, or power station, distributing, or selling for distribution, or selling or supplying for gain any water.

- 17. The following facts are sufficient to show that Gordon Burnam owns, operates, controls or manages a water corporation:
  - At the hearing on the Commission's petition for preliminary a. injunction held in Boone County Circuit Court on June 29, 2007, Paula Belcher testified that to her knowledge she was not the Vice-President of Suburban (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 86, ln. 15-17). At the deposition of Bonnie Burnam, one week ago, Ms. Burnam testified that Ms. Belcher was appointed vice president "[t]wo or three years ago, a couple." (Depo. Tr. Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 9, ln. 6-9) (A true and correct copy of Bonnie Burnam's Deposition transcript is attached hereto as Attachment D and is incorporated herein by reference). Ms. Burnam testified that "We put her in there so she could sign papers in our absence." (Depo. Tr. Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 9, ln. 8-9). Ms. Burnam also testified that she and her husband, Respondent Burnam, needed "to have an officer of the company when we're out of town—when both my husband and I are out of town, the president and the secretary. And she is left in charge and we needed somebody in charge while we are gone." (Depo. Tr. Bonnie Burnam, p. 8, ln. 21-24). At the deposition of Paula Belcher conducted on July 17, 2007, Ms. Belcher testified that she had not been aware that she was on officer of Suburban but that she had become aware of it when she heard the deposition testimony of Bonnie Burnam. (Depo. Tr. Paula Belcher, July 17, 2007, p. 8, ln. 7-19; p. 9, ln. 1) (A true and correct copy of Paula Belcher's Deposition transcript is attached hereto as Attachment E and is incorporated herein by reference). Ms. Belcher was not even aware of her status as an officer of the company until July 17, 2007.

Ms. Belcher also testified that she did not vote on the decision to dissolve the corporation. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 86, ln. 20-23).

- b. Ms. Belcher testified that she is not a shareholder of Suburban. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 86, ln. 19). The only shareholders of Suburban are Respondent Burnam and Bonnie Burnam, each of whom own 50% of Suburban's stock. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 26, ln. 23-25; p. 27, ln. 1-7). Further, at the preliminary injunction hearing, Ms. Belcher testified that she deferred all decisions with regard to Suburban's water system to Respondent Burnam and that Respondent Burnam made all of the financial decisions for Suburban. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 87, ln. 4-12).
- c. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified at the deposition taken by Staff counsel on July 17, 2007 that Suburban has no employees. (Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 14, ln. 15-18) (A true and correct copy of Gordon Burnam's Deposition transcript is attached hereto as Attachment F and is incorporated herein by reference). All manual work for Suburban is performed by employees of Vista Home Management, Inc. (Depo. Tr., Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 15, ln. 17-24). Vista Home Management, Inc. then bills Suburban for the hours worked on Suburban tasks by Vista Home Management employees. (Depo. Tr., Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 16, ln. 4-11). Vista Home Management, Inc. is a company whose stock is owned by Respondent Gordon Burnam and Bonnie Burnam. (Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 18, ln. 4-6).

- d. Bonnie Burnam, who is the only Suburban shareholder other than Gordon Burnam, testified at her deposition that she had never seen the disposition agreement for the 2005 rate case before. (Depo. Tr. Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 10, ln. 11-20).
- e. Bonnie Burnam testified that official Suburban board of directors meetings with minutes and shareholders meetings are held only "infrequently." (Depo. Tr., Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 22, ln. 1-6). Bonnie Burnam testified that Paula Belcher is sometimes involved in these meetings. (Depo. Tr., Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 22, ln. 7-10). The only signatures that appear on the resolution stating the company's intention to delay dissolution are those of Bonnie Burnam and Respondent Gordon Burnam. (Depo. Tr., Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 22, ln. 11-16; Attachment C).
- f. Bonnie Burnam testified that she and her husband shared the authority to authorize attorneys to act on behalf of Suburban but that she did not believe Paula Belcher had such authority. (Depo. Tr. Bonnie Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 26, ln. 13-25; p. 27, ln. 1-2).
- g. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified that he no longer owns any land in Bon-Gor, the subdivision he developed and that is served by Suburban. (Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 40, ln. 20-21). However, at the local public hearing on July 23, 2007, an attorney testifying on behalf of his client stated that his client purchased property from an entity owned by the Burnam family in 2004. (Transcript, Local Public Hearing, July 23, 2007, p. 56, ln. 2-4) (A true and correct copy of the transcript from the local public hearing is attached

as Attachment G). Staff's position is that this conflict of interest influenced Gordon Burnam's decision to keep water rates low to his own or his family members' benefit, while to the detriment of Suburban and its customers, and that it rendered Suburban unable to make necessary improvements to its water system.

- h. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified that Suburban has notes outstanding "to—it could be one of our other companies, but Gordon and Bonnie Burnam." (Depo. Tr., Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 23, ln. 18-20).

  Respondent Burnam testified that he believed there were notes outstanding totaling approximately \$26,000. (Depo. Tr., July 17, 2007, p. 23, ln. 25).
- i. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified that, following the 2005 rate case, he made the decision to stop reading the water meters. (Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, p. 49, ln. 49; p. 50, ln. 1). Respondent Gordon Burnam testified that he "[t]old Paula [Belcher] to quit reading the meters, having somebody read them." (Depo. Tr., Gordon Burnam, p, 50, ln. 4-5).
- j. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified that Suburban had not installed flush valves as required by a condition in the 2005 rate case disposition agreement because Suburban lacked money. "Suburban was not making a profit. I either had to loan it money or it wasn't done." (Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 58, ln. 4-5).
- k. With regard to the condition in the 2005 rate case disposition agreement requiring the company to install a standpipe inlet high enough to provide adequate circulation and detention time, Respondent Gordon Burnam

testified, "Just didn't do it. Never thought about it, didn't do it." (Depo. Tr., Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 60, ln. 6-7).

- 1. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified with regard to the letter that was dated January 31, 2007, and was addressed to the DNR and the PSC, that the letter was written by Mr. Volkert at his request and that the reason for the letter was to "[g]et me out of the water business." (Depo. Tr., Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 74, ln. 7). However, Respondent Gordon Burnam testified later that it was Suburban that was getting out of the water business and that he personally "has never been in the water business." (Depo. Tr., Gordon Burnum, July 17, 2007, p. 74, ln. 11-14).
- m. Respondent Gordon Burnam testified that he authorized the dissolution of Suburban. (Depo. Tr., Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 79, ln. 16-20).
- 18. An Order is necessary in the current case because at the June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2007 Boone County Circuit Court hearing, Suburban President Gordon Burnam would not commit to Suburban making repairs to the system in the event of a system failure in the absence of a court order. (See Attachment B, Tr. June 29, 2007 at p. 42, ln. 6-14; and p. 43, ln. 1-21.)
- 19. The relief sought herein has been one of Staff's focuses throughout past Commission proceedings involving this respondent. Furthermore, evidence presented before the Boone County Circuit Court, on June 29, 2007, at the preliminary injunction hearing<sup>1</sup> specifically addressed suggested improvements for Suburban's water system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case No. O7BA-CV02632, Missouri PSC v. Suburban Water and Sewer Company, Inc., and Gordon Burnam.

At that hearing, the Commission called Bob Gilbert, an engineer with Bartlett and West Engineers, to discuss a report he wrote concerning a study of the Suburban water system. (A true and correct copy of Bob Gilbert's report is attached hereto as Attachment H and is incorporated herein by reference.) While being questioned, Mr. Gilbert specifically testified that his study gave estimates for "[t]he demolition of the standpipe and the well that are there." (Attachment B, Tr. June 29, 2007 at p. 49, ln. 4-5). Further, cross-examination by Mr. Harrison, Respondents' counsel, questioned Mr. Gilbert on the issue of demolition of the standpipe. (Tr. June 29, 2007, p. 55, ln. 1-13). Respondents have been and are fully aware of the arguments for potential improvements that the system may need to safely and adequately serve its customers.

20. The Missouri Supreme Court has set out situations in which an individual may be held responsible for the obligations of a corporation. There are three elements that must be satisfied: "(1) control, not mere majority or complete stock control, but complete domination, not only of finances, but of policy and business practice in respect to the transaction attacked so that the corporate entity as to this transaction had at the time no separate mind, will or existence of its own; and (2) such control must have been used by the corporation to commit fraud or wrong, to perpetrate the violation of statutory or other positive legal duty, or dishonest and unjust act in contravention of plaintiff's legal rights; and (3) the control and breach of duty must proximately cause the injury or unjust loss complained of." 66, Inc. v. Crestwood Commons Redevelopment Corp., 998 S.W.2d 32, 40 (Mo.banc 1999).

All three elements necessary to hold Burnam personally responsible for Suburban's obligations are satisfied in this case. First, Burnam is the President of

Suburban. (A true and correct copy of Suburban's annual report filing is attached hereto as Attachment I and is incorporated herein by reference). Burnam has complete control over the company. Suburban's only other shareholder is Burnam's wife, Bonnie Burnam, who is also the Secretary of the corporation. (Attachment H). Bonnie Burnam participates not at all or only marginally in Suburban's day-to-day financial or policy decisions. (Attachment D). Suburban has no employees of its own who might participate in such decisions. (Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, July 17, 2007, p. 14, ln. 15-18). There are no employees; thus Suburban has no way of fulfilling its obligations as a public utility without Gordon Burnam. Defendant Burnam spoke on behalf of Suburban while he was giving testimony at the hearing on June 29, 2007. (Tr., June 29, 2007). Burnam did not in any way indicate that he would need to refer to anyone else in order to give testimony, despite the fact that Paula Belcher, acting as the corporate representative, was present at during his testimony. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 25, ln. 12-19). Ms. Belcher denied being an officer or a shareholder of Suburban on June 29. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 86, ln. 12-17). Ms. Belcher only found out that she had been made Vice-President of Suburban at the deposition of Bonnie Burnam on July 17, 2007. (Depo. Tr. Paula Belcher, July 17, 2007, p. 8, ln. 7-19; p. 9, ln. 1). Ms. Burnam testified that Ms. Belcher was made an officer so there would be someone "in charge" when she and Burnam were out of town. (Depo. Tr. Bonnie Burnam, p. 8, ln. 21-24). Ms. Belcher testified that Burnam makes all major decisions with regard to Suburban's water system. (Tr. June 29, 2007, p. 87, ln. 4-6). Ms. Belcher also testified that Burnam makes all of Suburban's financial decisions. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 87, ln. 10-12). Defendant Burnam and Bonnie Burnam are the only members of Suburban's board of directors. (Attachment H). Suburban did not have a

separate mind, will, or existence in the decision to dissolve the corporation and discontinue water service when the original Notice of Dissolution was issued. Suburban also did not have a separate mind, will, or existence when Defendant Burnam and Bonnie Burnam voted to delay dissolution while legal action was pending against the company. Defendant Burnam and Suburban are represented by the same counsel, despite the fact that they would appear to have opposing interests as to whether the entity or the individual is responsible for Suburban's financial and legal obligations. Counsel for the Defendants presented the same defense on the merits at the June 29, 2007 hearing, without distinguishing on which Defendant's behalf witnesses were being examined or exhibits were being offered. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 26-end). A witness from the Public Service Commission testified that, as far as he could recall, Burnam is the decision-maker for Suburban, although other people may explain or provide information. (Tr., June 29, 2007, p. 73, ln. 13-18). All of Suburban's tariff sheets were issued by Gordon Burnam. Gordon Burnam is the only person mentioned in connection with Suburban in the Report and Order granting Suburban a certificate of convenience and necessity. (Case No. 17652). The letter dated June 29, 2006, which was signed by Gordon Burnam as President, reads "let me hook on to Public Water District #1 as I am no longer willing or able to subsidize the water system at Bon-Gor Lake Estates." (A true and correct copy of the June 29, 2006 letter is attached hereto as Attachment J and is incorporated herein by reference). Clearly, in this letter, Defendant Burnam is identifying himself with Suburban. Plaintiff concedes that the certificate of convenience and necessity is issued in the name of Suburban. However, in this case, there is no real distinction between the regulated entity and the individual. Suburban Water and Sewer Co. is Gordon Burnam.

Second, Staff has alleged a violation of a positive legal duty. Suburban, as a regulated public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission has a legal duty to provide safe and adequate service pursuant to Section 393.130, RSMo and a legal duty to obtain Commission permission prior to disposition of assets pursuant to Section 393.190, RSMo. Suburban must also abide by its currently effective tariff and by its certificate of convenience and necessity. Violation of any of these legal duties is sufficient to satisfy the second prong of piercing the corporate veil.

Third, if those legal duties are violated, it will be because of the actions and decisions of Defendant Burnam. The breach of Suburban's legal duties would be caused by Suburban's decision (made through Burnam) to dissolve the company and discontinue water service or by Suburban's decision (again made by Burnam) to refuse to make repairs that are necessary to continue to provide safe and adequate water service.

Staff has alleged sufficient facts to support holding Defendant Burnam personally responsible for Suburban's legal obligations. Suburban the corporation is in all material respects indistinguishable from Burnam the individual. The ability to reach out and hold individuals liable for the obligations of a corporation in appropriate circumstances is well-settled in corporate law. It is illogical to suggest that this same ability does not exist in the arena of public utility regulation, given that public utilities have far greater responsibilities to the public than do general business corporations. At the June 29, 2007 hearing, the Court dismissed Defendant Gordon Burnam's Motion to Dismiss and enjoined both Suburban and Burnam from ceasing to provide safe and adequate water service until such time as the Commission approves a change. The Commission has not yet approved any change in ownership that would release Suburban and Gordon Burnam

from their legal duties and at the same time protect the residents of Bon-Gor Estates from loss of safe and adequate water service.

WHEREFORE, the Staff moves the Commission for an order directing the Staff to investigate and file a recommendation concerning the quality of water supplied by Suburban Water and Sewer Co. and Gordon Burnam and the methods employed by Suburban Water and Sewer Co. and Gordon Burnam in supplying and distributing water for any purpose; order an evidentiary hearing to be held in this case; and directing Suburban and Gordon Burnam to make improvements necessary that will best promote the public interest, preserve the public health, protect those using the water and sewer system, and ensure the provision of safe and adequate water service in accordance with Sections 393.130 and 393.140(2), RSMo.

# Motion for Expedited Treatment

- 21. Complainant hereby adopts by reference and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-20, above.
- 22. Suburban has stated a clear intention to wind up its affairs and leave the water business. (Attachment A; Depo. Tr. Gordon Burnam, p. 74, ln. 2-16). Mr. Burnam is a resident of Florida, and is in Missouri only for limited amounts of time each year.
- 23. In order to resolve this matter and to ensure continued safe and adequate water service to Suburban's customers, expedited treatment is appropriate.
- 24. Staff requests that the Commission hold a hearing in this matter no later than October 15, 2007. Staff requests that the Commission issue its decision no later than November 15, 2007.

- 25. Expedited treatment will avoid harm to Suburban's customers by ensuring continuation of safe and adequate water service.
- 26. This pleading was filed as soon as practicable. This Complaint was filed as soon as possible following the denial of "Staff's Motion Under Section 393.140 to Order Suburban Water and Gordon Burnam to Make Reasonable Improvements to Promote the Public Interest, Preserve the Public Health, and Protect Consumers of Suburban Water and Sewer Company." Staff believes that it is in the best interest of all parties and Suburban's customers to resolve this matter as quickly as possible.
- 27. Furthermore, Staff believes that a system failure may be imminent due to the deteriorated condition of the system.

**WHEREFORE**, Staff moves for expedited treatment pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.080(16).

#### Conclusion

#### WHEREFORE, Staff requests that the Commission:

- a. Order Staff to investigate the quality of water supplied by

  Suburban Water and Sewer Co. and Gordon Burnam and the

  methods employed by Suburban Water and Sewer Co. and Gordon

  Burnam in supplying and distributing water for any purpose,
- b. Order Staff to file a recommendation concerning the investigation into the quality of water supplied by Suburban Water and Sewer
   Co. and Gordon Burnam and the methods employed by Suburban
   Water and Sewer Co. and Gordon Burnam in supplying and distributing water for any purpose,

- c. Order a full evidentiary hearing to be held in this case,
- d. Order Suburban Water and Sewer Co. and Gordon Burnam to make reasonable improvements to promote the public interest, preserve the public health, and protect consumers of Suburban Water and Sewer Co.,
- e. Grant Staff's motion for expedited treatment pursuant to

  Commission rule 4 CSR 240-2.080(16). Staff requests that the

  Commission hold a hearing in this matter no later than October 15,

  2007 and that it issue its decision no later than November 15, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Steven C. Reed

Steven C. Reed Missouri Bar No. 40616

Shelley Syler Brueggemann Missouri Bar No. 52173

Attorneys for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-3015 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
steven.reed@psc.mo.gov
shelley.brueggemann@psc.mo.gov

# VAN MATRE, HARRISON, AND VOLKERT, P.C.

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
1103 EAST BROADWAY
POST OFFICE BOX 1017
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201

CRAIG A. VAN MATRE THOMAS M. HARRISON MATTHEW S. VOLKERT\*

EVERETTS, VAN MATRE

(573) 874-7777

Telecopier (573) 875-0017

Writer's E-Mad. <u>mod@ysningue.com</u>

CARLA IC. WILLIAMS
GARRETT S. TAYLOR
ROBERT N. HOLLS
KATHLEEN D. PITZER\*\*
ERICK S. CREACE

CADATTTED IN MISSOUR, AND WASSOUR, AND WASSOUR, AND WASSOUR, LLINDE, AND WASSOUR, DEC

March 30, 2007

Via U.S. Mail

### NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION OF SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER COMPANY

To Whom It May Concern:

We are attorneys for Suburban Water and Sewer Company, a Missouri corporation (the "Corporation"). You are hereby notified that the directors and shareholders of the Corporation have authorized its dissolution, to be effective as of July 1, 2007 (the "Effective Date").

As required by law, the Corporation will cease to carry on any operations except to wind up and liquidate its business and affairs, commencing on the Effective Date. Therefore, your water service will be shut off, indefinitely, on or about July 1, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 351.478 RSMo., all claims against the Corporation must be presented promptly, by letter to:

Van Matre, Harrison, and Volkert, P.C. Attention: Matthew S. Volkert 1103 East Broadway P.O. Box 1017 Columbia, MO 65205

All claims must include the following information: the name and address of the claimant, the amount of the claim, the basis for the claim, the date on which the claim arose or accrued, and any written documentation relating to the claim. All claims must be received by October 1, 2007. A claim will be barred if not received by this deadline.

VAN MATRE, HARRISON, AND VOLKERT, P.C.

Matthews S. Wollcort

Attachment A

# NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION OF CORPORATION TO ALL PERSONS WITH CLAIMS AGAINST SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER COMPANY

Suburban Water and Sewer Company, a Missouri corporation, will be dissolved effective as of July 1, 2007.

Suburban Water and Sewer Company hereby requests, pursuant to Section 351.482 RSMo., that all persons with claims against it present them immediately, by letter to: Van Matre, Harrison, and Volkert, P.C., Attention: Matthew S. Volkert, 1103 East Broadway, P.O. Box 1017, Columbia, MO 65205. All claims must include the following information: the name and address of the claimant, the amount of the claim, the basis for the claim, the date on which the claim arose or accrued, and any written documentation relating to the claim.

A claim will be barred unless a proceeding to enforce it is commenced within two years after the publication of this notice.

### STATE OF MISSOURI

#### OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 28<sup>th</sup> of June, 2007.

Colleen M. Dale Secretary



#### IN THE BOONE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, DIVISION I Honorable Gene Hamilton, Judge

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
	) Case No. 07BA-CV02632
VS.	)
SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER COMPANY, INC., and GORDON BURNAM,	) ) )
Defendants.	, )

#### TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON PETITION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

On June 29, 2007, the above-entitled cause came on for hearing before the Honorable Gene Hamilton, Judge of Division I of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit, at Columbia.

The Plaintiff was represented by its attorneys, Ms. Jennifer Heintz, Assistant General Counsel, and Ms. Peggy A. Whipple, Chief Litigation Attorney, Missouri Public Service Commission, Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

The Defendants were represented by Mr. Thomas M. Harrison and Mr. Matthew Volkert, Van Matre, Harrison, and Volkert, P.C., 1103 East Broadway, Suite 101, Columbia, Missouri 65201.

The Intervenor, Office of the Public Counsel, was represented by Ms. Christina Baker, Assistant Public Counsel, Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, P. O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

Ann K. Sprague, CCR, RPR Official Court Reporter, Division I Thirteenth Judicial Circuit of Missouri Attachment B

# INDEX

													<u>Page</u>
June 29, 2007 – Hearing on Petition	ı fo.	r Pi	elin	nine	ry.	Inju	inct	ion.	•				
Preliminary matters			·										1
Argument on Defendants' Motion for	r Co	onti	nuar	nce									2
Argument on Defendant Burnam's M	lotic	on t	o D	ismi	iss l	эу М	Ir. }	Jarr	isor	1.			9
Argument by Ms. Heintz									•		·		14
Argument by Ms. Baker												•	17
Argument by Mr. Harrison			٠	•		÷	-						. 18
Plaintiff's Evidence:													
GORDON BURNAM						-					-		
Direct Examination by Ms. Heintz													26
Cross-Examination by Mr. Harrison													28
Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker.													39
Redirect Examination by Ms. Heintz	٠			•,					•				40
BOB GILBERT													
Direct Examination by Ms. Heintz													45
Cross-Examination by Mr. Harrison		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.*	•	••	•	53
Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	56
Redirect Examination by Ms. Heintz	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	57
redirect Examination by Wis. Helitz.	•	•	•	•	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	37
MARTIN HUMMEL													
Direct Examination by Ms. Heintz							-						59
Cross-Examination by Mr. Harrison	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	61
Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	69
Redirect Examination by Ms. Heintz	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	70
7.55. FOR 12. Administration by 1915. FIGHRE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	70
JAMES A. MERCIEL JR.													
Direct Examination by Ms. Heintz													72
Cross-Examination by Mr. Harrison	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	79
Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	84
Cross Examination by Ms. Daker.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		דט

# INDEX (Cont'd)

												j	Page
PAULA BELCHER													
								_					86
											•		87
Redirect Examination by Ms. Heintz.													103
Recross-Examination by Mr. Harrison													104
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. I	leint:	Ζ.											105
Plaintiff Rests													106
Defendants' Motion for Directed Verdi	ict.												106
Defendants' Evidence:										-			
EVERETT BAKER													
Direct Examination by Mr. Harrison .													109
Cross-Examination by Ms. Heintz .	•	•	•	'	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		122
											•		123
•	-	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•		.25
PAULA BELCHER													
Direct Examination by Mr. Harrison .													125
·													
Defendants Rest													132
Court's Ruling													133
Court Reporter's Certificate			٠.					•					135

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description	Offered	Rec'd
Plaintiff's	Exhibits:		
1	Suburban Water Company Contificate of Convenience and		
•	Suburban Water Company Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	. 22	22
2	Suburban Water Company Tariff	23	23
3	Notice of Dissolution of Suburban Water Company	23	23
4	Complaint pending before the PSC	23 . 24	25
. 5	Bartlett & West report.	53	53
		33	55
Defendant	's Exhibits:		
A	April 5, 2005 letter from PSC to Suburban	101	101
В	Suburban customer billing information for 5-13 to 6-13-06	92	92
C	Suburban average monthly bill list	94	94
. D	Suburban Balance Sheet as of 1-31-06	96	96
E	Suburban Balance Sheet as of 1-31-07	96	96
F	Suburban Water and/or Sewer Annual Report	97	98
G	Suburban Profit & Loss Statement 1-1-06 to 12-31-06	99	100
Н	Suburban 2005 Federal Income Tax Return	100	101
]	Suburban 2006 Federal Income Tax Return	100	101
J	June 19, 2006 letter from DNR to Gordon Burnam	115	116
K	June 14, 2007 letter from DNR to Gordon Burnam	119	120
L	June 29, 2006 letter from Suburban Water to Missouri PSC	126	126
М	Jan. 31, 2007 letter from Van Matre to DNR and PSC	129	129
N	Unanimous Written Consent of the Shareholders of Suburban		
	Water and Sewer Company	130	131

	1.	HEARING ON PETITION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
	2	June 29, 2007
	3	* * *
	4	THE COURT: Okay. Case Number 2632, Missouri
09:01AM	5	Public Service Commission vs. Suburban Water and Sewer Company
	6	and Gordon Burnam.
	.7	Ms. Heintz on behalf of the plaintiff?
	8	MS. HEINTZ: Yes.
	9	THE COURT: And Ms. Whipple on behalf of the
09:01AM	1.0	plaintiff?
	1.1.	MS. WHIPPLE: Yes, your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: And Ms. Baker, you are representing
	1.3	the intervenor?
-	1, 4	MS. BAKER: Yes.
09:01AM	1.5	THE COURT: And Mr. Harrison, you are
	1.6	representing both of the defendants?
	1.7	MR. HARRISON: That's correct.
	1.8	THE COURT: Okay. Now, what's the matter
	19	before the Court?
09:01AM	20	MS. HEINTZ: I note that there is a Motion for
•	21	Continuance?
	22	MR. HARRISON: That's correct.
	23	THE COURT: Is that correct? Okay. Do you
	24	care to be heard on your Motion for Continuance?
^9:01AM	25	MR. HARRISON: Thank you, your Honor.

1.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Judge.

09:01AM

defendants are not ready for this hearing today, your Honor,

which is on the plaintiff's petition or application, rather,

for preliminary injunction.

7

8

9

1.0

1.1.

By way of background, your Honor, the petition

Earlier this year, about three months ago, a

Since then.

The defendants filed that motion because the

in this case seeks an injunction against the defendants with

respect to water service provided by the defendants, Suburban

Water and Sewer Company, to about 150 people in the Bon Gor

Subdivision north of Columbia.

09:02AM

1.2 They are seeking -- or the petition seeks an

injunction to stop the defendants, Suburban Water and Sewer 1.3

notice was served by Suburban Water that it was going to

we'll get into if we have this hearing today.

dissolve and cease providing water service for reasons that

your Honor -- well, and the reason that notice was given is

that the Suburban -- Suburban was trying to work out an

Company, and presumably Gordon Burnam, from ceasing to provide

water service.

1.5 09:02AM

1.6

1.4

17

1.8

19

20 09:02AM

21

22

23

24

7:02AM 25 arrangement with the Public Service Commission and the Department of Natural Resources and others with respect to getting out of the water business.

Since that time, and I think largely because

09:03AM 09:03AM 09:03AM 09:04AM

24

2.5

49:04AM

that notice was given, we finally got the PSC's attention. There had been what I think is accurately some lack of attention from the PSC. Since that time we've gotten their attention and we've been talking to them. And since that time we've told the PSC, and as the PSC noted in its petition, I would point out, your Honor, we have since told them we are not going to dissolve and we are not going to shut off the water service on July 1. We have told them that.

I am here confirming that with you, your Honor. Mr. Burnam is here, and he can confirm that with you as well. What our position is, is that as long as we're working reasonably well with the PSC to try to find a solution to these problems, and as long as the Missouri Department of Natural Resources doesn't take some action to, you know, shut us down -- because there have been findings made by the DNR that Suburban is not in compliance with some of their regulations -- we're willing to continue to provide water service.

And so the immediacy of the situation, I think even as set forth in the plaintiff's own petition where they acknowledge that we've told them this, the immediacy of the situation isn't there any more and the need to have this expedited hearing has gone by the wayside.

Now, this lawsuit was filed on June the 7th. think we got -- I think our clients got served around

	2	let alone done any discovery. There are there are legal
	3	theories and facts that we're still investigating, and that's
	4	the main reason we haven't filed our answer yet.
09:04AM	5	We think there's probably going to need to be
	6	some expert testimony if we have to proceed with this matter
	7	and we just haven't had an opportunity to develop the facts
	8	and to talk to the witnesses that we want to talk to.
•	9	I mean, I've subpoenaed some DNR witnesses here
09:05AM	1.0	today. I haven't even looked at the files yet. I don't even
	1.1	know what they say. So the reason for the continuance request
	1.2	is we don't think the immediacy is there. And again, if the
	1.3	Court wants to hear from Mr. Burnam to confirm what I've told
•	1.4	you, I'm happy to let him come forward and confirm it.
09:05AM	1.5	And the other simple fact is, we just haven't
	1.6	had enough time to develop the facts and the evidence and to
	1.7	talk to the witnesses that we need to in order to competently
	1.8	prepare here.
	1.9	THE COURT: Well, I have looked at the file,
09:05AM	20	and there is the Petition for Preliminary and Permanent
	21	Injunction and then there's a Motion to Consolidate; correct?
	22	MS. HEINTZ: That's correct, Judge.
	23	THE COURT: What are we consolidating?
	24	MS. HEINTZ: Well, Judge, we believe that the
19:05ΔM	25	issues presented in a preliminary injunction hearing, which is

June 10th or so. We haven't even filed an answer yet, Judge,

٠.	1.	my understanding of why we're here this morning, would be the
	2	same as the ones that we would present on a hearing for a
	3	permanent injunction. So we think that judicial economy would
	4	be served best by consolidating our request for a preliminary
09:06AM	5	injunction with our request for a permanent injunction,
	6	hearing all of the merits today.
	7	THE COURT: Okay. As I understand it, there is
	8	an action pending before the Public Service Commission now?
	9	MS. HEINTZ: Yes, there is, your Honor.
09:06AM	1.0	THE COURT: Which is set for hearing in July?
	1. 1.	MS. HEINTZ: I don't know that we have a
	1.2	specific hearing date set yet, but we have requested a hearing
	13	by July 20th.
	14	MR. HARRISON: Yeah, I don't believe it's been
09:06AM	1.5	set.
	1.6	THE COURT: Well, would there be a problem with
	1.7	me entering a preliminary injunction indicating that these
	18	people are not to be cut off water until such time as the
	19	Public Service Commission makes a finding?
09:06AM	20	MR. HARRISON: Judge, we have a couple of
	21	problems with that. Number one, we don't think there's any
	22	basis whatsoever for Mr. Burnam personally to be a party to
	23	this lawsuit. He's individually been sued. He's not the
	24	regulated utility. He's not the water supplier. And if you
ሳ9 : 06አм	25	look at their petition, they don't even allege facts that give

] 2

3

4

09:07AM

5

6

7

8

1.0 09:07AM

1.1.

12

1.3

14

09:07AM 1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

1.9

09:07AM 20

21

22

23

24

25 9:08AM

rise to any basis upon which you could enter an injunction against him.

So yes, we would have a significant problem as to -- with respect to any injunction that you might want to enter against him.

As to the corporation, our fear is that, if you enter that injunction, I mean, again, there are significant DNR problems out there. We figure that if you enter that injunction, we're worried about what's going to happen if the system completely breaks down. What happens if there are significant health, you know, safety-related problems? Are we going to violate your injunction. You know, things like that.

We think there are significant practical problems with doing that. And again, we're telling the Court we're not going to cease water service. That's what we're telling the Court, that's what we've told the PSC. But entering an injunction opens up a whole new can of worms, and we'd be worried about violating it unwittingly and things of that nature.

THE COURT: Ms. Heintz?

MS. HETNTZ: Well, your Honor, the qualified promise that Mr. Burnam and Suburban have offered here this morning don't put my mind at ease at all. They've offered not to shut off the water system as long as DNR doesn't have major problems with them and as long as there are no major system

1. failures. 2 Well, they are obligated -- Suburban has a 3 duty, as a certificated public utility, to provide safe and adequate water service. That statute doesn't have any 4 exceptions in it, such as the ones that the defendants are 5 09:08AM 6 here asking for this morning. 7 We think that they need to be ordered to obey the law until such time as we can figure out what to do with 8 9 this system. We're not at that point yet. 09:08AM 1.0 THE COURT: What about the preliminary injunction --11 12 MS. HEINTZ: And I'm not --13 THE COURT: What about the preliminary 1.4 injunction running against Mr. Burnam? 15 09:08AM MS. HEINTZ: Well, your Honor, any injunction entered against Suburban, first of all, would be binding on 16 17 Mr. Burnam. He is an officer of Suburban. 1.8 THE COURT: I understand, but ---19 MS. HEINTZ: And as far as him personally, I am unaware of any other shareholder or decision-maker or entity 09:08AM 20 21 that has had any, any say in what happens with this water system other than Mr. Burnam. I don't think that the two are 22

THE COURT: But the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity is to Suburban Water and Sewer Company; correct?

23

24

25

9:09AM

very distinguishable.

1 MS. HEINTZ: It is. That's correct. 2 THE COURT: And any hearing concerning a change 3 of that before the Commission would be in regard to Suburban 4 Water and Sewer Company and their Certificate of Necessity and 5 Convenience? 09:09AM 6 MS. HEINTZ: That is correct. 7 THE COURT: And you're not willing to accept 8 what Mr. Harrison has said today? 9 MS. HEINTZ: Because it's a qualified promise, 09:09AM 1.0 your Honor, and I need him to be able -- I need him to be 11 ordered to comply with the statutes as they exist, not with 1.2 the statutes unless and -- unless something else happens. 1.3 There are no exceptions. 1.4 THE COURT: Okay. Then the Court will overrule 09:09AM 1.5 the Motion for Continuance. The Court will hear only the 16 matter today as to the preliminary injunction. 17 MR. HARRISON: Your Honor, there are a couple 1.8 of other pretrial matters. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 09:10AM 20 MR. HARRISON: We did file a Motion to Dismiss as to Gordon Burnam which we'd like to argue. There's also a 21 22 motion, this Motion to Consolidate the trial on the merits 23 with this hearing which we'd like to argue. 24 THE COURT: I'm only going to hear the Motion 25 for Preliminary Injunction.

:10AM

,,	]_	MR. HARRISON: Okay. So I assume
	2	THE COURT: That matter is taken care of.
	3	MR. HARRISON: That motion would be overruled.
	4	All right.
09:1.0AM	5	THE COURT: Yeah.
	6	MR. HARRISON: And there are a couple of other
	7	motions pending that I think need to be heard before.
	8	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
,	9	MR. HARRISON: Now, let me also tell you, your
09:1.0AM	. 1. 0	Honor, that Mr. Burnam, who's here because he's been
	1.1.	subpoenaed, is leaving for a trip to Europe today. His flight
	1.2	is at 3:30 out of St. Louis. He needs to leave about noon.
	1.3	I'm hoping, and I guess I'm hereby asking
¢.,	1.4	counsel to put him on first, if they intend to call him. And
09:10AM	1.5	I'm hoping counsel will do that, and if not, I'm hoping the
	16	Court will order that.
	17	THE COURT: Any objection to that?
	1.8	MS. HEINTZ: I have no objection to that.
	19	THE COURT: Okay.
09:10AM	20	MR. HARRISON: All right. Do you want me to
	21.	argue the Motion to Dismiss, then, your Honor?
	22	THE COURT: Sure.
	23	MR. HARRISON: Judge, we filed a lengthy
	24	motion. It raises numerous grounds. I won't talk about them
09:11λM	25	all because there's no need to repeat them all, but I want to

1 | talk about the main points.

The relief we're seeking is, A, to dismiss him personally as a defendant in this action; and B, alternatively, there are motions to strike for a more definite statement and to join a party, specifically, the Department of Natural Resources, which we think is an indispensable party in this case, a necessary party in this case.

As to the Motion to Dismiss, quite simply,
Mr. Burnam is not subject to the jurisdiction of the Public
Service Commission. The statutory regime that governs the PSC
makes that clear. And specifically talking about Section
386.020, 368.250 and 386.360, all of which are cited in
paragraphs 10 and 11 of our motion.

Water Company case which is cited in paragraph 12 of our motion, which says, "In order to be subject to regulation, one must sell water to the public and one must own, operate, control, or manage the water plant or property."

Mr. Burnam meets neither test. He doesn't sell water to the public. Suburban sells water to the public. So he doesn't meet that test.

He doesn't meet the second test. He doesn't own, operate, control, or manage the plant or the property that sells water to the public. That's Suburban. So there's no basis on which Mr. Burnam personally should be a defendant

6

7

2

3

4

5

9

09:11AM 1.0

1.1.

1.2

1.3

• 1.4

09:12AM 15

1.6

1.7

18

1.9

09:12лм 20

2122

23

24

ов:12АМ 25

in this case.

As I pointed out previously, your Honor, there really aren't any allegations in the petition that set forth any theory or any facts on which he can be held responsible in this limited injunction action.

So the plaintiff's petition doesn't even allege that Mr. Burnam is a public utility, and I think counsel is more or less admitting here this morning and the fact is that he's not. There's no allegation that he is.

I would also point the Court to Section 386.570, which provides that all acts of an individual acting on behalf of a public utility shall in every case be and be deemed to be the act of the utility.

So that is more or less an affirmation of a corporate protection and another reason that Mr. Burnam personally has no business being a party in this case.

We also, your Honor, believe that the action should be dismissed both as to Mr. Burnam and to Suburban because the PSC has adequate -- other adequate remedies. And I would cite the Court to the cases and the other authorities that we point out in section or paragraph 17 of our motion.

I won't belabor that point. The Court can read those authorities. But we think that those authorities are persuasive and stand on the proposition that the Commission has other adequate remedies here and that an injunction is not

6

1.

2

3

4

5

7

8

,

1.1.

1.2

1.3

1.4

09:13AM 15

1.6

1.7

1.8

19

09:13am 20

21

22

2.3

24

19:14AM 25

1 needed.

We also have constitutional arguments as far as takings and so forth which, again, are cited in our briefs and I won't belabor that here.

I do want to emphasize another part of our motion, which is, as I pointed out earlier, the Department of Natural Resources has made findings, and there are witnesses here today who are going to testify that there have been findings made that Suburban is in violation of certain DNR regulations. Those findings have been made.

We think the DNR needs to be a party under Rule 52.04 because we risk inconsistent results here. On the one hand, you've got the PSC asking you to enter an order telling us so stay open. On the other hand, we've got the DNR telling us that we're in violation of the law.

Our obligation is to provide safe and adequate drinking water. Well, I think the DNR is telling us that we're not doing that; we're violating the regulations.

They've sent several notices and made findings, as I said, of violations.

And, you know, but the problems, which will come out in the evidence here, one of the main problems is that Suburban doesn't have the revenue base, the revenues, the assets, to make the significant and significantly costly improvements that are going to be necessary in order to meet

6

7

2

3

4

5

09:14AM 1.0

1.1.

1.2

13

1.4

09:15AM 15

16

17

1,8

19

09:15AM 20

21.

22

23

24

^9:15AM 25

1 | the DNR's requirements.

Finally, your Honor, I'd point you to paragraph 27 of our motion which cites elements and portions of the petition which are vague and which I think the Court will have to get into, in the event the Court is inclined to enter the injunction here against Suburban.

Is it going to be a mandatory injunction telling us to do certain things, or is it going to be a prohibitory injunction telling us not to do certain things?

If the Court is not inclined to dismiss

Mr. Burnam, I think the Court is going to have to grapple with
what, you know, what kind of orders are going to be entered
with respect to him and what are his precise duties going to
be.

Are you going to order him, for example, to continue to fund this corporation to the extent he needs to, to keep it running and to perform the maintenance and repairs which have recently become quite costly?

And there are a host of other issues that are going to come to light, if the Court is inclined to enter the injunction.

Our motion, your Honor, is as to both defendants. We filled Motions to Dismiss as to both defendants.

So that argument that I just gave really goes

09:15AM

6

2

3

4

5

7

9

09:16лм 10

11.

1.2

1.3

1.4

09:16AM 15

1.6

1.7

1.8

.1. 9

09:16лм 20

21

22

23

24

19:16лм 25

1. to both motions. Thank you.

2

THE COURT: Ms. Heintz?

3

4

MS. HEINTZ: Thank you, your Honor.

09:17AM

5

6

7

8

09:17AM 10

1.1.

12 1.3

14

09:17AM 1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20 09:18AM

21

22

23

24

25 3:18AM

I have filled my suggestions in opposition to the Motions to Dismiss and there are just a few things that I'd like to highlight here for you now.

First of all, the very language of

Section 386.600, which is the statute that we are here under this morning, your Honor, says that we may seek an injunction against any public utility, person, or corporation who is failing or omitting anything that it is required to do -- that it is required to do by law, and that it is failing or omitting or about to fail or omit to do anything required of it by law. An injunction may be sought by the Commission in those instances.

I would also like to reiterate my position that the corporation is indistinguishable from Gordon Burnam. And the DNR, I believe, is not a necessary party to this action. Suburban Water Company will have obligations to DNR. not why we're here. We're here because Mr. Burnam has obligations to the PSC. Specifically, he is obligated to provide safe and adequate water service.

Now, whatever his obligations to DNR are, that's DNR business. And I cannot speak for them, but I do not believe that they have any power to order Mr. Burnam or

٠,	1.	Suburban Water Company to override Suburban's Certificate of
	2	Convenience and Necessity, Suburban's tariff, which under
	3	Missouri law has the effect of a statute, or Sections 393.130
	4	or 393.190.
09:18AM	5	Also, your Honor, I would submit that, where
	6	the legislature has provided for injunctive relief as it has
	7	in 383.600, that irreparable harm and no adequate legal remedy
	8	are presumed. Even if we have to show irreparable harm and
	9	lack of a legal remedy, we believe that those are present in
09:19AM	1.0	this case.
	11.	Your Honor, defendants have threatened to shut
	1.2	the water off on Sunday. And even though they've said today,
	1.3	"We're not going to do that," they've also attempted to
•	1.4	disavow their obligation to provide service in the event that
09:19AM	1.5	DNR issues some other violation or in the event that the
	16	system breaks down. And that is inadequate.
	17	386.580, your Honor, provides for a misdemeanor
	1.8	action to be brought against agents, employees, or officers of
	1.9	public utilities who cause the public utility to violate a
09: 1.9ХМ	20	Commission order or any other law.
	21	So again, I believe that there is reason
	22	for Mr. Burnam to be joined personally.
	23	THE COURT: What's your statute number there?
	24	MS. HEINTZ: I'm sorry?
Λ9: 1.9ΛM	25	THE COURT: What's the statute number?

1. MS. HEINTZ: 386.580, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 MS. HEINTZ: If Mr. Burnam and Suburban feel that their revenue is inadequate, their remedy is to bring a 5 09:20AM rate case in front of the Commission. This Court, you know, 6 begging your -- your Honor's pardon and being very respectful, 7 doesn't have jurisdiction to set rates for Mr. Burnam's 8 company. That is for the Commission to do in the first 9 instance. 1.0 09:20AM The injunction that we're asking for this 1.1 morning is both mandatory and prohibitory. It's mandatory in 1.2 that we are asking the Court to order Suburban Water Company 1.3 to continue its obligations under the law, which are to 1.4 provide safe and adequate service, to not dispose of its 1.5 assets without PSC approval, to abide by its tariff, and to 09:20AM 1.6 abide by its Certificate of Convenience and Necessary -- and 17 Necessity. 1.8 It's prohibitory in that we want Mr. Burnam to 1.9 be prohibited from shutting off the water or failing to take 20 09:21AM steps necessary to keep the water flowing. 21 Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Ms. Baker. 23 MR. HARRISON: Judge, can I be heard for just 24 one second?

THE COURT: No, I'll let Ms. Baker and then

25

09:21AM

1. I'll let you.

> MR. HARRISON: Well, it goes to whether she's allowed to -- I mean, I don't know that the Office of Public Counsel is a party to this case, your Honor.

THE COURT: They've been allowed to intervene. I entered that order several days ago.

MR. HARRISON: Oh, I didn't get a copy of it, so I wasn't aware of it. So pardon me. Pardon me.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. HARRISON: Pardon me.

MS. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor.

The defendants sent a Notice of Dissolution to its customers stating that it would cease providing water service on July 1. That date is a Sunday. Therefore, the customers have no other avenues to seek their water service because the defendants are set up as a monopoly water system in this state. 7

The customers have to be protected from the whims of that monopoly. Whether they are given violations by the Department of Natural Resources makes no difference in this case. They are required to provide the service to their customers.

And we would ask that -- that this Court deny the Motion to Dismiss against Suburban. Gordon Burnam himself is Suburban Water Company. He is the only, the only person

6

7

2

3

Δ

5

8

9

09:21AM 1.0

11

12

14

1.5

13

09:22λM

16

17

18

1.9

09:22AM 20

21

22

23

24

25 09:22AM

who is a member of Suburban Water system. His actions are for and are done by him on behalf of Suburban Water system, so therefore, his actions need to be -- need to be taken into account.

The customers would be concerned that Gordon

The customers would be concerned that Gordon Burnam would turn off the water acting on his own behalf, stating that he did it on his own behalf and not on behalf of the water system.

Therefore, we would -- we would suggest and would request that the Motion to Dismiss both Gordon Burnam and Suburban Water system be denied.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrison.

MR. HARRISON: Judge, the notice came from Suburban. I mean, the -- the notice with respect to dissolution came from Suburban. Suburban is the regulated entity. Suburban is the regulated utility. There's been no allegation at all that Mr. Burnam is the regulated utility.

There's also no allegation in this petition,

Judge, as to this — I think what the other parties are
talking about is piercing the corporate veil. They haven't
alleged that. They certainly haven't alleged any facts that
would allow the Court to do that. They haven't even asked the
Court for that relief.

I would quarrel with their ability or I would question their ability to do that. They haven't -- I mean,

6

7

1

8

9

09:23АМ 1.0

11.

1.2

1.3

1.4

09:23AM 15

16

1.7

1.8

1.9

09:23AM

21

22

20

23

24

`9:24XM 25

09:24AM 09:24AM 09:24AM 09:25AM

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

1.1

1.2

1.3

14

1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

9:25AM

just looking at the fundamentals here, the four corners of the petition doesn't allege the facts that they need to allege to get the relief that they're now telling the Court that they want.

Again, we hear about the DNR. What happens if you tell us to stay in business and the DNR says, "Your problems have worsened. You're in violation"?

And what if it gets to the point where they say, "You've got to stop"?

That's why we think the DNR needs to be a party to this case. If that's not a substantial risk of inconsistent results, I don't know what is, your Honor.

Counsel for the Commission cited 386.580. That's not mentioned in the pleadings. That's beyond the scope of the pleadings. That's well beyond the scope of anything before the Court. That's got nothing to do with this case.

And then finally, I don't know how more -- how much more clearly we can be or how many more times we can say it: We're not shutting off the water.

The company, Suburban, has made arrangements with a public water supply district with respect to the emergency water, if it comes to that. They would have to -- and it would be a substantial cost that, I guess -- I guess Suburban would have to eat, that they couldn't pass on,

A Comment	1.	because of the
*	2	catastrophic th
	3	down, rather, s
	4	in, if you will
09:25AM	5	
	6	as counsel want
	7	don't think it
	8	
	9	Dismiss as to S
09:25AM	1.0	to Dismiss as t
	1 1.	,
	12	that in 386.57(
. • • •	1.3	shall be deemod
	14	
09:26AM	1.5	allegation that
	1.6	Suburban and or
	1.7	water off. So
	1.8	
	1.9	that DNR be joi
09:26AM	20	service is to b
	21.	Circuit Court,
	22	overnuled.
	23	
	24	

25

witnesses.

3:26AM

because of the PSC requirements. But if something happened catastrophic that shut the water down, or shut the system down, rather, Suburban has a way to do an emergency tapping in, if you will, with the water supply district.

So I just don't think that's an issue as much as counsel wants to make it an issue in this case. I just don't think it is.

THE COURT: Okay. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss as to Suburban will be overruled. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss as to the defendant Burnam will be overruled.

The Court is looking at Chapter 386 and notes that in 386.570 it indicates that any action by an officer shall be deemed the action of the corporation.

And the Court would note that there is an allegation that Mr. Burnam, as well as -- on behalf of Suburban and on his own, has indicated he's going to cut the water off. So I'm going to overrule that.

I'm going to overrule the motion to require that DNR be joined. I think if DNR indicates that water service is to be shut down, there's a way for that to go to Circuit Court, as I recall. So that objection will be overruled.

Okay. Anything else preliminarily?

MR. HARRISON: We're going to move to exclude

e .	3.	THE COURT: Okay. All witnesses will be
• .	2	excluded. If you are a witness in this matter, you are
	3 -	excluded at this time.
	4	Evidence by the plaintiff.
09:26AM	5	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you, your Honor.
	6	Before I call my first witness, who will be
	7	Mr. Burnam, I have several documents that I would like to
	8	admit as exhibits. Specifically, they're the exhibits that
	9	were attached to my petition. And they have been certified by
09:27AM	10	the Secretary of the Commission, pursuant to 386.290, as
	1.1.	records regularly kept by the Commission.
	12	THE COURT: What do you have?
<del></del>	13	MS. HEINTZ: I have the Report and Order
the contract of	1,4	granting Suburban its Certificate of Convenience and
09:27AM	15	Necessity.
	16	THE COURT: Which is what exhibit?
	1.7	MS. HEINTZ: I have marked it as Exhibit A.
	18	THE COURT: A?
	19	MS. HEINTZ: A. I have a copy for your Honor.
09:27AM	20	THE COURT: Mark it as Exhibit 1, please.
	21	MS. HEINTZ: Or 1, okay. Would you like a
	22	copy, your Honor?
	23	THE COURT: The court reporter will mark it as
	24	Exhibit 1. It hasn't been admitted yet, so I don't want it
09:27AM	25	yet.

	1	MS. HEINTZ: Okay.
	2	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 1 WAS MARKED FOR
	3	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	4	* * *
09:28AM	5	THE COURT: You're offering Plaintiff's
	6	Exhibit 1?
	7	MS. HEINTZ: I am.
	8	THE COURT: Any objection to that,
	9	Mr. Harrison?
09:28AM	1.0	MR. HARRISON: Is it certified?
	1.1.	MS. REINTZ: It is.
	12	MR. HARRISON: No objection.
,	13	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Baker, any objection?
	1.4	Ms. Baker?
09:28AM	1.5	MS. BAKER: I'm sorry?
	1.6	THE COURT: Any objection?
	17	MS. BAKER: No objection.
	1.8	THE COURT: Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 will
	1.9	be admitted.
09:28AM	20	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 1 WAS ADMITTED INTO
	21.	EVIDENCE.)
	22	* * *
	23	THE COURT: Okay. What else do you have?
	24	MS. HEINTZ: I have here a certified copy of
09:28AM	25	Suburban's tariff. I'll ask that this be marked as Exhibit 2.

er e	1.	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2 WAS MARKED FOR
	2	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	3	* * *
	4	THE COURT: Any objection to 2?
09:28AM	5	MR. HARRISON: It's certified as well?
	6	MS. HEINTZ: It is.
	7	MR. HARRISON: No, no objection.
	8	THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 will be
	9	admitted.
09:29AM	10	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2 WAS ADMITTED INTO
	1.1.	EVIDENCE.)
	1.2	. * * *
	1.3	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
	1.4	MS. HEINTZ: I have what I will ask to be
09:29AM	15	marked as Exhibit 3. This is the Notice of Dissolution of
	1.6	Suburban Water and Sewer Company. The Commission received a
	1.7	copy of this.
	1.8	THE COURT: Mark that as 3.
	1.9	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3 WAS MARKED FOR
09:29лм	20	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	21	* * *
	22	THE COURT: Any objection to 3.
	23	MR. HARRISON: No.
	2.4	THE COURT: Three will be admitted.
99:29AM	25	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3 WAS ADMITTED INTO

	1.	EVIDENCE.)
	2	* * *
	3	THE COURT: Okay. What else do you have?
	4	MS. HEINTZ: And lastly, your Honor, I have
09:29AM	5	what I will ask to be marked as Exhibit 4.
	6	MR. VOLKERT: Actually, I have an objection to
	7	Number 3, your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: Well, 3 has already been admitted.
	9	MR. VOLKERT: Okay. Fine.
09:29AM	1.0	MS. HEINTZ: This is a certified copy of the
	1.1.	complaint currently pending against Suburban with the Public
	1.2	Service Commission.
	13	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 4 WAS MARKED FOR
•	1.4	TDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
09:30AM	1.5	* * *
	16	THE COURT: Okay. Now, any objection to 4,
	17	Mr. Harrison?
	1.8	MR. HARRISON: Four I object to on the basis of
	19	relevance. It's a complaint. Well, relevance and hearsay.
09:30AM	20	It's full of hearsay. It's a complaint that hasn't been
	21	heard, it hasn't been discovery hasn't been done on it, it
	22	hasn't been proved. It's irrelevant to any matter before the
	23	Court today.
	2.4	THE COURT: The objection will be overruled.
^9:30AM	25	It will be admitted simply for the purpose of showing that

·*	1.	there is an action pending before the Public Service
	2	Commission and not for any truth of it.
	3	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 4 WAS ADMITTED INTO
	4	EVIDENCE.)
09:30AM	5	. * * *
	6	THE COURT: Okay. Now, what else do you have?
	7	MS. HEINTZ: That's all I have, your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: Okay. Evidence by the plaintiff.
	9	MS. HEINTZ: Gordon Burnam.
09:30∧M	1.0	MR. BURNAM: Yes.
	1.1.	THE COURT: Okay. Please come forward.
	1.2	MS. HETNTZ: Oh, I'm sorry, your Honor.
	13	Yes, Ms. Belcher has been subpoenaed and she
	1.4	will be a witness in this action and I need her to be secluded
09:31AM	1.5	as well.
	16	MR. HARRISON: She's the corporate
	17	representative for Suburban.
	18	THE COURT: Okay. Very well. She doesn't have
	19	to be excluded then.
09:31.7M	20	Okay. Please come forward, raise your right
	21	hand and be sworn.
	22	(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN BY THE COURT.)
	23	* * *
	24	THE COURT: Okay. Please take the witness
19:31AM	25	stand.

er e i	1.	(The witness complied.)
	2	* * *
	3	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Heintz.
	4	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.
	5	* * *
	6	PLAINTIFF'S EVIDENCE
	7	* * *
	8	GORDON BURNAM
	9	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
	1.0	* * *
	1.1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	12	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	13	Q. Good morning, Mr. Burnam. I won't keep you here
	1.4	long.
09:31AM	1.5	A. Okay.
	16	Q. Please state your name.
	1.7	Λ. Gordon Burnam.
	1.8	Q. And you are here under subpoena?
	1.9	A. Yes.
09:31AM	20	Q. And you are the president of the Suburban Water and
	21	Sewer Company?
	22	A. That's correct.
	.23	Q. Okay. And you are the sole shareholder of the
	24	company?
7:32AM	25	A. No.

. بسر خ	1.	Q. Who are the other shareholders?
•	2	A. Bonnie Burnam.
	3	Q. And she's your wife; is that correct?
	4	A. And secretary.
09:32AM	5	Q. Okay. And what percent of the percentage of
	6	ownership do each of you have?
	7	A. 50/50.
	8	Q. Okay.
	9	MS. HEINTZ: May I approach, your Honor?
09:32AM	1.0	THE COURT: You may.
	11	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	1.2	Q. I'm showing you what has been previously admitted
÷	1.3	as Exhibit 3. That's a Notice of Dissolution that was sent to
er ve	1.4	Suburban's customers?
09:32AM	15	A. Yes.
	1.6	Q. And this notice was prepared by your attorney at
	17	your direction?
	1.8	A. Yes.
	1.9	Q. Okay. And this notice states that water service
09:32AM	20	will be discontinued on July 1st?
	21.	A. Yes.
	22	Q. Okay. And that's Sunday?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. Okay. And you actually planned to be on vacation
09:33AM	25	in Europe when the water service was disconnected?

	Э.	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
	2.	Q. All right.
	3	MS. HEINTZ: That's all the questions I have
	4]	for Mr. Burnam, your Honor. Thank you.
09:33AM	5	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
	6	MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Judge.
	7	* * *
	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	9	BY MR. HARRISON:
09:33AM	1.0	Q. Gordon, just so the Court knows, you wear hearing
	11	aids?
	1.2	A. I wear a hearing aid, but people are sure speaking
	1.3	soft.
	1.4	Q. All right. Well, if you don't hear a question I
09:33AM	1.5	ask, tell me.
	1.6	A. Okay. I will.
	1.7	Q. I just want everybody to understand that.
	1.8	A. Okay.
	19	Q. Okay. So when was Suburban Water formed, the
09:33AM	2.0	corporation formed?
	21.	A. I think it was officially 1972, maybe '73.
	22	Q. All right. And is it correct that Suburban Water,
	23	you know, owns the components of a water system?
	24	A. Yes.
09:33λM	25	Q. Is that a fair statement?

1 Α. Yes. Q. When was that water system installed? 3 Α. I think it went in, in process, in 1973. 4 Q. And what area, just so the Judge is aware? 09:33AM 5 It's Bon Gor Lake Estates. It's a subdivision 6 located northwest of Columbia. All right. And that's a subdivision that you 8 developed as well? Α. Yes. 09:34AM 1.0 Q. All right. 11. Ά. Uh-huh. Since the time that the system was -- Well, let me 1.2 back up. Give the Judge an idea of what the system consists 1.3 14 of, just very briefly. 09:34AM 1.5 Α. Okay. 1.6 Just the components of it, the physical components 1.7 of it. 18 Α. Okay. The components of it is that we hired an 19 engineer to design the system. And we drilled the well 09:34AM 20 subject to the department -- State Department of Health and met all the requirements, and then applied to the PSC for a 21 permit to charge people for water. 2.2 23 Q.

24

25

09:34AM

- Q. But I want you to describe the physical components of the system.
  - A. Okay. The physical components is a water tower

" <sub>N</sub> .	1.	that sticks up 80 foot in the air, six foot in diameter. It's
	2	a well that's 1,050 foot deep. The pump, the 20-horse pump is
	3	located 500 feet below, and that's the one that pumps the
	4	water and puts it into the distribution system.
09:34AM	5	Q. Have there been any major upgrades to the system in
	6	the past 35 years?
	7	A. The only thing that we've done is that usually
,	8	every three to five years we have to replace the pump.
	9	Q. Okay.
09:35AM	10	A. Which is located 550 feet in the earth.
	1. 1.	Q. Okay. You did that recently?
	1.2	A. Yes. We did it in the early part of '06.
·	1.3	Q. All right. And so other than that, the standpipe
	1.4	that we call it, it's been there for the past 35 years?
09:35ΔM	15	A. That's right.
	16	Q. That's a tower?
	17	A. Yes.
	1.8	Q. And that's how tall is it?
	19	A. Eighty foot tall.
09:35AM	20	Q. And what's the purpose of the standpipe?
	21	A. Well, it keeps the water pressure. It's not a
•	22	pressure system. It's a gravity-fed system, and it's designed
	23	to keep the water at 30 psi.
	24	Q. Okay. How many people are served by the system, as
19:35ΔM	25	far as you know?

Okay. There's 37 single-family homes, and then 1. there's 118 various types of rental property, duplex -- all 2 duplexes and four-plexes. 4 All right. And is it correct that the company's only source of revenue, operating revenue, is what you charge 09:35AM 5 6 your customers? 7 That's correct. 8 0. And is it correct that the operating revenues are generally in the area of about \$23,000 a year? 09:36AM 1.0 That's correct. All right. Is it correct that there have been some 1.1 Q. physical problems with the system that have become, you know, 12 13 more problematic in recent years? 14 Α. Yeah. 1.5 09:36AM Q. T want to --16 The big thing is the water tower. And, of course, it's going to be a big expense because the DNR is requiring us 1.7 1.8 to, you know, yeah. 19 Again, just very briefly for the Court --20 09:36AM Α. Yeah. 21 -- explain to the Court what the basic problems are with the tower. 22 23 Α. The water tower. 24 Q. Well, what's the problem with the tower? 25 9:36AM Α. It leaks. In fact, we have a leak right now.

] Q. Well, is it rusted in certain parts? 2 I'm sorry. I didn't hear. Α. Has it rusted in certain parts? Q. Α. Yes. 5 09:36AM Is that a problem? All right. You mentioned, you 6 mentioned a leak. Is that a leak that recently developed? 7 Α. Yes. This week. 8 All right. All right. Otherwise, are there 9 various leaks underground from time to time? 1.0 09:36AM At time to time, but very minor and very few. 11 And are there problems with the pressure in the Q. 1.2 system? 1.3 Α. Yes. 1.4 All right. DNR has served you notice with respect Q. 1.5 to the pressure; is that right? 09:37AM 16 Α. Uh-huh. 1.7 Is it correct that these -- that the physical 18 problems with the system have become worse, have worsened over 19 the past two or three years? 20 09:37AM Yes. That's correct. 21. All right. Do you have -- Has DNR provided you Q. 22 with either requirements or suggestions as to upgrades they 23 want you to make? 24 Α. Yes.

Is one of those replacing the standpipe?

9:37AM

25

Q.

``	.1.	. W. T.Gq.1.
	2	Q. Is that correct?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Yes?
09:37AM	5	A. At the present time, that's at our engineer's and
	6	he's preparing estimates.
	. 7	Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to what it would
	8	cost to replace the standpipe?
	9	A. It would just be a ballpark figure. And I'm just
09:37AM	10	not an engineer, so I couldn't tell.
	1.1.	Q. All right. Is it a correct statement that that
	12	would be a fairly major undertaking?
	13	A. Very major.
2	1.4	Q. You're talking about an 80-foot-tall piece of
09:38AM	1.5	metal, I guess; right?
	16	A. Yeah.
	1.7	Q. That would be to be taken out and put back in;
	1.8	right?
•	19	A. Right.
09:38AM	20	Q. All right. What are the assets of Suburban Water?
	21.	A. It would be the water tower and the well.
	22 .	Q. And the rights
	23	A. And that's the two major things, other than, you
	24	know, the pipe that's in the ground.
19:38AM	25	Q. Right. Those are the physical assets?

1		Α.	Yes.
2		Q.	And then you've got the operating revenues from
	3	your custon	mers?
	4	Α.	That's it.
09:38AM	5	Q.	And that's it?
	6	Α.	Uh-huh.
	7	Q.	All right. There are no other source of revenue
	8	other than	the customers who you charge to provide water?
	9	Α.	The only thing of it is, we've personally had to
09:38AM	1.0	loan money	<b></b> · · · .
	1.1.	Q.	All right.
	1.2	А.	to Suburban in order to keep it in business.
	1.3	Q.	But there's no other operating revenue?
	1.4	Α.	No.
09:38AM	1.5	Q.	Now, in terms of expense that the company has from
	1.6	month to mo	onth and year to year, is the largest item of
	1.7	expense rep	pairs and maintenance?
	1.8	Α.	Probably the largest is electricity
	19	Q.	Okay.
09:39AM	20	Α.	for the water, you know, probably.
	21.	Q.	The pump?
	22	Α.	The repairs, you can't put a handle on it, you
	23	know. One	year you may have a lot and the next year nothing.
	24	Q.	All right.
∩9:39AM	25	Α.	It varies.

years. I	1.	Q.	All right.
	, 2		MR. HARRISON: Your Honor, can I have one
	3	second, pl	ease?
. ,	4]		THE COURT: Sure.
09:39AM	5	BY MR. HAR	RISON:
	6	Q.	Could I look at Exhibit 3, which you've got there,
	7	sir?	
	8	Α.	Yes.
	9	Q.	Which is now kind of crumpled up.
09:40AM	1.0	Α.	Yes.
	1, 1.	Q.	Just to be clear about a question you were asked on
	12	direct exai	mination
	1.3	Α.	Yes.
	1.4	Q.	you instructed Mr. Volkert, on behalf of the
09:40AM	15	corporation	n,
	1.6	Α.	That's correct.
	1. '7	Q.	to send this notice out. Is that correct?
	1.8	А.	That's correct.
	19	Q.	All right. Mr. Burnam, do you anticipate
09:40AM	2.0	requesting	a rate increase to the Public Service Commission?
	21	Α.	Yes.
	22	Q.,	And, in fact, have you already taken steps to
	23	initiate a	rate increase, the rate-increase process?
	2.4	Α.	Yes.
^9:40AM	25	Q.	Yes.

1.		Α.	Yeah, we're in the process of hiring an attorney in
2		Jefferson	City.
		Q.	To pursue that?
	4	Α.	To represent us for the PSC.
09:40AM	5	Q.	And in fact, you've hired them and signed an
	6	engagement	letter?
4	7	Α.	Yes?
	8	Q.	Is that a correct statement?
	9	A.	I'm sorry, Wom?
09:40AM	1.0	Q.	You hired, you've signed an engagement letter with
·	11	that Law firm?	
•	12	Α.	Yes.
	1.3	Q.	And you are working on submitting a retainer to
	1.4	them	
09:41AM	1.5	Λ.	That's correct.
	1.6	Q.	to get to work?
	17	Α.	(Nodding head.)
	18	Q.	All right. You need to answer verbally instead of
	19	nodding you	ar head so the court reporter can take down your
09:41AM	20	response.	
	21		Mr. Burnam, do you personally hold any I'll call
	22	them licens	ses or certifications from the Public Service
	23	Commission?	
,	24	Α.	No.
. "9:41AM	25	Q.	Are you a Certified Water Operator?

•	1.	Ä. No.
-	2	Q. Have you ever applied for any licenses or permits
	3	or certifications from the Commission?
	4	A. No.
09:41AM	5	Q. And, therefore, you've never been granted any?
	6	A. Huh-uh.
	7	Q. You personally are not a regulated utility. Is
	8	that a fair statement?
	9	A. That's correct.
09:41AM	1.0	Q. You personally are not a regulated water company.
	1. 1.	Is that a correct statement? You personally are not?
	1.2	A. No. No.
	13	Q. All right. And again, you've never applied for any
,	1.4	of those, those types of designations. Is that correct?
09:41AM	1.5	A. That's correct.
	16	Q. Mr. Burnam, you were here in the courtroom for the
	17	pretrial matters that
	1.8	A. Yes.
	1.9	Q we discussed with the Court. You heard all
09:42/\m	20	that?
	21.	A. Yes.
	22	Q. You heard my statements to the Court about about
	23	the my representations to the Court
	24	A. Yes.
^9.42AM	25	O that Suburban is not going to turn off the untar

1 on July 1? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 0. And, in fact, the notice, the Notice of Dissolution 4 for the corporation that was adopted previously has been L 09:42AM rescinded? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 The shareholders and directors of Suburban have agreed not to dissolve the corporation? 9 That's correct. Α. 1.0 09:42AM They've also agreed not to shut the water off on 0. 1.1. July 1? 12 Α. That's correct. 1.3 Q. Now, describe to the Court what kind of emergency water, you know, backup situation you have arranged with the 14 1.5 09:43AM public water supply system? 16 Okay. We have an arrangement with Public Water 17 District No. 1 where we have an interconnect that in case, you 1.8 know, we have major problems, which we do on a time like 19 replacing the pump or the water tower, and we have an interconnection with them. And they charge us a rate of \$5 a 20 09:43AM 21 thousand gallon. 22 Q. Okay. All right. So is it correct that if 23 something major, if some major malfunction would happen with

.

the system, you've got that as a backup?

That's correct.

24

25

Α.

9:43AM

of plants.	1.	Q. All right. And is it also correct that Suburban is
	2	not going to is agreeing and has agreed not to shut off the
	3	water as long as things as long as we're talking to the
	4	Public Service Commission about a way to resolve your
09:43AM	5	financial problems?
	6	A. That's correct.
	7	Q. And you're committing here on the stand to that?
	8	Λ. Yes.
	9	Q. All right. And you've authorized your attorneys to
09:44AM	1.0	tell the Commission that. Is that a correct statement?
	1.1.	A. Yes.
	1.2	MR. HARRISON: Nothing further.
	1.3	THE COURT: Ms. Baker.
a de la companya de l	1.4	MS. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
09:44AM	15	* * *
	1.6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
-	. 17	BY MS. BAKER:
	1.8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Burnam. Through the tariff that
	19	was given to Suburban Water and Sewer, you collect revenue
09:44AM	20	from the customers; isn't that correct?
	21	A. That's correct.
	22	Q. And in return for that revenue, the customers are
	23	to receive safe and adequate water service; is that correct?
	24	A. Yes.
19:44AM	25	Q. Suburban Water and Sewer is the only source of

N .	1.	water that the customers have for water service; isn't that
•	2	correct?
	3	A. Well, here's the situation. Like in the
	4	subdivision, okay, one street may be on the Public Water
09:44ДМ	5	District No. 1 and one the back of it may be on Suburban.
	6	Q. Okay. But for the customers of Suburban Water and
	7	Sewer Company, Suburban Water and Sewer Company
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q is the only source of water that they have?
09:45AM	10	A. That's correct.
	1.1.	Q. From your testimony today, you're stating that the
	12	water system there for Suburban Water and Sewer, which
	13	supplies water to Suburban Water and Sewer customers, has been
*	14	allowed to deteriorate; isn't that correct?
09:45AM	15	A. Yes. It has deteriorated.
	16	MS. BAKER: I have no further questions.
	. 17	THE COURT: Redirect.
	18	* * *
	19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
09:45AM	20	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	21	Q. Suburban has a Certificate of Convenience and
	22	Necessity?
•	23	A. That's correct.
•	24	Q. All right. And Suburban is legally obligated to
^9:45ΔM :	25	provide safe and adequate water service?

## The second second

ا.	MR. HARRISON: Calls for a legal conclusion.
2	THE COURT: Objection will be sustained.
3	THE WITNESS: Answer?
4	MR. HARRISON: No, you may not answer.
5	MS. HEINTZ: You may not answer.
6	Okay. Could I have one minute, your Honor?
7	BY MS. HEINTZ:
8	Q. Do you understand Suburban's obligations to provide
9	safe and adequate water service?
1.0	A. Say again, please.
1.1.	Q. Do you understand Suburban's obligation to provide
1.2	safe and adequate water service?
1.3	A. Yes.
1.4	MR. HARRISON: Well, I'll object to that. I
15	mean, I think they can ask what his understanding is. I don't
16	think they can I think they're back-door asking for a legal
1.7	conclusion.
1.8	THE COURT: Re-ask your question.
1.9	BY MS. HEINTZ:
20	Q. Do you understand what Suburban's obligations are
21	with regard to provision of water service?
22	MR. HARRISON: Same objection because it's the
23	same question.
24	THE COURT: Objection will be overruled at this
25	point. You may answer.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

MS. HEINTZ: You may. 2 THE WITNESS: I can answer? 3 MR. HARRISON: Yeah. 4 Λ. Yes. 5 09:47AM BY MS. HEINTZ: 6 Okay. Without an order of this Court, is it your O. 7 intention, Suburban's intention, not to pay for any major 8 repairs if there's a system breakdown? 9 Well, if you could explain to me how we're going to Α. 09:47AM 1.0 get the revenue to make the repairs the DNR wants. 1.1. MS. HEINTZ: You're Honor, I'd like that answer 1.2 stricken as nonresponsive. 1.3 THE COURT: Okay. Answer the question, please. 1.4 THE WITNESS: Restate it, please. 1.5 09:47AM BY MS. HETNTZ: 1.6 Without an order of the Court, is it your intention or Suburban's intention not to make any necessary repairs to 17 the system in the event of a breakdown that would cause a loss 18 19 of water service? 20 MR. HARRISON: Judge, I think that calls for 09:47AM 21 speculation because we don't know what's going to happen in 22 the future. We don't know the extent or the nature of what 23 kind of repairs might be needed. 24 THE COURT: Objection will be overruled. 25 9:48AM may answer if he knows.

/···· .	1.	A. We repaired a leak this week.
	2	MS. HEINTZ: Your Honor, could I ask that that
	3	answer be stricken as nonresponsive?
	4	THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question,
09:48AM	5	please.
	6	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I can't hear you. If
	7	you'd like to come closer, maybe I
	8	MS. HEINTZ: May I approach?
	9	THE COURT: You may.
09:48AM	1.0	BY MS. HEINTZ:
,	1.1.	Q. Without an order of the court
	12	MS. HEINTZ: Actually, could I have you read
	13	the question back so we so I can say it the same way again.
	1.4	THE COURT: Just ask the question again.
09:48AM 1.5 E		BY MS. HEINTZ:
	1.6	Q. I hope I get this right.
	17	Without an order of the Court, okay, is it your
	1.8	intention or Suburban's intention not to pay for any repairs
	1.9	that would become necessary to provide safe and adequate water
09:48AM	20	service?
	21	A. I can't predict the unknown.
	22	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.
	23	THE COURT: Any other questions?
	24	MS. HEINTZ: I don't believe so, your Honor.
9:48AM	25	If I could have just one more minute.

Account.	1.		THE COURT: Okay.
	2	<del>-</del>	MS. HEINTZ: That's all. Thank you.
	3		THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
	4		MR. HARRISON: One second, please.
09:49AM	5		No. No questions.
	6	·	THE COURT: Ms. Baker?
	7		MS. BAKER: No further questions. Thank you.
	8		THE COURT: You may step down.
	9		May this witness be finally excused?
09:49AM	1.0		MR. HARRISON: Yes.
	11		THE COURT: Since he is leaving this afternoon.
•	1.2		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
:** <u>.</u>	1.3		MR. HARRISON: Judge, may I have one second?
·	1.4		THE COURT: Sure.
09:49AM	15		Further evidence by the plaintiff.
	16	19	MS. HEINTZ: Bob Gilbert.
	1.7	,	THE COURT: Please come forward, raise your
	1.8	right hand and	be sworn.
	1.9		(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN BY THE COURT.)
09:50AM	20		* * *
	21		THE COURT: Please take the witness stand.
	22		(The witness complied.)
	23		* * *
	24		THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Heintz.
1:50AM	25		(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 5 WAS MARKED FOR

	1.	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)				
	2	* * *				
	3	BOB GILBERT				
	4	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:				
	5	* * *				
	6	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
	7 ·	BY MS. HEINTZ:				
	8	Q. Good morning.				
	9	A. Good morning.				
5	1.0	Q. Please state your name and give your business				
	11.	address.				
	1.2	A. My name is Bob Gilbert. Business address				
	1.3	is 1 sorry 1719 Southridge Drive, Suite 100, Jefferson				
	1.4	City, Missouri.				
1	1.5	Q. Thank you. Are you here under subpoena?				
	1.6	A. Yes.				
	17	Q. By whom are you employed?				
	1.8	A. Bartlett and West Engineers.				
	1.9	Q. Okay. And could you please briefly describe your				
	20	professional credentials?				
	21.	A. I have a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering				
	22	from the University of Missouri-Columbia, and I'm a Licensed				
	23	Professional Engineer in Missouri and Kansas.				
	24	Q. How long have you been an engineer?				
	25	A. I've been practicing for 10 years.				

09:50AM

09:50AM

09:51AM

1 MS. HEINTZ: May I approach, your Honor? 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 BY MS. HEINTZ: 4 I'm handing you what I have asked the court 09:51AM 5 reporter to mark as Exhibit Number 5. Do you recognize this 6 report? Α. Yes. 8 Ο. Who authored this report? 9 Α. I did. 09:51AM 1.0 Did you have primary responsibility for the 1.1 creation of the report? 1.2 Α. Yes. 1.3 And why was the report created? Q. 14 Α. The report was created at the request of Consolidated Public Water Supply District No. 1 of 09:51AM 1.5 1.6 Boone County. Basically, the Public Service Commission had 1.7 approached the Water District to consider taking over 1.8 ownership or supplying water to Suburban Water Company. And 1.9 the District would like to understand and know what kind of 20 issues might be encountered if the Water District were to 09:52AM 21 supply water. 22 Okay. And does this report give Consolidated No. 1 23 any advice about the feasibility of supplying water to the 24 Suburban system? 25 ∩9:52λM Α. Yes, it does.

Q. And what advice is contained in that report?

A. Well, the advice is based on some of the concerns that are presented in the report. Basically, the Water District has water, in terms of gallons of water, in the area. The Water District has a six-inch main on the west side of Wade School Road and a four-inch main on the south side Miller Road. And so the water is in the area.

But the concerns that were presented in the report deal with more of how the water is actually conveyed through the system. The concerns that I have in reviewing the information is that the static pressures of the Suburban Water Company system and the Water District system are different.

Basically, the static pressures are what we refer to as the highest pressures that are in the middle of the night, basically, when there's no demand on the system and the tanks are filling up and receiving the highest pressure point. That's the highest that the system sees.

We were made aware of the Suburban Water Company's approximate pressure of around 30 psi in their system. And then the static pressures in the District's system nearby would produce pressures between 65 and 75 psi on the Suburban Water Company's system, if it were to supply water.

And so that difference in pressure is a concern to me that that system would be able to handle that kind of

6

8

9

1

1.

2.

3

5

09:52AM 1.0

1.1.

1.2

1.3

1.4

...

09:53АМ 1.5

16

1.7

1.8

1.9

09:53лм 20

21

22

23

24

19:53АМ 25

1.

2

3

4

09:54AM

6

5

7

8

9

09:54AM 10

1.1.

12

13

14

09:54AM 1.5

1.6

1.7

18

1.9

20

09:55AM

21

22

23

2.4

9:55AM 25

pressure, you know. Obviously, if it can't handle the pressure, leaks can spring, whether it be in the system itself or in homes in the area.

And so because of that, the report provided advice to the district that, if water were to be served through a connection, even on an emergency basis, that we'd be concerned with the amount of pressure that's there; and that one potential solution would be a pressure-reducing valve, kind of like a pressure regulator in a home, to convert the higher pressure to a lower pressure at that entry point.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And if I could have you turn to the last page of the report, please.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Are you familiar with the estimate contained on this page?
  - A Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And what does this estimate represent?
- A. This estimate represents the cost of what I call comprehensive improvements to the Suburban Water Company's system to bring it up to the Water District standards, whether it be the, basically, the line size upgrade, new pipes, as well as some of the peripheral issues that are in the area.

There are no meters on certain structures and buildings in that area. And so this estimate includes the setting of all new meters and to meet the District's standards

3

4

09:55AM 5

6

7

9

09:56AM 1.0

11

1.2 13

14

1.5 09:56AM

1.6

1.7

1.8

19

20 09:56AM

21

22

23

24

25 9:57AM

and to meet the District's locations, too. The meters are all in the back yards in this case. And so this estimate includes setting new meters in front.

The demolition of the standpipe and the well that are there. And so kind of a comprehensive estimate for bringing it to what the District usually sees.

- Okay. So this is basically building a whole new Q. system up from scratch. Is that a fair statement?
  - Α. Basically, yes.
- Okay. And if we put aside the cost of constructing an entirely new system, what minimum improvements would, in your opinion, would need to be made before Consolidated No. 1 could safely supply water to the Suburban system?
- In terms of being able to supply the water, again, the recommendation in the report of a pressure-reducing valve at the entry point to the system is recommended. Obviously, to supply the water to the system, there needs to be meters on all of the units so that it's understood what is being supplied and can be tracked.

One of the concerns and information contained in the report is that the only data that we really had to do a report was the pump meter on the well. Basically, there are no meters on every single home.

So the only data that we had was what comes out of the ground and then try to convert that to average supply and

].

2

3

4

09:57AM

5

7

8

9

09:57AM 10

1.1.

1.2

14

1.3

09:58AM 1.5

1.6

1.7

19

1.8

09:58АМ 20

21

22

23

24

9:58AM 25

demand in the system. So meters are needed so that we can understand what's being supplied as well as what is being paid for.

And then also, the standpipe and well itself would have to be disconnected, decommissioned in some fashion to get it off the system, because again, the District's system is at a higher pressure and it would need to be essentially disconnected from their well is standpipe facility.

The other concern, I guess, that I would share, too, is that just supplying water from the District to the Suburban Water supply brings some concerns because of some of the past operation of the system.

There have been instances in the past where the District's connection that's already there through a two-inch meter has served Suburban Water's supply for emergency purposes. I understand it was during some repairs to their standpipe and so forth.

The records that we received from Suburban Water Company indicated that approximately 293,000 gallons of water were passed through the District's meter in three days. And just looking at the average consumption of the Suburban Water supply system, basically 263,000 gallons is typically consumed in seven days.

And so, again, we don't know where all that water went, but in three days time, two-and-a-half -- more than

two-and-a-half times the water that typically goes into the system was used.

That, again, it just presents concerns. I don't know where that water went, if it was a very high demand during those three days, if there was a leak. Those kinds of concerns are there. And so, obviously, the concern, in terms of supplying the water, you know, is: Can it all be reimbursed for? That water just goes through the meter, and we don't know where it's going.

- Q. Okay. And do you have an estimate -- an estimate of the costs of those minimum improvements that you talked about? This estimate that is on the last page of the report represents basically an entirely new system. But you talked about wanting a pressure-reducing valve, wanting, you know, the standpipe taken down, you talked about some other --
  - A. Meters.
- Q. Meters, some things that you, minimally, that you would think that Consolidated would need to -- well, to safely supply water to the system even.
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. You don't know?
  - A. I haven't done an estimate on that.
  - Q. Okay. Fair enough.

MS. HEINTZ: I don't believe I have anything else. Let me just take one minute.

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

1.2

1.3

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

1.9

2.0

21

09:59AM

09:59AM

09:59AM

09:59AM

24

10:00AM . 25

THE COURT: Mr. Harrison.

MS. HEINTZ: I'm sorry, your Honor. I asked

for one minute, please.

BY MS. HEINTZ:

Q. Okay. Mr. Burnam has assured this Court that Consolidated No. 1 is standing by to provide water to Suburban's customers in the event of an emergency. As a professional engineer who is familiar with the Suburban system, does this cause you any concern, just the provision of water on an emergency basis?

A. Again, the concern I would have is that, in the past, when that was used, the District's system was used to supply water on an emergency basis, there was an extensive water amount of used and we do not know why and where that water went.

I would be concerned with an unconfirmed time frame of, basically, of the District's system supplying water.

Again, in three days, a lot of water went somewhere, and we're not sure where it went. Without the confirmation and understanding of an emergency being just for a repair or a short period of time, I would be concerned with, again, the loss of a lot of water, and then on — on the District's standpoint of being compensated for that water.

Q. Okay. All right.

MS. HEINTZ: I offer Mr. Gilbert as an expert,

I.O: 00AM

6

1.

2

3

4

8

9

1.0:00AM 1.0

1. 1.

1.2

1.3

1.4

1.0:00AM

16

15

17 18

1.9

10:01AM 20

2.1

22

23

24

~

10:01AM 25

-	1.	and I'd also like to offer Exhibit 5 into evidence.
•	2	MR. HARRISON: Is that the report?
	3	MS. HEINTZ: That's the report.
	4	THE COURT: Any objection to 5?
10:01AM	5	MR. HARRISON: No.
	6	THE COURT: Five will be admitted.
	7	(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 5 WAS ADMITTED INTO
	8	EVIDENCE.)
	9	* * *
10:01AM	1.0	THE COURT: Anything else?
	1.1	MS. HETNTZ: That's all for Mr. Gilbert.
	12	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison.
	13	* * *
e ·	1.4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10:01AM	1.5	BY MR. HARRISON:
	16	Q. Sir, your report, Exhibit 5, again, it gives an
	1,7	estimate of approximately \$400,000. And that's to bring the
	1.8	Suburban system or to modify the Suburban system, if you will
	1.9	to make it compatible with the Public Water Supply District
10:02AM	20	system. Is that a fair characterization?
	21	A. I wouldn't say compatible, but to bring it to the
	22	District's standard.
	23	Q. All right. When you prepared your report, did you
	24	have any materials from the Department of Natural Resources
10.02AM	25	with respect to the Suburban plant. Ital call ita

ر محمور	1.	A. No.
s *	2	Q. You didn't consult any DNR materials, findings and
	3	so forth?
	4	A. I did not.
1.0:02AM	5	Q. With respect to Suburban?
	6	A. Huh-uh.
	7	Q. Have you since seen any of those materials?
	. 8	A. No.
	9	Q. Okay. So no one at the Public Water Supply
10:02AM	10	District or anyone else supplied you with any materials from
	11	DNR as to problems that they see with the system, with the
	12	Suburban system?
	13	A. No, no records. In meetings with the PSC and the
	14	District, I'm aware of concerns with the storage facility and
10:03AM	1.5	its previous repairs and need for repair.
	1.6	Q. The standpipe?
	1.7	A. Yes.
	18	Q. Is that what you're talking about?
	19	A. Yes.
1.0:03AM	20	Q. Okay. I'm sorry.
	21	A. Yes.
•	22	Q. All right. So this report doesn't address DNR
	23	concerns. Is that a fair statement?
	24	A. Are you talking about the estimate?
10:03AM	25	Q. Correct. I'm sorry. The estimate.

~~. 	1.	A. The estimate. The estimate basically does answer
	2	any concerns about the standpipe because it's taken out of
	3	commission.
	4	Q. But it doesn't address what it would cost to
1.0:03AM	5	replace the standpipe?
	6	A. The standpipe would not be replaced if the whole
	7	system were to be
	8	Q. Under your scenario; right?
	9	A. Yes.
10:03AM	1, 0	Q. But otherwise, outside your scenario, it doesn't
	1.1.	this report doesn't address what it would take to replace the
	1.2	standpipe, if that were required by the DNR?
	1.3	A. No.
. •	14	MS. HEINTZ: Objection. Relevance.
1.0:04AM	15	Q. Or by some other engineer?
	16	A. No.
•	1.7	THE COURT: Objection will be overruled.
	18	Q. Is that correct?
	1.9	A. No, it does not.
10:04AM	20	Q. All right. And your testimony was that there was
	21.	water that was supplied or that there was a
	22	larger-than-normal amount of water, I should say, in a
	23	three-day period used by Suburban from the Public Water Supply
	24	District?

A. Yes.

	1,	2. But your testimony also was that you don't know
	2	where that water went?
	- 3	A. No. There are inadequate records of either meters
	4	on buildings to tell if it was a demand situation or if it was
10:04AM	5	a leak.
	6	Q. Right.
	7	A. We don't know.
	8	Q. Right. So there could be any number of
	9	explanations for that?
10:04AM	1.0	A. Yes.
	1.1.	Q. Okay.
	12	MR. HARRISON: Nothing further.
	1.3	THE COURT: Ms. Baker?
. *	14	MS. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
10:05AM	15	* * *
	1.6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	17	BY MS. BAKER:
	1.8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Gilbert.
	1.9	A. Good morning.
10:05AM	20	Q. Suburban Water and supply has the ability to
	21	continue providing water service through the Consolidated
	22	Public Water Supply District's emergency connection even if
	23	Suburban Water and Sewer's well and or water tower fails;
	24	isn't that correct?
):05AM	25	A. Yes.

	1.	Q. And Consolidated Water and Sewer has been has
	2	provided water to its customers through this emergency
	3	connection in the past; isn't that correct?
-	4	A. Yes.
10:05AM	5	Q. And your concerns that were addressed in your
	6	report as Exhibit Number 5 are based on a determination of
	7	whether Consolidated would encounter problems if Consolidated
	8	owned the system, not on the emergency connection itself;
	. 9	isn't that correct?
1.0:05AM	10	A. The report looked at the availability from both the
·	11	consideration of ownership and operations as well as potential
	12	just supply issues.
	1.3	Q. But nothing in your report came up with the
and T	1.4	recommendation that the emergency connection be discontinued;
10:06AM	1.5	is that correct?
	16	A. That's correct.
	17	MS. BAKER: No further questions.
	1.8	THE COURT: Redirect.
	19	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you, your Honor.
10:06AM	20	* * *
	21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	22	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	23	Q. I just have one. And this is again with respect to
	24	Exhibit 5. Consolidated 1 prepared this report, had this
MA60:05	25	report prepared by you at its own expense after it was

	1.	approached by PSC; is that correct?
<b>'.</b>	2	A. That's correct.
·	3	MS. HEINTZ: That's all. Thank you.
	4	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
10:06AM	5	MR. HARRISON: No questions.
	6	THE COURT: Ms. Baker?
	7	MS. BAKER: No further questions.
	8	THE COURT: May this witness be finally
	9	excused?
1.0:06AM	1.0	MS. HEINTZ: Yes, your Honor.
	1.1	THE COURT: Okay. You are finally excused.
	1.2	You may step down.
	1.3	Call your next witness.
	14	MS. HEINTZ: Martin Hummel.
10:07AM	15	THE COURT: Please come forward, raise your
	16	right hand and be sworn.
	1.7	(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN BY THE COURT.)
	1.8	* * *
	1.9	THE COURT: Okay. Please take the witness
10:07AM	20	stand.
	21	(The witness complied.)
	22	* * *
	23	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Heintz.
	24	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.
10:07AM	25	Again, your Honor, I request that the Court

1 accept Mr. Hummel as an expert. 2 THE COURT: Proceed. 3. MARTIN HUMMEL 4 being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 10:07AM 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. HEINTZ: 8 Good morning. Q. 9 Ά. Good morning. 1.0 10:08AM Ο. Please state your name. 1.1. Α. My name is Martin Hummel. 12 Q. Briefly describe your professional credentials. 1.3 I have a Bachelor of Science degree with -- Science Α. 14 Education and a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering from 1.5 the University of Missouri and I'm a Certified Water and MA80:01 16 Wastewater Operator. 17 0. Okay. And by whom are you employed? 1.8 . I'm employed by the Missouri Public Service 19 Commission. 20 How long have you been employed by the PSC? 1.0:08AM 0. 21 I've been employed with the PSC since 1989. 22 And could you briefly describe for the Court your Q. 23 job duties? 24 I provide technical oversight to the provision of 25 water and sewer utility service. 10:08AM

Okay. How far back does your knowledge of Suburban 1. Ο. 2 Water and Sewer Company go? 3 I first worked with Suburban in 1989. 4 Okay. And when is the last time that you performed 0. 5 a thorough inspection of the water system? 10:08AM 6 In February of 2005. 7 Okay. And did you perform a brief visual 8 inspection of the exterior of the system's well and standpipe in the spring of this year, in 2007? 1.0 Α. Yes, I did. 10:09AM 1.1 Why did you perform that brief inspection? 12 I had not looked at the system for two years, and Α. 1.3 we were in Columbia and felt like it was a good idea to see 14 what'we could see at the time. 1.5 And that was because the Commission had received 10:09AM 16 the Notice of Dissolution by that point? 17 Α. Yes. 1.8 Q. Okay. MS. HEINTZ: May I approach, your Honor? 19 20 THE COURT: You may. 10:09AM 21 BY MS. HEINTZ: 22 I'm handing you what has been marked and admitted Q. 23 as Exhibit 5. Are you familiar with this report? Yes, I have seen it. 24 Α. Okay. And in your professional opinion, are the 25 Ο. 0:09AM

	_1_	concerns porticed out with regard to the condition of the
	2	system in the report, are those concerns legitimate?
	3	A. Yes.
	4.	Q. And would you agree that improvements would need to
10:10AM	5	be made to the system before Consolidated No. 1 could safely
	6	provide water to it?
	7 *	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Okay. And what result would you ultimately like to
	9	see with regard to the system?
1.0:1.0AM	10	A. I would want to see that the system is providing
	11.	safe and adequate service without a threat of any any
	12	threat of discontinuance of that service.
•	13	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you. I believe that's all,
	1.4	your Honor. If I could just have one minute.
10:10AM	1.5	That's all. Thank you.
	16	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
	17	* * *
	1.8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	1.9	BY MR. HARRISON:
10:10AM	20	Q. Is it your opinion that improvements need to be
	21	made to the Suburban system in order for Suburban to provide
	22	safe and adequate water?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. What would those improvements be?
10:1.17M	25	A. The immediate improvements is that meters have to

1 be installed to all buildings.

2

3

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $1.0:1.1\Delta M$ 

10:11AM

10:12AM

10:32AM

0:12AM

- Q. Okay. Are there any others?
- A. The standpipe needs to be replaced.
- Q. Are there any others?
- A. There are some improvements needed probably at the well. I'm -- I wouldn't give specific details on those. I just know that there are -- that needs to be looked at.
- Q. You wouldn't give them because you don't -- because you're not familiar with them?
  - A. I haven't seen the well for over two years now.
- Q. Are there any others that need to be made or any other upgrades or changes that need to be made?
- A. There may well be, but the primary one is that you have to get meters in, in order to be able to make some determinations about what other improvements to make and how to prioritize them.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to what the cost would be to make those changes and improvements that you just went through?
- A. I don't have an opinion in terms of a very specific price.
  - Q. Do you have an opinion with --
- A. And off the cuff, without putting a pencil to it, I wouldn't want to give a dollar number. But the cost of putting meters in, I would consider that to be nominal. It's

not expensive, it shouldn't be, on this system.

- You'd agree, though, that replacing the standpipe wouldn't be nominal?
  - Α. Correct.
  - Ο. It would be substantial?
  - It would be the cost of the standpipe.
- Right. And do you have an estimate as to what that Q. cost would be?
- Ά. Not without knowing what standpipe was going to be -- I mean, whether I'm going to replace it with exactly the same standpipe that I have there or not. Off the cuff, I don't have a dollar number in my head. It wouldn't be that difficult to -- If that was what was going to be done, as opposed to getting water from the Consolidated Water District, then it would not be that difficult to get that price.
- Would you agree that something like replacing the standpipe is a significant and substantial improvement and would cost a significant amount of money? It's not something. that you'd --
- It would cost a significant amount of money, but before you would ever do that, you would want to make a determination as to whether that's what you want to do versus buying water from the Consolidated Public Water Supply District.
  - If water was bought from the Public Water Supply

10:12AM

6

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10 10:12AM

1.1

12

1.3

14

1.5 1.0:13AM

1.6

17

1.8

19

20 10:13AM

21

22

23

24

25 1.0:1.3AM

_	
	10:14AM

2

3

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

1.4

1.5

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:15AM

District, Suburban would have to continue to charge the rate	∋ :
that it's currently charging to its customers; correct? It	
couldn't alter the prices, it couldn't alter the rates that	
are being charged without the Commission	

- A. They certainly could come to the Public Service Commission and have those rates changed. And we would expect that. We would expect those rates to reflect the cost of service, whatever that cost of service is.
  - Q. But without that consent, they couldn't do it?
  - A. Without what?
  - Q. Without that consent, they couldn't do it?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So am I to understand from your testimony that right now that it's your opinion that Suburban is not providing safe and adequate water to its customers?
- A. I would say that they are not providing safe and adequate service when there is a threat to those customers that that water service might be shut off. That is not safe and adequate.
- Q. Well, but the quality of the water itself, aside from that, aside from the threat to shut it off?
- A. The quality of water that's available from that well appears to be okay.
- Q. So aside from the -- aside from the shut-off issue, it your opinion that safe and adequate water and safe and

1 | adequate service are being provided?

- A. That's -- It's a matter of degree, to some extent. Without providing metered service to those customers, that is a problem in itself.
- Q. I don't understand your answer. It's a matter of degree?
- A. When you say safe and adequate service, there are certain components to that. There are -- It's not always just a -- just a yes or a no exactly.
- Q. Well, I guess I'm asking you to explain it. In other words, as I understand the law, and that's what Suburban's obligation is, I'm asking you, as somebody who's been offered as an expert, whether --
  - A. There are improvements needed.
- Q. Well, I'm asking you, though, whether safe and adequate water and safe and adequate service are being provided now. And I'm not sure I understand your answer.
- A. If you if you just give one blank answer, the answer would be no at this point.
- Q. Sir, do you recall maybe in 2005 when a request was made by Suburban to the Commission to allow Suburban to use Public Water Supply District Water to pass through the costs to the consumers, to the public, to the Suburban customers?
  - Λ. Yes.
    - MS. HEINTZ: Objection. That's a collateral

6

3

7

8

a

10:15AM 10

11

1.2

1.3

1.4

10:15AM 15

1.6

1.7

1.8

1.9

10:16AM 20

21

22

23

24

10:16AM 25

1 attack on a final Commission order. 2 THE COURT: Objection will be overruled. 3 Do you recall that request being made? 4 Α. I recall there was some kind of request along those lines because at that time they were still wanting to decide 1.0:1.7AM 6 what's the way to go with the system --0 And were you involved ---- how to move forward in the future. Q. I'm sorry. Were you involved in that request and 1.0:17AM 1.0 the consideration of that request? 1.1. I was not involved in the -- in the looking at 12 those costs and -- except for evaluating it, the technical 13 aspects of the water system. 14 And ultimately, at that -- Well, that was in 2005, 1.5 is that correct, as far as you can recall? 1.0:1.7AM 16 Α. Yes. 17 And at that time, that request was denied by the 1.8 Commission; isn't that correct? 19 I don't know that I could speak to that issue very 20 well because I was primarily involved in the technical aspects 10:17AM of the system, not in the process of the rate design and 21 22 the -- and the issue of --23 Q. Well, I guess I'm just asking for your 24 recollection. Are you saying you don't remember one way or 25 another? MA8.E:0

· <del>·</del> .	1.	MS. HEINTZ: Objection. The witness has
	2	already said that he has no knowledge. He can't answer.
	3	MR. HARRISON: I don't think he did.
	4	THE COURT: He may answer. The objection will
1.0:1.8AM	5	be overruled.
	6	Λ. That's not an area that I was primarily involved.
	7	Q. I understand.
	8	A. I can't give an answer on that. I was involved in
	9	the technical aspects, the physical plant aspects and that
J.O:18AM	10	side of it.
	1.1.	Q. You don't have any I'm just asking about what
	1.2	you remember, what you know, your knowledge.
1	13	A. No, I can't I don't remember enough to give you
	1.4	a good answer on that.
10:18AM	15	Q. Do you have a file there relating to Suburban?
	1.6	A. Yes.
	1.7	Q. What's in that file? What's that file?
	1.8	A. These are Most of this is notes that I use or
	1.9	rely on from the standpoint of providing safe and adequate
1.0:1.9AM	20	service.
	21	Q. I'm going to hand you
	22	MR. HARRISON: I guess I better mark this.
	23	(DEFENDANT'S EXHEBIT A WAS MARKED FOR
	24.	IDEN'EXETCATION BY THE REPORTER.)
0:19AM	25	* * *

	1	MR. HARRISON: May I proceed?
	2	THE COURT: You may.
	3	BY MR. HARRISON:
	4	Q. Does your file contain any correspondence, sir,
10:19AM	5	your file that you have there?
	6	A. I'm sure it does.
	7	Q. Well, I'm going to hand you Defendant's Exhibit A,
	8	which is a letter from the Public Service Commission to
	9	Suburban, dated April 5, 2005. Do you see that?
10:19AM	10	A. Yes
	11	Q. Have you seen that letter before?
	1.2	A. I don't recall actually seeing this letter.
	13	Q. The author
	14	A. I don't.
10:20AM	15	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
	16	A. I wasn't involved in the sending of this letter.
	17	Q. The author of the letter is James Russo?
	1.8	A. Russo.
	1.9	Q. And it says
10:20AM	20	MS. HEINTZ: Objection. The witness has no
	21.	knowledge.
	22	THE COURT: Objection will be sustained.
	23	MR. HARRISON: I'm trying to refresh his
	2.4	recollection, your Honor.
0:20AM	25	MS. HEINTZ: He has said he has no knowledge,

	1.	your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: He said he's never seen it.
	3	BY MR. HARRISON:
	4	Q. What's Mr. Russo's capacity with the PSC?
10:21AM	5	A. He's revolved involved in the rate determination
	6	and design for water and wastewater companies.
	7	Q. All right.
	8	MR. HARRISON: No further questions.
	9	THE COURT: Ms. Baker?
10:21AM	1.0	MS. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
	1.1.	* * *
•	1.2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	13	BY MS. BAKER:
	14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hummel.
10:21AM	15	A. Good morning.
	16	Q. From your inspection of Suburban Water and Sewer,
-	17	is it your opinion that the water system supplying water to
	1.8	the customers has been allowed to deteriorate?
	1.9	A. Yes.
10:21AM	20	Q. Are you aware that Suburban has an emergency
	21.	connection through Consolidated Water Supply District?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. Does this emergency connection give Suburban the
	24	ability to supply water to its customers even if Suburban
10:22AM	25	Water and Sewer's well and/or the water tower fails or is in

J	1	violation of DNR?							
water .	2	A. Yes.							
	3	Q. In your opinion, was safe and adequate service							
	4	threatened by the letter the customers received, saying their							
10:22AM	5	water service would be shut off July 1st?							
	6	A. Yes.							
	7	MS. BAKER: No further questions.							
	8	THE COURT: Redirect.							
	9	* * *							
1.0:22AM	1.0	REDIRECT EXAMINATION							
	11	BY MS. HEINTZ:							
	1.2	Q. Mr. Hummel, you had some role in the 2005 rate case							
`	1.3	that Suburban had in front of the Public Service Commission?							
under de la companya	1.4	A. Yes, in terms of							
10:22AM	1.5	Q. And do you know I'm sorry. Go ahead. Finish							
	16	your answer.							
	17	A. In terms of giving input as to the physical plant,							
	18	technical aspects of the water provision.							
	1.9	Q. Do you know what the outcome of that rate case was,							
1.0:22AM	20	as to whether or not an increase was granted to Suburban, a							
	21	rate increase?							
	22	A. It I'm not very up-to-speed on the very							
	23	specifics of it, but I understand there was some increase							
	24	provided in that rate case.							
-√.0:23AM	25	Q. Okay. Thank you. And the questions Mr. Harrison							

	1	asked you about the cost of service and the cost of repairs
and the second	2	and everything like that, those issues would be thoroughly
	3	addressed in a rate case filing in front of the Commission; is
	4 .	that correct?
1.0:23AM	5	A. Would you repeat the question again?
	6	Q. Well, Mr. Harrison asked you a series of questions
	7	about improvements and how much certain improvements would
	8	cost and what improvements might be needed, how expensive
	9	those costs would be. Those are the issues that the
1.0:23AM	1.0	Commission would look at in a rate increase, would they not?
•	1.1.	A. Yes. Yes.
	12	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you. That's all.
	1.3	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
	14	MR. HARRISON: No questions.
10:23AM	15	THE COURT: Ms. Baker?
	16	MS. BAKER: No questions.
	17	THE COURT: May this witness be finally
-	18	excused?
	19	MS. HEINTZ: Yes, your Honor.
10:23AM	20	THE COURT: Okay. You are finally excused.
	21	You may step down.
	22	Call your next witness.
	23	MS. HEINTZ: Jim Merciel.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. Please come forward, raise
	25	vour right hand and be sworn.

	1.	(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN BY THE COURT.)
	2	* * *
	3	THE COURT: Okay. Please take the witness
	4	stand.
10:24AM	5	(The witness complied.)
	6	* * *
	7	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Heintz.
	8	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.
	9	JAMES A. MERCIEL JR.
10:24AM	1.0	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
	11	* * *
	12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	1.3	BY MS. HEINTZ:
"Manual"	1.4	Q. Please state your name.
10:24AM	1.5	A. James A Merciel Jr.
	1.6	Q. Thank you. Could you briefly describe your
	17	professional credentials?
	1.8	A. I have a Bachelor's degree in Civil Engineering
	19	which I got in 1977. I am a Registered Professional Engineer
10:25AM	20	in the State of Missouri.
	21	MS. HEINTZ: Okay. At this time, your Honor, I
	22	would offer Mr. Merciel as an expert.
	23	THE COURT: You may proceed.
	24	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.
0:25AM	25	BY MS. HEINTZ:

Q. By whom are you employed, Mr. Merciel? 2 Α. I'm employed at the Public Service Commission. 3 And how long have you been employed there? Ο. Α. Approximately 30 years. 5 10:25AM 0. And could you briefly describe your job duties, 6 what you do for the PSC? 7 Well, I work in the water and sewer department. 8 I'm an assistant manager of the department. We, when 9 utility -- Well, when water and sewer utilities go into 1.0 business, they -- we recommend whether or not they get a 1.0:25AM 11 certificate to operate from the Commission. We do regular 1.2 inspections of the regulated utilities. 13 Our department also participates in rate increases 14 when the utilities file for rate increases. And there are 15 other types of cases that go on. We deal with customer 10:26AM 16 complaints, both informal and formal complaints. Some are --17 some do go before the Commission. And if utilities sell their 18 assets to another utility, we deal with those types of issues. 19 Ο. Okay. And are you familiar with Suburban's water 20 system? 10:26AM 21 Α. Yes, I am. 22 And when is the last time you performed a thorough Q. 23 inspection of that water system? 24 A thorough -- Well, a thorough regular inspection

1

25

has probably been -- I haven't done -- I have never done an

inspection myself. Probably Mr. Hummel would have done the 1 2 last one. It could have been within the last couple of years, 3 probably. 4 Q. Okay. Mr. Merciel, did you participate in 5 Suburban's 2005 rate case in front of the Commission? 10:26AM 6 I did participate, to a limited extent. 7 Okay. And do you recall whether Suburban was Q. granted a rate increase as a result of that rate case? 8 Α. I believe there was an increase granted, yes. Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, was 10:27AM 1.0 1.1. Gordon Burnam the only person who participated in rate-case 12 negotiations on behalf of Suburban? 1.3 My recollection, both in that case and another, in Α. ]. 4 other dealings, is Mr. Burnam is the one who makes decisions 15 for the company. There could be other people who participate 10:27AM as far as providing information or explaining information and 16 1.7 that sort of thing, but Mr. Burnam is the decision-maker with 1.8 the company. Thank you. And did you perform a brief visual 1.9 20 inspection of the exterior systems, well, and standpipe in the 1.0:27AM 21. spring of 2007? 22 Yes, I did. Α. 23 Q. Why did you perform that inspection?

24

25

the -- with the local water district, myself and Mr. Hummel

Well, on that particular day, we had a meeting with

10:28AM 10:28AM 10:28AM 1.0:28AM

1.

2

3

6

7

8

9

1.0

1.1

12

1.3

14

1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and some of the other people in the staff, and while we were in town. For one thing, I hadn't been to the system in some years, so we just decided to run out there and have a look at it.

We did go out with Paula Belcher, Mr. Burnam's employee, and we were able to get in to see a few things.

- Q. Okay. And you were here because you were participating in a board meeting with Consolidated Water; is that right?
- A. Yes, that's correct. The board meeting was -Suburban Water and Sewer was the subject of the board meeting.
  We were talking to the Water District about the possibility of
  the District acquiring the system.
  - Q. Thank you.

MS. HEINTZ: May I approach, your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.

BY MS. HEINTZ:

- Q. I'm handing you a copy of what's been admitted as Exhibit Number 5. Are you familiar with that report?
- A. I believe I have seen it. I can't say I'm thoroughly familiar with it, but it does look familiar.
- Q. Okay. Now, in your professional opinion, are the concerns pointed out in the report with regard to the condition of the system, are those concerns legitimate?
  - A. Generally, yes. Yes, I think the concerns are

legitimate.

2

3

4

10:29AM

6

5

7

8

9

1.0:29AM 1.0

11

1.2

1.3

1.4

10:29AM 15

16

17

18

19

10:30AM 20

21

22

23

24

10:30AM 25

Q. And would you agree that there are some improvements that would need to be made to the system before Consolidated No. 1 can safely supply water to the system?

A. My answer is yes, I think there are some things that need to be done, whether — whether District 1 takes it or someone else takes it, or for that matter, if Mr. Burnam keeps it and operates it. There are some things that need to be done.

There are a lot of things that are desirable but don't necessarily need to be done immediately. But for someone to consider taking this water system, I think there are some things that they would -- it would certainly be reasonable for them to expect to happen.

- Q. Do you have a brief -- Can you describe briefly what you think those would be?
  - A. Water meters on customers.

MR. HARRISON: I'm sorry. As to -- You're asking him as to what improvements are needed if who continues? If Suburban continues? If Public Water Supply District takes over? What's the question?

MS. HEINTZ: Well, right now we're talking about the eventual fate of the system, whoever is running it: Suburban or Consolidated Water or some third-party entity that we don't even know about yet.

7	1.	MR. HARRISON: Okay. I just wanted to
كتفث	2	understand what the question was.
10:30AM	3	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	4	Q. I'm sorry. Can you answer me?
	5	A. Okay. Well, as I understand, the question is:
	6	What should happen to the system immediately?
	7	And in my opinion, it needs water meters at all the
1.0 : 30AM	8	customers. The storage tank probably really needs to be
	9	rehabilitated, although some preliminary patchwork is probably
	10	reasonable, you know, from the start.
	11	I don't know what the inside of the well house
	12	looks like. We were not able to get in on my visit a few
	13	months ago, so I can't speak to that. There could be some
	14	improvements that are desirable in there as well.
10:30AM	15	Q. Okay. And I guess Mr. Harrison led me into my next
	16	question, which is: Ultimately, what result would you like to
	17	see with regard to this system?
•	18	MR. HARRISON: I'll object. It's irrelevant.
	19	A. Well
1.0 : 31./\M	20	THE COURT: Objection will be sustained.
	21	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	22	Q. Mr. Merciel, is it the usual practice of PSC staff
	23	to recommend that the Commission require a company to make
	24	system improvements prior to recovering those costs in a rate
~1,0:31.AM	25	increase?

- 1
- 3

4

2

- 10:31AM
- 6
- 7
- В

9

- 10:31AM 10
  - 1.1
    - 12

13

- 1.4
- 10:32AM 15
  - 1.6
    - 1.7
    - 18
  - 19
- 1.0:32AM 20
  - 21
  - 22
  - 23
  - 24
- 10:32AM 25

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Could you explain the rationale behind that, please?
- A. Yes. The rationale is, customers pay for what they're using. And it is customary, not only in water and sewer but all utilities, that the utility spends the money, makes the improvements, and then they're able to recover that money in rates. And there are a handful of exceptions, but generally speaking, utilities don't get rates approved in the hopes that they will actually provide the service that the rates are intended to cover.
  - Q. So it would be typical --
- A. So for capital investments, and that means spending money on a tank or spending money on meters, you know, to buy capital items, typically, the utility has to spend the money, and then they recover the money over the period of time, during the life of the property. They get a return on the investment or interest expense and they get depreciation on that asset.
- Q. So it would not be typical for the PSC to grant the utility the money to make improvements before the improvements have been made?
  - A. That's very -- quite strictly correct, yes.
  - Q. Okay.
    - MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Harrison. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRISON: 5 10:32AM Q. You testified that you were involved, at least to 6 some degree, in the 2005 rate case? Α. Yes. 8 Involving Suburban? Α. Yes. 1.0 10:32AM Are you aware that Suburban asked for what I'll 1.1. call a pass-through, and I don't know what the correct 1.2 terminology in the PSC parlance is, but a pass-through to be 1.3 able to use Public Water Supply District water and pass 1.4 through the cost to their -- to its customers? Yes, I am aware of that. 10:33AM 15 16 All right. Are you aware of what the 17. Commission's -- what the decision was on that? 1.8 Α. Yes, I am. 19 0. Which is what? 20 10:33AM Well, in the rate case, Suburban had also submitted 21. an engineering report outlining some -- some system 22 improvements. And the report showed that it would have been 23 more economical to fix up the system as it was instead of

24

25

:33AM

Q.

paying the rate that the district was charging.

So the answer was no?

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 1.0:33AM
- 6
- 8
- 10 10:33AM
  - 11
  - 12

14

- 15

10:34AM

- - 16 17
  - 1.8

19 .

- 20 10:34AM
  - 21
    - 22
    - 23

    - 24
- 25

- So the answer was the most economical alternative was to fix the system up.
- And the request for the pass-through, in my lingo, was denied?
  - And right, that's correct.
- Okay. You testified that -- And I want to make sure I understand your testimony. You testified that some improvements need to be done to the system no matter who was going to operate it in the future?
  - Α. Yes.
  - Is that a fair statement? Q.
  - Ά. Yes.
- And I guess what you're saying is, if the Public Q. Water Supply District is going to take over, then that's one set of improvements, potentially. If Suburban is going to continue to operate, then that's potentially another set of improvements?
  - Ά. That's not what I said, sir.
- Okay. Well, I'm trying to understand what your testimony is.
- Okay. I'm saying -- I'm saying the improvements should be made no matter -- the same improvements need to be made no matter who it is takes over.
  - Okay. So --
  - And in fact, some of these were addressed in the

1

rate case.

2

3

10:34AM

6

7

8

9

10:34AM 10

1.1

1.2

1.3 14

1.0:35AM 1.5

1.6

1.7

18

19

10:35AM

21

20

22

23

24

25

That would be correct. And are those the ones that you listed earlier:

minimal, minimum improvements need to be made no matter who

continues -- no matter who operates it after today?

Okay. So the same, I'll call them the same sort of

- meters, you said the tank needs some rehabilitation, I think was your word?
  - Α. Yes.
- And you said that maybe the inside of the well house would have to be --
- Right. I said I don't know what the inside of the well house looks like. I don't know if there's any immediate needs in there. The tank, it -- Well, we could spend some time talking about the tank, but it does need some immediate let's say patchwork. It is leaking. And Mr. Burnam is looking at doing that. He is taking some action on that. that -- it is needed, no matter whether he keeps it, whether the District takes it, no matter -- no matter who has it, this type of work needs to be done.

And metering of customers. That was something that was addressed in the rate case. It still is not done. agreement was that all customers would be metered.

Ο. You said the tank, I think your word was might need some rehabilitation. What did you mean by that?

10

11

1.2

13

1.4

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10:36AM

10:36AM

10:36AM

A. Well, the fact is, this tank is -- it dates to probably about 1972, when the system was built. You could easily argue that the tank should be replaced for a couple of different reasons. One being that it is rather old and there is corrosion. But it is -- apparently it has been patched, literally patched with some -- of course, it is a steel tank and you can weld patches of steel on it to plug leaks. That's necessary today.

It really should be inspected, the inside drained and inspected. It may need a coat of paint inside and out. don't know that. I don't know what the inside looks like. But I would -- It wouldn't surprise me if it needed it. Again, you could go to the extreme and argue that the tank should be replaced.

- Q. What about the standpipe? Does it need work?
- A. Well, that's the tank, the standpipe.
- Q. Oh, I misunderstood your testimony.
- A. Yeah, that's the standpipe.
- Q. I thought you were talking about a different --
- A. No.
- Q. So your testimony about the tank is the --
- A. I've been talking about the standpipe; right.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how much it's going to cost, how much it would cost to make these what you call minimum, what I call minimum improvements?

10:37AM
10:37AM
10:37AM
, 10.37AM

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

1.4

1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

1.9

20

21.

22

23

24

25

	Α.	Oh,	no,	]]. (	don't	ha	ve a	figu	re. \	We're	talking	g ak	oout
а	welder,	a lit	ttle	bi	t of 1	mat	erial	., and	togs b	t-pair	nting.	No,	I
do	on't have	the	cos	<b>-</b> .	I do	n't	have	e the	cost	infor	rmation	on	i.t

- Q. So if one of your -- If one of your colleagues testified that the standpipe needs to be replaced, you would disagree with that?
- A. Well, I said that. I said that's -- The tank is -- You could argue that the tank is at the end of its life. That would be a desirable thing. I don't think that's an immediate necessity. Patching leaks would be -- that's an immediate necessity. The replacement of the tank would be desirable.
- Q. Do you have an estimate of when replacing the standpipe will be a necessity?
- A. I don't have an estimate. The criteria would be if it's more expensive to try to rehabilitate it than replace it. That would be one way to look at it. But I don't have a figure on that, sir.
- Q. If the standpipe needs to be replaced or were to be replaced, is the current rate structure in effect for the company sufficient to pay for that?
- A. The current rates are not designed to pay for a new tank.
  - Q. Right. So the answer is no?
  - A. It would take different rates; right.
  - Q. Okay.

î MR. HARRISON: Nothing further. THE COURT: Ms. Baker? 3 MS. BAKER: Thank you, your Honor. 5 10:38AM CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. BAKER: 7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Merciel. 8 Ά. Good morning. 9 If Suburban was forced to use the connection to the Consolidated -- to Consolidated due to Suburban's well or 10:38AM 1.0 11 their water tank failing or being in violation of DNR, Suburban would be able to request a rate increase through the 1.2 13 Public Service Commission reflecting this; isn't that correct? 1.4 Α. The answer is yes. Whether used permanently or if 1.5 1.0:38AM it's on a temporary basis, then in the next rate case, that 16 could be considered as part of their cost of service during 1.7 the period of time being studied for rates. If it's 1.8 permanent, then it would take different rates and that rate could be designed to cover that, yes. 19 20 10:39AM Thank you. From your participation in rate-increase requests, is it your understanding that public 21 22 water systems collect revenue from their customers and, in return, customers are to receive safe and adequate service? 2.3 24 Yes. That is correct.

MS. BAKER:

25

:39AM

No further questions.

	3.	THE COURT: Okay. Redirect.	
	2	MS. HEINTZ: I have no further questions, you	r
	3	Honor.	
	4	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison, anything else?	
1.0:39AM	5	MR. HARRISON: No, sir.	
٠	6	THE COURT: May this witness be finally	
	7	excused?	,
	8	MS. HEINTZ: Yes, your Honor.	
	9	THE COURT: Okay. You are finally excused.	
· 10:39AM	10	You may step down.	
	11	Call your next witness.	
	12	MS. HEINTZ: Paula Belcher.	
	13	THE COURT: Please come forward, raise your	
The same of the sa	14	right hand and be sworn.	
10:40AM	15	(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN BY THE COURT.)	
	1.6	* * *	
•	17	THE COURT: Okay. Please take the witness	
	18	stand.	
	1.9	(The witness complied.)	
1.0 : 40AM	20	* * *	
	21	THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Heintz.	
	22	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you, your Honor.	
	23		
	24		٠.
	25		

	1.	PAULA BELCHER
No. alicerate	2	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
	3	* * *
	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	5	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	6	Q. Good morning, Ms. Belcher. I just have a few
	7	questions for you.
	8	Please state your name.
	9	A. It's Paula Belcher.
1.0:40AM	10	Q. And you are here under subpoena?
	11	A. Yes, I am.
	12	Q. You are the vice-president of Suburban?
	13	A. I am employed by Vista Home Management, and I am
No. american	1.4	the Vice-President for Vista Home Management Company.
1.0:40AM	1.5	Q. Okay. You're not listed as the Vice-President of
	1.6	Suburban on its Annual Report with the Secretary of State?
¥	. 1.7	A. Not to the best of my knowledge.
	18	Q. And you're not a shareholder?
	19	A. I'm not a shareholder.
1.0:41AM	20	Q. And so you are not one of the shareholders or a
	21.	member of the board of directors that voted on the decision to
	22	dissolve the corporation?
	23	A. No.
	24	Q. Okay. You do oversee the day-to-day operations of
0:41AM	25	Suburban?

	_J.	As executive vice-president for Vista Home
المسجعتين يبعه	2	Management Company, my job is to manage the company that we
	3	that we oversee, so yes.
	4	Q. Okay. But you defer all major decisions with
10:41AM	5	regard to the water system to Mr. Burnam?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. And you don't have the authority to make any
	8	financial decisions with regard to the water system?
	9	A. No, I don't.
10:41AM	10	Q. Okay. And Mr. Burnam is the person who makes those
	1.1	financial decisions with regard to the water system?
	12	A. Yes. That's correct.
	13	Q. Okay. And I think this number was out there
مممس.	14	earlier but I just want to make sure. Suburban serves
10:41AM	1.5	approximately 150 residents?
	16	A. 'That approximate number is based yes, that's
	17	correct.
	1.8	Q. Okay. Thank you.
	19	MS. HEINTZ: That's all I have for you.
10:42AM	20	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
	21	* * *
	22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	23	BY MR. HARRISON:
	24	Q. It's correct that you're the person who's in charge
	25	of the files and books and records of Suburban?

10:42AM 10:42AM 1.0:42AM 10:42AM

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. It's also true, isn't it, that you'd be considered the custodian of records of Suburban Water. Is that a correct statement?
  - A. That would be correct.
- Q. And you're familiar with the operations of the company on a day-to-day basis; correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You're also familiar with the financial condition of the company. Is that a correct statement?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. You assist in paying the bills for the company?
  - A. (Nodding head.)
  - Q. Is that correct? You have to answer verbally.
  - A. I'm sorry. That's correct.
- Q. You assist in collecting money, collecting revenues for the company?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Is it a fair statement, as a general manager, you assist in the overall or overseeing the overall financial condition of the company?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. I want to ask about the revenues of the company. Again, there's approximately 150 people who are

1. served, who receive water from Suburban? 2 That's correct. 3 And how many people or how many people or entities 4 pay for their water? Α. 1.0:43AM There are 37 that are regular homeowners, and then 6 there are approximately three landlords. 7 Okay. Explain that to the Court. What do you mean 8 by landlords? In other words, are you saying that there are apartment complexes or something like that? 1.0 Α. 10:43AM That's correct. 11 And those apartment complexes, you send one bill to 1.2 them and they send one check to you, hopefully? 1.3 Α. That's correct. 14 All right. You don't separately bill all of the 10:44AM 1.5 apartment tenants, in other words? 1.6 Α. No, we don't. 17 Q. Okay. And it's up to the landlord how the landlord deals with that on the tenant side? 1.8 1.9 Α. That's up to the landlord. 20 10:44AM Q. Right. Okay. Do you know approximately how much 21 is in the bank account, the operating bank account, of 22 Suburban today, approximately? 23 Approximately today we -- Can I say we received Α.

24

25

LO: 44AM

checking account.

some money yesterday, so I think I have about \$600 in the

1.	Q. All right. Do you have an estimate, on an average
2	day, how much you have as to how much in the corporation's
3	operating account, cash?
4	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
5	Q. Do you have an estimate, just on the average day,
6	how much is in the corporation's operating bank account?
7	A. Depending on the time of the month it is and if
8	we've just paid the bills that we have to pay, I would say an
9	average of somewhere between three and four hundred dollars.
10	Q. All right. Is it correct that the company has no
1.1	operating I'm sorry source of operating revenue other
1.2	than the people who pay for water?
1.3	A. That's correct.
1.4	Q. All right.
1.5	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B WAS MARKED FOR
16	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
17	. * * *
1.8	BY MR. HARRISON:
19	Q. I'm handing you Defendant's Exhibit B. Would you
20	look at that briefly and tell the Court what it is?
21	A. This is a form that we use for our customer
22	billings, and it shows the amount billed, and then when a
23	payment is paid, it's recorded on the sheet of paper.
24	Q. Is that maintained by Suburban in the regular
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

course of Suburban business?

1 Ά. Yes, it is. MS. HEINTZ: Your Honor, may I see the exhibit? 2 3 MR. HARRISON: I'm going to show it to her as 4 soon as I get through laying the foundation. 5 10:46AM THE COURT: Lay your foundation. 6 BY MR. HARRISON: 7 0. I'm sorry. You said that was something that was something that was prepared in the ordinary course of the 8 9 company's business? 1.0 Α. Yes. 10:46AM 1.1 Q. All right. May I see it one second, please? 12 Α. Uh-huh. 1.3 Is Exhibit B specific to a particular period of 1.4 time? 1.5 Yes, it is. It is for this period of time. 10:46AM for when -- Do you want me to --1.6 1.7 Q. Yes. 18 It's from 5-13 to 6-13 of 2007. And then the bills 19 were mailed on June 14, 2007. 20 10:46AM All right. And does this exhibit show -- Well, the last page, it's got a number at the bottom. Somebody wrote 21 22 "Total for 5-13 to 6-13, 2,269.33." 23 See that?

24

25

Ά.

Q.

Uh-huh.

What's that mean?

	1	A. That would mean that if I would collect all the
N. C. S. Salara	2	money that's outstanding at those time periods, that's how
	3	much the income could be.
	4	Q. That's the total amount that was billed?
10:47AM	.5	A. That's correct.
	6	Q. The gross amount that was billed?
	7	A. That's correct.
	8	Q. Not collected?
	9	A. No.
10:47AM	10	Q. All right.
	1.1	MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit B.
	1.2	THE COURT: Any objection to B?
	13	MS. HEINTZ: I'm reviewing it, your Honor.
· · ·	1.4	The Commission has no objection.
10:47AM	1.5	MS. BAKER: No objection.
	1.6	THE COURT: B will be admitted.
	17	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B WAS ADMITTED INTO
	1.8	EVIDENCE.)
	19	* * *
	20	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT C WAS MARKED FOR
	21.	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	22	* * *
	23	BY MR. HARRISON:
÷	24	Q. I'm handing you Defendant's Exhibit C. Can you
9:48AM	25	tell the Court what that is?

1 It is an average monthly bill on the Suburban water 2 customers. 3 Is this something that you prepared? 0. 4 It was prepared at our office, yeah. 5 10:48AM Ο. All right. This information is kept and maintained 6 and produced in the ordinary -- I'm sorry -- in the regular 7 course of business of Suburban. Is that a correct statement? 8 It would be a correct statement that we would have 9 an average, yeah. 1.0 10:48AM Right. And this information was compiled from the 11 books and records of Suburban. Is that a correct statement? 1.2 That's a correct statement. 1.3 All right. Explain to the Court -- I mean, I need Q. you to just sort of explain to the Court what this shows. 14 1.5 There's a column for service address, which is what? Where 10:48AM 16 the meter is? 17 Α. The service address is where the meter is. 1.8 Q. And then there's a column that says average monthly 19 bill? 20 10:49AM And that would be based on an average of based 21 over, say, a 12-month time period. 2.2 0. All right. The question is, the next question is: 23 Does this cover the -- Well, at the bottom, there's a date: June 28, 2007? 24

Uh-huh.

25

	Τ	Q. So is this the average over the 12 months
المتبورين	2	immediately preceding June 28 of '07? Is that what this
	3	shows?
	4	A. That's correct.
10:49AM	5	Q. All right.
	6	MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit C.
•	. 7	MS. HEINTZ: The Commission has no objection.
	8	MS. BAKER: No objection from the Public
	9	Counsel.
10:50AM	10	THE COURT: C will be admitted.
	11	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT C WAS ADMITTED INTO
	12	EVIDENCE.)
	13	* * *
	14	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS D AND E WERE MARKED FOR
	15	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	16	* * *
	17	BY MR. HARRISON:
	18	Q. Now I'm going to hand you Defendant's Exhibit D and
	19	E. I'm going to talk about these together because they're
10:50AM	20	largely the same but they are marked as two different
	21	exhibits. Can you look at those and tell the Court what they
	22	are?
٠	23	A. These are actually the balance sheets for Suburban
	24	Water.
10:50AM	25	Q. Exhibit D is dated as of when?

1. Exhibit D is dated -- this is dated -- this is 2 dated as of 1-22 of '06. 3 Q. And Exhibit E is dated when? 4 Α. 01-23 of '07. 5 1.0:51.AM All right. These balance sheets are part of the regular record-keeping of Suburban. Is that a correct 6 7 statement? 8 That is correct. Α. Ο. The Exhibit D shows the total assets of the company 10:51AM 1.0 of \$16,175.02. Is that correct? 11 Α. That's correct. 12 Q. It shows total liabilities as of January 31st of 13 '06 of \$12,319.17? 1.4 Α. That's correct. 1.5 1.0:51AM Exhibit E shows total assets of, as of 16 January 31st -- is that -- I'm sorry. Is that January 31st or 1.7 March 31st of '07? 1.8 I'm sorry. That is March. That's March 31st. Α. 19 Q. Total assets of 17,189.81. 20 10:52AM Α. Yes. 21 Q. And total liabilities on Exhibit E, 28,211.66? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 Q. Correct? I'm sorry?

That is correct. Right.

All right.

24

25

:52AM

Α.

Q.

	1,	MR. HARRISON: Offer D and E.
	2	MS. HEINTZ: The Commission has no objection.
	3	MS. BAKER: No objection, Judge.
	4	THE COURT: D and E will be admitted.
10:53AM	5	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS D AND E WERE ADMITTED
	6	INTO EVIDENCE.)
	7	* * *
	8	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT F WAS MARKED FOR
	9	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	10	* * *
	11	BY MR. HARRISON:
_	1.2	Q. I'll hand you Exhibit F. Can you identify what
	13	that is?
	14	A. This is the Water and Sewer Annual Report that was
10:53AM	15	done for the year or it was ending December 31st, 2006.
	16	Q. Was that report prepared for the company in the
	1.7	regular course of its business?
	1.8	A. Yes, it was.
	1.9	Q. Part of this exhibit includes financial data. Is
10:53AM	20	that a correct statement?
	21.	A. That is correct.
•	22	Q. By the way, this Exhibit F covers what period of
	23	time? Is it calendar year 2006?
	24	A. It is calendar year.
0:54AM	25	Q. All right. Do you recall, in 2006, what the gross

operating revenues of Suburban were? 2 I -- I don't know. I -- We keep the information at 3 our office and then the information is also kept elsewhere. 4 So I did not actually prepare that report. 10:54AM Q. All right. But the report was prepared in the 6 ordinary course of the company's business? 7 Α. That is correct. Q. The second-to-last page of the exhibit I'm pointing 8 9 out, showing to you now, do you see that? 10:54AM 1.0 Α. Uh-huh. That's correct. 1.1. At the bottom -- Well, at the bottom of the last entry on that, it says total operating revenues. Is that 12 13 correct? 1.4 Α. That's correct. 15 0. What's the number? 1.0:55AM 16 Α. 22,995. 1.7 Q. To the best of your knowledge, was that the total 1.8 operating revenue of the company for 2006? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And this was -- This report, Exhibit F, it was 1.0:55AM 21 submitted by the company to the Commission? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Is that a correct statement? 24 Α. That is correct.

1

25

MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit F.

	1	THE COURT: Any objection to F?
Name of the second	2	MS. HEINTZ: I haven't seen it yet, your Honor.
	3	The Commission has no objection.
	4	MS. BAKER: No objection.
10:56AM	5	THE COURT: F will be admitted.
	6	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT F WAS ADMITTED INTO
	7	EVIDENCE.)
	8	* * *
	9	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT G WAS MARKED FOR
10:56AM	1.0	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	1.1	* * *
	1.2	BY MR. HARRISON:
	1.3	Q. I'm now handing you Exhibit G. Can you please
· Sharestand	14	testify or tell the Court what that is?
10:56AM	1.5	A. This is a Profit and Loss Statement.
	16	Q. For?
	1.7	A. For 01-01-06 of to 12-31-06.
	18	Q. For Suburban?
	19	A. Yes.
1.0:56AM	20	Q. Is that document prepared in the ordinary course of
	21	business for Suburban?
:	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. May I see it?
	24	(The witness handed the document to Mr. Harrison.)
0:56AM	25	Q. And again, it covers calendar year 2006?

10:57AM 10:57AM

].

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

1.3

1.4

15

1.6

1.7

1.8

1,9

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:57AM

:58AM

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And again, it shows water sales revenue -- Actually, it shows total revenue, 22,994.81; correct? I'm sorry.
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. All right. It shows total operating expenses at 37,031.05?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So the company had an operating loss last year of just over \$14,000?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Exhibit G also shows a line item for a little over \$17,600 for repairs and maintenance, it looks like it, to the well?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Can you tell the Court what that is?
- A. That is -- The well went down and we had some problems with the pump and the pipe going down in the ground 450 feet, and that had -- some of that had to be replaced.
- Q. All right. Did Mr. Burnam loan money to the company to make that repair?
  - A. Yes.
    - MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit G.
    - MS. HEINTZ: No objection.
      - MS. BAKER: No objection.

	1.	THE COURT: G will be admitted.
	2 -	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT G WAS ADMITTED INTO
	3	EVIDENCE.)
	4	* * *
1.0:58AM	5	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS H AND I WERE MARKED FOR
	6	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	7	* * *
	8	BY MR. HARRISON:
	9	Q. Next are Exhibit H and I. Would you briefly
10:58AM	1.0	identify them, please?
	1.1.	A. Yes. This is the income tax return for Suburban
	12	Water and Sewer Company for 2005.
	13	Q. That's H?
Marine Ma	1.4	A. That's H.
1.0 : 5 9 AM	1.5	Q. All right.
	16	A. And this
	1.7	Q. I is what?
	18	A. I is the Suburban Water and Sewer income tax return
	19	for 2006.
10:59AM	20	Q. These returns are prepared in the ordinary course
	21.	of business for Suburban?
	22	A. That's correct.
	23	MR. HARRISON: Offer H and I.
	24	MS. HEINTZ: Your Honor, I have no objection to
1.0:59AM	25	these exhibits, but I have not practiced in this court before

and I am wondering if we will at any time be given copies of 1 2 these exhibits. 3 THE COURT: You may have copies of anything 4 that's admitted. Sure. 11:00AM MS. BAKER: I have no objection. 6 THE COURT: H and I will be admitted. 7 (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS H AND I WERE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 9 10 BY MR. HARRISON: 11:00AM 11 Okay. Now, I've given you Exhibit A, Defendant's 1.2 Exhibit A, which is a letter, is it not, from the Public Service Commission addressed to Suburban Water? 13 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Is that letter contain -- Was that letter received 11:00AM 16 by Suburban in the ordinary course of Suburban's business? 17 Α. Yes. 1.8 And is that letter contained in Suburban's files? 19 Α. Yes. 20 MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit A. 11:00AM 21 MS. BAKER: No objection. 22 THE COURT: A will be admitted. 23 (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT A WAS ADMITTED INTO 24 EVIDENCE.)

25

MA10:1

	,l.	BY MR. HARRISON:
	2	Q. Is it correct that over the last, oh, two or three
	3	years, the company has had an increase in the amount, number
	4	of repairs, and intensity, so to speak, of the repairs and
11:02AM	5	maintenance that needed to be done to the system?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. I think you testified a minute ago that the well
	8	was Was it was replaced in 2006?
	9	A. No. What was replaced was the
11:02AM	10	Q. It was the pump?
	1.1	A. Was the pump.
	1.2	Q. I'm sorry.
	13	A. And then the 450 feet of piping down to the ground
متحوم شديد	14	that needed to be replaced in the well.
1.1:02AM	15	Q. All right. Those types of substantial repairs have
	16	been paid for with loans, money loaned to the company by
	17	Mr. Burnam. Is that a correct statement?
	18	A. That's correct.
	19	Q. Because the company's operating revenue isn't
11:02AM	20	sufficient to pay the substantial costs; is that correct?
	21.	A. That is correct.
	22	Q. All right.
	23	MR. HARRISON: Could I have one second, your
	24	Honor?
·	25	THE COURT. YOU MAN

1 2 11:04AM 6 7 8 1.0 11:04AM 1,1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.1:047M 16 1.7 18 19 20 11:04AM 21 22 23 24

25

MR. HARRISON: No further questions right now.

THE COURT: Ms. Baker?

MS. BAKER: I have no questions.

THE COURT: Redirect.

# REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HEINTZ:

- Ms. Belcher, you attended a public board meeting of 0. Consolidated No. 1 in the spring of 2007, did you not?
  - Α. Yes.
- And you told Consolidated No. 1 at that public : board meeting that Suburban does not have and has never had problems collecting from its customers?
- Can I qualify that? I'm sorry. Can you repeat Α. that question again?
- Sure. You told Consolidated No. 1 at that public board meeting that Suburban does not have and never has had problems collecting from customers. Is that correct?
  - Α. That is correct.

MS. HEINTZ: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?

		·
	1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- Aller of the Control of the Contro	2	BY MR. HARRISON:
	3	Q. Have you, in fact, had problems collecting from
	4	customers?
1.1:05AM	5	A. There are customers that we have that we have to go
	6	out and we have to knock on the doors and ask them, just like
	7	any other company, to get the money from them, but eventually
	8	we get the money from them.
	9	Q. Right. In other words, some customers are slow
11:05AM	10	pay?
	1.1	A. That's correct.
÷	1.2	Q. And some of them you have to pursue more
11:05AM	1.3	aggressively than others to get them to pay?
	14	A. That's correct. That's why on this sheet of paper,
	1.5	the billing sheet that you have, some of those numbers are
	16	marked in red. And those are the numbers that are still
	17	outstanding from last month, but we're making efforts to
	18	collect that money now.
	19	Q. Well, you were asked a minute ago or you asked a
11:05λM	20	minute ago if you could qualify the answer.
	21	A. Right.
	22	Q. What did you want to say?
	23	A. That's what I wanted to say.
	24	Q. That some people you have to chase more than
		The state of the s

others?

ų:05лм 25

	1	A. Just like I assume like any other utility
المتحصيد	2	company, yes.
	3	Q. Okay.
	4	MR. HARRISON: No further questions.
11:05AM	5 .	THE COURT: Ms. Baker?
	6	MS. BAKER: No questions.
	7	THE COURT: Okay. May this witness be finally
	8	excused?
	9	MS. HEINTZ: Could I just ask one follow-up
11:06AM	10	question?
	11	THE COURT: You may. Sure.
	1.2	· * * *
	13	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
Haran birkar	1.4	BY MS. HEINTZ:
11:06AM	15	Q. You testified, though, that you do eventually get
,	16	the money?
	17	A. Yes.
	1.8	Q. Even though they're slow pay?
1.1 : 06AM	19	A. That's correct.
	20	MS. HEINTZ: Thank you. That's all.
	21	And this witness may be finally excused.
	22	THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
	23	MR. HARRISON: I may re-call hear.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. You are not finally excused.
~~√1.: 06AM	25	You may step down.

1.1:23AM 1.0 1.1:23AM 11 12 13 1.4 1.5 11:23AM 16 17 1.8 19 20 1.1:23AM 21

1.

2

3

5

6

7

8

22

23

24

25

Court will be in recess for 15 minutes.

(A RECESS WAS TAKEN AT 11:06 A.M.)

THE COURT: Further evidence by the plaintiff.

MS. HEINTZ: The plaintiff rests, your Honor.

#### PLAINTIFF RESTS

THE COURT: Evidence by the defendant.

MR. HARRISON: I'd like to make a motion, your Honor, if I could.

I'd like to make a Motion to Dismiss, Motion for Directed Verdict, whatever the correct terminology is, first as to defendant Gordon Burnam.

I don't think there's been any evidence to form the basis for a decision that the Court could enjoin him personally. I don't think that they've been able -- they haven't come close to what their burden would be on an attempt to pierce the corporate veil, if that is, in fact, their intent. There's ample case authority that says mere control isn't sufficient to pierce the corporate veil.

In effect, what they're asking for, Judge, is to not preserve the status quo, which is the purpose of an injunction, but it's to alter the status quo. They're, in effect, asking you to make Gordon Burnam personally a utility. They're asking you to make him stand in place of this utility. 11:24AM 11:24AM 11:25AM 11:25AM 1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

1.2

1.3

1.4

1.5

1.6

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He doesn't have the ability to levy charges on customers, he doesn't have the ability to apply for a rate increase and so forth.

Furthermore, if you grant an injunction as to the corporation, he's going to be bound anyway as an officer, but it's inappropriate to bind him in his personal capacity. That's the first part of the motion.

In addition, Judge, T think that they've failed under their — they've failed to carry their burden under a specific statute, which is 386.360, which provides that if the Commission believes that someone is about to violate the law or an order of the Commission, it has to direct the General Counsel to commence an action.

I think they have to prove that the Commission took that step, number one, and they haven't done that.

Number two, I think, under the regulations, our client was entitled to a hearing on that under 4 CSR Part 240. There hasn't been any evidence offered whatsoever on that point.

So those are the -- Those are the bases for our motion.

THE COURT: Okay. The motion will be overruled.

Okay. Evidence by the defendant.
MR. HARRISON: Everett Baker.

	1	MS. HEINTZ: Your Honor, at this point, I'm
and the second second	2	going to object to the DNR witnesses. This Court has already
	3	ruled that DNR is not a necessary party. Any testimony these
	4	witnesses will offer is irrelevant to this action.
11:25AM	5	THE COURT: Objection will be overruled.
	6	MR. HARRISON: Everett Baker.
	7	THE COURT: Please come forward, raise your
	8	right hand and be sworn.
	9	(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN BY THE COURT.)
11:26AM	10	* * *
	11	THE COURT: Okay. Please take the witness
	12	stand.
	1.3	(The witness complied.)
	1.4	* * *
11:26AM	1.5	THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Harrison.
	1.6	MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Judge.
	17	* * *
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
1	25	

	1	DEFENDANTS' EVIDENCE
the incorporate party.	2	* * *
	3	EVERETT BAKER
	4	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
. 5 6		* * *
		DIRECT EXAMINATION
	7	BY MR. HARRISON:
	8	Q. Would you state your name, please, sir?
11:26AM	9	A. My name is Everett Baker.
	10	Q. What do you do for a living?
	11	A. I am an engineer for the Missouri Department of
	12	Natural Resources out of the Macon Regional Office.
	1.3	Q. Does your office have jurisdiction over Boone
	14	County, Missouri?
11:26AM	1.5	A. Yes.
	1.6	Q. Are you familiar with the Suburban with Suburban
,	17	Water and Sewer Company and its operations?
	1.8	A. Yes, I am.
11:27AM	19	Q. And its facility?
	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. Tell me what your specific title is, sir.
	22	A. I am an Environmental Engineer IV. I'm over the
	23	engineering section and the public drinking water section in
	24	the Northeast Regional Office.
1.:27AM	25	Q. How long have you held that job?

been worked up through the ranks. I've been with the 2 3 department 36 years. 4 How long have you been working on the Suburban 5 water system? 11:27AM Since we -- since these counties were transferred 7 to the northeast region in, I think it was around 2000. 8 Q. Okay. And have you had occasion, since 2000, to, you know, inspect and visit and view the Suburban facilities? 10 I've had people inspect it. I've been there 11:28AM myself, but I've also -- but mostly I have people that work 11 12 under me --13 Q. All right. 1.4 -- who do the inspections. I review everything Α. 1.5 they do and have to approve it in order for it to be issued. 11:28AM 16 Q. Would those people include Michael Elkana? 17 Α. Yes. · 18 Q. That's E-1-k-a-n-a? 19 Α. Elkana, yes. 20 11:28AM Q. Elkana. I'm sorry. Would another one of those 21 people be Lantz Tipton? 22 Α. Yes. 23 MR. HARRISON: And for the record, his first 24 name is spelled L-a-n-t-z.

1

25

28AM

Ά.

(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS J AND K WERE MARKED FOR

Well, I've been a IV for about a year, but I've

1 | IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

2

2

3

4

11:29AM \_5

6

8

9

11:29AM 10

11 12

13

1.4

11:29AM 1.5

16

1.7

1.8

1.9

11:30AM 20

21

22

23

24

ul:30Am 25

BY MR. HARRISON:

Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as
Defendant's Exhibit J, which is a series of documents. Do you
recognize those?

A. Yes. This is a report of Compliance and Operation Inspection that Michael did in --

Q. It's dated.

A. The report was issued in 2006. It's got my signature on it as approving it to be issued.

Q. All right. And the cover letter is dated June 19, 2006?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And again, the attachment is called Compliance and Operation Inspection Report?

A. That's correct.

Q. Why was that report undertaken?

A. We do routine inspections of all the public water systems within our region, and we do two different types of inspections. One is a compliance and operation, which is to see if a system is complying with the requirements and the regulations and how the system is being operated.

We do another one called sanitary surveys, which are done by engineers who do an engineering evaluation of the

system as well as compliance and operation. So that's a 1. 2 routine inspection we do on all of our systems and each system 3 is evaluated according to the circumstances found. 4 Q. According to this, the inspection was done on 5 March 22, 2006? 11:30AM 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. But the report was not issued until June of 2006? 8 Α. Yeah. Is that normal? Ο. 11:30AM 1.0 Α. We generally try and get a report out sooner. 1.1. Michael was a relatively new person to drinking water at that 1.2 time and I think we had several revisions on the report that I 1.3 required him to do before it was issued. 1.4 All right. So the primary author of this report 0. 15 was Mr. Elkana and you --1.1.: 31AM More or less. And if it's not worded or if there 16 1.7 are things aren't covered that I know need to be in it, I'll 18 tell him to change the report. 1.9 0. All right. 20 11:31AM Or I may help him word it. 21 Ο. Looking at the report, there under the Findings 22 section, there's a there's a finding that provides that the minimum -- Well, do you have a copy of this report in your 23

file that you brought with you, sir?

Yeah.

24

25

Α.

112

	1.	Q. If you want to get it in front of you, you can
<i>بر</i> الم	2	follow along, I guess. Do you have your copy in front of you
	3	there?
	4	A. Yes.
11:31.AM	5	Q. Paragraph one says that "This minimum safe pressure
	6	is set by regulation because pressures below 20 psi can allow
	7	contamination to enter the water system."
	8	A. That's correct. We have a regulation that sets the
11:32AM	9	minimum allowable pressure at 20 psi. It's quoted in the
	1.0	paragraph.
	1.1	Q. You're quoting 10 CSR Part 60?
	12	A. 4.080(9).
	13	MR. HARRISON: Judge, I'm going to ask the
المخسعة	14	Court to take judicial notice of the Code of State
11:32AM	15	Regulations.
	1.6	THE COURT: Any objection?
	1.7	MS. HEINTŽ: I object on relevance, your Honor,
	1.8	in that the PSC is not seeking to enforce any DNA regulations
11:32АМ	19	here today.
	20	THE COURT: What particular code section are
	21	you asking me to take judicial notice of?
	22	MR. HARRISON: Part 10 of the Code of State
	23	Regulations, which contains the public drinking water
	24	regulations.
~1.1:32AM	25	THE COURT: Judicial notice will be taken.

1.

#### BY MR. HARRISON:

2

3

4

11:32AM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11:33AM

11

12

14

1.3

15 1.1:33AM

16

17

18

19

2.0 11:33AM

21.

22

.23

24

25

Q. The next sentence says it is the conclusion that, "Thus, the system is in violation of the regulations for not maintaining safe water pressures."

Did I read that correctly?

- That's correct.
- All right. Does the -- or did the department, after issuing Exhibit J here, make any kind of recommendations as to how to remedy the matters as described in this report?
- The report itself makes a recommendation that says that they should enlist the services of a consulting engineer to determine the cause of the low pressures.

One of the things -- We had the recordings done prior to this inspection. We have done pressure recordings since then. And one of the things that we're trying to do is to get enough information to determine why they're having the low pressures and what's the cause of it. We generally need to do that before we can say, "You must do these things to correct it."

- Well, since June of 2006, when have pressure recordings been taken?
- Another set of recordings was taken in May of 2007 Α. and the -- and a letter was issued June 14th.
- Q. All right. That's going to be my next exhibit, as a matter of fact. Have there been any other -- Since June of



1

'06, have there been any other pressure --

And it was a little difficult for us to determine whether the

A. No, not since then. There were some -- also some

issues that I had with the recordings that we took in '06 in

that the recorder was set up inside of an apartment building.

4

3

5

1.1.: 34AM

6

7

pressure problems were the water system's problems or the

apartment building's problems.

9

8

1.0 11:34AM

1.1.

12

13

14

11:35AM

1.6

1.7

1.5

18

20

19

11:35AM

21

22

23

25

24

Q. Okay.

So that's one of the reasons why we set up the recorder at a different location in May, so that we would have

a better indication of whether the system itself was having

low pressure issues.

Have there been any other -- Since the June 19th

2006, report, have there been any other compliance and

operation inspection reports prepared by the DNR?

I think that's the last one that we have. We're

not scheduled to inspect them this fiscal year, I don't

believe.

Did you say that you do that every two years? Q.

No. It depends upon the size of the system

and the number of inspections and people that we have

available to do them.

MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit J.

THE COURT: Any objection to J?

MS. HEINTZ: I'm looking now, your Honor.

ĺ	2
	3
	4
11:36AM	5
•	6
	7
	8
	9
11:36 m	10
	11
•	12
	13
	14
11:36AM	15
	1.6
	17
	18
	19
1.1:36AM	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
Print, 2 2 2 2 4 4	2 5

1

No objection.

MS. BAKER: I just have one question. Is this a certified copy from DNR's file?

MR. HARRISON: It's not.

MS. BAKER: I have an objection in that this is an uncertified copy.

THE COURT: Well, at this point, it's been identified by the witness, so the objection will be overruled. J will be admitted.

## (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT J WAS ADMITTED INTO

#### EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: I think we've already read most of it into evidence anyway, without objection.

#### BY MR. HARRISON:

- Q. Just for the record, Exhibit J here exists in the file you have there; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Is the file you have there your personal file or is it the DNR file?
  - A. No, it's State property.
- Q. Okay. Exhibit K I'm going to show you now. Can you identify that, please?
- A. Yes. That's a copy of the letter we wrote after the second pressure-recording session.

2 Ά Yes 3 All right. I'm going to ask you some questions Q. 4 about it. 5 . 11:37AM MS. BAKER: Your Honor, may I make an 6 objection, before this gets read into the record, that we be 7 able to look at it before portions of it are read? THE COURT: Take a look at it, please. 9 MS. HEINTZ: I object that this is not a 11:38AM 10 certified copy. 11 MS. BAKER: And I will object as well. 1.2 THE COURT: Well, it hasn't been offered yet. 13 Lay your foundation. 1.4 BY MR. HARRISON: 1.5 Okay. Exhibit K is a letter. The first page is a 1.1.:38AM letter dated June 14, 2007, written by you? 1.6 17 Α. Yes. 18 Did you, in fact, write that letter? . 19 Α. Yes. 20 Is that your signature that appears on the second 1.1.:38AM 21 page of it? 22 Α. That's my signature on the file copy, yes. Well, okay. 23 Q. 24 Α. Okay. 25 Fair enough. And it's a letter, again, dated Q.

Do you have a copy of Exhibit K in your file there?

1.

Q.

June 14, 2007; right? 1 2 3 4 kn 5 11:38AM da 6 7 wi 8 th 9 Su 1.0 11:39AM 1.1 12 th 13 wa 14 1.5 11:39AM ра 16 1.7 1.8 xe19 11:39AM 20 ha 21

22

23

24

25

:39AM

Α.

Q.

Yes.

Α.	Yes.
Q.	Attached to it are several pages that, I don't
know, they	don't really have a title. It just says downloaded
data?	
Α.	They're the printouts of the pressure recorder,
without get	tting technical on the explanation of it. They're
the printo	ats from the time period that they were on the
Suburban Wa	ater Company system.
Q.	Which was in which was over what period of time?
Α.	It was from May 17th through I think I said in
the letter	from it was installed May 3rd and the pressure
was until M	May 15th.
Q.	Okay. So those, that recorder was installed as
part of the	e monitoring by DNR?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And the attachments to Exhibit K were are
records of	what that recorder what that recorder shows?
Α.	Yeah, they're a photocopy of the printout which we
have in the	e file.
Q.	All right. The Exhibit K also references an
individual	named Lantz Tipton. Is he the one who installed
the recorde	er?

Is he the one who took the readings from the

	1	recorder?		
	2	A. Yes.		
•	.3	Q. All right. And looking at the letter itself, you		
,	4	say, "The recording shows frequent times that water pressures		
11:40AM	5	in the system dropped below the minimum required protective		
	6	pressure of 20 psi."		
	7	A. That's correct.		
	8	Q. The last sentence of the first paragraph says.		
	9	"Thus, the Bon Gor system" which is the Suburban system;		
11:40AM	10	yes?		
	1.1.	A. Yes.		
	12	Q. "The Bon Gor system is in violation of the		
	13	regulations for failure to maintain the required minimum		
اکت	14	pressure."		
11:40AM	15	Is that what it says?		
	16	A. That's correct.		
	.17	Q So when these recordings were taken, the system		
11:40лм	18	again wasn't meeting the pressure requirements?		
	19	A. That's correct.		
	20	Q. All right.		
	21	. MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit K.		
	22	THE COURT: Any objection to K?		
	23	MS. BAKER: Again, this is still not a		
	24	certified copy.		
er~11 - ለበኧ፦	25	THE COURS. Okay. This been identified by the		

·	
المحتمديس	2
	. 3
	4
11:41AM	5
	6
	7
•	8
	9
11:41AM	10
	11
	12
	1.3
	1.4
11:41AM	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
11:41AM	20
	21.
	22
	23
	2.4

25

witness. The objection will be overruled. K will be admitted.

#### (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT K WAS ADMITTED INTO

### EVIDENCE )

\_ |

1

#### BY MR. HARRISON:

- Q. Are you aware of any other violations of the Suburban Water system in terms of DNR requirements?
- A. There were several violations that are listed in the Compliance and Operation Inspection Report.
  - Q. You're talking about Exhibit J again?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. In addition to low water pressures. There's the one concerning the requirement for daily testing of chlorine. That's on number 4. There's one requiring public water, community public water systems to have an emergency plan for continuing water service in the event of an emergency.
  - O. That's number 5?
- A. That's number 5. There's one concerning the need to have a certified, qualified chief operator responsible and in charge of the system. That's number 7.

There's the one concerning maintaining of records on the system. That's number 9.

That's the regulations quoted in the report. The

1 rest of them have to do with operation of conditions that aren't directly related to a regulation. However, we do have 2 3 some authority to require them to take action on, whether we quote a regulation or not. 11:42AM 5 Has the DNR made a decision about whether to take 6 any such action against Suburban? 7 If we had decided to take action against Suburban, we would have issued notices of violation and started the 9 enforcement process. What the report is doing is notifying 1.0 the company that there are violations of the regulations that 13:43AM 1.1 they need to address. 12 Now they have the opportunity to address those 13 violations. If they're not addressed, then we will reconsider as to whether to take the next step. 14 1.5 11:43AM So is it correct, then, that no decision has been 16 made as to whether that --17 Α. That's correct. 1.8 All right. And so, therefore, you can't rule it in 19 and you can't rule it out? 20 Α. That's correct. 1.1:43AM 21 MR. HARRISON: Nothing further. 22 THE COURT: Cross-examination, Ms. Heintz. 23

24

	1.	CROSS-EXAMINATION
المنسنة	2	BY MS. HEINTZ:
	3	Q. Hi, Mr. Baker. DNR has not told Suburban that it
	4	has to shut down, has it?
11:44AM	5	A. The department, to my knowledge, has never shut
	6	down a water system, not a community water system, especially.
	7	There's too many public health implications with shutting
•	8	water off to homes. In my history, the most we've ever done
	9	is to apply to a court to have a system placed under a
11:44AM	1.0	receiver that would assure that the public water is maintained
	1.1	and service is provided.
	1.2	Q. Okay. And DNR and the PSC, they have separate
)	1.3	duties, don't they? They have separate functions, they have
<b></b> -	14	separate statutes that they're charged with and regulations
11:44AM	15	that they're charged with enforcing; is that correct?
•	16	A. That's correct.
	17	Q. Okay. And DNR does not have the authority to
	1.8	excuse Suburban's obligations to the PCS, do they?
	1.9	A. No.
1.1:45AM	20	MR. HARRISON: Objection. That calls for a
	21	legal conclusion.
	22	THE COURT: Objection will be overruled.
	23	BY MS. HEINTZ:

- Q. I'm sorry. Your answer was "No"?
  - A. "No."

:45AM 25

11:45AM 11:45AM 11:45AM 1.1:46AM

MS. HEINTZ: Thank you. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Ms. Baker?

MS. BAKER: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. BAKER:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

1.4

1.5

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Baker. Isn't it true that a shut-off of water service can cause an unsafe drop in pressure and health concerns for the customers?
  - A. That's true.
- Q. And an unsafe drop in pressure would cause a violation situation coming from the Department of Natural Resources; isn't that true?
  - A. One of the reasons why we don't shut systems off.
- Q. And from your inspection and review of the inspection reports, is it your opinion that the Suburban Water and Sewer -- water system has been allowed to deteriorate?
- A. It is a system that is not in good condition. There are many things that need to be done. And I don't have a lengthy history to tell you what shape it was in at one time. I can only talk from when we've had it, which is roughly six or seven years. It is not It was in poor shape when we got it, and there are some things that need to be done that are major issues.
  - Q. And so from your inspection and the reports that

	1	you've seen, it remains in poor condition?
	2	A. That's correct.
	3	MS. BAKER: No further questions.
	4	THE COURT: Redirect.
11:46AM	5	MR. HARRISON: None.
1.	6	THE COURT: May this witness be finally
·	7	excused?
	8	MR. HARRISON: Yes.
	9	THE COURT: Okay. You are finally excused.
11:46AM	1.0	You may step down.
	1.1.	Call your next witness.
	1.2	MR. HARRISON: Re-call Paula Belcher.
	13	THE COURT: Okay. Just a moment, please.
	14	Fred.
11:46AM	1.5	(Sergeant Baer approached the bench.)
	16	* * *
	17	THE COURT: Call your witness.
	18	MR. HARRISON: Paula Belcher.
	19	THE COURT: Please retake the witness stand.
11:47AM	20	You'll recall that you're still under oath.
	21	You may proceed.
	22	. * * *
	23	
	24	
	25	

	1.	PAULA BELCHER							
	2	being previously sworn, testified as follows:							
	3	* * *							
	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION							
11:47AM	5	BY MR. HARRISON:							
	6	Q. Paula, I re-called you because I want to ask you							
	7	some questions about recent contacts between Suburban Water							
	8	and the Commission.							
	9	MR. HARRISON: Would you mark that, please?							
	1.0	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT L WAS MARKED FOR							
	1.1	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)							
•	1.2	* * *							
	1.3	BY MR. HARRISON:							
لمنسنت	1.4	Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit L. Do you recognize							
11.:47AM	15	that as a letter written by Suburban to the Commission?							
	1.6	A. Yes.							
	1.7	Q. Do you recognize Gordon Burnam's signature on that							
	1.8	letter?							
	1.9	A. Yes, I do.							
11:48AM	20	Q. Is that a document that is kept and maintained in							
	21	Suburban's file as a part of its business records?							
	22	A. Yes.							
•	23	Q. Let me see that, please. Oh, by the way, the							
	24	letter is dated June 26th, or June 29th, 2006, just for the							
1:48AM	25	record; is that correct?							

	1	A. That's correct.								
	2	MR. HARRISON: I'm going to offer Exhibit L?								
	3 -	THE COURT: Any objection?								
	4	MS. HEINTZ: No objection.								
11:48AM	5	MS. BAKER: No objection, but I don't see a lot								
A-	6	of relevance.								
•	7	THE COURT: L will be admitted.								
	8	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT L WAS ADMITTED INTO								
	9	EVIDENCE.)								
11:49AM	1.0	* * *								
	1.1	BY MR. HARRISON:								
	1.2	Q. Looking at Exhibit L, did, say, for the period from								
	13	when that letter was sent to January 1st of 2007, did the								
	1.4	Public Service Commission respond at all to that letter?								
1,1,:49AM	1.5	A. Not to the best of my knowledge, never.								
	16	Q. Okay. Do you recall when any response to that								
	17	letter was received, either in writing or verbally?								
	18	A. No.								
	19	Q. Would it have been first around March or April of								
11:49AM	20	this year?								
	21	A. I'm sorry. Can you say that again, please?								
	22	Q. Would it have been March or April, roughly, of								
Carlos	23	2007?								
	24	MS. HEINTZ: Objection, your Honor. The								
1:49AM	25	witness has answered the question "No." That's a leading								

	1.	question.							
	2	THE COURT: Objection will be overruled.							
	3	BY MR. HARRISON:							
	4 .	Q. You can answer.							
11:50AM	5	A. Oh, that's correct.							
	6	Q. All right. In other words, there was no response							
	7	from the PSC for a period of time; correct?							
	8	· A. No.							
	9	MS. HEINTZ: Objection. Asked and answered.							
11:50AM	1.0	THE COURT: Objection will be sustained.							
•	1 1.	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT M WAS MARKED FOR							
	1.2	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)							
	13	* * * *							
	14	BY MR. HARRISON:							
11:50AM	15	Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit M. Can you identify							
	16	that?							
	1.7	A. Yes.							
	1.8	Q. Could you tell the Court what that is, please?							
	1.9	A. Uh-huh. This is a letter to Irene Crawford with							
11:50AM	20	the Regional Office of the DNR.							
	21	Q. Written by?							
	22	A. Written by Van Matre and Harrison.							
	23	Q. Matt Volkert?							
	24	A. Yes. That's correct.							
1.:51.AM	25	Q. Were you involved in the discussions leading up to							

• )	7.	when Mait was asked to send this letter?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. And he did send this letter at the request of
. '	4	the of Suburban?
11:51AM	5	A. That's correct.
	6	Q. The letter is dated January 31st, 2007?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Addressed to both the DNR Dale Johansen at the
	9	Public Service Commission?
11:51AM	10	A. That's correct.
	11	MS. BAKER: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I
	1.2	don't see where she has personal knowledge of this letter just
	13	because it came from Suburban. Her name is not on it, from
	1,4	what he has read. It's not from her.
11:51AM	15	THE COURT: Are you offering the exhibit?
	16	MR. HARRISON: I'm offering the exhibit.
	17	MS. HEINTZ: And it's from their own law firm.
	1.8	It's not from them.
	1.9	THE COURT: Lay a further foundation.
11:51AM	20	BY MR. HARRISON:
	21	Q. At the time this letter was written, did my firm
	22	represent Suburban Water?
	23	A. Yes That's correct.
	24	Q. Was the letter sent at the request and direction of
1:52AM	25	Suburban Water?

	1.	A. Yes, it was.
Manager of Control	2	MR. HARRISON: Offer the exhibit.
	3	MS. BAKER: Judge, I'm going to renew my
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4	objection.
11:52AM	5	MS. HEINTZ: I will join in the objection.
	6	MS. BAKER: Because unless she authored or
	7	requested it to be done, she cannot speak for what Suburban
	8	Water asked their attorneys to do.
	9	THE COURT: Okay. Any other objection to the
1.1 : 52AM	1.0	exhibit?
	11	MS. BAKER: Excuse me?
	12	THE COURT: Any other objection?
	13	MS. HEINTZ: I join in that objection and I
North Control of the	14	also have a relevance objection.
11:52AM	15	THE COURT: Well, objection will be overruled.
	1.6	M will be admitted.
	17	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT M WAS ADMITTED INTO
	18	EVIDENCE.)
	19	* * *
1.1:52AM	20	BY MR. HARRISON:
	21	Q. Exhibit M provides, among other things, that
	22	Suburban is was willing to reasonably cooperate with both
	23	DNR and the PSC in good faith to the extent that they have any
	24	input as to the manner in which the process referred to in the
1:53AM	25	letter should be carried out; correct? Did I read that

	<b>]</b>	correctly?
	2	A. That's correct.  Q. All right. To your knowledge, did Suburban receive
	4	any response to the January 31st letter from either the DNR or
11:53AM	5	the PSC?
	6	A. No, we did not.
	7	(DEFÉNDANT'S EXHIBIT N WAS MARKED FOR
	8	IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)
	9	* * *
11:53AM	10	BY MR. HÄRRISON:
	1.1.	Q. Can you identify Exhibit N? Those are corporate
:	12	minutes for Suburban Water. Do you recognize Gordon Burnam's
	1.3	signature?
المست	1.4	A. I do recognize Gordon's signature.
11:54AM	15	Q. Do you recognize Bonnie Burnam's signature?
	16	A. Yes, I do.
	17	Q. Is it correct that that document was kept and
	1.8	maintained in the ordinary course of business in the books and
	1.9	records of Suburban Water?
11:54AM	20	A. That's correct.
	21.	MR. HARRISON: Offer Exhibit N.
	22	THE COURT: Any objection to N?
	23	MS. HEINTZ: No objection.
	24	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
,1 : 55AM	25	MS. HEINTZ: No objection.

	1.	MS. BAKER: No objection.							
	2	THE COURT: N will be admitted.							
:	3	(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT N WAS ADMITTED INTO							
	4	EVIDENCE.)							
11:5	55AM 5								
	6	BY MR. HARRISON:							
	7	Q. Just for the record real quick, Exhibit N is a							
	8	resolution by the company's shareholders rescinding							
	9	postponing the previously approved dissolution, rescinding							
11:5	55AM 1.0	that previous action; is that correct?							
	11	A. That's correct.							
	1.2	Q. All right.							
	1.3	MR. HARRISON: No further questions of this							
	1.4	witness.							
11:5	66ам 1.5	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Ms. Heintz.							
	1.6	MS. HEINTZ: No, your Honor.							
	17	MS. BAKER: No further questions.							
	18	THE COURT: Okay. May this witness now be							
	1.9	finally excused?							
11:5	6лм 20	MR. HARRISON: Yes.							
	21	THE COURT: Okay. You are finally excused.							
	22	You may step down.							
	23	Call your next witness.							
÷	24	MR. HARRISON: Could I have one minute, Judge?							
1:5	6AM 25	Defendants rest.							

	1
Carabapa P.	2.
	. 3
	4

# REST

Okay. Evidence by the intervenor. THE COURT:

1.1:56AM

MS. BAKER: No. No witnesses.

THE COURT: Any rebuttal?

7

8

10

1.1

12

MS. HEINTZ: No. No rebuttal evidence, your

Honor.

9

THE COURT: Okay. Plaintiff appears by Counsel Jennifer Heintz and Peggy Whipple. Intervenors appear by Counsel Christina Baker. Defendants appear by Counsel Tom Harrison and Matthew Volkert.

13

1.1.:57AM

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss overruled.

74

Plaintiff adduces evidence and rests.

1.5 1.1:57AM

Defendant moves for directed verdict.

16 motion overruled.

1.7

Defendant adduces evidence and rests.

18

Intervenor offers no evidence.

19

MR. HARRISON: Your Honor --

1.1.:57AM 20

THE COURT: No rebuttal.

21

Yes?

22

MR. HARRISON: I don't know what your entry is

23

going to be, but if it please the Court, we'd like an

24

opportunity to submit a short brief on a couple of issues.

25

THE COURT: By when? Two o'clock this

1 afternoon? MR. HARRISON: I doubt if I can get it done 2 3 that quickly, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Well, I think that the water is to 5 11:57AM be cut off by the 1st of July, and that's Sunday. 6 MR. HARRISON: Yeah, and we're not going to do 7 that. We're not going to do that. We're not going to --8 THE COURT: Well, there are going to be various 9 reasons you're not going to do that, Mr. Harrison. 11:57AM 10 MR. HARRISON: Well, fair enough, Judge. 1. 1. you don't want -- If you don't --1.2 THE COURT: I don't think there's time for a 13 brief is what I don't think. 14 MR. HARRISON: All right. 1.5 THE COURT: No rebuttal. Evidence closed. 11:58AM 16 Plaintiff's Request for Preliminary Injunction 1.7 sustained. Defendants to continue to provide safe and adequate supply of water to -- What's the subdivision? 1.8 1.9 Gor? 20 11:58AM MS. HEINTZ: Bon Gor. Bon Gor Estates. 21 THE COURT: -- to Bon Gor Estates, pending 22 approval of any change by Missouri Public Service Commission. Court waives posting of bond, since plaintiff 23 24 is acting on behalf of State of Missouri. 25 Okay. Anything else?

1				MS.	HEIN'	rz:	I)	oeli	Leve	e tha	at's	all,	, уо	ur He	onor
. 2	thank	you.			* • · · · ·		. V.	· · · ·					, ·		
3		•		THE	COUR	Γ:	Okay	У -	Is	the	grar	ıd jı	ıry	ready	y?
4 5				(He	aring	ad	ouri	ned	at	11:	59 a	m.)		-	
. 5				•	·		*	*	*						
6				•						•				•	
. 7			,												
8															
9															
10															
11															
12															
13	A Table Company														
1.4															
1.5															
16			•												
17										,					
18		•													
1.9								,							
20		•											-		
21				1			•	٠							
22												-			
23															
24		•													
25				-											

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Ann K. Sprague, Certified Court Reporter for Division I of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit Court of Missouri, at Columbia, do hereby certify that I was present on June 29, 2007, and reported all of the proceedings in the case of Missouri Public Service Commission, Plaintiff, vs. Suburban Water and Sewer Company, Inc., and Gordon Burnam, Defendants Case No. 07BA-CV02632. I further certify that the foregoing pages contain a true and accurate transcript of those proceedings.

Transcript completed this 13th of July, 2007.

Official Court Reporte Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Division I

# UNANIMOUS WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE SHAREHOLDERS OF SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER COMPANY

The undersigned, being all of the shareholders of Suburban Water and Sewer Company, a Missouri corporation (the "Corporation"), hereby consent to the actions set forth below, in accordance with Section 351.273 RSMo., with the same force and effect as if such actions were approved by unanimous vote at a duly held meeting of the shareholders of the Corporation, and hereby further waive notice of any such meeting.

WHEREAS, by corporate action dated January 31, 2007, the undersigned shareholders approved the dissolution and winding up of the Corporation; and

WHEREAS, since said date, the Missouri Public Service Commission has initiated legal actions against the Corporation, including a complaint for statutory penalties and a petition for injunction, and the Board of Directors of the Corporation believes that it is in the best interests of the Corporation and its shareholders to delay said dissolution and winding up of the Corporation in order to respond to said actions; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Corporation shall postpone the previously-approved dissolution and winding up of its business and affairs and continue to conduct its business for the time being under the supervision of the Board of Directors.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned shareholders have executed and delivered this Unanimous Written Consent as of the dates set forth below

Sorson Fluman Date: June 25, 2007

Gordon Burnam

Date: June 25, 2007

Bonnie Burnam

1	BEFORE THE PUB	BLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE	OF MISSOURI
3		<del></del> .
4		
5	THE STAFF OF MISSOURI	)
6	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,	)
7		) Case No. WC-2007-0452
8	Petitioner,	)
9		)
10	vs.	)
11		) -
12	SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER	)
13	COMPANY AND GORDON BURNAM,	)
14		)
15	Respondents.	)
16		
17		
18	DEPOSITION	N OF BONNIE BURNAM
19	Taken on be	ehalf of Petitioner
20	Jul	ly 17, 2007
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

1 INDEX
Page 1

2	EXAMINATIONS S2049BBdTHallio71707	
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Syler Brueggemann	6
4		
5	EXHIBIT INSTRUCTIONS	
6	Exhibits attached in a separate binder.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		3
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	STATE OF MISSOURI	
3	<del></del>	
_		

Page 2

Ò

```
32649bburnam071707
5
    THE STAFF OF MISSOURI
6
    PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
                                  )
7
                                  ) Case No. WC-2007-0452
8
            Petitioner.
9
                                  )
10
            vs.
11
12
     SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER
13
     COMPANY AND GORDON BURNAM,
                                  )
14
                                  )
15
            Respondent.
                                  )
16
17
                        DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, BONNIE BURNAM,
     produced, sworn, and examined on July 17, 2007, between the
18
     hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. of that day at the offices of
19
     Public Service Commission, Jefferson City, Missouri, before
20
21
     TRACY L. THORPE TAYLOR, CCR. No. 939, within the State of
22
     Missouri, in a certain cause now pending before the Missouri
     Public Service Commission, wherein Staff of Missouri Public
23
24
     Service Commission is Plaintiff and Suburban Water and Sewer
25
     Company and Gordon Burnam are Defendants.
                                                                4
 1
```

```
A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PETITIONER:

STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

200 Madison Street, Suite 800

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360

573-526-7393

by: Ms. Shelley Syler Brueggemann

Page 3
```

8	32649bburnam071707
9	FOR THE RESPONDENTS:
10	VAN MATRE, HARRISON, AND VOLKERT, P.C.
11	1103 East Broadway
12	Columbia, Missouri 65201
13	573-874-7777
14	by: Mr. Thomas M. Harrison
15	
<b>1</b> 6	FOR OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL:
17	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL
18	200 Madison Street, Suite 650
19	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
20	573-751-5565
21	by: Ms. Christina Baker
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER:
2	TRACY L. THORPE TAYLOR, C.C.R. NO. 939
3	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
4	3610 Buttonwood
5	Columbia, Missouri 65201
6	573-442-3600
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT: Ramon Gordon Burnam, Paula Belcher
9	

Page 4

11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	-1

et et de la company

- 1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
- 2 between Counsel for the Plaintiff and Counsel for the
- 3 Defendant that this deposition may be taken by TRACY L. THORPE
- 4 TAYLOR, a Certified Court Reporter, C.C.R. 939, thereafter
- 5 transcribed into typewriting, with the signature of the
- 6 witness being expressly requested.
- 7 BONNIE BURNAM,
- 8 of lawful age, having been produced, sworn, and examined on
- 9 the part of the Petitioner, testified as follows:
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN:
- 11 Q. Could you state your name for the record,
- 12 please?
- 13 A. Bonnie Burnam.

14	Q.	32649bburnam071707 And are you familiar with Suburban Water and	
15	Sewer Company?		
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	And how are you familiar with that company?	
18	Α.	I'm the secretary of the corporation and I'm a	
19	stockholder.		
20	Q.	Okay. And as the secretary, what are your	
21	duties?		
22	Α.	Just the duties of any corporate secretary.	
23	Q.	Like?	
24	Α.	It might be to keep the minutes, which I have	
25	not done too well, but I have occasionally done them in year		
		7	
		·	
1	past and just	oversee things like the I have done some of	
2	the bookkeeping in it, that sort of thing.		
3	Q.	Do you file any of the filings with the	
4	Missouri Secretary of State?		
5	Α.	I help an assistant prepare it and I sign it.	
6	Q.	Okay. Do you help or control the filing of	
7			
8	Α.	That's what I thought you meant before.	
9	Q.	Well, there's the Missouri Secretary of State	
10	annual reports	and then there's also the Public Service	
11	Commission ann	ual reports. Do you know which ones you're	
12	familiar with?	•	

13 A. Just the annual report. The Secretary of

14 State, you mean like the franchise?

Q. Like the certificate of good standing that the company has with the state.

```
32649bburnam071707
                     That we pay 40 bucks a year for?
17
             Α.
18
             Q.
                     I believe probably so.
19
             Α.
                     Yes.
                           I'm familiar.
                     Okay. And then are you also familiar with the
20
             Q.
21
     PSC annual reports?
22
                     That's the one we file every year.
             Α.
                     How about this? This has been marked as
23
             Q.
24
     Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3.
25
                     Yes.
             Α.
                                                                  8
                     That is a Secretary of State --
 1
             Q.
 2
                     Yes.
             Α.
                     -- filing?
 3
             Q.
 4
                     Yes.
             Α.
                     Are you familiar with that?
 5
             Q.
 6
             Α.
                     Yes.
                     Okay. And do you help prepare that?
 7
             Q.
                     Yes. Or I am aware that it is being prepared.
 8
             Α.
                     Okay. Do you do that -- are you familiar with
 9
             Q.
     Vista Home Management Company also?
10
11
                     Yes.
             Α.
                     Do you help file or control the filing for
12
             Q.
13
     vista?
14
                     Yes.
             Α.
                     Okay. Do you actually --
15
             Q.
16
             Α.
                     No. My -- our CPA filed it.
                     Okay. Do you know why Paula Belcher is listed
17
             Q.
     as the vice president on --
18
                     Because we needed --
19
              Α.
```

. []

Page 7

```
32649bburnam071707
20
                    -- Suburban --
             Q.
21
                    -- to have an officer of the company when
             Α.
22
     we're out of town -- when both my husband and I are out of
     town, the president and secretary. And she is left in charge
23
     and we needed to have somebody in charge while we were gone.
24
25
            0.
                    So Paula Belcher is the vice president
                                                                9
 1
     of --
 2
                    Yes.
             Α.
 3
                    Hold on. -- of Suburban Water and Sewer
             Q.
 4
     Company?
 5
             Α.
                    Yes.
                           And when was she appointed as vice
 6
             Q.
                    Okay.
 7
     president?
 8
                    Two or three years ago, a couple. We put her
             Α.
 9
     in there so she could sign papers in our absence.
10
             Q.
                    Did you tell Paula she was the vice president?
                    I must have.
11
             Α.
12
                    Okay. But you don't exactly recall?
             Q.
13
                    Don't recall.
             Α.
14
             Q.
                    Okay. Okay. For other official reports to
15
     DNR or to the Public Service Commission, do you oversee those
16
     also?
                    I'm not aware of other reports we've done.
17
     DNR, did we send samples in or something like that? Is that
18
19
     what you mean?
20
                    I think so.
             Q.
21
                    I have not overseen them.
             Α.
                           Now, are you familiar with a
22
                    Okay.
             Q.
```

Page 8

- 23 Disposition Agreement that came out of the rate case for
- 24 Suburban Water and Sewer in 2005?
- 25 A. I'm not -- have not particularly seen it.

ū

- O. Okay. You can look at this whole document.
- 2 This is Exhibit G, but I'm going to turn you to the page that
- 3 I'm going to be focusing on. If you want to look at that,
- 4 there are five pages to that Disposition Agreement in
- 5 Exhibit G. That is the third page, I believe. So if you want
- 6 to make sure that's what you're looking at, take your time.
- 7 A. What part of it would you like me to look at?
- 8 Q. Well, the -- I'm -- it's on the page that I'm
- 9 going to focus on.
- 10 A. All right.
- 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware of the Disposition
- 12 Agreement between Suburban Water, OPC, Office of Public
- 13 Counsel, and the Missouri Public Service Commission that
- 14 Suburban Water entered into?
- 15 A. I do not -- I do not know the details.
- 16 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen this document
- 17 before?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You haven't ever seen it?
- 20 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. On item No. 6 on page 3 of the
- 22 dissolution -- or I'm sorry, of the Disposition Agreement it
- 23 states that, The company will review its customer records and
- 24 determine if any of its present customers paid a deposit that
- 25 should be refunded with appropriate interest.

1		Were you aware of that	
2	Α.	I	
3	Q.	condition?	
4	Α.	Yes. I heard of it.	
5	Q.	Okay. Do you know if that's been done or not?	
6	Α.	I don't think we have a record of what the	
7	customers had.		
8	Q.	Okay.	
9	Α.	I think it's been lost in the past.	
10	Q.	You think it was just lost somewhere in your	
11	papers?		
12	Α.	And that the present it has not changed	
13	since the first years we were practically in business.		
14	Q.	What do you mean? On deposits?	
15	Α.	That's correct. They were only for the first	
16	people there a	and they've been long gone. It was probably used	
17	up in bad debts.		
18	Q.	Okay.	
19	Α.	You know, people as they left, did not pay	
20	their bills.		
21	Q.	Tenants you mean?	
22	Α.	Exactly. Clients, whatever, customers.	
23	Q.	okay.	
24	Α.	It should have been off the books. I'm aware	
25	it's not.		

- Q. Okay. If you'll look at No. 8, That the
- 2 company will develop and distribute to all customers a
- 3 brochure detailing the rights and responsibilities of the
- 4 utility and its customers.
- 5 Are you aware of that condition?
- 6 A. I have heard it discussed.
- 7 Q. And who discussed it?
- 8 A. Paula and Gordon.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what was said about that one?
- 10 A. That it has been done now is what I thought.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then on condition No. 9 -- well,
- 12 back up one second.
- 13 Did you see a copy of the brochure?
- 14 A. I don't think so.
- 15 Q. Okay. Condition No. 9 states that, The
- 16 company will develop a continuous property record system for
- 17 plant. And it goes in some -- into some of the details.
- 18 Are you aware of that condition?
- 19 A. Not -- I -- I don't -- I don't really -- I'm
- 20 not really aware of the condition, period.
- Q. Do you know what a continuous property record
- 22 system is?
- 23 A. I would assume it's date of purchase and
- 24 depreciation thereafter.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if there's something

- 1 that -- a document that's been compiled that has that
- 2 information?
- 3 A. I'm not aware of it.
  Page 11

- 4 Q. Okay. Now, there's no number on this next
- 5 one, but we've been calling it No. 10 because it's below
- 6 No. 9, and that talks about installing meters for all
- 7 buildings no later than August 31st of 2005. Have you been
- 8 made aware of that condition?
- 9 A. Not really.
- 10 Q. What's "not really"?
- 11 A. Not until this meeting came up.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did you know much about the meters
- 13 for Suburban Water and Sewer Company in the past?
- 14 A. I know they had to read them. That's about
- 15 it.
- 16 Q. For what purpose?
- 17 A. For billing.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you know if they stopped reading
- 19 them?
- 20 A. I don't believe they ever have.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if meters have been
- 22 installed for all buildings?
- 23 A. I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Going down to the next one below that,
- 25 which is also blank so we've been -- but it's been called

- 1 No. 11, The company will implement a 10-year replacement
- 2 program.
- 3 Are you aware of that condition?
- 4 A. I'm not.
- 5 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard of a 10-year
- 6 replacement program for existing meters? Page 12

		JZOTJDDUI IIdilio/ I/O/
7	Α.	Not really. Not
8	Q.	What do you mean "not really"?
9	Α.	I've heard of it as after this meeting was
10	called.	
11	Q.	Which meeting?
12	Α.	Today's and the last week's or whatever.
13	Q.	Okay. So you learned of this condition in the
14	last few weeks	<b>?</b>
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Okay. And then the next one is 12. And we're
17	going through	15, just so you know when this will stop. The
18	company will i	nstall flush valves with the flushing
19	capability, bl	ah, blah, blah.
20		Were you aware of that condition?
21	Α.	No.
22	<b>Q.</b>	Do you know what are you generally involved
23	with the actua	l physical plant of the water system?
24	Α.	I am not.
25	Q.	So are you familiar with the pumps and the
		15
		13
1	standpipe?	
2	A.	Only when we buy them.
3	0.	And why is that?
4	Q. A.	Because most things that cost over \$100 or not
5		ll or bleach bill, I usually know about.
6	Q.	Do you write the checks?
7	•	
8	Α.	No, I do not.  Do you review the bills?
	Q. A.	I review the checks after they're written.
9	А.	Page 13

For what purpose?

10

П

Q.

11 For accounting purposes. Α. 12 Q. Do you do the accounting work for Suburban 13 Water and Sewer Company? 14 Α. Yes. So do you balance the books? 15 0. 16 Α. Yes. Okay. And balancing the books in -- I was --17 0. it was indicated to me that Suburban Water and Sewer 18 19 officially has no employees? 20 Α. Correct. And that they contract -- that Vista Home 21 Q. Management somehow gets the work done that is needed to be 22 23 done for the --24 They do the labor. Α. -- Suburban Water System? Okay. 25 Q. 16 And we may need to make sure we speak 1 separately so that the court reporter can correctly write down 2 3 what we each sav. Vista Home Management Company provides labor. 4 Α. Okay. How does Vista bill out for that labor? 5 Q. All right. I control the billing on Vista 6 Α. Home Management and I bill them periodically. 7 Bill Suburban Water and Sewer Company? 8 Q. Yes. For manhour labor. 9 Α. So everything's per hour? 10 Q. 11 Correct. Α. Do you have a lot of Vista employees that do 12 Q. Page 14

- 14 Suburban?
- 15 A. Only one regular.
- 16 Q. Which one's that?
- 17 A. His name is James Baumann.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. But others, when there is labor to be done,
- 20 have worked there.
- Q. Do they fill in as necessary?
- 22 A. Where they worked is how I receive that
- 23 message. They fill out time sheets where they worked.
- Q. So specific locations on the water/sewer
- 25 system?

- A. No.
- Q. What do you mean by "where they worked"?
- 3 A. Where they worked for the Vista properties.
- 4 Vista Home Management has other properties where these people

- 5 have worked and they fill out a time sheet. When they've
- 6 worked at the Bon Gor for water plant or lines or whatever,
- 7 they put down how many hours they worked there.
- 8 Q. Okay. So it's a tracking --
- 9 A. And so through Vista's payroll records, I
- 10 would know when to bill Suburban Water and Sewer.
- 11 Q. Okay. How are you involved otherwise with
- 12 Vista Home Management?
- 13 A. I am a stockholder and secretary of that
- 14 corporation.
- Q. Okay. Now, when it comes to Suburban Water Page 15

System, who makes decisions on day-to-day operations? 16 17 I do not. Α. 18 who would you -- do you know who makes 0. 19 day-to-day --20 Α. Paula takes care of most of the business. 21 Gordon takes care of a lot of it. If she has a problem, I --22 she calls him. 23 Q. Okay. 24 I don't always even know it's happening. Α. 25 Q. Okay. So small or large decisions, you're not 18 involved? 1 2 I am not involved. Α. 3 Q. Okay. But you are involved in reviewing the 4 books and that --5 Α. 6 Q. -- sort of record keeping? 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Okay. So then if improvements or something Q. 9 breaks on the system and something needs to be fixed happens, 10 you're not receiving those phone calls? 11 Α. No. 12 Q. Okay. You may be there when someone's 13 receiving those phone calls? 14 Α. That's right. 15 Q. But you're not taking them? 16 That's right. Α. 17 Okay. Now, are you aware of the rate case Q. that was filed in late 2004 to early 2005? 18

Page 16

D

- 19 A. I'm aware -- I'm aware we had a rate increase.
- Q. Okay. Were you aware of the actual rate case
- 21 going on -- the rate case filing for Suburban Water and Sewer
- 22 Company?
- 23 A. I was aware it was being done.
- Q. Okay. Did you initiate, on behalf of Suburban
- 25 Water System, the proceeding with the PSC to increase rates?

Ù

- 1 A. I don't -- I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Do you know of who would have directed
- 3 Suburban Water and Sewer to file this case -- that case?
- 4 A. Perhaps one of the attorneys. I don't really
- 5 know.
- 6 Q. Okay. Who would have told the attorneys to go
- 7 ahead?
- 8 A. Probably Gordon. Gordon would have and Paula
- 9 would have made that -- and I would have been aware that they
- 10 were trying to get one, but I did not do it myself.
- 11 Q. Were you involved with the 1993 rate case at
- 12 all for Suburban Water System?
- 13 A. Probably, but I don't remember anything about
- 14 it.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I don't really remember it. Is that the only
- 17 one we ever had in the history? I'm not supposed --
- 18 Q. I'm not sure that I've gone back in further
- 19 records.
- 20 A. I think --
- Q. '93 and 2005 are the ones I've been made aware Page 17

22

of.

23	Α.	Okay.
24	Q.	For the last rate case, were you involved with
25	any of the mee	tings that went on
		•
		20
		20
1	Α.	You're talking about in 2005?
2	Q.	for Suburban Water and Sewer System with
3	the Public Serv	vice Commission?
4	Α.	I was not present.
5	Q.	Okay. Did you have anything to do with the
6	let me strike	that, start over.
7		In looking at item going back to Exhibit G
8	that is in from	nt of you, looking at item No. 13, just so we
9	can go through	each of these to make sure, it states, The
10	company will re	eplace the standpipe with an inlet with some
11	more details.	
12		Were you aware of that condition?
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	Number 14 states, The company, meaning
15	Suburban, will	contract with a certified operator to maintain
16	the company's	well and distribution system.
17		Were you aware of that condition?
18	Α.	I was. I know they were searching for one.
19	Q.	And who is "they"?
20	Α.	Gordon and Paula.
21	Q.	And do you know who they contacted?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	Okay. Do you know if they came close to
24	getting one?	Page 18

25 A. No.

21

Okay. Do you know if they -- do you know if 1 Q. 2 they did go ahead and get one? 3 Α. I know they have not. 4 Q. Okay. On item 15 it states, The company will provide quarterly reports regarding monthly customer meter 5 6 usage and monthly master meter data. 7 were you aware of that condition? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. So a quarterly report on meter usage data 10 wouldn't be something that you would handle? 11 Α. No. 12 Okay. Who would handle that? Q. Paula. 13 Α. 14 Q. Okay. 15 Α. Or -- I'm sorry. 16 No, it's okay. Something else? Q. 17 I don't know these things always. Α. 18 okay. Q. I wouldn't have the -- I don't keep track of 19 Α. the readings or --20 21 And how often does Suburban Water System have Q. board of directors meetings? 22 23 We could have one every week, we just don't Α. 24 call it that.

25

Q.

when do you have an official Suburban board of

```
1
     directors meeting with minutes?
 2
                    I don't -- I don't know.
             Α.
 3
             Q.
                    Infrequently?
 4
                    Infrequently.
             Α.
             Q.
                    Same for the shareholders meetings?
 6
             Α.
                    Same.
 7
             Q.
                    Okay. When you have those meetings, is Paula
 8
     involved?
 9
                    Yes. Or not. Sometimes. That's all I can
             Α.
10
     say.
                    One thing I'm now confused on that I need to
11
             Q.
12
     ask you about, I'm handing you Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7
13
     that -- in the resolution says, The corporation shall postpone
14
     the previously approved dissolution. Whose signatures are on
15
     that?
16
             Α.
                    Gordon's and mine.
17
                    Did Paula, as vice president, need to be a
18
     part of that meeting?
19
             Α.
                    I do not know.
20
             Q.
                    was she a part of that --
21
                    I don't know.
             Α.
22
                    -- meeting?
             Q.
                    I don't remember. When was this? Okay. This
23
             Α.
24
     was this year.
25
             Q.
                    What is the date on that?
```

1

23

A. June 25th.

```
32649bburnam071707
 2
             Q.
 3
                    She may have been present when we did this.
             Α.
                    Okay. But you're not sure.
 4
             Q.
 5
                    Let me look at that date.
             Α.
                    Did you make those -- did you write those
 6,
             Q.
 7
     minutes --
 8
                    No, I did not.
             Α.
 9
                    -- as the secretary?
             Q.
                    Who wrote those minutes?
10
                    I do not know. My husband may have written
11
             Α.
12
     them. It's almost a form letter.
13
                    Okay.
             Q.
                    (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 8 was marked for
14
     identification.)
15
16
     BY MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN:
                    This is Petitioner's Exhibit No. 8, which is
17
             Q.
18
     the board of directors' written consent dated also
     June 25th --
19
20
             Α.
                    Yes.
21
             Q.
                    -- 2007?
22
             Α.
                    Yes.
                    Do you recall if you created this document?
23
             Q.
                    I did not create it, but I was there.
24
             Α.
                    Okay. And who signed it?
25
             Q.
```

24

1 A. Gordon and I.

Q. Okay. Was Paula there also?

3 A. I don't think so. I don't know. Yes, I

4 believe she was.

```
32649bburnam071707
5
             Q.
                    Where was this held at?
                    The attorney's office, I think maybe. I
 6
             Α.
7
    don't --
                    You don't know?
8
             Q.
 9
             Α.
                    Not really.
10
                    It was just last month. Do you remember what
             0.
11
     the contents of the unanimous written consent of the board of
12
     directors of Suburban Water and Sewer was about? Sorry. Let
13
    me finish that.
14
                    MR. HARRISON: You mean what the meeting was
15
     about?
16
                    MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN: Yes. I apologize.
17
     That wasn't clear.
18
     BY MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN:
19
                    Do you recall what that meeting was about and
             Q.
     what you were signing off on?
20
21
             Α.
                    About this action being proposed here today
22
     and this last week is what it was about, the lawsuits and so
23
     forth.
24
             Q.
                    But you don't remember exactly who was there
25
     when you went -- when you had that meeting?
                                                             25
 1
                    well, probably the attorneys. We had it in
             Α.
 2
     the office.
                    In their office or your office -- Vista Home's
 3
             Q.
```

Q. In their office or your office -- Vista Home's office?

A. In their office probably. I -- I believe we did.

0

7

Page 22

Okay.

Q.

8	Α.	32649bburnam071707 Because I was getting ready to leave.
9	Q.	To leave for?
10	Α.	A trip. And we met there and had these
11	discussions ar	nd signed the papers and so forth.
12	Q.	Okay. Did you ever speak to anyone from the
13	PSC regarding	the 2005 rate case?
14	Α.	No.
15	Q.	Have you ever spoken with anybody from the PSC
16	in regards to	Suburban Water and Sewer Company?
17	· A.	Since when?
18	Q.	Why don't we say since 2005?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Have you spoken with anybody in regards
21	with anyone fr	rom the PSC regarding Suburban Water and Sewer
22	Company before	e that?

Do you recall what that was -- what those

Q

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

contacts were about?

Yes.

26

1 Α. Many times we had two or three audits in the 2 last 36 years, only two or three though. Very seldom did you 3 hear from them. was there an audit for the 2005 rate case? 4 Q. 5 I would imagine. I was not present. Α. 6 Okay. Was there an audit for the '93 rate Q. 7 case? 8 I believe there probably was. Α. Do you think you were present for that one? 9 Q. 10 I'm pretty -- I probably was. Α.

#### 32649bburnam071707

- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. But --
- 13 O. Have you, as a shareholder and -- or as
- 14 secretary, directed Suburban'S attorneys to --
- 15 A. Prepare those statements?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you share that authority with Gordon
- 19 Burnam --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. -- as president?
- 22 Does Paula Belcher have the authority to
- 23 advise the attorneys to take any actions?
- 24 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. So you and Gordon have the authority

1

1 to?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN: Okay. I think that's

- 4 all I have.
- 5 MS. BAKER: I have no questions.
- 6 MR. HARRISON: Yeah, I don't have any
- 7 questions.
- 8 MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN: One last thing for the
- 9 on-the-record before the signature question. This is a
- 10 subpoena for the July 26th, next Thursday, evidentiary
- 11 hearing. The subpoena states 8:30 is the time, but I'm
- 12 correcting it to 8:00 a.m. for July 26th here in the
- 13 Commission building. I'm sure you're aware -- very aware of

14	32649bburnam071707 the hearing that's going on next week.
15	THE WITNESS: I was hoping I wouldn't have to
16	be present.
17	MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN: I think you're not the
18	only one.
19	MR. HARRISON: Same deal. We'll waive
20	presentment, but not signature.
21	(PRESENTMENT WAIVED; SIGNATURE REQUESTED.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	28
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, CCR, within the State of
4	Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony
5	appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; tha
6	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of
7	my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
8	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
9	employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
10	deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative o
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
12	thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the
13	outcome of the action.
14	
15	
16	

Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, CCR Page 25

## 32649bburnam071707 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 29 Midwest Litigation Services 1 3610 Buttonwood 2 Columbia, Missouri Phone 573-442-3600 \* 573-636-7551 3 July 19, 2007 4 VAN MATRE, HARRISON, AND VOLKERT, P.C. 5 1103 East Broadway Columbia, Missouri 65201 573-874-7777 6 Mr. Thomas M. Harrison Mr. Matthew S. Volkert In Re: Staff of Missouri PSC vs. Suburban 8 Dear Mr. Harrison and/or Mr. Volkert: 9 Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of Bonnie Burnam taken on July 17, 2007 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet. 10 11 Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata 12 13 sheet, and sign the signature page before a Notary Public. 14 Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Ms. Syler Brueggemann for filing prior to the trial date. 15 Thank you for your attention to this matter. 16 Sincerely, 17 Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, Certified Court 18 Reporter

0

19

**Enclosure** 

Page 26

```
32649bburnam071707
20
     cc: Ms. Syler Brueggemann
          Ms. Baker
21
22
23
24
25
                                                               30
     STATE OF ______)
 1
     COUNTY OF _____
 2
     I. BONNIE BURNAM, do hereby certify:
 3
            That I have read the foregoing deposition;
 4
 5
            That I have made such changes in form and/or
 6
     substance within the deposition as might be necessary to
 7
     render the same true and correct;
            That having made such changes thereon, I hereby
 8
 9
     subscribe my name to the deposition.
            I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
10
11
     is true and correct.
12
         Executed this ______ of ______, 2007, at _____
13
14
15
     Notary Public
16
     My commission expires:_____
17
                            BONNIE BURNAM
18
     Signature page to Mr. Harrison/Mr. Volkert TLT/BB, 07/19/07 Staff of MO PSC vs. Suburban
19
20
```

21 22

Page 27

1	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET
2	Witness Name: Bonnie Burnam Case Name: Staff of MO PSC vs. Suburban
3	Date Taken: 7/17/07
4	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
5	Page: Line: Should read:
6	Reason for change:
7	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
8	Page: Line: Should read:
9	Reason for change:
10	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
11	<del>-</del>
12	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
13	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
14	Page: Line: Should read:
15	Reason for change:
16	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
17	•
18	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
19	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
20	
21	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
22	Page: Line: Should read:
23	Reason for change:
24	Reporter: Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, CCR
25	

1	BEFORE THE PUBL	IC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE	OF MISSOURI
3		
4		
5	THE STAFF OF MISSOURI	)
6	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,	)
7		) Case No. WC-2007-0452
8	Petitioner,	)
9	·	)
10	vs.	)
11		)
12	SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER	)
13	COMPANY AND GORDON BURNAM,	)
14		)
15	Respondents.	)
16		
17		
18	DEPOSITION	N OF PAULA BELCHER
19	Taken on be	ehalf of Petitioner
20	Jui	ly 17, 2007
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

1

INDEX

Page 1

Attachment E

2	EXAMINATIONS	
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Syler Brueggemann	6
4		
5	EXHIBIT INSTRUCTIONS	
6	Exhibits attached in a separate binder.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
2 <del>4</del> 25		
23		
		3
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	STATE OF MISSOURI	
3	<del></del>	

Page 2

```
32649pbelcher071707
5
    THE STAFF OF MISSOURI
                                  )
6
    PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
7
                                  ) Case No. WC-2007-0452
8
            Petitioner,
                                  )
9
                                  )
10
            vs.
                                  )
11
                                  )
12
     SUBURBAN WATER AND SEWER
13
     COMPANY AND GORDON BURNAM,
14
                                  )
15
            Respondent.
                                  )
16
17
                        DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, PAULA BELCHER,
18
     produced, sworn, and examined on July 17, 2007, between the
19
    hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. of that day at the offices of
20
     Public Service Commission, Jefferson City, Missouri, before
21
    TRACY L. THORPE TAYLOR, CCR. No. 939, within the State of
22
    Missouri, in a certain cause now pending before the Missouri
23
     Public Service Commission, wherein Staff of Missouri Public
24
     Service Commission is Plaintiff and Suburban Water and Sewer
25
     Company and Gordon Burnam are Defendants.
                                                                4
 1
                          APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR THE PETITIONER:
 3
             STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
             200 Madison Street, Suite 800
 4
             Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360
             573-526-7393
 6
 7
             by: Ms. Shelley Syler Brueggemann
```

Page 3

8	
9	FOR THE RESPONDENTS:
10	VAN MATRE, HARRISON, AND VOLKERT, P.C.
11	1103 East Broadway
12	Columbia, Missouri 65201
13	573-874-7777
14	by: Mr. Thomas M. Harrison
15	
16	FOR OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL:
17	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL
18	200 Madison Street, Suite 650
19	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
20	573-751-5565
21	by: Ms. Christina Baker
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER:
2	TRACY L. THORPE TAYLOR, C.C.R. NO. 939
3	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
4	3610 Buttonwood
5	Columbia, Missouri 65201
6	573-442-3600

ALSO PRESENT: Ramon Gordon Burnam, Bonnie Burnam

11	32043pbc1clici 0/1/0/
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

0

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
2	between Counsel for the Plaintiff and Counsel for the
3	Defendant that this deposition may be taken by TRACY L. THORPE
4	TAYLOR, a Certified Court Reporter, C.C.R. 939, thereafter
5	transcribed into typewriting, with the signature of the
6	witness being expressly requested.
7	PAULA BELCHER,
8	of lawful age, having been produced, sworn, and examined on
9	the part of the Petitioner, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN:
11	Q. Will you just state your name for the record,
12	please?
13	A. It's Paula Belcher.

14	Q.	32649pbelcher071707 And have you been in the room and able to hear
15	the depositions	s of Gordon Burnam and Bonnie Burnam?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Now, Paula, what is your employment or your
18	occupation?	
19	Α.	I'm an employed with Vista Home Management
20	Company as exec	cutive vice president.
21	Q.	Okay. And how long have you been executive
22	vice president	of Vista?
23	Α.	Almost five years.
24	Q.	Okay. What is your primary what are your
25	primary duties	at Vista?
		7
		·
1	Α.	Basically, I oversee the employees and keep
1		Basically, I oversee the employees and keep on a day-to-day basis.
2	things going o	n a day-to-day basis.
2	things going o	n a day-to-day basis.
2 3 4	things going on Q. Sewer Company?	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban Water and
2 3 4 5	things going on Q. Sewer Company? A.	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban Water and  Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	things going on Q. Sewer Company? A. Q.	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?
2 3 4 5 6 7	things going on Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A.	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	things going on Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A. Q.	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.  For?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	things going or Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A. Q. A.	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban Water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.  For?  For Suburban Water and Sewer Company.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	things going or Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	n a day-to-day basis.  Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.  For?  For Suburban Water and Sewer Company.  Okay. Now, are you employed by Suburban?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	things going or Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.  For?  For Suburban Water and Sewer Company.  Okay. Now, are you employed by Suburban?  No, I'm not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	things going or Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.  For?  For Suburban Water and Sewer Company.  Okay. Now, are you employed by Suburban?  No, I'm not.  Are you contract help?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	things going or Q.  Sewer Company?  A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Now, are you familiar with Suburban water and  Yes.  And why are you familiar with Suburban?  I I oversee the day-to-day operations.  For?  For Suburban Water and Sewer Company.  Okay. Now, are you employed by Suburban?  No, I'm not.  Are you contract help?

Page 6

17	Α.	32649pbelcher071707 I know let's see. Every so often if they
18	happen to have	it, Suburban Water and Sewer would pay for my
19	labor.	
20	Q.	Okay. That's an interesting way to say that.
21	If they have i	t, every so often Suburban may pay for your
22	labor?	
23	Α.	Can I change that? We Bonnie bills
24	Q.	For?
25	Α.	bills Suburban Water and Sewer for my
		8
		3
1	salary.	
2	Q.	Okay.
3	Α.	Okay? That's a better way.
4	Q.	And is that for the hours that you work for
5	Suburban Water	· <del></del>
6	Α.	That that's correct.
7	Q.	Okay. Are you an officer of Suburban Water
8	and Sewer Comp	any?
9	Α.	I was not aware that I was an officer for
10	Suburban Water	and Sewer Company.
11	Q.	Have you become aware that you are an officer
12	for Suburban W	ater and Sewer Company?
13	Α.	I am aware now.
14	Q.	Okay. And when did you become aware of that?
15	Α.	I became aware of that now, today.
16	Q.	In Bonnie Burnam's deposition?
17	Α.	Correct.
18	Q.	Okay. And do you know what officer you are

19

for Suburban now?

20	Α.	32649pbelcher071707 Executive vice president.
21	Q.	Okay. Now, were you at all involved in the
22	2005 Suburban	Water and Sewer Company rate case with the
23	Public Service	Commission?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	And how were you involved with that rate case?
		9
		9
1	Α.	Gathering facts.
2	Q.	Did you initiate any of the rate did you
3	initiate any p	part of the rate case?
4	Α.	No.
5	Q.	Do you know who did?
6	Α.	That would be that would be Gordon and
7	Bonnie Burnam	as as shareholders in the company.
8	Q.	Did they vote to initiate the rate case?
9	Α.	I don't know that.
10	Q.	Okay. How do you know that Gordon and Bonnie
11	Burnam initiat	ed the rate case in 2005 then?
12	Α.	I I because because it was from
13	Suburban Water	. I mean
14	Q.	What was from Suburban Water?
15	Α.	I'm sorry. Can you repeat that question
16	again?	
17	Q.	How do you know that Gordon and Bonnie Burnam
18	initiated the	Suburban Water 2005 rate case?
19	Α.	It is my impression that they talk things over
20	about Suburbar	Water if there's going to be any big changes

D

21 22

assumption.

with Suburban Water. So that would -- that would have been my

23	Q.	32649pbelcher071707 Okay. Did you ever see any directives or any
24	other type of o	documentation that was initiating the rate case,
25	like a letter o	or memo or
		10
1	Α.	I saw a letter initiating the rate case to the
2	PSC.	I saw a recter instructing the rate case to the
3	Q.	And who was that from?
4	Α.	That was from Gordon Burnam.
5	Q.	Okay.
6	Α.	And we also had some information compiled by
7		ike Logston to the PSC.
8	Q.	Okay. Now, why was Michael Logston hired?
9	Α.	He was hired to help with the rate increase.
10	Q.	Did he do any sort of report?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	And was there any sort of evaluation in that
13	report of Subu	rban Water and Sewer system?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of what the
16	recommendation	in that report for Suburban was?
17	Α.	I'm aware that that his suggestion was
18	was to that	it would be actually better if we would
19	purchase the wa	ater from Public Water District No. 1 at the
20	time.	
21	Q.	Do you know why that was why he stated
22	or why his rep	ort summarized that was?
23	Α.	Because because of the age of the
24	equipment.	
25	Q.	Because the water/sewer system, many of the
		Page 9

addines a come oc

- 1 much of the plant had been put in in 1973?
- 2 A. Just because there were -- because of the
- 3 requirements, you know -- the age of the equipment and because
- 4 of the -- the -- you know, the DNR requirements have changed
- 5 over the years on what they want.
- 6 Q. Now, when it comes to the day-to-day
- 7 operations for Suburban, if there's a leak in the standpipe,
- 8 what do you do?
- 9 A. If there's a leak in the standpipe, I notify
- 10 Gordon.
- 11 Q. Okay. And why do you notify Gordon?
- 12 A. Because that's not a normal day-to-day
- 13 operation. That's -- that's something that would leak -- need
- 14 to let him be aware of.
- 15 Q. Are you letting him -- are you letting him be
- 16 aware of it to get authority to fix it or why are you letting
- 17 him know about it?
- 18 A. On -- well, on a leak in the standpipe, if
- 19 there's just one leak or two leaks, that -- I would have the
- 20 authority to get that fixed. I would have the authority to
- 21 take care of that.
- Q. What do you not have the authority to fix or
- 23 to go ahead with?
- 24 A. I don't have the authority to spend -- I don't
- 25 have the authority to spend a large sum of money from Suburban

- 1 Water and Sewer because --
- Q. Go ahead.
- 3 A. Because we don't have the money and I would
- 4 have to call Bonnie.
- 5 Q. Okay. So then on major decisions, do you call
- 6 Gordon Burnam to let him know about the situation and then get
- 7 permission to do whatever you need to do?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. And maybe you two will discuss it in
- 10 detail to decide the best path to take next?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, did you have any contact with PSC
- 13 Staff in the 2005 Suburban rate case?
- 14 A. They came to the office and did an audit in
- 15 the office --
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. -- of what we had, the files and things we had
- 18 then.
- 19 Q. Okay. Was that the only contact you had with
- 20 PSC Staff?
- 21 A. I went to the meeting with Gordon that they
- 22 had in Jeff City, the one where he met with several people.
- Q. And what was discussed at the Jeff City
- 24 meeting?
- 25 A. There was -- the thing I remember being

0

- 1 discussed at the Jeff City meeting was -- was the need to get
- 2 a certified water operator and we did discuss that.
- Q. Okay. Were meters discussed at that meeting?
  Page 11

- 4 A. And I don't remember specifics on it.
- 5 Q. Do you remember if the system condition in
- 6 general was discussed at that meeting?
- 7 A. I do. I remember that the condition was
- 8 discussed, the standpipe condition.
- 9 Q. The standpipe?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Do you remember what about the standpipe
- 12 condition was discussed?
- 13 A. I just -- what I remember on that was that
- 14 the -- the age of the standpipe was a concern and that's what
- 15 I remember.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, why don't we take this
- 17 opportunity -- have you seen the Disposition Agreement that
- 18 you've heard referred to in the prior depositions from that
- 19 2005 rate case? Are you familiar with that Disposition
- 20 Agreement?
- 21 A. Yes. I've seen that.
- Q. And when was the first time that you saw that?
- 23 A. When something comes in the mail from the PSC,
- 24 I see that and make copies of it and lay it on Gordon's desk.
- Q. Okay. Now, do you see the date that it was

Q

- 1 signed two pages further back? Wrong way, sorry. Page 5 of
- 2 the agreement. Do you see the signatures there?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you see the date that Gordon signed it?
- 5 A. 5/26.
- 6 Q. Do you know if you gave that agreement to Page 12

- 7 Gordon Burnam to sign?
- 8 A. I know I laid a copy on his desk. I don't
- 9 know if this is -- I don't know if this is the same. I would
- 10 assume it's the same agreement, but I don't know. I mean, I
- 11 don't know that.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did you mail that agreement back?
- 13 A. And I don't -- I don't remember that. I don't
- 14 remember if I was the person that mailed it back.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you see that -- did you read the
- 16 agreement at that time?
- 17 A. I did. I glanced through it, uh-huh.
- 18 Q. Did you read it after it had been signed or a
- 19 final agreement had been reached since you're unsure as to
- 20 whether or not it's been -- it had been changed?
- 21 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that again?
- Q. Basically you said it may have been changed
- 23 from the agreement that you glanced through before he signed
- 24 it, you're not sure if the agreement had changed. Did you
- 25 read the Final Disposition Agreement that he signed off on?

0

- 1 A. I remember seeing a copy of this agreement
- 2 after it was signed with these items on there.
- 3 Q. Okay. That's it. Thank you.
- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. So were you ever given any direction by anyone
- 6 as to the conditions in this Disposition Agreement?
- 7 A. I know -- yes.
- 8 Q. And what was that?
- 9 A. Okay. On No. 6, we did look for the deposits Page 13

- 10 to see if there was a way we could refund them.
- 11 Q. And did you provide that information to
- 12 anyone?
- 13 A. The information that I looked for in our
- 14 office, because we've had -- there's been several managers
- 15 at -- working for Suburban Water and Sewer. I looked to see
- 16 if I could find any old paperwork in the office on this and I
- 17 couldn't. So they've got -- you know, it had got thrown away
- 18 over the years. I couldn't find anything that had a deposit
- 19 on it.
- 20 Q. Did you tell anybody at the PSC that
- 21 information?
- 22 A. And I don't know if I did or not.
- 23 Q. Okay. And then was that -- were you directed
- 24 to do that by Gordon or Bonnie or did you take that initiative
- 25 yourself?

- 1 A. I think I just took that initiative myself.
- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. I knew I should do that.
- 4 Q. Then on item No. 8, are you familiar with that
- 5 condition referring to brochures?
- 6 A. I am familiar with that.
- 7 Q. And do you know if a brochure was developed
- 8 and distributed?
- 9 A. I did develop a brochure and it was
- 10 distributed.
- 11 Q. And when was it developed and distributed?
- 12 A. That was distributed in June.
  Page 14

16 and that I would need to do that, but I wasn't actually aware

17 that there was a time frame that I needed to do it in.

18 Q. So why did it take two years?

19 A. It was labor and time.

Q. At any point -- since you said earlier that

21 Bonnie will bill out your hours to Suburban and you get paid,

22 if it's available, from Suburban, was that part of the reason

23 that the brochure didn't get developed?

24 A. No.

Q. Okay. On item No. 9, which is referring to a

0

- 1 continuous property record system, are you aware of that
- 2 condition?
- 3 A. I -- I -- I remember reading that.
- 4 Q. When do you remember reading that?
- 5 A. I remember reading it when this first came in.
- 6 Q. After the rate case was -- or the rate
- 7 increase was approved or before that?
- 8 A. I'm not sure which, whether it was before or
- 9 after.
- 10 Q. Was it around that time?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. So did you develop a continuous
- 13 property record system around June of 2005?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Would that have been your responsibility?

- 16 A. It -- it may have been my responsibility, but
- 17 I -- but because it was concerning things like wells and --
- 18 and pumps and things like that, I did not do that. I mean,
- 19 it's not --
- Q. Who typically deals with wells and things like
- 21 that?
- 22 A. Well, I would deal with new ones that we would
- 23 purchase. I mean, new chlorinator pumps, which would be
- 24 smaller pumps, but not the larger well itself.
- Q. Who would deal with that larger well?

0

- 1 A. Well, it may have been my responsibility. I
- 2 wasn't sure whose it was.
- 3 Q. Okay. And I'll ask this two ways. Did you
- 4 and Gordon Burnam have any discussions about the conditions
- 5 listed here, any of the conditions listed here?
- 6 A. We may have discussed the conditions listed
- 7 here, but I don't remember -- I mean, I'm not sure what -- you
- 8 know, if it was divided up as to who would do what. I think
- 9 it was a general discussion about them.
- 10 Q. Did the general discussion include that they
- 11 needed to be completed?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, did you have that discussion with
- 14 Bonnie Burnam?
- 15 A. I don't remember having a discussion with
- 16 Bonnie Burnam.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, back to the continuation property

- 19 A. I have a form and we have listed some items on
- 20 that. I believe -- I think Matt gave you that.
- Q. Yes. I have been provided a copy of what's
- 22 called a property record system on a sheet of paper. Now, did
- 23 you put that together?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Who put that together?

0

- 1 A. The attorney's office.
- Q. Okay. Do you have all the information that
- 3 was contained in that property record system for the different
- 4 items? Let me pull out -- this was labeled Exhibit J -- and
- 5 I'll give you the official one -- in the earlier depositions
- 6 that Mr. Volkert conducted. Is that the property record
- 7 system you're referring to?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. Okay. On the plant description in the first
- 10 column --
- 11 · A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. -- is that information that you have for
- 13 Suburban?
- 14 A. Are you asking me if this form is the --
- 15 Q. The information in the form, the actual
- 16 information. Like this -- the first line it says, Standpipe,
- 17 it says, Date placed in service, 1973, it lists a purchase
- 18 price and what looks to be a proposed date of retirement since
- 19 it says 2023. Is that information that you had?
- 20 A. This is not information that I had. This is
- 21 information that Bonnie had.

22	Q.	Okay.
23	Α.	Is that what you're asking?
24	Q.	Thank you. That was my next question.
25		Now, we are up to what should be item No. 10,
		20
1	which is the n	ext one after item No. 9 without a number,
2	talking about	installing meters. Were you involved in any
3	discussions wi	th Gordon Burnam as to meter installation for
4	Suburban Water	Company?
5	Α.	I know that I was involved to the extent of I $$
6	know that some	meters some meters were installed.
7	Q.	How many do you think were installed?
8	Α.	Not I'm not sure. I think Matt gave you a
9	list. I'm not	sure. I don't remember the number off the top
10	of my head.	
11	Q.	Now, who would have developed the list of
12	meters already	installed? Who would have put together that
13	list of meters	? Would you have put that together?
14	Α.	No. That particular list that a list of
15	meters install	ed, that would have come from maintenance.
16	Q.	Okay. Any idea who in maintenance would have
17	put that list	together?
18	Α.	It would have either come from someone that we
19	subcontracted	out to we have one person we subcontract out
20	to.	
21	Q.	And what's that name?
22	Α.	That would be Fred Bradley.
23	Q.	Okay. Or who else?
24	Α.	Or it would have been one of our maintenance Page 18

25 people that was working for us at the time.

0

21

- Q. Okay. Are you aware that there are meters that need to be installed?

  A. I'm -- I'm aware that there's not -- may not
- Q. Okay. Is that part of your job duty, to
- 6 direct people to put in or install meters?

be meters in every -- in every one.

- 7. A. To put in would be like a new build or --
- 8 or --

- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. So that would be a discussion that I would
- 11 have with Gordon and I assume Gordon would have with Bonnie
- 12 because that's not day-to-day operations.
- 13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any reason why meters
- 14 weren't installed in all of the buildings?
- 15 A. I have -- I don't know. I don't know the
- 16 reason for that.
- 17 Q. Okay. Moving to what should be item No. 11
- 18 and it's talking about an implementation of a 10-year
- 19 replacement program for existing meters, are you aware if one
- 20 of those had been implemented?
- 21 A. We have set up a form, but I don't have
- 22 anything currently.
- 23 Q. What's on the form?
- A. The form would be where you would have all
- 25 your -- you would -- your -- you basically have your home --

- 1 you'd have the addresses listed and you would just change out
- 2 the meters as needed.
- 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether or not meters
- 4 have been replaced in the last 10 years?
- 5 A. We have replaced meters if they have needed to
- 6 be replaced if they were broken or if they were unable to be
- 7 read. But, again, unfortunately, if you have different
- 8 maintenance people, what they do is they go out into the field
- 9 and so they'll write beside their paperwork, you know, meters
- 10 replaced or whatever, but unfortunately, the meters -- you
- 11 know, the paperwork hasn't always been kept.
- 12 Q. Okay. When was the last time that -- or let
- 13 me back up. Do you oversee the staff that reads the meters on
- 14 a day-to-day basis?
- 15 A. I oversee the maintenance staff that -- that
- 16 goes out once a month and reads the meters.
- 17 Q. Okay. Are you referring to the master meter
- 18 then or are you referring to customer meters?
- 19 A. I'm referring to customer meters.
- 20 Q. Okay. So the customer meters are read once a
- 21 month?
- 22 A. Customer meters are read -- are read whenever
- 23 possible they're read. You're talking to -- to the regular
- 24 addresses?
- 25 Q. Yes.

23

1 A. Correct.

```
32649pbelcher071707
2
             Q.
                    Okay. Do you have records of those readings?
3
                    In -- those are records that we've given you
             Α.
4
    all.
                    I'm not sure I have that one with me. There
             0.
6
    were -- there was a document that was provided that had
 7
    readings on it, but it didn't have a meter serial number or
8
    address beside it to indicate that those were all different
9
    meters. So are you trying to tell me that those were all
10
    different meters in the document you provided?
11
                    No. I think you're talking about -- we're
             Α.
12
    talking about two different meters, but --
13
             Q.
                    Yes.
14
                    -- we have the master meter and then we have
15
    the meter at the addresses that we read for the customer
16
     billings.
17
                    And let me clarify this way. How many
18
     customer meters are you talking about?
19
                    I'm talking about customer meters that if they
             Α.
20
    went to read at an address --
21
                    And how many?
             Q.
22
                    -- to do the customer billing, if that
23
    meter -- the customer's -- the meter that was in that
24
     particular well -- meter well was broken, we would replace it.
25
                    And how many addresses have meters?
             Q.
```

24

A. I -- I don't know off the top of my head, but
I thought we'd given you that. Is that -Q. I don't believe we have that.

Α.

Okay.

5	32649pbelcher071707 Q. Or not anything that correlates with an
6	address, so I would like to have that information. We did
7	actually request the information but haven't received it yet
8	so
9	A. Well, we have 37 meters that we read at
10	customers' addresses that are single-family dwellings.
11	Q. Do you know if the serial number for the
12	meters is also connected with that address or that person's
13	name?
14	A. I don't have a list of serial numbers for the
15	meters. They've always just been kept by one, two, three,
16	four.
17	Q. Okay. All right. I think that's good. How
18	about we move to item 12 talking about flush valves. Do you
19	know if any if flush valves have been installed?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Not since you've worked there?
22	A. Not since I've worked there.
23	Q. And were you aware of this condition?

25 Q. Yeah.

Α.

24

1

0

25 Q. Yean.

Α.

Q. Did you have any discussions about the flush valves or the 10-year replacement program with either Gordon or Bonnie?

25

Number 12 still?

5 A. Not that I remember.

Yes.

Q. Okay. And I'm not sure if I asked you this so if I'm repeating myself, I apologize. Did you have

- 8 discussions with Gordon or Bonnie as to the installation of
- 9 meters?
- 10 A. You did ask me that.
- 11 Q. Okay. And your answer?
- 12 A. My answer was yes, I think we just -- I think
- 13 we discussed that, but I can't remember.
- 14 Q. Thanks.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Item 13 on the standpipe replacement of
- 17 what -- with an inlet, are you aware of whether or not that
- 18 has occurred?
- 19 A. I don't believe that has occurred.
- Q. Okay. Do you know why?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
- 22 Q. Has it been discussed with anyone associated
- 23 with Suburban?
- 24 A. I know that -- I know that Bill Marshall's
- 25 been contacted to find the cost on doing that.

D

- 1 Q. Any other discussions you're aware of?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Okay. On item No. 14, contracting with a
- 4 certified operator, are you aware of steps taken to contract
- 5 with anyone by Suburban?
- 6 A. Yes, I am.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what are those steps?
- 8 A. I was the -- I was the person that took the
- 9 certified water operator test and didn't pass. And I've tried
- 10 desperately to find someone to be the certified water

32649pbelcher071707 11 operator. 12 Okay. Who have you contacted? Ο. 13 Α. We've contacted -- I believe we provided you 14 with a list of the companies that we contacted --15 I haven't received it. Q. -- back in 2005. 16 Α. 17 I have not received that list yet, so Q. hopefully that's forthcoming. 18 19 Α. It was --20 And do you remember off the top of your head Q. 21 who that included? 22 Α. It was Aqua Source. 23 Q. Okay. 24 Α. There was a company in Columbia, and I'm 25 sorry, I don't remember their name.

11

ran into.

- And who else? 1 Q. 2 Α. And then there was a company down at Osage 3 Beach. 4 And how recently did you contact those Q. companies? 5 6 Α. We contacted those people back in 2005.
- Q. And what happened?

  A. They were unwilling to go -- they're -- most

  of their business is south of Jeff City and so they're

  unwilling to go north for just the one system is a problem we
- 12 And then Gordon did try to give the system
- 13 away, he even offered a couple -- you know, if they would just

32649	nhel	Ichei	~071	707

- 14 take the system. We couldn't find anybody. When I went to
- 15 the water certification class that I attended, there was
- 16 probably 15 people there in the class with me. They were
- 17 people that were local around in the area in Columbia and
- 18 nobody was interested.
- 19 Q. And so, unfortunately, no contract with a
- 20 certified operator has been executed?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Let's see. We're up to No. 15, quarterly
- 23 reports regarding monthly customer meter usage and monthly
- 24 master meter usage. We kind of went through this a minute
- 25 ago. Have you provided the quarterly reports for both of

- 1 these sets of data?
- 2 A. We provided the one for the monthly master
- 3 meter usage.
- 4 Q. Okay. And why hasn't the monthly customer
- 5 meter usage data been provided?
- 6 A. It -- it was not --
- 7 Q. Was it read?
- 8 A. It --
- 9 Q. Or was part of it read?
- 10 A. A part of it was read, but it was -- I -- I
- 11 just was unable to do that.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you not have the data?
- 13 A. I don't have some of the data, no.
- 14 Q. So I thought a minute ago you said you had
- 15 compiled customer readings for the 37 residential meters of
- 16 when they're -- whenever they're read, that you had provided

- 17 that information already?
- 18 A. On the -- on the monthly customer meter usage,
- 19 that would be -- what that would be would be like in the
- 20 winter if it was bad weather, you'd have to estimate that
- 21 meter. So you'd be unable to provide every month a customer
- 22 meter reading. I guess you would be able to do it from like
- 23 here to here (indicating), but I don't -- I have not done
- 24 that.
- 25 Q. And you said before that your -- that the

1 staff, the maintenance staff doesn't necessarily read every

29

2 month --

- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. -- those meters?
- 5 So you don't necessarily even have some of the
- 6 months' data. Right?
- 7 A. Right. I wouldn't have it month by month, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. One last question that I'm still
- 9 just not clear on. And, again, you were in the depositions of
- 10 Bonnie Burnam and Gordon Burnam, correct, for the whole time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. When it comes to the 2005 rate case
- 13 that was filed, there's statements that Gordon and Paula
- 14 handled it, there's statements that Paula and Bonnie handled
- 15 it. Who handled the -- or who dealt with primarily the 2005
- 16 rate case?
- 17 A. What I would handle -- what I would handle
- 18 would be the day-to-day things like gathering of information,
- 19 contacting, you know -- going to the Public Water District

20	32649pbelcher071707 meetings. It depends on what you're asking what person did
21	what type of thing, I think.
22	Q. Who was the primary person talking to PSC
23	Staff on a regular basis also?
24	A. On a regular basis talking to the PSC would be
25	Gordon Burnam.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. But if it was someone talking to the PSC about
- 3 setting up a time for like an audit, then that would be me. I
- 4 would talk to Dana or somebody.
- 5 Q. And I think this was already stated, but you
- 6 don't have the authority to agree to or not agree to a rate
- 7 increase for Suburban Water and Sewer system. Correct?
- 8 A. Correct. I would just -- I would just be
- 9 facilitating everyone viewing the records.
- 10 Q. Okay. And then do you sign checks?
- 11 A. I sign checks.
- 12 Q. For Suburban also?
- 13 A. That's correct, for Suburban.
- 14 Q. I'm assuming you sign checks for Vista, if
- 15 necessary?
- 16 A. If necessary.
- 17 Q. Okay. Did you get any responses to the notice
- 18 of dissolution to customers to shut off the water on July 1st?
- 19 A. We had -- we had some people contact the
- 20 office and they had asked who would -- you know, was there
- 21 anything we could tell them or who they would need to contact.
- 22 And we directed those calls to our attorney and they -- the

2264	0-6-7		71707
3204	ybbe.	icheru	71707

- questions that they asked that we could answer, which would be 23
- 24 like where they would continue to pay their water bill, it
- 25 would be there at our office.

31

- 1 What other type of questions did they ask? Q. 2 I think they just wanted to know if -- you Α. 3 know, if the water was going to be shut off, what was the problem. And I just directed them to the attorney's office. 5 Q. You tried not to answer anything? That's correct. Α. Did you know what those answers were? 7 Q. 8 we just felt it was best just to turn those Α. 9 questions over to the attorney. Had it been discussed where those customers --10 Q. had it been discussed with Gordon or Bonnie where those 11 12 customers were going to get water from after the shut-off date 13 on July 1st? I did not discuss that with them. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Did you overhear any discussions they Q. may have had? 16 I -- I went to some meetings with Public Water 17 Α. District No. 1 and I -- because of that, I guess I just
- assumed that that would be where they would be getting water. 19
- 20 So you never discussed with anybody associated
- 21 with Suburban where people were going to get their water from
- 22 once the water was shut off on July 1st?
- 23 Can you repeat that again? Because I'm not
- 24 sure how I should answer this.

18

25 Did you discuss with anyone associated with 0.

- 1 Suburban where the customers would get water from after the
- 2 shut-off date on July 1st?
- 3 A. I as -- did I discuss that with anyone in
- 4 Suburban Water?
- Q. Or did anyone discuss it with you, vice-versa?
- 6 A. I don't remember anyone specifically
- 7 discussing that with me as to where they would get water. I
- 8 know that there was some discussion as to where and --
- 9 O. And what was that discussion?
- 10 A. That discussion was Public Water District
- 11 No. 1 or -- or --
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. -- a receivership.
- 14 Q. What was the receivership discussion?
- 15 A. That was a discussion that was -- that was had
- 16 at the Public Water District meeting that I was at and that
- 17 was with the PSC there.
- 18 Q. Are you talking about Consolidated District
- 19 No. 1, just for the record?
- 20 A. Yes. Yes.
- 21 Q. And who else from Suburban was at that
- 22 meeting?
- 23 A. The first meeting I went to by myself and then
- 24 the next meeting -- meeting, Gordon Burnam was there.
- Q. And so what discussions went on about sources

- of water after the July 1st shut-off?

  A. With Public Water District No. 1 -
  Q. Yes.

  A. -- is that what you're asking?

  Q. Yes.
- 6 A. There was some question about Public Water
- 7 District No. 1 taking -- taking over the system or taking it
- 8 as a receivership.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did that sound likely?
- 10 A. It -- it did sound likely.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. But I -- but I didn't pass that information on
- 13 to the Suburban -- you know, to Suburban Water customers.
- 14 Q. Okay. Because it wasn't a certainty yet?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And are you familiar at all with Boone County
- 17 Regional Sewer Company?
- 18 A. I am.
- 19 Q. And how are you familiar with them?
- 20 A. I know that they're the lagoon company. And
- 21 also I attended the meeting with Gordon when he went and
- 22 discussed that with them.
- Q. Okay. And what was discussed there?
- 24 A. There was some discussion there about would
- 25 they be interested in taking over the water company, Suburban.

0

- 1 Q. Okay. And were they?
- 2 A. I think there's some interest. I don't know a
- 3 definite yet.

4 MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN: Okay. I think that's 5 all I have. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER: 7 Okay. I have some questions about the meter Q. 8 readings. Okay? You were the person who takes the meter 9 reading data and prepares the bills from that? 10 I'm the person that takes the meter 11 readings -- I send the person out into the field to take the 12 meter readings and then when the meter readings come back into 13 the office and there's another person that works in the office 14 that actually does the -- that does the billing. I don't do 15 the day-to-day billing. I don't do the monthly billing. 16 Okay. Are you aware of how many people are paying the unmetered rate at this time? 17 18 Α. That would be the apartment people would be 19 paying the unmetered rate. 20 Okay. And that is the duplexes and the Q. 21 four-plexes is that --MR. BURNAM: If I could answer that --22 23 MR. HARRISON: No, you can't answer that. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 25 BY MS. BAKER: 35 When you say "the apartments," are you talking 1 Q. 2 about the duplexes and the four-plexes? That's correct. 3 Α.

O

- 4 Q. Okay. And has the number of people paying
- 5 unmetered rates increased since 2005?
- 6 A. I would -- paying the unmetered rate Page 31

7 increased? 8 Q. Yes. 9 Α. Yes, it has. 10 Okay. And why do you say that? Q. 11 Α. Because Mr. Burnam just explained he -- that 12 they were not reading the meters. 13 Q. How many meters have been -- have there 14 been -- how many meters have they ceased to read? 15 A. I would say that there's probably not over 16 five or six that they have ceased to read. 17 ·Q. Okav. 18 And that's just a guess, but I would say Α. 19 probably not over five or six. 20 Q. And ceasing to read that is based on a 21 directive of Gordon Burnam. Is that your understanding? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Do you know what the average bill for one of 24 those would be if they read the meter? 25 I'm sorry, I don't know. Α.

- 1 Q. Do you know what the average bill would be if
- 2 they did not read the meter?
- 3 A. If they did not read the meter, it would be
- 4 the unit rate of 12.30.
- 5 Q. And if they did read the meter, it would be
- 6 based on how much water was used?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you're saying five or six of
- 9 those --

10	A. That's correct.
11	Q a directive came not to read anymore?
12	A. I said I said that there were five or six
13	that we used to read that we no longer read.
14	MS. BAKER: That's what I wanted. That's all
15	the questions I have.
16	MR. HARRISON: No questions.
17	MS. SYLER BRUEGGEMANN: And for the record one
18	last time, an eight o'clock subpoena also to bring certain
19	records for the 26th of July, 20007, 8:00 a.m.
20	THE COURT REPORTER: Signature?
21	MR. HARRISON: Same drill.
22	(PRESENTMENT WAIVED; SIGNATURE REQUESTED.)
23	
24	
25	
	37
	<b>3,</b>
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, CCR, within the State of
4	Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony
5	appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that
6	the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of
7	my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
8	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
9	employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
10	deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
12	thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the Page 33

	<b> </b>
13	outcome of the action.
14	
<b>1</b> 5	
16	Tropy I Thomas Taylor (CO)
17	Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, CCR
18	
19	
20	
21	$S_{i,j}$
22	
23	
24	
25	
	38
1	Midwest Litigation Services
2	3610 Būttonwood Columbia, Missouri
3	Phone 573-442-3600 * 573-636-7551
4	July 19, 2007
5	VAN MATRE, HARRISON, AND VOLKERT, P.C. 1103 East Broadway
6	Columbia, Missouri 65201 573-874-7777
7	Mr. Thomas M. Harrison Mr. Matthew S. Volkert
8	In Re: Staff of Missouri PSC vs. Suburban
9	Dear Mr. Harrison and/or Mr. Volkert:
10	Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of Paula Belcher taken on July 17, 2007 in the above-referenced case.
11	Belcher taken on July 17, 2007 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheet.
12	Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript,
13	indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a Notary Public.
14	Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to
15	Ms. Syler Brueggemann for filing prior to the trial date.

	32649pbelcher071707 Thank you for your attention to this matter.					
16	Sincerely,					
17	$\cdot$					
18	Tracy L. Thorpe Taylor, Certified Court Reporter					
19	Enclosure					
20	cc: Ms. Syler Brueggemann Ms. Baker					
21						
22						
23	·					
24						
25						
	39					
	53					
1	STATE OF)					
2	COUNTY OF)					
3	I, PAULA BELCHER, do hereby certify:					
4	That I have read the foregoing deposition;					
5	That I have made such changes in form and/or					
6	substance within the deposition as might be necessary to					
7	render the same true and correct;					
8	That having made such changes thereon, I hereby					
9	subscribe my name to the deposition.					
10	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing					
11	is true and correct.					
12						
13	Executed this of, 2007, at					
14						
15						
16	Notary Public					
17	My commission expires:					

18

PAULA BELCHER Page 35

40

19	TLT/PB, 07/19/07
20	Staff of MO PSC vs. Suburban
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	WITNESS ERRATA SHEET
2	Witness Name: Paula Belcher Case Name: Staff of MO PSC vs. Suburban
3	Case Name: Staff of MO PSC vs. Suburban Date Taken: 7/17/07
4	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
5	Page: Line: Should read:
6	Reason for change:
7	Page: Line: Should read:
8	Reason for change:
9	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
10	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
11	-
12	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
13	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
14	·
15	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
16	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
17	<b>-</b>
18	Page: Line: Should read: Reason for change:
19	Page: Line: Should read:
20	Reason for change:
21	Page: Line: Should read:

Ď

Page 36

22	Page: Reason			ould rea	ıd:	
23				Thorne	Taylor,	CCB
24	керот се	Hacy	۲.	THOI PE	layioi,	CCK
25						