

5. Veolia has reason to believe that TMC may possibly have been provided confidential Veolia documents by a former Veolia employee and that the former employee may have acted improperly in disclosing those documents to TMC. Additionally, Veolia is in the process of evaluating concerns regarding a possible conflict of interest regarding counsel. A brief extension of time would allow Veolia an opportunity to address those concerns, which are material to its position regarding TMC's intervention.

6. Veolia intends to continue informal discussions with TMC and its counsel regarding this matter.

7. Veolia respectfully requests that the Commission refrain from ruling on TMC's motion to intervene out of time so that it can evaluate facts crucial to its position and will continue to engage in discussions with TMC and its counsel.

8. TMC's motion to intervene stated that the granting of its "request for intervention will neither prejudice any other party nor disrupt the established schedule." Veolia similarly states that its request for the Commission to refrain from ruling on TMC's motion to intervene out of time will not prejudice any party nor disrupt the procedural schedule.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Veolia respectfully requests that the Commission refrain until February 24, 2014, from ruling on TMC's petition to intervene out of time.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Diana Vuylsteke
Diana M. Vuylsteke, # 42419
Bryan Cave LLP
211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
Telephone: (314) 259-2543
Facsimile: (314) 259-2020
E-mail: dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Attorney for
Veolia Energy Kansas City, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been emailed this 13th day of February, 2014, to all parties on the Commission's service list in this case.

/s/ Diana Vnylsteke