## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Honorable Colleen M. Dale

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Missouri Public Service Commission

P/O Box 360

Jefferson City, Mo. 65102-0360

Re: Case # WA 2006-0480

Dear Judge Dale,

I'm not an attorney and from what I've seen over the past year, that was a good decision I made in my younger years. I apologize that I'm not up on all the intervention regulations Mr. Comley so graciously sent in his latest 8 page filing. Having no legal counsel, we the interveners have little to offer, except some very pertinent evidence against the applicants. If Mr. Comley sees the necessity to harrass us or bar us from presenting that evidence due to improper procedure on our part, then he is the one that has to live with that. If evidence and documentation is prohibited because we have not dotted our I's and crossed our T's, then that is a problem for the PSC, as it's purpose is to provide service for the public welfare. There is no requirement that a intervener has an attorney or did I miss that regulation too.

We have requested data, (the most recently a copy of the Asset Transfer Agreement) and the commission has requested data pertaining to this case as well as the complainants case and we are yet to see much compliance from the applicants or their attorneys.

I'm sorry this letter isn't exactly lawyer language but we do know right from wrong and have the evidence and documentation to prove it. Therefore I pray (thats lawyer talk) that we get beyond this nonsense and get into a evidentiary hearing so that the applicants may present their evidence, and you the commission, let us present our evidence. by not being continually interrupted as occurred in the June 2, 2006 public hearing in Camdenton. I am confident the commission can do their job and arrive at a proper decision on their own without attorneys micro managing the information and documentation that comes before them.

This procedure before the commission has continued for a year with few conclusions or decisions, and the new proposed procedural schedule extends for 6 more months. I might be over simplifying but in my opinion this certification procedure could be consolidated into one evidentiary hearing. It would save the Missouri tax payers a lot of money, and many of the particpants from taking time off from their jobs.

Sincerely,

Benjamin O, Paglo

Benjamin D. Pugh (retired TWA)



ervice Commission