1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
7	July 16, 2003
8	Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1
9	
10	
11	In the Matter of Union)
12	Electric Company, d/b/a) AmerenUE, and Its Tariff) Filing to Implement a) Case No.: GR-2003-0517
13	Filing to Implement a) Case No.: GR-2003-0517 General Rate Increase for) Natural Gas Service)
14	Natural Gas Service
15	
16	DONALD D. DDIDCIN Desciding
17	RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding, REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	STEPHANIE L. KURTZ MORGAN, RPR, CCR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS
23	714 West High Street P. O. Box 1308
24	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 636-7551
25	(3/3/ 030 /331
	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 TOLL FREE 1-800-636-7551

1	APPEARANCES:
2	JAMES C. SWEARENGEN, Attorney at Law DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law
3	Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue
4	P. O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
5	(573) 635-7166
6	FOR: Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE.
7	SHELLEY A. WOODS, Attorney at Law Assistant Attorney General
8	207 West High Street P. O. Box 899
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-8795
10	FOR: Missouri Department of Natural
11	Resources.
12	DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel P. O. Box 7800
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-4857
14	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
15	and the Public.
16	LERA L. SHEMWELL, Senior Counsel ROBERT BERLIN, Associate General Counsel
17	P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
18	(573) 751-6434
19	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service
20	COMMITSSION.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	P	R	\cap	C	F.	F.	D	Т	N	G	S

- 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: We are now on the record.
- 3 This is the prehearing conference for
- 4 Case No. GR-2003-0517, In the Matter of Union Electric
- 5 Company, doing busing as AmerenUE, and its tariff
- 6 filing to implement a general rate increase for natural
- 7 gas service.
- 8 I am Ron Pridgin. I am the Regulatory Law
- 9 Judge assigned to preside over this case. We are here
- 10 at the Hotel Governor Office Building on July 16th,
- 11 2003. The time is 10 a.m.
- We're here because of my June 3rd, 2003
- 13 suspension order and notice setting this case for
- 14 prehearing conference at this time. And right now I
- 15 would like to get oral entries of appearance from
- 16 counsel.
- 17 And if I could begin with Staff, please.
- 18 MS. SHEMWELL: Good morning, Judge. Lera
- 19 Shemwell and Robert Berlin for the Staff. Our address
- 20 is Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 21 Thank you.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, Ms. Shemwell.
- 23 On behalf of the Office of Public Counsel?
- MR. MICHEEL: Douglas E. Micheel, appearing on
- 25 behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel and the

- 1 Public, P. O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, Missouri
- 2 65102-7800.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, Mr. Micheel.
- 4 On behalf of AmerenUE?
- 5 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, Dean L. Cooper and
- 6 James C. Swearengen of the law firm Brydon,
- 7 Swearengen & England, P.C., P. O. Box 456, Jefferson
- 8 City, Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf of Union
- 9 Electric Company, doing business as AmerenUE.
- 10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
- On behalf of the Missouri Department of
- 12 Natural Resources?
- MS. WOODS: Good morning. Shelley Woods,
- 14 Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf of the
- 15 Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Post Office
- 16 Box 899, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 17 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, Ms. Woods.
- I don't believe I have any other parties who
- 19 have intervened.
- 20 Anyone else wishing to be heard?
- 21 (No response.)
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, let me go
- 23 forward and see if there's anything that the parties
- 24 want to bring to my attention before I proceed.
- MR. COOPER: Just as a matter of

- 1 clarification, Your Honor, this prehearing conference,
- 2 I believe, was previously set for last week, and -- and
- 3 upon motion of the parties had been moved to today.
- I notice that the date where you required the
- 5 pre-- or the proposed procedural schedule did not
- 6 change in that order. Is that intentional and -- and
- 7 are you still expecting a proposed procedural schedule
- 8 tomorrow?
- 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I suppose it was intentional
- 10 in the sense that I was not asked to move that date.
- 11 If the parties need more time for a proposed procedural
- 12 schedule, we routinely give parties a week to get that
- 13 in.
- 14 I -- if the parties need more time, I will
- 15 need a motion from one or -- or all of the parties and
- 16 will certainly be glad to -- to entertain that.
- MR. MICHEEL: Can we make the motion orally,
- 18 Your Honor?
- 19 JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's fine. I mean, if
- 20 all -- I understand you're doing that, Mr. Micheel --
- 21 moving for an extra week?
- MR. COOPER: That's fine.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Is that your motion,
- 24 Mr. Micheel?
- MR. MICHEEL: Yes, that'd be great.

- 1 JUDGE PRIDGIN: So you want July 23rd?
- 2 Okay. Any objections.
- 3 MR. COOPER: No. In fact, I would support
- 4 that motion.
- 5 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. In that case
- 6 hearing no objections, we will extend the deadline to
- 7 file a proposed procedural schedule until July 23rd,
- 8 2003. And I will try to get that memorialized in
- 9 writing for everyone's file.
- 10 And thank you, Mr. Cooper, for bringing that
- 11 up.
- 12 Anything else?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Let me ask the
- 15 parties a few questions. First of all, just to kind of
- 16 get a -- a handle on what I'm looking at here, could I
- 17 get some sort of, I guess, ballpark figure, if you
- 18 will, as to the number of witnesses and the amount of
- 19 time each party thinks it will need to proceed.
- 20 And, Ms. Shemwell, could I start with you,
- 21 please?
- MS. SHEMWELL: I'm not sure how many
- 23 witnesses. I would guess seven.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Seven to ten.

- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 2 MS. SHEMWELL: With the limited number of
- 3 intervenors I would think -- are you asking what I
- 4 think the hearing will take in terms of length of time;
- 5 is that --
- 7 MS. SHEMWELL: I think that we would
- 8 estimate -- a week would be sufficient.
- 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. And that's -- and
- 10 that's not just your case, but the entire hearing?
- MS. SHEMWELL: The entire hearing --
- 12 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
- 13 MS. SHEMWELL: -- yes. I anticipate that some
- 14 of the issues may be resolved.
- 15 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you.
- Mr. Micheel?
- 17 MR. MICHEEL: Since we're in the incipient
- 18 stages of our audit, it's very hard to tell at this
- 19 time, Your Honor. I suspect that we'll have three or
- 20 four or maybe more witnesses.
- 21 And until we have identified all of the issues
- 22 that need to be litigated, it's hard to tell how long
- 23 the case will take.
- I don't disagree with Ms. Shemwell that a week
- 25 sounds correct right now, but I certainly wouldn't put

- 1 my head on the chopping block and say a week is enough.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you.
- 3 Mr. Cooper?
- 4 MR. COOPER: I believe the Company has
- 5 approximately ten witnesses that have filed direct
- 6 testimony for -- it may have a few additional
- 7 witnesses, depending on -- on what issues are raised in
- 8 Staff, OPC testimony as we proceed.
- 9 However, I think I would agree with
- 10 Ms. Shemwell that -- that we believe that a week would
- 11 probably be sufficient to try this case --
- 12 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.
- MR. COOPER: -- at this point in the
- 14 proceeding.
- 15 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you,
- 16 Mr. Cooper.
- 17 Ms. Woods?
- 18 MS. WOODS: We believe we may have up to
- 19 four witnesses. And I will defer to the other parties
- 20 as to how long they think the hearing itself will take.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you.
- 22 And -- and let me ask the parties, again,
- 23 in -- in the same order, I guess, approximately how
- 24 many issues do you think will be -- I mean, I
- 25 understand, Ms. Shemwell, you think some of the issues

- 1 may settle.
- 2 Can you give me your best guess at this time
- 3 how many issues do you think will be pending for
- 4 hearing?
- 5 MS. SHEMWELL: I just -- I don't believe I --
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 7 MS. SHEMWELL: I'm sorry. I don't have any
- 8 idea --
- 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 10 MS. SHEMWELL: -- and Staff doesn't really
- 11 either. I'm expecting, again, that -- some issues
- 12 usually settle. But until the Staff gets through with
- 13 its audit, we don't know what issues we're close to the
- 14 Company on and what -- where we have large differences.
- 15 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. And -- and I guess
- 16 maybe let me ask all the rest of the parties all
- 17 together if -- if -- do you have any idea or is it just
- 18 way too premature to have any idea about how many
- 19 issues you think will be before the Commission?
- 20 MR. COOPER: I don't think I could begin to
- 21 answer --
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 23 MR. COOPER: -- that question at this point.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- MR. MICHEEL: Too early.

- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Too early.
- Okay. Ms. Woods, too early?
- 3 MS. WOODS: Well, we have two issues. There's
- 4 a possibility that at least one of those could
- 5 settle --
- 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
- 7 MS. WOODS: -- but that's my best estimate.
- 8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And then let me go around --
- 9 as far as local public hearings, Ms. Shemwell, do you
- 10 have any idea how many local public hearings you think
- 11 the Staff may want, where and when you'll want them?
- 12 MS. SHEMWELL: I understand in the past there
- 13 have been as many as four public hearings. Since the
- 14 territory is rather spread out, I would think that
- 15 there would be a minimum of two.
- 16 But I -- I'm guessing that the expectation
- 17 might be that we follow what was last time. I
- 18 understand there was one in Columbia and Cape --
- 19 MR. MICHEEL: Cape Girardeau, Mexico.
- 20 MS. SHEMWELL: Mexico and Jeff City.
- 21 MR. MICHEEL: There were five last time.
- MS. SHEMWELL: Oh, that's right, five.
- 23 Wentzville.
- MR. MICHEEL: And I would assume that I'll be
- 25 asking in a -- in a formal written form for at least

- 1 those five.
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 3 MR. MICHEEL: And once we get notice to the
- 4 customers, I'll find out what the interest is in -- in
- 5 various areas, so --
- JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay.
- 7 Mr. Cooper?
- 8 MR. COOPER: We generally follow what the
- 9 OPC recommends, as long as it's within reason as to
- 10 public hearing. So I guess our expectations would have
- 11 been that it would be a similar schedule to what
- 12 Mr. Micheel refers to from the last AmerenUE case.
- 13 I think our only additional interest is that
- 14 those take place early enough in the proceeding that if
- 15 issues are raised, they can be addressed in the
- 16 testimony, and -- and taken into account if the parties
- 17 do attempt to settle the case or -- or issues in the
- 18 case along the case.
- 19 So I think that will be the Company's primary
- 20 interest in the scheduling of local public hearings.
- 21 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
- Ms. Woods?
- 23 MS. WOODS: I will defer to Office of Public
- 24 Counsel as to how many hearings they feel are
- 25 necessary.

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you.
2	All right. I think that's all I have for the
3	parties. Is there anything else that the parties wish
4	to bring to my attention?
5	(No response.)
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Hearing nothing, again,
7	I I have extended the deadline for the proposed
8	procedural schedule. It is now due July 23rd, 2003.
9	You have access to this room until
10	five o'clock. I encourage you to get together and try
11	to talk about issues. Get some settled if you can. I
12	am on the 9th floor if any party needs to see me about
13	dates for hearings local public hearings, et cetera.
14	Anything further?
15	(No response.)
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing nothing, this
17	prehearing conference is now adjourned. We're off the
18	record. Thank you.
19	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the
20	prehearing conference was concluded.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	