NOWALSKY, BRONSTON & GOTHARD

A Professional Limited Liability Company Attorneys at Law

Leon L. Nowalsky Benjamin W. Bronston Edward P. Gothard 3500 N. Causeway Boulevard Suite 1442 Metairie, Louisiana 70002

Telephone: (504) 832-1984 Facsimile: (504) 831-0892 Monica R. Borne EllenAnn G. Sands

June 14, 2000

Via Overnight Delivery

The Honorable Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 301 West High Street, Floor 5A Jefferson City, MO 65101 FILED²
JUN 1 5 2000
Service Compublic

RE: CAT Communications International, Inc. (TA-2000-347)

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith for filing please find an original and fourteen (14) copies of the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement which is submitted on behalf of and with authority of all parties and intervenors in the above referenced case.

Please return the extra copy stamped with evidence of filing in the self-addressed, postage-prepaid envelope provided.

Thank you.

Monica R. Borne

Sincerely.

Enclosure

cc: Service List

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED ²
JUN 1 5 2000

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CAT COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE INTEREXCHANGE)))	Service Commission
AND BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI AND TO CLASSIFY THE COMPANY AS COMPETITIVE)))	Case No. TA-2000-347

<u>UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT</u>

- 1. CAT Communications International, Inc., ("CCI" or "Applicant") initiated this proceeding on December 8, 1999, by filing an Application requesting a certificate of service authority to provide interexchange and basic local exchange telecommunications services on a resale basis. The Company will provide interexchange services on a statewide basis, and will provide local exchange service in the exchanges currently served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT"). The Company will not offer local exchange services in the exchanges served by the Small Telephone Company Group (STCG).
 - 2. The Commission has granted the timely application to intervene of SWBT.
- 3. The Commission has granted the timely application of the STCG, which is composed of twenty-eight small telephone companies in Missouri.
- 4. The parties agree that applications for interexchange and basic local exchange service authority in exchanges served by "large" local exchange companies (LECs)¹ should be processed in a mannar similar to that in which applications for interexchange and local exchange authority are currently handled.



The large LECs are defined as LECs who serve 100,000 or more access lines. Section 386.020 RSMo. In Missouri the large LECs are currently SWBT, GTE, and Sprint-United.

5. In determining whether the Applicant's application for a certificate of service authority should be granted, the Commission should consider the Applicant's technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide interexchange and basic local telecommunications services. The Applicant must demonstrate that the basic local services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission, including, but not limited to, the Applicant agreeing to file and maintain basic local service tariff(s) with the Commission in the same manner and form as the Commission requires of incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies ("ILECs") with which the Applicant seeks to compete. Further, the Applicant agrees to meet the minimum interexchange and basic local service standards, including quality of service and billing standards, as the Commission requires of the ILECs with which the Applicant seeks to compete. Notwithstanding the provisions of §392.500 RSMo, as a condition of certification and competitive classification, the Applicant agrees that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, its originating and terminating access rates will be no greater than the lowest Commission-approved corresponding access rates for each large ILEC within whose service area(s) the Applicant seeks authority to provide service. Applicant agrees that if the ILEC, in whose service areas the Applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates within thirty (30) days of the ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. The Applicant agrees to offer basic local telecommunications services as a separate and distinct service and must sufficiently identify the geographic service area in which it proposes to offer basic local service. Such area must follow exchange boundaries of the ILEC(s) in the same area and must be no smaller than an exchange. Finally, the Applicant agrees to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services, as determined by the Commission, for all

Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of residence or income. ²

- 6. The Applicant's request for a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), which requires applications to include proposed tariff(s) with a forty-five day effective date is not opposed by the parties and should be granted since the company does not yet have an approved Resale Agreement with any underlying ILECs.³ The Company originally submitted tariff(s) with a forty-five day effective date, but has requested withdrawal of these tariff(s). The Applicant agrees to file its initial tariff(s) with a forty-five day effective date in the certification docket and serve all parties thereto with written notice at the time the initial tariff(s) is/are submitted to afford them the opportunity to participate in the tariff approval process. Copies of the tariff(s) should be provided by the Applicant to such parties upon request. Any service authority shall be regarded as conditional, and shall not be exercised until such time as tariff(s) for services become effective. When filing its initial basic local tariff(s) with a forty-five day effective date, the Applicant shall also file and serve a written disclosure of all resale or interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas and all portions of its Missouri service areas for which it does not have an interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange carrier with its explanation of why such an interconnection agreement is unnecessary for such areas.
- 7. Pursuant to §392.420, RSMo., the Applicant has requested that the Commission waive the application of any or all of the following statutory provisions and rules for interexchange and basic local telecommunications services, and the parties agree that the Commission should grant such request provided that §392.200, RSMo. should continue to apply to all of the Applicant's services:

Last Revised 06/14/00 3

see §392.455 **RSM**o.

Good cause for failure to file proposed tariffs with the Application must be shown. The lack of an approved interconnection agreement (47 USC 252) constitutes good cause.

Waivers for Interexchange and Competitive Basic Local Exchange Service:

Statutes:	
392.210.2	Establish Uniform System of Accounts used for Annual Reports
392.270	Ascertain Property Values
392.280	Establish Depreciation Accounts
392.290	Issuance of Securities
392.300.2	Acquisition of Stock
392.310	Issuance of Stock and Debt
392.320	Stock Dividend Payment
392.330	Issuance of Securities, Debts and Notes
392.340	Reorganization(s)

Missouri Public Service Commission Rules:

4 CSR 240-10.020	Depreciation Fund Income
T CDIX 270-10.020	Debicelation I and income

4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)Rate Scheduled Posted at Central Office

4 CSR 240-30,040 Adopts FCC's Part 32 Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and Class

B Companies
4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)File Exchange Boundary Maps with Commission (CLEC only)

4 CSR 240-33.030 Inform Customers of Lowest Price

4 CSR 240-35 Reporting of Bypass

8. In negotiating the remaining provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties have employed the foregoing standards and criteria which are intended to meet the requirements of existing law and §§392.450 and 392.455 RSMo, regarding applications for certificates of service authority to reself interexchange and basic local telecommunications services.

The Applicant's Certification

9. Based upon its verified Application, as amended by this Stipulation and Agreement, the Applicant asserts, and no party makes a contrary assertion, that there is sufficient evidence from which the Commission should find and conclude that the Applicant:

- A. possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications services and local exchange telecommunications services, including exchange access service;
- B. proposes and agrees to offer interexchange and basic local services that will satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission;
- C. has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, and for basic local telecommunications services, such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange;
- D. will offer basic local telecommunications services as a separate and distinct service;
- E. has agreed to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of where they live or their income; and
- F. has sought authority which will serve the public interest.
- 10. Applicant agrees that it will not offer its basic local exchange services in the service territories of the Small Telephone Company Group consisting of the telephone companies listed in Attachment A. The Applicant will provide basic local exchange service only in the exchange areas served by SWBT. Applicant hereby agrees that its original Application should be deemed further amended to include by reference the terms and provisions described in paragraphs 5 through 7 hereinabove and paragraphs 11 and 12 below to the extent that its original Application might be inconsistent therewith.
- The Company is currently negotiating a Resale Agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for the provision of basic local exchange service, and has not submitted to the Commission a list of the specific exchanges in which it seeks authority to provide service. Applicant seeks authority to provide basic local exchange service in those exchanges currently served by SWBT. The parties agree that the Application can be deemed amended by Attachment B to this Stipulation, which includes a list of current SWBT exchanges. Once negotiations are complete, the Company asserts that a copy will be filed with the Commission for proper approval.

12. The Applicant asserts, and no party opposes, that the Applicant's application and request for authority to provide interexchange and basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications service (including exchange access services) should be granted. All services authorized herein should be classified as competitive telecommunications services, provided that the requirements of Section 392,200 continue to apply and Applicant shall remain classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Applicant asserts, and no party opposes, that such new services will be subject to sufficient competition by the services of the incumbent LECs to justify a lesser degree of regulation of each of Applicant's services consistent with protection of ratepayers and the promotion of the public interest. Such classification should become effective upon the tariffs of the services, filed with a forty-five day effective date, becoming effective. Such authority should be conditional, not to be exercised until such time as tariffs for those services have been filed with a forty-five day effective date (together with the written disclosures as stipulated above) and have become effective. The Commission's Order should state the foregoing conditions substantially as follows:

"The service authority and service classification herein granted are subject to the requirements of §392.200 and are conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariffs for services have become effective."

The parties agree that Applicant's switched exchange access services may be classified as competitive services. The parties further agree that the Applicant's switched exchange access services are subject to §392.200, RSMo. Any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates as set forth in paragraph 5 herein shall be made pursuant to §§392.220 and 392.230, and not §§392.500 and 392.510. Applicant agrees that if the ILEC, in whose service area the Applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates within thirty (30) days of the ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. The Commission's order should state the foregoing conditions substantially as follows:

6

"The service authority granted and service classification for switched exchange access granted herein is expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200 and the requirement that any increases in switched access rates set forth herein shall be made pursuant to §§392.220 and 392.230 and not §§392.500 and 392.510. Further, if the ILEC, in whose service area the Applicant is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Applicant shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates within thirty (30) days of the ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.

13. Applicant's request for waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), which requires applications to include a proposed tariff with a forty-five day effective date, is not opposed by the parties and should be granted because Applicant does not yet have an approved resale or interconnection agreement with the large incumbent LECs. Applicant agrees that at such time as all facts necessary for the development of tariffs become known, it will submit tariffs in this docket, with the minimum forty-five day proposed effective date, to the Commission for approval, together with the written disclosures as stipulated above. Applicant shall serve notice to all parties and participants in this docket of the filing of its tariffs at the time they are filed with the Commission, and serve them with aforesaid written disclosure, and shall upon request immediately provide any party with a copy of those tariffs. The Commission's Order should state these obligations to the temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), substantially as follows:

"The applicant's request for temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C) is hereby granted for good case in that Applicant does not yet have an approved resale or interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange carriers within whose service areas it seeks authority to provide service; provided, when Applicant submits its tariffs in this docket to the Commission such tariffs shall have a minimum 45-day effective date and the Applicant shall serve written notice upon the parties hereto of such submittal, and shall provide copies of such tariffs to such parties immediately upon request. When filing its initial basic local tariff in this docket, the Applicant shall also file and serve upon the parties hereto a written disclosure of all resale or interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service area and all portions of its Missouri service areas for which it does not have a resale or interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange carrier with its explanation of why such resale or interconnection agreement is unnecessary for any such areas."

14. Applicant's request for waiver of the application of the following rules and statutory provision as they relate to the regulation of their new services should be granted.

Waivers for Interexchange and Competitive Basic Local Exchange Service:

<u>Statutes:</u>	
392.210.2	Establish Uniform System of Accounts used for Annual Reports
392,270	Ascertain Property Values
392.280	Establish Depreciation Accounts
392,290	Issuance of Securities
392.300.2	Acquisition of Stock
392.310	Issuance of Stock and Debt
392.320	Stock Dividend Payment
392.330	Issuance of Securities, Debts and Notes
392.340	Reorganization(s)

Missouri Public Service Commission Rules:

- 4 CSR 240-10.020 Depreciation Fund Income
- 4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)Rate Scheduled Posted at Central Office
- 4 CSR 240-30.040 Adopts FCC's Part 32 Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and Class B Companies
- 4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)File Exchange Boundary Maps with Commission (CLEC only)
- 4 CSR 240-33.030 Inform Customers of Lowest Price
- 4 CSR 240-35 Reporting of Bypass
- 15. This Stipulation and Agreement has resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatories and terms hereof are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation, in total, then this Stipulation and Agreement shall be void and no signatory shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof. The stipulations herein are specific to the resolution of this proceeding and are made without prejudice to the rights of the signatories to take other positions in other proceedings.

- In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation and Agreement, the parties and participants waive, with respect to the issues resolved herein, their respective rights pursuant to §536.080.1, RSMo., to present testimony, to cross-examine witnesses, and to present oral argument or written briefs; their respective rights to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to §536.080.2, RSMo.; and their respective rights to seek rehearing pursuant to §386.500, RSMo.; and to seek judicial review pursuant to §386.510, RSMo. The parties agree to cooperate with the Applicant and with each other in presenting this Stipulation and Agreement for approval to the Commission and shall take no action, direct or indirect, in opposition to the request for approval of the Applicant's application made herein.
- 17. The Staff shall file suggestions or memorandum in support of this Stipulation and Agreement and the other parties shall have the right to file responsive suggestions or prepared Testimony. All responsive suggestions, prepared testimony, or memorandum shall be subject to the terms of any Protective Order that may be entered in this case.
- 18. The Staff shall also have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this Stipulation and Agreement is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests, provided that the Staff shall, to the extent reasonably practicable, provide the other parties and participants with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation once such explanation is requested from the Staff. The Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosure, except to the extent that it refers to matters that are privileged or protected from disclosure pursuant to any protective order issued in this case.
- 19. The Company agrees to comply with all applicable Commission Rules and Regulations, except those specifically waived by the Commission.
- 20. The Office of the Public Counsel is a signatory to this Stipulation and Agreement for the sole purpose of stating that it has no objection to this Stipulation and Agreement.

WHEREFORE, the signatories respectfully request the Commission to issue its Order approving the terms of this Stipulation and Agreement and issue its Order granting authority and classification as requested by the Applicant, subject to the conditions described above.

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Wunderlich (MoBar #23302)

Wunderlich & Associates

1504 Gravois

High Ridge, MO 63049

Ph. (314) 677-5669

Local Counsel for CAT

Communications International, Inc.

Minik! Machonald/WRB

Mimi B. MacDonald (MoBar #37606)

Paul G. Lane (MoBar #27011)

Leo J. Bub (MoBar #34326)

Anthony K. Conroy (Bar #35199)

Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.

One Bell Center, Room 351

St. Louis, MO 63101-1976

Ph. (314) 235-4094

Fx. (314) 247-0014

E-mail: mm8072@momail.sbc.com

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.

Sondra B. Morgan (MoBar #35482)
W. R. England, III (MoBar #23975)
Brydon, Swearengen & England P.C.

312 East Capitol Avenue

P.O.Box 456

Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456

Ph. (573) 635-7166

Attorney for The Small Telephone Company Group

Michael F. Dandino (MoBar # 24590)

Senior Public Counsel

301 West High Street

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Ph. (573) 751-5559

Fx. (573) 751-5562

Bruce Bates (MoBar #35442)

Assistant General Counsel

301 West High Street

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Ph. (573) 751-7434

Fx. (573) 751-9285

E-Mail: bbates@mail.state.mo.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

> Monica R. Borne Nowalsky, Bronston & Gothard 3500 N. Causeway Blvd. Suite 1442 Metairie, LA 70002

Service List:

Bruce Bates Asst. General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission 301 W. High Street, Suite 530 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Michael F. Dandino Office of the Public Counsel 301 W. High Street, Suite 250 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Sondra B. Morgan Brydon, Swearengen & England P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue P.O.Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456 William M. Wunderlich (MoBar #23302) Wunderlich & Associates 1504 Gravois High Ridge, MO 63049 Ph. (636) 677-5669

Mimi B. MacDonald Southwestern Bell Telephone One Bell Center, Room 3510 St. Louis, MO 63101

ATTACHMENT A

Small Telephone Company Group

BPS Telephone Company **Cass County Telephone Company** Citizens Telephone Company of Higginsville, Missouri, Inc. **Ellington Telephone Company** Farber Telephone Company Goodman Telephone Company, Inc. **Granby Telephone Company Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation Green Hills Telephone Corporation** Holway Telephone Company **KLM Telephone Company Kingdom Telephone Company Lathrop Telephone Company** Le-Ru Telephone Company Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company **McDonald County Telephone Company** Miller Telephone Company **New Florence Telephone Company New London Telephone Company Orchard Farm Telephone Company** Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company **Ozark Telephone Company Peace Valley Telephone Company Rock Port Telephone Company** Seneca Telephone Company Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc.

Stoutland Telephone Company

ATTACHMENT B

List of Southwestern Bell Exchanges

No supplement to this tariff will be 138ued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF 65th Revised Sheet 7 Replacing 64th Revised Sheet 7

RECEIVED

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF

1.3 EXCHANGES BY RATE GROUP - (Continued)

FEB 29 1993

1.3.2 Rate Group B(4)

MISSOURI Public Service Commission

Camdonton (1) (2) (5)
Cape Girardeau(1) (2) (5)
Carthage (2) (5)
Cedar Hill (1) (2) (5)
Chesterfield (1) (5)
Chillicothe (2) (5)
DeSoto (1) (2) (5)
Dexter (1) (2) (5)
Eldon (1) (3) (5)
Excelsior Springs (5)

(CP) Parmington(1)(2)(5)

Fenton (1) (5)

Festus-Crystal City(1)(2)(5)

Flat xiver(1)(2)(5)

Fulton(2)(5)

Gravois Mills(1)(2)(5)

Gravois Mills(1) (
Hannibal (2) (5)
Harvester(1) (5)
High Ridge(1) (5)
Imperial (1) (2) (5)
Jackson(1) (2) (5)
Joplin (1) (2) (5)
Kennett (1) (2) (5)
Kirksville (2) (5)

Manchester (1) (5)

Marshall (2) (5)
Maxville(1) (5)
Mexico(2) (5)
Monctt(1) (3) (5)
Moberly(1) (2) (5)
Neosho(2) (5)
Nevada(1) (2) (5)
Pacific(1) (2) (5)
Perryville(1) (2) (5)
Pond(1) (5)

Pond(1)(5)
(CP) Poplar Bluff(1)(2)(5)
St. Charles(1)(2)(5)
St. Clair(3)(5)
St. Joseph(1)(5)
Sedalia(2)(5)
Sikeston(1)(2)(5)
Union(1)(2)(5)
Valley Park(1)(5)
Washington(3)(5)
Webb City(1)(2)(5)

FILED

(1) Extended Area Service - See.Paragraph 1.4.

(2) Message Rate Business Service obsolete-limited to existing custing Custing 2 1996

(3) Message Rate Business Service not offered.

(4) See Paragraph 1.1.3, preceding.

(5) One-party service only available.

MO. PUBLIC SERVICE COMM

Issued: FEB 2 9 1996

Ecceptive: MAR 3 0 1996

No supplement to this tariff will be issued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF SSth Revised Sheet 6 Replacing 54th Revised Sheet 6 RECEIVED

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF

1.3.1 Rate Group A(4)

1 I TYPUNHEED DY ONTO GROUP

MISSOURI Public Service Commission

EEB 2.9 1998

Adrian (1) (2) (5) Advance (1) (2) (5) Agency (1) (5) Altenburg-Frohna (1)(2)(5)Mitonia (1) (3) (5) Archie (1) (2) (5) Argyle (2) (5) Armstrong(1)(2)(5) Ash Grove (2) (5) Beaufort (2) (5) Bell City(1)(2)(5) Benton (1) (3) (5) Billings(1)(2)(5) Bismarck(1)(3)(5) Bloomfield(1)(3)(5) Bloomsdale(1)(2)(5) Bonne Terre(1)(3)(5) Boonville(1)(2)(5) Bowling Green (2) (5) Brookfield(2)(5) Campbell (2) (5) Cardwell(1)(2)(5) Carl Junction (1) (3) (5)

Carrollton(2)(3)(5) Caruthersville(1)(3)(5) Center (2) (5) Chaffee(1)(3)(5) Charleston(1)(3)(5) Clarksville(1)(2)(5) Clever (1) (3) (5) (CP) Climax Springs(2)(5) Deering(1)(3)(5) DeKalb(1)(5) Delta(1)(2)(5) Downing (2) (5) East Prairie(1)(5) Edina (2) (5) Elsberry(1)(2)(5) Essex(1)(3)(5) Eureka (1) (5) (CP) Farley(2)(5) Fayette(1)(2)(5) Fisk(1)(3)(S) Frankford(2)(5) (CP) Fredericktown(2)(5) Freeburg(2)(5) Gideon (1) (2) (5) Glasgow(1) (3) (5)

Grain Valley (1)(3)(5)Gray Summit(1)(3)(5) (CP) Greenwood(1)(3)(5) Hayti (1) (1) (5) Herculaneum-Pevely (1)(3)(5)Higbee(1)(3)(5)Hillsboro(1)(3)(5) Holcomb(1)(3)(5) Hornersville (1)(2)(5) Jasper (2) (5) Knob Noster (2) (5) Lamar (2)(5) LaMonte(2)(5) Lancaster(2)(5) Leadwood (1) (3) (5) Lilbourn(1)(2)(5) (CP) Linn(2)(5) Lockwood(2)(5) Louisiana(1)(2)(5) Macke Creek(2)(5) Malden (1) (2) (5) (CP) marble Hill (2) (5) Marceline(2)(5)

> Marionville (2) (5) Marston(1)(2)(5)

Meta(2)(5)

- (1) Extended Area Service - See Paragraph 1.4.
- (2) Message Rate Business Service not offered.
- (3) Message Rate Business Service obsolete - limited to existing cust
- See Paragraph 1.1.3, preceding. (4)
- One-party service only available.

MAR 3 0 1996

Issued: FFB 2 9 1996 Effective:

MAR 3 G 1996

No supplement to this tariff will be issued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF 19th Revised Sheet 6.01 Replacing 38th Revised Sheet 6.01

LOCAL IXCHANGE TARIFF

RECEIVED

EXCHANGES BY RATE GROUP-(Continued)

1.3.1 Rate Group A. (Continued) (4)

JAN 19 7595

MISSOURI Public Service Commission

Montgomery City(1)(2)(5) Morehouse (1) (3) (5) New Franklin(1)(3)(5) New Madrid(1)(2)(5) Oak Ridge(1)(3)(5) Old Appleton(1)(3)(5) Oran(1)(2)(5)

٠,

Patton(2)(5) Paynesville (1)(2)(5) Pierce City(1)(2)(5) Pocohontas-New Wells(11(3)(5)

Portage Des Sioux(2)(5) Portageville(1)(2)(5) Puxico(2)(5) Qulin(1)(3)(5) Richmond(2)(5) Richwoods (2) (5) Risco(1)(2)(5;

Rushville(1)(5) Stc. Genevieve (1) (2) (5) St. Marys(1)(3)(5) San Antonio(1)(5) Scott City(1)(3)(5) Senath(1)(3)(5) Slater(2)(S) Smithville (1) (3) (5) Stanberry (2) (5)

Trenton(1)(3)(5) Tuscumbia (1) (3)(5)Versailles(1) (3)(5)Vienna(2)(5) Walnut Grove (2)(5)'Wardell(1)(2)(5) Ware (1) (3) (5) Wellsville(2)(5) Westphalia(2)(5) Wyatt(1)(2)(5)

(1) Extended Area Service - See Paragraph 1.4.

Message Rate Business Service not offered. (2) Message Rate Business Service obsolete - limited to existing customers. (3)

(4) See Paragraph 1.1.3, preceding. One-parcy service only available. FEB 20 1996

FILED

JAN 2 0 1996 Issued:

Effective:

FEB 2 0 1940. PUBLIC SERVICE COM

No supplement to this tariff will be issued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF 42nd Revised Sheer 9 Replacing 41st Revised Sheet 9

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF

RECEIVED

FEB 29 1995

1.3 EXCHANGES BY RATE GROUP (Continued)

1.3.4 Rate Group D(1)

Kansas City Metropolitan Exchange

Principal Zone (2)

Metropolitan Calling Area-1 Zones Gladstone (2)

Independence (2) Parkville(2) Raytown (2)

South Kansas City(2)

Metropolitan

Calling Area-2 Zones

Belton(2)

Blue Springe(2)

East Independence (2)

Lee's Summit(2)

Liberty (2) Nashua (2)

(CP) Tiffany Springs(2)

MISSOURI St. Louis Merrorubic Beares Commission

Principal Zone (2)

Metropolitan

Calling Area-1 Zones

Ferguson (2)

Ladue (2)

Mehlville(2)

Overland(2)

Riverview(2)

Sappington(2)

Webster Groves (2)

Metropolitan

Calling Area-2 Zones

Bridgeton(2)

Crave Coeur(2)

Florissant(2)

Kirkwood(2)

(CP) Oakville(2) Spanish Lake (2)

MAR 3 0 1996

(1) See Paragraph 1.1.3, preceding.

(2) One-party service only available.

MO. PUBLIC SERVICE COMM

Issued:

FEB 2 9 1996

Effective: MAR 3 0 1996

No supplement to this tariff will be issued except for the purpose of canceling this tariff.

OCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF
32nd Revised Sheet 8
Replacing 31st Revised Sheet 8

LOCAL EXCHANGE TARIFF

(AT) 1.3 EXCHANGES BY RATE GROUP - (Continued)

ACCENED

(FC) 1.3.3 Rate Group C(2)

DEC 18 1994

Springfield Motropolitan Enghange

MO. PUBLIC SETTICE COMM.

Principal Zone
-Principal Zone Base Rate Area(3)

Metropolitan Calling Area-1 (MCA-1) Zones

Fair Grove(3)
Nixa(1)(3)
Republic(1)(3)
Rogersville(3)
Strafford(3)
Willard(3)

(1) Extended Area Service - See Paragraph 1.4.

(2) See Paragraph 1.1.3, preceding.

(3) One-party service only available.

FILED

JAN 11 1995

Issued:

DEC 1 2 1994

Effective:

JANDIC FORGE Commission