# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application (	of Oregon	Farmers M	lutual	)	
Telephone Cor	npany for App	proval of a T	raffic	)	Case No. TO-2006-0279
Termination	Agreement	under	the	)	Case No. 10-2000-0279
Telecommunic	ations Act of	1996		)	

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

**COMES NOW** the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation respectfully states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the Agreement characterized as a "Traffic Termination Agreement" between Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company and United States Cellular Corporation (the "Agreement"), filed by Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 2. The terms of the Agreement do not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement and are not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e), the Commission is to approve a negotiated interconnection agreement unless the terms of the agreement discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or implementation of the agreement or any portion thereof is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 3. Staff further states that Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement." Staff can find no reference in Section 252 to "Traffic Termination Agreement." Consequently, Staff recommends the

Commission issue an Order approving a wireless "interconnection agreement" and not an Order approving "Traffic Termination Agreement." The Commission has addressed this topic in a series of proceedings, consolidated for argument with the lead case of *Application of Kingdom Rural Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IO-2003-0201, and found the classification of "traffic termination agreement" to be nonexistent. *See, e.g.,* Order Denying Motion for Correction, *In the Matter of the Application of Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IK-2003-0245 (Sept. 25, 2003).

WHEREFORE, because the terms of the Agreement satisfy the standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. §252(e), Staff recommends the Commission approve the Agreement as a Wireless Interconnection Agreement and direct the parties to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Marc D. Poston

Marc D. Poston Senior Counsel Missouri Bar No. 45722

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8701 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
marc.poston@psc.mo.gov

### **Certificate of Service**

I he	ereby	certify	that	copies	of the	foregoing	have	been	mailed,	hand-delivered,	transmitted	by
facs	simile	or elec	tronic	cally m	ailed to	all counse	el of re	ecord	this 30th	n day of January	2006.	

/s/ Marc D. Poston

### MEMORANDUM

<b>Γο:</b> Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File Case No. <b>TO-2006-0279</b>								
	Party: Oregon Farmers Mutual Telephone Company							
	Type of Certification:							
	<ul><li>None</li><li>Basic Local</li></ul>							
	Local (restricted to private	line)						
	Local (no restrictions)	- /						
	Interexchange							
	Party: US Cellular							
	None							
	Basic Local	••						
	Local (restricted to private line)							
	Local (no restrictions) Interexchange							
From:	Lisa Mahaney, Telecommunications Department							
		_						
	William Voight 1/27/06	Marc Posto	n 1/30/06					
	Utility Operations Division/Date		unsel Office/Date					
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement							
Date:	January 30, 2006							
Date Filed:	: 12/29/05 <b>Staff Deadline</b> : 2/2/06							
	munications Department Staff (St ne submitted (may check more than		Parties be granted					
	Resale Agreement							
	Facilities-based Interconnection A	greement						
$\boxtimes$	Wireless Interconnection Agreem	ent						

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

		Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.  Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.					
		Interconnection Agreement Review Items					
$\boxtimes$	No app	plications to intervene filed.					
$\boxtimes$	Agreement signed by both Parties.						
assess Th relief/s should delinq	ment. e Compaction of the instruction.	any is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC any is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested in the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant ructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the l report   Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:					
		achment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special s: X Yes No					

## Attachment to TO-2006-0279 1/27/06

The Parties have submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement." Staff can find no reference in Section 252 to "Traffic Termination Agreement." Consequently, Staff recommends the Commission issue an Order approving a wireless "interconnection agreement" and not an Order approving "Traffic Termination agreement."

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Oregon Far Telephone Company for a Traffic Termination Agree Telecommunications Act of	) ) )	Case No.	TO-2006-0279		
A	AFFIDAVIT OF	Lisa Mahan	ey		
STATE OF MISSOURI	) ) ss:				
COUNTY OF COLE	)				
Lisa Mahaney, employee of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, being of lawful age and after being duly sworn, states that she has participated in the preparation of the accompanying Application, and that the facts therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.  Lisa Mahaney					
		Lisa Mahane	У	8	
Subscribed and affirm	ned before me this	27 th da	y of	eneury	
I am commissioned as			ty of Cole,	State of Missouri	
and my commission e	expires on	Tre 7, 20	08		
				1	
		aso	9./e	Luclara	
		NOTARY	PUBLIC		

CARLA K. SCHNIEDERS
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
County of Cole
My Commission Exp. 06/07/2008