1	STATE OF MISSOURI				
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
3					
4					
5					
6	PREHEARING CONFERENCE				
7					
8	April 7, 2003 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 2				
9					
10					
11	In the Matter of the Review)				
12	of the Purchased Gas) Adjustment Clauses in the)				
13	Tariffs of Local Distribution) Case No.: GO-2002-452 Companies.				
14	Companies.				
15					
16					
17	NANCY M. DIPPELL, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.				
18	SENTON NEGOEMIONI ENW CODGE.				
19					
20	REPORTED BY:				
21	STEPHANIE L. KURTZ MORGAN, RPR, CCR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS				
22	714 West High Street P. O. Box 1308				
23	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 (573) 636-7551				
24	(373) 630-7331				
25					
	ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101 TOLL FREE 1-800-636-7551				

1	APPEARANCES:					
2		- DOID				
3	EDWARD I	Bryan	NEY, Attorney at Law L. Cave, L.L.P.			
1			olivar Street, Suite 101			
4			rson City, Missouri 65101 556-6622			
5			Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers.			
6		1011.	maderiar Energy consumers.			
	DEAN L.		R, Attorney at Law			
7		_	n, Swearengen & England, P.C. ast Capitol Avenue			
8			Box 456			
		Jeffer	rson City, Missouri 65102-0456			
9		(573)	635-7166			
10		FOR:	Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks - MPS. Aquila Networks - L&P.			
11			Aquita Networks - Lar.			
	ROBERT (K, Attorney at Law			
12			Broadway			
13		Kansas	s City, Missouri 641111			
13		FOR:	Missouri Gas Energy.			
14						
1 -	MICHAEL		NDERGAST, Attorney at Law			
15			live Street Duis, Missouri 63101			
16			342-0532			
17		FOR:	Laclede Gas Company.			
18	THOMAS I		NE, Attorney at Law			
19			Chouteau Avenue			
19			puis, Missouri 63103 554-2514			
20		(311)				
		FOR:	Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE.			
21						
22						
2.2						
23						
24						
25						
د ی	APPEARAI	NCES ((CONTINUED):			
		- (ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS			
		(5	573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101			
			TOLL FREE 1-800-636-7551			

_	
2	JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law Fischer & Dority
3	101 Madison, Suite 400 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
4	(573) 636-6758
5	FOR: Atmos Energy. Fidelity Network Gas, Inc.
6	Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.C.
7	DOUGLAS E. MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel P. O. Box 7800
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-4857
9	FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
10	and the Public.
11	THOMAS R. SCHWARZ, JR., Deputy General Counsel P. O. Box 360
12	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 (573) 751-6434
13	
14	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-	1 P	F	₹ (γ	C	F.	F.	D	Т	N	G	S

- 2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's go ahead and go on the
- 3 record. This is Case No. GO-2002-452, In the Matter of
- 4 the Review of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clauses in
- 5 the Tariffs of Local Distribution Companies.
- 6 My name is Nancy Dippell, and I'm the
- 7 Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this case. And I've
- 8 called you all here today first for a prehearing
- 9 conference, and then for an on-the-record presentation,
- 10 and then back to a prehearing conference. Just kind of
- 11 flip-flopped here.
- But really we're -- we're here today to
- 13 discuss the status of this case and how we can wrap
- 14 this up, get it presented to the Commission for some
- 15 kind of either final decision, or at least decision as
- 16 to what the policy going forward is gonna be. And then
- 17 we can finish this up and -- and move on.
- 18 So the reason I wanted you all to come in
- 19 today and -- and the reason I asked Staff to file the
- 20 filing on Friday was cuz I -- I think we've had some
- 21 communication difficulties before today.
- 22 But before I go into that any further, I'm
- 23 gonna go ahead and ask you all to make entries of
- 24 appearances.
- 25 And since you've made written entries, if you

- 1 just want to state who you're here representing and
- 2 your name. You don't necessarily have to give your
- 3 address.
- 4 Mr. Schwarz, can we start with you?
- 5 MR. SCHWARZ: Tim Schwarz, attorney with the
- 6 Comm-- Commission Staff, representing the Staff.
- 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 8 Public Counsel?
- 9 MR. MICHEEL: Doug Micheel, appearing on
- 10 behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.
- 12 And we can just go ahead and start in the
- 13 front and work our way back.
- 14 MR. DOWNEY: Edward F. Downey with Brian Cave,
- 15 L.L.P., appearing on behalf of the Missouri Industrial
- 16 Energy Consumers, MIEC.
- 17 MR. COOPER: Dean L. Cooper from the law firm
- 18 of Brydon, Swearengen and England, appearing on behalf
- 19 of Aquila, Inc., doing business as Aquila Networks MPS
- 20 and Aquila Networks L&P.
- 21 MR. HACK: Appearing for Missouri Gas Energy,
- 22 Robert J. Hack.
- 23 MR. PENDERGAST: Appearing for Laclede Gas
- 24 Company, Michael C. Pendergast.
- MR. BYRNE: Thomas M. Byrne, appearing on

- 1 behalf of Union Electric Company.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: That's fine.
- 3 Is there anyone else present who wishes to
- 4 make an entry of appearance?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. As I recall, at the
- 7 beginning of this case we had some issues with the
- 8 service list. And it came to my attention just late
- 9 last week that we might still have some issues with the
- 10 service list.
- 11 It appears that the orders did actually go
- 12 out, but that perhaps the Efus service was -- may still
- 13 have some problems on it. So I -- I do believe that
- 14 the written orders went out, and I think I faxed
- 15 everybody a copy on Thursday of the final order setting
- 16 this.
- 17 And as I said, I -- we started with a
- 18 prehearing conference, and then I -- I said I was gonna
- 19 change it into an on-the-record presentation so the
- 20 Commissioners could ask some questions.
- 21 As most of you are probably aware, there's a
- 22 pending Ameren tariff that's -- that -- that refers to
- 23 this case. And -- and that case is making changes to
- 24 the tariff in agreement or -- or pursuant to the
- 25 agreement that was sort of reached in this case.

- 1 And so the Commissioners had an on-the-record
- 2 presentation in that case, and asked a lot of questions
- 3 and, I think, got a lot of answers. So they didn't feel
- 4 it was necessary to ask more questions about the
- 5 generic docket.
- 6 But the reason that I wanted to bring you all
- 7 in here was we -- we started off and I asked you all,
- 8 do you -- do you think this should be a contested case
- 9 or should this have just been an investigation case and
- 10 we just figure out what's going on.
- 11 And -- and you all came back and said, no, we
- 12 think it should -- you're right. We think it
- 13 shouldn't -- shouldn't have been a contested case.
- 14 At that point probably would have help-- been
- 15 helpful if I had clarified and said -- said yes or no
- 16 to that, but I didn't. Instead what I did was said,
- 17 well, you -- you told me you agree to some things and
- 18 you're not gonna agree to other things. And those need
- 19 to be spun off in other cases.
- 20 So it -- it still appears to be a contested
- 21 case, because you're -- you're saying you're
- 22 disagreeing about things.
- 23 So what I said was to file reports. Let me
- 24 know how it's going. And if you reach an agreement, to
- 25 file that agreement in lieu of the report. But instead

- 1 we just got reports.
- 2 So that's where the little communication, I
- 3 think, snafu happened. And then you all reached an
- 4 agreement off the record and -- or, I mean, you -- you
- 5 told me what -- what you had come to in agreement. But
- 6 you -- and then the companies are proceeding onward
- 7 with revising their tariffs, is the way I understand
- 8 it.
- 9 And without the Commission having had a chance
- 10 to bless that or to say, yes, that's the way the
- 11 Commission wants the policy to work out or that's not
- 12 the way the Commission wants the policy to work out.
- 13 So that's the reason I directed Staff to file the
- 14 pleading that it filed and sort of codify your
- 15 agreement.
- 16 And if the parties are not comfortable with
- 17 signing something and saying, yes, this is our
- 18 agreement. Commission, would you approve this
- 19 agreement? Then it would be my suggestion that we at
- 20 least have a final report from the parties saying, this
- 21 is how we think this should be handled. Would you,
- 22 Commission, agree with us or disagree with us, so we
- 23 know how the Commission wants to move forward?
- Now, I'm doing all the talking. And so I'm
- 25 gonna give you all a chance to respond to what I've

- 1 said and ask you if -- if my recap has been accurate.
- 2 Mr. Schwarz, you have --
- 3 MR. SCHWARZ: Well, I -- I don't think this is
- 4 a contested case. The -- that is, the outcome is not
- 5 going to determine the rights or -- or procedures
- 6 available to any of the parties.
- 7 I think probably the -- the way to -- to look
- 8 at this docket is that it's a more formal way of
- 9 proceeding than the last time the PGA was reviewed,
- 10 which was in 1997.
- 11 In 1997 there were individual cases filed for
- 12 each of -- of the LDCs. And what you had was, with the
- 13 exception of United Cities Gas, pretty much every
- 14 LDC doing the same thing, as a result of conversations
- 15 that were held separately.
- 16 So this docket has -- has -- has taken the
- 17 place of those behind-the-scenes discussions.
- 18 There has -- has been indicated in -- in the prior
- 19 reports, which I would point out, all of the parties
- 20 agreed to. I know that Laclede made a couple of
- 21 comments to the -- to the December report, but those
- 22 were mostly clarifying, as opposed to anything else.
- 23 So the actual changes -- the import of this
- 24 docket will be seen in the separate tariff filings of
- 25 which Ameren's was the first. And there -- there

- 1 really isn't anything further that -- that can or
- 2 should -- should be done in -- in this docket.
- 3 The -- the -- the items on which the parties
- 4 didn't agree are probably things that -- that we would
- 5 consider more the policy issues, which are going to
- 6 need a different form and a different setting to
- 7 resolve. We don't have any particular timetable for
- 8 those.
- 9 I mean, everybody's seems to have lots of
- 10 different things going on at this stage. It's
- 11 something that's probably not on the back burner, but
- 12 it's not on the front burner either.
- 13 And the changes that we have agreed to -- to
- 14 make are ones that -- that I think Ameren properly
- 15 characterized as streamlining changes; that is, changes
- 16 to the administration of -- of the PGA clause and the
- 17 ACA reviews, as opposed to a major change in the
- 18 underlying policies of the PGA/ACA process.
- 19 So I -- I think that basically this docket
- 20 has -- has served its purpose and -- and just -- just
- 21 needs to be closed. And the -- the Commission will see
- 22 seriatim the tariff filings of the PGAs to indicate
- 23 to -- to adopt to their own individual circumstances
- 24 the agreements that have -- have been reflected.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: So you don't think that there

- 1 is any affirmative action necessary from the Commission
- 2 to say this is the direction that -- that we want to
- 3 go? That those should just be addressed in the
- 4 individual tariffs as they come before the Commission?
- 5 MR. SCHWARZ: Yes.
- 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Do you --
- 7 MR. SCHWARZ: And I -- and I think that's what
- 8 happened last Wednesday or Thursday, whenever it was,
- 9 with -- with Ameren.
- 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right.
- 11 Mr. Hack, you look like you want to make a
- 12 comment.
- MR. HACK: Well, just from -- from my
- 14 perspective, if -- if the Commission has a desire to
- 15 know the agreements that have been reached in some
- 16 comprehensive docket or -- or document in -- in this
- 17 case, we don't have a problem with that.
- 18 And I -- and I don't think that -- that the
- 19 changes are that significant but, of course, the
- 20 Commission hasn't seen them, so -- so it doesn't really
- 21 know.
- 22 And I don't think it should take a whole lot
- 23 of time to -- to put a document like that together.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, I guess that would be
- 25 my -- my next question to you all. Are there

- 1 other -- there are other agreements, then, besides
- 2 what's been reported or -- or does the status report
- 3 reflect -- and -- and what Mr. Schwarz filed on Friday
- 4 reflect what's been done thus far in this case?
- 5 Is there more that the Commission isn't aware
- 6 of?
- 7 MR. PENDERGAST: If I could, I -- I thought
- 8 Staff's prior established reports pretty well reflected
- 9 the areas of agreement that had been reached, as
- 10 Mr. Schwarz indicated.
- 11 We -- we filed something just stating a few
- 12 clarifications, but I -- and I'm at the disadvantage of
- 13 not having had a chance to review what Staff filed
- 14 on -- on Friday. But I'm sure if it's consistent with
- 15 prior reports, that'll -- that'll accurately reflect
- 16 where we're at.
- 17 And, you know, if there's some way of making
- 18 that more specific or -- you know, we could certainly
- 19 work at doing that. But I think that the road map has
- 20 been pretty well laid out, not only in general form,
- 21 but apparently in very specific form with what Ameren
- 22 went ahead and filed.
- 23 And, you know, from the standpoint of policy,
- 24 I -- I -- our perspective is these are pretty
- 25 incremental steps. It's not any major restructuring or

- 1 any major policy change. And I guess if the Commission
- 2 approves the AmerenUE tariffs, that'll be a pretty good
- 3 indication that they think that we're generally heading
- 4 in the right direction.
- 5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
- 6 Do you have a comment?
- 7 MR. BYRNE: Yeah. I guess I -- you know,
- 8 maybe part of the confusion is, we did talk about things
- 9 in the generic docket that could have risen to the
- 10 level of a significant policy change.
- 11 But in the end what we agreed -- and I -- I
- 12 think they are good things that we agreed to, but
- 13 they're -- but they're all in the nature of
- 14 streamlining the calculation. They -- they really
- 15 don't involve major policy shifts.
- 16 And I -- I would -- I agree with the other
- 17 people. I think it should be relatively easy for the
- 18 Commission to -- especially after the hearing that we
- 19 had last week to understand them and either agree with
- 20 them or not.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, I -- I think,
- 22 then, what I will ask you to do is to pre-- to present
- 23 it. And I -- well, I guess I'll just ask if I should
- 24 just present it to the Commission as it is, then, or --
- 25 or if you want an opportunity to --

- 1 MR. SCHWARZ: Well, I think the parties should
- 2 definitely have an opportunity to review the document
- 3 before --
- 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: Well, right.
- 5 MR. SCHWARZ: -- anything else is done.
- 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: Right.
- 7 MR. SCHWARZ: And -- and, I mean, it's largely
- 8 taken from prior status reports, but there is some --
- 9 they need -- they need to look at. I -- I would be
- 10 more comfortable if -- if they looked at it first.
- I think that it's -- it's a reasonable take
- 12 on -- on what we did. And, yeah, then I think the
- 13 Commission -- my view is that the -- you know, the
- 14 Commission gives the parties the opportunity to
- 15 comment. And if -- if certainly we get any comments,
- 16 we can refile the document.
- 17 I don't know that -- you know, getting the
- 18 individual signatures of this many folks can be
- 19 problematic. And certainly I think that -- that when
- 20 the Commission has any comments from the parties, that
- 21 they can take those into account. I think they can
- 22 just then close this docket.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, why don't we do
- 24 some -- and you all -- you all take the chance -- since
- 25 you haven't had a chance to look at it, you all take a

- 1 chance to look at what Mr. Schwarz filed and make any
- 2 comments within, what -- whatever 10 days from Friday
- 3 was.
- 4 Make any comments you have, or if you want to
- 5 sign that document and present either that document or
- 6 something titled a final report -- if you would --
- 7 would file that as a -- as a group, and then I can say
- 8 that we have some final conclusion to this case and we
- 9 can -- can close it out.
- 10 If -- you know, if -- if I need somehow that
- 11 finality to -- to bring it to the Commission and say,
- 12 this is done. And I think the issues will be worked
- 13 out in the individual tariffs.
- 14 And I'm sure that the Commission will be
- 15 making a decision at least on that one relatively
- 16 quickly.
- Mr. -- Mr. Pendergast?
- 18 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. And just not to leave
- 19 a misimpression, you know. While I think certainly
- 20 agreements have been reached on the issues -- we did
- 21 reach agreement on, there were just a few
- 22 implementation issues, which I don't think there's
- 23 really any disagreement on.
- 24 But -- but I didn't want to give the
- 25 impression that it's gonna be a complete cookie-cutter

- 1 approach, where everybody would do the same thing
- 2 exactly.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Right.
- 4 MR. SCHWARZ: I think that -- I think that's
- 5 been reflected in the filings of the pleadings.
- 6 JUDGE DIPPELL: I think so, too.
- 7 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. And --
- 8 JUDGE DIPPELL: And -- and I think it's
- 9 perfectly okay to say we agree to disagree on this --
- 10 this issue or --
- 11 MR. PENDERGAST: Well, and --
- 12 JUDGE DIPPELL: -- or we didn't completely
- 13 figure out how it's gonna work for everybody on this
- 14 issue.
- 15 MR. PENDERGAST: Yeah. And I -- and I think
- 16 we probably will. But -- but -- but there were just a
- 17 few incomplete things. And the other thing, of course,
- 18 is that there were some issues that we didn't reach
- 19 agreement on as that's been referenced.
- 20 And, you know, I don't think anybody is
- 21 purporting to say that because we have reached
- 22 agreement on these issues, that anybody is precluded in
- 23 the future from pursuing other issue that -- that we
- 24 didn't reach agreement on.
- So, just for the record, there you have it.

- 1 Thank you.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay.
- 3 And, Mr. Fischer, were you here for Laclede or
- 4 did you want to make an entry?
- 5 MR. FISCHER: I -- no, Your Honor. On behalf
- 6 of Fidelity Natural Gas and Southern Missouri Gas
- 7 Company and Atmos Energy I'd like to enter my
- 8 appearance today. And I apologize for my delay in
- 9 arriving.
- 10 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, I think
- 11 we've talked about everything before you got here, so
- 12 I'll let the others fill you in on what was said.
- MR. FISCHER: I appreciate that.
- 14 JUDGE DIPPELL: And unless anybody else has
- 15 anything -- I appreciate you coming all the way in.
- 16 I'm sorry about the confusion that perhaps has led up
- 17 to this and in the -- the changing character in the
- 18 sessions several times. But I appreciate you all
- 19 coming in to -- to help he get straightened out.
- Is there anything else from anyone?
- 21 (No response.)
- JUDGE DIPPELL: Then I'll go ahead and adjourn
- 23 this part of the prehearing conference. If you all
- 24 still have things to discuss, you're welcome to stay in
- 25 this room. I'd appreciate it if you take your

1	belongings and cups and things to the appropriate place
2	as you leave.
3	Thank you. We can go off the record.
4	WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of the
5	prehearing conference was concluded.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	