

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kingdom Telephone)
Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination)
Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.) **Case No. TO-2006-0220**

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Issue Date: January 4, 2006

Effective Date: January 14, 2006

This order approves the Interconnection Agreement executed by the parties and filed by Kingdom Telephone Company.

On November 22, 2005, Kingdom filed an application with the Commission for approval of an Interconnection Agreement with United States Cellular Corporation (US Cellular). The Agreement was filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.¹ The Agreement would permit Kingdom to interconnect its facilities with US Cellular. Kingdom holds a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri. US Cellular is a commercial mobile radio service carrier operating in Missouri, and is not certificated by this Commission.

Although US Cellular is a party to the Agreement, it did not join in the application. On November 23, 2005, the Commission issued an order making US Cellular a party in this case and directing any party wishing to request a hearing to do so no later than December 13, 2005. No requests for hearing were filed.

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 251, *et seq.*

The Staff of the Commission filed a memorandum and recommendation on December 16, 2005, recommending that the Agreement be approved.

Discussion

Under Section 252(e) of the Act, any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation must be submitted to the Commission for approval. The Commission may reject an agreement if it finds that the agreement is discriminatory or that it is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

The Staff memorandum recommends that the Agreement be approved and notes that the Agreement meets the limited requirements of the Act in that it is not discriminatory toward nonparties and is not against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any amendments to the Commission for approval.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the application, the supporting documentation, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission concludes that the Agreement meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not discriminate against a nonparty carrier and implementation of the Agreement is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Commission finds that approval of the Agreement shall be conditioned upon the parties submitting any amendments to the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure set out below.

Amendment Procedure

The Commission has a duty to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act.² In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve or recognize amendments to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection.³ This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission.⁴

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all amendments, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed amendment must be submitted pursuant to Commission rule 4 CSR 240-3.513(6).

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e)(1) of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996,⁵ is required to review negotiated interconnection agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation

² 47 U.S.C. § 252.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 252(h).

⁴ 4 CSR 240-3.545.

⁵ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1).

would be discriminatory to a nonparty or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.⁶ Based upon its review of the Agreement between Kingdom and US Cellular and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest and shall be approved.

The Commission notes that prior to providing telecommunications services in Missouri, a party shall possess the following: (1) an interconnection agreement approved by the Commission; (2) except for wireless providers, a certificate of service authority from the Commission to provide interexchange or basic local telecommunications services; and (3) except for wireless providers, a tariff approved by the Commission.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Interconnection Agreement between Kingdom Telephone Company and United States Cellular Corporation, filed on November 22, 2005, is approved.

2. That any changes or amendments to this Agreement shall be submitted in compliance with 4 CSR 240-3.513(6).

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

3. That this order shall become effective on January 14, 2006.
4. That this case may be closed on January 15, 2006.

BY THE COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Colleen M. Dale', written over a horizontal line.

Colleen M. Dale
Secretary

(S E A L)

Colleen M. Dale, Chief Regulatory Law
Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant
to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 4th day of January, 2006.