1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
б	Procedural Conference
7	September 19, 2017
8	Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	
11	
12	
13	In the Matter of the Rate Increase) Request of Indian Hills Utility)File No. WR-2017-0259
14	Operating Company, Inc.)
15	
16	
17	DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding,
18	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: Julie K. Kearns, CCR 993
24	
25	

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     For Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.:
 4
        Dean L. Cooper, Esq.
        BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C.
        312 East Capitol Avenue, P.O. Box 456
 5
        Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
 6
 7
      For Office of the Public Counsel and the Public:
        Ryan Smith, Esq.
 8
        OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL
        200 Madison Street, Suite 650
 9
        Jefferson City, Missouri
                                   65102
10
11
      For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:
12
        Nicole Mers, Esq.
        Jacob T. Westen, Esq.
        MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
13
        200 Madison Street, P.O. Box 360
14
        Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
15
16
      Court Reporter:
17
        Julie K. Kearns, CCR 993
        Midwest Litigation Services
18
        3432 West Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
        Jefferson City, Missouri 65109
19
        (573)636-7551
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

Page 3 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Whereupon, the public hearing started at 2:00 P.M.) 3 4 JUDGE JORDAN: Let's go on the record. The Commission is calling the action in File No. WR-2017-0259. 5 б This is the action in the matter of the application for a 7 rate increase for Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, 8 Incorporated. I'm Daniel Jordan. I am the regulatory law 9 judge assigned to this action. Present also from my office is Judge John Clark. We will begin with entries of 10 appearances. Let's start with the applicant. 11 12 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, Dean Cooper appearing 13 for Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. The 14 court reporter has the address. JUDGE JORDAN: Very good. And for the 15 Commission Staff. 16 17 MS. MERS: Nicole Mers and Jacob Westen appearing for Commission Staff and our information has 18 19 been provided to the court reporter. JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And for the Office of 20 Public Counsel. 21 MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, Judge. Ryan Smith 22 for the Office of the Public Counsel. My information has 23 24 been provided to the court reporter. 25 JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. We're here for a

1	procedural conference. We're not going to take any
2	evidence or decide anything today, but we do have,
3	recently filed, a motion for joint and proposed procedural
4	schedule and that's the matter that I wanted to take up
5	today.
6	First, my thanks to the parties for arranging
7	and filing this. I know it's not an easy thing to do.
8	Going through it, I see a few matters that I'd like to
9	clarify and the first thing well, it's really at the
10	top of the list is the proposed date for local public
11	hearing. That was the subject of a filing yesterday, I
12	think that was.
13	MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: The parties are aware, I'm sure,
15	that the Commission has not issued an order setting the
16	date for a local public hearing. Time is eating away at
17	the possibility to give notice for an October 4 local
18	public hearing, so I think I can safely suggest to the
19	parties that they might want to consider some backup dates
20	on that. Anything further on that, on that matter before
21	we get to others?
22	MR. WESTEN: Judge, just a quick clarification.
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Yes.
24	MR. WESTEN: The order that was issued at the
25	end of July that essentially approved OPC's motion for a

D	~
Page	5

1	local public hearing, not actually authorized an LPH, it
2	just needed the setting. So I guess my question is is the
3	authority to actually make the setting not already
4	delegated to you to actually just direct the
5	administrative department of the Commission to go ahead
6	and set and schedule that?
7	JUDGE JORDAN: Fair question. Will the order
8	issue by from agenda or will it issue by delegation; is
9	that your question?
10	MR. WESTEN: Right. Well, has the authority not
11	already been delegated based on the July order in response
12	to OPC's motion requesting a local public hearing? That's
13	my question.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: I didn't really read it that way.
15	MR. WESTEN: Okay. I just for clarification.
16	JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah.
17	MR. WESTEN: Assuming that it had been already
18	delegated to you, then I assumed that just by notifying
19	the date and location that the, kind of, back-end work
20	that gets done by the Commission Staff to get it
21	scheduled, you know, pay for the rent the all that,
22	that would that would be able to just go forward with
23	the notice that we have a date and location that we would
24	like to do it.
25	JUDGE JORDAN: Here's what I think has happened

	5
1	and will happen. The Commission said yes, we will have a
2	local public hearing, hasn't set a date. As with the
3	previous-proposed date, it will ask the parties to agree
4	on a date and through Staff file a proposed date and a
5	place. Obviously, it's a local public hearing, some sites
6	in Cuba have been are under consideration.
7	MR. WESTEN: Yes.
8	JUDGE JORDAN: I think that's I think
9	that's that's where we are.
10	MR. WESTEN: Okay.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Whether that order will issue
12	from me by delegation from the Commission or whether it
13	will come out of agenda, who can say.
14	MR. WESTEN: Okay. Thanks, Judge.
15	JUDGE JORDAN: Yes, Counselor.
16	MS. MERS: For alternative dates, would the
17	Commission with Missouri American and Laclede with the
18	local public hearings scheduled in those cases, is the
19	Commission do you have an opinion on if they'd be
20	willing to have a local public hearing that would overlap
21	a local public hearing for another case?
22	JUDGE JORDAN: That is basically that's
23	pretty much what we have proposed now. That's pretty much
24	what we have proposed now because the local public
25	hearings in the Laclede case bracket the proposed date for

this case. 1 2 MR. WESTEN: Okay. MS. MERS: Okay. 3 4 JUDGE JORDAN: And as you doubtless note, commissioners take local public hearings pretty seriously. 5 б They like to attend when they can. 7 MS. MERS: Right. JUDGE JORDAN: I think all five would attend if 8 9 they could, but generally, given their schedules, one or two is usually what we get. That's a consideration in 10 setting the date for a local public hearing. 11 MS. MERS: Okay. 12 13 JUDGE JORDAN: Yes, it's a busy schedule. Ι 14 mean not only is this schedule compressed for this case, but the Commission's schedule for the autumn is also very 15 full. It's a very full calendar. So I understand this is 16 17 a challenge. 18 MR. SMITH: Judge, would it be appropriate for 19 the parties to prepare a filing with several suggested backup dates for a different local public hearing setting? 20 I 21 JUDGE JORDAN: I think that would be helpful. think that would be very helpful to the Commission. Okay. 22 23 Anything on that matter before we move on? 24 MR. SMITH: No. 25 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay, good. I see it looks like

1	the parties are proposing a couple of alternatives here
2	for the dates for the evidentiary hearing and the dates
3	for briefing. First thing, it looks like we have the
4	parties think that they will need three days of
5	evidentiary hearing; am I reading that correctly?
6	MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor. I guess I'm
7	jumping in on Staff here, but because of various witness
8	availability dates, the 27th and 28th are really the
9	primary dates that the parties are proposing. Hope
10	springs eternal that really only one of those would be
11	needed, but we have a Staff witness that's not available
12	until the 30th and so really what we're indicating 27, 28
13	for as needed for the hearing with one witness on the
14	30th.
15	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. So the 30th is kind of a
16	stand-alone date, it sounds like?
17	MR. COOPER: It is.
18	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Otherwise, a couple of
19	days for evidentiary hearing; is that correct?
20	MR. SMITH: Yes. We think it will take no more
21	than two days in total.
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Okay. Yeah, I wanted to
23	clarify that because that was not because it was not
24	clear to me. Okay. Well, that's less than the two weeks
25	that I had reserved, if my math is correct. And that

1	suggests to me that I mean, looking at OPC's filing, it
2	looked like there were some there were many issues,
3	issues that are subject to complicated presentations of
4	evidence, but I'm reading I think I'm reading some
5	optimism in the settlement of many of those issues.
6	MS. MERS: I think, too, the amount of witnesses
7	will help. In a traditional rate case, there's dozens and
8	in this case we probably would have, at the max, six, I
9	think.
10	MR. SMITH: And also, no intervening parties
11	would also be helpful and we have built into the schedule
12	two settlement conferences to help with that, to help
13	narrow the issues.
14	JUDGE JORDAN: I see that, I see that. Okay.
15	Here's a question for you, something that jumped out to
16	me. The and this and the parties have cited the
17	regulation, which is Section 24 of the small utility
18	regulation. The nine-month date of January 4 in 2018.
19	Under one of your alternatives, it's also the day of the
20	last brief. So I gather from that that the parties are
21	reading the date described in that section as the date of
22	the last brief?
23	MR. WESTEN: Yeah. The so the sub 24 of
24	240-3.050 says that the proposed full resolution of the
25	small utility rate case must be finally presented to the

Page	10
I age	10

1	Commission no later than nine months. And so either
2	parties, if we're still at odds at how it should be
3	resolved, the final filing of a brief would be that final
4	presentation of what we think should be the full
5	resolution of the case. And so we read as that's the date
6	that pursuant to these regulations, that we would need
7	to make that presentation and that still leaves the extra
8	60 days up till the 11-month deadline for operation for
9	the Commission to deliberate, ask further questions,
10	etcetera, if it needs to.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: I gathered that was the parties'
12	reading and that's why this schedule is what it is. The
13	thing I note about Section 24 is it doesn't really have a
14	subject. It says, "Shall be finally presented," but it
15	doesn't say who will do that final presentation of the
16	proposed full resolution.
17	Now, when I first read this, my thought was that
18	the proposed full resolution meant the order that I draft
19	for the Commission on their agenda, which would make
20	January 4, and I don't think January 4 is an agenda day.
21	If I remember correctly, it's January 3. It's the
22	Wednesday, the last Wednesday. So my reading of that was
23	that my draft must be on agenda no later than the 4th, in
24	this case the 3rd, which means I would have to communicate
25	it, practically speaking, to the Commission well before

1	that, okay?
2	I don't know whether the Commission has ever set
3	forth a schedule that accords with the parties' reading of
4	that regulation. And this is why: Because I've never had
5	a small rate case go to hearing like this, okay? The
6	regulation assumes that it will not and that's why we end
7	up with this very compressed schedule that the parties
8	have to deal with.
9	MR. WESTEN: Right.
10	MR. SMITH: Is it possible that Hillcrest might
11	answer that question?
12	MR. WESTEN: Yeah. I think Hillcrest, the full
13	amount of nine months.
14	MR. COOPER: Yeah, but I don't know whether
15	there was I don't know whether there was any specific
16	ruling or finding on this particular issue.
17	MR. WESTEN: I don't think there was.
18	MR. COOPER: If I've been involved in one where
19	there's been that sort of resolution, I don't it's not
20	coming to my mind right now, one way or the other. I just
21	don't remember it coming up as a
22	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Under your reading, your
23	work is done on the 4th. Under my reading, my work is
24	done on the 4th, but your work must be done considerably
25	earlier than that. It was under my reading that I

reserved the dates that I have reserved, currently 1 reserved, which I think are rapidly becoming obsolete. 2 MR. WESTEN: Right. Judge, the only -- because 3 4 I don't have access to it, the Hillcrest case, which involved the same parties -- well, similar parties. 5 б JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Related, related, related 7 entities. 8 MR. WESTEN: Related. Its schedule might 9 provide some clarification. I don't think there was a ruling as to this particular issue, but I can give you the 10 case number if that would provide some kind of guidance. 11 JUDGE JORDAN: Sure. Let's take a look at that. 12 13 Was that Judge Burton's case this summer? 14 MR. WESTEN: I want to say Judge Burton's case, 15 I want to say about a year ago. MR. COOPER: It's been a while because we got 16 final appellate decision earlier this year. 17 JUDGE JORDAN: Why don't you give that to me and 18 19 I will take a look at it later. MR. WESTEN: WR-2015 or is it 2016-0064. 20 21 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. MR. COOPER: Just for your consideration, Judge. 22 23 JUDGE JORDAN: Yes. 24 MR. COOPER: And this doesn't answer the 25 question, but I guess in reading the rule again --

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah.
2	MR. COOPER: I certainly agree with the
3	interpretation that Staff has put forth. Presented to the
4	Commission, I would think presented to you because you are
5	a part of the office of the Commission that's defined in
б	other contexts. To me, that's the way I would read that,
7	that once the case goes to you, it has been presented to
8	the Commission. But as I said, I have no citation for
9	that, unfortunately.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: I don't know that this Commission
11	has ever spoken on it. So we are plodding new ground
12	here.
13	MS. MERS: Always exciting.
14	MR. WESTEN: Judge, it's WR-2016-0064.
15	JUDGE JORDAN: 2016-0064. And I'll take a look
16	at that and see what the the Commission's practice may
17	constitute a practical interpretation of its regulation,
18	so that's helpful as to what the Commission thinks. In
19	that regard, this is part of chapter three. Chapter three
20	has a provision for waiver of any rule in chapter three,
21	does it not?
22	MR. SMITH: It does.
23	JUDGE JORDAN: Right around .015. Okay. All
24	right. Well, I think that's the most important point that
25	I had to make because that that ready date and whose it

1 is really determines the rest of the calendar going 2 backwards. 3 MR. WESTEN: Agreed. 4 JUDGE JORDAN: That's probably how the parties looked at it as well. Okay. That date of November 30, 5 б that's the only date a certain witness is available for examination; is that correct? Did I hear that correctly? 7 8 MS. MERS: We're running into an issue where one of our witnesses is only available beginning November 30 9 and then one of Indian Hills' witnesses is only available 10 before November 29, so --11 MR. COOPER: Yeah, that's the trade-off, Your 12 13 Honor, and I should have mentioned that. But that's the 14 tension and the reason why we didn't just pick two days later in the week, so --15 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Okay. So Staff's witness 16 17 is not available until the 30th. 18 MS. MERS: Correct. 19 JUDGE JORDAN: And the applicant's witness is not available after the 28th; is that correct? 20 21 MR. COOPER: That's correct. That week, yes. JUDGE JORDAN: That week, okay. 22 Yeah. All right. Of course, all this comes down to what the 23 24 Commission decides. I mean, I draft something for them and it may not be what eventually gets issued, I'll just 25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

put it that way. MS. MERS: We understand. JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. MR. SMITH: And, Judge, also, that footnote relating to December 19 and 20, I think from OPC's perspective could also relate to November 27, 28 and 30. If there were to be some sort of full or partial settlement of issues, I think OPC would also be willing to push it later if that would help the Commission. JUDGE JORDAN: Well, that's the kind of clarification that we're here to do. So I appreciate that. Obviously -- I think it's pretty obvious to me from my experience that the parties prefer a later hearing date and I understand there are reasons for that and it's got very little to do with procrastination. It's got mostly to do with the opportunity to discuss issues and reach settlement on those as much as they can and am I correct in that? MR. SMITH: Yes. MS. MERS: Yes. JUDGE JORDAN: I figured. All right. Okay. And I will surmise that the settlement conferences that are referenced here, will those require my presence or are they more like technical conferences where the parties get

their people together in the same room? I can go on the

1	record to convene a settlement conference and then leave
2	the room, but it seems a little bit wasteful.
3	MR. COOPER: I don't see any need for that.
4	MR. WESTEN: Yeah.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: So for those dates, for the
6	settlement conference dates, whatever they turn out to be,
7	you'll just need the reservation of some space in the
8	Governor's Office Building?
9	MS. MERS: That's correct.
10	JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Good. Well, I think those
11	are all the issues that I had and this will assist me in
12	drafting a proposed order. So this time has been helpful.
13	I appreciate that. What else would the parties like to
14	discuss before I go off the record and leave the room?
15	MR. SMITH: How quickly would you want us to
16	submit the alternatives for local public hearing and would
17	you like those in a separate filing or would you like them
18	via e-mail or how would how quickly and what's your
19	preferred format for
20	JUDGE JORDAN: My preferred format is a filing,
21	a filing in EFIS. How soon you file them, that I can't
22	tell you because that's that's as that's got a lot
23	to do with the parties, which I can't control. What I
24	will say is the longer you wait, the fewer dates there
25	will be.

1	MR. SMITH: All right.
2	JUDGE JORDAN: And I will also, in reference to
3	counsel's suggestion, refer you to the Commission's
4	calendar, which is on the internet. Maybe you can find
5	some open dates where there are not already local public
6	hearings or even evidentiary hearings because we've got
7	plenty of those this autumn, also. That will be a guide
8	to you, probably not a convenience. What else can I do
9	for the parties while I'm in the room and we're all here?
10	MS. MERS: Nothing Staff needs.
11	JUDGE JORDAN: Nothing else that anyone feels
12	need to discuss because I can talk about this stuff all
13	day.
14	MR. COOPER: I don't have anything, Your Honor.
15	MR. SMITH: Nothing further.
16	MR. WESTEN: Nothing from Staff. Thank you.
17	JUDGE JORDAN: Very good. Well, thank you for
18	being here and, with that, we will adjourn this conference
19	and go off the record.
20	(Proceedings concluded at 2:20 P.M.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2		
	DEPARTMENT'S EXHIBITS	RECEIVED
3		
4	No exhibits marked.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, Julie K. Kearns, Certified Court Reporter
3	(MO), Certified Shorthand Reporter (IL), Registered
4	Professional Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter
5	within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify
6	that I was personally present at the proceedings had in
7	the above-entitled cause at the time and place set forth
8	in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and there took
9	down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and that the
10	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of such
11	Stenotype notes so made at such time and place.
12	
13	Julii K. Kearns
14	
15	Julie K. Kearns, CCR #993, CSR, RPR, CR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		10 5		
A	authority 5:3,10	cause 19:7	concluded 17:20	6:4,25 7:11
able 5:22	authorized 5:1	CCR 1:23 2:17	conference 1:6	8:16 9:18,21
above-entitled	autumn 7:15	19:15	4:1 16:1,6	9:21 10:5
19:7	17:7	certain 14:6	17:18	13:25 14:5,6
access 12:4	availability 8:8	certainly 13:2	conferences	15:13
accords 11:3	available 8:11	CERTIFICA	9:12 15:22,24	dates 4:19 6:16
action 3:5,6,9	14:6,9,10,17	19:1	consider 4:19	7:20 8:2,2,8,9
address 3:14	14:20	Certified 19:2,3	considerably	12:1 16:5,6,24
adjourn 17:18	Avenue 2:5	19:4	11:24	17:5
administrative	aware 4:14	certify 19:5	consideration	day 9:19 10:20
5:5		challenge 7:17	6:6 7:10 12:22	17:13
afternoon 3:22	\mathbf{B}	chapter 13:19	constitute 13:17	days 8:4,19,21
agenda 5:8 6:13	back-end 5:19	13:19,20	contexts 13:6	10:8 14:14
10:19,20,23	backup 4:19	citation 13:8	control 16:23	deadline 10:8
ago 12:15	7:20	cited 9:16	convene 16:1	deal 11:8
agree 6:3 13:2	backwards 14:2	City 1:8 2:5,9,14	convenience	Dean 2:4 3:12
Agreed 14:3	based 5:11	2:18	17:8	December 15:5
ahead 5:5	basically 6:22	clarification	Cooper 2:4 3:12	decide 4:2
alternative 6:16	becoming 12:2	4:22 5:15 12:9	3:12 8:6,17	decides 14:24
alternatives 8:1	beginning 14:9	15:11	11:14,18 12:16	decision 12:17
9:19 16:16	bit 16:2	clarify 4:9 8:23	12:22,24 13:2	defined 13:5
American 6:17	Boulevard 2:18	Clark 3:10	14:12,21 16:3	delegated 5:4,11
amount 9:6	Box 2:5,13	clear 8:24	17:14	5:18
11:13	bracket 6:25	come 6:13	correct 8:19,25	delegation 5:8
answer 11:11	brief 9:20,22	comes 14:23	14:7,18,20,21	6:12
12:24	10:3	coming 11:20,21	15:17 16:9	deliberate 10:9
appearances 2:1	briefing 8:3	Commission 1:1	19:10	department 5:5
3:11	BRYDON 2:4	2:11,13 3:5,16	correctly 8:5	DEPARTME
appearing 3:12	Building 16:8	3:18 4:15 5:5	10:21 14:7	18:2
3:18	built 9:11	5:20 6:1,12,17	Counsel 2:7,8	described 9:21
appellate 12:17	Burton's 12:13	6:19 7:22 10:1	3:21,23	determines 14:1
applicant 3:11	12:14	10:9,19,25	counsel's 17:3	different 7:20
applicant's	busy 7:13	11:2 13:4,5,8	Counselor 6:15	direct 5:4
14:19	C	13:10,18 14:24	couple 8:1,18	discuss 15:16
application 3:6	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}$ 3:1	15:9	course 14:23	16:14 17:12
appreciate		Commission's	court 2:16 3:14	doubtless 7:4
15:11 16:13	calendar 7:16	7:15 13:16	3:19,24 19:2	dozens 9:7
appropriate	14:1 17:4	17:3	CRR 19:15	draft 10:18,23
7:18	calling 3:5	commissioners	CSR 19:15	14:24
approved 4:25	Capitol 2:5	7:5	Cuba 6:6	drafting 16:12
arranging 4:6	caption 19:8	communicate	currently 12:1	
assigned 3:9	case 6:21,25 7:1	10:24		$\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E}}$
assist 16:11	7:14 9:7,8,25	Company 1:14	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D}^{2}}$	E 3:1,1
assumed 5:18	10:5,24 11:5	2:3 3:7,13	D 3:1	e-mail 16:18
assumes 11:6	12:4,11,13,14 13:7	complicated 9:3	Daniel 1:17 3:8	earlier 11:25
Assuming 5:17		compressed	date 4:10,16	12:17
attend 7:6,8	cases 6:18	7:14 11:7	5:19,23 6:2,3,4	East 2:5
, 	I	I	I	I

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Г

	1	1	1	
easy 4:7	19:7	16:12	4:14,23 5:7,14	L
eating 4:16	forward 5:22	Hillcrest 11:10	5:16,25 6:8,11	L 2:4
EFIS 16:21	full 7:16,16 9:24	11:12 12:4	6:15,22 7:4,8	Laclede 6:17,25
either 10:1	10:4,16,18	Hills 1:13 2:3	7:13,21,25	law 1:18 3:8
ENGLAND 2:4	11:12 15:7	3:7,13	8:15,18,22	leave 16:1,14
entities 12:7	further 4:20	Hills' 14:10	9:14 10:11	leaves 10:7
entries 3:10	10:9 17:15	Honor 3:12 8:6	11:22 12:6,12	Let's 3:4,11
Esq 2:4,8,12,12		14:13 17:14	12:18,21,23	12:12
essentially 4:25	G	Hope 8:9	13:1,10,15,23	list 4:10
etcetera 10:10	G 3:1		14:4,16,19,22	Litigation 2:17
eternal 8:10	gather 9:20	$\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{W} + 0 \cdot 2}$	15:3,10,21	little 15:15 16:2
eventually 14:25	gathered 10:11	IL 19:3	16:5,10,20	local 4:10,16,17
evidence 4:2 9:4	generally 7:9	important 13:24	17:2,11,17	5:1,12 6:2,5,18
evidentiary 8:2	give 4:17 12:10	Incorporated	judge 1:18 3:4,9	6:20,21,24 7:5
8:5,19 17:6	12:18	3:8	3:10,15,20,22	7:11,20 16:16
examination	given 7:9	increase 1:13	3:25 4:13,14	17:5
14:7	go 3:4 5:5,22	3:7	4:22,23 5:7,14	location 5:19,23
exciting 13:13	11:5 15:25	INDEX 18:1	5:16,25 6:8,11	longer 16:24
exhibits 18:1,2,4	16:14 17:19	Indian 1:13 2:3	6:14,15,22 7:4	look 12:12,19
experience	goes 13:7	3:7,13 14:10	7:8,13,18,21	13:15
15:13	going 4:1,8 14:1	indicating 8:12	7:25 8:15,18	looked 9:2 14:5
extra 10:7	good 3:15,22	information	8:22 9:14	looking 9:1
	7:25 16:10	3:18,23	10:11 11:22	looks 7:25 8:3
$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}}$	17:17	internet 17:4	12:3,6,12,13	lot 16:22
Fair 5:7	Governor's 16:8	interpretation	12:14,18,21,22	LPH 5:1
feels 17:11	ground 13:11	13:3,17	12:23 13:1,10	
fewer 16:24	guess 5:2 8:6 12:25	intervening 9:10	13:14,15,23	M
figured 15:21 file 1:13 3:5 6:4		involved 11:18 12:5	14:4,16,19,22	Madison 2:9,13
16:21	guidance 12:11 guide 17:7	issue 5:8,8 6:11	15:3,4,10,21	marked 18:4
filed 4:3	guiue 17.7	11:16 12:10	16:5,10,20	math 8:25
	H	14:8	17:2,11,17	matter 1:13 3:6
filing 4:7,11 7:19 9:1 10:3	happen 6:1	issued 4:15,24	Julie 1:23 2:17	4:4,20 7:23
16:17,20,21	happened 5:25	14:25	19:2,15	matters 4:8
final 10:3,3,15	hear 14:7	issues 9:2,3,5,13	July 4:25 5:11	max 9:8
12:17	hearing 3:2 4:11	15:8,16 16:11	jumped 9:15	mean 7:14 9:1
finally 9:25	4:16,18 5:1,12	13.8,10 10.11	jumping 8:7	14:24
10:14	6:2,5,20,21	J	K	means 10:24
find 17:4	7:11,20 8:2,5	Jacob 2:12 3:17	K 1:23 2:17 19:2	meant 10:18
finding 11:16	8:13,19 11:5	January 9:18	19:15	mentioned
first 4:6,9 8:3	15:13 16:16	10:20,20,21	Kearns 1:23	14:13
10:17	hearings 6:18,25	Jefferson 1:8	2:17 19:2,15	Mers 2:12 3:17
five 7:8	7:5 17:6,6	2:5,9,14,18	kind 5:19 8:15	3:17 6:16 7:3,7
footnote 15:4	help 9:7,12,12	John 3:10	12:11 15:10	7:12 9:6 13:13
foregoing 19:10	15:9	joint 4:3	know 4:7 5:21	14:8,18 15:2
format 16:19,20	helpful 7:21,22	Jordan 1:17 3:4	11:2,14,15	15:20 16:9
forth 11:3 13:3	9:11 13:18	3:8,15,20,25	13:10	17:10
IVI II 11.5 15.5		, , -, -	13.10	Midwest 2:17
	1		1	1

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES com Phone: 1.800.280.3376

mind 11:20	7:22,25 8:15	19:11	public 1:1 2:7,7	Registered 19:3
Missouri 1:1,8	8:18,22,22,24	plenty 17:7	2:8,11,13 3:2	regulation 9:17
2:5,9,11,13,14	9:14 11:1,5	plodding 13:11	3:21,23 4:10	9:18 11:4,6
2:18 6:17 19:5	12:21 13:23	point 13:24	4:16,18 5:1,12	13:17
MO 19:3	14:5,16,16,22	possibility 4:17	6:2,5,18,20,21	regulations 10:6
months 10:1	15:3,21 16:10	possible 11:10	6:24 7:5,11,20	regulatory 1:18
11:13	once 13:7	practical 13:17	16:16 17:5	3:8
motion 4:3,25	OPC 15:8	practically	pursuant 10:6	relate 15:6
5:12	OPC's 4:25 5:12	10:25	pursuant 10:0 push 15:9	related 12:6,6,6
move 7:23	9:1 15:5	practice 13:16	put 13:3 15:1	12:8
move 7.25	open 17:5	prefer 15:13	put 15.5 15.1	relating 15:5
N	Operating 1:14	preferred 16:19	0	remember
N 3:1	2:3 3:7,13	16:20	question 5:2,7,9	10:21 11:21
narrow 9:13	operation 10:8	prepare 7:19	5:13 9:15	rent 5:21
need 8:4 10:6	opinion 6:19	presence 15:23	11:11 12:25	REPORTED
16:3,7 17:12	-	-	questions 10:9	1:23
needed 5:2 8:11	opportunity 15:16	present 3:9 19:6 presentation	quick 4:22	
8:13		10:4,7,15	quickly 16:15,18	reporter 2:16 3:14,19,24
needs 10:10	optimism 9:5		quickly 10.15,10	, ,
17:10	order 4:15,24	presentations	R	19:1,2,3,4,4
never 11:4	5:7,11 6:11	9:3	R 3:1	Request 1:13
new 13:11	10:18 16:12	presented 9:25	rapidly 12:2	requesting 5:12
Nicole 2:12 3:17	overlap 6:20	10:14 13:3,4,7	rate 1:13 3:7 9:7	require 15:23
nine 10:1 11:13	P	Presiding 1:17	9:25 11:5	reservation 16:7
nine-month	P 3:1	pretty 6:23,23	reach 15:16	reserved 8:25
9:18	P.C 2:4	7:5 15:12	read 5:14 10:5	12:1,1,2
note 7:4 10:13	P.M 3:3 17:20	previous-prop	10:17 13:6	resolution 9:24
notes 19:11	P.O 2:5,13	6:3	reading 8:5 9:4	10:5,16,18
notice 4:17 5:23	part 13:5,19	primary 8:9	9:4,21 10:12	11:19
	partial 15:7	probably 9:8	10:22 11:3,22	resolved 10:3
notifying 5:18	-	14:4 17:8	11:23,25 12:25	response 5:11
November 14:5	particular 11:16	procedural 1:6	ready 13:25	rest 14:1
14:9,11 15:6	12:10	4:1,3	really 4:9 5:14	right 5:10 7:7
number 12:11	parties 4:6,14	proceedings 1:5	8:8,10,12	11:9,20,22
0	4:19 6:3 7:19	17:20 19:6,9	10:13 14:1	12:3,6 13:23
0 3:1	8:1,4,9 9:10,16	procrastination	Realtime 19:4	13:24 14:23
obsolete 12:2	9:20 10:2 11:7	15:15	reason 14:14	15:21 17:1
obvious 15:12	12:5,5 14:4	Professional	reasons 15:14	room 15:25 16:2
Obvious 15.12 Obviously 6:5	15:13,24 16:13	19:4	RECEIVED	16:14 17:9
15:12	16:23 17:9	proposed 4:3,10	18:2	RPR 19:15
October 4:17	parties' 10:11	6:4,23,24,25	record 3:4 16:1	rule 12:25 13:20
October 4:17	11:3	9:24 10:16,18		ruling 11:16
adda 10.2	pay 5:21	16:12	16:14 17:19	12:10
odds 10:2			refer 17:3	running 14:8
office 2:7,8 3:10	people 15:25	proposing 8:1,9	noforce as 17.0	U
office 2:7,8 3:10 3:20,23 13:5	personally 19:6	proposing 8:1,9 provide 12:9,11	reference 17:2	Ryan 2:8 3:22
office 2:7,8 3:10 3:20,23 13:5 16:8	personally 19:6 perspective 15:6		referenced	Ryan 2:8 3:22
office 2:7,8 3:10 3:20,23 13:5 16:8 okay 5:15 6:10	personally 19:6 perspective 15:6 pick 14:14	provide 12:9,11 provided 3:19 3:24	referenced 15:23	Ryan 2:8 3:22
office 2:7,8 3:10 3:20,23 13:5 16:8	personally 19:6 perspective 15:6	provide 12:9,11 provided 3:19	referenced	Ryan 2:8 3:22

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES m Phone: 1.800.280.3376

	1			
safely 4:18	spoken 13:11	think 4:12,18	wasteful 16:2	0
says 9:24 10:14	springs 8:10	5:25 6:8,8 7:8	way 5:14 11:20	015 13:23
schedule 4:4 5:6	Staff 2:11 3:16	7:21,22 8:4,20	13:6 15:1	
7:13,14,15	3:18 5:20 6:4	9:4,6,9 10:4,20	we're 3:25 4:1	1
9:11 10:12	8:7,11 13:3	11:12,17 12:2	8:12 10:2 14:8	1 1:9
11:3,7 12:8	17:10,16	12:9 13:4,24	15:11 17:9	11-month 10:8
scheduled 5:21	Staff's 14:16	15:5,8,12	we've 17:6	19 1:7 15:5
6:18	stand-alone	16:10	Wednesday	
schedules 7:9	8:16	thinks 13:18	10:22,22	2
section 9:17,21	start 3:11	thought 10:17	week 14:15,21	2:00 3:2
10:13	started 3:2	three 8:4 13:19	14:22	2:20 17:20
see 4:8 7:25 9:14	State 1:1 19:5	13:19,20	weeks 8:24	20 15:5
9:14 13:16	Stenotype 19:9	till 10:8	West 2:18	200 2:9,13
16:3	19:11	time 4:16 16:12	Westen 2:12	2016-0064 12:20
SENIOR 1:18	Street 2:9,13	19:7,11	3:17 4:13,22	13:15
separate 16:17	stuff 17:12	today 4:2,5	4:24 5:10,15	2017 1:7
September 1:7	sub 9:23	top 4:10	5:17 6:7,10,14	2018 9:18
seriously 7:5	subject 4:11 9:3	total 8:21	7:2 9:23 11:9	207 2:18
Service 1:1 2:11	10:14	trade-off 14:12	11:12,17 12:3	24 9:17,23 10:13
2:13	submit 16:16	traditional 9:7	12:8,14,20	240-3.050 9:24
Services 2:17	suggest 4:18	transcript 1:5	13:14 14:3	27 8:12 15:6
set 5:6 6:2 11:2	suggested 7:19	19:10	16:4 17:16	27th 8:8
19:7	suggestion 17:3	true 19:10	willing 6:20 15:8	28 8:12 15:6
setting 4:15 5:2	suggests 9:1	Truman 2:18	witness 8:7,11	28th 8:8 14:20
5:3 7:11,20	Suite 2:9,18	turn 16:6	8:13 14:6,16	29 14:11
settlement 9:5	summer 12:13	two 7:10 8:21,24	14:19	3
9:12 15:8,17	sure 4:14 12:12	9:12 14:14	witnesses 9:6	$\frac{3}{310:21}$
15:22 16:1,6	surmise 15:22	U	14:9,10	30 14:5,9 15:6
sheet 19:8	SWEARENG		work 5:19 11:23	30 14.3,9 13.0 30th 8:12,14,15
Shorthand 19:3	2:4	understand 7:16	11:23,24	14:17
similar 12:5	T	15:2,14	WR-2015 12:20	312 2:5
sites 6:5	T 2:12	unfortunately 13:9	WR-2016-0064	3432 2:18
six 9:8	take 4:1,4 7:5	usually 7:10	13:14	360 2:13
small 9:17,25	8:20 12:12,19	utility 1:13 2:3	WR-2017-0259	3rd 10:24
11:5	13:15	3:7,13 9:17,25	1:13 3:5	JIU 10.24
Smith 2:8 3:22	talk 17:12	5.7,15 9.17,25	<u> </u>	4
3:22 7:18,24	technical 15:24	V		4 4:17 9:18
8:20 9:10	tell 16:22	various 8:7	Y	10:20,20
11:10 13:22	tension 14:14	Volume 1:9	Yeah 5:16 8:22	456 2:5
15:4,19 16:15	thank 3:20,25		9:23 11:12,14	4th 10:23 11:23
17:1,15 soon 16:21	17:16,17	W	13:1 14:12,22	11:24
son 10:21 sort 11:19 15:7	thanks 4:6 6:14	wait 16:24	16:4	
sounds 8:16	thereof 19:8	waiver 13:20	year 12:15,17	5
space 16:7	they'd 6:19	want 4:19 12:14	yesterday 4:11	573)636-7551
speaking 10:25	thing 4:7,9 8:3	12:15 16:15		2:19
specific 11:15	10:13	wanted 4:4 8:22	Z	6
				U
1				

60 10:8		
650 2.0		
650 2:9		
65102 2:9,14		
65102-0456 2:5		
65109 2:18		
7		
8		
9		
993 1:23 2:17		
19:15		
19.15		
		 I