2 STATE OF MISSOURI 3		Transcript of Proceedings
3	1	
4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2	STATE OF MISSOURI
5 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6 Evidentiary Hearing 7 March 9, 2023 8 Jefferson City, Missouri 9 Volume X 0	3	
6 Evidentiary Hearing 7 March 9, 2023 8 Jefferson City, Missouri 9 Volume X 0 1 2 3 In the Matter of the Application) of Missouri-American Water) 4 Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate) 5 Increase for Water and Sewer) 5 Service Provided in Missouri) 5 Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 RON PRIDGIN, Presiding 8 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 9 SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, 0 GLEN KOLKMEYER, 1 COMMISSIONERS 1 REPORTED BY: 1 Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939	4	
<pre>March 9, 2023 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume X Volume X I</pre>	5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8 Jefferson City, Missouri 9 Volume X 0	6	Evidentiary Hearing
<pre>9 Volume X 0 1 2 3 In the Matter of the Application) of Missouri-American Water) 4 Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate) 5 Increase for Water and Sewer) 5 Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 RON PRIDGIN, Presiding 8 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 9 SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, 0 GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS 1 REPORTED BY: 1 Tracy Taylor, CCR NO. 939 3</pre>	7	March 9, 2023
<pre>0 1 2 3 In the Matter of the Application) of Missouri-American Water) 4 Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate) 5 Increase for Water and Sewer) 5 Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 8 8 8 8 9 9 8 8 8 8</pre>	8	Jefferson City, Missouri
<pre>1</pre>	9	Volume X
2 3 In the Matter of the Application) of Missouri-American Water) 4 Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate) 5 Increase for Water and Sewer) 5 Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0	
In the Matter of the Application) of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas RON PRIDGIN, Presiding DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3	_1	
<pre>of Missouri-American Water) 4 Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate) 5 Increase for Water and Sewer) Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8</pre>	2	
<pre>4 Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303 to Implement General Rate) 5 Increase for Water and Sewer) Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 8 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8</pre>	3	
<pre>5 Increase for Water and Sewer) Service Provided in Missouri) 6 Service Areas) 7 7 8 RON PRIDGIN, Presiding 8 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 9 SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, 0 GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS 1 2 REPORTED BY: 1 Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3</pre>	_4	Company's Request for Authority)File No. WR-2022-0303
6 Service Areas) 7 7 8 RON PRIDGIN, Presiding 9 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 9 SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, 0 GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS 1 2 REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3	5	Increase for Water and Sewer)
<pre>RON PRIDGIN, Presiding DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3</pre>	6	
<pre>8 DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE 9 SCOTT T. RUPP, CHAIRMAN JASON R. HOLSMAN, 0 GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS 1 2 REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3</pre>	_7	DON DETECTN Prodicing
JASON R. HOLSMAN, GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3	8	-
<pre>GLEN KOLKMEYER, COMMISSIONERS REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 </pre>	9	
1 2 REPORTED BY: Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939 3	20	GLEN KOLKMEYER,
Tracy Taylor, CCR No. 939	21	COMMITSSTONERS
3	22	
4	23	TTACY TAYTOL, CON NO. 232
	24	
5	25	
888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.		

Page 43 1 APPEARANCES 2 JAMES M. FISCHER Fischer & Dority, PC 3 2081 Honeysuckle Lane Jefferson City, Missouri 65109 jfischerpc@aol.com 4 Public Water Supply District No. 2 FOR: 5 of Andrew County 6 DEAN COOPER Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC 7 312 East Capitol Avenue Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456 573.635.7166 8 dcooper@brydonlaw.com 9 FOR: Missouri-American Water Company TIMOTHY W. LUFT 10 RACHEL NIEMEIER Missouri-American Water 11 727 Craig Road 12 St. Louis, Missouri 63141 314,996,2279 13 tim.luft@amwater.com rachel.niemeier@amwater.com 14 Missouri-American Water Company FOR: JOHN COFFMAN 15 871 Tuxedo Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63119 16 573,424,6779 Consumers Council of Missouri 17 FOR: 18 DIANA M. PLESCIA Curtis, Heinz, Garrett & O'Keefe 19 130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200 St. Louis, Missouri 63105 314.725.8788 20 dplescia@chgolaw.com Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) 21 FOR: 2.2 TIMOTHY OPITZ Opitz Law Firm, LLC 23 308 E. High Street, Suite B101 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 24 573.825.1796 tim.opitz@opitzlawfirm.com 25 Midwest Energy Consumers Group FOR:

1	STEPHA	NIE S. BELL (appearing remotely)	Tage +-
2		Ellinger and Associates, LLC 308 E. High Street, Suite 300 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101	
3	FOR:	sbell@ellingerlaw.com	
4			
5	LINDSA	Y VANGERPEN Department of Commerce & Insurance	
6		200 Madison Street, Suite 650 PO Box 2230	
7		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 lindsay.vangerpen@opc.mo.gov	
8	FOR:	Office of the Public Counsel	
	KAREN I		
9		Department of Economic Development 200 Madison Street, Suite 800	
10		PO Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360	
11		staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov	
12	FOR:	Karen.Bretz@psc.mo.gov Staff of the Missouri Public Service	Commission
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



	I ranscript of Proceedings
1	Page 45 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good morning. We are on
2	the record. This is the evidentiary hearing in File
3	Number WR-2022-0303. It's in the matter of the
4	Missouri-American Water Company's request for
5	authority to implement general rate increase for water
6	and sewer service provided in Missouri service areas.
7	I am Ron Pridgin. I am the Regulatory
8	Law Judge assigned to preside over this hearing.
9	We're beginning on March 9th, 2023 in the Governor
10	Office Building and the time is 9:00 a.m.
11	I would like to get entries of appearance
12	from counsel, please. And let me get begin with
13	Missouri-American Water Company.
14	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Judge. Dean
15	Cooper from the law firm of Brydon, Swearengen and
16	England on behalf of Missouri-American Water Company.
17	Also appearing on behalf of Missouri-American Water
18	Company are Rachel Niemeier and Timothy Luft of the
19	Company.
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Cooper, thank you.
21	On behalf of the Staff of the Commission,
22	please.
23	MS. BRETZ: Karen Bretz for Staff,
24	B-r-e-t-z. Do you need our address?
25	THE COURT REPORTER: No.



	I ranscript of Proceedings
1	Page 46 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Bretz, thank you.
2	On behalf of the Office of Public
3	Counsel, please.
4	MS. VANGERPEN: Lindsay VanGerpen on
5	behalf of OPC.
б	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. VanGerpen, thank you.
7	And I think a few parties have asked to
8	be excused, so hopefully I'll remember which ones,
9	but just in case, let me go through all the parties.
10	On behalf of the Consumer Council of
11	Missouri, please.
12	MR. COFFMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	John B. Coffman on behalf of the Consumers Council of
14	Missouri.
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Coffman, thank you.
16	I think Liberty has asked to be excused,
17	but just in case, on behalf of Liberty Utilities
18	Company? On behalf of the City of St. Joseph,
19	Missouri? And they may have asked to be excused too.
20	On behalf of Midwest Energy Consumers Group.
21	MR. OPITZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
22	Tim Opitz on behalf of Midwest Energy Consumers Group.
23	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Opitz, thank you.
24	On behalf of Missouri Industrial Energy
25	Consumers, please.



Transcrip	t of	Proceedings
rianoonp		riooooanigo

	Transcript of Proceedings
1	Page 47 MS. PLESCIA: Diana Plescia of the law
2	firm of Curtis, Heinz, Garrett and O'Keefe, 130 South
3	Bemiston in Clayton, Missouri 63105.
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Plescia, thank you.
5	On behalf of the Public Water Supply
6	District Number 2 of Andrew County.
7	MR. FISCHER: James F. Fischer, Fischer
8	and Dority, PC. Our address is 2081 Honeysuckle Lane,
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65109.
10	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank you.
11	On behalf of the City of Riverside,
12	Missouri, please.
13	MR. BEDNAR: Joe Bednar of the law firm
14	Spencer Fane, 304 East High Street, Jefferson City
15	Missouri 65101.
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Bednar, thank you.
17	On behalf of Sunnydale Properties,
18	please.
19	MS. BELL: This is Stephanie Bell with
20	Ellinger and Bell. The address is 308 East High,
21	Suite 300, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Bell, thank you.
23	And I believe Triumph Foods has asked to
24	be excused or just simply e-mailed last night and
25	asked to be excused, but just in case, Triumph Foods,



1	Page 48 LLC? Have I overlooked anyone?
2	All right. Hearing nothing, I did
3	receive an amended list of issues, I believe that was
4	Monday. And then I talked with some counsel from
5	Missouri-American and Public Counsel and I understand
6	you may want to stray from that list of issues.
7	Does does counsel have an announcement
8	for me before we go to opening statements?
9	MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. Consistent
10	with with what we'd mentioned previously, I think
11	we'd like to go ahead and proceed with the Affiliate
12	Transactions Rules that's called for today as the
13	first issue.
14	And then we'll ask for a relatively short
15	recess, probably an hour or 90 minutes, to have some
16	discussions before we move on to rate design and class
17	cost of service, if the Commission would be willing to
18	grant us that.
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Mr. Cooper,
20	thank you. Does any counsel have either any objection
21	or any comment to Mr. Cooper's proposal?
22	I'm hearing nothing from counsel. I
23	understand then you would the parties would only
24	give miniature opening statements on each issue and we
25	would begin with opening statements on affiliate

Pag	je 49

	Page 49
1	transactions; is that correct?
2	MR. COOPER: Correct, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I would hear from, I
4	assume, Missouri-American, Staff and Public Counsel.
5	And I don't mind to hear openings from anybody else,
6	but just to save time, does anyone else wish to make
7	an opening statement on those issues?
8	MR. BEDNAR: No, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing nothing, okay.
10	I believe then, Mr. Cooper, or whoever is
11	going to give the opening, you may proceed when you're
12	ready, sir.
13	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	As as you're well aware, the issue 3A is the issue
15	that we're talking about here in regard to affiliate
16	transactions. And that identifies two questions for
17	the Commission decision.
18	The first, should the should
19	Missouri-American Water Company be required to file a
20	Cost Allocation Manual with the Commission? And two,
21	should the Commission open a new rulemaking docket in
22	order to draft Affiliate Transaction Rules for water
23	and sewer companies?
24	As to the Cost Allocation Manual, we do
25	not believe there's a need for additional requirements



	Transcript of Proceedings
1	Page 50 associated with the CAM for the company. As part of
2	the Stipulation and Agreement in Case Number
3	WR-2003-0500, the Company agreed to provide and has
4	provided a CAM to Staff and OPC by March 16th of each
5	year.
6	That CAM contains a set of criteria,
7	guidelines and procedures for the service company,
8	cost allocations to Missouri-American Water Company
9	and its affiliates. The cost of support services,
10	including wages, employee benefits, professional
11	services and other expenses, are based on or are an
12	allocation of actual costs incurred.
13	If there is some significance to the
14	filing of the CAM as opposed to just merely providing
15	it to OPC and and Staff, Missouri-American can
16	certainly file its CAM each year in EFIS in this rate
17	case docket until such time as Missouri-American's
18	next general rate case is completed.
19	However, I think that that any idea
20	that such CAM should be approved by the Commission
21	by by comparison to rules that have been
22	promulgated for other industries is not likely to lead
23	to any beneficial outcome.
24	As to the request for a new rulemaking
25	docket, Missouri-American affiliate transactions to

1	Page 51 the to include those with American Water Service	
2	Company and American Water Capital Corp. are	
3	scrutinized in all of Missouri-American's rate cases,	
4	to include this one.	
5	In fact, you may remember that as part of	
6	its direct, and its rebuttal testimony for that	
7	matter, Missouri-American provided testimony related	
8	to the necessity and reasonableness of the cost of	
9	services provided by the service company and whether	
10	Missouri-American was charged the lower of cost or	
11	or market value. Other parties were able to challenge	
12	this testimony during the course of this hearing.	
13	Again, Missouri-American does not believe that there's	
14	a reason for an Affiliate Transaction Rule as to water	
15	and sewer corporations at this time.	
16	Now, having said this, should the	
17	Commission decide to further consider an Affiliate	
18	Transactions Rule for water and sewer, there is a	
19	pending case related to Affiliate Transaction Rules,	
20	File Number AW-2018-0394, which we believe is the most	
21	appropriate venue to resolve any issue of	
22	applicability of the rules to water and sewer	
23	utilities. Missouri-American will will plan to	
24	address these matters further in its briefs on the	
25	issue.	

	Transcript of Proceedings	
1	Page 52 That's all I have at this time, Your	
2	Honor.	
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Mr. Cooper, thank	
4	you.	
5	Do we have any Bench questions for	
6	Missouri-American?	
7	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: No questions,	
8	Judge.	
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, Commissioner.	
10	Any	
11	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Hey, Judge, this is	
12	Commissioner Rupp.	
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman, when you're	
14	ready, sir.	
15	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Yeah. Thank you. So can	
16	you just briefly explain why Staff you know,	
17	Staff's proposal if we did an Affiliate Transaction	
18	Rule of of opening, you know, the docket, versus	
19	OPC's what they have put forth, why you believe that	
20	one is better than the other?	
21	MR. COOPER: Better Chairman, to	
22	better to continue the existing case as opposed to	
23	opening a new case? I do think that	
24	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Yes.	
25	MR. COOPER: I do think that the existing	



,	I ranscript of Proceedings	
1	Page 53 case, there have been several documents filed in that	
2	case. Missouri-American had filed a document in that	
3	case outlining some of its positions on the issues.	
4	And so I think there is some some work that's been	
5	done there that would not have to be repeated if if	
6	we continued in that docket.	
7	CHAIRMAN RUPP: So it's more of a	
8	duplicative process than it is a policy decision?	
9	MR. COOPER: I I would say that's	
10	right, yes, Chairman.	
11	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Great. Thank you.	
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any other Commissioner	
13	questions?	
14	Mr. Cooper, I think I have a quick	
15	question. Is there anything unique about	
16	Missouri-American compared to other large gas or	
17	electric utilities that would make it unnecessary for	
18	it not to be subject to affiliate transaction cases?	
19	MR. FISCHER: Well, and and we can go	
20	into some more detail when when we brief this, but	
21	I think the history of the you know, the Natural	
22	Gas and the Electric Affiliate Transactions Rules had	
23	a lot to do with at least at that time, sort of a	
24	breakdown of what had previously been vertically	
25	integrated industries.	



1	Page 54 You know, on the electric side you were	
2	starting to have some some different generation	
3	options, transmission options, which of course, has	
4	continued in both instances in the electric industry.	
5	Natural gas, the way that gas is traded and and	
6	some of the other aspects of that industry had turned	
7	in a way that there were more business affiliations	
8	that were were developing and and more more	
9	competition.	
10	I don't think you have that in the water	
11	and the sewer and the sewer industry. It continues	
12	to be, such as it is, vertically integrated in terms	
13	of where the water supply comes from, how it gets to	
14	the customers. It's generally the same company	
15	that's that's doing that and there's not a lot of	
16	third-party competition for those functions.	
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right, Mr. Cooper.	
18	Thank you.	
19	Any further Bench questions for	
20	Missouri-American?	
21	All right. Mr. Cooper, thank you.	
22	MR. COOPER: One more thing before I step	
23	down	
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Yes.	
25	MR. COOPER: Your Honor. We had	



	I ranscript of Proceedings	
1	Page 55 talked about at least in the issues list, the	
2	parties, amongst themselves, had had waived cross	
3	on the witnesses. And and given that and not	
4	knowing how this is going to play out from here, I	
5	would like to at least go ahead and offer	
6	Mr. LaGrand's direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal	
7	testimony, which has been marked as Exhibits 13, 14	
8	and 15 for identification.	
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Mr. Cooper,	
10	thank you. Exhibits 13, 14 and 15 have been offered.	
11	Any objections?	
12	Hearing no objections, Exhibits 13, 14	
13	and 15 are admitted into evidence.	
14	(Company Exhibits 13, 14 and 15 were	
15	received into evidence.)	
16	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Cooper, thank you.	
18	Opening statement on behalf of Staff.	
19	Ms. Bretz, when you're ready.	
20	MS. BRETZ: Good morning. May it please	
21	the Commission. My name is Karen Bretz and I'm here	
22	for Commission Staff. Missouri-American filed a	
23	general rate case on July 1st, 2022 requesting	
24	additional water and sewer revenues.	
25	The parties filed a Non-Unanimous	



	Transcript of Proceedings	
1	Page 56 Stipulation last Friday, March 3rd, which should	
2	resolve all issues except affiliate transactions,	
3	class cost of service and rate design. I'll deal with	
4	affiliate transactions now and I will provide brief	
5	opening statements for the class cost of service and	
6	rate design portions of the hearing.	
7	The parties listed in their list of	
8	issues two subparts to the affiliate transactions	
9	issue. The first is whether Missouri-American should	
10	be required to file a Cost Allocation Manual, or CAM,	
11	with the Commission; and second, should the Commission	
12	open a new rulemaking docket in order to draft	
13	Affiliate Transactions Rules for water and sewer.	
14	For background, there are Commission	
15	rules that apply to electric and gas utilities. The	
16	electric utility rules are located at 20 CSR	
17	4240-20.015 and the gas rules are located at 20 CSR	
18	4240-40.015. There are none for water and sewer.	
19	Dr. Marke, OPC's economist, explains in his direct	
20	testimony historically why this is the case.	
21	I will briefly touch on what an affiliate	
22	transaction is. It's defined in the rules, but in a	
23	nutshell, it's a transaction for the purchase of goods	
24	or services between a regulated utility and another	
25	entity; in other words, an affiliate, both of which	

1	Page 57 are under common control.	
2	Our rules for electricity and gas do not	
3	say that affiliate transactions are, per se, bad, but	
4	in order to protect utility ratepayers, the	
5	unregulated utility must not receive preferential	
б	treatment at the regulated utility's expense.	
7	The concern is that the unregulated	
8	affiliate may try to pass costs onto the regulated	
9	utility, which are ultimately borne by the borne by	
10	the ratepayers.	
11	Our rules require electric and gas	
12	utilities to annually file a CAM with the Commission	
13	which describes how costs are allocated between the	
14	regulated utility and the unregulated affiliate.	
15	The Affiliate Transactions Rules require	
16	electric and gas utilities to file an an Affiliate	
17	Transactions Report this is separate from the	
18	CAM by every March 15th. This report must list	
19	affiliate affiliated entity's goods and services	
20	the utility provided and received from the affiliated	
21	entity, amounts of the transactions, et cetera.	
22	These are two different documents. Most	
23	utilities file both documents in EFIS as a	
24	Noncase-Related Query under the Resources tab.	
25	Although Commission rules do not require	

1	Page 58 Missouri-American to file a CAM annually, as as		
2	Missouri-American counsel just stated,		
3	Missouri-American is required to file one by every		
4	March 16th pursuant to a Commission-approved		
5	Stipulation and Agreement in Missouri-American's 2003		
6	rate case.		
7	These CAMs contain an Affiliate		
8	Transactions Report. Missouri-American files these		
9	cases or files these documents in EFIS.		
10	The Missouri-American CAM contains		
11	guidelines and procedures for how the American Water		
12	Works Service Company allocates costs to		
13	Missouri-American and its other affiliates. It		
14	describes how the costs and support services, such as		
15	wages, employee benefits, professional services and		
16	other expenses are allocated to other American Water		
17	subsidiaries.		
18	Therefore, in response to the first		
19	question, whether American Missouri-American should		
20	be required to file a CAM with the Commission, Staff		
21	says no. Missouri-American is already required to		
22	annually file a claim file a CAM according to the		
23	2003 stipulation, and it's doing that. No party has		
24	indicated that what Missouri-American is filing is		
25	insufficient. There is no reason to impose this		

1	Page 59 duplicative requirement.
2	The second question is should the
3	Commission open a new rulemaking docket in order to
4	draft Water and Sewer Affiliate Transactions Rules.
5	Staff's position is that the Commission should
6	continue to work from the existing file, AW-2018-0394,
7	to draft new Affiliate Transactions Rules.
8	For background, Staff moved to open
9	AW-2018-0394 in June of 2018 as a working case to
10	review and consider re-writing Affiliate Transactions
11	Rules. Also in June 2018, Staff moved to open a
12	related working case, AW-2018-0393, to draft new rules
13	regarding the treatment of customer information.
14	There are currently rules regarding the
15	treatment of electric and gas utilities' customer
16	information, but there are none for water and sewer.
17	Staff agrees that new Affiliate
18	Transactions Rules are needed and that they must
19	include water and sewer utilities. It is likely that
20	not only will Missouri-American be required to follow
21	these rules, but also Liberty and Confluence Rivers.
22	The status of these dockets is that Staff
23	has an internal draft of new Affiliate Transactions
24	Rules. Staff needs to finish the forms required by
25	the Secretary of State, finalize the draft rules in

1	Page 60 the docket regarding the use of customer information,	
2	and then move forward with a formal rulemaking.	
3	Starting this process all over again, as	
4	OPC requests, will put the brakes on the current work	
5	and delay new rules even more. In its Position	
6	Statement, OPC states that Water and Sewer Affiliate	
7	Transactions Rules can be created by switching out the	
8	word "water" for "electricity and gas."	
9	Staff's position is that a more holistic	
10	approach is necessary. The current electric and gas	
11	rules are confusing in some places. So while Staff is	
12	working to add Water and Sewer Affiliate Transactions	
13	Rules, it is also a clarifying and streamlining	
14	existing Affiliate Transactions Rules.	
15	For example, there is confusion regarding	
16	the CAM versus the Affiliate Transactions Report,	
17	which I mentioned earlier. The draft affiliate rules	
18	attempt to clarify the difference. Further, the	
19	current rules do not specify when the Commission must	
20	approve the CAM; they simply refer to a	
21	Commission-approved CAM. The draft rules describe how	
22	the Commission approves CAM when a utility must come	
23	back for approval.	
24	There's also been confusion regarding how	
25	utilities document cost for affiliate transactions.	

1	Page 61 And Staff is working to address that too in the draft	
2	rules.	
3	All in all, simply substituting the words	
4	"water and sewer" into existing rules will perpetuate,	
5	if not amplify, current confusion. It will also not	
б	accomplish the additional clarifications and	
7	corrections proposed in the drafts in AW-2018-0394.	
8	The parties have agreed to waive	
9	cross-examination, if this is satisfactory to the	
10	Commission. Kim Bolin, who is director of the Staff's	
11	Financial and Business Analysis Section, submitted	
12	testimony on this issue and is available to answer her	
13	questions.	
14	At this time I would ask for her test	
15	her rebuttal testimony to be entered, which is marked	
16	as Staff's Exhibit 115.	
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Exhibit 115 has been	
18	offered. Any objections?	
19	Hearing none, Exhibit 115 is admitted	
20	into evidence.	
21	(Staff Exhibit 115 was received into	
22	evidence.)	
23	MS. BRETZ: Thank you.	
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Bretz, thank you.	
25	Does the Bench have any questions for	



Page	62
------	----

1 Ms. Bretz? 2 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: I just have one 3 quick question. 4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner, when you're 5 ready, sir. 6 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Just curious, how 7 granular does the affiliate transactions get? Are we 8 talking about, you know, this -- this list is going to 9 have office supplies that you bought from, you know, 10 Office Max? Or are we talking about just services 11 that affect the service -- water service? 12 I'm not sure about that MS. BRETZ: 13 exactly entirely how -- how it's working in -- in this 14 case. But that is -- that is an issue, of course. Kim Bolin is available to answer questions on that 15 16 if --17 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: I'm just -- just 18 curious. 19 MS. BRETZ: I mean, I can't imagine, as a 20 practical matter, that we're going to get down to if 21 you go to Office Max to buy an ink cartridge, that 22 you're going to have to get different -- you know, different bids and --23 24 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Are these -- are 25 these affiliate transactions companies that have had



	Transcript of Proceedings
1	Page 63 to register or become you know, through a process
2	that, you know, they can be included in that?
3	MS. BRETZ: I am not sure if that's
4	included in the the current rule.
5	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay.
6	MS. BRETZ: If there's
7	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Just curious.
8	MS. BRETZ: Yeah, I'm not sure. I can
9	address that on briefing.
10	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you so much.
11	MS. BRETZ: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you, Judge.
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner, thank you.
14	Any further Bench questions for
15	Ms. Bretz?
16	Hearing none, Ms. Bretz, thank you.
17	MS. BRETZ: Thank you.
18	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Opening statement from
19	Public Counsel. Ms. VanGerpen, when you're ready.
20	MS. VANGERPEN: Your Honor, I'm just
21	waiting on my PowerPoint. Okay. I think it's ready.
22	Good morning and may it please the
23	Commission. My name is Lindsay VanGerpen and I
24	represent the Office of the Public Counsel.
25	I'd like to start this morning by looking



	Transcript of Proceedings	
1	Page 64 at how long it took to create some of the most iconic	
2	works of art in the world. So first, I'd like to	
3	start with Mount Rushmore. Built into the Black Hills	
4	of South Dakota, involving the efforts of over	
5	400 people who removed over 800 million pounds of rock	
6	using dynamite, jack hammers, and later chisels and	
7	nails or hammers and chisels, took about 14 years.	
8	Next, the Colosseum. Believed to have	
9	been built as a gift to the Roman people, it once	
10	stood about four stories high and could hold more than	
11	50,000 people for its gladiator games. Experts think	
12	it took about ten years.	
13	Next, the ceiling of the Sistine Chapel.	
14	A breathtaking collection of more than 30 thirty	
15	frescoes, painstakingly planned and painted by	
16	Michelangelo. Took about four years.	
17	But Affiliate Transaction Rules	
18	applicable to large water utilities in Missouri, at	
19	least 19 years and counting.	
20	And all the Commission has to do is use	
21	its existing Affiliate Transaction Rules and change	
22	the words "electric, gas or steam heating" to "water."	
23	Now, that's a bit of a mouthful for what is a	
24	relatively simple process so I'll call that Just Add	
25	Water.	

	Transcript of Proceedings
1	Page 65 This is not new territory for the
2	Commission. This is the same process that the
3	Commission used in drafting the Gas Affiliate
4	Transaction Rules. Those rules became effective in
5	the year 2000, 23 years ago.
6	So now I'd like to briefly walk through
7	the timeline that led us to where we are today. This
8	story begins in at least October 2003. Then,
9	Ms. Kimberly Bolin, an employee of the OPC at the
10	time, submitted testimony that raised concerns with
11	Missouri-American's affiliate transactions in its 2003
12	general rate case.
13	In the Stipulation and Agreement that
14	ended that case, Staff, OPC and Missouri-American
15	agreed to use their best efforts to see that a rule
16	regarding affiliate transactions is promulgated by the
17	Commission no later than April 16th, 2005. Ultimately
18	that effort ended when the parties could not reach an
19	agreement.
20	In its filed memorandum, Staff described
21	the parties' disagreement and recommended that the
22	Commission proceed with promulgation of an Affiliate
23	Transaction Rule for water utilities.
24	Now, let's flash forward. The case that
25	brings us here today is now the third



Page 66 1 Missouri-American case in which Dr. Geoff Marke has 2 filed testimony requesting that the Commission 3 promulgate Affiliate Transaction Rules applicable to 4 certain water utilities.

5 Between the first time that Dr. Marke 6 submitted testimony on this issue and the second, the 7 OPC has twice initiated rulemaking dockets asking the 8 Commission to promulgate Affiliate Transaction Rules 9 applicable to water.

In both cases, the OPC proposed rules that simply changed "electric, gas or steam heating" to "water." One of those petitions was withdrawn out of respect for Staff's working group docket, and the other was denied after Staff suggested that it could guickly file draft rules in that working group docket.

16 Since that -- since the time that Staff, 17 Missouri-American and OPC agreed to promulgate Affiliate Transaction Rules, the Commission has also 18 19 opened two -- which should really be three -- working 20 group cases related to affiliate transactions. One of 21 those cases, the case related to Affiliate Transaction 2.2 Rules applicable to smaller water utilities, is now 23 closed.

24The other, which both counsel for25Missouri-American and counsel for Staff have



1	Page 67 referenced, considers important and, in some cases,
2	controversial changes to the existing Affiliate
3	Transaction Rules. That case has been sitting idle,
4	without any substantive action for nearly three years.
5	The third, which is not pictured on this
6	slide, but was mentioned by counsel for Staff, is the
7	working group docket considering a role related to
8	customer information, the privacy of customer
9	information.
10	Now, here we are nearly 18 years past the
11	deadline agreed to by Staff, Missouri-American and OPC
12	for promulgation of Affiliate Transaction Rules
13	applicable to water. And the Commission still has not
14	promulgated Affiliate Transaction Rules for Missouri's
15	large water utilities.
16	Even if Staff were to file revised draft
17	rules in the working docket, which it sounds like they
18	may do soon, based on prior action in that case, it is
19	likely that it would be at least several months before
20	the Commission would consider the draft rule in a
21	rulemaking docket.
22	This is longer than it took to build
23	Mount Rushmore or the Colosseum or for Michelangelo to
24	paint the ceiling of the Sistine Chapel. And all the
25	Commission has to do is just add water. The draft
	888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.

1	Page 68 rules accomplishing this task have twice been filed
2	before the Commission in rulemaking dockets.
3	Now, you might be wondering why is this
4	important? Why is the OPC bringing this up again in
5	this general rate case? The answer: Unregulated
6	affiliate transactions can be detrimental for
7	ratepayers.
8	The Missouri Supreme Court recognized
9	this in upholding the Commission's enactment of the
10	Electric, Gas and Steam Heating Affiliate Transaction
11	Rules in the Atmos case that sat in on this slide.
12	Those rules are the same ones that the OPC asked the
13	Commission to consider applying to water.
14	Further, dating back at least 19 years to
15	Ms. Bolin's testimony in 2003, there have been
16	concerns with Missouri-American's affiliate
17	transactions. As shown in Ms. Schaben and Dr. Marke's
18	testimony in this case over 19 years later, those
19	concerns still exist.
20	Finally, even in this case, Staff has
21	said that it agrees that water or sewer utilities with
22	over 8,000 customers should have Affiliate Transaction
23	Rules.
24	I would like to conclude this morning by
25	looking at exactly what the OPC is asking for here.



1	Page 69 The OPC is ask simply asking the Commission to open
2	a rulemaking docket to consider doing what it has done
3	before. The OPC is asking the Commission in that
4	docket to just add water to the Commission's current
5	Affiliate Transaction Rules. The OPC has already
6	prepared those draft rules and twice filed them before
7	the Commission in rulemaking dockets.

8 Now, it is equally important to consider 9 what the OPC is not asking the Commission to do. We are not asking the Commission to make an either/or 10 The -- this is not a question of 11 decision here. 12 whether the Commission should either open a rulemaking 13 docket to just add water or continue the working group 14 dockets.

No, we are asking the Commission to do 15 both. The Commission can consider -- continue the 16 17 working group dockets and consider the important and substantive changes to the Affiliate Transaction Rules 18 19 that -- that counsel for Staff mentioned. And if 20 Staff files those revised rules, the OPC will review 21 them and file its comments accordingly. We remain 2.2 committed to working in those working group dockets. 23 However, at the same time, the Commission 24 can open a new -- new rulemaking docket to consider 25 Affiliate Transaction Rules that simply replace



1	Page 70 "electric, gas or steam heating" with "water."
2	Because that docket should proceed expeditiously
3	again, it's the same thing the Commission did 23 years
4	ago for gas utilities this would ensure that large
5	water companies, including Missouri-American, are
6	subject to Affiliate Transaction Rules while the
7	Commission considers broader changes to those rules
8	overall.
9	What the OPC's asking for is simple;
10	unlike sculpting Mount Rushmore or building the
11	Colosseum or painting the ceiling of the Sistine
12	Chapel. Twenty-three years ago the Commission did the
13	same thing that the OPC is asking it to do here when
14	it enacted the Gas Affiliate Transaction Rules.
15	The Commission should open a rulemaking
16	docket and expeditiously consider draft rules that
17	just add water. And I would be happy to answer any
18	questions.
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. VanGerpen, thank you.
20	Do we have any Bench questions for Public
21	Counsel?
22	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Hey, Judge, this is
23	Commissioner Rupp.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: When you're ready,
25	Mr. Chairman.



1	Page 71 CHAIRMAN RUPP: So very good		
2	presentation. Would you say this is like the epitome		
3	of the Commission kicking the can down the road?		
4	MS. VANGERPEN: Good morning, Chairman.		
5	To be blunt, yes, it is.		
6	CHAIRMAN RUPP: I would tend to agree		
7	with that. Thank you.		
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman, thank you.		
9	Any further Bench questions for		
10	Ms. VanGerpen?		
11	MR. HOLSMAN: Good job.		
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.		
13	Ms. VanGerpen, thank you.		
14	Does any other counsel wish to make an		
15	opening statement on this issue?		
16	MS. VANGERPEN: And Your Honor		
17	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. VanGerpen?		
18	MS. VANGERPEN: I I apologize to		
19	interrupt.		
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's all right.		
21	MS. VANGERPEN: I I would like as		
22	it's been mentioned, the parties have agreed to waive		
23	cross on this issue. And so I would like to enter		
24	into evidence Dr. Geoff Marke's direct and surrebuttal		
25	testimonies, which have been marked as OPC Exhibits		



1	Page 72 200 and 201.
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Exhibits 200 and 201 have
3	been offered. Any objections?
4	Hearing none, Exhibits 200 and 201 are
5	admitted into evidence.
6	(OPC Exhibits 200 and 201 were received
7	into evidence.)
8	MS. VANGERPEN: Thank you.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you.
10	And I don't believe any other parties
11	have an opening statement on this issue; is that
12	correct? All right. And I understand parties have
13	waived cross-examination of these witnesses. I will
14	have a few questions for Ms. Bolin, but let me give
15	the Bench an opportunity if they have any other
16	questions for any other witnesses on this issue.
17	All right. Hearing nothing, Ms. Bolin,
18	if I could trouble you to take the stand. And then
19	after I'm done with questioning, I will give the
20	opportunity to other counsel to ask questions.
21	If you could raise your right hand to be
22	sworn, please.
23	(Witness sworn.)
24	KIMBERLY BOLIN, being first duly sworn, testified as
25	follows:

1	Page 73 QUESTIONS BY JUDGE PRIDGIN:
2	Q. Thank you. You may have a seat. Could I
3	get you to I'm sorry. Do you have your pre-filed
4	testimony with you?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. Could you turn to page 24 of your
7	rebuttal, please? And let me know when you're there.
8	A. I am there.
9	Q. Could you confirm on that page that you
10	indicate that Staff is committed to proposing new
11	rules for review in AW-2018-0394 in the near future?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Can you tell me how long Staff needs to
14	finish its workshop process and file Revised Draft
15	Affiliate Transaction Rules, including a rule for
16	water and sewer utilities with over 8,000 customers?
17	A. I can't give you an exact date, but we do
18	have a draft ready of our changes to the rules. We're
19	in the process of filling out the forms required by
20	the Secretary of State and then we will submit it to
21	the Governor.
22	Q. So and it's okay if you don't know the
23	answer. So are you thinking this is going to take
24	longer than six months?
25	A. No.



Transcri	ot of	Proceedings
rianoon		riccoccanigo

	I ranscript of Proceedings		
1	Page 74 Q. Okay. What objection, if any, does Staff		
2	have with the most recent versions of the draft rules		
3	filed in AW-2018-0394?		
4	A. Could you repeat the question?		
5	Q. Sure. What objection or what problems,		
6	if any, does Staff have with the the current draft		
7	rules filed in AW-2018-0394?		
8	A. Oh, we have taken the other parties'		
9	comments and we are trying to incorporate what		
10	their comments into the rules. We we are looking		
11	at different we did take their comments into		
12	consideration and that's what we're cleaning up.		
13	Q. All right. Ms. Bolin, thank you.		
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: First, let me see if we		
15	have any further Bench questions for Ms. Bolin?		
16	Hearing none, does any counsel wish to cross Ms. Bolin		
17	on on the questions I asked?		
18	MS. VANGERPEN: Yes, Your Honor. But		
19	could we have just a moment, please?		
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly.		
21	MS. VANGERPEN: Thank you.		
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. VANGERPEN:		
23	Q. Good morning, Ms. Bolin.		
24	A. Good morning.		
25	Q. You would agree with me that the Draft		



1	Page 75 Affiliate Transaction Rules that are currently pending
2	in the workshop docket do not include a Customer
3	Privacy Protection section; is that correct?
4	A. I believe that's in the other docket.
5	Q. So that is a separate docket?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And you would agree with to clarify,
8	is Staff's upcoming proposal, will that include the
9	Customer Privacy Protection?
10	A. I do not believe it does.
11	Q. Does Staff believe having Affiliate
12	Transaction Rules without a customer privacy rule is
13	problematic?
14	A. I don't know that we do at this point.
15	Q. So customers would have no privacy rule
16	in place at all?
17	A. We would like to have a privacy rule also
18	worked on, but right now our main concern is the
19	Affiliate Transaction Rules.
20	Q. But wouldn't replacing the currently
21	applica let me rephrase that.
22	You would agree with me that the current
23	Affiliate Transaction Rules include some protections
24	for customers privacy?
25	A. It may. I I'll have to go back and



	I ranscript of Proceedings
1	Page 76 review those in detail.
2	Q. And in if if the Commission were to
3	promulgate the the Affiliate Transaction Rule
4	currently pending, it would eliminate even the
5	Customer Privacy Protection that's currently included?
6	A. Could you quote me to the spot in the
7	current Affiliate Transaction Rules that has the
8	customer privacy data?
9	Q. If you give me just one moment, I can get
10	that for you. I apologize for the delay there,
11	Ms. Bolin.
12	Using the Electric Affiliate Transaction
13	Rule as an example, which is located at 20 CSR
14	4240-20.015, it is under subsection two, which is
15	entitled Standards, which and then subsection C it
16	begins specific customer information.
17	A. I've seen it.
18	Q. And so you would agree with me that if
19	the Draft Affiliate Transaction Rule that is currently
20	pending in the working group docket that does not
21	include a Customer Privacy Protection standard is
22	enacted in place of this rule, customers would have no
23	protection for their for their customer
24	information?
25	A. I believe we have a section that

1	Page 77 addresses customer information.
2	Q. And to clarify, you mean in the draft
3	A. Yes.
4	Q rules?
5	A. In the draft rule.
6	Q. And then could you explain what then the
7	role of the other working group docket is which
8	considers the Customer Information Privacy Rule?
9	A. I would have to get into more details in
10	that docket and I've not reviewed it recently.
11	Q. So Ms. Bolin, I just I want to be
12	clear. Is is there a customer privacy section
13	included in the draft rule?
14	A. There is a rule about customer
15	information in the draft rule. There is a section on
16	that.
17	Q. In the new draft rule?
18	A. Yes, there is. Under
19	Q. And has has that been filed with the
20	Commission?
21	A. The version that was filed on
22	September 16th, 2019 has a section about if a customer
23	requests information from the covered utility about
24	goods and services, the covered utility may provide
25	information about the affiliate, but must inform the

	Transcript of Proceedings
1	Page 78 customer that regulated services are not tied to the
2	use of an affiliate provider, and the other service
3	providers may be available. The covered utility may
4	provide reference to service providers or commercial
5	listings, but not required to do so.
6	That is the section we have in there.
7	Q. Thank you, Ms. Bolin. And I believe that
8	com or that mirrors what is currently sub E of 2
9	in the
10	A. Yes.
11	Q electric
12	A. Yes, it does.
13	Q rule?
14	So you would agree with me that there is
15	nothing that mirrors sub C?
16	A. There is not right now in the one that
17	was filed on September 16th.
18	Q. And is that what is currently being
19	considered in the other working group docket?
20	A. I'm assuming it is. I have not examined
21	that working docket in a while.
22	Q. And to clarify, Staff is Staff
23	planning to file draft rules in that working group
24	docket simultaneously with the draft rule?
25	A. Not simultaneously with this draft rule,



1	Page 79 but we will be filing them soon.
2	Q. And do you have a timeline on that?
3	A. No, I do not.
4	Q. And Ms. Bolin, you would agree with me
5	that there is a difference between a working group
6	docket and a rulemaking docket, correct?
7	A. I'm not quite sure on the difference
8	between the two.
9	Q. Are you aware that rulemaking dockets are
10	subject to statutory timelines?
11	A. I am not aware of that.
12	MS. VANGERPEN: No further questions,
13	Your Honor.
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. VanGerpen, thank you.
15	Any further cross for Ms. Bolin? Any
16	redirect?
17	MS. BRETZ: Just briefly.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BRETZ:
19	Q. Is Staff just to clarify, you're aware
20	that Staff is working on draft rules in for
21	consumer protection
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Use your microphone.
23	MS. BRETZ: I'll start over. Thank you.
24	BY MS. BRETZ:
25	Q. You're aware that Staff is working on



Page 80 draft -- on promulgating draft rules in a working 1 2 docket having to do with consumer protections and 3 that's Docket AW-2018-0393? 4 Α. I'm aware that we have been working on 5 it. If you had some time to other staff -- to 6 Ο. 7 talk with other Staff members, would that help you 8 remember that we should move forward with both dockets 9 at the same time? I'm not sure on that. I'm not as 10 Α. familiar with that docket as this docket. 11 12 So you don't have an opinion whether both Q. 13 dockets should move forward --14 Α. No. 15 Q. -- at the same time? 16 MS. BRETZ: Okay. Nothing else. JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Bretz, thank you. 17 18 If there are no further questions? All 19 right. Ms. Bolin, thank you very much. You may be 20 excused. 21 What I understand the parties would like 22 to do is -- is they would like to break and discuss rate design and class cost of service. And I believe 23 24 Mr. Cooper mentioned like roughly an hour, hour and a 25 half. Would a recess until roughly 11:15 this morning



1	Page 81 suffice for the parties?
2	MR. COOPER: I believe so, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Is there
4	anything further from counsel or from the Bench before
5	we stand in recess until 11:15?
6	All right. Hearing nothing, we will
7	stand in recess until 11:15 this morning. Thank you
8	very much. We are off the record.
9	(A recess was taken.)
10	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Good morning.
11	We are back on the record. Counsel requested a recess
12	until 11:15 to discuss some matters and I'm showing
13	the time is now 11:16.
14	Does counsel have an announcement for me?
15	MR. COOPER: We do, Judge. We believe
16	that we have reached an agreement in principle as to
17	the class cost of service and rate design issues that
18	would have been otherwise tried today, and would ask
19	that you further suspend the hearing to allow us to
20	pull that Stipulation Agreement together to be filed.
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
22	Does does anyone either have any
23	objection or further comment on Mr. Cooper's
24	announcement?
25	All right. Hearing none, before I



	I ranscript of Proceedings		
1	Page 82 suspend the schedule, let me see if there are any		
2	Commissioners listening in, if they have any any		
3	questions or comments before we adjourn today's		
4	hearing?		
5	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Hey, Judge, this is		
6	Commissioner Rupp.		
7	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman, when you're		
8	ready, sir.		
9	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Thank you. Thank you.		
10	So the potential stipulation would resolve all of the		
11	rest of the issues for the case?		
12	MR. COOPER: It it would not resolve		
13	that affiliate transaction issue that we had opening		
14	statements on this morning.		
15	CHAIRMAN RUPP: So but it would it		
16	would take everything besides what we discussed this		
17	morning?		
18	MR. COOPER: Correct. Yes, Your Honor.		
19	CHAIRMAN RUPP: The generalized agreement		
20	that you guys have tentatively put together, where		
21	does where does that agreement come down to on		
22	statewide rate?		
23	MR. COOPER: Well, I'm hesitating because		
24	it's not in writing yet. There I it will		
25	well, let me stop just for a second. Does any party		

LEXITAS

1	Page 83 object to me saying where it's going to land? I don't
2	think they will.
3	It it will not result in a full single
4	tariff pricing rate across the state, Chairman. It
5	will it will maintain, to some extent, the
6	St. Louis County district and the other-than-St. Louis
7	County district. Although I will say there will be
8	some elements within there where rates will be the
9	same between those two districts.
10	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Judge, can you just give
11	me a moment just to think for a second?
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Absolutely.
13	MR. FISCHER: Mr. Chairman, this is Jim
14	Fischer. I represent the sales for resale and I would
15	say their rate B is a single tariff.
16	CHAIRMAN RUPP: All right, Judge. Yeah.
17	I don't have any objections obviously to mucking up
18	the the progress that all the parties had made. I
19	just had I just had a lot of questions on certain
20	things that I would have enjoyed having a chance to
21	talk to the various different witnesses that were
22	here.
23	But I have a feeling that my line of
24	questioning would would possibly throw wrenches
25	into a lot of hard work that's that's been done.

LEXITAS

1	Page 84 So out of an abundance of caution, I will just thank
2	everybody for their hard work working together.
3	And and if they're able to come to a
4	stipulation that the parties can agree to, they
5	typically are usually in the best interest of
6	ratepayers so I'm not going to ask any further
7	questions at this time, Judge.
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Chairman, thank you.
9	Are there any further questions or remarks from the
10	Bench?
11	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: No, thank you,
12	Judge. Just wanted to say thank you for all the hard
13	work.
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Holsman,
15	thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: This is
17	Commissioner Kolkmeyer. I have no questions. Thank
18	you, Judge.
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Commissioner Kolkmeyer,
20	thank you.
21	I I will certainly suspend the
22	remainder of the hearing schedule and look forward to
23	a stipulation on class cost of service and rate
24	design.
25	I would alert the parties and I'm sure



1	Page 85 this is no surprise to you the Commission may want
2	to schedule an On-the-Record Presentation to question
3	the parties on the revenue requirement stipulation and
4	on the rate design, class cost of service stipulation.
5	So keep an eye out for that in the future.
6	So that would appear to conclude today's
7	business unless there's something further from counsel
8	or from the Bench?
9	All right. Hearing nothing, that
10	concludes today's hearing. Thank you very much. We
11	are off the record.
12	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were
13	concluded at 11:23 a.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.



1	INDEX	Page 86
2		
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Cooper48 Opening Statement by Ms. Bretz	55
4	Opening Statement by Ms. VanGerpen	63
5	KIMBERLY K. BOLIN	
6	Questions by Judge Pridgin73 Cross-Examination by Ms. VanGerpen 74	
7	Redirect Examination by Ms. Bretz	79
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



```
Page 87
 1
                         EXHIBIT INDEX
                                                    REC'D
 2
    COMPANY:
 3
    Company Exhibit 13
    Direct Testimony of Brian LaGrand
                                                         55
 4
    Company Exhibit 14
 5
    Rebuttal Testimony of Brian LaGrand 55
 6
    Company Exhibit 15
    Surrebuttal Testimony of Brian LaGrand
                                                         55
 7
    STAFF:
 8
    Staff Exhibit 115
 9
    Rebuttal Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin
                                                         61
10
    OPC:
11
    OPC Exhibit 200
    Direct Testimony of Dr. Geoff Marke 72
12
    OPC Exhibit 201
    Surrebuttal Testimony of Dr. Geoff Marke 72
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



	Transcript of Proceedings			
1	Page 88 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER			
2				
3	I, Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR No. 939, within the			
4	State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the			
5	testimony appearing in the foregoing matter was duly			
6	sworn by me; that the testimony of said witnesses was			
7	taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter			
8	reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am			
9	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any			
10	of the parties to the action in which this matter was			
11	taken, and further, that I am not a relative or			
12	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the			
13	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise			
14	interested in the outcome of the action.			
15	Q 71-71/2			
16 17	Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR			
18	Tracy Thorpe Taylor, CCR			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.			

	•	Proceedings	Index: 115appearand
1	24 73:6	A	affiliated 57:19,20
115 61:16,17,19,	3	a.m. 45:10 85:13	affiliates 50:9 58:13
21	30 64:14	Absolutely 83:12	affiliations 54:7
11:15 80:25 81:5, 7,12	300 47:21	abundance 84:1	agree 71:6 74:25 75:7,22 76:18
11:16 81:13	304 47:14	accomplish 61:6	78:14 79:4 84:4
11:23 85:13	308 47:20	accomplishing	agreed 50:3 61:8
13 55:7,10,12,14	3A 49:14	68:1	65:15 66:17 67:11
	3rd 56:1	action 67:4,18	71:22
130 47:2		actual 50:12	agreement 50:2 58:5 65:13,19
14 55:7,10,12,14 64:7	4	add 60:12 64:24	81:16,20 82:19,21
15 55:8,10,13,14	400 64:5	67:25 69:4,13 70:17	agrees 59:17 68:21
15th 57:18	4240-20.015 56:17	additional 49:25	ahead 48:11 55:5
16th 50:4 58:4 65:17 77:22 78:17	76:14 4240-40.015 56:18	55:24 61:6 address 45:24	alert 84:25
18 67:10		47:8,20 51:24	allocated 57:13
19 64:19 68:14,18	5	61:1 63:9	58:16
1st 55:23	50,000 64:11	addresses 77:1	allocates 58:12
151 00.20	50,000 04.11	adjourn 82:3	allocation 49:20,
2	6	admitted 55:13	24 50:12 56:10
		61:19 72:5	allocations 50:8
2 47:6 78:8	63105 47:3	affect 62:11	amended 48:3
20 56:16,17 76:13	65101 47:15,21	affiliate 48:11,25	American 51:1,2
200 72:1,2,4,6	65109 47:9	49:15,22 50:25 51:14,17,19 52:17	58:11,16,19
2000 65:5	8	53:18,22 56:2,4,8,	amounts 57:21
2003 58:5,23 65:8,		13,21,25 57:3,8, 14,15,16,19 58:7	amplify 61:5
11 68:15	8,000 68:22 73:16	59:4,7,10,17,23	Analysis 61:11
2005 65:17	800 64:5	60:6,12,14,16,17,	Andrew 47:6
201 72:1,2,4,6		25 62:7,25 64:17, 21 65:3,11,16,22	announcement
2018 59:9,11	9	66:3,8,18,20,21	48:7 81:14,24
2019 77:22	90 48:15	67:2,12,14 68:6,	annually 57:12 58:1,22
2022 55:23	9:00 45:10	10,16,22 69:5,18, 25 70:6,14 73:15	apologize 71:18
2023 45:9	9th 45:9	75:1,11,19,23	76:10
2081 47:8		76:3,7,12,19	appearance 45:11
23 65:5 70:3		77:25 78:2 82:13	



	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: appearingcleaning
appearing 45:17	bad 57:3	64:14	56:20 58:6 59:9,
applica- 75:21	based 50:11 67:18	Bretz 45:23 46:1	12 62:14 65:12, 14,24 66:1,21
applicability 51:22	Bednar 47:13,16	55:19,20,21	67:3,18 68:5,11,
applicable 64:18	49:8	61:23,24 62:1,12, 19 63:3,6,8,11,15,	18,20 82:11
66:3,9,22 67:13	begin 45:12 48:25	16,17 79:17,18,	cases 51:3 53:18
apply 56:15	beginning 45:9	23,24 80:16,17	58:9 66:10,20,21
applying 68:13	begins 65:8 76:16	briefing 63:9	67:1
approach 60:10	behalf 45:16,17,21	briefly 52:16 56:21	caution 84:1
approval 60:23	46:2,5,10,13,17,	65:6 79:17	ceiling 64:13 67:24 70:11
approve 60:20	18,20,22,24 47:5, 11,17 55:18	briefs 51:24	cetera 57:21
approved 50:20	Believed 64:8	bringing 68:4	Chairman 52:11,
approves 60:22	Bell 47:19,20,22	brings 65:25	13,15,21,24 53:7,
April 65:17	Bemiston 47:3	broader 70:7	10,11 70:22,25
areas 45:6	Bench 52:5 54:19	Brydon 45:15	71:1,4,6,8 82:5,7, 9,15,19 83:4,10,
art 64:2	61:25 63:14 70:20	build 67:22	13,16 84:8
aspects 54:6	71:9 72:15 74:15	building 45:10	challenge 51:11
-	81:4 84:10 85:8	70:10	chance 83:20
assigned 45:8	beneficial 50:23	built 64:3,9	change 64:21
assume 49:4	benefits 50:10	business 54:7	changed 66:11
assuming 78:20	58:15	61:11 85:7	
Atmos 68:11	bids 62:23	buy 62:21	Chapel 64:13 67:24 70:12
attempt 60:18	bit 64:23	C	charged 51:10
authority 45:5	Black 64:3		chisels 64:6,7
AW-2018-0393	blunt 71:5	call 64:24	City 46:18 47:9,11,
59:12 80:3	Bolin 61:10 62:15	called 48:12	14,21
AW-2018-0394 51:20 59:6,9 61:7	65:9 72:14,17,24 74:13,15,16,23	CAM 50:1,4,6,14,	claim 58:22
73:11 74:3,7	76:11 77:11 78:7	16,20 56:10 57:12,18 58:1,10,	clarifications 61:6
aware 49:14 79:9,	79:4,15 80:19	20,22 60:16,20,	clarify 60:18 75:7
11,19,25 80:4	Bolin's 68:15	21,22	77:2 78:22 79:19
	borne 57:9	CAMS 58:7	clarifying 60:13
B	bought 62:9	Capital 51:2	class 48:16 56:3,5
B-R-E-T-Z 45:24	brakes 60:4	cartridge 62:21	80:23 81:17 84:23
back 60:23 68:14	break 80:22	case 46:9,17	85:4
75:25 81:11	breakdown 53:24	47:25 50:2,17,18	Clayton 47:3
background 56:14 59:8	breathtaking	51:19 52:22,23 53:1,2,3 55:23	cleaning 74:12



Transcript of Proceedings			Index: cleardecision
clear 77:12	73:10	continue 52:22	Court 45:25 68:8
closed 66:23	common 57:1	59:6 69:13,16	covered 77:23,24
Coffman 46:12,13,	companies 49:23	continued 53:6	78:3
15	62:25 70:5	54:4	create 64:1
collection 64:14	company 45:13,	continues 54:11	created 60:7
Colosseum 64:8	16,18,19 46:18	control 57:1	criteria 50:6
67:23 70:11	49:19 50:1,3,7,8 51:2,9 54:14	controversial 67:2	cross 55:2 71:23
com- 78:8	55:14 58:12	Cooper 45:14,15,	74:16 79:15
comment 48:21	Company's 45:4	20 48:9,19 49:2,	cross-examination
81:23	compared 53:16	10,13 52:3,21,25 53:9,14 54:17,21,	61:9 72:13 74:22
comments 69:21	comparison 50:21	22,25 55:9,16,17	CSR 56:16,17
74:9,10,11 82:3	-	80:24 81:2,15,21	76:13
commercial 78:4	competition 54:9, 16	82:12,18,23	curious 62:6,18
Commission	completed 50:18	Cooper's 48:21 81:23	63:7
45:21 48:17 49:17,20,21 50:20	concern 57:7		current 60:4,10,19 61:5 63:4 69:4
51:17 55:21,22	75:18	Corp 51:2	74:6 75:22 76:7
56:11,14 57:12,25	concerns 65:10	corporations 51:15	Curtis 47:2
58:20 59:3,5	68:16,19		customer 59:13,
60:19,22 61:10 63:23 64:20 65:2,	conclude 68:24	correct 49:1,2 72:12 75:3 79:6	15 60:1 67:8 75:2,
3,17,22 66:2,8,18	85:6	82:18	9,12 76:5,8,16,21,
67:13,20,25 68:2,	concluded 85:13	corrections 61:7	23 77:1,8,12,14, 22 78:1
13 69:1,3,7,9,10, 12,15,16,23 70:3,	concludes 85:10	cost 48:17 49:20,	customers 54:14
7,12,15 71:3 76:2	confirm 73:9	24 50:8,9 51:8,10	68:22 73:16
77:20 85:1	Confluence 59:21	56:3,5,10 60:25 80:23 81:17 84:23	75:15,24 76:22
Commission's 68:9 69:4	confusing 60:11	85:4	
	confusion 60:15,	costs 50:12 57:8,	D
Commission- approved 58:4	24 61:5	13 58:12,14	Dakota 64:4
60:21	consideration	Council 46:10,13	data 76:8
Commissioner	74:12	counsel 45:12	date 73:17
52:7,9,12 53:12	considered 78:19	46:3 48:4,5,7,20, 22 49:4 58:2	dating 68:14
62:2,4,6,17,24 63:5,7,10,12,13	considers 67:1	63:19,24 66:24,25	deadline 67:11
70:23 82:6 84:11,	70:7 77:8	67:6 69:19 70:21	deal 56:3
14,16,17,19	Consistent 48:9	71:14 72:20 74:16 81:4,11,14 85:7	Dean 45:14
Commissioners	consumer 46:10 79:21 80:2		
82:2		counting 64:19	decide 51:17
committed 69:22	Consumers 46:13, 20,22,25	County 47:6 83:6, 7	decision 49:17 53:8 69:11
	,,		



	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: definedfiling
defined 56:22	17,22 79:9 80:8,	68:10 70:1 76:12	Exhibit 61:16,17,
delay 60:5 76:10	13	78:11	19,21
denied 66:14	document 53:2 60:25	electricity 57:2 60:8	Exhibits 55:7,10, 12,14 71:25 72:2,
describe 60:21	documents 53:1	elements 83:8	4,6
describes 57:13	57:22,23 58:9	eliminate 76:4	exist 68:19
58:14	Dority 47:8	Ellinger 47:20	existing 52:22,25
design 48:16 56:3, 6 80:23 81:17	draft 49:22 56:12	employee 50:10	59:6 60:14 61:4 64:21 67:2
84:24 85:4	59:4,7,12,23,25	58:15 65:9	
detail 53:20 76:1	60:17,21 61:1 66:15 67:16,20,25	enacted 70:14	expeditiously 70:2,16
details 77:9	69:6 70:16 73:14,	76:22	expense 57:6
detrimental 68:6	18 74:2,6,25 76:19 77:2,5,13,	enactment 68:9	expenses 50:11
developing 54:8	15,17 78:23,24,25	ended 65:14,18	58:16
Diana 47:1	79:20 80:1	Energy 46:20,22,	Experts 64:11
difference 60:18	drafting 65:3	24	explain 52:16 77:6
79:5,7	drafts 61:7	England 45:16	explains 56:19
direct 51:6 55:6	duly 72:24	enjoyed 83:20	extent 83:5
56:19 71:24	duplicative 53:8	ensure 70:4	eye 85:5
director 61:10	59:1	enter 71:23	
disagreement 65:21	dynamite 64:6	entered 61:15	F
	E	entitled 76:15	fact 51:5
discuss 80:22 81:12		entity 56:25 57:21	familiar 80:11
discussed 82:16	e-mailed 47:24	entity's 57:19	Fane 47:14
discussions 48:16	earlier 60:17	entries 45:11	feeling 83:23
district 47:6 83:6,	East 47:14,20	epitome 71:2	file 45:2 49:19
7	economist 56:19	equally 69:8	50:16 51:20 56:10
districts 83:9	effective 65:4	evidence 55:13,15	57:12,16,23 58:1,
docket 49:21	effort 65:18	61:20,22 71:24 72:5,7	3,20,22 59:6 66:15 67:16 69:21
50:17,25 52:18	efforts 64:4 65:15	evidentiary 45:2	73:14 78:23
53:6 56:12 59:3 60:1 66:13,15	EFIS 50:16 57:23	evidentiary 45.2 exact 73:17	filed 53:1,2 55:22,
67:7,17,21 69:2,4,	58:9		25 65:20 66:2
13,24 70:2,16 75:2 4 5 76:20	either/or 69:10	EXAMINATION 79:18	68:1 69:6 74:3,7 77:19,21 78:17
75:2,4,5 76:20 77:7,10 78:19,21,	electric 53:17,22	examined 78:20	81:20
24 79:6 80:2,3,11	54:1,4 56:15,16 57:11,16 59:15	excused 46:8,16,	files 58:8,9 69:20
dockets 59:22 66:7 68:2 69:7,14,	60:10 64:22 66:11	19 47:24,25 80:20	filing 50:14 58:24
00.7 00.2 03.7,14,			



	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: fillingissues
79:1	Geoff 66:1 71:24	25 82:4 84:22	impose 58:25
filling 73:19	gift 64:9	85:9,10	include 51:1,4
finalize 59:25	give 48:24 49:11	heating 64:22 66:11 68:10 70:1	59:19 75:2,8,23 76:21
Finally 68:20	72:14,19 73:17 76:9 83:10	Heinz 47:2	included 63:2,4
Financial 61:11	gladiator 64:11	hesitating 82:23	76:5 77:13
finish 59:24 73:14	good 45:1 46:12,	Hey 52:11 70:22	including 50:10
firm 45:15 47:2,13	21 55:20 63:22	82:5	70:5 73:15
Fischer 47:7,10 53:19 83:13,14	71:1,4,11 74:23, 24 81:10	high 47:14,20 64:10	incorporate 74:9 increase 45:5
flash 65:24	goods 56:23 57:19	Hills 64:3	incurred 50:12
follow 59:20	77:24	historically 56:20	Industrial 46:24
Foods 47:23,25	Governor 45:9 73:21	history 53:21	industries 50:22
formal 60:2	grant 48:18	hold 64:10	53:25
forms 59:24 73:19	granular 62:7	holistic 60:9	industry 54:4,6,11
forward 60:2	Great 53:11	Holsman 52:7	inform 77:25
65:24 80:8,13 84:22	group 46:20,22	62:2,6,17,24 63:5,	information 59:13,
frescoes 64:15	66:13,15,20 67:7	7,10,12 71:11 84:11,14	16 60:1 67:8,9 76:16,24 77:1,8,
Friday 56:1	69:13,17,22 76:20 77:7 78:19,23	Honeysuckle 47:8	15,23,25
full 83:3	79:5	Honor 46:12,21	initiated 66:7
functions 54:16	guidelines 50:7	48:9 49:2,8,13	ink 62:21
future 73:11 85:5	58:11	52:2 54:25 55:16 63:20 71:16 74:18	instances 54:4
	guys 82:20	79:13 81:2 82:18	insufficient 58:25
G	н	hour 48:15 80:24	integrated 53:25 54:12
games 64:11	half 80:25	I	interest 84:5
Garrett 47:2	hammers 64:6,7	iconic 64:1	internal 59:23
gas 53:16,22 54:5 56:15,17 57:2,11,	hand 72:21	idea 50:19	interrupt 71:19
16 59:15 60:8,10	happy 70:17	identification 55:8	involving 64:4
64:22 65:3 66:11	hard 83:25 84:2,12	identifies 49:16	issue 48:13,24
68:10 70:1,4,14	hear 49:3,5	idle 67:3	49:14 51:21,25 56:9 61:12 62:14
general 45:5 50:18 55:23 65:12 68:5	hearing 45:2,8	imagine 62:19	66:6 71:15,23
generalized 82:19	48:2,22 49:9	implement 45:5	72:11,16 82:13
generally 54:14	51:12 55:12 56:6 61:19 63:16 72:4,	important 67:1	issues 48:3,6 49:7
generation 54:2	17 74:16 81:6,19,	68:4 69:8,17	53:3 55:1 56:2,8 81:17 82:11

888-893-3767 www.lexitaslegal.com Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.



Index: jack..needed

	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: jackneede
		maintain 83:5	Missouri's 67:14
J	L	make 49:6 53:17	Missouri-american
jack 64:6	Lagrand's 55:6	69:10 71:14	45:4,13,16,17 48:5 49:4,19 50:8,
James 47:7	land 83:1	Manual 49:20,24 56:10	15,25 51:7,10,13,
Jefferson 47:9,14,	Lane 47:8	March 45:9 50:4	23 52:6 53:2,16 54:20 55:22 56:9
21	large 53:16 64:18	56:1 57:18 58:4	58:1,2,3,8,10,13,
Jim 83:13	67:15 70:4	Marke 56:19 66:1,	19,21,24 59:20
job 71:11	law 45:8,15 47:1, 13	5	65:14 66:1,17,25 67:11 70:5
Joe 47:13	lead 50:22	Marke's 68:17	Missouri-
John 46:13		71:24	american's 50:17
Joseph 46:18	led 65:7	marked 55:7 61:15 71:25	51:3 58:5 65:11
Judge 45:1,8,14, 20 46:1,6,15,23	Liberty 46:16,17 59:21	market 51:11	68:16 moment 74:19
47:4,10,16,22	Lindsay 46:4	matter 45:3 51:7	76:9 83:11
48:19 49:3,9 52:3,	63:23	62:20	Monday 48:4
8,9,11,13 53:12 54:17,24 55:9,17	list 48:3,6 55:1 56:7 57:18 62:8	matters 51:24 81:12	months 67:19 73:24
61:17,24 62:4 63:12,13,18	listed 56:7	Max 62:10,21	_
70:19,22,24 71:8,	listening 82:2	members 80:7	morning 45:1 46:12,21 55:20
12,17,20 72:2,9 73:1 74:14,20	listings 78:5	memorandum	63:22,25 68:24
79:14,22 80:17	LLC 48:1	65:20	71:4 74:23,24 80:25 81:7,10
81:3,10,15,21	located 56:16,17	mentioned 48:10	82:14,17
82:5,7 83:10,12, 16 84:7,8,12,14,	76:13	60:17 67:6 69:19	Mount 64:3 67:23
18,19	long 64:1 73:13	71:22 80:24	70:10
July 55:23	longer 67:22	Michelangelo 64:16 67:23	mouthful 64:23
June 59:9,11	73:24	microphone 79:22	move 48:16 60:2
	lot 53:23 54:15	Midwest 46:20,22	80:8,13
КК	83:19,25	million 64:5	moved 59:8,11
Karen 45:23 55:21	Louis 83:6	mind 49:5	mucking 83:17
kicking 71:3	lower 51:10	miniature 48:24	N
Kim 61:10 62:15	Luft 45:18		
Kimberly 65:9	M	minutes 48:15	nails 64:7
72:24		mirrors 78:8,15	Natural 53:21 54:5
knowing 55:4	made 83:18	Missouri 45:6 46:11,14,19,24	necessity 51:8
	main 75:18	47:3,9,12,15,21	needed 59:18



Index: Niemeier..promulgation

	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: Niemeierpromulgation
Niemeier 45:18	opening 48:8,24,	past 67:10	pricing 83:4
night 47:24	25 49:7,11 52:18, 23 55:18 56:5	PC 47:8	Pridgin 45:1,7,20
Non-unanimous 55:25	63:18 71:15 72:11 82:13	pending 51:19 75:1 76:4,20	46:1,6,15,23 47:4, 10,16,22 48:19 49:3,9 52:3,9,13
Noncase-related 57:24	openings 49:5	people 64:5,9,11	53:12 54:17,24 55:9,17 61:17,24
Number 45:3 47:6	opinion 80:12	perpetuate 61:4	62:4 63:13,18
50:2 51:20	Opitz 46:21,22,23	petitions 66:12	70:19,24 71:8,12,
nutshell 56:23	opportunity	pictured 67:5	17,20 72:2,9 73:1 74:14,20 79:14,22
	72:15,20	place 75:16 76:22	80:17 81:3,10,21
0	opposed 50:14 52:22	places 60:11	82:7 83:12 84:8,
O'KEEFE 47:2	options 54:3	plan 51:23	14,19
object 83:1	order 49:22 56:12	planned 64:15	principle 81:16
objection 48:20	57:4 59:3	planning 78:23	prior 67:18
74:1,5 81:23	other-than-st 83:6	play 55:4	privacy 67:8 75:3, 9,12,15,17,24
objections 55:11,	outcome 50:23	Plescia 47:1,4	76:5,8,21 77:8,12
12 61:18 72:3 83:17	outlining 53:3	point 75:14	problematic 75:13
October 65:8	overlooked 48:1	policy 53:8	problems 74:5
offer 55:5		portions 56:6	procedures 50:7
offered 55:10	P	position 59:5	58:11
61:18 72:3	painstakingly	60:5,9	proceed 48:11 49:11 65:22 70:2
office 45:10 46:2	64:15	positions 53:3	proceedings
62:9,10,21 63:24	paint 67:24	possibly 83:24	85:12
On-the-record	painted 64:15	potential 82:10	process 53:8 60:3
85:2	painting 70:11	pounds 64:5	63:1 64:24 65:2
OPC 46:5 50:4,15 60:4,6 65:9,14	part 50:1 51:5	Powerpoint 63:21	,
66:7,10,17 67:11	parties 46:7,9	practical 62:20	professional 50:10 58:15
68:4,12,25 69:1,3,	48:23 51:11 55:2, 25 56:7 61:8	pre-filed 73:3	progress 83:18
5,9,20 70:13 71:25 72:6	65:18 71:22	preferential 57:5	promulgate 66:3,
OPC's 52:19 56:19	72:10,12 80:21	prepared 69:6	8,17 76:3
70:9	81:1 83:18 84:4, 25 85:3	presentation 71:2 85:2	promulgated
open 49:21 56:12 59:3,8,11 69:1,12,	parties' 65:21 74:8	preside 45:8	50:22 65:16 67:14
24 70:15	party 58:23 82:25	previously 48:10	promulgating 80:1
opened 66:19	pass 57:8	53:24	promulgation 65:22 67:12
	1	1	1



	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: Propertiesrock
Properties 47:17	70:18,20 71:9	recent 74:2	83:14
proposal 48:21 52:17 75:8	72:14,16,20 73:1 74:15,17 79:12	recently 77:10	request 45:4 50:24
proposed 61:7	80:18 82:3 83:19 84:7,9,17	recess 48:15 80:25 81:5,7,9,11	requested 81:11
66:10	quick 53:14 62:3	recognized 68:8	requesting 55:23
proposing 73:10	quickly 66:15	recommended	66:2
protect 57:4	quote 76:6	65:21	requests 60:4 77:23
protection 75:3,9 76:5,21,23 79:21	R	record 45:2 81:8, 11 85:11	require 57:11,15,
protections 75:23		redirect 79:16,18	25
80:2	Rachel 45:18	refer 60:20	required 49:19 56:10 58:3,20,21
provide 50:3 56:4 77:24 78:4	raise 72:21	reference 78:4	59:20,24 73:19
provided 45:6	raised 65:10	referenced 67:1	78:5
50:4 51:7,9 57:20	rate 45:5 48:16 50:16,18 51:3	regard 49:15	requirement 59:1 85:3
provider 78:2	55:23 56:3,6 58:6	register 63:1	
providers 78:3,4	65:12 68:5 80:23 81:17 82:22 83:4,	regulated 56:24	requirements 49:25
providing 50:14	15 84:23 85:4	57:6,8,14 78:1	resale 83:14
Public 46:2 47:5 48:5 49:4 63:19,	ratepayers 57:4, 10 68:7 84:6	Regulatory 45:7 related 51:7,19 59:12 66:20,21	resolve 51:21 56:2 82:10,12
24 70:20	rates 83:8	67:7	Resources 57:24
pull 81:20	re-writing 59:10	remain 69:21	respect 66:13
purchase 56:23	reach 65:18	remainder 84:22	response 58:18
pursuant 58:4	reached 81:16	remarks 84:9	rest 82:11
put 52:19 60:4 82:20	ready 49:12 52:14	remember 46:8	result 83:3
	55:19 62:5 63:19, 21 70:24 73:18	51:5 80:8	revenue 85:3
Q	82:8	removed 64:5	revenues 55:24
Query 57:24	reason 51:14	repeat 74:4	review 59:10
question 53:15	58:25	repeated 53:5	69:20 73:11 76:1
58:19 59:2 62:3	reasonableness	rephrase 75:21	reviewed 77:10
69:11 74:4 85:2	51:8	replace 69:25	revised 67:16 69:20 73:14
questioning 72:19 83:24	rebuttal 51:6 55:6 61:15 73:7	replacing 75:20	Rivers 59:21
questions 49:16	receive 48:3 57:5	report 57:17,18 58:8 60:16	Riverside 47:11
52:5,7 53:13	received 55:15	REPORTER 45:25	road 71:3
54:19 61:13,25 62:15 63:14	57:20 61:21 72:6	represent 63:24	rock 64:5



Index: role..subject

	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: rolesubject
role 67:7 77:7		showing 81:12	66:13 75:8
Roman 64:9	<u> </u>	shown 68:17	stand 72:18 81:5,7
Ron 45:7	sales 83:14	side 54:1	standard 76:21
roughly 80:24,25	sat 68:11	significance 50:13	Standards 76:15
Ron 45:7 roughly $80:24,25$ rule $51:14,18$ 52:18 63:4 65:15, 23 67:20 73:15 75:12,15,17 76:3, 13,19,22 77:5,8, 13,14,15,17 78:13,24,25 rulemaking 49:21 50:24 56:12 59:3 60:2 66:7 67:21 68:2 69:2,7,12,24 70:15 79:6,9 rules $48:12 49:22$ 50:21 51:19,22 53:22 56:13,15, 16,17,22 57:2,11, 15,25 59:4,7,11, 12,14,18,21,24,25 60:5,7,11,13,14, 17,19,21 61:2,4 64:17,21 65:4 66:3,8,10,15,18, 22 67:3,12,14,17 68:1,11,12,23 69:5,6,18,20,25 70:6,7,14,16 73:11,15,18 74:2, 7,10 75:1,12,19, 23 76:7 77:4 78:23 79:20 80:1 Rupp $52:11,12,15, 24 53:7,11 70:22, 23 71:1,6 82:5,6, 9,15,19 83:10,16$ Rushmore $64:3$	sat 68:11 satisfactory 61:9 save 49:6 Schaben 68:17 schedule 82:1 84:22 85:2 scrutinized 51:3 sculpting 70:10 seat 73:2 Secretary 59:25 73:20 section 61:11 75:3 76:25 77:12,15,22 78:6 separate 57:17 75:5 September 77:22 78:17 service 45:6 48:17 50:7 51:1,9 56:3,5 58:12 62:11 78:2, 4 80:23 81:17 84:23 85:4 services 50:9,11 51:9 56:24 57:19 58:14,15 62:10 77:24 78:1 set 50:6 sewer 45:6 49:23 51:15,18,22 54:11 55:24 56:13,18 59:4,16,19 60:6,	side 54:1	standard 76:21 Standards 76:15 start 63:25 64:3 79:23 starting 54:2 60:3 state 59:25 73:20 83:4 stated 58:2 stated 58:2 statement 49:7 55:18 60:6 63:18 71:15 72:11 statements 48:8, 24,25 56:5 82:14 states 60:6 statewide 82:22 status 59:22 statutory 79:10 steam 64:22 66:11 68:10 70:1 step 54:22 Stephanie 47:19 stipulation 50:2 56:1 58:5,23 65:13 81:20 82:10 84:4,23 85:3,4 stood 64:10 stop 82:25 stories 64:10 story 65:8 stray 48:6 streamlining
67:23 70:10	12 61:4 68:21 73:16	75:11 78:22 79:19,20,25 80:6,	60:13
	73:16	79:19,20,25 80:6, 7	Street 47:14
	short 48:14	Staff's 52:17 59:5 60:9 61:10,16	subject 53:18 70:6 79:10



Index: submit..waive

	Transcript of	Proceedings	Index: submitwaive
submit 73:20	tariff 83:4,15	touch 56:21	unnecessary
submitted 61:11	task 68:1	traded 54:5	53:17
65:10 66:6	ten 64:12	transaction 49:22	unregulated 57:5,
subparts 56:8	tend 71:6	51:14,19 52:17	7,14 68:5
subsection 76:14,	tentatively 82:20	53:18 56:22,23	upcoming 75:8
15	terms 54:12	64:17,21 65:4,23 66:3,8,18,21 67:3,	upholding 68:9
subsidiaries		12,14 68:10,22	utilities 46:17
58:17	territory 65:1	69:5,18,25 70:6,	51:23 53:17 56:15
substantive 67:4	test- 61:14	14 73:15 75:1,12,	57:12,16,23 59:19 60:25 64:18 65:23
69:18	testified 72:24	19,23 76:3,7,12, 19 82:13	66:4,22 67:15
substituting 61:3	testimonies 71:25	transactions	68:21 70:4 73:16
suffice 81:1	testimony 51:6,7,	48:12 49:1,16	utilities' 59:15
suggested 66:14	12 55:7 56:20	50:25 51:18 53:22	utility 56:16,24
Suite 47:21	61:12,15 65:10	56:2,4,8,13 57:3,	57:4,5,9,14,20
Sunnydale 47:17	66:2,6 68:15,18 73:4	15,17,21 58:8 59:4,7,10,18,23	60:22 77:23,24
-	_	60:7,12,14,16,25	78:3
supplies 62:9	thing 54:22 70:3, 13	62:7,25 65:11,16	utility's 57:6
supply 47:5 54:13	things 83:20	66:20 68:6,17	
support 50:9 58:14	-	transmission 54:3	V
	thinking 73:23	treatment 57:6	Vangerpen 46:4,6
Supreme 68:8	third-party 54:16	59:13,15	63:19,20,23 70:19
surprise 85:1	thirty 64:14	Triumph 47:23,25	71:4,10,13,16,17, 18,21 72:8 74:18,
surrebuttal 55:6 71:24	throw 83:24	trouble 72:18	21,22 79:12,14
	tied 78:1	turn 73:6	venue 51:21
suspend 81:19 82:1 84:21	Tim 46:22	turned 54:6	version 77:21
Swearengen	time 45:10 49:6 50:17 51:15 52:1	Twenty-three	versions 74:2
45:15	53:23 61:14 65:10	70:12	versus 52:18
switching 60:7	66:5,16 69:23	typically 84:5	60:16
sworn 72:22,23,24	80:6,9,15 81:13 84:7		vertically 53:24
		U	54:12
T	timeline 65:7 79:2	ultimately 57:9	
tab 57:24	timelines 79:10	65:17	W
talk 80:7 83:21	Timothy 45:18	understand 48:5,	wages 50:10
talked 48:4 55:1	today 48:12 65:7,	23 72:12 80:21	58:15
	25 81:18	unique 53:15	waiting 63:21
talking 49:15 62:8, 10	today's 82:3 85:6, 10	unlike 70:10	waive 61:8 71:22



	Transcript of Proceedings	Index: waivedyears
waived 55:2 72:13	wrenches 83:24	
walk 65:6	writing 82:24	
wanted 84:12		
water 45:4,5,13, 16,17 47:5 49:19, 22 50:8 51:1,2,14, 18,22 54:10,13 55:24 56:13,18 58:11,16 59:4,16, 19 60:6,8,12 61:4 62:11 64:18,22,25 65:23 66:4,9,12, 22 67:13,15,25 68:13,21 69:4,13 70:1,5,17 73:16	Y year 50:5,16 65:5 years 64:7,12,16, 19 65:5 67:4,10 68:14,18 70:3,12	
withdrawn 66:12		
witnesses 55:3 72:13,16 83:21		
wondering 68:3		
word 60:8		
words 56:25 61:3 64:22		
work 53:4 59:6 60:4 83:25 84:2, 13		
worked 75:18		
working 59:9,12 60:12 61:1 62:13 66:13,15,19 67:7, 17 69:13,17,22 76:20 77:7 78:19, 21,23 79:5,20,25 80:1,4 84:2		
works 58:12 64:2		
workshop 73:14 75:2		
world 64:2		
WR-2003-0500 50:3		
WR-2022-0303 45:3		

