

WR-2017-0285 Vol 19

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
2	OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI				
3					
4					
5	PREHEARING CONFERENCE				
б	March 21, 2018				
7	Jefferson City, Missouri				
8	Volume 19				
9					
10					
11					
12	In the Matter of Missouri-American) Water Company's Request for)				
13	Authority to Implement General) File No. Rate Increase for Water and Sewer) WR-2017-0285 Service Provided in Missouri)				
14	Service Provided in Missouri) Service Areas.				
15					
16	KIM S.BURTON, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE				
17	SENIOR REGULATORI LAW CODGE				
18					
19	REPORTED BY:				
20	Beverly Jean Bentch, CCR No. 640				
21	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC				
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	APPEARANCES
2	DEAN L. COOPER, Attorney at Law Brydon, Swearengen & England, PC
3	PO Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0456
4	573.635.7166 FOR: Missouri-American Water Company
5	TIMOTHY LUFT, Vice President-Legal
6	727 Craig Road St. Louis, Missouri 63141
7	314.996.2279 FOR: Missouri-American Water Company
8	RYAN SMITH, Senior Public Counsel
9	PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
10	573.522.6189 FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
11	JACOB T. WESTEN, Deputy Counsel
12	200 Madison Street, Suite 800 PO Box 360
13	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360 573.751.8515 FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
14 15	FOR. Stall of the Missouri Public Service Commission
15	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (March 21, 2018) JUDGE BURTON: Let's go ahead and go on the 3 record in File No. WR-2017-0285, In the Matter of 4 5 Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer 6 7 Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas. Today is 8 March 20, 2018, and the time is approximately eleven 9 o'clock in the morning. 10 The Commission has set this time for a 11 prehearing conference, and at this time I would ask the 12 parties to enter their appearance for the record. We 13 will begin with Missouri-American Water Company. 14 MR. COOPER: Dean Cooper of the law firm of 15 Brydon, Swearengen & England and Tim Luft of 16 Missouri-American Water Company on behalf of Missouri-American Water Company. 17 18 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. On behalf of the staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. 19 20 MR. WESTEN: Jacob Westen for staff. 21 JUDGE BURTON: Thank you. Does the court 22 reporter have your information? 23 MR. WESTEN: Yes. I've provided my 24 information to the court reporter. JUDGE BURTON: Office of the Public Counsel. 25

MR. SMITH: Ryan Smith with OPC. The court 1 2 reporter has my info. JUDGE BURTON: Are there any other parties 3 present that I'm missing? I will note for the record 4 that the Commission whenever it issued the order setting 5 6 this prehearing conference did allow other parties to 7 make a determination if they wanted to participate 8 willingly. I guess no one else was willing. 9 The reason I wanted to talk at this meeting is just to see what the status is as far as the confusion 10 11 or the discrepancy amount concerning the 2017 regulatory 12 asset value for the lead service line replacement. I 13 know that Office of Public Counsel had filed direct 14 testimony and also had filed a response to the 15 stipulation of fact related to true-up that was 16 submitted jointly by Missouri-American and staff. At this time I'm going to turn it over to you, 17 Mr. Smith, to tell me what the status is. 18 19 MR. SMITH: Sure. The parties have had 20 several discussions in trying to reach sort of a joint stipulation of fact. It does appear that some 21 22 adjustments will be made if there is a subsequent 23 stipulation of fact. And the specifics we're working 24 out right now. There are some drafts that have gone 25 around to the parties.

953

Г

1	I believe we'll be able to obtain agreement
2	about the facts, but perhaps the consequence of those
3	facts I think OPC would feel comfortable arguing that in
4	the briefs, if the parties agree to that. But I think
5	that's sort of where we're at.
6	JUDGE BURTON: So you're saying that as far as
7	OPC is concerned, at this point in time they don't
8	believe or you don't believe that a true-up hearing will
9	be necessary?
10	MR. SMITH: I don't think so provided that we
11	can, you know, get this on file. And I would expect
12	that to happen this week.
13	JUDGE BURTON: We have the rebuttal testimony
14	due by this schedule for March 23. Would it help the
15	parties if we push that date back to allow more
16	opportunity to try to work on a potential agreement on
17	that number so you don't have to worry about also having
18	to file testimony as well in case it does fall through?
19	MR. SMITH: I mean I think it wouldn't hurt.
20	JUDGE BURTON: Do the parties need it? I'm
21	just offering.
22	MR. WESTEN: I'd have to confer with the
23	individuals who would be responsible for drafting the
24	testimony, Judge. I imagine pushing it back wouldn't
25	hurt, but I don't know to what extent

WR-2017-0285 Vol 19

1 JUDGE BURTON: It would help? 2 MR. WESTEN: -- it would help. 3 MR. LUFT: As long as it doesn't push anything 4 else back I think we're good with it. 5 MR. SMITH: And about the rebuttal testimony, 6 I think OPC if there is not a stipulation of fact would like sort of the opportunity to rebut the stipulation of 7 8 fact as no testimony was actually filed by the company 9 or staff in this matter. So I don't know if that would 10 be proper. 11 JUDGE BURTON: I would just like to know if I 12 need to -- sorry to interrupt. I just want to know if I 13 need to prepare the Commissioners for the potential for 14 a hearing. If this is something that can be dueled via 15 pen and paper for a brief, then that would be fine. We 16 can keep the current schedule and hopefully the parties 17 can reach an agreement as to numbers. If not, they can 18 submit the testimony and address the issue in briefs. 19 I'm seeing some nodding, but I'm not hearing any 20 nodding. 21 MR. LUFT: I think that works. MR. COOPER: That works for the company, your 22 23 Honor, yes. MR. WESTEN: I think that would be appropriate 24 and staff would be okay with that. 25

1	MR. SMITH: That would also work for OPC.
2	JUDGE BURTON: I did push back the initial
3	briefs just because we did not have as many disputed
4	facts. I know we also had the additional exhibits that
5	the Commission had requested. So I wanted to give the
6	parties an opportunity to file this. I've never seen an
7	attorney who said darn, you've pushed back a deadline.
8	But that being said, currently the reply
9	true-up briefs are still scheduled for April 9. Is that
10	fine with the parties that are present?
11	MR. COOPER: Yes.
12	MR. WESTEN: Yes.
13	MR. SMITH: Yes.
14	JUDGE BURTON: Is there anything else that we
15	need to address while we are on the record?
16	MR. COOPER: No.
17	MR. WESTEN: Staff has nothing.
18	MR. SMITH: No.
19	JUDGE BURTON: Thank you, everyone. That will
20	conclude our prehearing conference. Thank you.
21	(Off the record.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640,
4	Certified Court Reporter with the firm of Tiger Court
5	Reporting, LLC, within the State of Missouri, do hereby
6	certify that I was personally present at the proceedings
7	had in the above-entitled cause at the time and place
8	set forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
9	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had; and
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such time
12	and place.
13	あったあってめので
14	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR. TTRNo. 640
15	Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

WR-2017-0285 Vol 19 Index: 20..Luft

2	briefs 954:4 955:18 956:3,9	determination 953:7	н
		direct 953:13	
20 952:8	Brydon 952:15	discrepancy 953:11	happen 954:12
2017 953:11	BURTON 952:3,18, 21,25 953:3 954:6,13,	discussions 953:20	hearing 954:8
2018 952:2,8	20 955:1,11 956:2,14,	disputed 956:3	955:14,19
21 952:2	19	drafting 954:23	Honor 955:23
23 954:14	C	drafts 953:24	hurt 954:19,25
		due 954:14	
9	case 954:18	dueled 955:14	I
9 956:9	comfortable 954:3		imagine 954:24
.	Commission 952:10,	E	Implement 952:6
Α	19 953:5 956:5	eleven 952:8	Increase 952:6
	Commissioners 955:13	England 952:15	individuals 954:23
additional 956:4	company 952:13,16,	enter 952:12	info 953:2
address 955:18 956:15	17 955:8,22	exhibits 956:4	information 952:22,
adjustments 953:22	Company's 952:5	expect 954:11	24
agree 954:4	concerned 954:7	extent 954:25	initial 956:2
agreement 954:1,16	conclude 956:20		interrupt 955:12
955:17	confer 954:22	F	issue 955:18
ahead 952:3	conference 952:11		issued 953:5
amount 953:11	953:6 956:20	fact 953:15,21,23 955:6,8	· · · · · ·
appearance 952:12	confusion 953:10	facts 954:2,3 956:4	J
approximately 952:8	consequence 954:2	fall 954:18	Jacob 952:20
April 956:9	Cooper 952:14 955:22 956:11,16	feel 954:3	joint 953:20
Areas 952:7	Counsel 952:25	file 952:4 954:11,18	jointly 953:16
arguing 954:3	953:13	956:6	Judge 952:3,18,21,25
asset 953:12	court 952:21,24 953:1	filed 953:13,14 955:8	953:3 954:6,13,20,24
attorney 956:7	current 955:16	fine 955:15 956:10	955:1,11 956:2,14,19
Authority 952:5		firm 952:14	L
-	D		
B	darn 956:7	G	law 952:14
back 954:15,24 955:4	date 954:15	General 952:6	lead 953:12
956:2,7	deadline 956:7	give 956:5	long 955:3
begin 952:13	Dean 952:14	good 955:4	Luft 952:15 955:3,21
behalf 952:16,18	DGall 302.14	guess 953:8	
		Jacob 000.0	
	1	I	I

	point 954:7		turn 953:17
M	potential 954:16	S	·
made 953:22	955:13	schedule 954:14	W
make 953:7	prehearing 952:11 953:6 956:20	955:16	wanted 953:7,9 956:5
march 952:2,8 954:14	prepare 955:13	scheduled 956:9	Water 952:5,6,13,16,
matter 952:4 955:9	present 953:4 956:10	service 952:7,19 953:12	17
meeting 953:9	proper 955:10	set 952:10	week 954:12
missing 953:4	provided 952:7,23	setting 953:5	Westen 952:20,23 954:22 955:2,24
Missouri 952:7,19	954:10	Sewer 952:6	956:12,17
Missouri-american 952:5,13,16,17 953:16	Public 952:19,25 953:13 push 954:15 955:3	Smith 953:1,18,19	willingly 953:8
morning 952:9		954:10,19 955:5 956:1,13,18	work 954:16 956:1
	956:2	sort 953:20 954:5	working 953:23
Ν	pushed 956:7	955:7	works 955:21,22
nodding 055.40.00	pushing 954:24	specifics 953:23	worry 954:17
nodding 955:19,20 note 953:4	R	staff 952:19,20	WR-2017-0285 952:4
	K	953:16 955:9,25 956:17	
number 954:17	Rate 952:6	status 953:10,18	
numbers 955:17	reach 953:20 955:17	stipulation 953:15,	
0	reason 953:9	21,23 955:6,7	
	rebut 955:7	submit 955:18	
obtain 954:1	rebuttal 954:13 955:5	submitted 953:16	
offering 954:21	record 952:4,12	subsequent 953:22	
Office 952:25 953:13	953:4 956:15,21	Swearengen 952:15	
OPC 953:1 954:3,7 955:6 956:1	regulatory 953:11		
opportunity 954:16	related 953:15	T	
955:7 956:6	replacement 953:12	talk 953:9	
order 953:5	reply 956:8	testimony 953:14	
P	reporter 952:22,24 953:2	954:13,18,24 955:5,8, 18	
·	Request 952:5	Tim 952:15	
paper 955:15	requested 956:5	time 952:8,10,11	
participate 953:7	response 953:14	953:17 954:7	
parties 952:12 953:3, 6,19,25 954:4,15,20	responsible 954:23	Today 952:7	
955:16 956:6,10	Ryan 953:1	true-up 953:15 954:8 956:9	
pen 955:15			