## In the Matter of:

# AN INCREASE IN ANNUAL WATER SYSTEM OPERATING REVENUES

## **VOLUME I WR-2017-0343**

December 01, 2017



www.tigercr.com 573.999.2662

1	CTATE OF MICCOIDI			
	STATE OF MISSOURI			
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3				
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
5	Procedural Conference			
6	December 1, 2017			
7	Jefferson City, Missouri			
8	Volume 1			
9				
10	In The Matter Of The Request )			
11	For An Increase In Annual Water ) File No. WR-2017-0343			
12	System Operating Revenues For )			
13	Gascony Water Company, Inc. )			
14				
15	MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding,			
16	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.			
17				
18				
19				
20	REPORTED BY:			
21	Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR NO. 1399			
22	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC			
23				
24				
25				

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNCIL:
3	MR. RYAN SMITH, Senior Public Counsel
4	Department of Economic Development Office of the Public Counsel
5	200 West Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
6	573.522.6189 ryan.smith@ded.mo.gov
7	
8	FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
9	MR. JACOB T. WESTEN, Deputy Counsel Department of Economic Development Public Service
10	Commission Governor Office Building, Suite 800
11	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
12	573.751.5472 jacob.westen@psc.mo.gov
13	
14	FOR GASCONY WATER COMPANY, INC:
15	MS. DIANA CARTER
16	Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 East Capitol Avenue
17	P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
18	573.635.7166 dcarter@brydonlaw.com
19	dearter@brydonraw.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Today is December 1st,
3	2017. It's approximately nine o'clock a.m. The
4	Commission has set this time for a Procedural
5	Conference in the case captioned as: In The Matter Of
6	The Request For An Increase In Annual Water System
7	Operating Revenues for Gascony Water Company,
8	Incorporated, File No. WR-2017-0343.
9	My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the
10	Regulatory Law Judge in this matter. Let's have
11	attorneys making their entries of appearance.
12	For Gascony Water Company.
13	MS. CARTER: Diana Carter with Brydon,
14	Swearengen & England. And I provided a written entry
15	to the court reporter.
16	JUDGE BUSHMANN: For Commission Staff.
17	MR. WESTEN: Jacob Westen representing the
18	Commission Staff. My information has been provided to
19	the court reporter.
20	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Office of the Public
21	Counsel.
22	MR. SMITH: Ryan Smith, Senior Counsel with
23	the Office of the Public Counsel. My information has
24	also been previously provided to the court reporter.
25	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Thank you. The first

1	thing I wanted to talk about is somebody on the
2	line?
3	MR. RUSSO: Yes, Mr. Russo.
4	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Thank you. First
5	thing I wanted to talk about was the partial
6	disposition agreement that was filed by Staff and the
7	Company. And I wanted to talk about this because
8	there's request in here that the Commission approve the
9	partial disposition.
10	The reason I wanted to talk about that was
11	Judge Woodruff and I had an extensive conversation
12	yesterday about the Small Rate Case Rule and I won't
13	say anything about what I think about it, but it is
14	complicated.
15	MR. WESTEN: Yes, it is, Judge.
16	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And in my reading of the
17	Rule it's and Judge Woodruff agreed, that we didn't
18	think that a partial disposition agreement or any
19	disposition agreement is exactly identical to a
20	stipulation and agreement under Chapter 2. It seems to
21	be its own animal.
22	And so I think that there's different
23	procedures that apply. In reading through to the Rule,
24	it says that when you have a partial disposition
25	agreement the Rule seems to contemplate that the

parties at that point are going to go into an 1 2 arbitration process. And I asked Judge Woodruff if that had ever occurred, and to his knowledge, no. No 3 4 party has ever gone through an arbitration process. 5 But the Rule seems to contemplate that when you have a 6 partial disposition agreement, that that's what's going 7 to happen, because it has extensive procedures for what 8 should happen if you have a partial disposition 9 agreement and arbitration process at the same time. 10 But the Rule says that the parties may 11 contain provisions for an arbitration procedure; it doesn't say "shall," so it's not mandatory. 12 13 MR. WESTEN: Right. 14 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So there's no -- there's 15 no -- the Rule is silent about what you do in a 16 situation like we have here where we have a partial 17 disposition agreement but without a request for 18 arbitration. 19 But it's my understanding, because of 20 Ms. Mers has cited to Section 21, which talks about 21 when the staff and the utility can't agree, then they 22 want to elect to go and to use contested case 23 procedures. And I'm assuming that what that's what the 24 parties want to do at this point and not go to

arbitration, but instead go to --

25

1	MG GADHED: Obb
1	MS. CARTER: Oh, yeah.
2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: use the regular,
3	contested case procedures that we're all familiar with.
4	MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge, I believe that is
5	what Staff intended
6	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay.
7	MR. WESTEN: and what we'd be happy to do.
8	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I think that that would
9	be appropriate, because the Rule does provide for that.
10	It also has a provision right before that
11	where Public Counsel if Public Counsel can request
12	an evidentiary hearing and specify a list of issues
13	that thinks should be resolved. So if that's the
14	understanding that I get from the parties, then I think
15	that the appropriate thing to do is just to treat this
16	partial disposition agreement and request for
17	evidentiary hearing as a request by the parties, that
18	at least the Staff and the Company, to kind of get out
19	of the small rate case procedure rule and go instead to
20	the contested case procedures of Chapter 2.
21	And I think if we do that and I could treat
22	this as a request to do that, then I think that it
23	wouldn't be appropriate for the Commission to approve a
24	partial disposition agreement at this point.
25	MR. WESTEN: That's correct.

1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: What would be more
2	appropriate, I think, is if say we go ahead and get
3	a procedural schedule and then the parties can follow
4	along in the normal rate case procedures for a hearing.
5	You may decide that after that, at this point before
6	the hearing, you want to submit a stipulation and
7	agreement under Chapter 2. And it may be it's
8	the exactly the same thing as this. Or you may
9	decide that it's not necessary, the parties are just
10	going to identify disputed issues for the hearing
11	MR. WESTEN: Right.
12	JUDGE BUSHMANN: and everything you've
13	already agreed to gets caught up in the compliance
14	tariffs and figured out at that point.
15	MS. CARTER: Yeah.
16	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I'll leave that to you to
17	decide as to whether you think that either one of those
18	two options is what you want to do. But I'm just not
19	going to take any action on the disposition agreement
20	at this point because I think it's premature, and we'll
21	just go ahead and get a procedural schedule.
22	Anybody have any objection to any of that?
23	MR. WESTEN: No, Judge.
24	MS. CARTER: No, that sounds great.
25	MR. WESTEN: That is probably one of the most

articulate explanations of the -- and reasonable 1 2 explanations of the small utility rules, and Staff very 3 much appreciates that, Judge. JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, no problem. And I, 4 unfortunately, well, maybe fortunately, this rule is 5 6 going away soon, because there's -- it's currently in the works to get it replaced, so we may not have to 7 8 deal with it much longer. 9 MR. WESTEN: I don't know about that, Judge. JUDGE BUSHMANN: We'll see. 10 11 MR. WESTEN: We'll see. 12 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Strange things can happen in 13 that process. 14 MR. WESTEN: That's right. 15 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I quess what we need now, then, is a procedural schedule. I know you've already 16 17 started working on one. 18 MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge. 19 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So why don't -- why doesn't 20 Staff file a joint proposed procedural schedule no 21 later than a week from today, which would be 22 December 7th. You can include all the usual case 23 events and -- and we will assume that the -- I think it 24 was eight disputed issues between the Company and 25 Staff, plus the one from Public Counsel would be the

1	issues that would be the ones that we will be
2	dealing with in evidentiary hearing.
3	Now, I've got here and I'll leave it for
4	you to look at. It's a copy of the adjudication
5	calendar for the and my understanding is, from what
6	Staff has filed before on the timeline, that or
7	nine-month deadline is March 19th.
8	MR. WESTEN: That's correct, Judge.
9	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So my reading of the Rule is
10	that the reply briefs, which would be the final
11	submission for the Commission, we need to happen
12	March 19 or before.
13	MR. WESTEN: Correct.
14	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So we'll need to get a
15	hearing sometime sometime. The hearing schedule is
16	extremely crowded at this point. I just looked at it
17	before I came down. I wrote a few things in there that
18	are not on the adjudication calendar, like, NARUC is in
19	February. That's three days there. The Commissioners
20	are going to be gone.
21	MS. CARTER: How do you feel about
22	February 23rd? It's a Friday.
23	JUDGE BUSHMANN: The 23rd. Well, do you
24	think one day is going to do it?
25	MS. CARTER: It should.

1	MR. WESTEN: Yeah.			
2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: There is the ATXI hearing			
3	the 20th, 21st and 22nd.			
4	MS. CARTER: Yeah.			
5	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So the 23rd the			
6	Commissioners hate Friday hearings.			
7	MS. CARTER: Yes, but you took ATXI took			
8	our other dates that we were looking at.			
9	MR. WESTEN: Right.			
10	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And then the week before			
11	there's the Thursday and Friday the 15th and 16th, and			
12	then the week before that is wide open. But I'll let			
13	you make your proposal and I'll ask the Commissioners,			
14	and we'll just see what they say.			
15	MS. CARTER: Okay.			
16	MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.			
17	MR. SMITH: Thank you.			
18	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anybody have anything else			
19	that you need to talk about before we go off the			
20	record?			
21	MR. SMITH: No, Judge.			
22	MR. WESTEN: I don't think so, Judge.			
23	JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Hearing none.			
24	We're off the record.			
25	(Record was closed at 9:10 a.m.)			

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Chevon D. McFadden, a Certified Verbatim
3	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1399, do
4	hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding had in the
5	above-entitled cause was taken by me to the best of my
6	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
7	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this proceeding was taken, and further, that I am
10	not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
11	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
13	
14	
15	
16	Chevon D Mcfadden
17	Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR No. 1399
18	0220 ( 022
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	ahead 7:2,21	Certified 11:2,3	<b>decide</b> 7:5,9,17
11	animal 4:21	certify 11:4	<b>Diana</b> 3:13
<b>1399</b> 11:3,17	Annual 3:6	Chapter 4:20 6:20 7:7	direction 11:7
15th 10:11	appearance 3:11	Chevon 11:2,17	disposition 4:6,9,18,
<b>16th</b> 10:11	apply 4:23	cited 5:20	19,24 5:6,8,17 6:16,24
<b>19</b> 9:12	appreciates 8:3	closed 10:25	7:19
<b>19</b> 5.12 <b>19th</b> 9:7	approve 4:8 6:23	Commission 3:4,16,	disputed 7:10 8:24
1st 3:2	approximately 3:3	18 4:8 6:23 9:11	E
	arbitration 5:2,4,9,	<b>Commissioners</b> 9:19 10:6,13	<b>elect</b> 5:22
2	11,18,25 articulate 8:1	Company 3:7,12 4:7	<b>employed</b> 11:8,11
<b>2</b> 4:20 6:20 7:7	assume 8:23	6:18 8:24	employee 11:10
<b>2017</b> 3:3	assuming 5:23	compliance 7:13	England 3:14
<b>20th</b> 10:3	attorney 11:10	complicated 4:14	entries 3:11
<b>21</b> 5:20	attorneys 3:11	Conference 3:5	<b>entry</b> 3:14
<b>21st</b> 10:3	<b>ATXI</b> 10:2,7	contemplate 4:25 5:5	events 8:23
<b>22nd</b> 10:3		<b>contested</b> 5:22 6:3,	evidentiary 6:12,17
<b>23rd</b> 9:22,23 10:5	В	20	9:2
7	briefs 9:10	conversation 4:11	explanations 8:1,2
	Brydon 3:13	<b>copy</b> 9:4	extensive 4:11 5:7
<b>7th</b> 8:22	<b>Bushmann</b> 3:2,9,16,	<b>correct</b> 6:25 9:8,13	extremely 9:16
9	20,25 4:4,16 5:14 6:2, 6,8 7:1,12,16 8:4,10,	<b>counsel</b> 3:21,22,23 6:11 8:25 11:7,10	F
	12,15,19 9:9,14,23	<b>court</b> 3:15,19,24 11:3	familiar 6:3
<b>9:10</b> 10:25	10:2,5,10,18,23	crowded 9:16	
Α		CVR 11:17	February 9:19,22 feel 9:21
			figured 7:14
<b>a.m.</b> 3:3 10:25	calendar 9:5,18	D	file 3:8 8:20
ability 11:6	captioned 3:5	<b>dates</b> 10:8	filed 4:6 9:6
above-entitled 11:5	<b>Carter</b> 3:13 6:1 7:15, 24 9:21,25 10:4,7,15	<b>day</b> 9:24	final 9:10
action 7:19 11:8,12	case 3:5 4:12 5:22	days 9:19	financially 11:11
adjudication 9:4,18	6:3,19,20 7:4 8:22	deadline 9:7	follow 7:3
agree 5:21	caught 7:13	deal 8:8	foregoing 11:4
<b>agreed</b> 4:17 7:13	CCR 11:3,17	dealing 9:2	fortunately 8:5
<b>agreement</b> 4:6,18,19, 20,25 5:6,9,17 6:16,24 7:7,19	CERTIFICATE 11:1	<b>December</b> 3:2 8:22	Friday 9:22 10:6,11
		1	<u> </u>

		outcome 11:12	reduced 11:6
G	К		regular 6:2
Gascony 3:7,12	kind 6:18	Р	Regulatory 3:10
great 7:24	knowledge 5:3	partial 4:5,9,18,24	related 11:7
guess 8:15		5:6,8,16 6:16,24	relative 11:10
	L	<b>parties</b> 5:1,10,24 6:14,17 7:3,9 11:8,11	replaced 8:7
Н	<b>Law</b> 3:10	party 5:4	<b>reply</b> 9:10
happen 5:7,8 8:12	leave 7:16 9:3	point 5:1,24 6:24 7:5,	reporter 3:15,19,24
9:11	list 6:12	14,20 9:16	11:3
happy 6:7	longer 8:8	premature 7:20	representing 3:17
<b>hate</b> 10:6	looked 9:16	previously 3:24	request 3:6 4:8 5:17 6:11,16,17,22
hearing 6:12,17 7:4,		problem 8:4	resolved 6:13
6,10 9:2,15 10:2,23 hearings 10:6	M	procedural 3:4 7:3,	Revenues 3:7
	<b>make</b> 10:13	21 8:16,20	rule 4:12,17,23,25 5:5,
I	making 3:11	<b>procedure</b> 5:11 6:19	10,15 6:9,19 8:5 9:9
identical 4:19	mandatory 5:12	<b>procedures</b> 4:23 5:7, 23 6:3,20 7:4	rules 8:2
identify 7:10	<b>March</b> 9:7,12	proceeding 11:4,9	Russo 4:3
include 8:22	matter 3:5,10	PROCEEDINGS 3:1	<b>Ryan</b> 3:22
Incorporated 3:8	Mcfadden 11:2,17	process 5:2,4,9 8:13	
Increase 3:6	Mers 5:20	proposal 10:13	
information 3:18,23	Michael 3:9	proposed 8:20	<b>schedule</b> 7:3,21 8:16, 20 9:15
intended 6:5		provide 6:9	Section 5:20
interested 11:12	N	provided 3:14,18,24	Senior 3:22
issues 6:12 7:10 8:24	NARUC 9:18	provision 6:10	set 3:4
9:1	nine-month 9:7	provisions 5:11	<b>silent</b> 5:15
	normal 7:4	<b>Public</b> 3:20,23 6:11 8:25	situation 5:16
		0.23	<b>small</b> 4:12 6:19 8:2
Jacob 3:17	O	R	<b>Smith</b> 3:22 10:17,21
joint 8:20	objection 7:22		sounds 7:24
<b>Judge</b> 3:2,10,16,20, 25 4:4,11,15,16,17	occurred 5:3	rate 4:12 6:19 7:4	<b>staff</b> 3:16,18 4:6 5:21
5:2,14 6:2,4,6,8 7:1,	Office 3:20,23	reading 4:16,23 9:9	6:5,18 8:2,20,25 9:6
12,16,23 8:3,4,9,10, 12,15,18,19 9:8,9,14,	<b>open</b> 10:12	reason 4:10	started 8:17
23 10:2,5,10,16,18,21,	Operating 3:7	reasonable 8:1	stipulation 4:20 7:6
22,23	options 7:18	record 10:20,24,25	Strange 8:12

submission 9:11	25 8:9,11,14,18 9:8,13	
submit 7:6	10:1,9,16,22	
Swearengen 3:14	wide 10:12	
System 3:6	Woodruff 4:11,17 5:2	
	working 8:17	
T	works 8:7	
talk 4:1,5,7,10 10:19	WR-2017-0343 3:8	
talks 5:20	written 3:14	
tariffs 7:14	wrote 9:17	
thereto 11:11	Υ Υ	
thing 4:1,5 6:15 7:8		
things 8:12 9:17	yesterday 4:12	
<b>thinks</b> 6:13		
Thursday 10:11		
time 3:4 5:9		
timeline 9:6		
today 3:2 8:21		
treat 6:15,21		
typewriting 11:6		
U		
<b>understanding</b> 5:19 6:14 9:5		
<b>usual</b> 8:22		
utility 5:21 8:2		
V		
Verbatim 11:2		
W		
wanted 4:1,5,7,10		
Water 3:6,7,12		
week 8:21 10:10,12		
<b>Westen</b> 3:17 4:15 5:13 6:4,7,25 7:11,23,		