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J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Let's bring this proceedi ng to order and go on the record. Good morning. Today is August the 16th, 2018 and the current time is 9:01 a.m The Cormission has set aside this time for an evidentiary hearing in the matter of an application for a rate increase request for Li berty Utilities, M ssouri Water, LLC doing busi ness as Li berty Utilities.

And that is File Number WR-2018-0170.
That was al so consol idated with File SR- 2018-0171 on J anuary 26, 2018 with the 0170 number being the controliing number.

My name's John Clark. I'mthe Regul at ory Law Judge presiding over this hearing. Al so present right now in person we have the Chai rman, as well as Commissi oner Kenney. At this timel'd like to have counsel for the parties enter their appearance starting with Li berty Utilities.

MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you, Judge. Let the record reflect the appearance of Paul Boudreau of the I aw firmof Brydon, Swearengen and Engl and on behal f of Li berty Utilities. I've gi ven my contact information to the court reporter.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Boudreau.
Fromthe Commission Staff.

MR. WESTEN: Good morni ng. Thank you, Judge. My nare is Jacob Westen and with me is Al exandra Kl aus, and we are representing Staff of the Commi ssion in today's proceedi ng.

J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Westen, ME. Kl aus, thank you.

Fromthe Office of the Public Counsel.
MS. SHEMNELL: Good morni ng and thank you, Judge. Lera Shemwell and Cal eb Hall representing the Public Counsel, Hampton Wilians and the public. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: ME. Shemwel I and Mr. Hal I, thank you.

Silverleaf Resorts, I ncorporated and Orange Lake Country Cl ub.

MR. HARDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Joshua Harden, legal counsel for Silverleaf Resorts, Inc. and Orange Lake Country Cl ub, Inc. with the Iaw firm of Stinson Leonard Street, 1201 WAl nut Street, Kansas City, Mssouri.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Harden.
And Ozark Mbuntai n Condomi ni um
Associ ation.
MS. Gl BONEY: Good morni ng, Judge. I'm Sarah Gi boney of the Iaw firmSmith Lewis, LLP, and I
represent Ozark Mbuntai $n$ Condomini um Associ ation. J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Gi boney.

I'mgoing to advise the audi ence at this time if you have a cell phone, l'mgoing to ask you to place it on silent or vi brate at this point.

Because of a prior engagement, we are going to be breaking for I unch at exactly 12:25. And as was granted yesterday, we would be taki ng one witness at least out of order and at three o' clock we will be taking Mster -- l believe it's -- will be taking Mr. Al Isbury out of order at three o' clock.

Are there any pre-- preliminary matters that need to be addressed at this time?

MR. WESTEN: Actually, yes, Your Judge -yes, Judge. Staff has one. Staff counsel has two witnesses that filed testimony and inquired with counsel yesterday via e-mail if they had any questions or objections to the admittance of th- of those testimony. Those witnesses do not have issues that have been contested by the parties at this point in time.

And one witness, Mr. Milanen, the
parties have indicated they have no objection to the admission of his testimony. One other witness, Mr. Gateley, the Office of Public Counsel has
indicated that they do have questions for him Because no party has filed testimony contrary to what Mr. Gateley has presented, he is not -- does not present any contested issues that are on the issues list.

And, theref ore, Judge, I want ed to i nqui re as to your preference on when we should take up Mr. Gatel ey? My preference would be either first thing or after M. Murray this morning. I'd offer him for questions as -- since the Office of Public Counsel has indi cated they -- they have questions for him

JUDGE CLARK: Well, if he's not -- if he's not testifying as to a contested issue, why are we hearing fromhim?

MR. WESTEN: I -- he is -- has written testimony that was filed and we were si mply going to offer it for that purpose, Judge. I can't --

J UDGE CLARK: Are there any obj ections?
MS. SHEMNELL: We have -- we have no obj ection to hi s testimony coming in, but he was the case coordi nator and we have a couple of questions we would like to ask of him lt does not matter to us when he comes on the stand, but we have a couple of bri ef questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. I don't see any --
any other objections. Are there any ot her objections to the admittance of either of that testimony?

I see no hands at this time. I'mgoing
to ask you to go over for me agai n -- would you please state the name of each witness and spell it for me?

MR. WESTEN: Yes. The first witness is Mr. Curtis Gatel ey, C-u-r-t-i-s G-a-t-e-I-e [sic], Gatel ey. And his -- his testimony is on -- on our exhi bit list, Judge, marked as 104. The other witness is -- Staff's exhi bit list is marked at 108. That's St ephen Mbilanen.

J UDGE CLARK: Mbil anen?
MR. WESTEN: Mbil anen.
J UDGE CLARK: Mbilanen.
MS. SHEMMELL: Spell it.
MR. WESTEN: It's Mo-i-I-a-n-e-n.
J UDGE CLARK: And you sai d his was on your exhi bit list as Number 108?

MR. WESTEN: That's correct, Judge.
JUDGE CLARK: And what, respectfully, are they going to be testifying today?

MR. WESTEN: Well, Mr. Mbilanen hopef ully will not be testifying at all since no one has objected to the admittance of his testimony. We're si mply offering it.

As to Mr. Gateley, I don't anticipate him testifying to anything except whatever questions that Public Counsel has. I would just take this moment to say if they are asking himquestions that are outside the scope or rel evance of his testimony, I -- Staff's goi $n g$ to have to object.

JUDGE CLARK: And I guess that's what l'm asking. What is the scope of his testimony? What -what's the issue?

MR. WESTEN: His issue is about a chl orination software devi ce that could be used instead of a contractor. He al so testifies as to some fees and rates that need to be updated and al so consol idating just some of the tariff language. There's been no filed testimny to object or be contrary to those statements.

MB. SHEMNELL: Judge Clark, I believe the rule under 536 is that any expert witness may be cross-exami ned on any topic.

JUDGE CLARK: And my beli ef actually in terns of cross is that cross is pretty much unlimited, so l don't di sagree with you there.

I'mgoing to ask the court reporter real qui ck just to be sure I did, I went on the record. Correct?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
JUDGE CLARK: All right. I'mgoing to admit Staff Exhi bit 108 because I saw no objections to it.
(Staff Exhi bit 108 was recei ved into evi dence. )

J UDGE CLARK: Wy don't we go ahead and call Mr. Gatel ey at this time and that way anybody who wi shes to cross himmy cross him

MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.
MR. HARDEN: Your Honor, are we still on preliminary matters?

J UDGE CLARK: We can be. That would be fine. l'Il call himas the first witness and we'll just get through the remai ni ng preliminary matters. Go ahead.

MR. HARDEN: Okay. Thank you. I -- I have just a couple of -- of small itens. One is, l guess, a question, sort of a clarification. As you know, we -- Silverleaf Resorts has an outstanding Mbtion to Strike the Surrebuttal Testimony of Mr. Keith Magee in this case. I do not intend to argue that.

My -- my only question is whether or not for purposes of the reply brief and subsequent
briefings in this case, l believe that the order from the Commission was they will deal with that Mbtion to Strike in its Report and Order. So my -- my question is should we approach that testimony for purposes of the reply brief as though it has been admitted into the legal record?

J UDGE CLARK: I woul d.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: I woul d thi nk that would be the way to address it. I mean if it's going to be struck, it's going to be struck afterwards, but we're all goi ng to hear it today.

MR. HARDEN: I appreci ate it.
JUDGE CLARK: And actually as -- why don't I go on and address that because I think it's ki nd of important for everybody to know.

The Commission has not yet made a ruling regar ding the filed Stipul ation and Agreement. There was a flurry of motions filed in response to that, in response to Staff's Notice of No Objection, not -- to Non- unani mous Stipul ation Agreement, Request to Mbdify the Hearing Schedule, and Mbtion for Expedited Tr eat ment.

Obvi ously the Mbtion to Mbdify the Hearing Schedul e was deni ed, but we have motions
ranging fromjust before that fromthe Office of Public Counsel, their response to the Non- Unani mous Stipul ation and then a clarification. Silverleaf -and I'mjust going to call you Silverleaf for purposes of this hearing.

MR. HARDEN: That's fine.
JUDGE CLARK: They filed a response to non- unani mous. Ozark Mbunt ai $n$ Condomini um Associ ation filed a out-of-time objection to non- unani mous and request to file such. A Mbtion to Strike was filed by Li berty, as well as an objection to both Ozark Mbunt ai n Condomini um Associ ation Request for Leave to Late File and Silver Lake -- Silver Lake's [sic] response. And then the Office of Counsel -- Public Counsel filed another response.

All of those are going to be taken with the case. Any of those that you wi sh to brief, you're wel come to brief. And like I said, if there are a question, you may -- it -- it's entirely possible today that some questions may be asked about the agreement, so be prepared for that.

Are there any ot her pending issues that need to be taken up at this time?

MR. HARDEN: Silverleaf al so has a number of a non-testimonial exhi bits that we would like to
offer in the form of affidavits, deeds whi ch speak to the -- the property rights of the owners of the Silverleaf properties. I don't know if Your Honor would deemthis an appropriate time to make those -those offers or not, but l stand ready to do so right now if you want me to.

J UDGE CLARK: And those are -- those are -- those are exhi bits you're offering that don't need the support of a witness?

MR. HARDEN: That's correct. That's correct.

J UDGE CLARK: You' re tal king about 305, 304, 306 and 307?

MR. HARDEN: That is correct.
JUDGE CLARK: I am -- unl ess anybody has an objection, l'mwilling to take those up at this time as well. Is there any --

MR. BOUDREAU: I don't mind taking the matter up, but l haven't seen the exhi bits, so.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Well, that's a probl em l have right there. Can you make sure that all the parties get to see the exhi bits, because that's going to be a problem Why don't we take it up a little bit later after everybody's had an opportunity to see the exhi bits?

MR. HARDEN: Certai nl y . And just for your information, Judge, these are the exact same exhi bits that were filed in EFIS as schedul es to Silverleaf's briefing on one of the issues that we have -- we have dealt with. So l -- they -- they are in EFIS.

J UDGE CLARK: And I thought so too, but l'd like to give all the parties an opportunity to -to -- to reacquaint themsel ves with it and so that if they have an objection they'd like to make, that they can.

MR. HARDEN: Absol ut el $y$.
JUDGE CLARK: Is there anything el se that needs to be addressed?

Okay. As far as order of witnesses, with the exception of what I said before, we'll follow the order filed by the parties in their joint list of issues and witnesses. Exhi bits should be premarked and continuous sequentially. Let me know if you have additional exhi bits when we get to them

Just a moment.
Okay. And the way I understand it and it was expressed to me is that the parties, rather than maki ng an openi ng statement before each issue, are just going to be maki ng one openi ng statement at the
begi nni ng; is that correct?
Mb. SHEMNELL: Yes.
MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. I hear no opposition
to that. With that in mind, why don't we start with openi ng statements. I have Li berty Utilities schedul ed to be the first to give an openi ng st at ement.

MR. BOUDREAU: May it pl ease the
Cormíssion. Good morning. My name is Paul Boudreau. I represent Li berty Utilities in this particular case. Li berty Utilities has water and wastewater operations in the counties of Franklin, Jefferson, Cape Girardeau, Stone, Taney and MEDonal d counties.

And as the Corminsion is aware, the company had -- will soon be incorporating the systems of Ozark International, an acqui sition that was approved by the Commission in its File Number WW 2018-0023 in April of this year. But those operations were not part of this case.

The -- the operations that are part of this case are what were formerly referred to as the Al gonqui $n$ hater Resources operations, Noel hater Company's operations and KMB Utility Corporation's operations, which Li berty Utilities has -- has
acqui red.
Through the op-- excuse me. Though those operations are wi despread, the number of customers is very small. As Mb. Schwartz, on behalf of the Company, will point out in her Direct Testimony, that there are approxi matel y 2,000 water connections and -and 400 wastewater connections.

Because of its size, Li berty Utilities filed a rate increase request under the Cormission's Small Utility Rate Procedure ru-- rule or as it's -its acronymis SURP, S-U-R-P, and they filed that on December 15th, 2017 asking for an annual rate increase in operating revenues for its water systens of 995,844 dollars and 196, 617 dollars for its wastewater systens for a total of 1,192,461 dollars.

And this is a request that was driven by si gni ficant investments made to improve services since acquiring these systens and increases in $O$ and $M$ or oper ation and mai ntenance, expenses since the I ast time rates were adj usted for -- for any one of these systens.

The Company has al so requested the Commi ssion consider a consol idation of rates for its for -- for the former Noel and KMB systens, both water and sewer.

Staff undertook an audit after that filing -- or after that request was submitted and audited the systens. And di scussions took pl ace to refine the -- the numbers, to identify issues not in di spute and to resol ve matters where there were differences. And as a consequence, a Partial Di sposition Agreement was filed on May 24th. And at the same time, Staff filed a request for an evi dentiary hearing on outstanding issues, so here we are.

No one in this case is di sputing the fact that a significant rate increase is justified for Li berty. Staff's anal ysis is that the incremental increase for water and sewer rates is 978,569 dollars. And I believe that number is contained in the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff Wtness Harrison.

As concerns revenue requi rement, there are two issues that are being presented to the Cormi ssion to be decided; that is, cost of capital and rate case expense. And in rate case expense, as -two components to that, both the amount of rate case expense and the period -- the proper period over which to amortize that expense.

However, if the Commission were to adopt the Company's cost of capital recommendation in this
case, which is 10.25 percent of return on equity and a 47/53 debt-to-equity capital structure, ME. Schwartz for Li berty has estimated that the revenue impact would be a positive -- or an upward 6-- 60, 000 dollar adj ustment approxi mately to Staff's number as filed.

The revenue requirement needed to cover rate case expense is unknown at this time because as is self-evi dent, proceedi ngs are still ongoing and expenses are still being incurred.

I can tell you that despite the Company's diligent efforts to control and mimize rate case expense, it's going to end up bei ng substantial ; Iargely driven by an inability to reach unani mous compromised settlement in this case, even -- even after prol onged di scussions, and al so the compl exity and number of Iegal and procedural issues that have been rai sed al ong the way.

Thi s is regrettable for a company with a small number of customers like -- like -- like this particular company, but it has been unavoi dable despite the Company's best efforts to -- to minime those expenses.

Now, to a large extent the Company has deferred to Staff's work on rate design and appropriate billing determinants. Where rate
consolidation is concerned, Staff is supporting a di strict-specific pricing approach, but if the Cormi ssi on wants to see some progress on rate consol idation, Staf $f$ has indi cated that rate consolidation for the KMB systens would be acceptable.

Frommy client's perspective, it has indi cated that although it would like to see more progress on rate consol idation, it would not object to Staff's stated alternative in this case.

One party, Si-- which is Silverleaf Resorts and -- and Orange Lake Country Cl ub, has proposed that any rate increase be phased in. And this is a proposal that the Company has rejected on several grounds.

One, it is unauthorized by law.
Additionally, it would ensure that the Company would not earn its authorized return over the period of time the new rates would be in effect. And additionally, it's likely to create more, rather than less, customer di scontent about changes in bills and charges and -over a fairly short period of time.

Now, service quality is another issue that's identified on the issues list. That's al ways a consi deration in any rate case. And quite a few comments were filed on that topic in the Commission's

EFIS system Ozark Mbuntain Condomi ni um Associ ation, an intervenor in this case, is expected to sponsor the testimny of a witness who will discuss a number of issues that they' ve experienced in the past. And finally, there was testimony in each of the local public hearings in Pineville, Branson and Pacific, some of which touched on thi s topic.

Li berty Utilities takes its obligation to provi de safe, reliable, adequate water and wastewater services very seriously and we wel comes any input whi ch points to areas of concern or where improvements can be made.

As a result of -- of the -- the matters that I just di scussed, the Customer Experience and Operation Staff at the Company were tasked to look into all these topics and to, where appropriate and where needed, resol ve them These matters are addressed in the testimony of Company $W$ iness Jill Schwartz. I'm confident that the Commission will concl ude that the Company's response has been more than adequate.

The Company is sponsoring the testimony of two witnesses. I've mentioned ME. Schwartz. The first witness that will take the stand was -- is Keith Magee. He will be testifying on the issue of cost of
capital. Following Mr. Magee will be Jill Schwartz who touches on that topic. And she al so testifies in a li-- well, she testifies to cost of capital in a Iimited way and will address other outstanding issues on behal $f$ of the Company.

I want to mention and the -- and the Hearing Examiner in -- earlier on mentioned the -- an out st anding Non- unani mous Stipul ation and Agreement that was filed by and on behalf of Staff and the Company. And I want to mention that it was filed and -- and if adopted, it would resol ve all issues with the -- with the exception of rate case expense.

In the current procedural posture of the case, l'moperating under the assumption that it's consi dered at this time to be a joint recommendation of the si gnatories and has no independent evi dentiary force for purposes of the hearing today.

I do want to point out, however that a number of 13th hour pleadings by Public Counsel, Silverleaf and Ozark Mbuntai $n$ Condomi ni um Associ ation filed in this case voi ced principle outrage over the fact that the agreement specifies a return on equity number but not a capital structure for -- for purposes of settlement.

And tho-- I think those comments ignore
that the Md-States Gas rate case that was just recently resol ved by thi s Commission adopted a un- Unani mous Stipul ation and Agreement that addressed the issue of cost of capital in exactly the same manner as is bei ng proposed by Staff and Li berty Utilities in this case. And I al so want to point out that Public Counsel was a si gnatory to that agreement.

Before I close, I want to compl iment the Commission Staff for all the work that it's done in thi s case. This has not been an easy one because it's invol ved the reconciliation of three former separate company operations into a comprehensive, cohesive whole for rate-making purposes.

I know that a great deal of effort has gone into it and the Company appreciates the Staff's willingness to have worked with the Company through this process to try and achi eve a fair and reasonable recommendation and -- and sol utions for thi s case. And with that, l'll concl ude my remarks. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

MR. BOUDREAU: I apol ogi ze.
CHAI RMAN HALL: Just a few. Good morni ng. You indi cated that it's the Company's position that to phase in rates is not authorized by
st at ute.
MR. BOUDREAU: That's correct.
CHAI RMAN HALL: Does that make it
ill egal ?
MR. BOUDREAU: I don't thi nk the
Cormi ssion has statutory authority to -- to -- to compel a phase-in of rates. Now, if there were -- if there were an agreement, you know, if there were an agreement bet ween the utility and -- and the other pertinent parties to the case to -- for a phase-in proposal, I don't -- I woul dn't see a particular probl emwith -- with the Commission authorizing an agreement al ong those lines. But in terms of opi mposing a phase-in, I don't think the Cormi ssion has stat utory authority.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Wel I, I -- I under st and the statutory argument that phase-ins are allowed in el ectric, not specifically authorized el sewhere. So there's an argument that -- that that's intentional. But putting that aside for a noment, is there a rate-making principle that's being viol at ed if the -if the Commission were to -- were to order a phase-in on rates?

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, in -- in terms of the phase-in proposal that's been made by Silverleaf
in this case, l think the rate-making vi ol ation would be that it doesn't -- it doesn't contemplate compensating the Company for the carrying costs of the def er red revenues.

CHAI RMAN HALL: What if that was
corrected? Because I agree with you on that.
MR. BOUDREAU: Ask me the question. What -- what -- what specifically do you want me to address? I want to make sure that l'm-- that l'm accurate in my response.

CHAI RMAN HALL: If we were -- if -- if we were to establish a phase-in that -- that incl uded carrying costs so that the Company was hel d harmess over the -- over the period of the phase-in until the next rate case, if we were to put a tracker in place or whatever to -- to ensure that the revenue requi rement -- well, not to ensure, but to -- to take account of the lower rates on the front end and have hi gher rates on the back end, would that -- would -if -- if we were to order that in -- in the Report and Order coming out of this case, what rate-making principle would be vi ol ated?

MR. BOUDREAU: Setting asi de the -- the Iegal argument that l've postul ated. I mean that it doesn't -- that the Commission rule --

CHAI RMAN HALL: The rule of construction, yeah. I understand that.

MR. BOUDREAU: Yeah. Set-- setting that one aside. Well, I -- I suppose as an abstract matter, if -- if the Commission has in the past i mpl emated -- i mplemented phased-in rates for el ectric utilities, I would thi nk that that mechanismwould be available as -- as a rate-making matter in -- in another context.

I guess the con- - the bi ggest concern the Company has with respect to this is that it ends up costing the customers more in the long run. There's really -- it just exacerbates the problem of -- of cost-of-servi ce.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Yeah. It just -- it's -it's a mechani sm-- it's a mechanismto deal with rate shock, and so l -- । --

MR. BOUDREAU: And we under st and.
CHAI RMAN HALL: I understand the math that you're--

MR. BOUDREAU: Yeah.
CHAI RMAN HALL: And I al so under stand the practical issues invol ved that -- that you' ve rai sed.

Okay. What is the Company's position on -- on rate case expense, it's my understandi ng that
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the Company is arguing that it should be normalized over two years. Wbuld the -- woul d the -- woul d the Company be opposed to amortizing over two years?

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, l thi nk the
Company's position really -- and there may be some -there may be some conf usi on. The Company's position is it should be amortized over a period of years. The -- the debate between the Company and the Staff is -- at this point is the -- the appropriate number of years over whi ch to amortize. But l -- I agree with your approach. I thi nk amortization is the way to handle it for -- for accounting purposes.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. Al I right. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any ot her questions?
MR. BOUDREAU: I'msorry. I keep wal king away bef ore l'mexcused.

JUDGE CLARK: Thank you.
MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: For the Commi ssi on St af $f$.
MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. May it pl ease the Commi ssi on. Good morning, Chai rman, Commi ssi oners, Judge, Counsel. My nare is J acob Westen. And with Al exandra Kl aus today, I'm representing the Staff of the Commi ssion in this
proceedi ng.
Before I get into the substance, we' ve tal ked a little bit about names and how we're going to identify parties. I just wanted to clarify that for myself. When I refer to Li berty, Li berty Utilities, the Company or the Utility, I'mreferring to Li berty Utilities. If I reference Silverleaf or Orange Lake, obvi ously it's Silverleaf Resorts. And if l reference Ozark Mbuntain, l'mreferring to the Ozark Mbuntain Condomi ni um Associ ation. I just wanted to make sure that I was making that clear up front.

Mr. Boudreau covered some of the hi story -- the procedural hi story of this case. Li berty filed its rate increase request letter on Decenber 15th, 2017. That triggered the small utility rate case procedure as it existed up until April 2018 when that rule was resci nded. This case has proceeded under that rule rather than trying to retroactivel $y$ apply a new rule to this case.

I just want to kind of go over Staff's positions and di scuss those with you. So Li berty has sought a rate increase of 995,844 dollars and an increase to its sewer operating revenues of 196, 617. Fort unately, that issue has, for the most part, been resol ved by Staff and the Company filing its

Non- unani mous Stipul ation and Agreement.
And understanding the procedural
scenarios that we're under, Staff too vi ews that as a joint position of Staff and the Company and we believe that our filed case supports the findi ngs and the proposed resol ution of that document.

If the Commission deci des to approve the Non- unani mous Stipul ation and Agreement, the suggestion that is you're approving the positions and finding and supporting those positions presented in the docurent if you believe that it has been objected to and is a joint position.

That document, that Stipulation and Agreement that we filed, which l'Il refer to as the stipul ation, it recommends an increase of 818, 800 dollars to the water system revenues and an increase of 196,782 dollars to the sewer revenues. So somewhat Iess than what the Company has requested.

And just to be perfectly clear, no other party, no other partici pant in the hearing today and to this case, has proposed alternative revenue requi rements besides Staff and the Company. No one has -- no one has challenged the validity of those numbers.

And while there have been proposed
alternative capital structures by Silverleaf and by Li berty to get to the ne-- revenue requi rement, none of the parties have really suggested up to this point that the revenue requi rement itself should be different. So I think the Commissi on understands that that's kind of the scope that we're operating within for this -- for the deci si on set bef ore you today.

So there are only a few questions left. And let me present those -- Staff's position to you on those. First are cost-of-service questions. The first question is what is the appropriate return on equity? The stipulation that we filed suggests that it's a 9.75 return on equity. This figure is presented within the range of Mr. David Murray's testimony, who's the Staff witness who can speak to this. He identifies a range. 9.75 is appropriately within that. Mr. Murray is the best witness for -for questions about that.

Capital structure. The stipulation is silent as to capital structure. Just as Mr. Boudreau poi nt ed out, this was a similar settlement as to the type that was presented in the Md-States Gas case. We thi nk it's appropriate here. Obviously Staff views that its capital structure is the appropriate one and is what's in that document.

Li berty may di sagree, but we would suggest that if the Commission is obligated to pick a specific capital structure, pick Mr. Murray's which has been presented in his testimony and he can answer questions on that topic.

Rate case expense. Chai rman, you had -you had an important question; the difference bet ween normalization and amortization. Staff, in its direct case, recommended a five-year normalization, looking at the hi story of past Li berty cases and the frequency of their filing.

For the purposes of effectuating this stipulation, if the Commission adopts that and Li berty agrees or is ordered to core back in for a rate case in two years as a part of that stipulation, Staff would recommend that -- a three-year amortization period for that.

It gi ves recovery of the rate case expenses over the two years that Li berty would be out and then recovery of remai ning rate case expense during the pendency of that subsequent rate case. And then the over or under can be built back into rates at the end of that subsequent rate case. That -- that would be our recommendation if the Commission adopts the stipulation -- the stipulated positions.

Mr. Harrison is the witness who has worked with rate case expense and he is, by far, the best witness to speak with on that topic.

There's one important note I need to make about rate case expense. Mr. Boudreau identified that we don't have a final number yet, because we're obvi ously here today. The stipul ation that has been presented by Staff and the Company, it does not -that number -- that revenue requi rement number does not incl ude rate case expense.

So the decisi ons you make here today, those will be in addition to that revenue requirement. And I just want to make that point very clear. Because it -- we did our best to try to resol ve the case with what we had and we could not reach an agreement on rate case expense. So when you're making your deci si ons, please keep that in mind that it will be in addition to whi chever revenue requi rement number you choose to go with, in particular if you choose the stipulated number by Staff and the Company.

That addresses cost-of-service questions.
The remai ni ng are customer service and rate desi gn.
Obvi ously there has been questions rai sed about customer service issues and there's both operational and then actual customer service kind of billing
inquiries type issues.
The question is posed has Li berty
adequately responded to those customer issues? Staff's opi ni on that the changes that the Company has made that -- to the system-- the Ozark Mbuntain system have adequatel y addressed those issues going forward. Mr. Roos can speak about the operational issues.

When it comes to certain customer service issues and customer response, we think Li berty has made some important changes that they' ve agreed to both in the Partial Disposition Agreement filed on May 24th and some important comprises and changes that they are making that that would put forward in our stipulation. And we thi nk those will, goi ng forward, if i mplemented, adequately address any custoner servi ce compl ai nt probl ens.

If you need to or have questions about specific customer service itens, l'd recommend speaking with our witness, Dana Parish.

Finally, on rate design. I thi nk rate design is probably one of the more contentious issues so far in this case. There are three itens for your consi deration; whether or not to adopt a phase-in, what are the appropriate customer charge commodity charges, and whet her or not to exempt Silverleaf from
a subsequent rate case as a service area.
When it comes to the phase-in, Staff is opposed to a phase-in. We do agree that there are sore instances where phase-ins make sense. We don't thi nk a phase-in would make sense in this case based on the anount of $t$ he increases and the nat ure of the servi ce provi ded. And certai nly not Mr. Stannard's proposal, Silverleaf's witness, and -- certai nly not his phase-in approach is appropriate in this case.

Mr. Bush can speak about phase-in specifically. I can try to answer your questions on that.

Customers charge a cormodity charge.
Obvi ously Staff believes that its approach to rate design is the most appropriate. If you look at the filed stipulation, Attachment A includes a breakdown of what we believe all the rates are with that revenue requi rement.

Now, I want to just make it again absol utel y clear that those proposed rates, they do not include rate case expense. So that -- they will need to be updated at the end of this case. But that, I thi nk, should provide Staff's -- clearly provide Staff's proposal about how rates will look roughly at the end of this case if Staff's approach is adopted.

Staff Witness Matt Barnes can speak to rate design and the specific work that he did on that.

Finally, exemption of Silverleaf froma subsequent rate case. Silverleaf has rai sed this issue that if Li berty is brought back in within 24 months, that it would be inappropriate for themto be included in a future rate case.

Staff is obviously opposed to this proposal. We don't recommend it. The Commission can best deci de what rates are and when they are appropriate when they have all rel evant factors in front of them Exempting a service area, exempting that cost-of-service, that was going to create an incorrect collection of cost-of-service issues. It's going to ultimatel y either hurt or di scriminate agai nst certain customers or for certain customers and we just don't think it's good for the heal th of the Company or for the benefit of the customers. And Mr. Bush can answer specific questions as to that i ssue.

These are the primary questions before the Commission today. We bel ieve the stipul ation that we filed adequatel $y$ addresses each of these questions, I eavi ng for the Commission just and reasonable rates and benefits both the customers and the Company.
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Staff obvi ously views its uni que role. We have to provi de an objective -- what we think is an objective, neutral resol ution to the case. That's what we try to do. And in trying to bal ance those interests of the Company and the customers, we believe that this proposal best addresses those questions bef ore you.

Agai $n$, the witnesses here today,
Mr. Gatel ey, obvi ously we'll have himgo first;
Mr. Harrison on rate -- rate case expense; Mr. Murray on cap structure, ROE; Mr. Roos and Mr. [sic] Parish on customer service operation customers questions and customer service questions; and Mr. Busch and Mr. Barnes for rate desi gn issues.

I just want to thank you agai $n$ for your time today and I appreciate your thoughts and consi derations on reaching resol utions in this case. I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAI RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
JUDGE CLARK: Actually l've got a coupl e questions for you --

MR. WESTEN: Sure.
J UDGE CLARK: -- I want to ask just bri efly.

You indi cated -- and I believe Li berty did as well that -- that you believe at this point that the agreement as filed is the -- is yours and Li berty's position statement; is that correct?

MR. WESTEN: Yes. So the -- the operation of the regul ation, according to Non- Unani mous Stipulations and Agreements 2. 115 says that if a stipulation is objected to -- a non- unani mous is objected to, that it becomes the joint position of the parties.

While obvi ously that question is pending bef ore the Commission procedurally speaking, and I would urge the Cormission to adopt the non- unani mous as a unani mous agreement for those procedural questions, because l think the rules are important and we should follow our rules, the -- the position that we are taking today is the assumption that the Commission has agreed with the objection and is listening to the entire case and the proposed stipulation as though it was a joint position.

I think procedurally speaking for today's process, that makes the most sense. That way you're able to hear the issues and the parties are able to present their cases on those issues.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. That leaves me with
another question because you said that the filed case you believe supports that agreement. And sol'mleft questioning why you have a -- why in -- in filed testimny and in Staff's audit you have a hi gher revenue requi rement than is -- and I understand that -- that -- that -- that you said there's range, but that doesn't really tell me why.

MR. WESTEN: So the filed case was going on at the same time negotiations were occurring. And the filed case is Staff's filed case. If there was no Stipul ation and Agreement, that would be Staff's direct case and we would be absol utel y supporting that case.

But because there have been some compromises made in reaching the stipulation, that is the j oint position that we're putting forward. We thi nk obvi ously that our filed case, because of the anal ysis that we put forward, it underpins the Stipulation and Agreement. And yes, there is going to be some difference there in those numbers, but we view that as part of the compromise in reaching of the stip.

I know there's not a question pending, but if I might actually answer a question that you had for Mr. Boudreau, chai rman. You asked Mr. Boudreau if
there was an issue withlawf ul ness or specifically about rate-making princi ples regarding phase-ins.

And if -- if l might offer a comment there, not only does this phase-in not mirror past phase-ins where you' ve seen a stair-step up to an ultimate rate, this one goes bel ow, then above and then finally back down to a normal rate. And that ki nd of up and down, whi ch changes every year for five years, totally goes agai nst rate stability.

It will conf use customers as to what
thei $r$ bills are and how to manage thei $r$ bills to adopt that ki nd of phase-in. So not only do you have questions of carrying costs, whi ch you identified, but al so there's an issue of rate stability which affects both customers and, frankly, the Company as well. I just wanted to make that point.

CHAI RMAN HALL: But that's not a legal issue. That's a --

MR. WESTEN: No.
CHAI RMAN HALL: -- practical i ssue?
MR. WESTEN: That is a rate-making -- I would say princi ple that you would want to consider.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: One last question.
MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge.

J UDGE CLARK: Try and make it quick. And that is -- the way it's set, it's around a 92 percent increase; is that correct?

MR. WESTEN: Yes. According to our -our calculation, we believe that if you look at the increase to the water revenues, it's roughly 92 percent. If you look at the increase to sewer revenues, it's roughly 75 percent.

JUDGE CLARK: Is there -- is there a specific percentage point or specific percentage number that Staff has in mind at whi ch they believe rate shock ki cks in?

MR. WESTEN: That's a very good question. I don't think there is a specific percentage number. I thi nk you have to look at the number of customers, the rates that were in pl ace beforehand, the proposed rates and the effect on the system

I -- there can't be a clear number like that, at least -- l'mspeaking for myself, in my opi ni on. Staff witnesses may have different opi ni ons. But I think-- I think a specific number -- tying it to a specific number can create operational issues when you're -- you might be putting something down on customers that might actually be fine.

If you're noving froma fairly high bill
to a slightly hi gher bill, l mean it's still -- it still hurts more if you're still having a high bill. If you're moving froma really low bill to a really hi gh bill, well then, maybe rate shock is implicated more there. I don't know if there's a percentage i nvol ved.

J UDGE CLARK: Is there a range?
MR. WESTEN: I don't know that । -- । could say that there's a range. And I'm not trying -not trying to -- to hi de a ball here or anything. I just thi nk when you're looking at the actual rates, there's more to it than just pi cking a number or a range.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you.
MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.
JUDGE CLARK: Openi ng stat ement from Silverleaf Resorts, Incorporated and Orange Lake Country Cl ub.

MR. HARDEN: Thank you. May it pl ease the Commi ssion. My nare is Joshua Harden. represent Silverleaf Resorts and Orange Lake Country Cl ub, Incorporated. For si mplicity, l'Il refer to my client as Silverleaf.

First of all, l would like to thank the Cormíssion for the opportunity to be heard in this
case. I'd like to thank Staff for their hard work in this -- this case or continued hard work. I'd al so like to think Li berty Utilities, particularly ME. Schwartz, who could probably think of a thousand thi ngs she' d rather do on a Friday than did get deposed by Silverleaf, so while Silverleaf certainly does not agree with all of the concl usi ons reached by Staff and Li berty Utilities, we certainly respect the professionalismthat everyone has demonstrated in this case.

Who is Silverleaf? Silverleaf ouns and operates resort properties in Mssouri. Those properties are sold as timeshare interests. The timeshare owners of Silverleaf properties own a Iegally cogni zable fee simple interest in real estate. That interest is recorded via deed in the respective county recorder's office. The deed hel d by Silverleaf homeowners are subject to a declaration of rights, whi ch are no less legally valid than the deed restrictions hel d by any other homeowner in the state of M ssouri.

So to be clear, the timeshare owners of Silverleaf properties are real Mssouri property owners under the laws of this state and my clients are the devel opers, managers and owners of these
properties.
Silverleaf intervened in this case because it is the Iargest customer of Li berty Utility Mssouri Water with over 50 percent of Li berty Utility M ssouri Vater's revenues coming fromthe Silverleaf system The timeshare owners of Silverleaf Resorts, as well as the management of the property and the homeowners association, have a vested interest in hel ping to ensure that they recei ve safe and adequate utility service at just and reasonable rates.

Silverleaf is largel y in agreement with the revenue requirement produced by Staff, with the notable exception of return on equity. On the ROE issue, Silverleaf si mply does not believe that it is appropriate to impute the risk and investor expectations of a natural gas company to a water company, even if those compani es are owned by the same multi-national corporation.

The customers of Li berty Utilities, M ssouri hater, deserve an independent and real anal ysis of ROE of the water company that serves them and not si mply a bootstrap number froman affiliate company in an entirely different industry.

Silverleaf al so parts ways with Staff on the issue of rate design and would prefer to see a
rate design whi ch sends an appropriate price si gnal that incents intelligent management of water resources. We believe that Staff's proposed rate desi gn, whi ch recovers a substantial amount, it goes from 38 percent to 60 percent of revenues fromthe fixed customer charge, shifts virtually all of the risk to the customers. It hurts the smallest customers the most and it removes any incentive for intelligent management of water resources. Silverleaf bel ieves that this is simply not good policy.

Silverleaf has proposed a phase-in of the rate increase, whi ch we recognize that to some degree is going to happen. This proposal is based on the fact that Li berty Utilities, Mssouri Water, waited al most ten years to come to this Commission for rate increase and it is the result of this del ay, this management decision, and not si mply an increase in O and M costs that will cause severe rate shock for the customers of Li berty Utilities, Mssouri Water.

Li berty Utilities is not an
unsophisticated mom and pop small water and sewer company. The evi dence presented today will show that there are simply no management justification for this del ay and the rate shock that will be caused without this Commission offering some mitigation.

Staff has recommended that Li berty Utilities come in for another rate case within 12 to 24 months. This recommendation is based sol ely on Li berty Utilities acqui sition of Ozark International. The acqui sition has zero effect on the cost-of-service to the Silverleaf systems, with the notable exception of spreadi ng out the costs of shared services and corporate allocations to another system

We have heard a fair amount about rate case expense al ready this morning in this case. In fact, rate case expense was the basis for Al gonqui $n$ Power and Utility Corporation's state subsidiary, Li berty Utility, Mssouri Water, use of the small utility rate case procedure in M ssouri.

Silverleaf merely asks this Commission to consi der its rate case expense in regards to Staff's recommendation, which unlike the state-certified monopoly utility with absol utely no market competition, we, Silverleaf Resorts, do not get to aut omatically recover.

Let me concl ude with this: Staff is put in a difficult and unenviable position with the small utility rate procedure, at least as it applies to Li berty Utilities, Mssouri Water. They're essentially asked to stand in the shoes of the utility
company and drive the rate case. But make no mistake.
The -- the burden -- the burden of proof under
Mssouri law remains on the utility company. And this Commi ssion's charge is to determine what is in the best interest of $M$ ssourians based on the facts that have been Iawf ully presented to it.

Silverleaf doesn't stand here today as an adversary of Li berty Utility -- of Li berty Utilities. We stand here as a voice for what we believe is in the best interest of our business, for our customers and the public interest.

And with, l'mopen to any questions that the Commi ssi on may have.

CHAl RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Openi ng
statement from Ozark Mbunt ai $n$ Condomi ni um Associ ation.
MS. G BONEY: May it pl ease the
Commi ssion. Good morning. Chai rman Hal I,
Commi ssi oners, Judge Cl ark, my name is Sarah Gi boney and I'mthe attorney for Ozark Mbuntain Condomini um Associ ation.

This is the associ ation for owners of indi vi dual condo units within Ozark Mbuntain Condomin ni uns. And that's a condo devel opment on Table

Rock Lake, just a half mile south of Ki mberling City so, you know, 20 miles west of Branson , give or take. The condomini uns are located right on the shoreline of the I ake.

The condomi ni um devel opment is a 101-unit devel opment. And it's arranged a little bit different than Mr. Harden's client. This -- these 101 units are all indi vi dual ly ouned and they are all indi vi dually metered water and sewer customers of Li berty
Utilities. The units are spread over 14 buildings and the devel opment has, you know, some of its own comcommon el ements such as a cl ubhouse and a pool and common grounds and things like that. The condo unit owners al so have access to similar amenities that are next door at Silverleaf Resorts.

So -- and then like the indi vi dual condo unit owners, the association itself is al so an indi vi dual customer of Li berty Utilities. So Ozark Mbunt ai $n$ Condomini um Associ ation recei ves its water for its irrigation and the pool and the cl ubhouse and its sewer from Li berty Utilities.

Li ke I i ndi cated, OMCA, the condomini um association, it has sort of a close rel ation with -with Silverleaf in this case. And basically it's served by the same water and sewer systens. And I
just want to point out -- we're tal king about names and identifying parties and things like that. The -throughout the case and the pleadings, the actual water systemthat serves Silverleak -- Silverleaf and Ozark Mbuntain, that's referred to as the Silverleaf system and then the sewer systemthat serves themis referred to as the Ozark Mbuntain system

So those two systens I bel ieve were bought from Silverleaf Resorts by Al gonqui $n$, whi ch as Mr. Harden mentioned, is a rel ated entity to Li berty Utilities. So l help that sort of orients everyone.

Li ke probably everyone el se who recei ved the initial notice from Li berty, OMCA menbers were al armed and frustrated when they heard that their rates might more than double for water and al most double for sewer.

Oh, and let me stop and note in this proceedi ng my client has not pre-filed expert witn-witness testimony on any of the rate-making issues. We just don't have the capital for that. But as I mentioned, it's in the exact same position as Silverleaf with respect to the service it recei ves and the systens that -- that serve it.

So for that reason, OMCA has fully supported the positions of Silverleaf with respect to
return on equity, capital structure, rate case expense, phase-in, customer charges, commodity charges and the question of whether Silverleaf should be exempted fromthe next rate case.

So what does that leave? Well, that I eaves the issue of customer service. And thankfully, that remai ned on the issue list in this case because it's very important. As I mentioned a couple minutes ago, OMCA wasn't just al armed. It was frustrated when it heard about these potential rate increases.

As the Commissioners are certainly aware, the purpose of regulation of these utilities is to ensure safe and adequate service at $j$ ust and reasonable rates. OMCA's frustration and concerns specifically go to whether or not that service is safe and adequate, and whether the rates it proposes -Li berty proposes are just if its services are not consi stently safe and adequate.

So on this issue OMCA will offer testimnny. That's the testimony of its property manager, Don Allsbury. I think Don can offer the Commission a uni que perspective because he's been the property manager there since around the time Al gonquin bought these systens.

And in his role as property manager, he's
sort of a liai son for those individual unit owners. The fact of the matter is if they have a problem they call Don. They don't necessarily call Li berty. And a Iot of the times, a single water problemis affecting many unit owners, so it makes sense that there's one point of contact with Li berty.

But as that liai son, he's dealt with numerous and repeated probl ens with the water system and he details those in his testimony. Some of those systens -- sore of those probl ens, excuse me, resulted in property damage to those common el ements, like, you know, damage to the irrigation system or leaking in a condo unit. And those issues are obvi ously concerning to the unit owners and the associ ation.

But if -- even if we set those aside, part of the problens that he tal ks about are instances of Iow water pressure and no water to the units for a period of time. We all know what it would mean to have no water, but one of the side effects of that is having no sprinkler system available. So to OMCA, these really are just not adequacy concerns but sometimes they' ve been safety concerns as well.

Those problens we think pl ai $\mathrm{nl} y$ go to the adequacy and saf ety of the service. And all those issues, to us, go to whether or not it's just to allow
the types of rate increases that Li berty is requesting.

In his testimony, Mr. Allsbury al so deal s di rectly with the issue of what it's like to communi cate with the contractors that Li berty has engaged. You'll read, and perhaps you're going to hear from Staff's witnesses and Li berty witness on these issues.

You' ve al ready heard Mr. Boudreau suggest that all the problens that -- that Mr. Allsbury tal ks about in his testimony have been resol ved, they thi nk -- I believe Staff said that -- these are my words -- that the Company has, you know, adequately addressed all these concerns.

Well, one of the decisions, whi ch we appl aud, was the deci si on to terminate Li berty's contract with a particular contractor and its intention to use in-house employees to provide customer service.

You know, in reading the local public hearing transcripts in this case, I was encouraged to see that the Commissioners that were in attendance asked the witnesses -- who to a person -- well, that's not true. There was one witness, Mr. Beauford, Buford, who testified only about rate shock. But
setting himaside, to a person -- every witness that showed up had a customer service concern. And I was very encouraged to see that the Commissioners who were in attendance followed up and asked questions about that.

So in this hearing, I would just strongly encourage you to do the same and to ask M. Allsbury whether -- contrary to Staff and -- and Li berty's position, whet her M. Allsbury feels like the repeat probl ens in the past have been resol ved in a way that they're not going to happen again.

To wrap this up, I just want to mention one I ast thing. One witness at the local public hearing in Branson on July 23rd made a very short statement that really jumped out at me. And that witness was Jan Fischer and the testimny is at page 16, lines 8 through 10 of that transcript. And Jan Fi scher said that Li berty should, quote, Take care of busi ness first before it increases rates, end quote.

Given my client's menbers' experiences as water customers of Li berty, OMCA whol eheartedly agrees with that witness.

Thank you. Questions?
CHAI RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
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CHAI RMAN HALL: Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: ME. Gi boney?
Mb. GI BONEY: Yes.
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: I'msorry. I was slow to the -- slow to the draw. Good morning.

MS. G BONEY: Good morni ng.
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: So you -- Ozark
Mbuntain agrees with Silverleaf positions and then you separated out customer service. What would you like this Conmission to do that is not in the Silverleaf's position when it just comes to customer service?

MG. Gl BONEY: Vell, they have -- and
ME. Schwartz or -- or Mr. Boudreau can correct me, but it appears to me in the Non- Unani mous Stipulation and in some of the testimny that -- l'mnot really clear whet her they have committed to using only empl oyees of Li berty to handle customer service issues. Because there are al so provi sions about, well, if they use a contractor, you know, then they'll make sure that customers' compl ai nts get referred back to Li berty. So not clear on that.

I thi nk it would probably be preferable if they used an empl oyee who was located close by. If you all have been down around Table Rock or just that area of Mssouri, you could be 10 miles as the crow
flies and you could be 35 miles away on the road. So I don't know what an empl oyee close by means, but truly someone who can respond in a timely manner.

The other thing is one of the agreements I guess in this stipulation was that if Li berty used contractors, it would make sure that the contractor promptly reported those -- whatever it was -- issue it was dealing with or how it resol ved it to Li berty as soon as practical, but at least monthly.

Mbnthly to me is completely perpl exing. I don't know how the messages are being sent that they coul dn't be sent faster than that. But if you're tal ki ng about a big water leak or a lack of water, I thi nk sending that message back to the Company monthly is inadequate. So those would be the main things. I do -- I amglad that they di smissed the previ ous cont ractor.

The other issue -- and l'Il just mention to you you may want to talk to M. Allsbury about this, is -- and l know that other customers have commented on this and that Li berty has attempted to address it, but would be the issue of having a live person who answers the phone when a customer does call.

```
I know there was one witness at the local
```

public hearing who mentioned calling, ringing, no answer, you know, those types of situations when people are trying to find out about boil orders and things like that. I think it's very important that they have someone available who can promptly respond to customer servi ce probl ens, especially when they i nvol ve something like that, you know, water so -broken mains, things like that.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: So that's your ask?
Mb. Gl BONEY: Yeah.
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Okay.
MS. G BONEY: Better customer service, use of in-house empl oyees, prompter reporting not a month later, yeah.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Opening stat ement fromthe Office of the Public Counsel.

MS. SHEMNELL: Thank you and good morning. May it pl ease the Commission. Thank you.

Public Counsel in this case has not filed testimony. We do agree with the result of the Stipulation and Agreement in terms of the revenue requi rement. We have expressed concern -- I don't know that it can accurately be described as outrage as Mr. Boudreau sai d, but the fact that the Stipulation
and Agreement does not contain a capital structure, we feel that that gives inadequate information to both the Commission and potentially investors.

We do agree with Staff's capital
structure as stated in Mr. Murray's testimony and in the Staff's statement of position, 42.83 common equity, 57. 17 long-term debt. I would al so note that -- that is our concern and that is what we expressed, what Ms. Roth expressed.

I would say that agreement in one case certai nl y does not requi re agreement in a second case. Every Stipulation and Agreement says that, that it's not bi nding on any other case.

We agree to the provision in the Unani mous Stipulation for a rate case in two years to incor porate Ozark International. There are two Ozark entities invol ved in this, and Ozark International is a new acqui sition by the Company.

In Pacific, Mssouri we heard public comments about the chl orine smell and taste of the water. They were compl ai ning that their water had been perfect. And one gentleman said he even filled up gallons of water when he travel ed so he could have good-tasting water on his trips. So they really liked the water prior to chl orination.

I thi nk that's -- that's all | have unl ess you have questions. We -- Public Counsel generally opposes phased-in rates.

CHAI RMAN HALL: So Publ ic Counsel does not oppose the revenue requi rement contai ned in the Non- unani mous Stipul ation and Agreement?

MS. SHEMMELL: Correct.
CHAI RMAN HALL: And what I don't under stand then is, isn't it rel atively easy to determine what the capital structure would be if you have the revenue requi rement, the cost of debt and the ret urn on equity?

MS. SHEMMELL: It is. And Mr. Murray has done that.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Well then, even if the Non- Unani mous Stipulation does not expressly say what the capital structure is, can't you read between the Iines and see what it is and coul dn't any-- any i nvestor who was concerned about that, coul dn't he, she or it do the same thing?

MS. SHEMMELL: I don't know about i nvestors, what they can or can't do. Agai n, we agree with M. Mrray's cal cul ation.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. l'mjust -- I'm just trying to under-- so you're not opposed to the
revenue requi rement in the stipul ation, you're not opposed to the ROE in the stipul ation?

Mb. SHEMWELL: That's right. We just thi nk that the Stipulation and Agreement should state the capital structure for the information of anyone readi $n g$ it.

CHAl RMAN HALL: And you' re al so not opposed to the -- to the rate design contai ned in the stipul ation?

Mb. SHEMNELL: Yes. I thi nk that we have expressed just one concern.

CHAl RMAN HALL: I'msorry. What was that one concern?

MB. SHEMNELL: That it di dn't state the capital structure.

CHAI RMAN HALL: The one concern about the stipulation. Not the one concern about rate design?

Mb. SHEMNELL: Correct
CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SHEMNELL: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: No party obj ected and I agreed to take the testimony of Curtis Gatel ey out of order, as Staff counsel wanted to offer that onto the record.

MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge. Thank you.

I -- I must confess, l'm not sure if l should go through the standard procedure or just offer it, but I guess Staff calls Mr. Gatel ey to the stand.

JUDGE CLARK: And l would prefer if -- if
you have hi mere, that you go ahead and lay the foundation.

MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Gatel ey, woul d you
rai se your right hand and be sworn.
(W) ness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Proceed.
MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. Will
you -- thank you, Judge.
CURTI S GATELEY, being first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Will you pl ease state and spell your name for the record?
A. My name is Curtis Gatel ey, C-u-r-t-i-s Gat el ey, G-a-t-e-l-e-y.
Q. And by whom are you empl oyed and in what capacity?
A. Staff of the Publ ic Servi ce Commi ssion. l'ma utility -- utility policy anal yst two.
Q. Are you the same Curtis Gatel ey who
prepared or caused to be prepared Di rect Testimony in this case which has been previ ously marked as Staff Exhi bit 104?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your testin mony?
A. Not at this time.
Q. Is your testimony true and correct to the best of your bel ief and know edge?
A. Yes.
Q. If I asked you the same questions today, woul d your answers be the same?
A. Yes.

MR. WESTEN: At thi s time Staff offers Mr. Gatel ey's testimony as evi dence.

J UDGE CLARK: Are there any obj ections to the Direct Testimony of Curt Gatel ey, Exhi bit 104?

Seei ng no objections, that will be admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 104 was recei ved into evi dence.)
J UDGE CLARK: It's my understanding that the Office of the Public Counsel has sone cross-exam nation that they' dike to do.

MS. SHEMMELL: Thank you, Judge. CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. Good morning, M. Gatel ey.
A. Good morni ng.
Q. I coul dn't hel $p$ but notice that you' ve been injured.
A. Yes.
Q. What was your role inthis case? Wére you the case coordi nat or?
A. I was the case manager and I al so conducted some investi gation.
Q. As part of that or your investigation, did you revi ew the recordkeeping of the Company?
A. Not personally, no.
Q. What is your position as to the quality of the recordkeeping by Li berty Whter?
A. Could -- could you be more specific?
Q. Sure. Do they meet Comission requi rements for recordkeeping?
A. Recordkeeping is -- it is a difficult definition for me to answer. I -- I would have to rely on Staff Witness Paul Harrison for records rel ated to -- to his audit or to some other Staff menbers for records rel ated to their specific pieces of the investigation.

The records that -- that I revi ewed were Iimited to -- personally were limited to the DR
responses to -- to my testimony. I did, however, recei ve feedback from Staff about their various revi ews that they conducted.
Q. And as the case coordi nator, what was your concl usi on after your revi ew of the others' testimony and your own investigation?
A. It's my opi ni on that thi ngs certainly could have been better. That there were difficulties that -- that Staff encountered in obtai ning some of the inf ormation that they needed. The feedback that they recei ved fromthe Company was that the Company was struggling to produce some information thensel ves.

## Q. Did you -- how did you interpret that

 they were struggling? That they did not -- they coul d not find it? They did not have it?A. In -- in some cases, that's my
under st andi ng.
Q. Do you want to be specific about those cases, pl ease?
A. | -- । mean these are impressions that I remember through the course of the case. In particular, I recall that our Customer Experience Department encountered a Iack of a -- of a log of the customer inquiries. Later, it's my understandi ng that the Company was able to -- to find some amount of
information that -- that was similar to our expectations on -- on a customer inqui ry log, but that log then was not as compl ete as -- as what we would like to see.

Initially when we would request
information for our audit, it's my understanding that what the Company produced was -- was not necessarily what we asked for, but then further investigation suggested that they were using a -- a improper accounting method for Mssouri.

So I don't know that the information was -- di dn't exist as much as was in a format that we weren't expecting, so we were speaking different Ianguages. I do not have specific itens that I revi ewed that I recall that they -- that they simply di dn't have. It's -- this is the information that I got fromfol ks actually working on the subject.
Q. And we agree that the Comm ssi on' s records regarding water compani es -- or the Comission's rul es regarding water compani es requi re the water compani es to keep their records according to the NARUC USOA, I thinkit's 1976 as updated in ' 78. Is that your belief or your understanding?
A. I -- I hesitate to commit to -- to which year version, because that's not what I actually do.

I'mafraid I might get it wrong. But l -- it's one of the things that we had in our agreement and I -- । could look that up.
Q. Vell, could we agree that it was fromthe ' 70s?
A. I -- I believe that's accurate.
Q. And is it your impression that that will be corrected?
A. Yes.
Q. Or has been?
A. I don't know what the Company's stat us is in achi eving that change. They have agreed that by a certain date they will have achieved a change to be in compl iance with the -- the Mssouri regul ations on that.
Q. Is it al so your concl usi on that their ot her recordkeeping, for exampl $e$, for customer servi ce and so on will al so be improved?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Do you have any remai ning concerns with any recordkeepi ng that has not been addressed by the Company?
A. As a regul at or, I remain skeptical of all companies and will investigate all the time. I will al ways be concerned. I don't have any specific itenฐ
that I can think of that aren't addressed by the agreements that -- that we have currently regar di ng -regar di ng recordkeeping.
Q. Very good. Thank you, M. Gatel ey. That's all I have.

J UDGE CLARK: Are there any ot her parties present who have any cross that they would like to of $f$ er?

MR. BOUDREAU: I have no questions. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

CHAI RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Mr. Gatel ey, you're excused.

MR. WESTEN: Actual ly, Judge, can I ask one redirect just for clarification?

J UDGE CLARK: That woul d be fine.
MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WEST:
Q. M. Gatel ey, you were asked questions by M5. Shemwell about records and you spoke about accounting records. Do you recall that question and your answer?
A. I do.
Q. You' re aware that the Stipul ation and Agr eement si gned bet ween St aff and the Company identifies corrections that Li berty has agreed to make about concerns with recordskeeping and accounting?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you very much. No further questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Mr. Gatel ey, you're excused. And as I've said before, Exhi bit 104 is admitted on the hearing record.

With that in mind, let's start the actual contested issues that we have. My understanding is our first issue is cost-of-service. That's been broken down into three sub-issues, with the first two sub-issues bei ng dealt with together and those would be return on equity and capital structure.

With that in mind, l believe Li berty Utilities has the first witness.

MR. BOUDREAU: Yes.
JUDGE CLARK: You may call your witness.
MR. BOUDREAU: l'd like to call Keith Magee to the stand, please. Judge, just as a point of -- as a point of order, just a request for how to handle -- l've got the premarked exhi bits. Should

I -- would you antici pate that I would hand himthe exhi bits that I intend to submit for the record or should they otherwi se be just provided to the court reporter? Do you have a preference?

J UDGE CLARK: I woul d have a preference that the witness actually see them before they're admitted.

MR. BOUDREAU: I can certai $\mathrm{nl} y$ do that.
J UDGE CLARK: Unl ess -- unl ess he has a worki ng copy.

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, l -- l thi nk he'll have a working copy with him but I don't have any probl em handi ng hi mthe premarked exhi bit.

J UDGE CLARK: Let's just do it that way then.

Okay. Mr. Magee, woul d you rai se your right hand and be sworn.
(Wtness sworn.)
J UDGE CLARK: Thank you.
Li berty, you can start your questions.
MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you very much.
KEI TH MAGEE, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Wbuld you state your name for the record,
pl ease, sir?
A. My nare is Keith Magee.
Q. And by whom are you enpl oyed and in what
capacity?
A. I'mempl oyed by ScottMadden, Inc. as a di rector.
Q. Okay. Are you here testifying on behalf -- on behalf of one of the parties to this case?
A. $\quad \mathrm{l}$ am
Q. And -- and the name of that party is?
A. Li berty Utilities.
Q. Okay. Are you the same Keith Magee that has caused to be prepared and pre-filedinthis case what's been identified as Exhi bit Number 4, which is your Surrebuttal Testimony? And l'II -- I'II go ahead and present this to you.
A. Yes, I am
Q. So you recogni ze that to be a copy of your pre-filed Surrebuttal Testimony is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you have any -- well, was that prepared by you or under your di rect supervi si on?
A. It was prepared by me, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have any corrections to
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make to that testimony at this time?
A. I do not.
Q. If I were to ask you the same questions as are contai ned in your Surrebuttal Testi nony, would your answers today be substantially the same?
A. Yes, they would.

MR. BOUDREAU: Okay. With that, I will offer Exhi bit 4 into the record and tender the witness for cross-examination.

JUDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to admitting Exhi bit -- Li berty Exhi bit 4 onto the hearing record? MR. HARDEN: Yes, Your Honor. But for clarification, does that exhi bit incl ude what was filed on l believe it was August 9th KM S13, which is the Direct Testimony of Mr. Magee? It -- and as I recall, that was actually filed separately fromhis Surrebuttal Testimny sol'mjust trying to -- is that incl uded in this exhi bit, the schedul e?

MR. BOUDREAU: Are you asking the witness a question?

MR. HARDEN: Well, l gu-- can l see the exhi bit so l can see what it is?

So, Your Honor, not -- not to argue, but what -- what I would like to do is extend our objection, whi ch we have filed pendi ng bef ore the

Commi ssi on to the schedule whi ch was -- whi ch was actually filed in EFIS separately, KM S13, whi ch I believe was filed on August 9th, extend that objection to that particular schedule. Other than that, that's al I.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. In regard to your objection, I'mgoing to provisionally -- l'm goi ng to overrule it for now. l'mgoing to allow you to -- l'm going to allow you to brief the issue. I'mgoing to provi sionally admit it onto the hearing record pending any decision made in the Report and Order.

Go ahead, Mr. Boudreau.
BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. M. Magee, do you have a working copy of your Surrebuttal Testi mony to which you can refer?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay.

J UDGE CLARK: And so just to clarify, Exhi bit Number 4 is provisionally admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 4 was recei ved into evi dence.)
MR. BOUDREAU: As I indi cated, I tender the witness for cross-examination at this point. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Cross-examination by St af ?

MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. M. Magee, good morni ng.
A. Good morning.
Q. I have $j$ ust a couple quick questions for you. You are al so the same capital structure, ROE, ROR witness that provi ded testimony in Md-States Gas case?
A. Yes.
Q. And that case was resol ved by agreement bet ween the parties -- at least three of the parties present today, Staff the Company and -- well, I guess Md-States was not the same, but OPC al I reached a settlement in that case?
A. Yes.
Q. And that -- that resol ution was silent as to the capital structure?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. Okay. Can I ask you a little bit about your expenses for being here today? Just trying to gauge what our rate case expense is going to be. Do you -- and are you able to say an estimate of what you anticipate your costs will be for being here at this proceedi ng?
A. Yes. We work on a time and material
basis so it's still in flux, but l would estimate about 20,000 dollars in total for our testimno in this case and the hearing.
Q. Is that -- is that for testimony that's al ready been done or is that anticipating for $\mathbf{j}$ ust today and tomorrow the hearing?
A. That's -- that's the entire case up through today.
Q. Okay. I appreci ate that. Thank you. No further questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nat ion by Sil verl eaf?

MR. HARDEN: Yes, qui ckl y. Thank you,
Your Honor.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Thank you, M. Magee. When were you retained by Li berty Utilities for this case?
A. Approxi matel y three weeks ago. I can't recall the specific date.
Q. You' ve recei ved no data requests from Silverleaf Resorts or Orange Lake Country Clubinthis case; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You' ve not been deposed in this case by anyone; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You did not file Direct Testimony in this case; is that correct?
A. That's correct. My Direct Testimony from the Md - States Gas case was filed with Jill Schwartz's Direct Testimony.
Q. Thank you. You did not file Rebuttal Testimony in this case; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. In your Surrebuttal Testimony on page 19 through 20, line 6 and then it goes through the top of Iine 6 on page 20, you indi cate that your concern regarding M. Stannard's use of the Duff and Phel ps risk premiumis because it understates the risk premium authorized for gas utilities; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. M. Magee, what in your expert opi ni on are the bi ggest differences in risk factors bet ween nat ural gas compani es and water utilities?
A. My assessment would be that the risks in total are similar. I mean they are both capital intensive utilities that are facing regul atory risk as
their primary business risk. I believe Mr. Stannard mentioned flammaility as a risk for gas compani es. On the -- on the other hand, water utilities face a water safety risk. They must make sure that they meet water saf ety requi rements. So although the operating dynamics are different, l would consider the risk to be generally similar.
Q. So one would be flammbility. Are there -- are there others?
A. Are you tal king about operating characteristics or generally risks?
Q. Vell, my question was what -- what in your expert opi ni on are the bi ggest differences in risk factors bet ween nat ural gas companies and water compani es?
A. I'mtrying to think of specific instances. You know, generally it's regul at ory risk. It's how the Company is allowed to recover its costs. It's how it's treated in the rate case process. And that's very similar. Although there are operating differences, l woul dn't be able to specify specific risk differences besi des, you know, the capital intensity level.

Water utilities generally have a somewhat Iower depreci ation rate so they have a cash flow
concern; whereas, gas utilities usually have a somewhat hi gher depreci ation rate, whi ch makes them somewhat less risky.
Q. Okay. On your schedul e, which is label ed KM S8, you have two proxy groups. And I just wanted to clarify that the -- sorry, l'msitting too close to this thing.

I -- I just wanted to clarify whet her the adj usted ROA -- ROE indi cated in col um 7 of that schedul e, now those are not approved ROEs by any state public utility comission; is that correct?
A. I'msorry. Could -- could you repeat the question?
Q. Sure.
A. Col um 7?
Q. Yeah. Yeah. It's -- it's Schedule KM S8. You have two proxy groups, one nat ural gas and -- and one I thi nk for the nost part water hol ding compani es. And I just wanted to clarify whether or not the -- what -- what is label ed as the adj usted ROE i ndi cated in col um 7, that those are -- those are not approved ROEs by public utility comm ssions; is that correct?
A. Correct. Those are not authorized returns. Those are value line projections of earned
ret urn on book val ue projected for the five-year forecast period.
Q. Okay. And this is a-- sort of a fundanent al question, but l'd like to get it on the record. Wbuld you agree that the poi nt of a proxy group is tofind a simar group of companies to the company at issue in the regul at ory proceeding?
A. I would agree that the point of a proxy group is to identify compani es that be considered al ternate i nvestments with similar risks that compete for capital with that -- the subj ect company in this case, Li berty Vater.

MR. HARDEN: I have no further questions.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from the Office of the Public Counsel ?

MS. SHEMMELL: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. Good morning. I'm Lera Shemwell.
A. Good morning.
Q. When you were tal king about the risk of a gas company being flamability, you' re tal king about the oper ational risk of the fact that they' re handling a flammble fuel ?
A. I mentioned that because the $W$ itness Stannard, Silverleaf wi tness, had brought that -- that
one up. I mean there are other factors like seasonality or rate mechani sms which can vary from company to company or regi on--
Q. Okay. I'dilike to get back -- do you consider flammbility the biggest risk?
A. Of a gas utility?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe
Commi ssi on?
CHAl RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Magee. You're excused.
MR. BOUDREAU: Do I have a chance for
re- -
J UDGE CLARK: l'msorry.
MR. BOUDREAU: -- opportunity for
redi rect?
JUDGE CLARK: I apol ogize. Go ahead.
And I apol ogize. I just ski pped over that.
MR. BOUDREAU: It's not a problem Thank you.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. You were asked, I believe, a question
about your invol venent in the Md-States Gas case; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And asked a question about the settlement, your familiarity with the settlement?
A. Yes.
Q. Particularly of cost of capital issues; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now -- and you indi cated I thi nk that the settlement was silent as to capital structure; is that correct?
A. That is correct, except for there is an i nf rastructure rider that's speci fied in ROE and capital structure.
Q. Okay.
A. But for the gener al revenue requi rement, that re-- that stipulation was silent to capital structure.
Q. But -- so what was the capital structure that was specified for that limited purpose in that settlement, do you know?
A. 53 percent.
Q. 53/47?
A. Yeah. 53 percent equity and 47 percent

I ong-ter m debt.
Q. Okay. And that is - that is consi stent with the Company's capital structure recommended in thi s case; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. You al so were asked a question by M. Harden l believe about the filing of your Surrebuttal Testimony. And -- and do you recal that?
A. Yes.
Q. He asked you whet her or not you filed Di rect Testi mony. You sai d you did not; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he asked you if you filed Rebuttal.

You sai d you di d not; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Nows attached to your Surrebuttal

Testi nony -- you filed Sur rebuttal Testinony; is that --
A. Yes, that's true.
Q. Attached to your Surrebuttal Testimony is schedule KM S- - S13; is that correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And what is that schedul e?
A. That's my Direct Testimony fromthe

Li berty, Md-States recent case.
Q. Okay. And you state in your Surrebuttal

Testimony on page -- pages -- at the bottom of page 22 and the top of page 23 that you are adopting the questions and answers containedin that schedule as your testimony in this case; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Okay.
Mr. Magee, you're excused.
Next witness on return on equity and capital structure will be from Staff.

MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. St af $f$
calls Mr. Davi d Murray.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Murray, woul d you rai se your right hand to be sworn.
(W)tness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Go ahead,
St af $f$.
MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.
DAVI D MURRAY, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Can you please state and spell your name for the record?
A. My nane's David Murray. Last name's spelled Mu-r-r-a-y.
Q. And can you please identify with whom you' re empl oyed and your position of empl oyment?
A. I'mempl oyed by the M ssouri Public Service Commission. And I'mthe utility regul at ory manager in the Financial Anal ysis Department.
Q. Are you the sane David Murray who caused to be prepared or prepared certain testimonies in this case; namel y, your Substitute Rebuttal Testimony, Exhi bit 109, and your Surrebuttal Testinony, Exhi bit 110 in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you al so prepare or cause to be prepared a portion of the cost-of-service report that is sponsored by M. Paul Harrison in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. If -- do you bel ieve that your testimony is true and correct to the best of your know edge and bel i ef ?
A. Yes.
Q. Andif I asked you those same questions today -- I'msorry. Do you have any changes to your testi nony?
A. I do not.

## Q. Great. If I asked you the same questions

 today, would you gi ve the same answers?A. Yes.

MR. WESTEN: At this time l offer
Mr. Murray's Exhi bits 109 and 110 as evi dence.
J UDGE CLARK: Are there any obj ections to admitting Staff Exhi bits 109 and 110 on the hearing record?

I see no objections. Exhi bit 109 and Exhi bit 111 will be admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bits 109 and 110 were recei ved into evi dence. )

MR. WESTEN: At this timel'd-- at this timel'd tender Mr. Murray for cross-examination.

J UDGE CLARK: Is there any cross-examination from Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: Oh, I can't resist. I have to ask himat least one question.

THE WTNESS: Well, thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Good morning, M. Murray.
A. Mbrning.
Q. I was -- I was looking -- this is $\mathbf{j}$ ust a question of clarification. I was looking through your testimony. You -- you I think it's on both your --
well, it's on your testimony. You refer -- you have the credential sCA; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- and I -- I di dn' $t$ see an expl anat $i$ on of $t$ hat ot her wi se, but that -- that credential is what? What is -- what is that an acronym for?
A. It's the Chartered Fi nanci al Anal yst desi gnat ion.

MR. BOUDREAU: Okay. That's all I have for Mr. Murray. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Ozark Mbuntain?

MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from
the Office of the Public Counsel?
MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor. Cal eb Hall
appearing on behal f of the Office of Public Counsel.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Hal I, go ahead.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HALL:
Q. M. Murray, good morni ng.
A. Good morni ng. How you doi ng?
Q. I'mgood. And yoursel f?
A. Pretty good.
Q. So Staff counsel has presented the
position that the capital structure was not explicitly incl uded within the stipul ation in the Md-States case. Are you familiar with that? Pardon me. Let me rephrase the question.

Are you familiar with Staff counsel's position on the lack of clarity as to an explicit statement of the capital structure?
A. As far as the -- the revenue requi rement, yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. But as ISRS was di scussed, it was specified for that reason.
Q. Do you believe that the Comissi on's powers are limited to the approval of any prior stipul ation?

MR. BOUDREAU: I object, calls for a I egal concl usion.

J UDGE CLARK: That will be sustai ned. BY MR. HALL:
Q. Do you believe -- do you believe that the Commission woul dn't find a stated capital structure to be usef ul ?
A. I don't know what the Commission woul d thi nk.
Q. Are you familiar with Staff's filed
position statement in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. It refers back to your Surrebuttal

Testimony with regarding capital structure; is that correct?
A. | believe so.

MR. WESTEN: Mr. Mirray, woul d you like a copy of that position statement to revi ew?

THE WTNESS: Sure, yes.
MR. HALL: Thank you, by the way.
BY MR. HALL:
Q. M. Murray, you' ve been handed a copy of Staff's position statement. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you'd turn -- if you would turn to the bottom off the first page, going onto the second page, there is a citation to your sub- Substitute Rebuttal Testimony. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And this refers to the capital structure?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you state what your position on the capital structure mas?
A. My position on the capital structure was to use LUCo's adjusted capital structure, whi ch was
42. 3-- 42. 37 percent. I'mlooking at my testimony now. 42. 83 percent common equity with the remai nder 57. 17 percent debt.
Q. And this is -- that is different fromthe Company's position on capital structure. Correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Are you familiar with the filed stipul ation bet ween the Commissi on Staff and Li berty in this case?
A. I've read it specifically as it, you know, rel ates to the 9.75 percent ROE.
Q. Pardon me. We seem to have misplaced our copy of it.

MR. HALL: Thank you once agai $n$, Staff counsel.

MR. WESTEN: Sure. BY MR. HALL:
Q. I believe it's second-to-last page where you' ll see the section on unresol ved di sputes that are not covered by the stipul ation.
A. I'msorry. What number -- what paragraph number is that?
Q. I'mtruly sorry. We had a copy in front of us and it seens to have $\mathbf{j}$ ust -- it seens to have -MS. SHEMNELL: Wal ked away.

MR. HALL: Judge, if I mi ght approach the -- oh. My apol ogi es to everyone. BY MR. HALL:
Q. On page 6, paragraph 12 we have remai ni ng issues for determination. Do you see this provision?
A. I do.
Q. And what is the -- what is listed as our -- what are the listed remai ning issues for det ermination?

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, I object to this on the grounds that there's no -- no foundation laid for his testimony about the Stipul ation and Agreement. I don't think he's testified that he's familiar with it. My recollection of his testimny is that he stated that he was familiar with that aspect of it that dealt with cost of capital, the 9.75. So lack of foundation.

MR. HALL: He's been -- he's been provi ded with the stipulation, he's a competent member of the Commission Staff and he can read. I think he can read the stipulation.

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, if that's the issue, the stipulation's in record. I don't know that it needs to be read into the record with his testimny. We filed the stipulation.

JUDGE CLARK: I understand what you're saying. What's goi ng to happen here is l'mgoing to overrule your objection. He's going to be allowed to testify. He's al ready indi cated that he has read it at least in regard to the portion that concerns him I'mgoing to allow hi mto answer the question.

THE WTNESS: It be- it says rate case expense is the remaining issue.

BY MR. HALL:
Q. But capital structure is not listed as a remai ni ng issue for determination?
A. Exactly. That's correct.
Q. And you' ve al ready answered my question that your capital structure is contested by Li berty's capital structure?
A. Yes.
Q. So does the stipulation resol ve the capital structure?
A. No, it remmi ns silent.

MR. HALL: No further questions, Your Honor.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Si I verl eaf?

MR. HARDEN: Yes, thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Good norni ng, M. Murray.
A. Good morning. How you doing?
Q. I'mdoing well. Yoursel f?
A. Pretty good.
Q. Fol lowing up on a question that Chai rnan

Hall had regarding kind of back -- being able to figure out what the capital structure is. Through the revenue requi rement, ROE you can figure -- you can figure that out; is that right?
A. Wth Staff's revenue requi rement, I can.
Q. No, I --
A. Not with any ot her revenue requi rement. I don't know how other parties get to where they get to. I really don't.
Q. I'mjust saying in -- in general, if you have a return on equity number and you have the revenue requi rement dollar figure, you can figure out what the capital structure is with those two variables. Is that an accurate statement?
A. I -- I di sagree. Each party would have to agree to exactly what the revenue requi rement is for just rate of return.

## Q. Okay.

A. And then you could back into it. But as part of an overall revenue requirement settlement, it
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doesn't tell you. You know, that -- I -- l'msure there's a reason why Li berty Utilities would not agree to our capital structure. They don't agree that they could back into it or they -- give and take on other i ssues.
Q. Well, that was the exact question l was getting to. In -- in your expert opinion, why would a -- why would a utility company not want a capital structure in the Stipulation and Agreement?

MR. BOUDREAU: Specul ation, calls for -calls for speculation. He's not in a position to testify about what -- what is in the -- in my client's mind.

MR. HARDEN: May I respond?
J UDGE CLARK: Go ahead.
MR. HARDEN: I agree to the extent that I'mnot asking M. Murray to speculate as to what is in Li berty Utilities' mind. I am asking himas an expert, as a Certified Financial Anal yst why a utility company would prefer to not have a capital structure in an agreement.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. That's a different question that you asked. Do you want to ask that quest i on?

MR. HARDEN: Sure.

BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. In general, why would a utility company not want to have a capital structure stated in the agreement where a return on equity is statedin the agr eement?

MR. BOUDREAU: I obj ect on the grounds that it calls for a legal concl usion.

JUDGE CLARK: l'm going to let hi manswer if he would like -- if he knows the answer.

THE WTNESS: And l'Il just use the example of all the attention that the Regul at ory Research Associ ate infornation gets. They look at average allowed ROEs and they look at average equity ratios. And to the extent you have -- whether it's a hi gher ROE, I ower ROE, hi gher equity ratio, I ower equity ratio, gets cited in every -- I mean to the extent the rate of return witnesses start to di scuss that, if it's -- you know, if it's a lower equity ratio, that would bring, you know, the average equity ratio allowed throughout the country down. And so it's just -- there's just concern about the circularity. BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Thank you. In your Surrebuttal Testimony, you provi de that Duff and Phel ps is an
authoritative source as it rel ates to estimating the cost of capital and that Staff consistently relies on this source for the purposes of testing the reasonabl eness of thei $r$ own cost of equity estimates; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Your only issue with M. Stannard's use of the Duff and Phel ps risk premi um was his application of that risk premi umto a spot 30-year treasury yield when you say that it should be conditioned on a nornalized risk-free rate of 3. 5 percent. Is that al so correct?
A. That's not my only issue, no.
Q. Okay. But you would agree that that normalized risk-free rate of 3.5 percent results in a market cost of equity of 8 point -- 8.5 percent; is that correct?
A. The key issue being the market. The S $\delta P$ 500 market required return on equity. Not a utility required return on equity.
Q. Okay. Thank you. You go on to provide that utility stocks, as opposed to the narket, the S\&P I' massuming, is less vol atile than the broader market and by appl yi ng the typical utility beta, that's the measurement of vol atility, of . 7 to the industry
adjusted risk premi umthat you cite, 3.5 percent, that that results in a cost of equity of 7 percent; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Fi nally, M. Mrray, you provi de that the onl y reason your recommended ROE does not conf ormwith the 7 percent ROE established is that you use the Commissi on's most recent decision in the Spire Mssouri Nat ural Gas rate case, Docket Number GR- 2017-0216 and GR- 2017-2017; is that correct?

MR. WESTEN: Well, can counsel please poi nt the wi tness to his testimony --

MR. HARDEN: Sure.
MR. WESTEN: -- and what Ii nes he' s
actually reading from rather than just asking himto remember?

MR. HARDEN: Yeah. Yes. Maybe. Hol d on.

BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. I'mlooking-- I'mlooking at page 3 of your Sur rebuttal Testi nony, Iine 10 through roughl y 17.
A. Yes. I think you accurately read what I i ndi cated in the testimony.
Q. And, M. Murray, this is the Iast
question, same one l've been asking everyone. In your expert financi al opi ni on, what are the bi ggest differences in the risk factors bet ween nat ural gas compani es and water compani es?
A. l've been doing this a while so actually that's, you know, been somet hi ng that we' ve expl ored in -- in some detail in -- in -- in past water cases, past gas cases, past el ectric cases because -- trying to -- to try to provide the Commission information as to potential allowed ROE, you know, as far as fair and reasonable differences for different industries, you know, we eval uate the, you know -- or most importantly what the invest ment cormunity says about the differences in the risk and -- but al so the -- some of our oun eval uation of quantitative measures.

And there's some conflicting information. At times, you know, if you look at investment bankers, equity anal ysts such as I think Wells Fargo, they will assign some cost of equity difference between gas, el ectric and water, naybe to the tune of 25 basis points less for -- for gas and then another 25 basis points less for water. So in the range of 25 to 50 basis points.

But we' ve al so looked at -- in context of these water and gas cases and el ectric cases, we' ve

I ooked at the cost of debt of water, el ectric and gas compani es and found in some situations the cost of debt with similar characteristics is about the same.

And then, of course, betas which is a measure of -- of, you know, the adj ustment that needs to be made to the market risk premi umactually is fairly similar across all sub-sectors, el ectric, gas and water. And so nothing is, you know, really -- I mean there's no uniformagreement with all these factors. And so any -- they each have somewhat different risk pro-- or grouth profiles.

So we just -- you know, we provide an estimate based on our assessment of a lot of these industry consi derations that -- that are recogni zed by investors. And al so credit rating agencies. I should note that the credit rating agencies average a -average credit rating for gas and water is about A to A minus, where el ectric is about triple B pl us maybe right now. And so, you know, we -- we take all those factors into consideration.

So -- yeah. And then there's -obvi ously there's movement within Mssouri on rate adj ustment mechani sms and -- and a lot of gas compani es that al ready, you know, recei ved a lot of surcharges and -- and revenue decoupling. And my
under standi ng is -- is that -- you know, that obvi ously allows themto earn their allowed ROE much -- much more closel y and that's why we don't have gas compani es coming in as often.

You know, water compani es, you know, they -- I don't know that this water company has an ISRS, but as -- on a broader industry wi de-basis, they -- you know, that typi cally is something that is all owed for in the water industry too. So there's a Iot of similarities.

You know, there's differences obvi ously in operational issues that you tal ked about earlier. Is it the San Bruno explosion? I mean that could ha-I mean obvi ously we -- we' re fearful something that would -- like that would happen, but l thi nk that's what the ISRS is trying to address, if I understand correctly, to mitigate those situations.
Q. Real quick, are there any other utilities in the state of Mssouri in which it would be applicable to use the ROE for -- for Spire Nat ural Gas as for -- for that other utility like -- like we' ve done here?

MR. WESTEN: Obj ection, I ack of
foundation.
MR. HARDEN: Well, I think the foundation
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was I aid by Mr. Murray's Surrebuttal Testimony where he said that that was the basis for his ROE recomendation.

J UDGE CLARK: Spire M ssouri? Spire
M ssouri was the basis?
MR. HARDEN: Yeah. Yes. Let me --
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Then what's your obj ect i on?

MR. WESTEN: Maybe I misunderstood his question, but l thought he was saying another basis to apply Spire Mssouri to someone el se not in this particular case, sol'm-- l'mnot sure why that's rel evant. Foundation is necessary if we're tal king about Li berty and Mr. Murray's anal ysis.

MR. HARDEN: Okay. Well, we' ve gone to rel evance and I can definitely tell you what the rel evance is. l'mjust curious as to in the state of Mssouri if it is only Li berty Utilities Mssouri Water in whi ch using another natural gas -- a natural gas company, Spire, is an appropriate way to reach an ROE. Is there another utility company in the state in whi ch that would al so be appropriate?

JUDGE CLARK: How is that rel evant?
MR. HARDEN: Well, it would be -- it
would be rel evant as to the substantive merit of how
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Mr. Murray used that -- that Spire ROE to reach his recomendation in this particular case.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. I'mgoing to
overrule the objection.
You can answer the question.
THE WTNESS: I woul d answer that with just indi cating that at the time we were doing our Mssouri American testimony, we -- we quantified about the same cost of equity difference for -- for Mssouri American bel ow el ectric's as we did for Spire Mssouri bel ow el ectric's. 25 basis points -- we considered 25 basis points bel ow the nost recent allowed el ectric ROE to be reasonable for both the Mssouri American and Spire Mssouri.

BY MR. HARDEN:

## Q. Thank you, M. Murray. No further

## questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

CHAI RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No.

## QUESTI ONS BY J UDGE CLARK:

Q. I have a question for you. M. Mrray, gi ven the current state of positions of -- invol ving the agreement that was filed bet ween Staff and

Li berty, your testi mony, you bel ieve, supports that revenue requi rement?
A. I know it supports our direct case. This is where l'Il defer to my --
Q. Do you believe it supports the revenue requi rement as put forth in the Stipulation and Agr eement?
A. I believe it's the same as our direct case, so l would say yes.
Q. Okay.

JUDGE CLARK: Any recross after that? I see none. Any redi rect?

MR. WESTEN: Very briefly, Judge.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. M. Murray, what -- when it comes to capital structure, what is the -- the source of your capital structure anal ysis? What -- what entity is the source of the equity debt ratio for you?
A. It's the entity that actually does the -the corporate debt financing for all of the LUCo -LUCo's the entity that is basically the intermediate hol ding Company for all thei r M ssouri -- excuse me, Mssouri -- United States regul ated utility subsi di aries.

No-- they may have assumed some debt in
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some ot her subsidiary, specifically Empire. I mean obvi ously we' re aware of that. But -- but fromthat point forward, you know, their intention is to do all their debt financing with third-party investors at the LUCo I evel through a financing subsidiary called Li berty Utilities Fi nance Gpl.
Q. And that -- that provi des the financing for both the Md-States and Li berty Utilities Kater Company?
A. Yes.
Q. And $j$ ust one last question. The Duff and Phel ps anal ysis, is that a utility-specific risk premi um anal ysis?
A. The source itself is -- is just a general corporate resource. It has -- but it obvi ously goes into all sorts of different industries. But the market risk premiumthat Duff and Phel ps provides needs to be -- you know, needs to be adj usted for utility-specific issues.
Q. Thank you, M. Mirray. No further questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay, Mr. Murray. You're excused.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Next witness on return on
equity and capital structure is Silverleaf's.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Cal I
Mr. Willi am St annard.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. St annard, woul d you
rai se your right hand and be sworn.
(Witness sworn.)
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Silverleaf, you may proceed.

MR. HARDEN: Thank you.
W LLI AM STANNARD, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. M. Stannard, will you state your nare for the record, pl ease?
A. Will i am St annard, S-t-a-n-n-a-r-d.
Q. And by whom are you empl oyed,

## M. St annard?

A. I' mempl oyed by Raftel is Fi nanci al Consultants.
Q. And are you the same M. Stannard that caused to be filed inthis case Rebuttal Testinony, what has been I abel ed as Refiled Rebuttal Testinony, and Surrebuttal Testimony inthis case?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And in regards to the Refiled Rebuttal
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Testimony and the Surrebuttal Testimony, are there any changes or edits that you have today?
A. No.
Q. Andisit as true and accurate as when you wote it and added it to the file?
A. It is.
Q. Thank you.

MR. HARDEN: Your Honor, at this timel'd Iike to offer what's been pre-label ed as Exhi bit 301, 302 and 303. As previ ously di scussed, Exhi bit 303 is what has been -- has been struck by the -- the Commission, but l'mofficially offering it.

J UDGE CLARK: Is that 303 or 301?
MR. HARDEN: I'msorry. It's 3-- 301 is
the initial Rebuttal Testimony, 302 is the Refiled Rebuttal Testimony, and 303 is the Surrebuttal Testi mony.

J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to the admission on the hearing record of Exhi bits 301, 302 and 303 ?

MR. WESTEN: Yes, J udge. St aff renews its Mbtion to Strike as made previously in the case to the initial Rebuttal Testimony for the same reasons stated previously in its motion.

JUDGE CLARK: And that's in regard to

Exhi bit 301?
MR. WESTEN: If that is the Rebuttal
Testimony, then yes. Yeah. Yes.
JUDGE CLARK: And that will be sustai ned.
For -- for reasons previ ously laid out, I do not bel i eve settlement information bel ongs in testimny.

So Exhi bit 301 is not admitted onto the hearing record.

Any obj ections to Exhi bits 302 and 303 ?
Seei ng none, Exhi bits 302 and 303 are admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bits 302 and 303 were recei ved into evi dence.)

J UDGE CLARK: Go on, Mr. Harden.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you. I tender
Mr. St annard for cross-examination.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by the Office of the Public Counsel?

MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HALL:

## Q. Agai n, good -- good morni ng,

## M. St annard.

A. Good morning.
Q. How are you?
A. I'mwell. Thank you.
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Q. What's been narked as Exhi bit 302 is your Refiled Rebuttal Testinony. Correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And on page 8 and 9 of your Refiled Rebuttal you spoke to capital structure. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You stated that thereis a di sagreement bet ween St aff and the Company's capital -- proposed capital structures?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And whi ch one did you thi nk was reasonable?
A. Staff's.
Q. Do you believe that capital structure is necessary for rate- naking?
A. It is necessary to cal culate the appropriate overall return as part of the revenue requi rement.
Q. And you' re speaki ng on -- you' re speaki ng on behal $f$ of Silverleaf. Correct? And for clarification, you' re speaking on behal $f$ of Silverleaf and its affiliate sewer services or Silverleaf itself?
A. Silverleaf Resorts is my client.
Q. Okay. And Silverleaf as a -- Silverleaf, as a custoner of Li berty Utilities, it nould
appreci ate to know what the capital structure is.

## Cor rect?

A. Yes.

MR. BOUDREAU: I -- I obj ect -- I obj ect
to the question. I mean, this is specul ation about what somebody el se woul d appreci ate or not. He's not competent to testify to that.

MR. HALL: I thi nk he's competent to speak on behalf of the client he' s here testifying for .

MR. BOUDREAU: What was the question agai $n$ ?

MR. HALL: Wbuld Silverl eaf appreci ate knowing what the capital structure is?

MR. BOUDREAU: I withdraw the obj ection.
I misunder stood the question.
J UDGE CLARK: Conti nue.
THE W TNESS: Yeah. Yes, they woul d.
MR. HALL: Thank you. No further
questions.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from Ozark Mbunt ai n?

MG. G BONEY: No, Judge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nat i on from Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, pl ease. Just a few
questions.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. M. Stannard, you' re not a chartered financi al anal yst, are you?
A. No, I am not.
Q. Okay. And it's al so correct to say that you' re not a certified rate of return anal yst; is that correct?
A. That is a correct statement.
Q. Are you a certified public accountant?
A. No, I am not.
Q. Okay. In looking at your credentials, your professi onal credentials that -- is that you' re a regi stered prof essi onal engi neer; isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And as far as your educational background, you have tuo college degrees. One is a bachel or of sci ence in busi ness administration; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And a bachel or of science in civil engi neering?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How much are you bei ng compensat ed
for your services in this case?
A. It's on a time and materials basis, so on an hourly rate basis.
Q. Can you provide an estimate of what your charges likely are going to be in connection with this case?
A. Probably in the nei ghborhood of 25- to 30, 000 dollars --
Q. Okay.
A. -- for -- for the M ssouri case.

MR. BOUDREAU: Just a moment. I thi nk I might be done here.

I have no further questions for
Mr. Stannard. Thank you, sir.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from St af f ?

MR. WESTEN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morni ng.
Q. My name' s Jacob. I'm counsel with Staf. I have al so some questions about your background and experience. Your Schedule A, whi ch was attached to your Refiled Rebuttal Testinony -- do you have a copy of that with you?
A. Yes. It's attached.
Q. Great. And I just -- correct me if l'm wrong. As I was reading through this, I did not see that you have testified on behal f of any investor-owned utilities previ ously. You -- you appear to have just previ ously testified for muni cipal entities or -- or nater districts; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. But you' ve done cost-of-servi ce anal yses for those types of -- those muni cipality kind of systens. Ri ght?
A. The maj ority of my work, probably 95 percent of my work has been for -- on behal $f$ of utilities in providing financial planning, cost-of-service anal ysis --
Q. And I --
A. -- and rate design.
Q. Those utilities are municipal utilities?
A. Yeah. Muni ci pal utilities, some of which are regul ated by state public service commissions.
Q. Okay. And those -- those muni ci pal
utilities, they don't tend to have stockhol der i nvest ment, do they?
A. No, they do not.
Q. Okay. I don't have any further
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questions. Thank you.
A. Okay.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe
Cormi ssi on?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
JUDGE CLARK: Any redi rect?
MR. HARDEN: No. Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. St annard, you' re excused on this issue.

Okay. Mbving on to the sub-issue on cost-of-service of rate case expense --

MR. BOUDREAU: Judge, if l might just -and I think -- I think I know the answer to this, but has Mr. Magee been excused?

JUDGE CLARK: For this -- I'msory?
MR. BOUDREAU: For -- has Mr. Magee been excused? Can he --

J UDGE CLARK: is he going to be testifying as to anything el se?

MR. BOUDREAU: He's not goi ng to be testifying as to anything el se.

J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to excusing Mr. Magee?

Mr. Magee is excused.
MR. BOUDREAU: Okay. Thank you very
much.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Mbving on, as ।
sai $d$, the next cost-of-service sub-issue rate case expense. First witness will be for Li berty Utilities.

MR. BOUDREAU: l'Il call Jill Schwartz to the stand, please.

J UDGE CLARK: ME. Schwartz, woul d you
rai se your right hand to be sworn.
(W) W ness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you.
MR. BOUDREAU: May I approach the
witness?
J UDGE CLARK: Yes.
JILL SCHMARTZ, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Wbuld you state your nane for the record,
pl ease?
A. Jill Schwartz.
Q. By whom are you applied and in what

## capacity?

A. I'mempl oyed by Li berty Utility Service

Corp. as the seni or manager for the Rates and
Regul atory Affairs Department for Li berty Utilities Central Region.
Q. Are you the sane M. Schwartz th- who has caused to be filed -- or pre-filed prepared Direct, Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Testimony in this case marked respectivel y as Exhi bits 1, 2 and 3?
A. $\quad \mathrm{l}$ am
Q. Whs that testi mony prepared by you or under your di rect supervi si on?
A. It was.
Q. Do you have any corrections you' dilke to make to your testimony at this time?
A. $\quad \mathrm{d}$ d.
Q. Do you have any corrections you'd like to make to your Di rect Testinony?
A. $\quad \mathrm{l}$ do.
Q. Could -- could you go ahead and start working your way through that for the -- for the record, please?
A. Yes. On page 4 of my Direct Testimony on Iine 19, I state that I will sponsor a schedule prepared by Li berty Water that addresses the comments submitted by a number of its customers in this case.

That schedule was not provi ded as part of my Di rect Testimony.
Q. So you are striking that sentence from your testimony on lines 19 and 20; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have another correction to make to your Di rect Testimony
A. I do. On page 5 l would like to remove frommy Direct Testimmy the first $Q$ and $A$ under Section 3 cost of capital beginning on Iine 16, ending at line 23.
Q. I'mjust giving everybody a chance to make what ever changes they need to nake.

Do you have any other corrections to your Di rect Testi mony?
A. On page 6, line 18 I indi cate that । would attach Mr. Magee's Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Testimny from Docket Number GR-2018-0013 to my Di rect Testimony in this case.

And I did not attach his Rebuttal or Surrebuttal Testimony in Schedule JMS-1 of this case.
Q. So you're striking the phrase "Rebuttal and Surrebuttal "that appears on Iine 18?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you have any ot her corrections you'd like to make to your Direct Testinony?
A. I would like to clarify on page 7, Iine 8, Li berty -- it states, Li berty Water has been billed 25, 185 dollars for outside counsel fees through
the end of May, 2018.
The Company has since submitted suppl emental responses to DR- 0016 and that should read, Li berty Water has incurred 25, 834 dollars for servi ces rendered through May 2018.
Q. Just so that everybody gets that, can you reread that sentence so that everybody gets it correctly in their records, please?
A. Li berty Water has incurred 25, 834 dollars for servi ces rendered through May 2018.
Q. Thank you. Do you have any ot her corrections to your Di rect Testimony?
A. No further ques-- or no further corrections.
Q. Do you have any corrections you would like to make at this time to your Rebuttal Testimons?
A. On page 2, line 17 it states the Company has incurred 20,517 dollars for services rendered as of April 2018. That should be 20,180 dollars.
Q. Any other corrections to your Rebuttal Testimon?
A. One minor one on page 6, line 11. The I ast word in that -- on that line is "to" and it shoul d be "by."
Q. Does that concl ude your -- does that
concl ude your corrections to your Rebuttal Testi nony?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any corrections you'd like to nake at this tine to your Surrebuttal Testinony?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Okay. Ms. Schwartz, if I were to ask you the sare questions as are cont ai ned in your Di rect, Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Testimony, would your answers be the same, taking into account the corrections that you just indi cated for the record?
A. Yes.
Q. And are they true and correct to the best of your inf or nation, know edge and bel ief?
A. Yes.

MR. BOUDREAU: W th that, l will of fer
Exhi bits 1, 2 and 3 and tender the witness for cross- exami nation.

J UDGE CLARK: Are there any obj ections to Exhi bits 1, 2 or 3 bei ng admitted onto the hearing record?

Seei ng none, Exhi bits 1, 2 and 3 are admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bits 1, 2 and 3 were recei ved into evi dence.)

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by
the Staff of the Public Service Commission?
MR. WESTEN: No, thank you, Judge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- examin nation by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: Judge, I have a question.
At this time is Ms. Schwartz only being cross-examined about rate case expense issues?

JUDGE CLARK: That is correct.
ME. Gl BONEY: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by
Silverleaf Resorts?
MR. HARDEN: If it's only rate -- if we're only doing one issue, then no. Rate case --

J UDGE CLARK: Yeah. At this time -- at this time we' re only doing the sub-issue to cost-of-service of rate case expense.

MR. HARDEN: Okay. No.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from the Office of the Public Counsel? CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MG. SHEMMELL:
Q. I just have a clarification ldilike to make. Good morni ng.
A. Good morni ng.
Q. On your Direct under rate case expense, page 7, you -- at line 8, for example, and your
original was that Li berty Water has been build. And you changed that to has incurred for servi ces rendered. Does that change indicate that that dol lar amount incl udes somet hing ot her than outsi de counsel fees?
A. The 25,834 dollars that $\mid--$
Q. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And so what is incl uded besi des outside counsel fees?
A. Besi des outside counsel fees, there were costs incurred rel ated to customer notifications.
Q. Anything el se?
A. I think all -- they were all customer notifications.
Q. You did the customer notifications in-house?
A. We did a -- there were multiple customer notifications. Some of the customer notifications there was a mailer that was sent out to customers and that was -- we used an outside vendor to prepare those for us and mail those.
Q. I'msorry. I mas making a note. For whi ch one?
A. It was a direct mailer that was
submitted -- that was provi ded to customers.
Q. Of -- having to do with the public heari ngs?
A. No. Not rel ated to the public hearing notice.
Q. So to make sure I understand, everything el se was done by the -- in-house by the Company?
A. I believe so.
Q. That's all I have. Thank you.
A. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe
Commi ssi on?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
JUDGE CLARK: Any redi rect?
MR. BOUDREAU: No. I don't bel i eve so.
Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. You're going to be testifying on other stuff later, Mb. Schwartz, so you can step down for now.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: I think this will be a good time to take a short break until about 11:30 and then we'll come back and we'll go until 12: 25 at which time we'll recess for lunch.
(A recess was taken.)

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Why don't we go back on the record and we'll continue with cost-of-service rate case expense as a sub-issue. I believe it is Staff's witness.

MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. St aff
calls M. Paul Harrison.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Harrison, woul d you
rai se your right hand to be sworn.
(W) Wess sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Pl ease be
seat ed.
PAUL HARRI SON, being first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Mbrning. Will you please state and spell your name for the record, please?
A. It's Paul R. Harrison, Ha-r-r-i-s-o-n.
Q. And by whom are you enpl oyed and in what capacity?
A. I'mempl oyed by the M ssouri Public Service Cormission. My title is miscellaneous professi onal, but I ama regul atory auditor.
Q. Are you the sane Paul Harrison who's caused to be prepared certain pre-filed testimonies whi ch have been marked as Staff's Exhi bits 105, which
is Direct; 106, which is Surrebuttal ; and 107, whi ch -- or I'msorry, 106 which is Rebuttal; and 107, which is Surrebuttal Testimoni es?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any changes to those
testimoni es?
A. I do on my Rebuttal Testimony.
Q. On your Rebuttal Testi mony. Can you please di rect us to what line -- page and line?
A. Page 2, line 15.
Q. And what is your correction, sir?
A. Change the month fromJune to July.
Q. And do you have any ot her corrections to nake?
A. No.
Q. This is page 2, line 15. And with that change, are the answers that you' ve gi ven in this testimony true and correct to the best of your know edge and belief
A. It is.
Q. And if I were to ask you the same questions today, would your answers be the same?
A. Yes.

MR. WESTEN: With that, l offer Staff's Exhi bit 105, 106 and 107 as evi dence.

J UDGE CLARK: Are there any obj ections to Exhi bit 105, 106 or 107?

Seei ng none, Exhi bit 105, 106 and 107 are admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bits 105, 106 and 107 were recei ved into evi dence.)

MR. WESTEN: I tender the witness.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from
Li berty Utilities?
MR. BOUDREAU: Yes. Just a few
questions.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Good norni ng, M. Harrison.
A. Good morning.
Q. I'mgoing to ask -- l've just a couple of questions. I think they' re in the nat ure of clarification. Hopef ully l won't make a hash out of it.

Were you here this morning when your -your counsel made his opening statement
A. I was.
Q. And he addressed the issue of the appropriate period for amortizing rate case expense. Do you recall that?
A. That is correct.
Q. And he mentioned a three-year period for anortization?
A. That is if the Commission approves the Stipulation and Agreement.
Q. That was the clarification l was asking. It doesn't represent a -- doesn't rec-- represent a change to your testi nony as filed?
A. No, it does not. The Stipul ation and Agreement in our Surrebuttal Testimny come out approxi mately at the same time. So there was not time to change that at that point in time.
Q. Fair enough. I appreciate that. Thank you for the clarification.

Now, you based -- you' ve -- you' ve based -- my understanding of your testi nony is that you' ve based your recomendation of a five-year anortization period of rate case expense based basically on the hi story of this -- of this Company, these various systens and how many years it's been si nce they last filed a rate case; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. You' re aware -- are you aware that in -- not only in the -- in the testimony of the witnesses filedinthis case, but in the Stipulation and Agreement, that the Company has essentially agreed
or concurred with the idea of filing a rate case -another rate case within at least two years primarily to address its -- its acquisition of Ozark I nt er national ?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And that's al so so they can convert thei $r$ books back over to the ' 73 , ' 76 version of the NARUC USOA.
Q. Fai r enough. I appreci ate that. Thank you.

G ven -- gi ven that, are -- do you still thi nk that the idea of anortizing rate case expense over five years makes sense, assuming that the Company is going to be in in tno?
A. I wasn't amortizing over five. I was normalizing over five. And I -- and the reason for that is because of the fact that it had been such a Iong period of time since this utility had brought a rate case before the Commission. This utility al so filed a rate case in 2012 and that was a year after the acqui sition. And then they closed it of f in 2017 without filing a rate case.

And then in this case they filed a 60-day notice I believe for 1 , October and they extended the
case twi ce before they brought the case forward to the Commission and filed their rate in-- requested rate increase. So there's no guarantee that the Company's going to file a rate case any time soon except for the Non- unani mous Stip and Agreement that they've agreed to with the 24 months.
Q. Okay. I want to circle back to the early part of your answer there where you made a di stinction, I think, bet ween the terns "normalization" and "anortization"; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Could you hel p me under-- because I think -- I thi nk the Chai rman had a question al ong the same lines and I want to understand better what -what the difference is in your vi ew bet ueen nor mal izing an expense like that over a five- year period and anortizing.
A. When you normalize an expense, you're basically trying to restate a normal ongoing expense or revenue and build that into your cost-of-service. And we typi cally look at as much data as we can get from the utility to determine what a normal ongoing cost is based on hi storical data.

Now, when you amortize an expense, you're taking a I ump sum anهunt and you're spreading it over
a sel ect number of years to allow full recovery of that anortization, whether it be three years, five years, what ever -- what ever the years are. So that is basically the difference.

And typi cally if you say you' ve got a three-year amortization and a case gets filed before three for rate case expense or if gets filed after, there's typi cally under- or over-recovery as a result of that because you've got an unamortized amount that you don't fully recover.

On the other side, if -- if the case is not filed exactly at that point in time, then the utility will over-cover that -- that expense.
Q. And -- and I understand that. That -so -- so tell me in -- in terns of -- if l could circle back to what you said, you said you were recommendi ng a five- year normalization, not an anortization. Right?
A. Five-year normalization if the Commission does not approve this Stipulation and Agreement.
Q. I understand that. But in terns of the over/under question in the context of a normalization, would that be handl ed differently in your vi ew?
A. Well, there would be no over- or under-recovery in a normalization. You're trying to
normal ize and come up with what a normal ongoing expense is going to be, just like every other expense that we put into a cost-of-service. And based on the hi storical data, we come up with a dollar amount for every account in the cost-of-service to tell-- to come up with what we believe the ongoing cost is going to be. And that's what's built into the cost - of - ser vi ce.
Q. Okay.
A. Now, rate case expense is no exception. We try to do the same thing. And the reason we do that is to try to get away fromthat unamortized amount where a utility doesn't fully recover it or they over-recover it.
Q. Well, if -- if -- if the Comissi on Staff in this case is -- is urging the Company to file a rate case within two years, do you thinkit's fair that -- I mean basi cally what -- what would end up -if they file in two years, they'd end up not recovering -- under your proposal of normalization, they woul dn' t recover their entire rate case --
A. The normalization --
Q. -- expense incurred in this case.
A. The normalization is only if the Non- Unani mous Stip and Agreement is not approved by
the Commi ssion. If the Commi ssi on approves that, our recommendation is a three-year amortization.
Q. Okay. I understand that. But -- but the Company' s-- if the Commission doesn' $t$ accept the -the Stipul ation and Agreement as filed, the Company could end up not being -- not being fully compensated or not fully recovering its rate case expense in this case if they adopt your position in this case?
A. It is totally up to the Company for when they file a rate case. They can file it at two, they can file it at four, they can file it at five. But we attempt to build what we believe an ongoi ng cost for rate case expense is going to be on a going-forward basis and we build that into rates just like we would any other expense in our cost-of-service.
Q. Okay. Well, thank you for that. That hel ps me understand what your testimony is and what the position of the Staffis.

As you know, the Company's rate case expenses continue to -- to accrue as this case goes on.
A. I agree.
Q. Okay. And some -- some documentation has been submitted to you in response to a Data Request that -- that addresses rate case expense; isn't that
correct?
A. Up through the end of June 2018.
Q. Okay. And the matters that are still contempl ated by the scheduling order inthis case is not onl $y$ just this hearing today, the briefing through I think it's Septenber 11th?
A. And -- and al so the LPH.
Q. Oh, yeah. I'msorry. So -- so - so I understand what you' re referring to in the -- the local public hearings?
A. (Witness nodded head.)
Q. Yes. Those -- those have occurred?
A. Yes.
Q. But there's still some events that are that are ongoing that are will take us up through about the middle of Sept enber. Do you -- do you -- woul d you agree with that?
A. Up to the post-brief of this case.
Q. Yeah. And I - - and -- but anyway, the record reflects what it is. I believe the date - -
A. September 11th, l bel i eve.
Q. I -- I thi nk that's correct. What period of time after the Septenber 11th -- Iet's assume the briefing goes as schedul ed, everybody submits their briefs, thei r repl y briefs on September 11th. What
period of time would you need as an auditor in order to recei ve the documentation fromthe Company about rate case expense in order for you to compl ete your duties in this case?
A. Well, as soon as we would get the source documents fromthe Company and we'd had a chance to anal yze them then we would have to put -- put it into all 11 systens and update -- basically update our revenue requi rement. And then rate design al so has to be performed. So I would say a week to a week and a half, maybe two weeks to get -- to get everything done. And don't tie me down to that, but that would be my estimate.
Q. For what it's north, that nould be that's what I was thinking as well.
A. And it would al so depend on how qui ck the Company could provide us the documentation.
Q. Of course. I understand that.

MR. BOUDREAU: I don't have any more questions for Mr. Harrison. Thank you, sir.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross- exami nation by
the Public Counsel?
MS. SHEMNELL: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MG. SHEMMELL:
Q. Good norni ng, M. Harrison.
A. Good morning.
Q. You understand that Public Counsel agrees with the revenue requi rement recomendation as contai ned in the Stipulation and Agreement?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you agree that an increase in revenue benefits sharehol ders?
A. To some degree, yes.
Q. Do you have --
A. The return on equity pi ece.
Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from
Si I verl eaf?
MR. HARDEN: Just one question.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. In response to M. Boudreau's -- one of M. Boudreau's questions, you indicated that there was a connection bet ween the length of time bet ween rate cases -- when the Company cones in for a rate case and the normalization of rate case expense as opposed to amortization?
A. Correct.
Q. Can -- can you expl ai n -- can you el aborate on that?
A. Well, we normally look on rate case expense how often a utility comes in and files a rate case. If they come in every two years, every three years, then we look at that, how frequently they file a rate case, as a period of time that we would like to spread this cost across.

This utility, it's been 7 to 13 years si nce they' ve been in for a rate case. And our normal for trying to devel op a cost-of-service is a five-year period. And that's the reason we set up this five-year normalization. And it aligns with a lot of the expenses and revenues that we' ve al ready got set up in our cost-of-service.
Q. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Cormi ssi on?

CHAI RMAN HALL: Yes.
QUESTI ONS BY CHAI RMAN HALL:
Q Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. Staff has consistently recommended that rate case expense get normalized over a period of
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time; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Isn't it al so true that the Commission, when this issue has cone before us over the last couple of years or so, has fairly regularly determined that it was nore appropriate to anortize rate case expense?
A. I believe that's happened a few times, yes.

J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Harrison, coul d you speak a little more into the microphone?

THE WTNESS: I'msorry.
I agree that has happened.
BY CHAI RMAN HALL:
Q. And at least the reason why I have supported anortizing rate case expense is to prevent over- or under-recovery. And I -- and I would assume that that was the notivation for some of the ot her Comissi oners who voted in favor of that.

Why does -- why does Staff continue to support normalization even though I thi nk the goal to prevent over- or under-recovery anortization nakes more sense?
A. Well, if I understand your question, you're saying that amortization would not over- or
under-recover? Is that what you're asking?
Q Well, let's start there. Okay.
A. Okay. To me, an amortization unl ess
you -- unl ess a company files a rate case and it hits exactly at that point in time -- say three years. Unl ess rates go into effect in three-year period and that's what you got built in for an amortization, there's going to be an over-recovery or there's going to be an under-recovery.
Q. But doesn't that over- or under-recovery get rectified in the next rate case?
A. Well, you're -- you're basing -- you're basing on historical data what the rate case expense is and you're building it in on a going-forward basis, say three years. Well, if -- if you' ve got say 10 -I et's say the rate case expense is 30,000 dollars and you' ve got 10,000 dollars each year built into the case. And let's say that they don't come back in for a rate case until year four. Well, they' ve over-collected 10, 000 dollars.
Q. Right.
A. Now vi ce-versa, if they come in at

2 , they' ve under-covered -- under-recovered 10,000 dollars.

```
Q. But isn't that -- isn't that rectified --
```

A. That is the amortization.
Q. Right. But isn't that -- in the next rate case, isn't that over- or under-recovery reflected in the revenue requi rement going forward?
A. Not unl ess -- not unl ess you state it.
Q. Okay. So --
A. Not unl ess you -- like what we' re trying to do in this Non- Unani mous Stip and Agreement. We're stating in that that if the utility comes back in within 24 months and files for a rate case, then any over- or under-recovery from when they filed a rate case -- and we're proposing three years and if they go past that point or under that point, then a regulatory asset or lib-- liability will be set up and then that will be dealt with in the next rate case.
Q. But in contrast, if -- if rate case expense is normalized, then if the Company cones back early or late, then they -- they will over- or under-recover?
A. There is a possi bility of that, yes, but it's the same --
Q. It's not a possibility. It will. And that -- that's -- that's mathenatically undeni able. Isn't it? I mean if -- if --
A. Well, no. Let's say that the --
Q. If you' ve got 50,000 dol lars in rate case expense over five years --
A. Okay.
Q. -- and the Company cones back after -after ten years instead of -- instead of five years, what happens mathenatically?
A. It's tuice -- they' ve recovered twi ce as much that's in there, basically if you want to look at it that way. But what I'msaying --
Q. Vell --
A. -- is we' ve got a normal ongoi ng cost built into rates.
Q. I -- I -- I understand that -- that that is the way most costs get reflected.
A. Yes.
Q. And -- and -- and I -- and there's good reason for most costs bei ng reflected that way. It seens to me that rate case expense is -- is a somewhat different ani mal.

Okay. So if the Commissi on wanted to -if the Comissi on were to determine that it wanted the Company to recover exactly the anount of its rate case expense, no more, no less, what woul d your recomendation be?
A. I would recommend an amortization with
anything that's over- or under-earned or -- or recovered be dealt with in the next rate case. And then you' ve got a dollar val ue associated with it and you can deci de what to do with it in the next case, whet her you want to normalize it or amortize it over another period of time. Just like what we do for pensi on OPEBs.
Q. Okay. So from your perspective, amortization is the approach if the Comission wanted the Company to recover exactly its rate case expense. Wbuldit -- wouldit -- wouldit need to specifically order that any over or under amounts be -- be incl uded in either asset or liability for the next rate case or is that implicit when we order an anortization?
A. I would say if you fully 100 percent want recovery of that expense -- because it is -- it is stret ched over a longer period than one year, rate case expense. That's one of the very few expenses that is. All expenses revenue is on an annual basis. Rate case expense could I ast over 18 months or what ever.

But yes, explicitly if you wanted full recovery, then yes, an amortization with a over/under-recovery in the next rate case.
Q. Thank you.

QUESTI ONS BY J UDGE CLARK:
Q. I -- I may not be under standi ng somet hing here so -- I may be a little dense, but l'm not sure I understand the difference in regard to if -- if the Company can't really change rates without Commission approval, is there really a difference beyond when they come back in as to whether they over- or under-recover $y$ ?
A. Well, the utility is the only one that can file a rate case. And typi cally the Cormission don't order a utility to come back in and file a rate case unl ess they're over-earning. So, ther ef ore, if they say they got five years normalization to recover their entire costs, then they can time it to where they can come back in here at the five-year period and change their rates so that they can get that recovery.
Q. Whether it's a normalization or an amortization, if they don't come in at that -- at the time they' re expected to cone in, they'll have an over-recovery or an under-recovery?
A. Correct. But -- however thei $r$-- with the amortization, typically you al ways have an unamortized amount that the utility has not recovered. In a normalization, you do not have that. You -you're buil ding in a cost that you expect the utility
to fully recover their rate case expense in a set period of time.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any recross after
Commi ssi on questions?
MR. BOUDREAU: Yes.
RECROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. As to that -- as to the Iast question that --

J UDGE CLARK: Is your mi crophone on? BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Oh, l'msorry. As to the answer that you just gave, if the -- if the Company -- if its normalized over five years -- rate case expense is normalized over five years, but it files a rate case, and just for di scussi on here, in -- let's say in tho years, there's going to be a period of time where -it's just not going to recover the entire rate case expense; isn't that correct?
A. Correct. And that is the reason we attempt to look at how often the utility is filing rate cases. And we attempt to build it over that period of time so they can get the full recovery.
Q. I understand. Thank you very much. I appreci ate that. That's all I have.

J UDGE CLARK: Any ot her recross by any party? I see none.

MS. SHEMNELL: I have a question.
JUDGE CLARK: Go ahead.
MS. SHEMMELL: Thank you.
RECROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. M. Harrison, as a non-attorney, do you understand whet her or not the Comm ssi on sets precedent when it makes a deci sion?
A. I believe on their --

MR. WESTEN: I'msorry. I'mobjecting.
I want to clarify. Are you asking --
J UDGE CLARK: l'm going to sustain that obj ect i on.

MR. WESTEN: Yeah. It sounds like you' re asking for a legal conclusion.

MS. SHEMNELL: I was just asking for his know edge, but okay.

J UDGE CLARK: Any ot her recross?
Seei ng none, any redi rect from St aff ?
MR. WESTEN: No, thank you, Judge.
J UDGE CLARK: Is this witness being
called for any other i ssue?
MR. WESTEN: No.
JUDGE CLARK: May he be excused?

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: l'II take that as he may be excused.

Okay. Next witness on rate case expense is Silverleaf's. Mr. Stannard, would you rai se your right hand and be sworn.
(W)tness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: You may be seated. And I realize you've al ready been sworn.

Go ahead.
W LLI AM STANNARD, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. M. Stannard, can you state what Silverleaf's position is regarding rate case expense in this case?
A. Our position is that the time period that Mr. Harrison incl uded in his testimony, the five-year period, is an appropriate time period for recovery of the rate case expense. And -- and l would be -- in spite -- and -- and amortization of that would be appropriate.
Q. And why is that appropriate, the normthe -- the anortization or normalization?
A. Well, Mr. Harrison did a good job
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expl ai ni ng the nuances, the differences bet ween normalization and amortization. The -- the key thing is the amortization process ensures that the utility will recover the rate case expense that was associated with a case and verified and confirmed and -- over a period of time. And if they under-recover and file earlier, then that can be reflected going forward, so.

JUDGE CLARK: Is this information in his Di rect? Because this sounds an awf ul lot like cross.

MR. HARDEN: In his -- no, Your Honor. And I'm done. I don't --

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Are you tendering the witness for cross?

MR. HARDEN: Yes.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- examin nation by the Office of the Public Counsel?

Mb. SHEMNELL: None, thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- examin nat ion by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- exami nation by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: I don't have any questions for this witness on this topic. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from

162
TI GER COURT REPORTI NG, LLC
the Commission Staf ?
MR. WESTEN: Not on thi s topi c, Judge.
Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any questions from
Commi ssi on?
CHAl RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect, Mr. Har den?
MR. HARDEN: No.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Mr. Stannard, you can step down.

I would prefer I think Iogistically, si nce we' re schedul ed to call the witness, Don Allsbury, at three o'clock and I thi nk having him testify would make it a lot easier for all the other parties rather than going in and addressing the remai ning customer service issues at this time.

Is there a way we can skip over to rate design or is there another issue that we can take up at this time? Or Commissioner Kenney has indi cated he can entertain us. And -- and I can speak from experience; he can.

MG. G BONEY: Judge, I could al so cal I Mr. Allsbury and see if he's available now, if that woul d be hel pf ul ?
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J UDGE CLARK: If you want to go ahead and do that, it would allow us to go ahead and -- and keep somewhat with the order we' ve got.

MS. G BONEY: l'Il step out and do that, if you don't mind.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. We will wait until then. And when I schedul ed for 3:00, I just di dn' t antici pate we'd be this far al ong by now.

MS. GI BONEY: Judge, he just needs just two mintes to get to somewhere where he's not driving around. Just a coupl e minutes.

JUDGE CLARK: Fai r enough.
(Phone ringing.)
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. I'm goi ng to ask you to hold on for just a second.

THE WTNESS: All right.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Are we ready to proceed with customer service? Okay. Mr. Allsbury, could you say somet hi ng? Wbuld you say somet hi ng, pl ease?

THE WTNESS: Yes. This is Don Allsbury.
J UDGE CLARK: Can ever ybody hear okay?
Okay. I'mjust going to go through the formality of it. Customer service issues. First issue is Ozark Mbuntain. Ozark Mbuntai n, you may call
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your first witness.
MS. G BONEY: Ozark Mbuntai $n$ Condomi ni um association calls Don Allsbury.

JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Al Isbury, woul d you rai se your right hand in order to be sworn in.
(Witness sworn.)
JUDGE CLARK: Thank you.
Okay. Ozark Mbuntai n, you may begi $n$ your di rect.

DON ALLSBURY, bei ng first dul y sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MS. G BONEY:
Q. M. Al lsbury, could you please state your position with Ozark Mbuntain Condomi ni um Associ ation?
A. Yes. I'ma property manager. l'm empl oyed by Ozark Mbuntai $n$ Condo Associ ation.
Q. All right. Did you cause to be filed in this water rate case Di rect Testinony on the issue of Li berty Utilities' custoner service?
A. Could you -- could you speak up a little bit? It sounds like you're tal king fromacross the room

J UDGE CLARK: I thi nk -- | thi nk -- । thi nk while we can hear himbecause it's going through that microphone, you may need to stand up here--

165

MS. Gl BONEY: Sure.
JUDGE CLARK: -- in order for hi mto hear you. So I'mgoing to ask those people who have questions for Mr. Allsbury approach the speaker phone. BY ME. GI BONEY:
Q. All right. Don, can you hear me now?
A. Now I can, yes.
Q. All right. Did you cause to be filed in this water rate case Di rect Testinony on the issue of Li berty Utilities' customer service?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you have in front of you a copy of the document which has been marked as Exhi bit 401?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that the Di rect Testimony that you' ve prepared for this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Al right. Are there any changes or corrections that you need to make to that testinnon today?
A. I do not bel i eve so.
Q. Al right. So is the information contai ned in that testimony true and correct to the best of your know edge and bel $i$ ef?
A. That is correct, yes.
Q. All right. And if I asked you the questions contai ned in Exhi bit 401 today, would your answers be the same as they are in that written testimony?
A. Yes.

MS. G BONEY: Judge, Ozark Mbunt ai $n$ Condomini um Associ ation offers into evi dence Exhi bit 401.

J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ection to the admission of Exhi bit 401 onto the hearing record?

Seei ng none, Exhi bit 401 is admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 401 was recei ved into evi dence.)
MS. G BONEY: Judge, Ozark Mbuntain Condomi ni um Associ ation tenders Mr. All sbury for cross- exami nati on.

JUDGE CLARK: Thank you. Any cross-exam nation by Si lverleaf ?

MR. HARDEN: No.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by the Office of the Public Counsel?

Mb. SHEMNELL: None, thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- examination by the Cormi ssi on St af $f$ ?

MS. KLAUS: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by
Li berty Utilities?
MR. BOUDREAU: Yes. I have just a few.
Thank you. l'Il come up to the microphone
J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Boudreau.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Good afternoon, M. Alsbury. My name is Paul Boudreau. Can you hear me all right?
A. Yes. I hear you fine.
Q. Okay. I'm-- I'mthe attorney for Li berty Utilities in this case and l've just got a few questions l want to ask you. You said --
A. Okay.
Q. -- you -- you sai d you had a copy of your Di rect Testinony available to you to refer to?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to ask you, you -- you mention on page 2 at lines 17 through 20, I believe, that you kept a list of water and sewer issues experienced by the condomi ni um associ ation. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So you' ve been pretty diligent about keepi ng -- keeping track of these for purposes of documenting your concerns; is that correct?
A. Yes, l did.
Q. And you ten-- I thi nk you, in your testimony, you' ve addressed themin nore or less chronol ogi cal order; is that correct?
A. I tried to, yeah.
Q. Well, and tha-- and that's fair. If they' re not, you know, that -- but it looked to ne like that's -- that was the general --
A. Yes.
Q. -- gist of it.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And I'mlooking -- the most recent inci dent that's -- that is contai ned in your Di rect Testimony is somet hing rel ated to J anuary 4th, 2018. That's on page 8 of your testi mony. Do you see that?
A. Page 8?
Q. Page 8, yes.
A. Okay. Hold on. Page 8. All right.
Q. Do you need ne to repeat the question?
A. I'mreading it right now. The water meters in the boxes between Condo Units 500, 600 froze?
Q. Yeah. That's what I'mrefering to. That's what l'mreferring to, yes.
A. Okay. Uh- huh. Yes.
Q. Okay. So prior to that though, the -the nost -- the nost recent event that you' ve recorded prior to that is the summer of 2015; isn't that correct? That appears on page 7 of your testi mony?
A. Vell, -- on question 7 ?
Q. On page -- page 7 lthink the question starts at line 11.
A. Yeah. Yeah. What I was doing here on my testimny, l was writing the -- the problens that we have that are maj or problens that keep -- that were keepi ng -- coming up.
Q. Yeah.
A. They were not small leaks. I didn't see them-- just record those where you might have a small I eak. But the maj or ones I was keepi ng track of, yes.
Q. Okay. I -- I understand that. But that was the summer of 2015; isn't that correct?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Okay. Only have a coupl e ot her questions.

You met with -- the -- the name Paul Carlson, does that ring a bell with you?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Okay. Who is Paul Carlson?
A. He's goi ng to be the one that l'm goi ng
to be dealing with for Li berty Water. He's taking over to take care of the maintenance part of it.
Q. Okay. And did you, in February of 2018, meet with M. Carlson and do a wal k-through of the resort to identify issues for purposes of the Company addressi ng them
A. No.
Q. You did not?
A. Did -- we did have a meeting.
Q. You did not do a nal--
A. And --
Q. You did not do a wal k-through through the resort?
A. We did not do a wal $k$-through of the resort, no.
Q. Have you done a wal k-through through the resort with M. Carlson at any time in the recent past?
A. No.
Q. Have you had a neeting with hi mabout the issues that -- or concerns that you have with quality of service?
A. Yes.
Q. What -- and tell me about this meeting.
A. It -- one day that Paul come on property
and introduced himself to me and -- well, I met Paul some time prior to that. I think it was the summer bef ore when they were changing up -- out a pump in the well 1.

```
    Q. So --
```

A. And --
Q. Just so the record's clear -- just so the record's clear --
A. I just met himthen --

J UDGE CLARK: Hol d on.
THE WTNESS: -- at that time. That was
the first time l met him The second time l met him was, Iike you sai d, probably February at the clubhouse and we just sat down had a real short meeting.

JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Boudreau, let him
finish answering. And then, Mr. Boudreau, it's been indi cated that they're having a hard time hearing you. If you could speak into the microphone as well, that would be hel pf ul to people watching the stream

MR. BOUDREAU: Oh, okay. I apol ogize for that. l'Il try to do so. BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. So to -- to -- to walk back $\mathbf{t}$ hrough your -- what you just stated, you said you met with M. Carlson l think it was the summer of 2017; is that
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## correct?

A. It -- it was -- I think Iast summer when the pump in the well house --

## Q. Okay.

A. -- had to be pulled out and replaced.

That's the first time l ever met him
Q. Okay. And si nce that time, you' ve only
had one other meeting with M. Carlson? You're saying just a qui ck meeting on -- in February of 2018?
A. Correct.

MR. BOUDREAU: Gi ve me a moment. l'।l probably be done here.

Okay. I have no further -- I have no further questions for you, sir. Thank you. And l'm-- l'm done with this witness. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Cormi ssion? Hol d on just a second, Mr. Allsbury.

THE WTNESS: Al l right.
CHAI RMAN HALL: I have no questions.
JUDGE CLARK: Any redi rect from Ozark
Mbunt ai $n$ ?
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MG. G BONEY:
Q. Yes. Don, I have just a couple of additional questions.
A. Okay.
Q. When you net with M. Carlson in 2018 -in February of 2018, did you offer to wal $k$ through and l ook at sone of the water systemfeat ures with himp
A. Yes, I did. I offered to do a wal $k$-through with Paul to show hi mthe problens that have to be dealt with.
Q. Al right. And what did Mr. Carl son -what was his response to your offer to do that?
A. Okay. He said it -- it woul dn't be the proper time to do it because at that time we still had Roger from RK Water. His contract either hadn't ended or they hadn't rel eased himfromthe contract. So he di dn't want to be wal king on property at that time. So we just sat down and had a short tal $k$ at the cl ubhouse and that was it.
Q. All right. Did -- has M. Carlson offered since then to come and meet with you?
A. Not as of yet, no.
Q. Al right. Al so, M. Boudreau asked you about your list that you used to prepare your testimony about the -- the service issues. Do you remenber that question?
A. Yes.
Q. Al I right. And I thi nk you indi cated that this mas $\mathbf{j}$ ust the list of the maj or concerns; is that

## correct?

A. That is correct.
Q. Al right. And didthis list address the concerns that you got in contact with the contractors about?
A. Contractors and Li berty Water.
Q. Okay. And does this list include every call you ever nade to Li berty Utilities directly?
A. No. Because the -- there was a lady that was on our property -- this was years ago. And she told us -- told me and gave me her card at the time to al ways cont act Li berty Water for issues. And that's when I just started to call Li berty Water and try to deal with the problem
Q. Al right. And what would happen when you called Li berty Whter di rectly?
A. Vell, when they would -- l'Il gi ve you t wo situations. When I would call Li berty Water, we had a -- a water -- mai nline break and l called them A lady answered the phone and she -- I tol d her I was property manager for Ozark Mbunt ai $n$ Condomi ni uns and we have a water mai n leak at -- in front of the bui I di ng.

And she goes -- and l told her what the buil ding number was. And she goes, I need to have an
address. And I said the address on the bui I ding, I bel ieve it was 500. And she goes, No, I need an address, a billing address. And I said, Well,
there's -- in that particular building there's six homeowners and none of them are here. So all I can tell you is it's -- it's -- the water line's outside the building and it's broke and -- and it's Building 300.

She goes -- and then she told me she needed a home address with the -- I guess a billing number that they bill the homeowner for so they can I ook it up. And said, I don't have that information. I'mproperty manager on the outside. And she goes, Well, I got to have that. I go, l've got a water main broke. The water is shooting up in the air and I can't gi ve you any other information than what's on the building. And she goes, Well, I got to have that information. So I said, l'Il take care of it in a different way.

So I went back and at that time it was like -- I believe Stan Gilliam who was at that point servi cing us. I called himto have himcome down and take care of the problembecause l'm not getting anywhere with the water company. So he come down took care of the problem

The other time -- and l still tried to
call Li berty Water. The other time that I would call Li berty Water is call the 800 number and I think it's in Arizona and they would be shut down, they'd closed for the day. So they'd give you another number, whi ch I believe sends you to Texas. So I'd call that number in Texas and they're closed. So there's nobody I could get ahol d of.

So I went -- well, first, l've tried three times now to deal with strictly Li ber-- Li berty Water and not the -- the vendor that's servicing them and I just can't get any service out of them So I said l will not do this anymore. I have too many water leaks that l got to deal with, sol'll call the vendor that's working for them

And fromthat point on -- and it was Stan Gilliaml called until his contract ended. And then I started dealing with Roger at RK Water because I was not getting a response out of Li berty Water.

And I think the issue was that they wanted to do is make out a work order and then they would send it to Dan or Roger and then they would core out and repai $r$ the probl em

Well, that's al most impossible to do when you got a waterline shooting water up in the air and
you can't get any results fromthe office that you're supposed to call. And that's the problemthat I have al ways had with them And so I quit going to the water company and I just started going to Dan and -and Roger, whoever had the contract at that time.
Q. Al right. Thanks, Don. Let me ask you one more question. After you filed -- or excuse me.

After you prepared your Di rect Testi mony
in this case and it was pre-filed, did you have any contact fromany party in this case to di scuss the issues? Li ke, for example, did you have any calls or meetings with Staf of the Public Service Comission?
A. Zero. No one -- no one has contacted ne.
Q. All right. Thank you. I have no further redi rect. Thanks, Don.

J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Al Isbury, thank you for your testimny here today. I know you're a busy person.

THE WTNESS: That's all right.
JUDGE CLARK: You' re excused at this point. Thank you for your time.

THE WTNESS: All right. Thank you.
Okay. The next issue regar di ng customer servi ce issues is Commission Staff.

Mb. KLAUS: Yes, Judge. May l ask, we' re
coming up to that 12: 25 deadl ine.
JUDGE CLARK: We are. Thank you for pointing that out.

MS. KLAUS: Shoul d we just go ahead and plan to go through di rect examination and then pick up cross after lunch?

J UDGE CLARK: I'd say at this point why don't we just pick up after I unch. Why don't we break until 1: 30.

ME. KLAUS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: So we' II come back at 1:30 and pick up with Mb. Parish. Thank you for pointing that out. We're of $f$ the record.
(A recess was taken.)
JUDGE CLARK: It's $1: 30$ and we left off with customer service issues. And I believe Staff was about to call Dana Parish.

MB. KLAUS: Yes, Judge. The Staff calls Dana Parish.

J UDGE CLARK: ME. Parish, woul d you rai se your right hand to be sworn.
(W)tness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Pl ease be seated. Go ahead, Staff. DANA PARI SH, being first duly sworn, testified as
foll ows:
DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MS. KLAUS:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Will you please state and spell your name for the record?
A. Dana Parish, D-a-n-a P-a-r-i-s-h.
Q. By whom are you empl oyed and in what
capacity?
A. M ssouri Public Service Commission, Customer Experience Department. I'ma utility policy anal yst one.
Q. Are you the same Dana Parish who caused to be prepared certain testimony whi has been narked Staff Exhi bit 111 and is your Surrebuttal Testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your testimony?
A. No, l don't.
Q. Is your testimony true and correct to the best of your belief and know edge?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. If I asked you those same questions
today, would you gi ve the same answers?
A. Yes.

MS. KLAUS: I offer Exhi bit 111 as
evi dence.
J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to admitting Exhi bit 111 onto the hearing record?

Seeing none, Exhi bit 111 will be admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 111 was recei ved into evi dence.)
MG. KLAUS: And I tender the witness for cross-examination.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: I have no questions for this witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- examination by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: Yes, J udge.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MG. GI BONEY:
Q. M. Parish, are you the right person to ask questions about the customer service portion of St aff and Li berty Utilities' Non- Unani mous Stipul ation?
A. A portion of it, yes.
Q. All right. Well, l'Il ask you the question. If you're not the right person, just tell me.
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A. Okay.
Q. I'mlooking at page -- page 5 under the topic of Custoner Service at paragraph 8. And that paragraph indicates that Staff and the Company agree, approve with the Company requiring all contractors to report all custoner inqui ries to Company personnel as soon as practicable, but not later than monthly.

And I want to ask you about that "but not I ater than nonthly."
A. Okay.
Q. Do you have any idea why the Company woul d not be able to imedi at el y report -- l'msorry, not the Company. The contractors woul d not i medi ately be able to report customer inqui ries to the Company?
A. It's my understanding that in some cases operational - wise, it could possibly take up to 30 days.
Q. What would account for that?
A. As far as examples, I would have to defer to Staff Wtness Roos. He will handle the operational side of that.
Q. All right. I'II ask himthat question. Thank you.
A. Uh-huh.

MS. Gl BONEY: I don't have any further questions for this witness, Judge.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from the Office of the Public Counsel ?

MS. SHEMNELL: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. Good afternoon, ME. Parish.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. I'mlooking at the bottom of page 3 regarding the Partial Disposition Agreenent which OPC si gned. And at the bottom of page 3 and the top of page 4 you list some of the agreements that we all made in that partial di sposition. Are you there?
A. No, l'mnot. Could you point me in that --
Q. Page 3, starting at line 18 is the question.

MS. KLAUS: ME. Shemwell, are you in her testimny, for clarification? You're not specifically referencing the agreement herself?

MS. SHEMNELL: I'mreferring to her Surrebuttal --

MS. KLAUS: Thank you.
MS. SHEMNELL: -- at the bottom of page 3
MR. BOUDREAU: What -- what line was it
agai n , Lera?
MB. SHEMNELL: Ei ght een.
MR. BOUDREAU: Okay. Thank you.
THE WTNESS: Can you restate your
question, please?
BY MB. SHEMMELL:
Q. I was just directing you to that.
A. Okay. I'mthere.
Q. So l was going to ask, while we agree that within $\mathbf{3 0}$ days of the effective date of an order approving the Partial Disposition Agreenent, that's part of the agreement at line 21. To your know edge, has the Company implemented any of these changes?
A. To my know edge, l believe the Company has updated some of the information on their website, as well as they mention the tel ephone number on the customer bills.
Q. Do you have any know edge about A --sub- A at line 3?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Have you revi ewed their website recently?
A. No, not recently.
Q. So you don't knowif it's easy for customers to findinformation? Or do you knowif it's easy for customers to find the information they might

## be looking for?

A. I would probably have to go back out there agai $n$ and check to see. Last time we did the review, looked at the website, it did seemeasily accessible. We al so mention, you know, the tel ephone numbers whi ch they' ve updated on there. So they have ot her thi ngs as well that needed to be updated, so.
Q. I'm not sure I heard you quite clearly. You sai d they updated the phone numbers?
A. Yes. The phone numbers and there was a payment table on there as well.
Q. Tell me about the payment table.
A. They have different ways that compani es are -- customers can call in and make payments, for -for one example. But they do not allow that. Wen we met with the Company, they don't take phone payments.
Q. Do you knowif that's changed?
A. That I do not know.
Q. That's all I have. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from Si I verleaf?

MR. HARDEN: No, sir.
J UDGE CLARK: Commi ssi oner, any questions?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.

Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by St aff?
MS. KLAUS: No redirect.
JUDGE CLARK: ME. Parish, you're excused.
THE WTNESS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Staff, you can call your
next witness.
ME. KLAUS: Staff calls David Roos.
JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Roos, would you rai se
your right hand to be sworn.
(W)tness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Pl ease be
seat ed.
Staff, go ahead.
DAVI D ROOS, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MS. KLAUS:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Will you please state and spell your name for the record?
A. My name is David Roos, D-a-v-i-d R-o-o-s.
Q. By whom are you empl oyed and in what capacity?
A. By the M ssouri Public Service Commission
in the Water and Sewer Department as a utility engi neering speci alist.
Q. Are you the statement David Roos who caused to be prepared certain testi mony which has been marked Staff Exhi bit 112 and it's your Rebuttal Testimony?
A. $\quad \mathrm{am}$
Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your testi mony?
A. I do not.
Q. Is your testinony true and correct to the best of your bel ief and know edge?
A. it is.
Q. If I asked you those same questions today, would you gi ve ne the same answers?
A. Yes.

MS. KLAUS: I offer Exhi bit 112 as
evi dence.
J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ection to
Exhi bit 112 being admitted onto the hearing record?
Seeing none, Exhi bit 112 will be admitted on to the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 112 was recei ved into evi dence.)
MS. KLAUS: I tender the witness for
cross- exami nati on.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nat i on by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: I have no questi ons for this witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- exami nation by
Ozark Mbunt ai n?
MG. GI BONEY: Yes, Judge.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MG. GI BONEY:
Q. M. Roos, I'm Sarah G boney and I'mthe at torney for Ozark Mbunt ai $n$ Condomi ni um Associ ation. I'd like to ask you a question l asked Ms. Parish and that rel ates to a statement in the Non- Unani nous Stipul ation and Agreement.

At page 5 of that document, middle of the page, paragraph 8 regarding cust oner service, the Company and Li berty agreed that the Company will require all contra- I'msorry. The Company and Staff agreed that Li berty will require all contractors to report all customer inquiries to the Company personnel as soon as practicable, but not later than monthly.

Do you have an expl anation for -- for why it might take a nonth for a custoner inquiry to be routed to Li berty by its contractors?
A. Well, I have an expl anation. I think monthly probably co-- coinci de with the billing. And

I thi nk some customer inqui ries such as -- it could be anything from weed eating around a wellhead or some mi nor mai nt enance or something like that, that those things could probably wait a month to report back to the Company.

Mbre substantial inquiries should be taken care of as soon as practical and should be responded to as soon as -- as soon as possible.

Q But in this agreement there's -- there' s nothing in here that suggests that more serious or -I don't remenber the adj ective you used, but nore seri ous issues should be reported sooner than that. Correct?
A. Well, I think it says as soon as practical.
Q. Why wouldit be nore practicable to report a serious incident faster than M. Jones wants to know why you' re weed eating around the meter?
A. Because it's a serious incident. You would definitel y want to respond to that imedi at el y and let people know i meedi at el $y$.
Q. Can you define "practicable" for me?
A. I don't know that I can. I would use the word "practical."
Q. All right. What nord you use?
A. Practical or as soon as possible.
Q. Practical. Okay. So you nould say as soon as practical?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is it less practical if you're just making a report to report something in one day or 30 days or the opposite?
A. Well, these -- these incidents are going to be over a period of time. And you can call the Company back every time there's an in-- or every time there's an inquiry. There could just be small inquiries that might clog the system l--it's just my -- it just may not seemthat -- that, for instance, weed eating would be something that every time someone calls about that, that you would have to know i mmedi at el y .
Q. But there's no distinction in here bet ween seri ous issues and -- and non- serious issues. Correct?
A. You're correct.
Q. Al right. I'dilike to ask you next about -- well, have you read M. Allsbury's testi mony in this case?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Al light. I can provi de you with a copy
if you want, but -- but generally speaking, there's a series of events in the summer of 2015 that he recounts. Are you familiar with that?
A. Yes, I am
Q. All right. And would you agree that -with M. All-- Alsbury's characterization of that as for 16 days fromJune 25th through July 10th there was consistently an issue with not having any water at OMCA?
A. I have no reason to doubt that.
Q. All right. So no nater, is that a serious issue for you? Do you consider that a serious i ssue?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. All right. And, in fact, you sent a Data Request to Li berty Utilities concerning the time period June 11th through July 11th. Correct? Do you recall that?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you asked, Pl ease descri be what improvements to system and operations Li berty has made or is planning to make to prevent the series of events that occurred from J une 11th, 2015 through July 11th, 2015 from recurring.

Do you recall that Data Request?
A. Yes. Either Data Request 110 or 111.
Q. Yes. And I'mlooking at 111, to be cl ear.
A. Okay.
Q. So you asked themto describe
i mprovements to system and operations to prevent that from recurring. Do you recall what the response was?
A. I'msorry. I'mreading it right now, just --

## Q. Okay.

A. Yes. Thei $r$ response was to terminate the contract with the contract operator and use Li berty per sonnel.
Q. All right. But part of your question was describe what improvements to system Right Their answer doesn' $t$ descri be any improvements to the system that would prevent those events fromoccurring; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Oh, I'msory.

Now l'd like to take a look at your
testimony. And l'mlooking in particul ar -- and I apol ogi ze, I don't remenber the exhi bit number. I've al ready forgotten it.

MR. WESTEN: 112.

BY ME. GI BONEY:
Q. Okay. On -- I'mlooking at page 3 of your testinony. And at line 15 the question is asked, What is Staff's concl usi on regarding the incidents recounted in M. Alsbury's Direct Testimony?
A. I'msorry. I'msort of behind. Page 3?
Q. Yes.
A. What line?
Q. Fifteen.
A. Yes.
Q. So the question is, What is Staff's concl usi on regarding the inci dents recounted in M. Allsbury's Direct Testi nony?
A. Yes.
Q. And your answer was, Staf concl udes that inci dents recounted in M. Alsbury's Direct Testinony have been resol ved, peri od.
A. That's --
Q. The water system has been repai red and is currently a reliable source of water, period.

On what facts are you rel ying in your concl usi on that the system has been repai red?
A. Back on page 2, I provi de a table basi cally summarizing Mr. Allsbury's testimony of the events. And the basis of that concl usion is that
after the year 2015, there's two years of no i nci dences reported.
Q. Okay. But it's sort of the absence of a complaint, not an afirmative fact that you were made anare of; is that correct?
A. I al so di scussed these events with

Li berty's operations manager and he told me that they had fixed the problems. Al so, in Mr. Allsbury's testimony, he points out that -- I don't thi nk he poi nts out, but the last -- he concl udes the events of 2015 that basically after the pressure regul ating val ve was installed and calibrated, that seemed to be the end of the events.
Q. Okay. Of those particular events?
A. Of those particular events.
Q. Vell, I'mcurious. I thi nk you mentioned tal king to the operations manager, M. Carlson; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Vell, is it your understanding that an outside contractor actually provided servi ces up until just this fall -- this spring?
A. It's been rel atively recent.
Q. All right. And were you present when
M. Al sbury testified?
A. Yes.
Q. Al light. And you heard himsay that he' s had two conversations with Paul Carlson?
A. Yes.
Q. Al right. And you heard himsay that Paul -- Paul Carlson did not walk the grounds with him and look at the facilities?
A. I did hear that.
Q. All right. But part of your basis for your concl usi ons is having di scussed these issues with M. Carl son?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you have never met with M. Al sbury to di scuss any of this, have you?
A. I have not.
Q. All right. No further questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by
the Office of the Public Counsel ?
MS. SHEMMELL: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MG. SHEMMELL:
Q. M. Roos, I'mLera Shemwel. I represent the Office of the Public Counsel.

Your testimony here is pretty specific TO respond to Ozark Mbuntai n Condomi ni um Associ ation's

## water system is that correct?

A. It's the Rebuttal -- I wrote Rebuttal Testi mony addressing Mr. All sbury's Direct Testimony.
Q. So have you devel oped an opi ni on concerning the Company's ability to provide safe and adequate water service at any other Iocation?
A. I thi nk they're currently provi di ng safe and adequate water at their other locations -- at all of thei r locations.
Q. And what's the basis for that?
A. Well, I performed a site inspection of all of their systems. I've al so intervi ewed the Li berty op- operations manager and their operators. I revi ewed the operating permits -- the Department of Natural Resources operating permits that Li berty has and other DNR records. I revi ewed the water test results. I al so investigated taste, odor and pressure compl ai nts that were made at the public comments section. I sent several DRs to the Company as well.
Q. Did you revi ew the compl ai nts made at the Pacific local public hearing concerning chl orine?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And do you have a concl usi on as to what might be causing concern -- the concern of the cust oners?
A. Well, l think these -- those systens were originally not chl orinated. Li berty vol untarily began chl orinating these systens, and so there was a change in the taste and odor of -- of the water. It seemed like a lot of it was peopl e's individual reaction to that change.
Q. Do you have an opi ni on as to the -whet her or not the level of chl orine in the water mi ght have caused customer concerns?
A. Well, Staff measured the -- the level of chl orine in the water at one of our site visits and they were operating between 1 part per million and about 1.5 part per million chlorine. The DNR regul ations require them to operate at a min mum of . 5 part per million and a maxi mum of 4 part per million. So they were within the operating range.
Q. If I may summarize your testimony about that then, you think it was simplyat -- it was the change in the taste of the water that was causing customer concern rather than a hi gh or a lowlevel ?

MB. KLAUS: Judge, l'm goi ng to have to obj ect as bei ng asked and answered.

J UDGE CLARK: I think she's just trying to clarify it. l'mgoing to allow her to do so. BY ME. SHEMNELL:
Q. That it was a change in the taste of the water that was resulting in the customer's concern as opposed to a safety issue?
A. I'msorry. Could you repeat the question?
Q. What l'masking you is the -- it was a change -- the custoners noticed a change in the taste and smel l of their water and complai ned of chl orine. But it's your opinion that that is not a result of a safety issue with too much or too little chl orine?
A. That is correct.
Q. Fi nally got around to asking it. Thank
you.
A. Okay.
Q. That's all I have.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by
Si I verl eaf?
MR. HARDEN: No, sir.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questions,
Cormi ssi oner?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: Just bri efly.
QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER KENNEY:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. You saw M. Allsbury's report of the
incidents in his Direct Testinony?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Do you have any reason to doubt any of those?
A. I do not.
Q. Okay. You menti oned bet ween -- you mentioned that -- first off, did you attend any of the Iocal public hearings in Pineview Branson or Pacific?
A. Yes. I was there at all of them
Q. Did you hear any of the customers compl ai $n$ about problens with phone service and being able to commun cate with the Company?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Pretty preval ent, I thought. I mean I heard several too. So I guess my -- my question, you mentioned that the Company has taken steps to correct that in this last year or last -recently? Is that what you sai d? I'mjust -- not trying to put words in your mouth. I was trying -- I thought I remenber you saying sonething like that.
A. My issue is more-- is operational, is equi prent and system operations. As far as the communi cations, that would be Dana Parish.
Q. Okay. But you di dn't pay -- okay. So you don' t --
A. So I --
Q. -- know whether or not the Company was
 service or not from 2015 to the present?
A. I -- I don't.
Q. All right. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any recross- examination
after Commission questions?
MS. Gl BONEY: No, Judge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any redirect by the
Commi ssi on St aff ?
MS. KLAUS: Very briefly.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MB. KLAUS:
Q. M. Roos, you were asked some questions by ME. G boney, who is representing OMCA or Ozark Mbuntain. You were asked if you had seen M. Al lsbury's testi mony. Do you recall that question?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And to be cl ear, M. Allsbury' stestinony was Di rect Testinony. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. To which you responded by filing Rebuttal Testimony. Correct?
A. Correct.

## Q. And in your Rebuttal Testinony you

 concl uded that the issues describedin M. Alsbury's Di rect Testi mony had been resol ved. Correct?A. Correct.
Q. And after that, you did not see any Surrebuttal Testinony from M. Alsbury. Correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So there was nothing in the record to ref ute your -- your concl usi on?
A. That is correct.

MS. KLAUS: That's all I have, Judge.
Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Next witness on customer servi ce issues is Li berty Utilities. And Mr. Roos, you're excused.

ME. Schwartz, you're still under oath.
THE WTNESS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Go ahead, Li berty.
MR. BOUDREAU: Oh, l -- l'msorry. I
don't have any additional foundation questions to ask her. I mean her tes-- her testimony l thi nk has been verified and offered into the record. So l will tender her for cross on this particular issue.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you. Any
cross-examination by Staff

201

MS. KLAUS: No questions, Judge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: Yes, Judge.
JILL SCHMARTZ, havi ng been previ ously sworn, testified as follows:

CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. G BONEY:
Q. M. Schwartz, I want to ask you hopef ully one but maybe a few questions to reconcile a couple of statements that don't seem reconcilabla me.

The first one is in your Rebuttal Testimony and it's at page 7, lines 11 and 12. Véli, I'II just read the question first at line 6. What is the Company's response to the issues identified by -and I thi nk it's supposed to say OMCA? And -- and part of your answer was, The Ozark Mbuntai $n$ water -and I thi nk that refers to the Silverleaf system is that correct?
A. That's just -- I was just referring to the water systemthat --
Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we' re tal king about the same thing. The Ozark Mbuntain water and wastewater systemis now operated by Company empl oyees.

Al right. So that's your statement
there. And that's still true; is that right?
A. It is -- yes, it is operated on a
day-to-day basis by Company empl oyees. We still use contractors to -- you know, to provi de emergency servi ces or leak servi ces, leak repair servi ces, but day-to-day operations are done by Company personnel.
Q. All right. So the re- the response to emergency situation is -- is going to be with a different contractor but like it was before, with a contract service. Is that what you' re telling ne?
A. There may be things that the Company needs to engage outside contractors to performwork for. Such as a leak repair may need to be done by outside contractors under the supervision of Company per sonnel.
Q. Does that mean di rect supervision at the site?
A. I -- l'msorry, l'm not -- l'm not the operations manager and I don't know if Mr. Carlson would be onsite at every -- in every instance. I would assume that if it's a large outage or issue, that he would be working si de-by-si de any contractors to make sure that the job is done to his liking.
Q. Okay. And then the ot her sta-- statement that ki nds of rel ates to that that l wanted to ask you
about is in the Non- unani mous stipul ation and Agreement. And so that's at page 5, agai $\mathbf{n}$ paragraph 8 rel ating to customer service.

And the statement is, While the Company prefers, comma, and is comitted to, comma, providing servi ce to custoners with Li berty empl oyees, comm, for service territories where contractors are necessary -- and then it goes on to talk about, you know, how they' II report inqui ries.

So woul d you consi der the Sil verl eaf system a servi ce area where contractors are necessary?
A. That statement was intended to mean that the Company will use Company personnel wherever possi ble, but there are some situations, because of the dynamics of how this Company has been established -- and so l wasn't able to say definitively that we will al ways use Company personnel because of our Company -- the KMB properties.

So we tried to make the statement that where possi ble, the Company prefers to use Company empl oyees to operate. And so we were specifically referring to the Ozark Mbuntain and Hol iday Hills compani es in the Br anson area that is located closely to our other properties and the properties that we're acqui ring through Ozark International.

## Q. Okay. But even for serious issues you' re

 still pl anni ng on rel ying on contractors?A. There are certain situations where it may be necessary to engage with outside contractors to hel $p$ repai $r$ leaks or other things, but day-to-day operations will be done by Company personnel.
Q. All right. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by
Si I verl eaf?
MR. HARDEN: No. Not on thi s issue.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by the Office of the Public Counsel ?

MS. SHEMNELL: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. Good afternoon, ME. Schwartz.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. How are you?
A. Great.
Q. Good. Where is Mr. Carlson Iocated physi cal ly?
A. Physically -- well, he travels a lot because he manages all the water properties in Mssouri that are located all over, you know, the state. His main office is in Aurora.
Q. Which is in the Springfield area --
A. Yes.
Q. -- generally?

So he manages Pacific as part of his
duti es?
A. He manages all the water properties for Li berty Utilities in Mssouri.
Q. Has M. Carlson, I thi nk the phrase has been, wal ked the system Has he done that yet at the -- with Mster -- wh- is it OCMA? I'msorry. I'm-- it's OMCA Right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Has he wal ked the system?
A. It's my understanding, based on my numerous conversations with Mr. Carlson, that he met with Mr. Allsbury in February of 2018 and they wal ked around the property and Mr. Allsbury poi nted out certain issues that -- that remai ned to be addressed by the Company. And Mr. Carlson is -- has a list of issues that need to be addressed and is working on a pl an to address those later this year.
Q. Did you hear M. Al sbury testify that that had not happened?
A. I did hear Mr. Allsbury testify that he had a different -- he apparently has a different view of that meeting. It is my understanding, based on my
conversation with M. Carlson -- and while I was not present at that meeting, as I indicated in my testimony, it is my understanding that they did point out some issues, some meters to Mr. Carlson that he may not have otherwi se been able to find on his own. And so Mr. Carlson's vi ew -- and I perhaps should not speak for him It is my understanding based on conversations with him that M. Carlson vi ewed that as a walk of the property.

Q Has M. Carlson wal ked all of your other properties in Mssouri?
A. I cannot say for sure that he has wal ked all the properties in Mssouri, but l do believe that he has visited all of the properties in Mssouri. But to what extent a walk is defined, l'mnot sure.
Q. How nany peopl e -- how many empl oyees does M. Carlson supervi se?
A. I'mnot certain.
Q. Can you give ne an estimate?
A. I cannot.
Q. Do you know how many contractors he

## supervi ses?

A. Li berty Utilities M ssouri Water uses contractors for day-to- day operations for the Ti mber Creek properties in Jefferson County, for the KMB
properties in multiple counties but mainly in the Jefferson County area and in Cape Girardeau, and then ki nd of on an as-needed basis for things like I was referring to with ME. Gi boney's questions for leak repai rs and such.
Q. I spoke with ME. Parish about some of the thi ngs that the Company had agreed to do in the Partial Disposition Agreement. Are you aware of that Partial Disposition Agreement?
A. $\quad \mathrm{lam}$
Q. Are you avare of which of the itens listed -- do you -- do you need a copy of this?
A. I have a copy.
Q. Have been completed by the Company? It's on page 4 of Dana Parish's testimony.
A. I do not have a copy of Ms. Parish's testimny, but l have a copy of the Partial Di sposition Agreement.
Q. And can you find those that starts with A, the Company's call center representatives?
A. Yes, I see them
Q. And whi ch of those have been compl et ed?
A. Well, the Company vi ews -- you know, we're -- we' re constantly revi ewi ng our customer service and our operations to -- for continuous
i mprovements. It is my understanding, based on di scussi ons with our customer service team that the customer service representatives are -- have begun answering the phone Li berty Utilities for the after-hours tel ephone service.

I have not personally tested that to make sure of that, my own account, but it is my understanding that that has been communi cated to the customer service representatives and then that may be under way.
Q. And is it your understanding that some call center representative is availabe 24/7--
A. Yes.
Q. -- that phones are answered?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
A. They are. As far as the other items in the -- in the Partial Disposition Agreement, and I'm just ki nd of scanning qui ckly, the information incl uded on the billing statements as far -- l'mnot exactly sure if we're referring to the phone number in there, but there have been issues and -- and questions about the phone numbers presented on the bills and that has been updated.

That was actually updated on the bills
begi nni ng in October of 2015 and customers recei ved on those bills a bill message that informed them of the new customer service number.

We have al so updated the phone numbers on the website to make sure that the website is correct. Now, to your question earlier of M. Parish, I would not sit here and state today that the -- that the website is 100 percent perfect. There are al ways areas for improvement and thi ngs that can be improved. And some of it is subject to customers' opi ni ons. What may be easy for one person to find may be not so easy for another person to find.

But the Company has revi ewed all of the comments that it has recei ved rel ated to the website and the phone number and add-- and is working to address all of those comments and concerns.
Q. Thank you very mach. That's all I have.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions by the Chai rman? l'msory.

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: I got promoted. if I did, they could just recycle that ol d Kenny name, Chai rman.

J UDGE CLARK: I apol ogize. Any questions, Commissi oner Kenney?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: You don't have to
apol ogize.
J UDGE CLARK: I think --
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: I mean, we had a Republican governor for 20 mont hs. It's about time, don't you thi nk? That's another story. QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER KENNEY:
Q. Briefly on the call center, when didyou say these changes began?
A. The -- the customer service number was changed in October of 2015. And we -
Q. You were -- you were at the public hearings. Right ?
A. I was at the public hearings, yes, sir.
Q. The two where I was at in Pi nevi ew and Branson when I took that ei ght-and-a-hal f hour drive around -- it's like a nal kabout, but it's a dri ve- about.
A. Yeah.
Q. I heard several people talk about the -not bei ng able to get ahol d of anybody.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So if it's -- what have you done since then?
A. Well, we were very concer ned about that as well. That was the first time that we had really
heard that -- we recei ved that level of comment regar di ng that issue. That wasn't something that । recall seeing in the -- in the comments that were filed in EFIS. So that was of concern to -- to the Company as well, so we imedi at el y started investi gating that issue.

We bel ieve that that has been ki nd of an issue that's isol ated to the Hol iday Hills' customers. Primarily --
Q. Is that Pinevi ew?
A. No. Those were -- that was the Br anson.
Q. Branson.
A. Uh-huh. Primarily because the -- the number that was referred to on the Hol iday Hills Condomi ni um Associ ation website and on a voi cemail and an answering machi ne service that they have for -that their members would call listed an ol d customer servi ce number that was no longer used for M ssouri Water customers. So it was --
Q. So your thought -- your -- your thought is that they probably $\mathbf{j}$ ust went online, got -- found it from what their -- their -- their normal position instead of going to the Company or looking at their bill?
A. Correct. Yes. Thei $r$ bills were updated

October of 2015 with -- on the bill and with a special bill message that indicated the new number.
Q. Okay. Regarding the wal $k$ of the property at Ozark Mbunt ai n whet her -- whatever -- I' m not -whet her it took place or di dn't -- what ever each side bel ieves, M. Carlson and Alsbury, when was that?
A. It's my understanding that that was in

February of 2018.
Q. So six nonths ago?
A. Yes.
Q. And he's still working on a plan?
A. It's my understandi ng, based on my
conversations with Mr. Carlson, that he was trying to be sensitive to this hi gh season. The summer season would be a more -- would be a busier time for the condomi ni uns and the resorts. And so he di dn't want to take off the -- he di dn't want to take the system off-line to make repairs that could be wait.
Q. Okay. But you just -- you said he' s working on a plan. That's not working on a plan. I mean he al ready has -- I can understand that. That would be a plan in process --
A. Okay.
Q. -- if you have a plan ready to go, but here's the reason, here's it is and so everybody
knows. But to me, the fact that six months later he' s just still working on a plan, that means -- that shows -- it's just -- you know, I don't -- and l'm not -- I' m not trying to single out your company because we have -- this happens with a lot of snall water and sewer compani es, and like the rate shock because they don't come infor a hearing for solong.

But l've noticed in my six years is that a company does a whole bunch of stuff that last couple nonths to get things looking like we' re doing everything. And to me, I -- I would say that I think
 pl ace and shoul d be working on. I mean it shoul dn' t be just in the process. Six months is a long time for -- for a con-- for a company to have a plan in pl ace. So that -- that concerns me.
A. I understand your concern. And the -- । can only assure you that the Company is working to address and takes these concerns very seriously and is working to address all of themand fix all of the issues and the meter concerns that exist. So l'Il continue to work with Mr. Carlson to make sure that they're sufficiently addressed.
Q. Okay.

QUESTI ONS BY J UDGE CLARK:

## Q. Al ong the lines of what Comissi oner

 Kenney had asked --COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: I got denoted. I was made Chai rman a few mintes ago and now I'mback to Cormíssi oner.

MS. COLEMAN: You're the man.
JUDGE CLARK: Apparently l've been gi ven broader powers than I thought this job had. BY J UDGE CLARK:
Q. One of the issues that l've heard and was echoed again today that l really haven' $\mathbf{t}$ heard addressed at all is -- is some of the customers indi cated that they are -- they would be under like a boil al ert and would have no way of knowing. Is there anything that's been done to address that?
A. I've tal ked to Mr. Carlson numerous times once the customer comments started rolling in in EFIS after the local public hearings and -- and several times since then. It's my understanding that the Company is working on a plan.

We have a plan in pl ace where when there are small outages, 25 to 30 meters or less, we will provi de door hangers on each customer's door so that they'll be notified when there's a boil advisory or an issue. And then they'll al so recei ve a door hanger
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when the all clear is gi ven.
For larger outages, it's my under standing -- agai n l'm not an operator, but it's my understanding from Mr. Carlson that there are two forms of notification that are required. And it is the Company's intent that they'll use like a larger A-frame type board to notify customers at the entrance of the property that there is a boil advi sor-advi sory under way. And then obvi ously when the board is taken down, then the issue has been resol ved.

In addition to that form of
communi cation, the Company will al so update its website and that would happen as well. The Company is working on a pl an and a process, a communi cation pl an with the customer servi ce reps and the communi cation team to make sure that the website gets updated timely so that customers could go on there and see -- sel ect thei $r$ particul ar location area and it would al ert them to any outages or advi sori es, any warni ngs that they need to be aware of.

We have al so been preparing an -- like a postcard that will be mailed to all customers to ki nd of informthem of what the difference bet ween a boil order is and an advi sory. And -- so that they ki nd of understand the differences if you -- it's my
under standi ng -- agai n , I'm not an operator, but it's my understanding that if you have low pressure, then you would be under a boil advi sory.

And so we' re working on sore
communi cations to send to customers on a more regul ar basis to just constantly remind them as they have -you know, that -- that these thi ngs exi st and here's what they should do, direct themto our customer servi ce number so that they can let the customer service reps know and we can get in touch with our operations teamto make sure that they're addressing the issues promptly.
Q. Thank you.

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Judge, । have a quest i on.

J UDGE CLARK: Go right ahead.
QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN:
Q. So just in case this isn' been addressed, I'd like to ask about some issues l heard about at the Pacific local public hearing regarding the -- the chl orine in the water. And I thi nk that was brought up by OPC this morning. And in my notes I show that, you know that the taste, the smell of it has become extremel y si gni ficant.

So I want -- woul dilke to know what type
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## of $\mathbf{t h i}$ ngs have been put in place to address that?

A. Well, it's my understanding -- and Mr. Roos previously addressed this in his testimony, but l'Il try to -- to restate that because I agreed with what he said and then al so provide my understanding fromthe Company's perspective.

But based on my conversations with our operations manager, the Company vol unt arily chl ori nated the water. We were not required to chl ori nate the system but we bel ieve that it's in the best interest for the heal th and safety of our customers to chl ori nate the water.

So based on the recommendations from the Department of Nat ural Resources, we -- we chl orinated the system And the level of chl orine in the system was within the recommended range by -- of the DNR.

So l bel ieve that the customers, while they did notice a difference in the taste and the smell and they have some concerns and some compl ai nts about it, the levels are within the tol erable range or the recommended range for chl orination by the DNR and that it's just a matter of personal preference or, you know, that it's just a difference in how the water was after chl ori nation versus previ ously.
Q. Has there been any attempt by the Company
to educate the customers on the fact that the level s are accept able and that thi ngs -- just as you were saying, it's the tol erance level of the customer?
A. I don't believe that there's been any
mass communi cation to all customers about the chl orination. I do understand that we notified customers that we would be adding chl orine to the system Some customers may not have noticed or thought anything of it.

Sone customers did complain to the operators, which made its way back to our operator, Mr. Paul Carlson. And I do know that he has had numer ous conversations with indi vi dual customers kind of on -- on a case-by-case basis. But I don't believe that the Company has sent out any mass communi cations to customers about chl orine in the -- in the water.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Thank you, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Any cross --
any recross based upon Commission questions?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
MS. SHEMMELL: I have just somet hing bri efly.

RECROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. I was getting calls from-- I'm not sure
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whi ch party, Silverleaf, lini nk, maybe Hol iday Hils. The manager of the homes association. And I know that they have e- nail addresses for all of their condo owners and all of thei $\mathbf{r}-$ - the other owners. Are you contacting the management of these water systens of like the homeowners associ ation if you have a boil order so that they can send out e-nails?
A. I don't know that we have specifically contacted like the homeomers association or the condo associ ations. We contact our customers directly. So via door hanger for the customers -- you know, for the meter or, you know, with the -- with the si gns posted out front. I don't know that there's been any specific outreach to the homeowners association or the condo associ ations.
Q. I'mjust thinking it's kind of easy to miss a sign, but if you get an e-mail, nost -- l'm just consi dering that that might be a met hod of commin cation. InJeff City we get notified by tel evi si on and radio. Is that not -- is that a possibility for customer notification?
A. I'm not sure that that's something that we' ve di scussed. To your earlier point about the e-mail addresses, I do recall -- I believe her name was Mrs. Bart testifying at the Br anson hearing about
her commini cation with customers via e-nail. We have been di scussing that and whether or not that's a possi bility.

As she indi cated l beli eve in her testimny at that local public hearing, she doesn't have e-mail addresses for all customers and so it's somet hi ng that the Company is di scussing. And we' re al ways looking for ways to better commi cate with our customers, so it is something that we' re looki ng at and consi dering.

I don't know that we' ve had specific conversations -- I don't recall specific conversations about radio stations or tel evision stations.

## Q. Thank you.

A. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by Li berty?
MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, Judge. I do. I
thi nk l'Il need to mark an exhi bit.
JUDGE CLARK: Is this a new exhi bit or is thi s one --

MR. BOUDREAU: This is a new exhi bit. It will be Exhi bit 5 by my count. Can I go ahead?

J UDGE CLARK: Yes.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Okay. ME. Schwartz, I've handed -- I'II
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hand you a document -- I haven't done it yet, but l'II do it now
A. Thank you.
Q. I'Il hand you a document that is -- has been narked or will be marked as Exhi bit Number 5. Do you recogni ze that docurent?
A. I do.
Q. And this is in response to some questions

I thi nk you got from Comi ssi oner Kenney about what
I'II call the phone call run- around compl ai nt that nostly came up in the Branson hearing. And you mentioned in your response to Comissi oner Kenney that there had been a bill with a customer notification provi ded in October of ' 15?
A. Yes.
Q. Is -- can you tell me what that -- that document is that you have in front of you?
A. Sure. This document is a copy of a customer bill for one of our Li berty Utilities M ssouri hater customers. That information has been redacted for the privacy of the customer. The only inf or mation that remains is you can see that the customer is located in Branson.

But specifically this is what I was
referring to at the top-- near the top in the
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I eft-hand corner there is a statement that says, For questions regarding your bill, call 855.426.4376. And that is the new customer service number that was put in place begi nni ng in October of 2015.

Al so hi ghl ighted in yellow-- and I
should note that the -- the actual bills that
customers recei ved did not have this inf or mation
hi ghl ighted in yellow at the time that the bills were mailed. This was just something that we did for --
for our own internal purposes to highlight that this was, in fact, on there.

But we included a special message so
that -- so that customers were aware that begi nning October of 2015, the customer service number has been changed.

J UDGE CLARK: And I thi nk we' re jumping ahead a little bit. She's testifying froma documented that hasn't been offered yet.

MR. BOUDREAU: I guess she is, isn't she?
BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. Do you recognize the document that's been
previ ously marked as Exhi bit Number 5?
A. I do.

| Q. Okay. And can you identify what that |
| :--- |

document $i$ s?
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A. It is a copy of a customer bill.
Q. Okay. Is this a customer bill nailed out by Li berty Utilities Mssouri Whter, LLC to its -- to its water and/ or sewer customers?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. And is this a typical formfor a bill that's mailed by the Company on a monthly basis?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. BOUDREAU: Okay. With that, l'II
offer Exhi bit Number 5 into the record, please.
J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to admitting Exhi bit Number 5 onto the hearing record?

Exhi bit Number 5 is admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 5 was recei ved into evi dence.)
J UDGE CLARK: And you can go ahead.
BY MR. BOUDREAU:
Q. I'm not sure that l've got much more. So you -- you' ve mentioned that the hi ghl ighting on the speci al message area was added but not -- was -- was not part of the bill that was nailed but was added for the Company's conveni ence in terns of its investigation of the phone number issue?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you know how I ong that
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special message -- how many months that special message was incl uded in the mailings?
A. I don't know for sure. I believe at least for one month, but perhaps two.
Q. Okay. Al l right. Very good. I thi nk that's all the questions $I$ have on redi rect.

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: I've got a question.

MR. BOUDREAU: Certai nl y .
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: Maybe you or your witness -- l'mjust curious. That 5 percent penalty for late payment, is that a tariff issue or is that just a Company decision? I mean 5 percent -- I've seen 1.5 percent. 5 percent, is that monthly? Down at the bottom Late payment fee. l'mjust curious.

MR. BOUDREAU: I'm not -- l'm not sure if I'min a position to answer that question. QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER KENNEY:
Q. Are you?
A. I don't know without revi ewing the tariff. My -- l would imagine that it would be a tariff issue, that it would be something that would be spelled out in our tariff. We woul dn't normally charge customers anything that's not identified or outlined in our tariff, but l would have to check that
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COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: Okay. I'd like someone to give me that information bef ore we make a decision on this. Thank you.

MR. BOUDREAU: Very good.
I don't have any further questions for the witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Ms. Schwartz, you can step down for now. I believe that concl udes customer service issues whi ch moves us on to the i ssue --

MR. BOUDREAU: Actually, if l might recall ME. Schwartz, I thi nk we have a copy of the tariff so we can answer the Cormi ssi oner's question --

JUDGE CLARK: I think that would be appropriate.

MR. BOUDREAU: -- ri ght now, I thi nk. Let's go ahead and clear up the record, if you woul dn' t mind.

JUDGE CLARK: ME. Schwartz, you're still under oath.

MR. BOUDREAU: May I approach the wi tness?

J UDGE CLARK: Yes.
FURTHER REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. BOUDREAU:
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Q. Mb. Schwartz, I'mgoing to hand you a document and ask you if you recognize -- ask you if you recognize that document?
A. Yes. This is a copy of one of the tariff sheets for Li berty Utilities Mssouri Water.
Q. And that's for the Silverleaf --
A. Yes, it is.
Q. -- area?

Does it -- does it address the issue of a Iate payment fee?
A. It does.
Q. And what does it provide?
A. It says that the late payment fee will be the greater of 5 percent of the bill or 2 dollars, whi ch is the same statement that's made on the bill itself.

MR. BOUDREAU: Okay. I don't know if that satisfies the Commissioner's question.

FURTHER QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER KENNEY:
Q. Does it -- is that all it says or does it say 5 dollars per month or if it's two nonths or three nonths or four nonths or five -- or $j$ ust a total of 5 percent?
A. It si moly states the greater of 5 percent of bill or 2 dollars.

## Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BOUDREAU: I'm not going to mark that as an exhi bit.

MS. SHEMNELL: Paul, did you say you' re not going to offer this?

MR. BOUDREAU: Huh?
MG. SHEMNELL: Di d you say you' re not going to offer this?

MR. BOUDREAU: I don't thi nk I'mgoing to offer -- the -- the tariff sheets are a matter of public record. I think that they can be referred to.

MS. Gl BONEY: Paul, coul d you identify the tariff sheet number? The date or something?

MR. BOUDREAU: For the record, ME. Schwartz was referring to P-- PSC Mssouri Nunber 2 canceling PSC M ssouri Number 2, First Revi sed Sheet Nunber 5.

MG. G BONEY: Is there a date?
MR. BOUDREAU: Excuse me?
MS. G BONEY: Dat e?
MR. BOUDREAU: It's ma-- it's stamped as dated recei ved fromthe Pub-- by the Public Service Commi ssi on on July 20th, 1998. Oh, the effective date at the bottom off of the tariff sheet is September 4th, 1998. Thank you.

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questi ons fromany party rel at ed to that?

Okay. ME. Schwartz, you can step down.
And we'll move onto rate design. The first issue or sub-issue under the issue of rate design is the phase-in of rates. And in regard to that, first witness is Silverleaf's.

MR. HARDEN: Your Honor, l'd call
Mr. William St annard.
J UDGE CLARK: Mr. St annard, l'Il remind you you're still under oath.

THE WTNESS: Yes, sir.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. I
tender Mr. St annard for cross-examination on the issue of the proposed phase-in rights.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by the Office of the Public Counsel?

MS. SHEMNELL: None. Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by Ozark Mbuntain?

MS. GI BONEY: No, J udge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: This is the rate phase-in
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i ssue?
J UDGE CLARK: That's correct
MR. BOUDREAU: I have no
cross-examination for the witness on that question.
Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation from the Cormi ssi on St aff?

MS. KLAUS: No questions. Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe
Commi ssi on?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No.
JUDGE CLARK: That I eaves you wi thout redirect so you may step down for the moment, Mr. St annard.

THE WTNESS: Okay. Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Thank you for your
testimony.
The next witness under the issue of rate design, sub-issue phase-in of rates will be Li berty Utilities.

MR. BOUDREAU: l'Il ask ME. Schwartz to take the stand agai $n$, please.

JUDGE CLARK: ME. Schwartz, I'Il remind you you're still under oath.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
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MR. BOUDREAU: And I would go ahead and tender her for cross on this particular issue.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Any cross-examination by the Cormi ssi on Staff

MS. KLAUS: No questions, thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Si I verl eaf?

MR. HARDEN: Yes. Thank you. Your Honor, may I approach the witness? l'd like to provi de her, unl ess she has al ready has one, with a copy of her deposition transcript. Deposition was taken August 3rd of this year and I'd Iike to provide it for her for the purposes of this cross-examination, if it's necessary.

J UDGE CLARK: That woul d be fine.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you.
JILL SCHMARTZ, havi ng been previ ously sworn, testified as follows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. I don't know if you have that.
A. I don't. Thank you.
Q. Thank you, ME. Schwartz. On this
particular issue, l've actually got really rel atively few questions.

You started -- you started your empl oyment with Li berty Utility in 2015; is that cor rect?
A. That's correct.
Q. And is it true that you have no know edge as to Li berty Utilities' means of determining when to file a rate case prior to your employment in 2015?
A. I'msorry. Coul d you repeat the question, please?
Q. Sure. It's -- do you have any knowl edge as to the -- the means of how Li berty Utilities determined when to file a rate case bef ore your empl oyment starting in 2015?
A. As l believe I said in my deposition, । was not -- I do not have any know edge of how the Company filed or planned to file rate cases prior to my employment with the Company.
Q. Thank you. Thank you. And so you cannot say -- you cannot say why the Com- the Company did not file a rate case for al nost ten years. Wbuld that be an accurate statement?
A. I cannot state why the Company did not file a rate case prior to my employment with the

Company.
MR. HARDEN: Your Honor, those are the onl y questions with regard to the phase-in rates that I have for Mb. Schwartz. I would say that at this time l would like to offer into evi dence what I have narked as Exhi bit 309, whi ch is Ms. Schwartz's -- the transcript of her deposition taki ng -- taken earlier this month.

J UDGE CLARK: And I don't have that on an exhi bit list, sol'massuming this is a new exhi bit?

MR. HARDEN: Yes. And I apol ogize, Your Honor. That was my oversight. I simply forgot to put it down. I have it marked as 309.

JUDGE CLARK: In regard to Exhi bit 309, are there any objections to admitting Exhi bit 309 onto the hearing record?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, Judge. As you can i magi ne, $I$ have a number of them Number one, Ms. Schwartz is sitting right here on the stand. So to the extent that Mr . Harden wants to ask her some questions, she's here to answer questions on at least this topic.

The -- I don't have any probl em with Mr. Harden using her deposition to impeach her prior testi mony, her deposition testimony, that's fine, but
to -- to just throw the deposition in whol esal e into the record, you don't know what's in there. I have a pretty good idea of what's in there because l was there for the deposition. I can tell you there are standing obj ections that I made at the opening of the deposition to rel evance and hearsay just so that । di dn' $t$ have to inter rupt the flow of the question -of the -- of the deposition.

I al so -- there were a number of
obj ections to various questions throughout the deposition on various grounds; rel evance, hearsay, form of -- formof questions, foundation and what have you. And I think that -- that this would require you, sir, and I and Mr. Harden to go through the deposition and rule on those objections with -- with respect to every question and answer in the deposition. And l'm not sure that's the best use of your time or my time or anybody's time and certainly not the expense associated with doing it.

ME. Schwartz is sitting right there. All he has to do is if he has sore questions for her, is to ask some questions. And if she says something that -- at odds or what he percei ves at odds with her deposition, he can confront her with it.

So I object to the -- just with -- just
whol esale throwing in an entire deposition into the recor d.

J UDGE CLARK: Any response, Mr. Harden?
MR. HARDEN: Yes, sir. l'm not sure based upon that exactly what the legal objection is. As Mr. Boudreau said, and he's quite right, he was present and he did make a number of objections during the course of the deposition.

In no way would -- I -- I would assume that Your Honor and Mr. Boudreau would have all the right and authority to sustain those objections at some point in -- you know, at some point in the future. The legal record remai ns -- remai ns open, as we know from other issues within this case.

I'd just like to, you know, sort of remind everybody that under -- it's Rule 5707, the use of depositions in court proceedi ngs. And I'mjust going to read it. It says, Any part of a deposition that is admissible under the rules of evi dence applied as though the deponent were testifying in court may be used agai nst a party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition and who had prior notice thereof. Depositions may be used in court for any pur pose.

Again, l'm-- l'm-- l'malittle baffled
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as to what the specific objection being offered today is to it. I certainly do understand and -- and it's taken well that Mr. Boudreau had -- had objections to specific questions within the deposition. And, again, you would be fully within your rights to -- to -- to strike those particul ar -- those particular questions. But I see no reason why -- today I'm offering this deposition testimony in, why -- why thi s testimony can't core in.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Well, you're both right to a degree. And here -- here's what l'mgoing to say in regard to that. In regard to the expense of timel y keeping us here in order to do that, that's -that's not in any way a valid objection. And -- and what you said in regard to a deposition can be used in court for multiple purposes, that's true; impeachment bei $n g$ one of those, there bei $n g$ others.

But the problemwith a deposition is a deposition is kind of unbounded. It -- it -- it -- if the deposition comes in and as Mr. Boudreau points out, it comes in whol esal e, then it comes in essentially unfiltered with -- with whatever subjects were -- were asked about, whet her or not they would be admissible or not in this proceeding.

So I'mgoing to allow you to ask
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questions fromit, but l'mnot going to admit a whole deposition onto the hearing record. So if you want to use it to impeach, if you have other purposes with whi ch you want to ask questions from we can address those questions indi vi dually, but l'm not goi ng to allow a deposition in whole. I'mnot going to admit it.

So Exhi bit 309 will not be admitted onto the hearing record.

MR. HARDEN: So it won't be admitted -it -- it will not be admitted say provisionally?

JUDGE CLARK: No, it won't be admitted --
MR. HARDEN: Period?
JUDGE CLARK: -- onto the hearing record, that's correct. You can ask questions. You can use the deposition.

MR. HARDEN: I understand, Your Honor. I appreciate it. I have no further questions for this witness.

J UDGE CLARK: If you have questions you asked during the deposition that you want to ask, as poi nted out, you have that witness here.

MR. HARDEN: I do understand.
J UDGE CLARK: Do you have any ot her questions for this witness?
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MR. HARDEN: Not on the issue of phase-in, which is what -- where l believe that -- the phase-in rates, whi ch is what l believe that -- the issue that we're on.

JUDGE CLARK: That is correct.
Any cross-examination fromthe Office of the Public Counsel ?

MS. SHEMNELL: No. Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questi ons fromthe Commi ssi on?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No.
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: No.
J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by Li berty?
MR. BOUDREAU: I have none. Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Okay. ME. Schwartz, you may step down.

Next witness in regards to phase-in is Staff's. You may call your witness.

MS. KLAUS: Thank you, Judge. Staff calls James Busch.

J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Busch, woul d you rai se your right hand to be sworn.
(W) W ness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Pl ease be seated. Di rect by Staff.

JAMES BUSCH, being first duly sworn, testified as foll ows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MS. KLAUS:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Will you please state and spell your name for the record?
A. My name is James Busch. Busch is spelled B- u-s-c-h.
Q. By whom are you empl oyed and in what capacity?
A. I'mempl oyed by the M ssouri Public Servi ce Commission. And I amthe manager of the Water and Sewer Department.
Q. Are you the same James Busch who caused to be prepared certain testimony which has been premarked as Staff Exhi bit 103 and which is your Sur rebuttal Testimony?
A. $\quad \mathrm{lam}$
Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your testimony?
A. I do not.
Q. Is your testimony true and correct to the best of your bel ief and know edge?
A. it is.

## Q. If I asked you those same questions

 today, would you gi ve the same answers?A. I would.
Q. I believe that you are testifying on another issue at a I ater tine?
A. That is correct.
Q. So we will wait to admit your testimony, if that's the Judge's preference.

MS. KLAUS: And if so, l will tender the witness for cross.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: I have no questions for this witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by Ozark Mbuntain?

MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by the Office of the Public Counsel?

MS. SHEMNELL: I have no questions for this witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by Sil verleaf?

MR. HARDEN: Yes. Just a few.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:

240
TI GER COURT REPORTI NG, LLC
Q. On -- good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. On page 8 of your Surrebuttal Testimony, Iine 4 through 12, you provide that the customers benefit froma utility's failure to come in for a rate increase because the customers get the benefit of the lower -- of the lower rate because they haven' t cone in for a rate case. Is that an accurate summation of what you provi de there?
A. You're referring to page 8, lines roughly 5 through 7?
Q. Yeah, yeah.
A. Yes. I would agree with that.
Q. Okay. So M. Busch, I just want to -using -- using that rational e, would there ever be a situation where customers suffered an unj ustifiable rate shock froma utility's failure to come in for a rate case?
A. Could -- coul d you repeat that question, pl ease?
Q. Sure. So u-- using the rationale that the customers benefit froma -- froma utility company not coming in for a rate case because the -- the rates remain low is -- sort of using that as the basis, is there ever a situation where customers nould suffer
unj ustifiable rate shock froma utility's failure to come in for a rate case?
A. It is very difficult to say something would never happen. l'mtrying to thi nk of a situation where that would occur. And -- and nothing -- no example is coming to my head right now.
Q. So can I lay out a scenario and ki nd of get your response to it?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. So one is a utility company cones in routinely and asks for a rate increase, which is commensurate with their earni ngs or because of their earnings and -- and they want to increase revenues and they do this on a regul ar basis and customers pay addi tional -- increased rates. Do you understand that scenario?
A. Can I try to rephrase --
Q. Yes. Pl ease. Absol utel $y$.
A. -- to make sure l understand?
Q. Yeah. Absol utel $\mathbf{y}$.
A. If -- if I understand what you're suggesting is that you're creating a situation where a utility is coming in routinely, say every three or four years, something like that.

Q Sure. Right, right.
A. Because they bel $i$ eve that they need hi gher revenues to cover thei $r$ cost-of-service.
Q. Absol utel y.
A. Okay. I can make that assumption.
Q. Okay. Second assumption -- or the second scenario is one where the company -- the utility company does not cone in. For whatever reason it is, they si mply do not come in. And -- and so the custoners are -- don't see any kind of rate increase for a prol onged period of time. And then they do cone in.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. In those -- gi ven those two scenarios, can you see in that second scenario any harm done to the custoners?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Okay. On page 6 and 7 of your Surrebuttal Testimony, line 22 through line 1, one of the reasons that you gi ve for di sagreeing with Silverleaf's phase-in is that the proposed charges in year 3 and 4 represent a significant increase in a short period of time; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So going back to what we j ust di scussed, woul d that -- woul d that harm done to custoners, a

I arge increase in a short period of time, would that al so be applicable in the situation where a utility si mply failed to come in for a rate case?
A. I don't know that l stated that it's a harmthat those rates are that high. I just think I pointed out that those are significant increases in a short period of time. So l don't know if I agree that it's harmto the consumers just because the rate i ncreases were high.
Q. Okay. Can you state one way or the other that today? I mean, I -- I know you don' tin your testi mony -- or l -- I take that from-- from your testimony that you -- you don't say that it's a specific harm Do you -- do -- do you think that it's a harmp
A. Do l think that the rates proposed are a harmto the consumers?
Q. Vell, no. Let's -- are -- are large rate increases in a short period of tine, are those -- can those be harnf ul to custoners?
A. l'm-- l hate to do this, but l'm assuming that you mean by harmful, you mean costly?
Q. Yes.
A. Because, l mean, you know, harmis -- you know, it's hard to understand what you -- when you're
trying to determine what harmis. You know, we look at dollar amounts and we are looking at what the cost is going to be to the consumer on a monthly basis and that's what we focus on. So l don't look at, you know, a hi gh rate as necessarily bei ng harmful, you know.

## Q. Fair.

A. You know, we work, in the water and sewer especially, in an envi ronment where we have very small utilities that do not come in for rate cases for many, many years. And we have the situation where Iarger systenæ are coming in and purchasing these smaller systems. And nationwi de we' re dealing with that -have to, you know, compl etely upgrade our water and sewer infrastructures.

So when you have those situations, you're goi ng to have the unfortunate occurrence of having rates that are goi ng to go up rel atively high and, you know, in the cost ben- - you know, in the cost anal ysis to a consumer could be harnful to certain consumers. But unfortunately, in order to provi de the safe and adequate service that they deserve, sometimes those costs just have to go up in a short period of time, regar dl ess of whet her or not they' ve come in every two years or if it's been ten years.
Q. Will -- let ne see. I know we' re on phase-in. I apol ogize. I don't want to hit the wrong issue in the wrong section.
A. No worries.
Q. I'mgoing to assume this is -- this is in it -- I just shrank.
A. I sawthat.
Q. Okay. WII Staff's recommendation be that Li berty Utilities Mssouri Whter cone infor a new rate case with every maj or acqui sition?
A. I do not know.
Q. So it's concei vable?
A. Depends on the level of the -- of the acqui sition. Depends on, you know, what the -- what the Company looks like in the fut ure. If the Company has -- you know, say, for example, since they're down in the Branson area, they take over the whole Springfield water systemin -- in two years and then after that, they buy a rel atively more maj or system but not quite as bi g. They may not -- we may not have that same recommendation.

So that's, agai $n$, hard to say what Staff will recommend in the future not knowing what Li berty Utilities will look like next year even.
Q. Wbuldit be dependent upon the size of
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## the -- of the system bei ng acqui red?

A. That woul d be one aspect that we would look at.
Q. I mean you can understand why this would be concerning to -- to Silverleaf, can' $\mathbf{t}$ you?
A. I -- I -- I understand. And -- and we' ve had ot her I arge corporations that were acquiring smaller systens and -- and the fear was what happens to rates upon the acqui sition of these other systens. So । -- I understand that.

It is my hope that we do see Li berty on a more consistent basis. I think that there is capital i mprovements that need to be made that have been di scussed throughout this hearing. We app-- we put that into our -- the Stipul ation and Agreement, that they're going to file a five-year capital plan so we can have a better idea working with the Company to make those investments to get the service, you know, appropriate as -- you know, conti nue to provi de that safe and adequate service.

And as the Company makes those investments, we hope they cone in to get recovery of those investments on -- you know, on a more regul ar basis. I think that's better for the Company and the consumers.
Q. Has -- has Staff had a hope with regards to Li berty Utility Mssouri Vhter coming in in the I ast ten years?
A. I -- I started in 2008 in the Water and Sewer Department. At that time Liberty was only the Silverleaf systems. Shortly thereafter, they purchased the KMB and the Noel systens. I cannot remember the year. I thi nk it was discussed earlier. It was about 2012, ' 13 maybe they filed a 6-- 60-day notification that they were going to come in for a rate case. So we were anticipating a rate case to be filed before this one.

And for decisions that were made by the Company, they di dn't come in for a rate case, so.
Q. So is there ever a scenario where not coming in for a rate case can be -- can be deemed imprudent -- imprudent management fromthe utility?
A. In all my years, l have not seen a situation where we' ve deemed it was imprudent that they haven't come in.
Q. Could you -- could you envision a scenario where Staff deemed it imprudent for a utility to not cone in for a rate case?

MB. KLAUS: Obj ection, specul ation.
JUDGE CLARK: What was the question
agai $n$ ?
MR. HARDEN: If -- if he coul d concei ve of a scenario where Staf $f$ would consider it imprudent for a utility to not come in for a rate case?

JUDGE CLARK: Can you personalize that to hi m more?

MR. HARDEN: Can I -- excuse me? I'm sorry. I just di dn't --

J UDGE CLARK: Can you personal ize that to hi mmore? Rather than to Staff as a more broad -BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. M. Busch, can you visualize a scenario where it would be imprudent management on the part of the utility to not come in for a rate case?
A. Where it would be imprudent? I could definitely see situations where it would not be in the best interest for them not to come in. But to -- to re, to call it up to the level of imprudency, I -- I don't know if l could get to that level.
Q. And this would be -- would this, in part, be because you do not foresee -- or you cannot envi si on harmto the ratepayers fromthe utility not coming in for a rate case?
A. Well, l mean, again, it would -- it would depend on why they would be coming in for a rate case.

If the Company has not really invested any money, if they haven't -- if it's a brand-new systemthat was just put in in a subdi vision and they became a regul at ed entity and brand- new pi pe, brand- new pumps, brand-new everything, so you know, nothing breaks down, they get lucky, you know, the expenses are rel ativel y -- stayed the same, I don't know that we would necessarily want to see that company come in just -- just to come in for a rate case.

So, you know, especially in the water and sewer world where we're dealing with such small systems with various ages, it's hard to -- you know, there's a lot of factors that would go into whether or not a company should or should not come in for a rate i ncrease.
Q. So that scenario that you $j$ ust laid out, there's no capital improvements, there's no et cetera?
A. That could be one reason why a firm would not come in, and that would be perfectly fine.
Q. To -- to your know edge, is -- is that now or was that in the last ten years the situation with Li berty Utilities Mssouri Khter?
A. I am not familiar with the capital i mprovements that the Company has made over the Iast ten years in -- in their systems.
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Q. Are you familiar with their O and M expenses?
A. I -- I'm not the auditor on this case, so I di dn't look at all their operation mai ntenance expenses.

MR. HARDEN: I have no further questions.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No.
JUDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by Staff?
MS. KLAUS: Yes. Hopefully very brief.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY ME. KLAUS:
Q. M. Busch, you were asked some questions about your testimony, specifically looking at pages 8, 6 and 7. And fromthis you were gi ven two examples or scenarios, one in which a utility cones in regularly every three or four years and one in which a utility doesn't come in for a while. I believe the time period referenced was ten years with that.

And a lot of -- a concl usi on was drawn fromthose scenarios. And l'd like just to give you an opportunity to make clear. Rate design invol ves a I ot nore consi deration than what was put into $j$ ust those two examples. Correct?
A. Rate design?
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Q. Yes.
A. Rate design takes into account, you know, the overall cost-of-service -- I mean are you just tal king rate design as the determination of the customer charge and the commodity charge? Are you tal king about the whole --
Q. I think $\mathbf{j}$ ust -- $\mathbf{j}$ ust mentioning al lof those things has kind of answered my question.
A. Right.
Q. But let me ask it this may: Rate design has a lot more to do than j ust the period of time in whi ch a company cones in for a rate case?
A. Yes.
Q. It's not just three years, four years, ten years?
A. Ri ght. I mean, you know, rate design I ooks at the cost of provi di ng service, the number of customers, the -- the vol ures that they utilize. They I ook at -- we look at what the current rates are, what the proposed rates are goi ng to be based upon that revenue requi rement. You know, just a myriad of issues that we have tolook at.
Q. And using those same examples, you would say that Staff's recomendation in each rate case is based on a case-by-case anal ysi s?
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A. That is correct. We -- we look at the revenue requi rement and then we make a determination based upon, you know, the utility that is before us and the -- you know, the demographics of the -- of the customer base and everything.
Q. One final question. Does Staff make management deci si ons for the company?
A. We do not.
Q. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Busch, you can step down.

It is three o'clock now. I'd like to take about a 15 - minute recess. Bef ore we do that, it appears that we have two sub-issues remai ni ng under rate design and the additional issue of the exemption for Silverleaf and Orange Lake.

Are there any witnesses that are only available tonorrow who would not be available today? Because my tendency at this point is to -- is to keep goi ng and see how far we can get in this.

MR. BOUDREAU: For what it's worth, I share that sentiment.

JUDGE CLARK: Well, and I appreciate that, but l just want to know are there any witnesses
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who are not available today?
Okay. Then what l'm going to do is we'll
take about a 15 - minute recess until say about 3: 17 and then we'll come back and we will continue to move forward. We'll go off the record.
(A recess was taken.)
JUDGE CLARK: Let's go back on the record
now.
MR. WESTEN: Judge, bef ore we get started, can I address a preliminary matter? । mentioned another Staff witness who none of the parties have identified they had any objections to the admission of his testimony.

J UDGE CLARK: That was Mr. Mbi--
MR. UESTEN: Mbil anen.
JUDGE CLARK: Mbilanen.
MR. WESTEN: Staff's Exhi bit 108. And if I might just go ahead and offer that at this time to be offered as evi dence. That way he doesn't have to wait around until the end of the hearing.

MR. BOUDREAU: I thought it was in al ready.

MR. WESTEN: Has it al ready been entered?
JUDGE CLARK: I have it as al ready
admitted.
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MR. BOUDREAU: Yeah, I have it as
recei ved.
MR. WESTEN: Well, then l won't trouble everyone and I will hand the testimony to the court reporter.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. I appreciate you bringing that up. It's better to be sure.

MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge.
MR. HARDEN: Your Honor, we had di scussed earlier -- I -- I don't know if you want to wait until the end for the non-testimonial exhi bits that we di scussed.

J UDGE CLARK: If you want to take that up now bef ore we go on to the next subject, that would be fine. I believe you're discussing exhi bits -- give me just a second -- 304, 305 and 306 and 307; is that correct?

MR. HARDEN: Yes, that is correct.
JUDGE CLARK: And those are the affidavit of Hugh Rosenbl um affidavit of Lori Howell, affidavit of $M$ chael Hall, and the deeds and the declaration of rights.

MR. HARDEN: That is correct.
J UDGE CLARK: And you are offering those?
MR. HARDEN: I am
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J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ection to admitting those onto the hearing record?

MR. BOUDREAU: Yes, Judge. I have a number of objections. I want to probably deal with themin two categories.

There's three affidavits, as l understand it. I don't know if any foundation has been laid for the admission of them l've taken a look at the exhi bits and they're certainly copi es of affidavits, but they're not -- they're not the originals of the affidavit.

The ot her objection, I thi nk, beyond just the -- the lack of foundation is the rel evance to the case. I don't know what these -- what these documents -- what thei $r$-- what their rel evance to the issues in this case are at this point. There may be an explanation for it, but l'mgoing to object on the grounds of rel evance.

J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Har den?
MR. HARDEN: To his first point, they're affidavits, they're self-authenticating documents. And in terns of what's been filed in the county recorder's office they're public records.

In terns of the relevance, the property rights of the ouners of my client's property, and --
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in addition to the declaration of rights, which spells out the -- in large part the operations and other -operations and mai ntenance rights and obligations bet ween the owners are all exceedingly rel evant to this proceeding.

These are literally the people who pay the ultimate -- the -- the utility bill. And so yeah, we think that they're pretty rel evant to Li berty Utility Mssouri hater's request for a rate increase for water and sewer.

MR. WESTEN: Josh, do you have copi es of these?

MR. HARDEN: They're -- they're in EFI S.
MR. WESTEN: When were they filed in

## EFI S?

MR. HARDEN: I don't -- I don't -- l'd more than happy --

JUDGE CLARK: If I remenber right -- and I can address at least what's bei ng said now a little bit. Are we getting back to -- you had filed at one point a Mbtion to Dismiss. And I believe that these were documents filed as exhi bits in that Mbtion to Dismiss; is that correct?

MR. HARDEN: Yes. That's certai nly part of it. That is certainly-- that's --
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J UDGE CLARK: Because that issue has been ruled on.

MR. HARDEN: Ri ght. Ri ght.
J UDGE CLARK: Does this rel ate to a different issue?

MR. HARDEN: It rel ates to the customers or the people who recei ve water and sewer service from Li berty Utility Mssouri Water.

JUDGE CLARK: Well, what do you mean "it rel at es to"? I mean I understand that these are people that as a part of a -- that -- that -- that -that in sharing part of a -- part ounership of a -- of a unit, that that unit does recei ve utilities. l'm just trying to understand how it's rel evant.

I mean I'm not going to re-address the -the issue as to whether or not timeshare ouners are customers. I think that that's been settled by the Commission. So I'mjust trying to figure out where you're going. I'mtrying to figure out what your -if you have another point of rel evance.

MR. HARDEN: Ve beli eve that the information and the evi dence provided will hel p the Commi ssi on in determining what's in the best interest of the people who are invol ved in -- in this case. We thi nk that it's rel evant to understand what the
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property rights are. We -- of -- of those peopl e who ultimately pay the bill for Silver-- for Li berty Utilities.

MR. BOUDREAU: Judge, if I may, I mean, he' s studi ously avoi ding these references to these i ndi vi dual s as customers.

JUDGE CLARK: He is.
MR. BOUDREAU: And I guess my point is they're not customers. And to the extent that any of this rel evant to anybody, I would thi nk it would have to have some rel evance to one of the Company's customers, not some abstract notion of who ultimately -- ultimately contributes to -- to the payment of some account.

J UDGE CLARK: That's ki nd of -- l ki nd of -- he has, as you put it, studi ously avoi ded saying customers. And I think the customer issue is well settled. But certainly these are people who will be i mpacted. And in that regard, l'mgoing to admit those exhi bits.

So are there any ot her obj ections?
MR. BOUDREAU: Just -- just for clarity, these are the three affidavits or are you tal king about all four of the exhi bits?

JUDGE CLARK: I am-- | am-- 304, 305,

306, 307 and 308 I 'm going to admit onto the hearing record. Are there any objections to those fromany other party?

Seei ng none, those will be admitted.
(Exhi bit 304, 305, 306, 307 and 308 were recei ved into evi dence.)

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. Mbving on to rate desi gn, customer charge and commodity charge. First witness is Li berty Utilities.

MR. BOUDREAU: I'mrecalling Jill
Schwartz to the stand, pl ease. And I don't have any further introductory questions to ask her at this time so l'Il tender her for cross-examination on this particular issue.

JUDGE CLARK: Okay. ME. Schwartz, I'II remind you you're still under oath.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Cormi ssi on St aff ?

MR. WESTEN: None. Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Ozark Mbuntain?

MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Si I verl eaf?
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MR. HARDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Generally speaking, houl d you agree that the -- when a commodity charge is -- is hi gher than the customer charge, the customer has greater control over their bill. Wbuld that be an accurate statement?
A. When the commodity charge -- I assume -I assume that you're referring to -- or intending to say that when the commodity charge in total applied towards the usage results in a hi gher portion of the customer's bill than the fixed charge?

Q $\quad$ Right. Yes.
A. Theoretically, I understand what you're suggesting. I don't necessarily think that that's al ways true though. To sore extent customers will use a certain amount of water or, you know, for water consumption or for wastewater purposes regardless; really al most out of thei $r$ control.
Q. All right. So it's inel astic to some degree, the demand?
A. I think so.
Q. Wbuld you agree that the hi gher the cus-the custoner fixed charge is, the less risk that the utility bears?
A. I thi nk that to the extent that there is
a hi gher customer charge, there is more stability for customers in their monthly bills as well as the company in their monthly revenues.
Q. Okay. Is -- so I think naybe you answered $m$ question. So there -- there's more stability in the revenues recei ved by the utility company?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Okay. I have no further questions.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from the Office of the Public Counsel?

ME. SHEMNELL: We have no questions for this witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Cormi ssi oner Kenney, do you have any questions?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No, thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: I don't bel i eve so. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Mb. Schwartz, you may step down.

Next witness is Staffis.
MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. St aff
calls Matthew Barnes.
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J UDGE CLARK: Mr. Barnes, will you rai se your right hand to be sworn.
(W) W ness sworn.)

J UDGE CLARK: Pl ease be seat ed.
MATTHEW BARNES, bei ng first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Can you please spell -- spell. Can you pl ease state and then spel your name for the court reporter?
A. Matthew Barnes, Ma-t-t-h-e-w B-a-r-n-e-s.
Q. By whom are you empl oyed and in what capacity?
A. I'mempl oyed by the M ssouri Public Service Commission. I'man auditor four in the Vater and Sewer Department.
Q. Are you the same Matthew Barnes who caused to be prepared Direct Testimony, Rebuttal Testimony and Surrebuttal Testimony marked as Exhi bits 100, 101 and 102 inthis case?
A. Yes, I am
Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to
that testi nony?
A. I do not.
Q. Is your testi nony true and correct to the best of your know edge and bel ief?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And if I were to ask you those same questions agai $n$ today, woul d your answers be the same?
A. Yes, they woul d.

MR. WESTEN: At this time l'd like to of fer Exhi bits 100, 101 and 102 as evi dence.

J UDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to admitting Exhi bit 100, 101, and 102 onto the hearing record?

Seei ng none, 100101 and 102 are admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bits 100, 101 and 102 were recei ved i nto evi dence.)

MR. WESTEN: Thank you. At this time I tender the witness for cross-exam ne.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- exami nation by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: I have no questi ons for thi s witness. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by Ozark Mbunt ai n?

MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
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J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by
the Office of the Public Counsel?
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MS. SHEMNELL:
Q. I'mgoing to -- okay. In recomending di strict-specific pricing, does that mean that Staff does not want to see consolidation of the utilities?
A. We -- we chose district-specific pricing because we don't see a need right now to consol idate, but we do have an alter native proposal if the Cormi ssi on were to choose any consol idation, that the KMB Systens be consol idated into one tariff rate.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nat ion by
Sil verl eaf?
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Your Surrebuttal Testimony, page 3, line 12 through 14, you state that Staf generally does not di sagree with the approach used by Mr. Stannard in terns of an across-the-board rate increase as opposed to -- well, just l'II just leave it at that. Is that -- is that an accurate statement?
A. It is. The Staff has recommended across-the-board increases in previ ous rate cases.
Q. But in this particular rate case, you're recomending an increase of the fixed customer charge
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of about 60-- a little over 60 percent; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now let ne ask you the same question I asked Ms. Schwartz. Wbul d you generally agree that when the commodity charge is hi gher than that of the custoner charge, that custoners have a greater control over their bills?
A. I -- I would have to agree with the way she answered it as regardless if the customer has a -say an average use every month of 4,000 gallons and they' ve made everything -- they' ve done everything possi ble to conserve as much water as they could, their bill's going to go up if the commodity charge is hi gher.
Q. Vell, does an increase in the commodity charge have a greater effect on consumer behavi or than an increase in customer charge? How about that?
A. It could to the extent that they haven't al ready made every effort to conserve as much water or use less water as they could.
Q. Wbuld you agree that an increase in -substantial increase in the custoner charge as opposed to the commodity charge would have a greater impact on snaller customers than it would on larger customers?
A. Could you define smaller customers for me?

## Q. Resi dential.

A. Based on usage or -- agai $n$, resi dential customers tend to use different variances of water, so --

## Q. Ri ght.

A. -- । don't know if l can answer that with -- without you defining what a small customer is.
Q. Okay. I have no more questions. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by Staff?
MR. WESTEN: I have no redirect. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Barnes.
Next witness is Silverleaf's.
Mr. Stannard, l'Il remind you you're still under oath.
THE WTNESS: Thank you.
MR. HARDEN: Tender M. St annard for cross-examination on the issue of customer charge and commodity charge.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you. Any cross-examination by the Office of the Public Counsel ?

MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor.
W LLI AM STANNARD, havi ng been previ ously sworn,
testified as follows:
DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HALL:
Q. Good afternoon, M. Stannard.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Whuldit be fair to describe your refiled testimony as -- as debating the proposed rate design of various commodity charges?
A. Yes. As well as the -- the bal ance of customer charge and -- and the commodity charge.
Q. Okay. So when Silverleaf gets thei $r$ customer charge, they' re presented with the rates, their use and their ultimate final bill. Correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Sil verleaf noul dn' t-- Sil verleaf nould not accept $\mathbf{j}$ ust a flat charge without bei ng tol d what their rates were?
A. I'm not sure if I understand what you mean by accept.
Q. If they were presented a bill that $j$ ust said, You owe the utility $X$ anount and given no background as to how much water was supposedl y used, woul d Silverleaf accept that?
A. The -- if -- if the approved tariff
incl uded a commodity component, then the answer would be no. There are some systens that onl y charge on
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flat rates across the board so there is -- where they are not metered.
Q. So that type of rate-- the type of rate I just described, woul d you describe that as transparent?
A. No. It is not transparent.
Q. Thank you. No further questions.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nations from
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by
Li berty Utilities?
MR. BOUDREAU: I have no questions on this topic of this witness. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Staff of the Commission?

MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge. Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Good afternoon, M. Stannard.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Just a refresher, l'mJacob Vesten. I'm with the Staff counsel's office. I do have a few questions about your rate design. And actually l think -- lthink my questions start off a little bit broader than the specifics of your rate design. I
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understand you proposed a -- what's being called as an across-the-board 76 roughly percent increase on all aspects of Silverleaf's bills -- or the Silverleaf bills?
A. The Silverleaf utility systems proposing that Commi ssi on consi der an across-the-board increase that is appl ying the same percentage increase --
Q. Increase --
A. -- to all components of their existing rate structure, whi ch includes a fixed service charge, customer charge by meter size --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Ri}$ ght.
A. -- pl us a commodity rate.
Q. And that -- that's specifically for the Silverleaf area, the $\mathbf{S i} \operatorname{lverl}$ eaf system
A. Yes.
Q. And that -- that's ki nd of the scope of your recomendation at this point?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the exhi bits that were $j u s t$ of $f$ ered by your attorney and admitted into evi dence, the affidavits from M. Rosenbl um Mb. Howell and M. Hall?
A. I have not seen those.
Q. You' ve not seen those.

MR. WESTEN: Counsel, do you happen to have a second copy of those?

MR. HARDEN: I apol ogi ze, J acob. I
actually don't.
MR. WESTEN: Well, I -- I apol ogize to
the -- the parties here. I don't have the exact
exhi bit number. This is the affidavit of
Mr. Rosenbl um Wi ch exhi bit number is that?
ME. SHEMNELL: 304.
MR. WESTEN: 304?
JUDGE CLARK: That's correct.
BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. Okay. I'mhanding the witness what's been marked as Exhi bit 304 and I'II just gi ve you a monent to revi ew this. Have you seen this document bef ore?
A. No, I have not.
Q. You' ve not seen this document bef ore?

Okay. Do you have any reason to doubt the information on this document?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Okay. I'mgoing to ask you a question. So there's a line in here that says, A portion of the funds collected fromthe ouners -- and owners in this document are identified as timeshare owners. A
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portion of the funds collected from owners as mai ntenance fees are used to pay the invoi ces from Li berty Utilities.

Do you have any understanding of how the ti meshare owners actually pay Li berty Utilities for the water used?
A. I do not, no.
Q. So you have -- you can't speak to that information at al?
A. No, I cannot.
Q. Okay. In the course of conducting the rate desi gn, did you speak with any customers about thei $r$ usage di rectly, any customers? Did you speak with any customers?
A. I did speak with Silverleaf Resorts to get a copy of their -- portion -- well, their bills.
Q. So you' ve spoken with Silverleaf. You haven' $\mathbf{t}$ spoken --
A. Silverleaf. Not -- not with --
Q. Not indi vi dual timeshare owners?
A. -- indi vi dual timeshare owners. Just

Silverleaf. Revi ewing all the bills for 2017.
Q. Okay. And are you at all faniliar with the Data Request Response DR 131 that Silverleaf provided in response to Staff? Does that sound

## familiar?

A. It sounds familiar. l'd have to -- l'm not sure if l -- if that was -- if I revi ewed that or if that was material we -- we drilled into as opposed to ot her data that we got rel ying fromthe billing data from Li berty.
Q. Okay. Bear with me one moment, pl ease. Handing out what Staff has marked on its exhibit list as Exhi bit 131.

J UDGE CLARK: Exhi bit 118?
MR. WESTEN: I'msorry, yes. Exhi bit
118. I'msorry, Judge. I'mgetting the DR numbers and the exhi bit numbers conf used.

BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. And $\mathbf{j}$ ust real qui ckl y , have you seen this document or are you familiar with this document?
A. Yes, I am
Q. Did you prepare the answer in this document?
A. No, I did not.
Q. How are you familiar with this document, sir?
A. This was information that we were provi ded as well as part of this. So we utilized this information to anal yze the -- the data from Li berty
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with regard to usages in the Silverleaf system during the cal endar year 2017.
Q. So you relied on this as part of your nor k ?
A. Some -- some of the information on here. Trying to align the account numbers --

## Q. Okay.

A. -- with the data from Li berty. So we had the -- make sure we' re looking at the same data points.

## Q. Okay. I understand.

MR. WESTEN: At this time Staff would like to offer Exhi bit 118 as evi dence.

Mb. SHEMWELL: May I have a description of that?

MR. WESTEN: Yes. This is Staff's Data Request 131 to Silverleaf Resorts.

MS. SHEMNELL: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any obj ections to admitting Exhi bit 118 onto the hearing record?

MR. HARDEN: No obj ection from Sil verl eaf.

J UDGE CLARK: Seei ng no obj ections, Exhi bit 118 is admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 118 was recei ved into evi dence.)
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BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. M. Stannard, if you can turn to - these don' $t$ have page numbers, which I apol ogize, but by my count if you turn to the fourth page of this document, in the upper right-hand corner there's somet hi ng that says meter count. And then it has identification numbers, which l'm assuming are meters, and then a total number of neters. Is that your understanding, that that is a total number of meters for -- for the Hol i day Hills?
A. It says meter count so it -- that was -I would presure that that is the count of the meters in Hol i day Hills.
Q. And a similar question on the next -Iet's see. Turning three nore pages, you'll turn to a si milar document that says Ti nber Creek on the upper I eft-hand corner and on the right-hand corner it al so has a meter count. Sane assumption? Those are neters --
A. Yes.
Q. -- for the Ti nber Creek system?

And then on the very next page, same idea. Ozark Mbunt ai n in Ki nberling City and another neter account --
A. Yes.
Q. -- 137?

So by my nath, 210 pl us 24 pl us 137, we come up with -- is that 371 ? Is that the -- is that the nath that you have?
A. Yeah, I was -- in my head l came
around -- a little over 370.
Q. 370.
A. So we' re pretty close.
Q. We' re pretty close.
A. Since you're using a pencil and paper,

I'Il -- l'Il rely on your exact number.
Q. Well, that's al ways a danger ous thing to do with an attorney, sir.
A. I will agree with that.
Q. But I -- I appreci ate that.

So around 370?
A. Yes.
Q. And some of these meters obvi ously are commercial and are some $i d e n t i f i e d ~ a s ~ r e s i d e n t i a l ~ ? ~$
A. Yes.
Q. But these are all meters that are billed to the Silverleaf Resorts' systemor Orange Lake Country Cl ub property manager?
A. Yes.
Q. So these bills from Li berty all go to
that entity and then according to the Exhi bit 304, it sounds like those bills are actually paid by Orange Lake and Silverleaf. Is that your understandi ng?
A. That is my understanding.
Q. And so then the timeshare owners pay a mai ntenance fee that covers those water bills. Is that -- is that al so your understanding?
A. Bas-- based on the affidavit which is what it says, that would be my understanding.
Q. And are you familiar -- I think your testimony indi cated that there are approxi natel y al nost 36-, 35, 000 different ti meshare owners rel ated to the Silverleaf systens?
A. That's the inf ormation that I was provi ded by Silverleaf properties.
Q. Okay. So -- so those 35 - or 36,000 roughl y different timeshare owners approxi matel y pay a portion of roughly $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ bills that happen each mont $h$ ?

MR. HARDEN: Your Honor, l'm goi ng to go ahead and object. I thi nk I just got done hearing that we had foreclosed on this issue, that it was done, that the Commi ssi on had made a deci sion. So I guess I would ask what the rel evance of Mr. Westen's line of -- line of questioning here is, whi ch even despite that it's been al ready ruled on by the

Commi ssi on, even if it hadn't been, is well outsi de the scope of his Rebuttal or Surrebuttal Testimony. MR. WESTEN: Judge, Silverleaf has rai sed the issue of rate shock. And rate shock has to apply to customers paying bills. They' ve provided information that suggests that the timeshare owners are paying mai ntenance fees that cover the cost of water rather than bills from Li berty di rectly.

And so I'msimply trying to establish how many bills and how many timeshare owners per bill is it about the case. And I think those numbers are al ready present in the record.

MR. HARDEN: If the purpose is rate shock, I withdraw my objection.

JUDGE CLARK: l'II note that it's
wi thdrawn.
BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. So, M. Stannard, the question lthink was there are approxi matel y 36, 000 ti meshare owners and they pay a -- they each pay a portion of roughly 4- - 371 bills it would appear based on the infornation in front of us; isn't that right?
A. 371 bills each month.
Q. Yes. Thank you.
A. And -- and they are --
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Q. There' s no qu- - question pendi ng. I appreci ate that though. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any further
cross-exami nati on?
MR. WESTEN: I may have one ot her question, Judge, if you'll bear with me. I -- I apol ogize. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Take your time. BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. The one I ast question l have is actually rel ated to your testimony. You mention that there's -- let's see. On page 20 of your Refiled Rebuttal you have a usage table, Table 9. Does that I ook familiar?
A. Yes, sir. And it was updated in my --
Q. Yes, it was.
A. -- Surrebuttal.
Q. You're absol utely right.
A. l'd like to --
Q. Let's -- let's ch- let's turn to that table instead. And what's your -- what's the usage table in your Surrebuttal? Is that on page 15?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And I think -- I think in your -your Rebuttal Testimony you identified that a naj ority
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of the 20th percentile bills are no-use bills, where there' s no usage reported.
A. That is correct.
Q. And I don't know if you had an opportunity to review what is Li berty Utilities Exhi bit 5. Have you seen a copy of that?
A. No, l have not.

MR. WESTEN: Do you happen to have a spare copy of that? Thank you. BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. I'mhanding the witness what's been marked as Exhi bit 5.
A. Oh, yes.
Q. And now obvi ously this bill has some usage, but there's this handy little graph in the $m$ ddle of the page. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it shows for some of the winter mont hs, November, December, J anuary, Febr uary, there' s no usage whatsoever?
A. That is correct.
Q. And it shows that this particular bill, the service is irrigation water meter; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And obvi ously usage is hi gher during the summer months?
A. When an irrigation meter is irrigating, there would be usage.
Q. Absol utely. I think that's right. So obvi ously some of these low use bills that you' ve identified where there's no use, they could al so be high-use bills in the 90th or 80th percentile of your chart as well, aren't they?
A. They could be, yes.
Q. Thank you. I have no further questions.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions, Mr. St annard.

J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by Silverleaf?
MR. HARDEN: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE CLARK: That fini shes up all issues rel ating to rate design. And I'msorry. Was there something I needed --

MS. SHEMNELL: I just said to hi mhe mi ght as well stay because he's up next.

THE WTNESS: First one on the next i ssue.

JUDGE CLARK: It's the only one l've had
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out of order all day. Okay. So we are moving onto the next issue, whi ch is the Silverleaf, Orange Lake exception froma future rate case. First witness on that is Silverleaf's.

MR. HARDEN: Tender Mr. St annard for cross-examination on that issue.

J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Harden. Any cross-exam nation fromthe Office of the Public Counsel?

MS. SHEMNELL: I have no questions for this witness. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Ozark Mbuntai $n$ ?

MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination from Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: No questions. Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: And cross-examination from Cormi ssi on St aff ?

MS. KLAUS: No questions. Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: And that does away with any
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need for redi rect, so M. Stannard, you can -- you're excused.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Next witness is Li berty Utilities.

MR. BOUDREAU: I will ask Jill Schwartz to re-take the stand, please. And l'd be pleased to tender her for cross-examination

JUDGE CLARK: ME. Schwartz, I'II remind you're still under oath.

THE WTNESS: Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Boudreau.
Any cross-examination by Commission St aff
MS. KLAUS: No questions. Thank you.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examination by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by Si I verl eaf?

MR. HARDEN: Yes, shortly.
May I approach the witness and give her her deposition testim?

J UDGE CLARK: Yes. JILL SCHMARTZ, having been previ ously sworn, testified as follows:
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CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. I don't knowif you need it, but --
A. Thank you.
Q. ME. Schwartz, is it your understanding that Staff's recommendation that Li berty Utility Mssouri hater cone in for a rate case some time in the next 12 to 24 months?
A. It's my understanding that the Staff has recomended that the Company come in within the next 18 to 24 months.
Q. Thank you for that correction. And to your know edge, is that based on -- is that based on Li berty Utilities acquisition of Ozark International?
A. I believe that's part of the recomendation. There are al so some other factors in that recommendation, as l understand it fromthei $r$ testimony. They would like to see that the Company addressed, for example, the conversion to the chart of accounts, the Commission prefer-- adopted version of the chart of accounts.
Q. But to your know edge, Staff's recommendation that Li berty Utility come in is not based upon there being any cost-of-service change to any Li berty -- existing Li berty utility water and servi ce systens; is that correct?
A. Coul d you rephrase the question? l'm not sure I understood.
Q. Sure. Is it your understanding that Staff's recommendation that Li berty Utility come back in 18 months for -- for a rate case, that that is not based upon a change in cost-of-service to any Li berty -- any existing Li berty Utility systemp
A. Well, l wasn't invol ved in any di scussions with Staff and their recommendation -when they devel oped thei r recommendation, but । bel ieve that they would expect and antici pate that any rate case would incl ude any changes to the cost-of-servi ce.
Q. Okay. Mb. Schwartz, can you -- does Li berty Utility Mssouri Whter have a business plan?
A. As । -- as I stated in my deposition and we' ve di scussed --
Q. You' re going to need to repeat it.
A. Okay. I believe that the Company does have -- I guess l asked you to define -- can you define a busi ness pl an?
Q. Right. That would be a plan that sets forth the busi ness activities of Li berty Utilities Mssouri Vhter going into the future?
A. And so l believe that you previ ously
referred to it as like the IRP for an el ectric utility.
Q. We can start -- let's start with -- with that. Does Li berty Utility Mssouri Vater have anything renotel y simiar to an integrated resource pl an?
A. I do not believe that Li berty Utilities Mssouri Water has -- has anything similar to an integrated resource plan.
Q. Okay. So do you knowif Li berty Utility Mssouri Whter is planning on any additional acquisitions in the near future beyond Ozark I nt er national ?

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, I just ki nd of -- I don't know whet her this will get into matters that are confidential in terns of business plans or what not. l'Il -- l'Il ask the witness to flag it for me because we can -- then I can ask the Hearing Examiner to go in- camera.

THE WTNESS: I am not invol ved in all of the -- the di scussions and the acqui sitions that the Company looks at on a regul ar basis. I know that the Company is al ways looking to grow its operations through acqui sitions, but l am not personally invol ved in all of the di scussions rel ated to the acquisitions
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that the Company is I ooking at.
BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. Are those nade -- are those -- are those busi ness decision made at the level of Li berty Utility Mssouri Vater?
A. I'd say that they're made at the Li berty Utility Central Regi on Ievel.
Q. And is that the owner of Liberty Utilities Mssouri water?
A. Li berty Utilities Central Regi on is not a legal entity. It's more like the operating division, the busi ness di vi si on.
Q. Okay. The busi ness di vi si on of what company?
A. Of Li berty Utilities.
Q. Okay. Of -- and is that Liberty Utilities North Anerica or is it just Li berty Utilities?
A. Li berty Utilities is a di vision of Al gonquin Power and Utilities -- Utilities Corp.
Q. Let's say hypothetically that the Mssouri Public Service Comission wanted to find out whether or not Li berty Mssouri -- or Li berty Utility Mssouri Vater was planning on more acquisitions. Okay. Who would they contact to do that?
A. I would imgine they would submit a Data Request to the Company, to Lib- if they were specifically wondering if Li berty Utilities Mssouri Water, LLC is looking to acquire a company, they would submit that request to Li berty Utilities Mssouri hater, LLC.
Q. And what noul d -- and where woul d -- and what would Mssouri -- or Li berty Utilities Mssouri Whter do with that question?
A. I would contact the busi ness devel opment teamthat is invol ved in the acqui sitions.
Q. Okay. And is that busi ness devel opment teampart of Li berty Utilities Central Division?
A. There are busi ness devel opment empl oyees that support the Li berty Utilities Central Region.
Q. Okay. With the exception of corporate al locations and shared services, nould the addition of -- additional systens to the Li berty Utility Mssouri Whter, nould they affect the cost-of-service to Silverleaf
A. As it stands today, Silverleaf bei ng a separate stand-al one tariff and -- and rate schedule, and cost-of-service cal cul ation, I don't know that other than the allocations of shared services and corporate allocations, that the cost-of-service for

Silverleaf would be impacted by future acqui sitions; however, I do believe that you have to consi der the i mpact and the changes that any acqui sitions have on shared service allocations or corporate allocations because it can change things definitely.

I mean theoretically, the more that the Company grows, the more customers that we add, the allocation of those costs theoretically -- if everything el se remai ns unchanged, the allocation of those costs would go down for Silverleaf. And I would think that you would want to capt ure that in the next rate case.
Q. That is reassuring, Ms. Schwartz. And I have no ot her questions. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nati on from the Office of the Public Counsel ?

Mb. SHEMNELL: No further questions. No further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe Commi ssi on?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions, ME. Schwartz.

J UDGE CLARK: Any redirect by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: None. Thank you.
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J UDGE CLARK: ME. Schwartz, you're
excused.
THE WTNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: I believe our final witness of the day is Staff's witness.

MS. KLAUS: Recalling Mr. Jim Busch.
JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Busch, l'II remind you
you're still under oath
THE WTNESS: Yes, sir.
JAMES BUSCH, having been previ ously sworn, testified as follows:

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON BY MG. KLAUS:
Q. M. Busch, this is the last topic which you are provi ding testimony on at this hearing. Correct?
A. That is correct.

MS. KLAUS: Your Honor, at this time I woul d offer Exhi bit 103 to be admitted into evi dence.

J UDGE CLARK: Any objection to admitting Exhi bit 103, the Surrebuttal of James Busch onto the hearing record?

Seei ng no objections, Exhi bit 103 is admitted onto the hearing record.
(Exhi bit 103 was recei ved into evi dence.)
MS. KLAUS: I tender the witness for
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cross-examination.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by Li berty Utilities?

MR. BOUDREAU: No, I don't have any. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Any cross-exami nation by
Ozark Mbuntain?
MS. G BONEY: No, J udge.
J UDGE CLARK: Any cross- exam nation by
the Office of the Public Counsel ?
MS. SHEMNELL: No. Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: Any cross-examin nation by
Sil verl eaf?
MR. HARDEN: Yes. Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON BY MR. HARDEN:
Q. M. Busch, is it your understanding that Staff's recommendation that Li berty Utilities come in for a rate case in 18 months is driven primarily or sol ely by its acquisition of Ozark International?
A. Well, in our -- the Stipul ation and Agreement that we have signed with Li berty, I think it states that no later than 24 months. But l think in our Direct Testimony, Mr. Harrison, it was 18 to 24 months.

And as I point out in my testimony, the
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drivers were the acqui sition of the Ozark
Inter national compani es whi ch shoul d -- we have inf ormation is supposed to cl ose sometime later this month, which will add over 33 percent to their total customer base.

And because, as was di scussed earlier today, the issues with the accounting and the USOA accounts, that 24 months shoul d gi ve the Company the opportunity to make the -- the appropriate changes that they' ve agreed to make and that 24-month period shoul d be enough time for us then to go in there and make sure that they have accomplished what they have agreed to accomplish.
Q. Have -- have you seen a busi ness pl an of Li berty Utility Mssouri Whter?
A. I heard the discussi on about what is a busi ness plan. Very sel dom do l get to see any busi ness pl ans for any utilities in the state of M ssouri.
Q. So that's a no?
A. That's a no.
Q. So you don't knowif they' re planning any additional acqui sitions?
A. I do know that they are pl anning -- about a week or so ago they filed a 60-day notification for
the intent to acquire the assets of Franklin County Water. So I'manticipating that we will see a full application within 60 days.
Q. WII -- will that be included in the -in the rate case in 18 to 24 nonths?
A. Well, if the -- if the acquisition and the transfer is approved by this Cormi ssion, then -and that utility or those assets are a part of the Li berty system yes. Anything that would be a part of Li berty system would be incl uded in a rate case at that time.
Q. Let's assume horrifically for a second that it was not. Wbuld Staff then recommend another rate case after -- after that to deal with that particul ar acqui sition?
A. Are you suggesting that for some reason the Staff has not made a recommendation and the Cormi ssi on has not approved the application that । anticipate to be filed in the next two nonths is not compl et ed in 24 months?
Q. Right. So -- so if -- I guess this is what l'mgetting at. If there is -- there's a -there' s a new acqui sition, Franklin County --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- and so 18 mont hs from now we have thi s
new rate case deal ing with the Ozark International. Let's say the Franklin County deal is not complet Okay? It's not done, it's not si gned, there's no acqui sition yet but it's in the making. Wll Staff's recommendation then be we need a rate case to deal with that -- that Franklin County acquisition?

MR. BOUDREAU: Well, l'll obj ect on the ground it calls for specul ation.

JUDGE CLARK: l'II sustain that.
MR. HARDEN: No further questions.
JUDGE CLARK: Any questions fromthe
Commi ssi on?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: Mr. Busch, I have no questions for you.

THE WTNESS: Thank you, sir.
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: No questions.
J UDGE CLARK: Any redi rect by the
Cormi ssi on St aff?
MS. KLAUS: No redirect. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: That's what I like to hear.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. That concl udes -are any other matters that need to be taken up with regard to this?

MR. BOUDREAU: Judge, just one house
cl eani ng matter with respect to Exhi bits 304 through 308. I never really got a good indication of -- of the identity of those documents on -- it's just for my records. What is 304? It was an affidavit of?

JUDGE CLARK: Affidavit of Hugh
Rosenbl um Number 305 is the affidavit of Lori Howel I .

MR. BOUDREAU: Howell, Ho-w-e-l-l ?
JUDGE CLARK: Correct. 306 is the
affidavit of Mchael Hall.
MR. BOUDREAU: H-a-l-I?
JUDGE CLARK: Correct.
MR. BOUDREAU: Thank you.
JUDGE CLARK: 307 is listed as deeds.
And 308 is listed as declaration of rights.
MR. BOUDREAU: I wonder if I could impose on counsel for Silverleaf to make sure that copi es of those exhi bits are circul ated. I don't have copies and none were provi ded at the time the documents were of $f$ er ed.

MR. HARDEN: Yeah. I mean -- do you want them marked? I don't have copi es of the marked exhi bits, so --

MR. BOUDREAU: I think if you can -- if
you can indi cate to me that -- which one is which,
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l'Il be able to figure it out l think fromthis.
JUDGE CLARK: You'll provi de numbered copi es to the parties?

MR. HARDEN: Yeah. I'mgoi ng to need to make a copy.

J UDGE CLARK: That I thi nk would be fine. Well, would you need to make a copy or woul d you just -- I think you said it was available in EFIS.

MR. HARDEN: It is available in EFIS.
MR. BOUDREAU: Well, they're probably not marked. I think the distinction is it's probably not marked.

J UDGE CLARK: I understand. So । -- I would just try and clarify if you can't, they would need to be returned to the court reporter because these are the exhi bits. And so if he needs to make copi es for you and number those, I thi nk you can print those off fromefls and still provide you with -MR. BOUDREAU: That would be fine with me. Thank you.

J UDGE CLARK: Okay. I'mgoing to go briefly down the exhi bits that have -- since we have heard fromour last witness and nobody's indi cated anything el se, l'mgoing to continue with house keepi ng matters or house cleani ng matters.
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And I'mgoing to go briefly through the exhi bits that have been offered, whether or not they have been admitted. And those would be 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113 was not offered, 114 was not offered, 115 was not offered. 301 was offered, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308 we just went through. 401 was offered. 116 was not offered, 117 was not offered, 118 was offered and 309 was offered.

Any di sagreement?
When do you thi nk -- Court Reporter, when do you thi nk the transcripts will be available?

THE COURT REPORTER: Can we go off?
J UDGE CLARK: That would be fine. We'l। go off the record.
( Off the record.)
JUDGE CLARK: The court reporter indi cated off the record that expedited transcripts will be available by the end of the day tomorrow and that it was indi cated to her, l'm not sure by who, that they were needed the day after the case concl uded.
l'Il note that initial briefs are due on August 31st, 2018 and that reply briefs are due on September 11th, 2018. I enumerated possible
additional thi ngs that the parties may wish to brief in rel ation to the myriad of -- of motions that were filed on the 13th and 14th. Does anybody di sagree with those August 31st and September 11th dates?

Are there any other matters that need to be addressed bef ore we adj ourn? Yes, ME. Gi boney.

ME. GI BONEY: I'msorry. I missed what you said about on the additional briefs on the matters that were filed, you know, on the 13th.

J UDGE CLARK: There were -- anything -the parties l indicated are wel come to brief anything in rel ation to the filed Stipulation and Agreement.

MS. G BONEY: Do you want those thi ngs brief ed separately or j ust as part of the brief guess is my question?

JUDGE CLARK: I think just as part of it.
ME. GI BONEY: Okay. Thank you. Anythi ng el se before we adj ourn? Okay. I want to thank everybody for their time and for their professionalism today. It's been a long day. We will adjourn this proceeding and we will go off the record.
( Off the record.)
(Exhi bits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 118, $301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308$ and 401 were
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marked for identification.)
(Wher eupon, the hearing was adj ourned.)
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