1	Transcript of Froceedings August 14, 2023
1	Page 1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Evidentiary Hearing
8	August 14, 2023
9	Jefferson City, Missouri
10	Volume 9.5
11	
12	
13	
14	IN THE MATTER OF CONFLUENCE ) RIVERS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, )
15	INC.'S REQUEST FOR AUTHORITY TO )  IMPLEMENT A GENERAL RATE INCREASE ) FILE NO. WR-2023-0006
16	FOR WATER SERVICE AND SEWER ) SERVICE PROVIDED IN MISSOURI )
17	SERVICE AREAS )
18	
19	CHARLES HATCHER, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
20	SCOTT RUPP, Chairman
21	JASON HOLSMAN, Commissioner  KAYLA HAHN, Commissioner
22	GLEN KOLKMEYER, Commissioner
23	
24	
25	



1	Page 2 APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF CONFLUENCE RIVERS:
3	DEAN L. COOPER, ESQ.
4	Brydon Swearengen England 312 East Capitol Avenue Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
5	
6	RUSS MITTEN, ESQ. DAVID WOODSMALL, ESQ.
7	1630 Des Peres Road, Suite 140 Des Peres, Missouri 63131
8	Mitten@cswrgoup.com Dwoodsmall@cswrgroup.com
9	ON BEHALF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
10	KEVIN THOMPSON, ESQ. KAREN BRETZ, ESQ.
11	Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
12	ON BEHALF OF OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNCIL:
13	JOHN A. CLIZER, ESQ.
14	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
15	Gerrerson ere, hissouri ester
16	FOR THE COMMISSION:
17	SCOTT RUPP, Chairman JASON HOLSMAN, Commissioner
18	KAYLA HAHN, Commissioner GLEN KOLKMEYER, Commissioner
19	CHEN ROLLINGTER, COMMITSSICILET
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



		Page 3
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE:
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Cooper	
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Clizer	
5	STAFF WITNESSES:	
6	BRETT THIES  Cross-Examination By Mr. Thompson	17
7	Examination By the Court	18
8	Redirect Examination By Mr. Cooper	
9	JOSIAH COX  Direct Examination By Mr. Cooper	25
10	Examination By Chairman Rupp	26
11	Examination By Commissioner Hahn	38
12	Cross-Examination By Mr. Clizer	44
13	KEITH MAJORS	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson	
15	Cross-Examination By Mr. Cooper Examination by Chairman Rupp	73
16	Examination by Commissioner Holsman  Recross-Examination By Mr. Clizer	
17	Recross-Examination By Mr. Cooper	
18	TIMESHEETS:	
19	Opening Statement by Mr. MittenQuestions by Commissioner Holsman	
20	Opening Statement by Ms. BretzQuestions by Commissioner Holsman	
21	Opening Statement by Mr. ClizerQuestions by Commissioner Hahn	
22	Questions by Commissioner Holsman	113
23	CONFLUENCE RIVERS WITNESSES:	
24	BRETT THIES  Cross-Examination By Mrs. Bretz	118
25	Redirect Examination By Mr. Mitten	



	Transcript of Froceedings	August 14, 2023
		Page 4
1	INDEX-Page 2	
2		PAGE:
3	JOSIAH COX	
4	Cross-Examination By Ms. Bretz  Examination By Commissioner Holsman	
5	Examination By the Court	136
		130
6	ASHLEY SAVER Direct Examination By Ms. Bretz:	139
7	Cross-Examination By Mr. Clizer	
8	Examination by Commissioner Hahn	145
9	Redirect Examination By Ms. Bretz	147
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



	3.	Page 5
1	EXHIBIT INDEX	
2	COMPANY EXHIBITS:	
3	Exhibit 4 Direct Testimony	25
4	Exhibit 5 Rebuttal Testimony	25
5	Exhibit 5-C Rebuttal Testimony	25
6	Exhibit 6 Surrebuttal Testimony	25
7		
8	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:	
9	Exhibit 110 Direct Testimony	58
10	Exhibit 117 Rebuttal Testimony	58
11	Exhibit 129 Surrebuttal Testimony	58
12	Exhibit 134 WR-2016-0064 Hillcrest	133
13	Exhibit 135 SR-2016-0202 Raccoon Creek	133
14	Exhibit 203 Surrebuttal Testimony of John Riley.	139
15	Exhibit 216 Application and Waiver WA-2019-0185.	47
16	Exhibit 217 Application and Motion WM-2020-0403.	50
17	Exhibit 218 Stipulation Agreement WM-2020-0403	51
18	Exhibit 219 Disposition Agreement WR-2020-0275	60
19	Exhibit 220 Unanimous Agreement WR-2020-0053	61
20	Exhibit 221 Work Papers - DR 66	67
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	Page 6 THE COURT: Today is August 14th, 2023. This is
2	Day 3 of the evidentiary hearing for the general rate case of
3	Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company.
4	The case citation is WR-2023-0006.
5	We'll go ahead and make quick entries of appearance
6	for the counsel present. We'll start with Confluence Rivers.
7	MR. COOPER: Judge, Dean Cooper from the Law Firm
8	of Brydon, Swearengen and England appearing on behalf of
9	Confluence Rivers today. Along with Mr. Mitten and Mr.
10	Woodsmall who appeared last week in the case.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Glad to see that
12	you're feeling better.
13	For staff.
14	MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Judge. Kevin
15	Thompson and Karen Bretz for the Staff of the Missouri Public
16	Service Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,
17	Missouri 65101.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	And the Office of the Public Counsel.
20	MR. CLIZER: John Clizer, your Honor. Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	Quick announcement. Last week seemingly crossing
23	communications. The Commission had inquired about the
24	availability of witnesses to discuss options on rate
25	consolidation, and about the same time period it was reported



1	that there was a pending settlement among the parties, and to
2	summarize from Counsel that was two water, two sewer, and
3	divided by cost of technology, for lack of any term of art on
4	this.
5	The Commission is no longer interested due to the
6	parties having settled that, so just to let all the witnesses
7	know, I'm sure they're listening.
8	Today's schedule includes and this is the reason I
9	mention the Commission's interest includes Issue No. 5 rate
10	design and District consolidation.
11	However, the only live issue is the two competing
12	estimates of water usage. Staff's recommendation of five
13	thousand gallons estimated per month versus the Company's two
14	thousand seven hundred and fifty gallons.
15	The next issue for today is acquisition related costs
16	and then timesheets.
17	Okay. Let's go to mini
18	MR. WOODSMALL: May I inquire?
19	THE COURT: Yes, please.
20	MR. WOODSMALL: I don't know just to delve a little
21	deeper into the rate design issue the parties had waived cross
22	of the witnesses. But, you indicated last week that the
23	Commission may have questions on the water usage issue.
24	Mr. Lyons is available to dial in tomorrow after we
25	finish costs of capital, about twelve-thirty he's available if



	D 6
1	Page 8 that fits the Commission's schedule.
2	THE COURT: Thank you for reminding me. Thank
3	you. I don't believe that the Commission has questions however,
4	I am going to leave that until tomorrow at twelve-thirty. Just
5	I want to double check on that.
6	Thank you, sir.
7	Speaking in the background was David Woodsmall,
8	Counsel for Confluence Rivers.
9	How does Counsel want to handle let's just move on
10	to Issue 6. Acquisition related costs. Okay. Let's start with
11	mini Opening Statements. We'll go with the Company first.
12	Mr. Cooper, the floor is yours.
13	MR. COOPER: Thank you, Judge. This is the issue
14	that I believe Mr. Woodsmall referred to in his Opening
15	Statement as preliminary legal and engineering costs.
16	As we've talked about several times in this case
17	already Confluence River, of course, acquires the assets of
18	small distressed water and sewer utilities. Sometimes
19	Confluence Rivers is acquiring assets from existing water and or
20	sewer corporations, and many times it is acquiring systems that
21	are either being run by nonregulated entities or entities that
22	have been regulated should have been regulated but have not
23	submitted themselves to such.
24	In acquiring these assets Confluence Rivers incurs



both costs both before and immediately subsequent to the

25

acquisition that primarily arise from necessary legal and engineering expenditures, directly related to the acquisitions.

The engineering expenditures include costs for system maps and surveys that are necessary to ultimately operate the systems. These costs also include expenditures that allow the company to determine the feasibility of capital improvements that will need to be made to the system. The legal expenditures include costs associated with securing clean title to the property, confirming or in some cases acquiring proper easement access to the system components and the costs to appropriately file required acquisition cases with the Commission.

Consistent with the uniform system of accounts

Confluence Rivers books such legal and preliminary engineering

costs to Account 183 preliminary survey and investigation

charges.

The staff and the reason we're here today, of course, is that staff seeks to disallow these costs.

Well, let me back up. First off, I think I want to make clear that the costs in Account 183 ultimately are capitalized and included in rate base. So when we talk about the amount of costs that have been disallowed slightly more than one point two million dollars in costs that is a rate based number not a revenue requirement number.

Now, this approach represents a drastic shift in course for staff. Specifically these costs have been allowed in

Page 10

the previous rate cases related to Confluence Rivers and its predecessors.

On Friday associated with a similar sort of issue I think we ended up with some conversation about Staff-assisted rate cases versus a general case such as this one.

And I do want to point out that Confluence Rivers still qualifies to use the Staff-assisted rate procedure. This is not a situation where it's outgrown that procedure. The company filed this case as a general rate case because of an agreement with staff in a prior acquisition case. So just a point of clarification as we think about those issues.

Having said that, I don't believe there is any reason that an answer to a question like this in a Staff-assisted rate case would be any different than an answer in a general rate case.

Now, Staff's reliance in this case on past Commission cases concerning transaction costs related to mergers of regulated well established electric corporations is misplaced. Those cases bear no resemblance to the types of small distressed water and sewer systems being acquired by Confluence Rivers nor do they reflect consideration of the improvements to systems that can be delivered as a result of Confluence Rivers' acquisitions.

Given that Confluence Rivers' costs at issue are recorded and capitalized consistent with the USOA and the



Τ	resulting improvements to the systems cannot occur without such
2	costs the Commission should reject Staff's disallowance.
3	That's all I have.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
5	Any questions from the Commissioners for Mr. Cooper?
6	Go ahead.
7	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Who are the witnesses that you
8	have today?
9	MR. COOPER: We have Mr. Thies and then Mr. Cox.
10	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: All right. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cooper.
12	And this is a good opportunity for the Judge to state
13	for the record the attendance of Chairman Scott Rupp and
14	Commissioners Jason Holsman and Kayla Hahn.
15	Commissioner Maida Coleman is in knee surgery today
16	and will be recovering. We wish her the best and will keep her
17	in our thoughts.
18	And I believe I have Commissioner Kolkmeyer on the
19	Webex. But, we're pending on that.
20	Okay. Let's move on in our Opening Statements I'm
21	sorry, Commissioner Kolkmeyer is also engaged in a medical
22	appointment this morning but he will be joining us later. I
23	just received that information. Thank you.
24	Let's move on to mini Opening Statements for staff.
25	Thank vou.



MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, may it please the Commission.

2.2

Today you're going to hear from Staff accountant Keith Majors who is going to explain why Staff has taken the position that it has with respect to the costs that Confluence would like to recover, not only recover but put into rate base and receive a return on.

As long ago as 2008 when a former electric company KCP&L purchased Aquila there was a very comprehensive report and order issued by the Commission, and one of the things that report and order did was to distinguish between transaction costs and transition costs. And the decision the Commission made in that order was that transaction costs could not be recovered and should not be paid by the rate payers, while transition costs can be recovered and should be paid by the rate payers. In fact, in that case no one opposed the recovery of transition costs.

So what's the difference between these things? Well, the transaction costs are costs that are incurred in order to complete the transaction. They include legal fees. They include engineering information. They include surveys, various reports, various types of professional involvement that is necessary for the transaction to go forward and be completed.

Transition costs, on the other hand, are the costs that are incurred by the buyer in integrating the system into

their network, their system.

2.2

Now, in this case we're talking about small water and sewer companies. There is not a contiguous water or sewer network that they're being integrated into, but they're still being brought within Confluence's system under its aegis.

And so one of the common threads in this case is that these are all distressed and decrepit systems that are purchased. They all need significant capital investment and improvement to bring them up even to the minimum, the minimum standard.

So what Staff is concerned about here is a little bit of blurring of the lines between transaction costs and transition costs. Clearly for a company like Confluence that's buying systems at an incredible rate of speed the best thing for them is if the rate payers are underwriting their purchases. They can stretch their dollars further if they're getting recovery of these costs from the rate payers.

So what Staff requests that you do is listen very carefully to the witnesses and look for that distinction. Which of these costs are necessary for the transaction to go forward and be completed and that includes the initial information that's going to drive the decision of even to go forward with the transaction, right. And then distinguish that from the transition costs which are the outlays that are going to support all of the improvements that are going to be made to the system

1	by it's new owner Confluence.
2	Thank you.
3	Any questions?
4	THE COURT: Any commissioner questions?
5	Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Just a high level, we can ask the
7	witnesses, but did Staff disallow any transition costs that the
8	company had labeled as transition costs?
9	MR. THOMPSON: It's my understanding Staff did
10	not.
11	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Okay. I'll ask the witness, too.
12	Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Clizer.
14	MR. CLIZER: Good morning, Commissioners. I will
15	endeavor to keep this relatively short.
16	I am going to clue in on something that Mr. Cooper
17	said with regard to the Opening for Confluence Rivers. He
18	characterized this as a drastic departure from what's happened
19	in the past. And I actually didn't think that's true.
20	Let's level set here. First of all, for every major
21	rate case, for every large rate case dealing with the water
22	company like Missouri American Water or a gas company or an
23	electric company Staff is doing exactly what it always has been
24	done and what its precedent has always been set by this
25	Commission. They are doing what you told them to do in the



past. So there's nothing really more to it than that.

Now there is a specific issue with regard to the past Confluence rate cases and, again, Mr. Cooper and Confluence tries to suggest that this is not how things have happened in the past. But, that's also not true.

The past two Confluence rate cases were settled by disposition agreement which explicitly stated that there was no rate making principles decided in the terms of the amount settled. In other words, they were black boxed. For Confluence to now come back and attempt to claim that dollar amounts included in those black boxes are simply related to certain items is a violation of those agreements. Actually it's not the first time that you are going to hear today that Confluence violates its stipulation agreements or the Commission orders to adhere to them. It's actually kind of a common theme for Confluence, but I'll wait for the next issue to bring that back up.

Moving on really quick. What is the logical reason behind the precedent that's set here? Well it's relatively simple.

I think that actually Mr. Thompson has already done such a good job I'm not really going to cover it very much other than to point you to the statement that Mr. Majors includes in his surrebuttal testimony about how allowing these costs can cause an increase in keep in the overall rate base of the system



Τ	II It changes hands multiple times without any significant
2	improvement on the part of the customers or, I'm sorry, for the
3	benefit of the customers.
4	That being said when Confluence acquires a system and
5	it does make improvements those improvements are included. They
6	are allowed to recover those and they start owning profit on
7	them as soon as they come in for a rate case.
8	So, again, we're not trying to disallow anything other
9	than the legal fees effectively that Confluence incurs in order
10	to expand its business, something that it's shareholders
11	ultimately earn a profit for.
12	Also I actually don't have much else beyond those
13	simple points, so I'm going to stop right there. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Clizer.
15	Are there any Commissioner questions for Mr. Clizer?
16	Hearing none, thank you, sir.
17	Let's move on to our first witness.
18	Confluence Rivers, go ahead.
19	MR. COOPER: We would call Mr. Thies.
20	THE COURT: As Mr. Thies makes his way to the
21	stand I would remind him and those listening in the audience
22	that Mr. Thies has already been sworn in so he will not be
23	re-sworn, however he is still under oath.
24	Thank you, sir. Go ahead and have a seat.
25	I would also like to take this quick break to announce

Page 17 that there is a smell in the lobby. We do have an official 1 2 accounting of what that is. In the cafe that used to be open 3 outside there was some grease blockage in a drain and there are 4 workers clearing that out. It should be aired out fairly soon. 5 But, I know that there was some discussion of that earlier and I 6 wanted to give the official response and give everybody some 7 good news that that is not going to be permanent. Thank you. 8 Go ahead with your witness. 9 10 BRETT THIES, called as a witness, upon first being previously sworn, was 11 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 14 MR. COOPER: Your Honor -- well, please state 15 your name for the record. 16 THE WITNESS: Brett Thies, T-h-i-e-s. 17 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, we would tender Mr. Thies for a cross-examination. 18 19 Thank you. Let's go to Staff. THE COURT: 20 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. THOMPSON: 23 Good morning, Mr. Thies. O. 24 Good morning. Α.



Have you been involved with any of the Staff assisted

25

Q.

1	rate cases that Confluence has brought?
2	A. I have.
3	Q. And would you agree with me that those rate cases
4	typically end with a negotiated disposition agreement?
5	A. I'm trying to remember. I know that the Confluence
6	Rivers and Elm Hills cases did. The first one I was involved
7	with was Indian Hills which did not and those are the three that
8	I remember so, you know, two to one I guess.
9	Q. Okay. Great. Thank you.
10	MR. THOMPSON: No further questions.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Clizer.
12	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Are there any Commissioner questions
14	for Mr. Thies? All right.
15	The bench does have just a couple of questions.
16	
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY THE COURT:
19	Q. I want to direct you to Page 11 of your rebuttal
20	testimony. The very first sentence I'll go ahead and read
21	the sentence.
22	Once a particular system acquisition has been
23	completed it is the Company's procedure to transfer amounts
24	recorded in Account 183 to construction work in progress, and
25	ultimately to the appropriate utility plant in service account,

for inclusion in rate base.

Mr. Thies, my question is what is the appropriate utility plant in service account? What do you mean by that?

A. Yes. So there are a couple of concepts in play there, but ultimately the USOA instructs that the company capitalize items to the utility plant in service account that is most applicable to the source of the costs.

And so what we are talking about here generally is what might be considered an indirect capital cost, meaning it's engineering but it's not the cost of a plant or a pipe, right, and so however, what the USOA instructs that you capitalize the indirect costs like engineering with the pumps and pipes that are the more firm or the hard costs, right.

So what Confluence Rivers' management team has decided is that these costs -- so for right-of-way and access -- would be capitalized to the accounts associated with the collection or transmission system because that's what we're doing is making sure we have easements and rights-of-way to service the land area if you will associated with the systems.

- Q. Do you have a list of the acquisition costs that have been posted to Account 183 and then Account 107?
- A. I don't have it in front of me. We have it in this docket, yes.
  - Q. It's been filed?
- A. It has, yes.



1	Q. Can you direct me it doesn't need to be specific,
2	but was it attached to one of your testimonies?
3	A. It was in a data request that we submitted requested
4	by Staff.
5	Q. Okay. That is essentially a transaction between the
6	parties and the Commissioners are not privy to that.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Would you please provide that list as an exhibit.
9	THE COURT: And I'll direct my request now to
10	Counsel for the company.
11	We had previously discussed a due date for Commission
12	requested and or late filed exhibits a week from the end of the
13	hearing. The last day is the seventeenth. That's a Thursday,
14	the following Thursday is the twenty-fourth.
15	Would that give you enough time?
16	MR. COOPER: I believe so, yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. The Commission
18	requests that as an exhibit.
19	MR. COOPER: Absolutely.
20	THE COURT: There is no more bench questions.
21	I'll ask if there's any Commission questions, and
22	we'll go to recross examination. Mr. Thompson.
23	MR. THOMPSON: No questions, thank you.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Clizer?
25	MR. CLIZER: Just briefly.



Page 21

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. CLIZER:

Q. So I want to make sure that I understand this, and I'm going to use the specific example. Let's say the costs that you pay a lawyer to draft the contract for an acquisition. That's at least one of the examples of the cost that we're dealing with rear. Right?

Can we agree with that?

- A. I don't know of a specific line item that applies to that. Generally we have in-house lawyers doing some things and those would be business development costs. So there is a distinction there in our, you know, in our accounting procedure about where those go. So I can't say unequivocally no. But, generally speaking those -- we don't seek to put those in in a case like this.
- Q. So the cost of acquiring -- what's the cost of a clear title? That was one of the examples. Right?
- A. Sure. Sure.
- Q. So that would be the cost to a title company, for example, to do a title search?
  - A. I'm not sure all of it goes in there but potentially.
- Q. Let's use that as an example then. So as I understand it correctly what you're saying is the cost of the money that you pay to the title company that's going to be booked as



- 1 basically pipe in the grounds?
- 2 It's going to be booked along with that according to 3 the USOA instructions, yes.
- 4 So it's going to increase the value of pipe in the 0. grounds?
  - Α. Yes.

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- Even though there is no additional pipe. Right? 0.
- 8 Α. Yeah. I mean there are no more feet of pipe, that's 9 right.
  - Q. There are no more feet of pipe. Customers aren't receiving any benefit from additional pipe. Correct?
  - Α. I mean customers are receiving benefit of the title and the access and the professional operations but there are no more feet of pipe, you're absolutely correct.
    - 0. Right. So the customers don't receive the benefit for any more additional feet of pipe, but they are going to pay for that pipe and they're going to pay you profit on that pipe that doesn't exist. Correct?
  - The service provided and the access to those pipes is Α. value. And so to say they're paying for nothing I would not agree with.
- 22 Q. But, they aren't paying for the pipe.

We can agree with that?

- 24 That's correct. Α.
- 25 Q. Okay.



1	MR. CLIZER: Thank you. I have no further
2	questions.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. Redirect.
4	MR. COOPER: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
5	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. COOPER:
8	Q. You were asked questions by Mr. Thompson concerning
9	whether some cases are some of the Staff assisted cases are
10	resolved by disposition agreement. Correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. In those cases that are resolved by acquisition
13	agreements or disposition agreements are there still Staff work
14	papers that form the basis for the parties' work in those cases?
15	A. There are. The Staff still does their full EMS run
16	leading up to their report before negotiations begin generally.
17	Q. And when you made statements in your testimony about
18	how this issue was handled in prior cases was it based upon your
19	review of Staff work papers?
20	A. It was.
21	Q. Okay. Real briefly if you know, you were asked
22	questions by Mr. Clizer concerning sort of the title issues
23	involved here.
24	Do you have any examples of the title issues that the



company has ran into, has run into, in some of it's small

Τ	acquisitions?
2	MR. CLIZER: I would object that it's beyond the
3	scope of my cross-examination. I was using title as an example
4	to get to how it was booked. I had no interest in knowing what
5	the specific problems they are referring to are.
6	THE COURT: I will allow it. Go ahead.
7	Overruled.
8	A. That's a better question for Mr. Cox probably. I know
9	we have access issues, but those could be related more to
LO	easements and those kinds of things so I would be slow to speak
L1	on that.
L2	Mr. THOMPSON: That's all the questions I have,
L3	your Honor.
L4	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Thies. You're
L5	excused.
L6	Let's have Confluence call your next witness.
L7	MR. COOPER: We will call Mr. Cox to the stand.
L8	
L9	JOSIAH COX,
20	called as a witness, upon first being duly sworn by the Court,
21	was examined and testified as follows:
22	
23	THE COURT: Your witness.
24	MR. COOPER: Thank you, your Honor.
25	



2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## 3 BY MR. COOPER:

- Q. Please state your name.
- 5 A. My name is Josiah Cox.
  - Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
  - A. I'm employed by Confluence Rivers Utility Operating
    Company as the President. I'm also the President of Central
    States Water -- CSWR, LLC.
  - Q. Have you caused to be prepared for purposes of this proceeding certain direct testimony, rebuttal testimony in both public and confidential versions and surrebuttal testimony in question and answer form?
  - A. I have.
- Q. Is it your understanding that that testimony has been marked as Exhibits 4, 5, and 5-C, and 6 for identification?
- 17 A. I believe it has.
  - Q. Do you have any changes that you would like to make to that testimony at this time?
- 20 | A. I do not.
- Q. If I were to ask you the questions which are contained in Exhibits 4, 5, and 6 today would your answers be the same?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Are those answers true and correct to the best of your information, knowledge, and belief?



1	A. Yes.
2	MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibits
3	4, 5, 5-C, and 6 into evidence and tender Mr. Cox for
4	cross-examination.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. You've heard the motion.
6	Are there any objections?
7	Hearing none, the exhibits are so admitted 4, 5, 5-C
8	and 6.
9	The witness has been tendered and will go to
10	cross-examination.
11	Mr. Thompson.
12	MR. THOMPSON: No questions, Judge. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Clizer.
14	MR. CLIZER: No questions. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Any questions from the
16	Commissioners?
17	Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Thank you, Judge. Good morning,
19	Commissioner.
20	THE COURT: Chairman.
21	
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY CHAIRMAN RUPP:
24	Q. Let's start here. In your Counsel's Opening a lot of
25	emphasis was put on if the company were to wait till the



Page 27

fifty-first day then whatever the investment that they had made would be, would be allowable.

How much -- I'm trying to draw and I haven't seen the data request with the list of transactions which maybe will help.

So my question to you is how much of the disallowed money in your opinion is from those investments that if you were to wait until the fifty-first day we wouldn't even be talking about.

A. I believe almost all of these investments are -- that we're talking about in this particular issue right here are needed just to run the system. And I'll give you a great example. A number of years ago there was a water utility that's north of Columbia, Smith U. The owner walked away from the utilities, left the keys hanging in the box and said it's your problem, right. So the staff calls us, says hey, we need to check out this water utilities so think in that example, can't get hold of the owner, there are literally no maps to the utilities, no catalogue of the specific assets that exist, no idea about ownership, easements, anything like that.

So we immediately launch engineering survey. We have to figure out hey, where are all the assets, where are the meter boxes, where are the lines, you know, what does it take to run this system, how is the tower powered, where is the well, all those kind of basics issues. Which by the way we have to have

for Missouri One Call. So, and that's a great example.

Those are the preliminary engineering things that we're doing. We're mapping the systems and then we're saying okay, what do we have to address Day 1 when we buy this system? So this electric doesn't work. This pump is not working. I mean you name it.

And that's exactly what we do in the thirty-five transactions we've done in Missouri, it's basically seventy systems, sixty-eight of them are out of compliance.

- Q. So when does the fifty-one days start? When does the fifty -- when you agree to purchase, when you close -- so when does that fifty-first day come into play?
- A. Yeah. So that was an artificial day that really the Staff used whether to allow or disallow costs. So that fifty-one days has nothing to do with the way we keep our books and records. That was a cut off period that the Staff used.

You know from our perspective we are doing all this work leading up to a transaction.

- Q. And when did staff -- when do they start that fifty-one day count? What is their Day 1? What do they consider Day 1 the day that you agree verbally to take the --
- A. No. No. So this is the way -- the way I understand the Staff has done it. It's from the day we close on the system, so we did all this mapping, engineering all that before we close because we have to have all that information before we



- close. Then there is Day 1, then we're doing improvements immediately. Day fifty-one after we close is the day they start re-allowing engineering and survey costs, you know, title work, property work, all that.
- Q. So after you take ownership is when they are starting a fifty day delay?
  - A. That is my understanding.

- Q. So the example of like clean title that has to be done prior to a closing. Correct?
- A. That's correct, Chairman. And it is shocking how little -- how much real estate work we have to do. How these owners don't actually own the assets, don't have any easements, have no legal access to their assets. All those issues have to happen before we close.
- Q. So the issue of, you know, clean title that was used as the example is irregardless of the fifty-one days since it wouldn't even be considered in -- as a cost to the company post closing?
- A. There are occasionally costs that run over. So that we have to do. We've got a really bad title issue, a really bad or contested access issue. Those can last after Day fifty-one.
  - Q. So you close on a property with a contested title?
- A. We have before. Not contested title of the assets, but contested access is what we've closed over before.
  - Q. Access, okay. So walk -- in your opinion I'm going to



give you an example and I want you to tell me how it's either right or wrong.

When a person goes to purchase a home they have title costs and things of that nature which are done prior to the transaction, usually you don't close on a home until you have clear title. But, those costs are paid separately to the title company. Some will allow you to roll it into your loan. But, they are delineated separate from the assets of what you are purchasing. Then if they were to sell that home the next owners would have to do another title search before they close on the home, and thereafter and thereafter. So there's no value assigned to the home because that work has to continually be done.

So how is that not a transaction cost?

A. Yes. So I -- here is the difference that we would view it.

There are exact title insurance costs just like you're talking about. They're very specific. That you could say hey, these are transaction specific costs. So that makes -- I understand.

But, we're talking about one point two million dollars. We're talking about the majority of that is mapping, engineering, naming the assets, the geo tagging those assets, you know, finding where the easements are, getting -- so the difference between in the house example you just gave me, if I

Transcript of Proceedings Page 31 1 actually didn't own the real property the house was on getting, 2 getting real property attached to the house does have value and 3 stays with the house forever. 4 So will the data request and the list of the 0. 5 transactions delineate what was engineering costs and what was 6 title costs, will that be delineated for us? 7 We can delineate what exactly the title insurance Α. 8 costs are very specifically as a post. 9 I mean to me that would be helpful because we're --0. since it was used as an example and stuff to try to help us 10 11 delineate between engineering and what adds value and what is 12 transaction because I mean I don't know if it was your counsel 13 or Staff but somebody this debate is over what is a transition 14 and what is a transaction cost. And the more we can have 15 information would be helpful. 16 Yes, sir. Α. Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN RUPP: 18 THE COURT: Mr. Holsman. 19 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you. 20 21 EXAMINATION

- 2.2 BY COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN:
- 23 Q. Good morning.
- 24 Good morning. Α.
- 25 I want to start with kind of a macro perspective. Q. Wе

- had a lot of testimony on taxes in the last discussion. Could you tell us as the President of the company what is your business model?
  - A. Yeah. I mean so our missions bring safe reliable environmentally responsible water resources to every community in the U.S. and that every community is really key because these small communities have been kind of passed over for investment for decades. So our business model is to find communities that need us so those are distressed, you know, waste water systems and then to go and go through the process of purchasing those, rehabilitating those and coming before the Commission to get rates commensurate with the investments we've made in those systems.
  - Q. So going into that model you understand that there is going to be some lag between the time that you purchased the system and the time that you actually come in to make those rates reflective of what they need to be for the investment to make them up to Code?
    - A. Yes, Commissioner.

- Q. So in our discussion yesterday we talked a lot -- not yesterday, Friday, we talked a lot about the time that it -- and I'm asking you now because we didn't have a chance to talk to you about it directly, but I think it's important for that issue as well to hear your thoughts on it.
  - We talked about the fact that you may not pay taxes

1	Fage 33 for a long time going forward because of the model that you
2	have.
3	When do you envision there coming a time for you to

I believe Mr. Thies testified on that. Α. Yeah.

have to start paying taxes on the investments you've made?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

I think it's a number of years from now. So it's, you know, three, four, or five years from now is when, you know, those NOL's, if you will, will run out.

I think our problem with -- which we've spilt a lot of testimony ink on is, you know, historically these rates have been normalized, you know.

I've got almost ten years of doing business in Missouri. So when you understand the regulatory framework it gives you further framework to do more investments. These are long term investments, think all these assets --

I mean we talk here about the improvements we've made, right, because we have to bring these systems back into compliance. And I'm very proud of our record in Missouri. think we've taken fifty systems from noncompliance to compliance. We think we are the largest movement of domestic systems from noncompliance to compliance in state history.

But all of these symptoms need complete replacement over a long time period, right. So every stick of pipe every pump every -- not just the investments we're making today, right, to bring these back into compliance, but it's the

- investments that we need to keep these things running for a long period of time. So it's a very long time horizon and that's why we need a stable regulatory environment.
  - Q. And when did you start buying and acquiring systems?
  - A. I believe we filed our first case in 2014.
- Q. 2014. So you're almost at the ten year mark and you've acquired seventy systems and sixty-eight of those seventy were distressed?
  - A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. What do you -- what's your five year outlook look like in terms of how many systems. Will there ever come a time when you've acquired enough or is this something that you will continue to pursue?
- I asked the question yesterday, how many systems are there in the State of Missouri? Do we know?
- A. Well, I think we talked earlier there are forty-five hundred systems in Missouri that kind of fit this and the vast majority of those are distressed.
- You know, really from our perspective it's kind of different. We're a private solution to a very public problem. We are never going to solve all the problems in the state. We are just going to continue to make the dent that we can make.
- So in terms of how many systems we're going to continue to acquire that's really just, you know, how difficult -- I mean our problem is interesting, right. We go to

Page 35

owners, it's like we've mapped every single water and waste water system in the United States and then we've gone to the states that have the highest amount of noncompliance so the most systems that are not meeting, you know, EPA and DNR regulations and the highest amount of fragmentation so which states have the most small systems. And then we go to owners of distressed systems and sometimes they're referred to us by DNA, they're referred to us by Commission Staff I mean and on and on and on.

But, it's convincing the owners they need to get out of the business. It's really interesting, you know, in rural Missouri how many owners I've talked to that say well, I don't believe EPA should be able to regulate me, you know, I don't believe the Commission should have jurisdiction over me. Statements that seem absurd in a hearing setting like this.

But, it is true about owners. So really there's a learning curve and an enforcement curve. Right? Because you know if you're the Department of Natural Resources every dollar in fines you take from an owner is a dollar that doesn't go back into the systems. So oftentimes they're slow to fine because they're trying to cajole the owners into investing. And then when they -- when the DNA realizes hey, there are interested buyers they'll ramp up enforcement because they know hey, this system is not just going to disappear into the ether like receivership. We've seen systems languish for decades in receivership. So all those factors kind of come into play when

we're going to buy these systems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. So it's taken about ten years to get to seventy systems. There's obviously a lot of systems that are going to need help in the future.

This is your first large rate case. Right? Before it's always been Staff assisted?

- A. That is correct. And we did that at the request of the Staff.
- Q. Okay. And so I'm -- all of this is leading up to the real primary question I have and that's about the incentive to do this. You obviously have been incentivized enough over the last ten years to execute this business model that you have. We talked previously about rather the treatment of taxes and how that is -- how that would occur would be an incentive or a disincentive to invest in Missouri, whether it's your company or anyone tells who would want to, you know, rescue these distressed systems.

In your opinion, first question 1: Is there enough of an incentive for -- in terms of the profit motive to continue to invest in Missouri?

And 2, does the tax treatment incentivize or disincentivize that overall I guess benefit to the investment?

A. Yeah. So I'll start with your first question. So the first -- the answer to that is up until this general rate case I would say there was enough stable regulatory environment that we

were incentivized to continue to buy these systems. I mean one of the things we say around our company all the time we love what we do. We don't run on love. We have to have profit motive on this whole thing.

But you know it's interesting as you go through the details on this case, since our last rate case we've acquired nineteen systems and the Office of Public Counsel has requested a net decrease in revenue.

So when you get outcomes like that that definitely tells you that hey, this state is not welcoming to the type investments we have to make, right.

So -- and it is even though it is about which states are attracting capital, you know. So I've invested a lot more money in Texas, a lot more money in Louisiana. Some of that is a function of hey, the owners are different people so it's harder to get them to the table but some of that is we understand how fast the investments we recognize. And there is a public policy especially like hey, we know that these symptoms need to be invested collectively, commission staff, environmental regulator and all of that. And we thought we had that here in this state.

You know, frankly in some ways maybe we are victims of our own success. You know we bought twenty regulated systems in the State of Missouri a number of those we reduced receivership by like eighty percent in the state. So in some ways you may

1	not just feel the pain of it any more because some of the worst				
2	systems are kind of gone so that may be one.				
3	On the tax issue I would say, you know, the of				
4	course, you know, being disallowed at all is a major				
5	disincentive. You know, the methodology recommended by Staff				
6	a secondary option we could live with.				
7	Q. Okay. Thank you.				
8	THE COURT: Commissioner Hahn.				
9	COMMISSIONER HAHN: Thank you. Just a few				
10	follow-up questions.				
11					
12	EXAMINATION				
13	BY COMMISSIONER HAHN:				
14	Q. You and your Counsel previously mentioned that you're				
15	undergoing this rate case methodology even though you may not				
16	qualify as far as size. How many customers are at issue in this				
17	particular proceeding?				
18	A. I think it's ninety-six hundred connections, which is				
19	houses both water and sewer combined. That represents I would				
20	say twenty thousand customers, just when you think how many				
21	people live in every individual house. So around that number.				
22	Q. And then how much exactly is in this case I think				
23	you might have mentioned one point two million but the Staff				
24	disallowance for a transaction costs.				



Α.

Yeah.

Approximately one point two million in

engineering, survey, and legal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

- Q. And then do you ever conduct transaction costs for systems that you don't purchase?
- A. No. We never -- we do -- we have very little -- first of all, ninety-four percent of all the owners we originally engage conversations with we convert to contracts. So very high percentage. We have a very low percentage of transaction costs.

  And we don't -- we don't launch engineering, all of that until we have a contract in place. So it's all done in-house so there is no expenses hanging out there.
  - Q. Okay. So earlier when Mr. Thies testified that perhaps there could be, you know, looking at systems that you may not purchase that could potentially be booked to business, development costs and attributable somewhere else where the rate payers wouldn't be paying for it?
  - A. That is correct. Those expenses are -- we don't try to recover those expenses.
- Q. Okay. That's helpful.
- 19 COMMISSIONER HAHN: That's all my questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cox. That's going to take us back to redirect. I do understand that we have -- I'm sorry. Recross.
  - I do understand that we have opened up some extra issues so I'm going to be mindful of that and give the parties a little bit extra leeway here.



1	But, if we get too far afield on issues that are
2	upcoming later on in the week we need to be mindful of that,
3	too.
4	Recross. Mr. Thompson.
5	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.
6	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. THOMPSON:
9	Q. You told the Chairman, I believe, that you incur
LO	costs, engineering, legal, title costs, what have you, necessary
L1	to run the system. These are necessary to run the system.
L2	Do you recall saying that?
L3	A. I do.
L4	Q. And you further stated that we do all of this before
L5	we close because we have to have it before we close.
L6	Do you recall saying that?
L7	A. I do.
L8	Q. And you just now told Commissioner Hahn that you don't
L9	incur these costs until you have a contract in place. Right?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. So that kind of gives us a timeline, right? The
22	contract's in place, you spend money on legal fees, engineering
23	fees, survey, title, corrections, that kind of thing, and when
24	that's all finished and you've got the system to where you want

it then you close the contract.

Is that right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

A. It's a little more complicated than that.

So once we sign a contract then we launch all the engineering so I think we're mapping the system, we're determining all the assets, we're going through, you know, making sure they actually own the assets they say they own and then we're simultaneously filing an acquisition case with the Commission. So we're running these two processes in tandem.

So as we're going through the acquisition case, because those can take four to six months, we're finishing mapping mapping all the assets and tagging all the individual components of the system.

Q. Okay. Now --

THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, would you please speak into the microphone? Thank you.

MR. THOMPSON: I apologize, Judge.

- Q. (By Mr. Thompson) Now, with respect to the distinction between transaction costs and transition costs you said that one of the things you do is make sure they actually own all the things they say they do.
- 21 Do you recall saying that?
- 22 A. I do.
  - Q. And it would be unusual to purchase something without knowing exactly what it is you're purchasing.
  - Do you agree?



- A. I would normally agree, but in this business that's not really the case because of how terrible the assets are and how little documentation.
- Q. Okay. If you find out that they don't own all the things they claim to own do you then not close?
- A. No. We put them in a holding pattern as we go through the process of getting title, you know, going through the real property, surveys, determine where the assets really lay, all of that.
- Q. Okay. So you mentioned Smithview as an example. So when did you first hear about Smithview? How did that come to be on your radar?
  - A. The Commission Staff approached us I want to say it was 2017, 2016, some point in there.
  - Q. Okay. And I can certainly understand that. I remember Smithview very well. And so Staff said here is a distressed system, you might think about buying this one, something like that?
  - A. No. Here are the keys. Please run this.
- 20 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. We don't know what to do. We can't get a hold of the owner. So literally we took the keys from the Staff at the site, we had no contract with the owner, and we started emergency operations.
  - Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that that was an



- unusual acquisition?
- 2 A. No. I would say we've had a number of acquisitions
- 3 | for emergency. I think Robertsville, I think a lot of these --
- 4 | I mean a lot of the receivership systems were in similar levels
- 5 of disrepair, you know, where no one knew where anything was. A
- 6 lot of the DNR systems that we're doing we're doing emergency
- 7 operations on the front end. So it's decently typical across
- 8 our -- across Missouri and across the country.
- 9 Q. Okay. But, it's not every system you've acquired, is
- 10 | it?

- 11 A. It is not every system. Correct.
- 12 Q. Can you give me a percentage?
- 13 A. I would say -- a percentage. I'm sorry, a percentage
- 14 of what?
- Q. A percentage of the systems you've acquired what
- 16 percentage of them would you say were acquired on an emergency
- 17 | basis like Smithview?
- 18 A. I don't know. I would have to think about that. I
- 19 | don't know off the top of my head.
- 20 O. Okay.
- 21 | MR. THOMPSON: I have no further questions.
- 22 | Thank you.
- THE COURT: Mr. Clizer.

24



## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. CLIZER:

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Good morning.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- Q. Let's start by just cleaning up the record a little bit on a couple of points.

You were asked a question by the Commissioner Hahn -I'm sorry, Hahn. I was about to say Holsman because I was
reading the names. You were asked a question by Commissioner
Holsman about the amounts of the disallowances and you said
about one point two million, right? Do you recall that?

- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. But that's one point two million a plant. Correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And what we're actually disallowing here the effect on the revenue requirement would be the plant multiplied by whatever rate of return the Commission orders. Correct?
  - A. That is correct.
    - Q. So if we just assume hypothetically a ten percent rate of return we're really talking about a hundred and twenty thousand dollar disallowance.
  - A. I agree with you.
- Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure that everybody understood the actual amount in question here.
- Next there was a discussion on the number of water

customers and you said about nine thousand six hundred.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Is that right? I'm not sure if I got the number right.
  - A. No. I meant water and sewer customers combined.
  - Q. Okay. So that's the point I needed, I think I want to get clear here. If I'm a Confluence water and sewer customer how many times are you counting me for purposes of getting to that number?
  - A. Yeah, twice. Once as a sewer customer, once as a water customer.
  - Q. Okay. All right. So if we were to count the people who get both water and sewer as just one the actual number of customers you serve would be much lower?
  - A. I think what we're talking about is the difference between connections and customers. So connections are a house. Customers are the people in the house. So DNR has a -- DNR says it's three point five customers per house. And that's where we get the general customer counts that we use.
  - Q. I'm not talking about the number of customer counts.

    I just want to make sure that we understand how much different connections are you serving. If you connect a house for both water and sewer you're counting that as two different connections?
- 24 A. That us correct.
  - Q. And the staff small assisted rate case I believe the

cut off limit is eight thousand?

- A. It's eight thousand of an individual -- currently it's eight thousand of an individual type of connection. So you have to be eight thousand water or eight thousand sewer which is what came up in that, yeah. That's it.
- Q. Okay. And there was discussion regarding a net decrease by the OPC and I just want to make sure. I understand that OPC and Company disagree over whether or not this is correct but OPC's argument you would agree with me is for a million dollars decrease in an expense item. Correct?
- A. No. The overall revenue requirement that OPC is requesting is lower than our current rates.
- Q. I agree with you. That's not my question.

Let's be clear here. The one point six million that we're referring to that we would take a million off of that's for contractor, third party operations. Right?

- A. One point six -- I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
- Q. The OPC's recommendation is based on taking a million dollars off the one point six million spent on contract party third party operators -- third party contractor operators. I'm saying that confused. Let me start over?
  - A. Yes.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Judge, let me inject an objection I think here for minute. I think getting into detail on an issue that's coming up on the schedule and that Mr. Cox



- 1 | will appear on in the future but --
- 2 MR. CLIZER: Let me back off the question. It's
- 3 | not really important.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Clizer) All right. Let's talk about the
- 5 incentive discussion that you had with Commissioner Holsman.
- 6 Now, I assume that you are aware that there is a rule in place
- 7 | for acquisition incentives for small water rate cases. Correct?
- A. I believe that's true. I don't think it's ever been
- 9 used in the state.
- 10 | 0. You don't think it's ever been used in the state?
- 11 A. Not that I can remember.
- 12 Q. So you don't recall when your company made a request
- 13 | for an accusation incentive?
- 14 A. We did but we didn't end up getting it.
- 15 Q. I know but let's go through that. So the first time
- 16 | you made the acquisition incentive case do you remember which
- 17 | case that was?
- 18 A. I do not.
- 19 O. That would be the Osage case. I will go ahead and ask
- 20 for an exhibit to be marked. It should be 216, I think.
- 21 | Someone correct me if I'm wrong.
- 22 THE COURT: So marked. Mr. Clizer, what is your
- 23 under ten word description?
- MR. CLIZER: Amended Application and Motion for
- 25 | Waiver for Case WA-2019-0185.



- 18 19
- 21 It was consolidated, the assets were consolidated Α. 22 underneath Confluence Rivers, correct.
  - Ο. And if you'll turn to Page 14 in the document you would agree with me that that this document includes a request for an acquisition incentive. Correct?



24

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. And I think you've already said this earlier but let's re-confirm. The Commission did not grant the acquisition incentive in this case.

Do you recall that?

- A. I don't remember if we withdrew it or -- I don't remember the details.
  - Q. But, you would agree with me that it wasn't granted?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, at the time of this acquisition I think you had about twenty systems in the state. Do you agree with me that's fairly close?
  - A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Well, let's say at a minimum we can agree that this case was from 2019. Correct? Using the number at the top.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Now you've gone on to acquire quite a few systems since then, haven't you?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Which means that you have been able to acquire quite a few systems in the state without receiving an incentive from this Commission. Correct?
- A. Correct. Under stable regulatory environment that we thought we understood the rules of the road, yes.
- Q. Yes. But, to simplify the answer you've acquired



Transcript of Proceedings August 14, 2023 Page 50 1 quite a few systems in the state without receiving an incentive. 2 Correct? 3 Α. We've acquired systems after this without receiving incentive. 4 5 Was this the only time that you requested an Q. 6 incentive? 7 Α. I do not recall. MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, I would go ahead and 8 9 mark another exhibit so it would be 217. 10 THE COURT: So marked. 11 (By Mr. Clizer) And because your Honor is going to 0. 12 ask this would be the Application and Motion for Waiver that was 13 ultimately for Case WM-2020-0403, however, the docket number 14 does not appear on this document. 15 Mr. Cox, are you familiar with this document? 16 I am. Α. 17 And you would agree with me that this was the application for Confluence Rivers to acquire the Terre du Lac 18 19 system? 20 Α. I agree. 21 And you would agree with me that on Page 7 there is 0. 2.2 again a request for an acquisition incentive? 23 Α. I agree.



Now, do you recall what happened in this case?

24

25

Q.

Α.

I do not.

- MR. CLIZER: I'll just go ahead and mark another
- 2 exhibit at this point. It should be 218. Correct?
- THE COURT: 218, yes.
- 4 MR. CLIZER: Sorry. This will be Unanimous
- 5 | Stipulation Agreement, same case number as previous.
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Clizer) Mr. Cox, do you recognize this document?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. You would agree with me that this is a Unanimous
  10 Stipulation Agreement resolving the acquisition of the Terre du
  11 Lac system by Confluence Rivers?
- 12 A. I do.
- Q. And you would agree with me that if you turn to the stipulation beginning on Page 2 and look at the last item which is No. 5 appearing on Page 4 it reads the signatories acknowledge that Confluence is withdrawing it's request for an acquisition incentive?
- 18 A. I'm sorry, could you point me to the area again?
- 19 Q. Of course. Stipulation beginning on Page 2?
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. But, the line is No. 5 on Page 4. So specifically it's Line 5 on Page 4.
- 23 A. I see it now.
- Q. And you would agree with me that it says the signatories acknowledge that Confluence is withdrawing its

- request for an acquisition incentive?
- 2 A. I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q. So you would agree with me that twice now Confluence has come to this Commission requesting an incentive to continue acquiring systems, twice now it has not gotten that incentive, and yet the company continues to acquire systems in this state.

Is that correct?

- A. I believe that is correct. I think we realized that a one percentage point ROE difference in a contested acquisition case the costs are prohibitive.
- Q. Regarding the type of costs which are included in the acquisition issue at hand -- I want to be general -- does that include costs that Confluence incurs to bring it's CCN application?
- A. For -- I don't know the answer to that question.
- Q. Do you have a copy of the rebuttal testimony of Mr.
- 17 | Thies?
- 18 | A. I do not.
- 19 Q. I believe one is being provided, so I will just wait a 20 second.
- 21 A. I now have it.
- Q. Can you turn to Page 10 of his rebuttal testimony for
- 23 | me?
- 24 A. I have it.
- 25 Q. On Page -- Page 10, Lines 11 through 12 it reads: And

- the cost to appropriately file required acquisition documents
  with the Commission. Do you agree with me?

  A. I do.
  - Q. And he is referring to the costs and expenditures that allow the company -- I'm sorry. Apologies; I'm having problems all of a sudden.

You would agree with me that the costs that he is referring to are the ones that are being included in this acquisition issue at hand?

- A. Yes. I agree with that. And some of those are transfer CCN, some are creating a new certificate area.
- Q. You would agree with me that every time a system is transferred the company requesting transfer has to file a rate case, sorry, not a rate case, a case with the Commission?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. So legal fees would be incurred by any company seeking transfer of an asset. Correct?
- 18 A. Yes. Whether or not it was unregulated or regulated,
  19 yes.
- 20 MR. CLIZER: I have no further questions. Thank
- 21 you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect?
- MR. COX: Thank you, your Honor. Can I put away
- 24 | this testimony?
- MR. CLIZER: I'm sorry. Your Honor, I would move

Page 54

Τ	for the admission of 216, 217, and 218 before 1 forget.			
2	THE COURT: I understand that you used these to			
3	refresh the witness's recollection.			
4	Can you tell me why you want to introduce these as			
5	evidence?			
6	MR. CLIZER: You are going to ask an interesting			
7	question. In as far as I personally have always taken the			
8	position that the Commission's records is something the			
9	Commission should take administrative notice of at a minimum and			
10	shouldn't actually be required to be entered into the record			
11	because it's part of the Commission's overall record.			
12	So to establish the fact that they are to establish			
13	the facts that we discussed is my ultimate answer to your			
14	question. However, if the Commission would prefer to simply			
15	take administrative notice of its past Orders and the contents			
16	of its own files I'm happy with that as well.			
17	THE COURT: Are you going to cite to these?			
18	MR. CLIZER: Yes. To establish the question of			
19	the incentive which is the purpose of our discussion yes, I			
20	intend to cite to these, whether they are exhibits or whether			
21	I'm sighting to the records.			
22	THE COURT: I don't need any more. I'm			
23	convinced. Any objections?			
24	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: No, your Honor.			
25	THE COURT: Seeing no objections all three			



	Page 55			
1	Exhibit 216, 217, and 218 are hereby admitted onto the hearing			
2	record.			
3	Mr. Cooper.			
4				
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
6	BY MR. COOPER:			
7	Q. Mr. Cox, let's start with the subject of those			
8	documents that were just admitted into evidence. The incentive			
9	that is referenced in those documents is a very specific			
10	incentive, isn't it?			
11	A. It is.			
12	Q. And the basis of that particular incentive is a			
13	regulation?			
14	A. That's correct.			
15	Q. Okay. And when we generally talk about incentives for			
16	the company or disincentives for the company is it more of a			
17	general discussion than that particular regulation?			
18	A. That is correct. I don't even think about that			
19	particular regulation when I think about incentives to invest.			
20	Q. Mr. Clizer was kind of clarifying the one point two			
21	million dollar plant number that had been referenced in your			
22	testimony. I think it was also I know it was also referenced			
23	in my Opening.			



correct, not a revenue requirement number?

In pointing out that it is again, it's a plant number,

24

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And he asked you about the revenue requirement impact generally. But, in regard -- in addition to the revenue requirement impact is there a write off issue that also arises if these costs are disallowed in this case?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And how does that impact the company?
- A. I mean it impacts us in two-fold. One it is another tax issue. In another it's telling us these costs are not reasonable.
- 11 Q. Something that you would take into account on a going 12 forward basis?
  - A. Absolutely. Especially as we're buying these distressed systems that lack basic books and records, maps, inventory of assets, all of that.
    - Q. You got into a conversation about connections versus what you think of as customers with Mr. Clizer. Going back to kind of where we started this number of connections combined water and sewer are about how many in Missouri?
      - A. I believe about ninety-six hundred.
  - Q. Okay. And at least -- well, I don't remember the exact breakdown. But, it's closer to fifty-fifty in terms of water versus sewer, isn't it?
- A. I believe it's skewed towards waste water.
- Q. But, in any case neither water nor sewer would exceed

1	eight thousand connections. Correct?			
2	A. Correct.			
3	MR. COOPER: I don't have any other questions,			
4	your Honor. Thank you.			
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Cox. You			
6	are dismissed.			
7	Staff, go ahead and call your next witness.			
8	MR. THOMPSON: Staff calls (indiscernible).			
9	THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Majors, please			
10	raise your right hand.			
11				
12	KEITH MAJORS,			
13	called as a witness, upon first being duly sworn by the Court,			
14	was examined and testified as follows:			
15				
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. And			
17	your witness.			
18	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.			
19				
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
21	BY MR. THOMPSON:			
22	Q. Please state your name and spell it for the Reporter?			
23	A. Keith Majors. K-e-i-t-h, M-a-j-o-r-s.			
24	Q. Thank you. How are you employed, Mr. Majors?			
25	A. As a Utility Regulatory Audit Unit Supervisor with the			



- 1 Missouri Public Service Commission.
  - Q. And where is your work station?
  - A. In Kansas City, Missouri.
- Q. And, Mr. Majors, did you prepare or cause to be prepared exhibits that have been marked as 110, 117, and 129 public and confidential being direct rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony?
- 8 A. Yes.

3

9

- Q. And are those items -- well, first let me ask you do you have any corrections?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Are those items true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. If I asked you the same questions today would your answers be the same?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR. THOMPSON: At this time I move for the
  19 admission of Exhibits 110, 117, 129 public and confidential.
- 20 THE COURT: You've heard the motion and the
  21 exhibit numbers. Are there any objections to the admission of
  22 those exhibits onto the hearing record? Hearing none they are
  23 so admitted.
- Go ahead.
- MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. I tender the

- 1 | witness for cross-examination?
- THE COURT: Mr. Clizer.

- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. CLIZER:
- 6 Q. Good morning, Mr. Majors.
- 7 A. Good morning.
- Q. Do you have a copy of Mr. Theis's rebuttal testimony before you?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. Can you turn to Page 12?
- 12 A. I am there.
- Q. Would you agree with me that on Pages 8 -- I'm sorry.
- 14 | I keep saying that. Lines 8 through -- the one I want is up
- 15 | through 11. Mr. Thies is referring to two rate cases where he
- 16 claims the company can confirm that acquisition costs of this
- 17 | type were included in past Staff-assisted rate cases.
- 18 A. Yes. I see that.
- 19 Q. And I am sorry to make you jump around, but turning to
- 20 your surrebuttal testimony you provided a response to this.
- 21 | Correct?
- 22 A. Yes. I'm sure I do. But, let me get to there.
- 23 I've got my surrebuttal.
- Q. Specifically I'm referring you to Page 8 Lines 10
- 25 | through 19.



A. Yes. I'm there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. I don't mean to paraphrase you. Well I am going to paraphrase you but I'm hopefully doing it correctly. But effectively your position is that those disposition agreements most likely contained agreements that they weren't necessarily binding with regard to the specific -- help me out here.

What were you trying to say there?

- A. Well, I think that in the small water case process there was some -- similar costs that were included in not only these systems but also Hillcrest and what I'll call Legacy Confluence systems but those were in a -- in the context of a disposition agreement which is much like a stipulation agreement. I'm not -- I wasn't a party to those and wasn't involved in the cases.
  - Q. That's good.
- MR. CLIZER: Thank you very much. Actually I'm going ahead to continue to ask that these things be marked so we should be at 219 now. Right.

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Clizer) I'm not sure it matters which order but the first one will be disposition agreement for Case No. WR-2020-0275.
- A. (Inaudible).
- Q. Can you turn to the last -- well, first of all let's identify this document. You would agree with me that this is

- the Nonunanimous Disposition Agreement regarding disposition of small utility company revenue increase request for Elm Hills Utility Operating Company?
  - A. Yes. I reviewed this as part of Staff's audit, and particularly these costs.
  - Q. And you would agree with me that under the additional matters section found on the last page which is not numbered but it's the last one with words on it it reads other than the specific conditions agreed upon and expressly set out herein the terms of this disposition agreement reflect compromise between OPC and the company and no party has agreed to any particular rate making principle in arriving at the amount of annual operating amount increase specified herein?
    - A. You read that correctly.
  - Q. And you would agree with me the purpose of that provision is to prevent any party in future in attempting to rely on the amounts agreed to in this to set some kind of precedential value for this case?
    - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 20 Q. I'll go ahead and mark another exhibit -- oh, put 21 those back.
- This is, again, Unanimous Agreement regarding
  disposition of small water case, etc., for WR-2020-0053. Mr.
  Majors, can you identify this document?
- 25 A. This is the unanimous agreement regarding disposition



Page 62

- of small utility company revenue increase request. This is the disposition agreement for -- I think this is what I'll call the Legacy Confluence systems, Auburn and there's probably ten
  - Q. And on the additional matters again this time it's on Page 3 although unnumbered that first paragraph you see is substantially the same although I believe the word Staff has been inserted, I won't bother reading it in the record again. You would agree with me that it's substantially the same.
- 10 | Correct?

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

11 A. Yes.

others in there.

- Q. So once again we have an agreement whereby the parties said we are not going to agree that any specific rate making principle is being adhered to here?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. So there was no agreement at all between the parties when these cases were settled that specific acquisition costs would or would not be included in rate base?
    - A. I think that's the general premise of the agreement.
- 20 Q. All right.
- 21 MR. CLIZER: I will at this time remember to move 22 for the admission of 20 -- 219 and 220.
  - MR. THOMPSON: Judge, the only clarification I guess I would like to make is that commonly these agreements have attachments that are associated with them. So, for



- Page 63 1 example, on I think it's the 220 document on the last page 2 there's an assortment of attachments that are a part of this. 3 As long as we're considering those attachments to be a part of 4 what we're able to reference and what's coming in with 219 and 5 220 I don't have any objection. 6 The documents if you include the MR. CLIZER: 7 attachments gets to like a hundred and seventy pages and our 8 printer is having enough trouble as it is. 9 MR. COOPER: And I certainly am not asking for 10 those pages. I just want to make sure that what we're admitting 11 is that entire package. 12 THE COURT: The Judge has heard the combined 13 request to admit Exhibit 219 and 220 and take administrative 14 notice of the various attachments thereto in the official EFIS 15 record. 16 Any objection to the admission of those two exhibits? 17 Hearing none they are so admitted. Go ahead. 18 MR. CLIZER: Thank you. 19 (By Mr. Clizer) So let's have a quick discussion on 0. 20 some of the types of costs that we're talking about here. reference in your surrebuttal Mr. Thies', I hope I'm pronouncing 21 2.2 his name correctly, rebuttal testimony when he identifies those 23 costs.
- 24 Do you recall that?
- 25 Α. Yes.



Q. So the costs that we're talking about we have let	S
break them down. We've got some engineering costs, we've go	ot
title costs and we've got basically the cost of filing a CCN	٦.
Is that a fair way that we can break that down?	

- A. Yes. I have the -- some information on the breakout that's being referenced. I think it's going to be filed with the Commission on data request 66. So I can provide some detail on that.
- Q. I assume the Commission is going to ask for it so I'll -- can you just tell us what the breakout is?
- A. So there is -- first of all there is some discrepancy between the numbers. Mr. Thies' testimony is -- if you'll pardon me a moment. Okay.

So Mr. Thies has one million two hundred and eighteen thousand nine hundred and sixty-nine listed in his rebuttal testimony. In the response to data request 88 which had all the plan improvements we -- I identified one million two hundred and four thousand nine hundred seven in acquisition costs. And that was a data request for all planned improvements. The specific data requests on acquisition costs which is DR 66 lists nine hundred eighty-seven thousand eight hundred fifty-two dollars.

So about a two hundred and twenty-five thousand dollar discrepancy on costs that aren't in this data request that aren't delineated. But I can go through the costs that I can identify if you would like.

- Q. I think even if I didn't ask the Commission almost certainly would but before I get to that I want to make sure I understand. Who is DR 66? That's to whom from whom?
- A. That would be Staff data request 66 from the Staff to Confluence Rivers.
  - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And the same token data request 88 from Staff to Confluence Rivers.
  - Q. So the nine eighty number, and again I'm rounding here.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was the Confluence's number reported to Staff?
- 13 A. On specific acquisition costs, yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you said you can break down that nine eighty number?
- 16 A. Yes.
  - Q. If they'll permit me to continue asking open questions because again I feel like this is a point that the Commission will want to ask, can you please give us that breakdown?
  - A. So in the description line you have Engineering-Survey of one thousand one hundred and ninety-five dollars.

    Engineering-Admin two hundred eight thousand five hundred twenty-five. Engineering-GIS fifty-nine thousand eight hundred
- 25 thousand one hundred fifty-eight. Legal-Real Estate four

twenty-eight. Engineering-Survey one hundred sixty-five



1 | hundred thirty thousand six hundred sixty-seven.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Legal-Regulatory is one hundred fourteen thousand two hundred
seventy-two.

There is a blank on the description so that would have to be by vendor of eight thousand two hundred seven dollars.

- Q. You read those off relatively fast and it is not my intention to attempt to confuse the record. But, what I heard was roughly five hundred thousand dollar legal, the rest falling into the engineering category. Again I'm doing my best, that's what I thought I heard. Am I wrong there?
- A. No. That's relatively accurate.

Pardon me one moment. This isn't my calculator.

I've got five hundred forty-four thousand nine hundred thirty-nine in legal costs. And that's a breakdown of four hundred and thirty thousand dollar -- four hundred thirty thousand six hundred sixty-seven of Legal-Real Estate and one hundred fourteen two seventy-two Legal-regulatory.

- Q. Okay. Now is all that breakdown in Staff DR 66?
- A. So the Staff DR 66 response is I believe a one tab spreadsheet with lots of lines.

And what I've created are what are called pivot tables to summarize the data. So those totals will be right. I think that would be quite a lengthy process to take that spreadsheet and manually add each line.

So for my convenience and the Commission's I've added

1 those in a pivot table and separated the data. 2 MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, I'm going to -- this is 3 going to be a bit unorthodox so bear with me here because I 4 think this is going to align with what I imagine you were going 5 to do anyways. 6 But I would imagine that this document that Mr. Majors 7 has created is something the Commission would like to have. And 8 so I don't know if you were going -- if this is what you 9 intended to make a Commission request or I can move for it to be an exhibit. How best would you like to proceed with that? 10 11 THE COURT: Let's see if you get any objections. 12 Any objections to the admission of --13 It would be 221 if it were an OPC MR. CLIZER: 14 exhibit or if Staff would like to make it an exhibit since it's 15 their work paper. 16 I don't mind if it's yours. MR. THOMPSON: 17 MR. CLIZER: Fine. Then let's call it 221 OPC exhibit. 18 19 THE COURT: 221 work -- are we going to call 20 these work papers associated with DR 66? THE WITNESS: I can certainly print off another 21 22 copy. It's saved. It's taking the data from DR 66 --23 THE COURT: No. You are already in. I just need 24 the title of it. 25 Oh. Staff data request 66 summary. THE WITNESS:

1 And so the one trick here is that MR. CLIZER: 2 you've tasked the parties with sending you the exhibits by 3 email. And this will not be a document that I currently 4 possess, so --5 THE COURT: Mere details. 6 I'm going to move on then. MR. CLIZER: 7 Any objection? No objection, so THE COURT: 8 admitted. 9 Mr. Majors, would you please be in contact with either Mr. Clizer or your counsel to figure out how to submit this 10 11 exhibit outside of our session today? 12 THE WITNESS: Of course. 13 Thank you, sir. THE COURT: 14 (By Mr. Clizer) Okay. So I think I only have a hand 0. 15 full of things left really quick. Those legal fees that were 16 kind of in that table, about a hundred thousand of that was 17 related to the cost of bringing CCN applications. Is that right? 18 19 Α. Yes. 2.0 And you agree with me that every time a system is 0. 21 transferred you're going to have to request some kind of CCN 22 application? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And the four hundred thousand of that that was costs 0.

25

for acquiring title?

- Title. And I believe Confluence's referenced Α. right-of-way establishment, things like that.
- Q. And you would expect that that would be a cost incurred every time property is transferred. Correct?
  - To some extent, yes. Α.
- Is that part of why you would characterize those costs 0. as a transaction -- I had a mind blank for a second.
- Which -- that's why those were transition costs. 8
  - Correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

18

19

20

24

- Α. I would categorize them as transaction costs.
- 11 0. Okay.
  - They're related to the changing of ownership and Α. establishing I think as Mr. Cox and Mr. Thies said who owns what, what the assets actually are.
- 15 0. Okay. So just to clarify the record because of my 16 screw up you would identify those as transaction costs?
- 17 Α. Yes. Correct.
- Okay. One last thing. Any plant investments that Ο. were made to bring a system back online -- for example, putting a new pump in, etc. -- would those fall into transaction or transition costs? 21
- 2.2 Α. I don't believe so. No, those are costs of plant 23 improvements that provide a utility service.
  - And that doesn't matter if it would happen within that 0. first fifty-one days or not? If it was in that fifty-one days



it would be plant improvements?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. No. I think that -- well, if it was related to a distinct plant improvement it's really not categorizable as a transaction cost or a transition cost or a cost of acquisition.
- Q. And those aren't included in the breakdown that you have? There is no direct plant improvements?
  - A. No, none that I'm aware of.
- Q. Actually, I lied about one last thing. I apologize.

  You would agree with me that there is a way that you could potentially recover costs that were deemed transaction costs outside of rate basing them. Correct?
- A. Yes. I think that by the same token we've had these other systems I think some of them have had rate based treatments, some of them haven't, for these costs. I think we would be more open to doing some kind of perhaps an amortization like the other systems. But, I think they're certainly not directly categorizable as plant costs. Certainly they're current reasonable costs to -- that were incurred to acquire the systems to eventually make improvements to the system.
- Q. So if I understand you correctly your ultimate recommendation is to not allow these costs to be included, but if the Commission would allow recovery of these costs at some level a secondary recommendation would be to have them be amortized instead of rate based?
  - A. I think that's -- that would be a fair conclusion

considering we have allowed some amount in prior cases and can be heard from the testimony of the Company these costs were incurred in efforts to acquire these systems and get them operating in compliance.

- Q. If the Commission were to order an amortization what would be the proper amortization period?
- A. Well, I think part of the -- part of our consternation about including these as plant items is that the plant items they were capitalized to -- collection, sewers, distribution lines, things of that nature -- can have a fifty year life span. I don't think it's -- certainly not fair to the customers who really these costs don't, they're not plant costs and so I don't think it's appropriate to include them on a fifty year life span and get rate based treatment for the next forty, fifty years.

I think perhaps five years is a nonarbitrary number. When you look at other acquisitions in the state we've had a five year amortization of transition costs, not transaction costs, and so I think that might be an appropriate amortization. Certainly for one or two of the other systems that these costs were allowed it was a five year amortization.

- Q. You were in the room earlier when Mr. Cox was testifying. Correct?
- 23 A. Yes. I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q. And he referenced a tax write off that would occur as a result of your disallowance.



		The state of the s	
1		Page 72 Do you recall that testimony?	
2	Α.	I do.	
3	Q.	Do you agree with his testimony?	
4	Α.	I think that if you were to take Staff's approach and	
5	completely write not include these costs at all that's a		
6	fair, that's a fair assumption that you would write off those		
7	assets.		
8	Q.	And Mr. Cox provided an example of a situation where	
9	the Compa	any had had to perform work because somebody had I think	
10	left the	keys in the door was kind of the way it was described.	
11		Do you recall that?	
12	A.	Yes.	
13	Q.	I might have missed the name of that. What system was	
14	that?		
15	Α.	Smithview. I've got it	
16	Q.	That's okay. I just wanted to know the name for now.	
17		Is Smithview what you would consider to be a fairly	
18	typical e	example of the acquisitions that Confluence undertakes?	
19	Α.	Having not been intimately familiar with all the	
20	acquisiti	ions I think that's a fair assumption that I have no	
21	reason to	dispute that the majority of Confluence's acquisitions	
22	are distr	ressed systems.	
23	Q.	What is the situation that Mr. Cox was referring to	
24	   where the	ere is no information whatsoever and no one to contact?	



Do you believe that's typical?

1	A. I think I recall his testimony being it's not
2	atypical. So I have no reason to not believe that.
3	Q. All right.
4	MR. CLIZER: I have no further questions.
5	Thank you.
6	THE COURT: And Confluence.
7	MR. COOPER: Thank you, your Honor.
8	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. COOPER:
11	Q. There was some questions from Mr. Clizer about it
12	was related to the title work and I think he, he suggested that
13	title work would have to be done in every case.
14	Is that generally your memory as well?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. If that title work involves straightening out title so
17	that it's clear who owns it and it's clear that Confluence
18	Rivers owns it going forward or it involves the acquisition of
19	an easement for access to the property aren't those things that
20	will be a benefit in the future and would not have to be re-done
21	in the future?
22	A. I think the latter would be acquisition of an easement
23	for sure that would go on to benefit the company. I think I
24	would consider those if they were categorized as trans
25	acquisition costs. I really don't think a new easement for an



- acquisition -- that's really not an acquisition cost. So I think in those cases those may have been miscategorized.
  - Q. But, certainly there is something that would benefit the system on a going forward base. Correct?
    - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. Because I don't have DR 0066 in front of me what time period is Staff asking about in that DR?
  - A. That's a really good question. I really don't -- I don't recall. If I were to hazard a guess it would be from the last Confluence case forward.
  - Q. So if there were any amounts left over from prior cases on the Company's books they wouldn't necessarily be shown in a response to that type of a data request or that time period. Correct?
  - A. Right. There's, you know, two hundred and twenty-five thousand is a pretty substantial gap so I mean that would have to be remedied, yeah.
  - Q. And I think I heard you say a couple of times that you acknowledge that these types of costs had been included to some extent in the prior Staff-assisted rate cases for the companies. Correct?
- A. Yes. I went back and researched after watching Mr.
  Woodsmall's opening the five cases that were referenced in
  the -- in his opening concerning these costs.
  - Q. Okay. Now I want to go back actually to where your



- 1 | counsel started in his Opening Statement. And in your testimony
- 2 | you cite to Commission case EM-2007-0374 in regard to the
- 3 | treatment of transition and transaction costs. Correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And, again, as your counsel said I believe that case involved the proposed merger of Aquila, Inc. and an entity that ultimately associated with Kansas City Power and Light.
- 8 | Correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And those were both large then operating regulated electric utilities at the time of the merger. Correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you further cite I think in your surrebuttal testimony to case No. EM-2000-292.
- 15 A. Yes. That was the St. Jo Power and Light purchase, 16 yes.
- 17 Q. And by Utilicor. Correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Which was an earlier name of Aquila. Correct?
- 20 A. One of their many names, yes.
- Q. And, again, both those entities were then established regulated electric corporations, weren't they?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And really all four of those entities that we've mentioned were being traded on the Stock Exchange at the time.

<b>~</b> -		- L	$\sim$
Cor	rre	CT	7
$\sim$		$\sim$ $\sim$	•

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Now --
  - A. Or their parent companies.
    - Q. Now, the quote you used from the St. Joseph Utilicor case includes the following. It says the net original cost rule was developed in order to protect rate payers from having to pay higher rates simply because ownership of utility plant has changed without any actual change in the usefulness of the plant.
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. Would you agree with me that in almost every -- almost every if not every Confluence Rivers' acquisition the usefulness of the plant to be acquired is in question at the time of acquisition?
- 17 A. Likely.
  - Q. And certainly true in any existing system that's in a receivership or is saddled with DNR violations. Correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. Now, on Page 5 of your surrebuttal you state that any costs related to the filing of an acquisition case before the Commission are owners' costs as there is no benefit to rate payers for these costs and these costs are not required for utility service. Correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, when you say acquisition case are you referring to merger cases under Section 393.190 such as the St. Joe Utilicor-KCPL-Aquila cases that you've cited?
- A. No. I think those are really related to the legal work for the CCN case or the acquisition case or there have been several I would call them technical merger cases that Confluence has filed to consolidate rates. And anything -- any of those before the Commission to acquire assets would be under the legal regulatory costs and those, I can't recall any time those have been capitalized or deferred in any way. And so I think that's the clearest example of costs that really are ownership costs.
- Q. Well, let's start with that a little bit and it's toward -- this question will get to a little bit of what you were saying there which is technically other than the case where Confluence merged with Osage and Hillcrest and Indian Hills its companies have not been involved in any true merger cases, have they, for acquisitions?
- A. I think they've been legally structured as asset purchases. And so yes. I would agree with you -- with your statement.
- Q. And in addition to the purchase of the assets of an existing regulated company they also have cases where they've purchased assets from a homeowner's association or some entity that's not regulated. Correct?



A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

- Q. And they also have purchased some assets from some entities that perhaps should have been regulated but have never either been -- have never been brought into the fold. Correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in those latter two types of cases the function or the acquisition case really takes the form of a basic initial CCN case. Correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Because neither of those examples does the existing utility have a CCN. Correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And so in those instances Confluence Rivers goes for a new CCN pursuant to Section 393.170. Correct?
- 15 A. I'm going to take your word that's the right section 16 of the rules and regulations, but sure. Yeah.
- Q. And in those instances the CCN is required for the provision of utility service, isn't it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And perhaps in the future getting another CCN will not be necessary. Correct?
  - A. For those systems that were purchased no, I don't think you would need another CCN or anything like that.
- Q. Now you also recite definitions of transaction and transition cost used in the KCPL-Aquila case. Correct?



A. Yes.

- Q. And the definition of transaction cost includes the statement that they are not to facilitate the provision of utility service. Correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And it also includes the statement or also states that transaction costs are not used or useful nor necessary for the provision of safe and adequate service. Correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, would you agree with me that steps necessary for Confluence Rivers to acquire the assets of small distressed -- hold on just a second. Small distressed water and sewer utilities ultimately facilitates the provision of utility service and aids in the provision of safe and adequate service as to those systems?
- A. I think as a general premise yes, the transfer of ownership prior to the acquisition -- prior to the acquisition and post acquisition there is an improved, definite improvement in safe and adequate service. No question.
- Q. Did Staff make any attempt to determine whether the individual costs that it disallowed facilitated the provision of utility service or aided in the provision of safe and adequate service?
- A. No. I think that following that Commission's general rule on these kind of costs that was really the genesis of the



- questioning of why these were capitalized and so the removal from capital, that's really what justified it in my mind.
- Q. And without any sort of detailed examination of the particular costs?
- A. No. I think we had the response to data request 66 so that was -- did provide some detail. But, I think as the big picture has been discussed. The Commission has routinely denied pre and immediately post ownership transfer costs as transaction costs in both the examples that were in my testimony, the St. Joe merger and the acquisition of Aguila.
- Q. And I take from that that -- that it sounds like -- and let me confirm this first. That it's generally testimony and a position taken as a result of just the normal transaction rules as you said that were found in the two cases we've talked about. Correct?
  - A. Right. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. So any steps such as, you know, going to the engineering department and talking about, you know, what usefulness there might be to surveys or mapping or anything like that wouldn't have taken place?
- 21 A. No. I can't say they did.
- MR. COOPER: That's all the questions I have,
  your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you. And before we ask for 25 Commissioner questions I just wanted to note that we will be



1	ending for lunch after the conclusion of Mr. Major's testimony.
2	Are there any Commissioner questions? Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN RUPP: Thank you, Judge.
4	
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY CHAIRMAN RUPP:
7	Q. Good morning.
8	A. Good morning.
9	Q. Still morning. The DR 66 and 88 that you have
10	referenced were any of those data requests the ones that were
11	difficult to get information from the Commission from the
12	Company that worked us all the way up to the agenda where we had
13	to make a ruling on compelling
14	A. No. Neither one of those were from my recollection
15	not at issue on a discovery dispute.
16	Q. Thank you. You saved me from going back through
17	agenda notes to look and see if those were one because we had
18	quite a few of those in this case.
19	Secondly, during a Staff assisted case would these
20	costs that were uncategorized or maybe generally categorized
21	would those have been worked out with the company to properly
22	categorize them working with the Company during a Staff-assisted
23	rate case?
24	A. I think so. And from just the work papers and the
25	materials that I reviewed we have been relatively open in the

cases that they've referenced, the five cases of including those costs with looking at the invoices and categorizing them. I think for the majority they've been more of 301 or 303 organization costs. In two cases they were included in the plant and service accounts. Does that answer your question?

- Q. Yes. So if Staff is working with the company through the assisted rate process there is more communication back and forth well, let's put this one this one here and you end up with maybe a better classification of different costs into different accounts?
- A. Yes, I think it's a fair statement. I also think that the distinction here is we have agreed to reflect those costs in costs of service. It wasn't a blanket proposal to wholesale defer all acquisition related costs such as is the case here. I don't think that's really -- that's really not an appropriate way to approach the issue. I think it's really on more of a case by case basis in determining really which costs are ownership versus these costs have some kind of future benefit we could come up with some kind of amortization for those but not include them in plant.
- Q. So is this issue boiling down to the Company is now going through a regular rate case where there's not the amount of communication between Staff and anything that is unclear Staff may be erring on the side of declining or not including because of ambiguity in what is -- what costs and what is, which



- should be categorized this?
- 2 A. Yes. I think that's a fair statement.
  - Q. Okay. And how long have you been with the Commission?
- 4 A. Sixteen years.

3

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. You're a great American. We appreciate you.
- 7 A. Thank you.
- Q. You've seen a lot of black box settlements in your
  9 day?
- 10 A. Many, yes.
- Q. We've had a lot of discussion about, you know, black box settlements and my impression and the Company's impression.

  And I recall on several occasions asking companies questions about the black box settlement and being told well, your answer will depend on who you talk to. Or we can't really calculate that, it just kind of depends on your position.
  - So in these black box settlements is it fair to say that one party may be viewing things a certain way of how they got to a resolution and the other party viewing it differently but in the big picture thing it's like yeah, we're good, we're just going to move on?
  - A. Oh, absolutely. I think if you ask five different parties you'll get ten different answers about what was in the -- what was in this number, what was in that number, how did we arrive at this figure? Yeah. I think it's very ambiguous.

Q. So the statements that everybody has in all their
cases that this does not spell out any agreement to any type of
a rate casing principle is more put in there to reflect that
ambiguity and differences of viewpoints of how you got to where
you got?

- A. Absolutely. And I think we've -- parties have relied on that language to go back and say we're going to re-litigate X issue because we didn't have a definitive answer or definitive rate making treatment in that rate case or proceeding.
  - Q. Okay. Great. Thank you for your testimony.

CHAIRMAN RUPP: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Commissioner Holsman.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

## EXAMINATION

## BY COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN:

- Q. How did you come up with the fifty days?
- A. That's a very interesting question. I think the fifty days has really been incidental to the invoice dates that was data request response. I didn't come up with some kind of arbitrary fifty day cutoff. And, in fact, I'm really curious as to how they came up with a fifty day cutoff. My only guess is that that's the invoice date on fifty days past the closing date of some other systems that got charged acquisition costs.
- Q. Okay. So do you think that it will be fifty days in the next rate case?



2.2

- A. No. I think we would look at whatever costs they would have incurred for their next batch of acquisitions. And whether -- and look at what treatment we would recommend for those. I think depending on what decision the Commission comes up with will determine how Staff approaches that issue.
- Q. Why would you have a period of time where if it is, you know, transitioned -- I understand that we're discussing transition versus transaction here.

Why wouldn't from the time -- is it if they don't close on the system that you end up having an issue?

I mean I don't understand if it does go to closure and they had to start doing maps and engineering and a lot of the expenses, you know, before they got that why wouldn't those necessarily be -- why wouldn't they count? Like why wouldn't -- why do you have that time period?

A. Well, depending on the nature of the costs I think they could count. But, I think in this case all these costs were deemed acquisition costs. And so when you're looking at whether or not you would include those you've got transition and transaction. Let's discuss that. Transition costs are costs to really combine the operations. You've got three accountants in total in the old company and four in the other and now you only need, you know, five. And so all the costs to integrate the systems and so there really aren't any transition costs for these systems that create the synergies that would cover

1	transition and transaction costs.
2	Does that answer your question?
3	Q. Yes. No, that does. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you, Judge.
5	THE COURT: And I want to make sure that the
6	record reflects the attendance of Commissioner Glen Kolkmeyer
7	who arrived some time ago and by my negligence was not
8	mentioned.
9	Are there any other Commissioner questions?
LO	The bench has no questions.
11	Let's move to recross examination, Mr. Clizer.
12	
L3	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
L4	BY MR. CLIZER:
15	Q. I didn't have any questions and then you said
L6	something that I was just curious about.
L7	So you're saying that Staff didn't come up with the
L8	fifty days?
L9	A. No. I'm not again entirely sure how anybody came up
20	with the fifty days. I was curious about Mr. Woodsmall's
21	Opening on that, but I haven't inquired of the company as to
22	what the fifty day cutoff really means.
23	Q. So what did you use to determine a cutoff?
24	A. I don't think there was really any cutoff. The
25	company had identified these specific costs at issue as



- acquisition costs. And so if it was labeled as an acquisition cost that was part of the removal. And I think the fifty days may be incidental to the information on the data request but again I think you would have to ask the Company or Mr. Thies about that.
- Q. All right. You said that you watched Mr. Woodsmall's Opening and I was there for it and I could have sworn that he said that you were slapping a label on things. So I want to make sure this is clear.

You're telling me the Company self identified these as acquisition costs?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you didn't say these are the acquisition costs?
- A. Not independently. I mean the Company said -- there is an accounting chart field in the data request 88 that has a general cost category. I think it includes on the, for the improvements repaired main or refurbished pump, what have you. And one of those accounting chart fields was acquisition costs. And so that's how the Company identified those in the response to data request 88.
- Now, they had a separate one -- a separate response to data request 66 that had more detailed information.
- Q. And so Staff is not saying cut it off after fifty days?
  - A. No. Again, if you find out how they came up with



Page 88

- fifty days tell me, please. But, I'm not quite sure what that's referring to. The company has identified independently these are acquisition costs and so that's what we had to go -- that's what I used.
- 5 MR. CLIZER: I don't have further questions.

6 Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Cooper.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

25

1

2

3

4

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. COOPER:

Q. One question, Mr. Majors. You talked a little bit about black box settlements with the Chairman. And I just want to kind of make one point of clarification.

Would you agree with me that Staff-assisted rate case resolution documents, the disposition agreements, are a little different usually than a general rate case settlement or stipulation and agreement in that many times those disagreements in the small company cases include the Staff's EMS run?

- A. Oh, for sure. And I think of the ones I reviewed I think only one didn't have a EMS run so I had to go hunt for that. But typically we include some kind of EMS run whether it's partial or a full disposition agreement.
- Q. Yes, that's included as an attachment to --
- 24 A. True. Yes.
  - Q. Thank you very much.



Page 89 1 MR. COOPER: That's all I have, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. 3 Majors. You are excused from the -- oh, I'm sorry. Redirect. 4 Redirect. Mr. Thompson. 5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. I'll try to 6 make this as brief as humanly possible. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. THOMPSON: 10 0. Well, let me work backwards. First of all, can you 11 explain to the Commissioners what an EMS run is? 12 Α. It's exhibit modeling system. It's the computer 13 program through Microsoft Excel that we use for virtually all 14 revenue requirement calculations. 15 So the staff accounting schedules are those an example 0. 16 of an EMS run? 17 Α. Yes. And black box settlements -- there was some discussion 18 0. 19 of those. Now, those are the result of negotiations. 20 Isn't that true? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 0. And do parties sometimes settle simply on the basis of the total revenue requirement amount? 23 24 Α. Frequently.

So there is no specification of what that amount

25

Q.

includes?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And that makes it hard to answer Commissioner questions about what particular items are worth?
  - A. In those cases, yes.
  - Q. And you've already answered Mr. Clizer repeatedly about the fifty days and that's not a figure that staff uses or comes up with. Correct?
    - A. It is not.
  - Q. Now, when you have an amount that the company has identified as acquisition costs how do you decide how to treat those costs?
  - A. Well, I think the examination is how is the Commission treated. If they're not transition costs then they really have to be transaction costs. How has the Commission treated those historically. And to go back to, in plain English the Commission had the report order in the Great Plains Energy Equivalent merger case. I think going back to more black box merger agreements that those really have -- it's unprecedented to recover any kind of explicit transaction costs and so that's the -- what I relied upon to make my recommendation.
    - Q. So you just employed the categories the Commission had set up in that particular decision?
- A. And other decisions, yes.
- Q. Okay. So in this case Confluence is requesting rate



based treatment of those items?

2 A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Q. Now, rate based treatment means they get a return on that amount.

Is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you spoke of an alternative treatment that you called amortization. Can you tell me how that's different from rate based treatment?
- A. Well, you can have an amortization without rate based treatment. A good example of this would be there is a five year amortization of transition costs for the GBE West Star, call it combination. I would call it a merger tentatively. I'm not sure what the legal structure of it was. But, there was a five year amortization of those costs without rate based treatment.

And so you would include one-fifth per year of whatever amount you were amortizing without including those costs in rate base as opposed to the treatment requested here we should be including it in various plan accounts that would both earn a return of through depreciation expense from anywhere from twenty to fifty year life span and a return on through the rate base rate of return calculation.

- Q. So it sounds to me like rate based treatment is the most favorable treatment?
- 25 A. Yes. Rate base and -- yes. Rate base and inclusional



plan you could have rate base and an amortization. Any rate base and inclusional plan they would get the longest period of time where they would earn a return on the un-depreciated balance of those amounts.

2.2

- Q. So when you talk about the amortization as an alternative treatment do you mean amortization to expense?
- A. Yes. And no rate base -- I think that's been done in two or three of the example systems that were brought up in those cases in this case. So amortization to those expense. I think I've thrown out five years. Could be longer, could be shorter. Typically the shortest amortization period we've talked about in rate cases is around three years would be the shortest. It's kind of a -- it's not an exact science on how long you set an amortization period for.
- Q. In your opinion would it be just and reasonable to include these costs in rates as expenses?
- A. Some of these costs. I think it's kind of a fair happy medium. I mean the Commission has heard the testimony today about how these costs were incurred for the betterment of the entire system and for customers. And so I think at least some of those costs depending on the category I think would be a fair outcome to have some kind of amortization. No rate based treatment. But, the company would recover some of those costs over a period of time.
  - Q. And when you say some are you talking about a



percentage? Say fifty of them? Sixty percent?

2.2

A. I think you could do, you could do a percentage. I
think definitely the the costs, the regulatory costs, the
legal costs for coming in and applying for a CCN those are
really analogous to rate case expenses. I would not they're
not, they're certainly not normal as in rate cases every three
years. They're sporadic. So whenever they come in for a CCN to
purchase a system, so I really don't think, those are just
normal costs, no deferential treatment should be allowed for
those.

The engineering costs and the GIS have a lot of merit.

I think some of the real estate if it's for establishing

right-of-ways I think those definitely have merit. I think the

schedules I have been asked to provide to the Commission would

have some delineation as to which costs would be allowed and are

more appropriate to put in an amortization.

Q. Thank you very much.

MR. THOMPSON: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you. Now, Mr. Majors, you are excused. I appreciate your testimony.

Let's go ahead and as you see everyone has meetings to get to. For those scheduled meetings let's call it at one thirty. One thirty for everyone leaving the room. One thirty.

MR. CLIZER: Before you go off the record I would like to address a matter of business. The Commission ordered

Page 94

- for Confluence to apply -- or provide us documents in our last

  Motion To Compel. We still have not received those. If I could

  get the Commission to direct the Company to provide the

  documents which I believe were the memoranda at some point
  - MR. COOPER: Judge, may I respond?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, please.

today.

MR. COOPER: We began collecting the documents that the Commission ordered us to provide shortly after the agenda session last Thursday. I have not had an opportunity to communicate with the folks in St. Louis to see where they stand with that. I would like to report to you after lunch and we will produce those documents just as quickly as possible.

MR. CLIZER: And I understand that's almost certainly what you are going to rule on, but I just want to remind the Commission that the address that this is addressing goes up tomorrow so keep that in mind. Thank you.

THE COURT: Understood. And I would also state for the record that Counsel for Confluence approached the Presiding officer to state at the beginning of this hearing that they were working on that and would get it to them as soon as possible.

Any other business before we go off the record for lunch? Again, one thirty. One thirty. No questions. We are adjourned and off the record.



1 (Break in the Proceeding	gs.	)
----------------------------	-----	---

2.2

THE COURT: Let's go back on the record. The hour of recess having expired.

This is a continuation of the generate case of Confluence Rivers file No. WR-2023-0006. We are beginning the issue of timesheets. And we are going to start with mini Opening Statements.

Confluence Rivers.

MR. MITTEN: Thank you, your Honor, if it please the Commission.

Since this is the first opportunity I have had to appear in this case I want to introduce myself. I'm Russ Mitten and I have the pleasure to serve as General Counsel of both CSWR and Confluence Rivers.

That said my Opening Statement regarding this issue is going to begin a bit unconventionally because on behalf of both Confluence Rivers and CSWR I need to offer a public apology to both the Commission and the parties in this case.

In two small Staff-assisted rate cases decided in 2020 and 2021 involving two pre merger Missouri affiliates Elms Hills Utility Operating Company and Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company CSWR was ordered to implement a time keeping system to track work conducted on behalf of those two affiliates. CSWR complied with that order by implementing a time keeping system that tracks and records time not just for

those affiliates but for all its affiliates nationwide.

2.2

However, at some point after the time keeping system was implemented seven of CSWR's senior executives stopped recording time into the system. The rationale for that decision is explained in Mr. Cox's pre-filed testimony in this case, and he will be happy to further explain when he takes the stand to testify on this issue.

He also will acknowledge that the unilateral decision of these executives to stop recording time was a mistake for which he will personally offer his apologies.

But, despite that fact insofar as this case is concerned the effects of the company's executives' decision to stop recording time were of minimal consequence in this case at best.

There are several reasons I believe this. Some of those I will describe later in my Opening Statement. But, for now I want to focus on two.

First, the two orders only required CSWR personnel to record time for work done for the two pre merger affiliates.

The order did not apply to CSWR's other Missouri affiliates or for work done on behalf of affiliates outside Missouri.

Second, those two pre merger affiliates account for fewer than seventeen hundred of the almost ten thousand connections Confluence Rivers currently serves in Missouri. So despite what Staff stated in its testimony the lack of timesheet

data from the seven CSWR executives pose no real threat to Staff's ability to prepare its case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's understandable that when dealing with a business structure like the one presented in this case where CSWR performs all executive, managerial, engineering, legal, and other similar functions for its utility affiliates, including Confluence Rivers, regulators want to insure that the portion of CSWR's costs that are directly charged or allocated to Confluence Rivers are both reasonable and appropriate. To aid regulators in that effort CSWR created a cost allocation manual, or CAM as it's sometimes referred to, that prescribes how time spent by CSWR personnel is to be a-portioned to its state utility affiliates. And based on our experience in rate cases in Kentucky, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas regulators appear satisfied that either the three factor formula set out in the CAM or some alternative allocation formula are alone sufficient to properly a portion CSWR's costs for rate making purposes.

I believe those utility commissions reached that conclusion for the same reason. They recognize that because of the dynamic nature of CSWR and its regulated affiliates it would be impossible in the short run to try to determine a normalized level of service by CSWR for any of its state affiliates.

My own experience at CSWR illustrates the wisdom of that conclusion. When I joined the company in 2019 CSWR had fewer than twenty employees and served less than four thousand

customers in two states. When I celebrated my fourth anniversary the month before last, CSWR had more than eighty employees and served almost a hundred and fifty thousand customers in twelve states. And the growth I've witnessed in the past will continue in the future because I'm personally involved in acquisition cases in all but one of the states where our affiliate group currently serves, and in addition our group has filed its first acquisition application in the state of California.

Over the course of my tenure not only has our affiliate group grown at an unbelievable pace which has resulted in ever shrinking allocation factor applicable to Confluence Rivers, but I have both observed and experienced significant changes in the nature and scope of day to day responsibilities of CSWR's executive team. Certainly year to year, if not month to month, those executives are required to devote more and more of their time to the enterprise as a whole and not to each of its individual components.

While as I noted other state utility commissions have recognized the evolving circumstances I just mentioned and have dealt with the operational realities they represent using a formula to allocate CSWR's costs. However, we recognize that at least as -- so far as the Commission Staff is concerned an allocation formula alone isn't enough for Missouri. But, prospectively we believe changes in the time keeping system

Page 99

ordered in the prior two cases I discussed earlier are both justified and warranted.

Over the more than two years the current time keeping system has been in place CSWR has been able to identify its strengths and weaknesses. Based on that experience detailed daily time reports appear to have some value in documenting time spent by many lower level employees whose day to day activities are easily identified to one or more of CSWR's state affiliates. This allows their time to be directly charged to the states receiving the benefits of their efforts.

However, even for those folks time keeping is far from perfect because a portion of their time is devoted to activities that benefit the entire affiliate group, which must ultimately be allocated.

But, daily time keeping is not so easily rationalized for CSWR's senior management team because the scope and focus of their job responsibilities more often than not are enterprise wide rather than on an individual state affiliate basis. That's obviously not true for all their activities because as the Commission has seen several of our senior executives have been in attendance at this rate case hearing since it began and have focused their attentions almost exclusively on Confluence Rivers over that period of time.

But, these sorts of situations are very much the exception and that fact makes it hard to justify the time,



2.2

effort, and expense involved in requiring those senior executives to fill out daily timesheets because even if they were required to do so the largest portion of their time would be charged to the all companies category in our current time keeping system which means it, too, would need to be allocated.

As an alternative to keeping daily timesheets

Confluence Rivers is proposing exception time keeping for all

CSWR employees at the director level and above. As proposed in

this as proposed in this case these senior leaders would create

a time record only for activities directly related to a

particular property or project, like participation in this rate

case. All non- reported hours for these employees would be

presumed to apply to all of the affiliates and would then be

allocated using some sort of allocation formula.

The company's proposal strikes a rational and appropriate balance between the Company -- between the Commission and Staff's need for information and the desire for those executives to be freed from the obligation to fill out daily timesheet. At the very least it's a proposal that ought to be tried.

For all the reasons I just stated Confluence Rivers urges the Commission to adopt the Company's exception time keeping proposal.

Our witnesses on this issue are Josiah Cox, CSWR's President, and Brent Thies, the Company's Vice President and



- controller. Each of whom will be available to answer your questions regarding this issue in just a moment.
- That concludes my Opening statement and I'm available to answer questions.
- 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Are there any 6 Commissioner questions? Mr. Holsman.

2.2

- COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you. So I think -how do you then, so what is the Company's proposal then to
  insure that Missouri rate payers are only compensating for time
  spent on Missouri investments without being burdensome to the
  executives to have to fill out a daily timesheet?
  - MR. MITTEN: With regard to the lower level employees they will continue to fill out timesheets and to the extent they can they will identify Confluence Rivers and direct charge their time to that affiliate.
  - For the senior leadership team whenever they devote time to Confluence Rivers as they're doing with this rate case that would be reported as an exception and that time would be direct charged. But, because probably the majority and in many cases a significant majority of their time is devoted on enterprise wide projects you would then use an allocation factor to apportion that time to all the affiliates, including Confluence Rivers.
  - We think that's the best way to do it. In fact, we think that's the only way you can do it. Because for this



Page 102

1 company what does a normal year look like? What happened last 2 year is going to differ from this year, what happened last month 3 is going to differ from next month. 4 So there really isn't a consistent way that these 5 executives can identify (technical difficulties --6 indiscernible) Kentucky, Mississippi, and Louisiana the 7 Commission can use that methodology or came up with an 8 allocation factor on their own. 9 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Mitten. 10 THE COURT: That takes us to Mrs. Bretz for staff. 11 12 MS. BRETZ: Good afternoon. May it please the 13 My name is Karen Bretz and I'm here on behalf of 14 Commission Staff on the issue of timesheets. 15 What Staff recommends is that Confluence do nothing 16 greater, nothing less, than it already agreed to which is to 17 keep timesheets for all employees. Most employees are keeping timesheets, the lower level 18 19 employees are, and Staff is fine with how that's being done. 20 However, as Mr. Mitten mentioned there are seven executive level employees and these are listed in Mrs. Serber's direct testimony 21

First I would like to go through a little history just briefly.

The parties reached an agreement in a prior Confluence

on Page 17 who are not keeping timesheets.

2.2

2.2

Page 103

rate case, this was Case No. WR-2020-0053. The Commission approved it and ordered all parties to comply with it. And on Pages 2 of 3 of this agreement Mr. Cox agreed to begin tracking time for Confluence activities conducted on behalf of CSWR, LLC.

And during this time as Mr. Cox was acquiring systems and sought Commission approval for these acquisitions he signed agreements stating that all employees would keep timesheets for the amount of time spent on each system. These five acquisitions are listed in Mrs. Serber's surrebuttal testimony on Page 6.

And Mr. Cox readily acknowledges in his rebuttal testimony in this case that Confluence did not follow through on that. But, it's not a matter of Confluence admitting we didn't do what we seed we were supposed to, we promise to do better in the future.

What Confluence suggests moving forward is to apply a general allocator to time spent on Missouri systems. But, for special projects such as I guess this rate case to allocate time on an actual basis and this is what Confluence calls exemption reporting.

Confluence suggests that this apply to executive and director level employees. There are more than seven executive level employees listed in Mrs. -- this would be more than the seven executive level employees listed in Mrs. Serber's direct testimony. To summarize Confluence's request fewer employees

would be keeping timesheets than currently are. Staff finds this problematic.

Staff also finds Confluence's methodology, this exemption reporting, is backwards. The standard practice is for items to be directly charged when possible because this is the most accurate way of charging. And to indirectly charge only if the items cannot be directly charged.

What Confluence suggests is for executive and director level employees to charge their time on Missouri systems using a general allocator except for the special projects which I guess is going to be at Confluence's discretion which at time they would begin directly -- directly charging.

Confluence's reason for this request is that because it's rapidly growing a general allocator is more representative of the time spent on Missouri projects than the actual timesheets. But, the reality is that as Confluence expands not only in Missouri but across the United States to California having the most accurate information is critical to insure that Missouri rate payers are being charged the correct amount. Employee timesheets which produces a direct charge is the most accurate way to track and charge time spent on Missouri projects.

Furthermore, Confluence executives are already keeping calendars, staff received copies of these calendars through a DR request. It would not be a significant additional burden for

Page 105

the executives to use these calendars as a means of filling out their timesheets. That's what we do upstairs. That's not a big deal.

And, lastly, I add that what these seven executives are doing is inconsistent with CSWR's employee handbook. If thank you will indulge I will just briefly read a section of that. This was -- Staff got a copy of this through a DR request.

And this is under the heading personnel -- Personnel administration, Section B, timesheets.

All employees are required to accurately complete and confirm a timesheet in the HRIS system Paycor. Failure to submit a properly executed timesheet may cause a delay in the processing of the payroll check. All hours worked as well as non- worked hours, i.e., PTO, holiday, etc., should be accounted for on the timesheet for that payroll period. Under no circumstances should hours be saved or banked for a later date by not reporting them in the period they were worked.

Mrs. Saver brought up in her testimony that these seven executives are not following the employee handbook and no Confluence witness testified to the contrary. Just as Staff looks to you, the Commission, for direction and leadership CSWR employees look to Mr. Cox and the other executives for leadership. This is not good leadership if most CSWR employees are doing what they're supposed to be doing filling out these

Page 106 1 timesheets but some people, namely the people at the top, are 2 It's only prudent business practice for CSWR to keep 3 timesheets. 4 Staff's recommendation is that all CSWR employees keep 5 When Confluence was before you for their timesheets. 6 acquisitions and to get their rate increases they were happy to 7 say they were going to do that. But, they didn't follow 8 Please hold Confluence to its word. 9 Mrs. Saver is available for any questions you may 10 have. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Are there any questions? 12 Holsman? 13 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you. 14 What do you see as the main difference between an 15 hourly employee and a salaried employee? 16 Well, speaking as a salary employee I MS. BRETZ:

MS. BRETZ: Well, speaking as a salary employee I know that if I work more than eight hours a week I -- I mean eight hours a day that I don't get overtime or anything for that.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commissioner Holsman: Isn't the purpose of a salaried employee to provide the flexibility and the overage in a day because sometimes a salaried employee, especially an executive level employee, could potentially be presented problems that they would have to require their time outside of a traditional workday, outside of an eight to five workday?



1	MS. BRETZ: It provides flexibility, surely.
2	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Do you believe that
3	executives could potentially wake up in the middle of the night
4	and contemplate business decisions at three a.m. and not want to
5	have to necessarily take it down fifteen minute increments for
6	how they're spending their time?
7	MS. BRETZ: Sure. Of course that's true. But,
8	just as we have to account for our time us employees have to
9	account for our time here, so that gets allocated to the
10	different, to the different utilities CSWR should keep their
11	time, too, so that it can be allocated to the different systems.
12	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Would you, would you
13	consider CSWR a private or public company?
14	MS. BRETZ: It's privately owned.
15	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Privately owned. So do
16	you think a privately owned company should be able to set their
17	employees whether they're salaried or hourly?
18	MS. BRETZ: Sure. Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you.
20	MS. BRETZ: And they have. They have set the
21	rules out in the handbook which says that all employees should
22	keep timesheets.
23	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	Any further questions for Ms. Bretz?



Hearing none, thank you.

And, Mr. Clizer.

MR. CLIZER: May it please the Commission.

A lot of what I was going to say before has already been covered and I don't feel like its needing to re-hash this. Honestly when I was sitting there I kind of scrapped my original Opening because as I thought about it I started to think gosh, this such a silly, silly argument that we're dealing with right now.

I mean, let's think about it. There's no dollar disallowance involved here. No money being asked of the company whatsoever. Purely prospectively.

And all Staff is asking is for this company's employees to do what just about every company's employees ask them to do which is keep timesheets, that's what's asked of us at OPC. It's what's asked of your staff. Every single one of them has to keep timesheets. Apparently, though, this is too, too difficult a task which frankly seems a little bit concerning.

I want you to think for a moment. Just imagine that you are going to a lawyer's office to acquire services. Let's say you are going to have a will drafted, right, and you get your will, it's drafted, and the lawyer turns around and he says hey, instead of charging you the number of hours I spent working for you we spent about twenty percent of our time drafting wills



so I'm just going to send you twenty percent, I'm going to

charge you twenty percent of whatever it cost me for that month.

Is that reasonable?

Are you okay with that kind of pricing structure?

Because I wouldn't be. I would want to be charged for the work that was actually spent on me.

And that's exactly what Missouri customers are asking of you right now. Missouri customers want to be charged for the work that Confluence does for Missouri.

Okay. How do we figure out what Confluence does for Missouri? Well, it's pretty simple. We ask hey, how much time did you spend working on Missouri. That's it. That's literally all they have to do is just write down working for Missouri that's it that's literally all they have to do is just write down we spent this much time working on Missouri. So if they come to Missouri for an acquisition they write down Missouri. If they go to Texas for an acquisition they write down Texas.

What happens if they didn't do anything? What if it's just a group meeting that wasn't specific? They write down company wide. It's literally that simple.

All we're asking them to do is something that literally every single one of your staff has to do on a daily basis. It is the most basic thing that you could ask of this company.

So please order them to do so. That's it. Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Questions? Commissioner Hahn.
2	COMMISSIONER HAHN: Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
3	Clizer.
4	Bear with me for just a moment as I kind of walk
5	through something before I ask you a question.
6	In my previous employment experience I worked on
7	multiple issues all in a day, sometimes all in a night or IN a
8	weekend. I was a salaried employee so I didn't get overtime or
9	comp time but that was just part of my responsibilities.
10	And as Commissioner Holsman noted sometimes it plagues
11	your brain and so you think of things in the middle of the
12	night, you know, at three a.m. or two a.m. or you don't sleep
13	that night because you have things on your mind.
14	So in the hypothetical or the OPC position on this of
15	requiring executives to have timesheets how would you account
16	for those hours and that time? How would you propose that Mr.
17	Cox or his executive team account for the time that they spent
18	after hours working on issues that are relevant to their
19	operations in Missouri?
20	MR. CLIZER: I think it's very care we need to
21	be very careful here. We are not asking to compensate them on
22	every hour spent. The reason for the timesheets is to come up
23	with percentages, right. And yes, there is going to be a
24	percentage that it probably goes to all company and that is
25	going to be allocated out individually.

Τ	So to answer your question if they record it on a
2	timesheet as Missouri it would be allocated to Missouri. If
3	they record it as something else it would be allocated somewhere
4	else. And if it's recorded as company wide it would be
5	allocated out according to general allocators.
6	COMMISSIONER HAHN: So if it's after hours and
7	there is a situation that comes up that's Missouri for fifteen
8	minutes at seven o'clock at night how is that allocated?
9	MR. CLIZER: Did they record it on their
10	timesheet?
11	COMMISSIONER HAHN: How would you even record it?
12	How would you
13	MR. CLIZER: By writing it down on the timesheet.
14	COMMISSIONER HAHN: Okay. So you would have a
15	timesheet at your house I'm just trying to figure out how
16	this in practice would work. Do you have a timesheet at your
17	home?
18	MR. CLIZER: No. You would go to the office the
19	next day and say I worked on something for Missouri, so I'm
20	writing that down on my timesheet.
21	COMMISSIONER HAHN: For the following day for the
22	evening hours?
23	MR. CLIZER: I can tell you I have also worked
24	quite a lot of time after hours and I also record that to my
25	timesheet because I come in the next day and I say I spent four



hours after five working on this.

It's not that difficult to write something down on a timesheet.

COMMISSIONER HAHN: And I just want to follow up. One more question.

Imagine that you're on the phone because I imagine they have lots of phone conversations. And one hour of their executive meeting as Mr. Woodsmall mentioned that they have an executive meeting, you know, maybe five minutes of fifty-five minutes was allocated to Missouri for those executives.

So do you think that they should be required to break down the minutes in which they spent on specific Missouri issues during that executive meeting or how would you allocate that time.

MR. CLIZER: I wouldn't personally ask for it as granular on a minute by minute basis. I think an hour by hour basis. And if you're in an hour long meeting that touches on multiple different subjects then you are going to record that entire hour for that meeting as general company. You wouldn't have to break it down on a minute by minute basis. I don't think Staff is asking for that either. I might be wrong. I guess I'll ask Staff in Cross.

COMMISSIONER HAHN: I think how -- I guess then would Staff propose giving them clear guidelines on how to allocate time? Because I think I can think of several scenarios



1 where it might not be clear how to allocate time. 2 Well, let me start off by saying MR. CLIZER: 3 that I'm not Staff so I can't speak on their behalf. 4 But, and I encourage you probably to ask this directly 5 of Mrs. Saver I'm sure that Staff if necessary could provide 6 quidelines to the company assuming that the company's own 7 internal guidelines aren't sufficient. Again, we're talking 8 probably on an hour by hour basis. 9 And to a certain extent, like if you spent fifteen minutes after hours if you want to write that down as company 10 11 wide not. If you don't then it's probably just going to go to 12 the company wide because that's what your default is going to 13 So it's not that difficult. be. 14 Does that answer your question? I don't think I did 15 so let's --16 COMMISSIONER HAHN: It's okay. 17 Can I get clear guidelines? MR. CLIZER: 18 COMMISSIONER HAHN: Yes. I think perhaps I'll 19 ask Staff. 20 MR. CLIZER: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER HAHN: Thank you. 2.2 MR. CLIZER: All right. 23 THE COURT: Commissioner Holsman. 24 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you. 25 So, Ameren operates in Illinois and Missouri. Are

Τ	Ameren executives also required to keep timesheets and turn them
2	in?
3	MR. CLIZER: I want to say yes, but honestly I
4	would not be a hundred percent sure. I would want to double
5	check that. But, to my knowledge the answer is yes but I would
6	feel more comfortable if I could double check. But I'm almost
7	certain the answer is yes.
8	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. The same with
9	Evergy and all of the utility companies that their executives
10	have to turn in timesheets?
11	MR. CLIZER: I know for a fact Spire for example
12	because I've seen the timesheets and I believe I've seen
13	Evergy's.
14	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. Would you think
15	is there, is there a difference between an investor owned
16	utility and a private entity? I mean is there a material
17	difference in those two in your mind?
18	MR. CLIZER: Do you mean specifically with regard
19	to the timesheet issue? Because there is clearly a material
20	difference between being regulated and not regulated. I mean
21	that's the obvious difference. But, I think you meant something
22	more specific to timesheets is that what you're going for?
23	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: I'm going I'm looking
24	at it from your perspective as saying we as a government entity
25	do this so they should have to do it, too. Even though they're



1	a private entity.
2	MR. CLIZER: Let's think about the logic, though.
3	Why does your staff keep timesheets?
4	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Well, I assume and it
5	depends I think that we have such a variety of staff, like
6	some will be on salary and some will be an hourly employee. If
7	you're an hourly employee clearly you're keeping track of your
8	hours worked.
9	MR. CLIZER: No. The reason that your staff
10	keeps timesheets is because that's the mechanism that's used to
11	assign the Commission's appraisal its not appraisal, it's
12	costs assessment. Thank you, Fe.
13	It's literally the exact same thing that's happening
14	in reverse. So every utility has to pay a certain amount based
15	on what the commission does for each utilities. So it's
16	literally the exact same thing we're asking of Confluence.
17	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: But, do you so what's
18	the enforcement mechanism if they turn in a timesheet and they
19	miss an hour or they were, you know, estimating? What's the
20	consequence or how is that going to be tracked or enforced or
21	oversighted?
22	MR. CLIZER: That would be part of the general
23	auditing process that your staff would perform if they received
24	the timesheets. If there were questions they would ask

questions. If they felt like there was a problem they would try

	Page 116
1	to address it. If there wasn't a problem or they couldn't find
2	it then there wouldn't be anything.
3	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: So if you were spending
4	half an hour at three a.m. on an idea and you said you were
5	there for an hour, you know, how are you going to justify the
6	difference between that half hour and that hour whether it was
7	enforced or not?
8	MR. CLIZER: Staff is going to perform an audit
9	of the timesheets that they receive to try and determine what
10	percentage of time was spent for each
11	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: How are they going to
12	know? I mean it's three in the morning. This is why you're a
13	salaried employee. This is why as an executive you don't have
14	regular business operating hours. So how is someone going to do
15	going to know if it's enforceable or not, rather someone claimed
16	that they were working at three a.m. or not?
17	MR. CLIZER: They're going to rely on the company
18	to be honest.
19	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. So in that same
20	token why wouldn't an allocation system that's being proposed be
21	relied on to be honest without having the burdensome of tracking
22	time that is that appears to be difficult to enforce?
23	MR. CLIZER: Because it's better than the
24	alternative which is to say allow the company to have all of its

executives working on outside of Missouri things. For example,

1 expanding operations in Louisiana, Texas, Mississippi, etc. 2 But, then not charging or having Missouri pay for that, which is 3 what will happen under a general allocator because it doesn't 4 matter how much time they spend in Missouri or otherwise with a 5 general allocator. Missouri pays about eight percent no matter 6 what. And unless you have something that says hey, this is how 7 much time we spend in Missouri --8 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Is it possible that then 9 Missouri could get a better return on the time that that 10 executive might spend in this state that they're not paying for? 11 Is that possible? 12 MR. CLIZER: If you want to believe that somehow 13 Confluence --14 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: I'm just asking if it's 15 possible. 16 If Confluence were to spend more MR. CLIZER: 17 time in Missouri than its other states, the ten other states somehow yes, that could be possible. 18 19 COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Okay. Thank you. Thank 20 you, Judge. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. No other questions? 2.2 Thank you, Mr. Clizer. 23 Confluence, please call your first witness. 24 MR. MITTEN: I'm going to call Josiah Cox. 25 That was the order that I had was Mr. THE COURT:

Page 118

1	Thies first.
2	MR. MITTEN: Mr. Thies first then, excuse me.
3	THE COURT: And Mr. Thies, welcome back. Just a
4	reminder you are still under oath. Please go ahead and have a
5	seat.
6	
7	BRETT THIES,
8	called as a witness, upon first being previously sworn, was
9	examined and testified as follows:
10	
11	THE COURT: Mr. Mitten, your witness.
12	MR. MITTEN: Your Honor, I believe Mr. Thies'
13	pre-filed testimony has already been received into evidence and
14	I'm he's available for Cross-examination.
15	THE COURT: Mrs. Bretz.
16	MS. BRETZ: Okay. Thank you.
17	
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MRS. BRETZ:
20	Q. Good afternoon. How are you?
21	A. Doing well, thank you.
22	Q. Do you recall a unanimous agreement that I think
23	settled most of the rate case in WR-2020-0053?
24	A. Can you be can you give me an explanation of what
25	that docket number was?



- Q. This was a prior rate case, WR-2020-0053, a great deal of the case was, if not all of it was settled by a unanimous agreement.
  - A. Okay. I'm not sure whether that was the one that was kind of the Legacy Confluence systems or it would have been under the name of Elm Hills Operating Company.
    - Q. It says Confluence Rivers Operating Company.
  - A. Yes. I'm familiar with that. Thank you. Thank you.
  - Q. You've seen this agreement before?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. In this document Confluence agreed to do 12 certain things. Right?
- 13 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

- 14 Q. On the bottom of Page 2 I've highlighted some?
- 15 A. Yes. I see that.
- 16 Q. I know it's a little long, but could you please read 17 that?
- 18 A. Continuing onto the next page?
- 19 O. Yes.
  - A. Okay. Yeah. Within ninety days of the effective date of an order approving this disposition agreement the company shall begin tracking all work conducted on its behalf by CSWR, LLC in the form of a time record. This time record will include a description of the job performed, length of time to complete, name, title of the employee who conducted the work, and tracked



- by each system. The time record information shall be maintained in sufficient detail to capture the amount of time each employee spends on operation and maintenance activities as opposed to construction activities. The company also agrees that detailed timesheets will be maintained for any future employees

  Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Incorporated may retain. The Company agrees to provide proof of implementing the recommendations to the Manager of the Commission's auditing
- 10 Q. Did Confluence do any of these things?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

department.

- A. So it's a little confusing because Confluence has never had its own employees. But, CSWR has a time tracking system, yes.
  - Q. So did CSWR do any of these things?
  - A. We began tracking work conducted, yes. I'm not sure about -- I don't know what the form of the proof required to the Manager of the Commission's auditing department was so I can't speak to that.
- Q. Okay. But, CSWR began tracking time but not for all employees. Correct?
- A. Clearly there is a group of employees that we are not tracking time most recently, yes.
- Q. And why wasn't the time tracked for them?
- A. So I think Mr. Cox will speak to the why. I think
  there is an executive decision about that that, you know, he is



- 1 | more familiar with than me.
  - Q. Did you track your time?
- A. I did up through approximately the end of the

  company's test year, not the staff's -- or not the test year the

  end of January thirty-first, but the test year we proposed that

  ended June thirtieth.
- 7 Q. June 30th of?
- 8 A. 2022.

9

20

- Q. 2. So why did you discontinue tracking time?
- 10 A. Honestly I kept it up and, you know, based on kind of
  11 the rate case schedule and then got behind and failed to catch
  12 up.
- Q. You just got too busy on things?
- 14 A. Yeah. I mean that would be the explanation, yes.
- Q. Would you agree that the items in this agreement are basically promises that Confluence agreed to keep?
- 17 A. You could describe them as such, yes.
- Q. When did you begin working for CSWR?
- 19 A. I began working for the company in 2017.
  - Q. Okay. So you're familiar with the agreement -- you're familiar with the Indian Hills case?
- A. I am more of the -- I was very new, so I certainly wasn't part of any negotiation or any settlement of that case but I am familiar with it at a certain level.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a copy of the partial



- 1 disposition agreement in that case.
- 2 A. Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So on the second page of that I again highlighted a paragraph. Can you please read that?
  - A. I can. Within ninety days of the effective date of an order setting new rates for Indian Hills the Company shall develop improved timesheets for employee hours spent working on each system. This timesheet shall provide a description of the job performed, number of hours spent and break out the hours by system.
    - Q. So did CSWR employees follow this?
- 12 A. At the time we were using Microsoft Excel for 13 timesheets so I -- again, I was new.
  - MR. MITTEN: Your Honor, could I get some clarification? The document that Mrs. Bretz just handed the witness, the word "company" is defined as Indian Hills Utility Operating Company. I don't see anything in this agreement that obligates CSWR to do anything.
  - MS. BRETZ: The document is signed by Josiah Cox.

    I can just wait and ask Josiah Cox the same question since it's his signature on the document.
- 22 THE COURT: That sounds like problem solved.
- Q. (By Ms. Bretz) Are you familiar with the rate case in WR-2020-0053?
  - A. Would that be for Elm Hills?



- Q. No. Confluence Hills Utility Operating Company.
- A. Yes. I believe that was the -- yeah. I have some documents from that right here.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you the unanimous agreement in that one.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 MS. BRETZ: (Indiscernible.)
- 8 THE COURT: Mrs. Bretz, did you just hand out
- 9 | 0053?
- 10 MS. BRETZ: Yes. Mr. Mitten just brought that
- 11 | up.

- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. BRETZ: I guess I need to talk to my
- 14 | secretary.
- Q. (By Ms. Bretz) So you have before you the Unanimous
- 16 | Agreement regarding disposition of small utility company revenue
- 17 increase request, Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company.
- 18 | Right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So at the bottom of the second page I
- 21 | highlighted some -- wait, we did -- did just do that. Okay.
- 22 | I'm sorry. Let me -- let's scratch that.
- Well, anyway, Mr. Thies, thanks for your patience with
- 24 my disorganization.
- 25 So we can agree that Confluence Hills did not follow

- what it said it would do in its last rate case?
- A. Indian Hills, are you talking about the one that's highlighted in green?
- Q. No. In the last rate case -- the rate case
  WR-2020-0053.
  - A. As Mr. Woodsmall -- I'm sorry Mr. Mitten described most employees have kept but there are certainly some employees who have not kept timesheets properly.
  - Q. And you are aware that your employee handbook says that you are supposed to keep timesheets?
- 11 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you agreed in this rate case that you would keep timesheets?
- 14 A. Our company did, yes.
  - Q. So there has been promises made in this hearing already specifically having to do with customer relations and customer -- customer service. It's clear from these documents that Confluence did not keep the promises it said that it would keep so how are we -- how can we believe you that you are going to keep the promises regarding customer service?
  - A. I mean I think promise singular, not promises. I mean this is the only one that's before us as a broken promise so far. So, you know, certainly we're willing to stand before the Commission and own that and so, you know, never say never in terms of like, you know, missing something or something going a



1 little sideways in the future but certainly we're talking about 2 one promise here. 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. 4 MS. BRETZ: I don't have anything else. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Clizer. 6 I have no questions. MR. CLIZER: Thank you. 7 Any Commissioner questions for Mr. THE COURT: 8 Thies? The bench has no questions. 9 I believe that takes us to recross -- no. Redirect. 10 MR. MITTEN: Redirect, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. MITTEN: Mr. Thies, just a couple of questions. Do you still 15 0. 16 have a copy of the document Mrs. Bretz handed you which is the 17 unanimous agreement which was reached in case No. WR-2020-0053? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Now, she directed your attention to Pages 2 and 3. 20 Let me first direct your attention to Page 1. Confluence Rivers 21 Utility Operating, Inc. is defined as "Company" in that 22 agreement. 23 Is that correct? 24 Α. Correct.

So let's turn to Page 2 if you will. And the language

25

Q.

- 1 Mrs. Bretz had you focus on it says within ninety days of the
- 2 | effective date of an order approving this disposition agreement
- 3 | the company -- Confluence Rivers Operating Company -- shall
- 4 | begin tracking all work conducted on its behalf by CSWR, LLC.
- Is that what it says?
- 6 A. Yes.

8

- Q. Do you see anything in this agreement that obligates either CSWR or Confluence Rivers to track time for any other affiliated company?
- 10 A. Other than Confluence Rivers itself?
- 11 | O. Yes.
- 12 A. No. I don't.
- Q. And by Confluence Rivers this was the pre merger
- 14 | Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company. Correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Let me -- do you still have the document that Mrs.
- 17 Bretz gave you with regard to WR-2017-0259?
- 18 A. I do.
- Q. Again could you turn to the first page of that agreement? How is the word "company" defined in the first line?
- A. Indian Hills Utility Operating Company in parenthesis company as defined as Indian Hills.
- Q. Could you turn to the second page that she talked to you about?
- 25 A. Yes.



1	Q. Within ninety days of the effective date of the order
2	setting new rates for Indian Hills, the company again, Indian
3	Hills Utility Operating Company shall develop improved
4	timesheets for employee hours spent on each system.
5	That's what it says.
6	Is that correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you see any obligation in that agreement for CSWR
9	to do anything?
10	A. I do not.
11	Q. And do you see anything in that agreement that
12	obligates Indian Hills to keep time for any entity other than
13	itself?
14	A. Not down in this section, no.
15	MR. MITTEN: I don't have any further questions.
16	Thank you, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. And thank you, Mr.
18	Thies. You are excused.
19	Confluence, go ahead and call your next witness.
20	MR. MITTEN: Josiah Cox.
21	
22	JOSIAH COX,
23	called as a witness, upon first being previously sworn, was
24	examined and testified as follows:
25	THE COURT: As Mr. Cox makes his way to the stand



Page 128

- 1 | I'll just remind him and everyone listening he has already been
- 2 | sworn in so he will be seated and go ahead and start his
- 3 examination then.
- 4 MR. MITTEN: Your Honor, since as you mentioned
- 5 his testimony has already been received he is available for
- 6 cross-examination.
- 7 THE COURT: Mrs. Bretz.

8

9

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 10 BY MS. BRETZ:
- 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Cox.
- 12 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. I'm going to show you the agreement between Hillcrest
- 14 Utility Operating Company and Staff for the acquisition of
- 15 | Hillcrest. It bears your -- well, it bears Mr. Cooper's
- 16 | signature on your behalf. Could you please turn to the third
- 17 page.
- 18 A. I'm there.
- 19 Q. And in the paragraph that I highlighted you promised
- 20 | that Hillcrest Utility Operating Company would keep timesheets
- 21 | for employees, all employees?
- 22 A. I see where the company would develop and utilize
- 23 | timesheets, yes.
- Q. Is there anyone exempt from that?
- 25 A. Not that I see.



- Q. So all employees is all employees. Right?
- A. I believe that's what it says, correct.
- Q. Was that done?

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- 4 A. I do not know.
- Q. I'm going to show you the agreement for Raccoon Creek, this also bears your signature, the agreement for the acquisition.
- I turn your attention to the top of the third page.

  Again it says that all employees would keep timesheets.
- 10 A. I see it.
- 11 Q. Was that done?
- 12 A. I do not know.
- Q. How could you not know?

  You are the executive of the company. Right?
  - A. Because I just don't remember. It's been quite a few cases ago, it's almost ten years ago.
  - I know we'd started to set up timesheets. We've been working on this all the way through the history of the company. You know, eighty-one of my eighty-eight employees have kept detailed timesheets so we've been trying diligently but have not as we stated at the first the executives have not done a good job of doing this since it's become onerous in Missouri only activity.
- Q. You may up there the agreement for Indian Hills. It's a 2017 case.



- Do you have that before you?
- 2 A. I do not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

Q. I think we have it here, yeah. If you turn to the second page, again the company agreed to keep timesheets.

Do you recall if any were kept for that?

- A. I don't recall. But, I do see it.
- Q. Moving more up to current times here is the agreement for Elm Hills. Can you turn to the -- if you turn to the third page you see again there is an agreement to keep timesheets?
- 10 A. I do.
- Q. And you're aware in the WR-2020-0053 rate case that
  Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company agreed to keep
  timesheets?
  - A. Is that this case number? I apologize. You said -- you quoted a number that wasn't on top so that's the only thing.
- 16 Q. WR-2020-0053.
- 17 A. That's not what I have in front of me. This is Elm
  18 Hills.
- 19 Q. Right. I gave my copy to Mr. Thies.
- 20 A. Oh.
- Q. But, you recall the testimony on that? That Mr. Thies agreed that there was a part of that agreement where Confluence agreed to keep timesheets?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Thies testified that people -- well, he said that

- 1 he kept timesheets until about June of 2022.
  - A. I heard that. Correct.
- Q. How long -- or how long has it been since you've kept a timesheet?
- 5 A. I do not remember.
- Q. You do not know when you stopped filling out timesheets?
- 8 A. I do not.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. So you heard me ask Mr. Thies this question. I'll ask it to you, too.
  - Already in the course of this rate case Confluence has made promises specifically having to do with customer service. Confluence didn't keep promises it made in its prior rate case and certainly didn't -- you didn't keep promises that you made in the acquisitions.
  - How can we believe it when you make promises now in this rate case?
- A. I would quibble with that. I would say that we're ninety-two percent accurate on keeping timesheets. Only seven employees have not done it. I would say that each one of these orders were a moment in time. These customers represent one one-hundred fiftieth of the entire customer base we serve across the country.
  - I would say that the time keeping function alone since Missouri is the only state that requires it if you allocated the



1	timesheet time keeping function would go over the percentage
2	we're actually allocating towards the State of Missouri.
3	So I think we've done a very good job of keeping our
4	promises, especially when it comes to customer service and when
5	it comes to service safe, reliable service. Unfortunately,
6	we fell short on this and that's why we're proposing another
7	methodology so we don't fall short going further.
8	MS. BRETZ: I don't have anything else.
9	Judge, I would ask to admit these exhibits and I'm not
10	sure where we are on numbering.
11	Mr. Clizer reminded me that the agreement in the 2020
12	rate case has already been admitted as an OPC exhibit. I would
13	like to enter the other the agreements or we could take
14	notice of them.
15	MR. MITTEN: I think there were two of them that
16	have already been received into evidence, Judge, the Confluence
17	Rivers case WR-2020-0053 and the Elm Hills case, case No.
18	WR-2020-0275.
19	MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, I can confirm the
20	unanimous agreement regarding disposition of small utility
21	company revenue increase request from MO PSC. file No.
22	WR-2022-0053 is OPC Exhibit 220 which has been offered and
23	accepted and the Non-Unanimous Agreement regarding
24	disposition I'm sorry, of utility small utility company

revenue increase request from MO PSC. WR-2020-0275 has been

Page 133

1 offered and admitted ad OPC Exhibit 219. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. The two that I have 3 remaining are for WR-2016-0064 Hillcrest and SR-2016-0202 for 4 Raccoon Creek. 5 Counsel, is that correct? Because these aren't my 6 exhibits? 7 MS. BRETZ: Sure. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 Okay. What numbers are they going to MS. BRETZ: 10 be? 11 I'll look on your list. THE COURT: 12 They're not on the list. We'll file MS. BRETZ: 13 them late. 14 THE COURT: I'll look on your list for the next 15 numbers. 16 MS. BRETZ: Okay. 17 THE COURT: 134 and 135, Hillcrest will be 134, 18 Raccoon Creek will be 135. I would like to correct Staff's assertion that 19 20 SR-2016-0202 represents an acquisition case. It does not. This 21 is a rate case. The acquisition case was prior to that. 22 MS. BRETZ: Thank you. Where are we. 23 MR. CLIZER: Where are we at? 24 THE COURT: Commissioner questions. Oh. No. 25 Mr. Clizer, questions?



Page 134

1	Page 134 MR. CLIZER: It doesn't matter. I have no
2	questions.
3	THE COURT: Commissioner questions.
4	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you.
5	
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN:
8	Q. I want to clear up a couple of things. One, you said
9	no other state requires timesheets.
10	Is that no other state requires timesheets of anyone
11	in your organization or just executives?
12	A. No timesheets for any employees.
13	Q. So how do those states make sure that their rate
14	payers aren't being billed for time you're being spent in
15	Missouri?
16	A. They use a corporate allocation method. It's I
17	mean it's essentially an addition division by the number of
18	customers across the country whereas Missouri is only paying for
19	the number of customers they have compared to other states.
20	Q. As a Missouri rate payer I would want to be assured
21	that I'm not paying for time that you are spending in Texas.
22	Earlier in this proceeding you said you do more business in
23	Texas because of the climate or other states because of the
24	incentives.

How is your company going to ensure the Missouri rate

payer that they are not being billed for time that you are spending in these other states?

- A. Yeah. I answered that two ways. First of all I would talk about the history of this, you know. Originally the first case that was mentioned earlier, Hillcrest, a hundred percent of the time was dedicated to Missouri. Currently less than eight percent is dedicated to Missouri. And I would say just the general rule of thumb from our perspective Missouri takes more compliance work than any other state in the country so if we weren't using the corporate allocation method, in fact if we were recording time for recording time, it would be more to the State of Missouri than it would be to any other state in the country.
- Q. So the question I asked of the OPC is it possible that under an allocation where only eight percent is being billed to Missouri that Missouri rate payers could be receiving more of your company's time than what that eight percent represents?
- A. I believe very much so that Missouri is receiving more of the time than the other states on a per capita basis.
- Q. Have you heard from any of your employees that are dissatisfied because executives are not keeping timesheets and they're required to?
  - A. No.

- COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Thank you, Judge.
- THE COURT: Any other Commissioner questions?



	Transcript of Proceedings August 14, 2023
1	Page 136 The bench has just one. I want to this is going to
2	be a little bit of a change of a topic.
3	
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY THE COURT:
6	Q. Page 2 of your direct testimony you don't have to
7	look it up you said starting in 2008 you took over operations
8	of an existing rural sewer district. The next sentence is what
9	I'm curious about. I still act as the administrator of this
10	system. What system is this?
11	A. Oh, that should have been that's a mistake. That
12	ceased two years ago so I apologize. That's a remnant. I've
13	not seen that.
14	Q. Not a problem. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: That will take us to recross. Mrs.
16	Bretz.
17	Mr. Clizer.
18	MR. CLIZER: No questions.
19	THE COURT: Redirect.
20	MR. MITTEN: Just briefly, your Honor.
21	
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. MITTEN:
24	Q. Do you recall Commissioner Holsman's question about

25

Do you recall Commissioner Holsman's question about Q. how you would charge your time if you were spending time in



1 Texas working on matters directly related to that state? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Under the exception time reporting that we're 4 proposing in this case how would that time be recorded? 5 I would say I spent -- I spent so much of a percentage Α. 6 of my time that's over and above normal allocation in Texas. 7 Thank you. That's all. MR. MITTEN: 8 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cox. You are excused. 9 I am going to take a break now before we begin with Ms. Saver. We are just over an hour in and instead of everyone 10 needing to take a break in the middle of Ms. Serber's testimony 11 12 let's stop now. We'll come back I've got 2:36. Let's make it 13 2:45. Just under ten minutes. 14 (Whereupon, recess was taken.) 15 THE COURT: The time for recess having expired we 16 are set to take up the testimony of Staff witness Saver. 17 Before we do that I have two quick announcements, two 18 quick items to take care of. 19 Yesterday OPC witness John Riley testified and I 20 recall specifically that we discussed his pre filed testimony 21 because there was a remark made that it was the only testimony 2.2 he filed was surrebuttal. 23 However, off the top of my head I cannot recall and I 24 did not write it down if we took in his pre filed testimony.

This is Exhibit 203. I do not expect any opposition, but I'll

1	Page 138 give counsel a few minutes to look through that while I make my
2	other announcement.
3	Advanced meter infrastructure investments: This is an
4	issue for tomorrow. The parties had indicated that they were
5	prepared to waive Cross-examination.
6	I would like to state that the Commission has a
7	question and I would like to state that question so then either
8	the parties can come up with some way to get that answer into
9	the record and continue with the waiver or we'll proceed and
10	question the witness.
11	The Commission's question is, this is on AMI, an issue
12	for tomorrow. When were the AMI type meters installed at
13	Hillcrest and Indian Hills respectively? Specifically the
14	Commission is looking to find if those were installed before or
15	after the acquisition.
16	MR. MITTEN: Your Honor, our witness on that is
17	Todd Thomas. He is here. He will be able to provide that
18	answer to you if you want to take that up today.
19	THE COURT: No, tomorrow. But, thank you.
20	MR. MITTEN: Okay.
21	MR. CLIZER: Your Honor, I think that we should
22	try and keep tomorrow let's try and keep that issue off
23	tomorrow so I think that the parties can convene and see if we

tomorrow so I think that the parties can convene and see if we can't get you a way to answer that without having to call witnesses, that way we don't have to worry about taking up even



24

Page 139

1	more time.
2	THE COURT: That is my sincere hope, the magic of
3	attorneys will make the issue go away.
4	Let's move back to today Mr. Riley's testimony which
5	is actually from yesterday, Exhibit 203. Go ahead.
6	MR. CLIZER: I can't recall whether or not I
7	offered it, I thought I did, but for the sake of the record I
8	offer the surrebuttal testimony of John S. Riley, Exhibit 203.
9	THE COURT: You heard the motion by counsel. Any
LO	objection to the exhibit being admitted? No objections. It is
L1	so admitted. Again that is Exhibit 203. Thank you.
L2	Let's proceed now with Mrs. Saver. Thank you for your
L3	patience. A quick reminder to our listeners Mrs. Saver is still
L4	under oath and she is seated and it is Staff's witness. Go
L5	ahead.
L6	
L7	ASHLEY SAVER,
L8	called as a witness, upon first being previously sworn, was
L9	examined and testified as follows:
20	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. BRETZ:
23	Q. You previously testified?
24	A. Yes.
2.5	O. And your testimony was previously entered as



- 1 Exhibits --
- THE COURT: Counsel, can you also -- speak
- 3 | into your mic? Thanks.
  - Q. (By Ms. Bretz) -- Exhibit 107 and 131?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MS. BRETZ: I have nothing else, your Honor.
- 7 | Tender Mrs. Saver for cross-examination.
- 8 THE COURT: Mr. Clizer.

10

23

24

25

4

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 11 BY MR. CLIZER:
- Q. Good afternoon, Mrs. Saver. Were you here earlier for the Opening Arguments?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. So I've got asked a couple of really good questions
  and frankly I probably am not the best person to answer them
  especially since I didn't actually make the recommendation. So
  I would like to turn around and offer those questions to you so
  that the Commission can hear from the person who actually made
  the recommendation.
- So let's start with the level of granularity. What level of granularity is Staff proposing for timesheets?
  - A. Just the same that all the employees, how they keep their time that's what we want the executives and directors to keep theirs. We're not here to micromanage them on their time.



- What level of granularity is that for the record? 0. Is that an hourly, minute by minute, fifteen by fifteen increment? What are we talking about?
  - Right now the current employees do fifteen minute. Α.
  - And you said that that's -- okay. 0.

What happens if an executive has an idea at two in the morning and jots it down on a piece of paper? How would you expect that to be reported?

- Just sometime before they submit the timesheet they Α. need to write it down and record it somewhere within the timesheet so they can just record that time.
- So the next day? Q.
  - The next day will be fine. Α. Yes.
- 14 Trying to think through all the questions that were 0. posed to me and I'll probably just leave it all for the 15 16 Commissioners to ask those questions again themselves.

You have previously seen timesheets submitted by CSWR's executive group. Correct?

19 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

- And that includes, for example, Mr. Josiah Cox? 0.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 2.2 0. So he has previously in the past been able to provide 23 you with timesheets?
- 24 Α. In the past, yes.
- 25 And you have to keep a timesheet yourself. Correct? Q.

1 A. Correct.
2 O. Is your

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is your timesheet broken down by utility type?
- A. By the case I'm working on so yes, utility type.
- Q. So you report your timesheet by the case that you're working on?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that breakdown is used to establish an assessment that the PSC. levees against utilities.

Is that correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And that's because the PSC assessment fees are contingent upon the amount of hours worked by utility. Right?
- 13 A. Correct.
  - Q. So stated differently electric utilities pay more in assessment fees because there are more PSC employee hours devoted to electric utilities than say a manufactured housing?
- 17 A. Correct.
  - Q. Would you agree with me that the cost causation principle means establishment of a causal -- causal link between a specific customer class, how that class uses the utility system and the cost incurred by the water utility for the provision of service does maintaining a -- would you agree with me that's a fair definition of the cost causation principle?

    I can read it again.
    - A. Yeah. Say it again.



1	Q.	All right. Would you agree with me that the causation
2	principal	means establishment of a causal link between a
3	specific	customer class, how the class uses the utility system
4	and the co	ost incurred by the utility provided service?
5	A.	There is a cost relation between all those. So yes.
6	Q.	And does maintaining a proper timesheet help ensure
7	that cost	causation ties between the employees and the system
8	that cause	
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	costs to be incurred?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	Would you agree with me that a failure to keep
13	timesheets would undermine this basic regulatory principle?	
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	And also I would assume creates much of a challenge
16	for auditors?	
17	A.	Yes. It does.
18	Q.	So you had a significant challenge in working this
19	case because of the lack of timesheets.	
20		Is that correct?
21	A.	It was just harder for us to allocate the cost to the
22	appropriate system without timesheets.	
23	Q.	Now, you're familiar with Confluence Rivers' business
24	model in general. Right?	



Α.

Yes.

- Q. And you would agree with me that they set out and embarked on a rapid expansion program. Is that right?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. In fact, Mr. Cox's testimony I believe suggests that that rate of rapid expansion is one of the reasons they're not performing the timesheet function. Is that right?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Based on that rapid expansion isn't it highly likely that they are continuing to do far more work outside of the state than inside of the state related to the acquisitions across the ten other states they currently serve?
- A. We don't know that since we don't have timesheets or we don't know the time spent on that.
- Q. You would agree with me that it is quite possible, though, that the company is actually spending far more time in other states than it is in Missouri given its rate of rapid expansion in other states?
  - A. Yes, that's possible.
- Q. So right quite now Missouri customers could effectively be paying for the work that Confluence is doing to acquire systems in other states?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the only reason that we would really be able to know that for certain is if we have some kind of mechanism to know exactly what Confluence is spending its time on?

1	A. Yes.			
2	Q. And the best mechanism to have for doing that would be			
3	the timesheets. Correct?			
4	A. Correct.			
5	Q. All right.			
6	MR. CLIZER: I think that's it for me. Thank you			
7	very much.			
8	THE COURT: Thank you. And Confluence Rivers.			
9				
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION			
11	BY MR. MITTEN:			
12	Q. Just one question, Mrs. Saver. Do you agree that over			
13	the last four years the percentage of costs allocated to			
14	Missouri has gone down?			
15	A. Based on the work papers and based on the corporate			
16	allocation that they have provided I believe that the same is			
17	true.			
18	MR. MITTEN: Thank you.			
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Are there any			
20	Commissioner questions? Commissioner Hahn.			
21				
22	EXAMINATION			
23	BY COMMISSIONER HAHN:			
24	Q. Thank you, Mrs. Saver. Earlier I posed a question to			
25	Mr. Clizer and perhaps I should instead direct it to you.			

	Transcript of Proceedings August 14, 20:
1	Page 146 In a scenario where an executive is spending going
2	back and forth between subjects perhaps in an hour long
3	conversation maybe five minutes or ten minutes of that time was
4	devoted to Missouri how would you in your mind have them
5	allocate that time or would you give them specific instructions
6	on how to properly record their time?
7	A. Well, we're not here to micromanage them. If they
8	feel like they spent it on all utilities, all the states, then
9	they could just write that down. Their timesheet right now
10	allows for all utilities so they could just mark it down as that
11	if it's an hour long meeting and they talk about everybody.
12	Q. Thank you. Just to follow up are you familiar with
13	the Commission structure here at the Missouri Public Service
14	Commission, the organizational structure?

15 Α. Yes.

16

17

19

21

22

- And so would you agree that then all Staff keep timesheets?
- All staff, yeah. 18 Α.
  - What about Commissioners? Q.
- I'm not sure about Commissioners. 20 Α.
  - Would you agree that Commissioners don't keep Q. timesheets here?
- 23 I have no idea. Α.
- 24 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- The bench has no 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1	questions.
2	We'll go to recross. Mr. Clizer.
3	MR. CLIZER: No questions.
4	THE COURT: Confluence.
5	MR. MITTEN: No questions.
6	THE COURT: And Redirect.
7	MS. BRETZ: Just a few brief questions. Thank
8	you.
9	
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. BRETZ:
12	Q. Do you think it would be an undue burden on the
13	Confluence for all Confluences' employees to keep timesheets?
14	A. It's not a burden to the employees.
15	Q. You told Mr. Clizer that you keep your own timesheet?
16	A. I do, yes.
17	Q. Can you estimate per week how long it takes you to
18	fill out the timesheet?
19	A. Not very long. I mean probably two minutes or less.
20	Q. Would you anticipate that it would take more than a
21	couple of minutes for Confluence execs to fill out timesheets on
22	a weekly basis?
23	A. I wouldn't think. Around that.
24	Q. Okay. You said after Commissioner Hahn asked you a
25	question about how the Commissioners whether Commissioners



filled out timesheets are you aware that the Commissioners' time 1 2 is based on the allocation from the judges? 3 Α. It could be. 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Mrs. Saver. You're 6 excused. 7 That is going to take us to the end of issues for 8 Monday, the fourteenth. Before I dismiss everybody 9 let's take a few minutes to level set. Yes, Mr. Clizer. 10 MR. CLIZER: From your topic earlier my understanding is that the only issue that the Commission has with regard to 11 12 the AMI meter issue is to determine when AMI meters were 13 installed in the Hillcrest and Indian Hills system. 14 Is that accurate? THE COURT: I wouldn't have said that's the 15 16 Commission's issue. 17 MR. CLIZER: Not the issue, the question. 18 apologize. 19 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. I do not anticipate any 20 other Commissioner questions and I understand that the parties 21 have waived their own cross-examination which means if the 2.2 Commissioners and the bench also do not have any then the issue 23 is submitted on the pre filed testimony and the briefs. 24 What I'm trying to do is get the information that was

requested of me from you onto the record which could be by a

25

1 joint stipulation. 2 MR. CLIZER: I was going to say a joint 3 stipulation of facts. 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. CLIZER: Would it be possible for the 6 Commission to write out its questions so we make sure we get it 7 answered exactly? And if not I'll just take what you've said 8 and then try my best to answer it. 9 THE COURT: Yes. I'll put it into writing this 10 afternoon. 11 MR. CLIZER: And email to parties. 12 THE COURT: Do you want an email or an order or a 13 notice, something? Something in EFIS. 14 An email is fine with the company, MR. MITTEN: 15 your Honor. Email is done. 16 MR. CLIZER: 17 Okay. The issues I have on schedule THE COURT: for tomorrow, Tuesday, August fifteenth, cost of capital, 18 19 advance the metering infrastructure which we just discussed, and 2.0 the water estimation, is that what we want to call it? We'll 21 call it something else tomorrow. Those three. 2.2 And just to let everyone listening know with the 23 estimate of the water usage one of those witnesses is going to 24 be available at twelve-thirty so we may be taking an adjusted

lunch, almost certainly we will be taking a different time

25

Page 150

1	period for lunch tomorrow.
2	Mr. Woodsmall.
3	MR. WOODSMALL: Yeah. Just to be really clear
4	he's available from twelve-thirty on, so you don't have to
5	schedule lunch around him if lunch falls at one, whatever. We
6	can accommodate him.
7	THE COURT: Understood. Thank you. Are there
8	any other issues before we adjourn for the day? Excellent.
9	We're off the record and adjourned. Thank you all.
10	* * * *
11	(Conclusion of Proceedings.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



certify that I was authorized to transcribe the audio and video

recorded proceedings herein and the foregoing transcript is a

true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability.

or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a

relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel

connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in

## CERTIFICATE

I, Paula D. Hefner, Certified Court Reporter No. 219,

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

the action.

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Paula Deliner

C.C.R.

Paula D. Hefner

Certified Court Reporter No. 219

0	<b>2017</b> 42:14 121:19 129:25	5	73:19 accommodate
<b>0053</b> 123:9 <b>0066</b> 74:6	<b>2019</b> 49:15 97:24 <b>2020</b> 95:19 132:11 <b>2021</b> 95:20	<b>5</b> 7:9 25:16,22 26:3,7 51:15,21, 22 76:21	150:6 account 9:14,19 18:24,25 19:3,6,
1	<b>2022</b> 121:8 131:1	<b>5-C</b> 25:16 26:3,7	21 56:11 96:22 107:8,9 110:15,17
1 28:4,20,21 29:1 36:18 125:20   10 52:22,25 59:24   107 19:21 140:4   11 18:19 52:25 59:15   110 58:5,19   117 58:5,19   12 52:25 59:11   129 58:5,19   131 140:4   134 133:17   135 133:17,18   14 48:23   14th 6:1	2023 6:1 203 137:25 139:5, 8,11 216 47:20 48:6 54:1 55:1 217 50:9 54:1 55:1 218 51:2,3 54:1 55:1 219 60:18 62:22 63:4,13 133:1 220 62:22 63:1,5, 13 132:22 221 67:13,17,19 2:36 137:12 2:45 137:13	6 6 8:10 25:16,22 26:3,8 103:10 65101 6:17 66 64:7,20 65:3,4 66:18,19 67:20, 22,25 80:5 81:9 87:22  7 7 50:21  8 8 59:13,14,24 88 64:16 65:7 81:9 87:15,20	accountant 12:3 accountants 85:21 accounted 105:15 accounting 17:2 21:13 87:15,18 89:15 accounts 9:12 19:16 82:5,10 91:19 accurate 66:11 104:6,18,21 131:19 148:14 accurately 105:11 accusation 47:13 acknowledge 51:16,25 74:19 96:8
<b>17</b> 102:22 <b>183</b> 9:14,19 18:24	<b>3</b> 6:2 62:6 103:3 125:19	A	acknowledges 103:11
19:21 <b>19</b> 59:25	<b>301</b> 82:3 <b>303</b> 82:3	<b>a-portioned</b> 97:12 <b>a.m.</b> 107:4 110:12	acquire 34:24 49:17,20 50:18 52:6 70:18 71:3
2 36:21 51:14,19 103:3 119:14 121:9 125:19,25 136:6 20 62:22 2008 12:8 136:7 2014 34:5,6 2016 42:14	30th 121:7 360 6:16 393.170 78:14 393.190 77:3 4 4 25:16,22 26:3,7 51:15,21,22	116:4,16 ability 97:2 absolutely 20:19 22:14 56:13 83:22 84:6 absurd 35:14 accepted 132:23 access 9:10 19:15 22:13,19 24:9 29:13,21,24,25	77:9 79:11 108:21 144:21 acquired 10:20 34:7,12 37:6 43:9, 15,16 49:25 50:3 76:15 acquires 8:17 16:4 acquiring 8:19,20, 24 9:9 21:17 34:4 52:5 68:25 103:5



acquisition 7:15 8:10 9:1,11 10:10 18:22 19:20 21:6 23:12 41:7,9 43:1 47:7,16 48:25 49:3,10 50:22 51:10,17 52:1,9, 12 53:1,9 59:16 62:17 64:18,20 65:13 70:4 73:18, 22,25 74:1 76:14, 16,22 77:2,6 78:7 79:17,18 80:10 82:14 84:23 85:18 87:1,11,13,18 88:3 90:11 98:6,8 109:16,17 128:14 129:7 133:20,21 138:15 acquisitions 9:2 10:23 24:1 43:2 71:16 72:18,20,21 77:18 85:2 103:6, 9 106:6 131:15 144:10 **act** 136:9 activities 99:7,12, 19 100:10 103:4 120:3,4 **activity** 129:23 actual 44:24 45:12 76:9 103:19 104:15 **ad** 133:1 add 66:24 105:4 added 66:25 addition 56:3 77:22 98:7 134:17 additional 22:7, 11,16 61:6 62:5 104:25 address 28:4 93:25 94:16 116:1

addressing 94:16 adds 31:11 adequate 79:8,14, 19,22 **adhere** 15:15 adhered 62:14 adjourn 150:8 adjourned 94:25 150:9 adjusted 149:24 administration 105:10 administrative 54:9,15 63:13 administrator 136:9 admission 54:1 58:19,21 62:22 63:16 67:12 admit 63:13 132:9 admitted 26:7 55:1,8 58:23 63:17 68:8 132:12 133:1 139:10,11 admitting 63:10 103:13 adopt 100:22 **advance** 149:19 Advanced 138:3 aegis 13:5 affiliate 98:7,11 99:13,18 101:15 affiliated 126:9 **affiliates** 95:20,24 96:1,19,20,21,22 97:6,13,20,22 99:8 100:13 101:22

afield 40:1 afternoon 102:12 118:20 128:11,12 140:12 149:10 agenda 81:12,17 94:10 agree 18:3 21:9 22:21,23 28:11,21 41:25 42:1,25 44:22 46:9,13 48:15,24 49:8,11, 14 50:17,20,21,23 51:9,13,24 52:3 53:2,7,10,12 59:13 60:25 61:6, 15 62:9,13 68:20 70:9 72:3 76:13 77:20 79:10 88:14 121:15 123:25 142:18,22 143:1, 12 144:1,14 145:12 146:16,21 agreed 61:9,11,17 82:12 102:16 103:3 119:11 121:16 124:12 130:4,12,22,23 agreement 10:10 15:7 18:4 23:10 51:5,10 60:12,13, 21 61:1,10,22,25 62:2,12,16,19 84:2 88:17,22 102:25 103:3 118:22 119:3,9,21 121:15,20 122:1, 17 123:4,16 125:17,22 126:2, 7,20 127:8,11 128:13 129:5,6,24 130:7,9,22 132:11,20,23 agreements 15:12,14 23:13 60:4,5 62:24

88:15 90:19 103:7 132:13 agrees 120:4,7 ahead 6:5 11:6 16:18,24 17:8 18:20 24:6 47:19 50:8 51:1 57:7 58:24 60:17 61:20 63:17 93:21 118:4 127:19 128:2 139:5,15 **aid** 97:9 **aided** 79:22 aids 79:14 aired 17:4 align 67:4 allocate 98:22 103:18 112:13,25 113:1 143:21 146:5 allocated 97:8 99:14 100:5,14 107:9,11 110:25 111:2,3,5,8 112:10 131:25 145:13 allocating 132:2 allocation 97:10, 16 98:12,24 100:14 101:21 102:8 116:20 134:16 135:10,15 137:6 145:16 148:2 allocator 103:17 104:10,14 117:3,5 allocators 111:5 allowable 27:2 allowed 9:25 16:6 71:1,20 93:9,15



-Userday 45 O4			1
allowing 15:24	annual 61:12	appropriately 9:10 53:1	<b>assign</b> 115:11
alternative 91:7 92:6 97:16 100:6	answers 25:22,24 58:16 83:23		assigned 30:12
92.6 97.16 100.6 116:24		approval 103:6	assisted 17:25
ambiguity 82:25	anticipate 147:20 148:19	approved 103:2	23:9 36:6 45:25 81:19 82:7
84:4	apologies 53:5	<b>approving</b> 119:21 126:2	association 77:24
mbiguous 83:25	96:10	approximately	assortment 63:2
Amended 47:24	apologize 41:16	38:25 121:3	<b>assume</b> 44:19
<b>Ameren</b> 113:25 114:1	70:8 130:14 136:12 148:18	<b>Aquila</b> 12:9 75:6, 19 80:10	47:6 64:9 115:4 143:15
American 14:22	apology 95:17	arbitrary 84:20	assuming 113:6
83:5	Apparently 108:17	area 19:19 51:18	assumption 72:6,
<b>AMI</b> 138:11,12	appearance 6:5	53:11	20
148:12	appeared 6:10	argument 46:9	assured 134:20
amortization 70:15 71:5,6,17,	appearing 6:8	108:8	attached 20:2 31:2
18,20 82:19 91:8,	51:15	Arguments 140:13	attachment 88:23
10,12,15 92:1,5,6, 9,11,14,22 93:16	appears 116:22	arise 9:1	attachments
amortized 70:24	<b>applicable</b> 19:7 98:12	arises 56:4	62:25 63:2,3,7,14
amortizing 91:17	application 47:24	arrive 83:25	attempt 15:10 66:7 79:20
amount 9:21 15:8	50:12,18 52:14	arrived 86:7	attempting 61:16
35:3,5 44:24	68:22 98:8	arriving 61:12	attendance 11:13
61:12,13 71:1	applications 68:17	art 7:3	86:6 99:21
82:22 89:23,25 90:10 91:4,17		artificial 28:13	attention 125:19,
103:8 104:19	<b>applies</b> 21:10	<b>ASHLEY</b> 139:17	20 129:8
115:14 120:2	<b>apply</b> 94:1 96:20 100:13 103:16,21	assertion 133:19	attentions 99:22
142:12	applying 93:4	assessment	attorneys 139:3
amounts 15:10 18:23 44:10 61:17	appointment	115:12 142:7,11,	attracting 37:13
74:11 92:4	11:22	15	attributable 39:14
analogous 93:5	apportion 101:22	<b>asset</b> 53:17 77:19	atypical 73:2
anniversary 98:2	appraisal 115:11	assets 8:17,19,24	Auburn 62:3
announce 16:25	approach 9:24	27:19,22 29:12, 13,23 30:8,23	audience 16:21
announcement	72:4 82:16	33:15 41:5,6,11	audit 57:25 61:4
6:22 138:2	approached 42:13	42:2,8 48:21	116:8
announcements 137:17	94:19	56:15 69:14 72:7 77:9,22,24 78:2	auditing 115:23 120:8,17
	approaches 85:5		12U.O.1/



auditors 143:16
August 6:1 149:18
availability 6:24
aware 47:6 70:7
124:9 130:11
148:1

B
back 9:18 15:10,
16 33:17,25 35:18
39:21 47:2 56:17
61:21 69:19
74:22,25 81:16
82:7 84:7 90:16,
18 95:2 118:3

146:2
background 8:7
backwards 89:10
104:4
bad 29:20

137:12 139:4

**balance** 92:4 100:16

banked 105:17 base 9:20 12:6

15:25 19:1 62:18 74:4 91:18,22,25 92:1,2,7 131:22

based 9:22 23:18 46:18 70:13,24 71:14 91:1,3,9,10, 15,23 92:22 97:13 99:5 115:14 121:10 144:8 145:15 148:2

**basic** 56:14 78:7 109:23 143:13

basically 22:1 28:8 64:3 121:16

**basics** 27:25

**basing** 70:11

basis 23:14 43:17 55:12 56:12 82:17 89:22 99:18 103:19 109:23 112:16,17,20 113:8 135:19 147:22

**batch** 85:2

**bear** 10:19 67:3 110:4

**bears** 128:15 129:6

**began** 94:8 99:21 120:15,19 121:19

begin 23:16 95:16 103:3 104:12 119:22 121:18 126:4 137:9

**beginning** 51:14, 19 94:20 95:5

behalf 6:8 95:16, 23 96:21 102:13 103:4 113:3 119:22 126:4 128:16

belief 25:25 58:13

**bench** 18:15 20:20 86:10 125:8 136:1 146:25 148:22

benefit 16:3 22:11, 12,15 36:22 73:20,23 74:3 76:23 82:18 99:13

benefits 99:10

betterment 92:19

**big** 80:6 83:20 105:2

**billed** 134:14 135:1,15

binding 60:6

bit 13:11 39:25 44:6 67:3 77:13, 14 88:11 95:16 108:18 136:2

black 15:9,11 83:8,11,14,17 88:12 89:18 90:18

blank 66:4 69:7

blanket 82:13

blockage 17:3

blurring 13:12

boiling 82:21

**booked** 21:25 22:2 24:4 39:13

**books** 9:13 28:15 56:14 74:12

bother 62:8

**bottom** 119:14 123:20

**bought** 37:23

**box** 6:16 27:15 83:8,12,14,17 88:12 89:18 90:18

**boxed** 15:9

boxes 15:11 27:23

**brain** 110:11

break 16:25 64:2,4 65:14 95:1 112:11,20 122:9 137:9,11

breakdown 56:22 65:19 66:14,18 70:5 142:7

**breakout** 64:5,10

**Brent** 100:25

**Brett** 17:10,16 118:7

Bretz 6:15 102:11, 12,13 106:16 107:1,7,14,18,20, 25 118:15,16,19 122:15,19,23 123:7,8,10,13,15 125:4,16 126:1,17 128:7,10 132:8 133:7,9,12,16,22 136:16 139:22 140:4,6 147:7,11

briefly 20:25 23:21 102:24 105:6 136:20

**briefs** 148:23

**bring** 13:9 15:16 32:4 33:17,25 52:13 69:19

bringing 68:17

**broken** 124:22 142:2

brought 13:5 18:1 78:4 92:8 105:19 123:10

Brydon 6:8

**burden** 104:25 147:12,14

burdensome 101:10 116:21

business 16:10 21:12 32:3,8 33:12 35:10 36:12 39:13 42:1 93:25 94:23 97:3 106:2 107:4 116:14 134:22 143:23

**busy** 121:13

buy 28:4 36:1 37:1

buyer 12:25

**buyers** 35:22

buying 13:14 34:4



42:17 56:13	137:18	129:16	changing 69:12
	careful 110:21	casing 84:3	characterize 69:6
С	carefully 13:19	catalogue 27:19	characterized
afe 17:2	case 6:2,4,10 8:16	catch 121:11	14:18
<b>ajole</b> 35:20	10:5,9,10,14,15, 16 12:16 13:2,6	categories 90:22	<b>charge</b> 101:15 104:6,9,20,21
alculate 83:15	14:21 16:7 21:16	categorizable	104.0,9,20,21
alculation 91:22	34:5 36:5,24 37:6	70:3,17	charged 84:23
alculations 89:14	38:15,22 41:7,9 42:2 45:25 47:16,	categorize 69:10	97:8 99:9 100:4
alculator 66:12	17,19,25 49:4,15	81:22	101:19 104:5,7,19 109:5,8
alendars 104:24	50:13,24 51:5	categorized 73:24 81:20 83:1	charges 9:15
105:1	52:10 53:14 56:5, 25 60:8,21 61:18,	categorizing 82:2	
alifornia 98:9	23 73:13 74:10		charging 104:6,12
104:17	75:2,5,14 76:6,22	category 66:9 87:16 92:21 100:4	chart 87:15,18
<b>all</b> 16:19 24:16,	77:2,6,15 78:7,8, 25 81:18,19,23	<b>causal</b> 142:19	check 8:5 27:17
17 28:1 57:7 60:10 62:2 67:17,	82:14,17,22 84:9,	143:2	105:14 114:5,6
19 77:7 91:12,13	25 85:17 88:14,16	causation 142:18,	circumstances
93:22 117:23,24	90:18,25 92:9 93:5 95:4,12,18	23 143:1,7	98:20 105:17
127:19 138:24 149:20,21	96:5,11,13 97:2,4	caused 25:10	citation 6:4
alled 17:11 24:20	99:21 100:9,12	CCN 52:13 53:11	cite 54:17,20 75:2
57:13 66:21 91:8	101:17 103:1,12, 18 118:23 119:1,2	64:3 68:17,21 77:6 78:8,11,14,	13
118:8 127:23	121:11,21,23	17,20,23 93:4,7	cited 77:4
139:18	122:1,23 124:1,4,	<b>ceased</b> 136:12	<b>City</b> 6:16 58:3 75:7
<b>alls</b> 27:16 57:8 103:19	12 125:17 129:25 130:11,14 131:11,	celebrated 98:1	<b>claim</b> 15:10 42:5
<b>AM</b> 97:11,16	13,17 132:12,17	Central 25:8	claimed 116:15
·	133:20,21 135:5	certificate 53:11	<b>claims</b> 59:16
apacity 25:6	137:4 142:3,4 143:19	Chairman 11:13	clarification 10:11
apita 135:19	cases 9:9,11 10:1,	14:5,6,11 26:17,	62:23 88:13
apital 7:25 9:6 13:8 19:9 37:13	5,17,19 15:3,6	18,20,23 29:10	122:15
80:2 149:18	18:1,3,6 23:9,12,	31:17 40:9 81:2,3, 6 84:11 88:12	clarify 69:15
apitalize 19:5,11	14,18 47:7 59:15, 17 60:14 62:17	<b>challenge</b> 143:15,	clarifying 55:20
apitalized 9:20	71:1 74:2,12,20,	18	class 142:20 143:3
10:25 19:16 71:9	23 77:3,4,7,17,23 78:6 80:14 82:1,4	chance 32:22	classification 82:
77:11 80:1	84:2 88:18 90:5	<b>change</b> 76:9 136:2	
capture 120:2	92:9,12 93:6	changed 76:9	clean 9:8 29:8,15
care 110:20	95:19 97:13 98:6	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	cleaning 44:5



**clear** 9:19 21:17 30:6 45:6 46:14 73:17 87:9 112:24 113:1,17 124:17 134:8 150:3 clearest 77:12 clearing 17:4 **climate** 134:23 Clizer 6:20 14:13, 14 16:14,15 18:11,12 20:24,25 21:3 23:1,22 24:2 26:13,14 43:23 44:2 47:2,4,22,24 48:1,6,8,9 50:8,11 51:1,4,6 53:20,25 54:6.18 55:20 56:17 59:2,5 60:16,20 62:21 63:6,18,19 67:2, 13,17 68:1,6,10, 14 73:4,11 86:11, 14 88:5 90:6 93:24 94:14 108:2,3 110:3,20 111:9,13,18,23 112:15 113:2,17, 20,22 114:3,11,18 115:2,9,22 116:8, 17,23 117:12,16, 22 125:5,6 132:11,19 133:23, 25 134:1 136:17, 18 138:21 139:6 140:8,11 145:6,25 147:2,3,15 148:9, 10,17 149:2,5,11, 16 close 28:11,23,25 29:1,2,14,22 30:5, 10 40:15,25 42:5 49:12 85:10 **closed** 29:24

**closer** 56:22

**closing** 29:9,18 84:22 closure 85:11 **clue** 14:16 **Code** 32:18 Coleman 11:15 collecting 94:8 collection 19:16 71:9 collectively 37:19 Columbia 27:14 combination 91:13 combine 85:21 combined 38:19 45:4 48:19 56:18 63:12 comfortable 114:6 commensurate 32:12 commission 6:16, 23 7:5,23 8:3 9:11 10:16 11:2 12:2, 10,12 14:25 15:14 20:11,17,21 32:11 35:8,13 37:19 41:8 42:13 44:17 49:3,22 52:4 53:2, 14 54:9,14 58:1 64:7,9 65:1,18 67:7,9 70:22 71:5 75:2 76:23 77:9 80:7 81:11 83:3 85:4 90:13,15,17, 22 92:18 93:14,25 94:3,9,16 95:10, 18 98:23 99:20 100:17,22 102:7, 14 103:1,6 105:22 108:3 115:15 124:24 138:6,14

140:19 146:13.14 148:11 149:6 Commission's 7:9 8:1 54:8,11 66:25 79:24 115:11 120:8,17 138:11 148:16 commissioner 11:15,18,21 14:4 16:15 18:13 26:19 31:19,22 32:19 38:8,9,13 39:19 40:18 44:7,9 47:5 80:25 81:2 84:12, 15 86:4,6,9 90:3 101:6.7 102:9 106:13,20 107:2, 12,15,19,23 110:1,2,10 111:6, 11,14,21 112:4,23 113:16,18,21,23, 24 114:8,14,23 115:4,17 116:3, 11,19 117:8,14,19 125:7 133:24 134:3,4,7 135:24, 25 136:24 145:20, 23 147:24 148:20 Commissioners 11:5,14 14:14 20:6 26:16 89:11 141:16 146:19,20, 21 147:25 148:22 Commissioners' 148:1 commissions 97:18 98:19 common 13:6 15:15 commonly 62:24 communicate 94:11

communication

82:7.23 communications 6:23 communities 32:7,8 community 32:5,6 comp 110:9 companies 13:3 48:19 74:20 76:4 77:17 83:13 100:4 114:9 company 6:3 8:11 9:6 10:9 12:8 13:13 14:8,22,23 19:5 20:10 21:20, 25 23:25 25:8 26:25 29:17 30:7 32:2 36:15 37:2 46:8 47:12 48:13, 18 52:6 53:5,13. 16 55:16 56:7 59:16 61:2,3,11 62:1 71:2 72:9 73:23 77:23 81:12,21,22 82:6, 21 85:22 86:21,25 87:4,10,14,19 88:2,18 90:10 92:23 94:3 95:21, 22 97:24 100:16 102:1 107:13.16 108:11 109:20,24 110:24 111:4 112:19 113:6,10, 12 116:17,24 119:6,7,21 120:4, 6,7 121:19 122:6, 16,17 123:1,16,17 124:14 125:21 126:3,9,14,20,21, 22 127:2,3 128:14,20,22 129:14,18 130:4, 12 132:21,24 134:25 144:15



contemplate

149:14 conclusion 70:25 127:19 130:12.22 81:1 97:19,24 company's 7:13 150:11 18:23 74:12 83:12 96:12 100:15,22, conditions 61:9 25 101:8 108:13, Confluence's 13:5 conduct 39:2 14 113:6 121:4 65:12 69:1 72:21 conducted 95:23 135:17 103:25 104:3,11, 103:4 119:22,25 13 compared 134:19 120:15 126:4 Confluences' **Compel** 94:2 confidential 25:12 147:13 compelling 81:13 58:6.19 confuse 66:7 **confirm** 59:16 compensate confused 46:21 110:21 80:12 105:12 132:19 confusing 120:11 compensating 101:9 connect 45:21 confirming 9:9 competing 7:11 Confluence 6:3,6, connection 46:3 9 8:8,17,19,24 complete 12:20 connections 9:13 10:1,6,20,22, 33:22 105:11 24 12:5 13:13 119:24 56:16,18 57:1 14:1,17 15:3,6,9, 96:24 completed 12:23 13,16 16:4,9,18 13:21 18:23 consequence 18:1,5 19:14 96:13 115:20 24:16 25:7 45:6 completely 72:5 48:16,20,22 50:18 consideration compliance 28:9 51:11,16,25 52:3, 10:21 33:18,20,21,25 13 60:11 62:3 71:4 135:9 considered 19:9 65:5,8 72:18 73:6, 29:17 17 74:10 76:14 complicated 41:2 77:7,16 78:13 consistent 9:12 complied 95:24 79:11 90:25 94:1. 10:25 102:4 **comply** 103:2 19 95:5,8,14,17, consolidate 77:8 21 96:24 97:7,9 components 9:10 consolidated 98:12 99:22 41:12 98:18 48:21 100:7,21 101:14, comprehensive 17,23 102:15,25 consolidation 12:9 103:4,12,13,16, 6:25 7:10 19,21 104:8,16,23 compromise consternation 105:21 106:5,8 61:10 71:7 109:9,10 115:16 computer 89:12 117:13,16,23 construction concepts 19:4 119:5,7,11 120:6, 18:24 120:4 10,11 121:16 concerned 13:11 contact 68:9 72:24 123:1,17,25 96:12 98:23 contained 25:21 124:18 125:20 concludes 101:3 60:5 126:3,8,10,13,14

131:11,13 132:16 107:4 143:23 144:20,25 contents 54:15 145:8 147:4,13,21 contested 29:21. 22,23,24 52:9 context 60:11 contiguous 13:3 contingent 142:12 continually 30:12 continuation 95:4 continue 34:13, 22,24 36:19 37:1 52:4 60:17 65:17 98:5 101:13 138:9 continues 52:6 continuing 119:18 38:18 45:15,21,23 144:9 **contract** 21:6 39:9 40:19,25 41:3 42:23 46:19 contract's 40:22 contractor 46:16. 20 contracts 39:6 contrary 105:21 controller 101:1 **convene** 138:23 convenience 66:25 conversation 10:4 56:16 146:3 conversations 39:6 112:7 convert 39:6 convinced 54:23 convincing 35:9 Cooper 6:7 8:12,



13 11:4,5,9,11
14:16 15:3 16:19
17:14,17 20:16,19
23:4,7 24:17,24
25:3 26:2 55:3,6
57:3 63:9 73:7,10
80:22 88:7,10
89:1 94:6,8

Cooper's 128:15

**copies** 104:24 **copy** 48:2 52:16 59:8 67:22 105:7 121:25 125:16 130:19

**corporate** 134:16 135:10 145:15

**corporations** 8:20 10:18 75:22

correct 22:11,14, 18,24 23:10,11 25:24 29:9,10 34:9 36:7 39:16 40:20 43:11 44:13,14,17,18 45:24 46:9,10 47:7,21 48:7,14, 16,17,20,22,25 49:15,19,22,23 50:2 51:2 52:7,8 53:15,17 55:14, 18,25 56:1 57:1,2 58:12 59:21 62:10 69:4,9,17 70:11 71:22 74:4,14,21 75:3,8,11,17,19 76:1,19,25 77:25 78:4,8,11,14,21, 25 79:4,8 80:15 90:8 91:5 104:19 120:20 125:23,24 126:14,15 127:6 129:2 131:2 133:5,19 141:18, 25 142:1,9,10,13,

17 143:20 144:7

145:3.4

**corrections** 40:23 58:10

**correctly** 21:24 60:3 61:14 63:22 70:20

cost 7:3 19:9,10 21:7,17,20,24 29:17 30:14 31:14 53:1 64:3 68:17 69:3 70:4 74:1 76:6 78:25 79:2 87:2,16 97:10 109:2 142:18,21, 23 143:4,5,7,21 149:18

costs 7:15,25 8:10,15,25 9:3,5, 8,10,14,17,19,21, 22,25 10:17,24 11:2 12:5,12,13, 15,17,19,24 13:12,13,17,20,24 14:7,8 15:24 19:7, 12,13,15,20 21:5, 12 28:14 29:3,19 30:4,6,17,19 31:5, 6,8 38:24 39:2,7, 14 40:10,19 41:18 52:10,11,13 53:4, 7 56:5,9 59:16 60:9 61:5 62:17 63:20,23 64:1,2,3, 18,20,23,24 65:13 66:14 68:24 69:6, 8,10,16,21,22 70:10,11,14,17, 18,21,22 71:2,12, 17,18,19 72:5 73:25 74:19,24 75:3 76:22,23,24 77:10,12 79:7,21, 25 80:4,8,9 81:20 82:2,4,9,12,13,14, 17,18,25 84:23 85:1,16,17,18,20,

23,24 86:1,25 87:1,11,13,18 88:3 90:11,12,14, 15,20 91:12,15,18 92:16,17,19,21,23 93:3,4,9,11,15 97:8,17 98:22 115:12 143:10 145:13

counsel 6:6,19 7:2 8:8,9 20:10 31:12 37:7 38:14 68:10 75:1,5 94:19 95:13 133:5 138:1 139:9 140:2

Counsel's 26:24

**count** 28:20 45:11 85:14,17

**counting** 45:7,22

country 43:8 131:23 134:18 135:9,13

counts 45:18,19

**couple** 18:15 19:4 44:6 74:18 125:15 134:8 140:15 147:21

**Court** 6:1,11,18,21 7:19 8:2 11:4,11 14:4,13 16:14,20 17:19 18:11,13,18 20:9,17,20,24 23:3 24:6,14,20, 23 26:5,13,15,20 31:18 38:8 39:20 41:14 43:23 47:22 48:4,7 50:10 51:3 53:22 54:2,17,22, 25 57:5,9,13,16 58:20 59:2 60:19 63:12 67:11,19,23 68:5,7,13 73:6 80:24 84:12 86:5 88:7 89:2 93:19

94:7,18 95:2 101:5 102:10,13 106:11 107:24 110:1 113:23 117:21,25 118:3, 11,15 122:22 123:8,12 125:5,7, 11 127:17,25 128:7 133:2,8,11, 14,17,24 134:3 135:25 136:5,15, 19 137:8,15 138:19 139:2,9 140:2,8 145:8,19 146:25 147:4,6 148:5,15,19 149:4,9,12,17 150:7

**cover** 15:22 85:25

covered 108:5

Cox 11:9 24:8,17, 19 25:5 26:3 39:20 46:25 48:3, 10 50:15 51:6 53:23 55:7 57:5 69:13 71:21 72:8, 23 100:24 103:3, 5,11 105:23 110:17 117:24 120:24 122:19,20 127:20,22,25 128:11 137:8 141:20

Cox's 96:5 144:4

create 85:25 100:9

**created** 66:21 67:7 97:10

**creates** 143:15

creating 53:11

Creek 129:5 133:4,18

critical 104:18



			+, 202311dex. Cl033devole
cross 7:21 112:22	cutoff 84:20,21	decently 43:7	denied 80:7
cross-examination	86:22,23,24	decide 90:11	dent 34:22
17:18,21 21:2 24:3 26:4,10 40:7 44:1 59:1,4 73:9	D	<b>decided</b> 15:8 19:14 95:19	department 35:17 80:18 120:9,17
118:14,18 128:6,9	daily 99:6,15	decision 12:12	departure 14:18
138:5 140:7,10 145:10 148:21	100:2,6,19 101:11 109:22	13:22 85:4 90:23 96:4,8,12 120:25	depend 83:15
crossing 6:22	data 20:3 27:4 31:4 64:7,16,19,	<b>decisions</b> 90:24 107:4	depending 85:4, 16 92:21
<b>CSWR</b> 25:9 95:13, 17,22,24 96:18	20,23 65:4,7 66:22 67:1,22,25	declining 82:24	<b>depends</b> 83:16 115:5
97:1,4,10,12,20, 22,23,24 98:2	74:13 80:5 81:10 84:19 87:3,15,20,	<b>decrease</b> 37:8 46:7,10	depreciation 91:20
99:4 100:8 103:4 105:22,24 106:2,4	22 97:1	decrepit 13:7	<b>describe</b> 96:16
107:10,13 119:22	date 20:11 84:22	dedicated 135:6,7	121:17
120:12,14,19 121:18 122:11,18	105:17 119:20 122:5 126:2 127:1	<b>deemed</b> 70:10 85:18	description 47:23 65:20 66:4 119:24
126:4,8 127:8	<b>dates</b> 84:18	deeper 7:21	122:8
<b>CSWR's</b> 96:3,20 97:8,17 98:15,22	David 8:7	default 113:12	design 7:10,21
99:8,16 100:24	<b>day</b> 6:2 20:13 27:1,8 28:4,12,13,	<b>defer</b> 82:14	<b>desire</b> 100:17
105:5 141:18 <b>curious</b> 84:20	20,21,23 29:1,2,6,	deferential 93:9	<b>detail</b> 46:24 64:7 80:6 120:2
86:16,20 136:9	21 83:9 84:20,21 86:22 98:14 99:7	deferred 77:11	detailed 80:3
<b>current</b> 46:12 48:20 70:18 99:3	106:18,21 110:7 111:19,21,25	<b>defined</b> 122:16 125:21 126:20,22	87:22 99:5 120:4 129:20
100:4 130:7 141:4	141:12,13 150:8	definite 79:18	details 37:6 49:7
<b>curve</b> 35:16	days 28:10,15 29:16 69:25	<b>definition</b> 79:2 142:23	68:5
<b>customer</b> 45:6,9, 10,18,19 124:16,	84:16,18,22,24	definitions 78:24	<b>determine</b> 9:6 42:8 79:20 85:5
17,20 131:12,22	86:18,20 87:2,24 88:1 90:7 119:20	definitive 84:8	86:23 97:21 116:9 148:12
132:4 142:20 143:3	122:5 126:1 127:1	delay 29:6 105:13	determining 41:5
customers 16:2,3	deal 105:3 119:1	delineate 31:5,7,	82:17
22:10,12,15 38:16,20 45:1,4,	dealing 14:21 21:7 97:3 108:8	11	develop 122:7
13,15,16,17 56:17	dealt 98:21	delineated 30:8 31:6 64:24	127:3 128:22
71:11 92:20 98:1, 4 109:7,8 131:21	Dean 6:7	delineation 93:15	developed 76:7
134:18,19 144:19	debate 31:13	delivered 10:22	development 21:12 39:14
<b>cut</b> 28:16 46:1 87:23	<b>decades</b> 32:8 35:24	<b>delve</b> 7:20	<b>devote</b> 98:16 101:16



<b>devoted</b> 99:12 101:20 142:16 146:4
dial 7:24
differ 102:2,3
difference 12:18 30:15,25 45:14 52:9 106:14 114:15,17,20,21 116:6
differences 84:4
<b>differently</b> 83:19 142:14
difficult 34:25 81:11 108:18 112:2 113:13 116:22
difficulties 102:5
diligently 129:20
direct 18:19 20:1,9 25:2,11 57:20 58:6 70:6 94:3 101:14,19 102:21 103:24 104:20 125:20 136:6 139:21 145:25
directed 125:19
direction 105:22
directly 9:2 32:23 70:17 97:8 99:9 100:10 104:5,7,12 113:4 137:1
director 100:8 103:22 104:8
directors 140:24
disagree 46:8
disagreements 88:17
disallow 9:17 14:7 16:8 28:14

Transcript of I
disallowance 11:2 38:24 44:21 71:25 108:11
disallowances 44:10
<b>disallowed</b> 9:21 27:6 38:4 56:5 79:21
disallowing 44:15
disappear 35:23
discontinue 121:9
discovery 81:15
discrepancy 64:11,23
discretion 104:11
<b>discuss</b> 6:24 85:20
<b>discussed</b> 20:11 54:13 80:7 99:1 137:20 149:19
discussing 85:7
discussion 17:5 32:1,20 44:25 46:6 47:5 54:19 55:17 63:19 83:11 89:18
disincentive 36:15 38:5
disincentives 55:16
disincentivize 36:22
dismiss 148:8
dismissed 57:6
disorganization 123:24
<b>disposition</b> 15:7 18:4 23:10,13

roceedings August 14,	4
10,23,25 62:2	
88:15,22 119:21	
122:1 123:16	
126:2 132:20,24	
dispute 72:21 81:15	
disrepair 43:5	
dissatisfied 135:21	
distinct 70:3	
<b>distinction</b> 13:19 21:13 41:18 82:12	
distinguish 12:11 13:23	
distressed 8:18	
10:19 13:7 32:9	
34:8,18 35:6	
36:17 42:17 56:14	
72:22 79:11,12	
distribution 71:9	
district 7:10 136:8	
divided 7:3	
division 134:17	
<b>DNA</b> 35:7,21	
<b>DNR</b> 35:4 43:6	
45:16 76:19	
docket 19:23	
50:13 118:25	
document 48:23,	
24 50:14,15 51:7	
60:25 61:24 63:1	
67:6 68:3 119:11	
100:15 10 01	
122:15,19,21	
125:16 126:16	
125:16 126:16 documentation	
125:16 126:16	
125:16 126:16 documentation	

documents 53:1 55:8,9 63:6 88:15

94:1,4,8,13 123:3

124:17 dollar 15:10 35:17, 18 44:21 55:21 64:22 66:8,15 108:10 dollars 9:22 13:16 30:22 46:10,19 64:21 65:21 66:5 domestic 33:20 door 72:10 double 8:5 114:4,6 draft 21:6 drafted 108:22,23 drafting 108:25 **drain** 17:3 drastic 9:24 14:18 draw 27:3 **drive** 13:22 du 50:18 51:10 due 7:5 20:11 **duly** 24:20 57:13 dynamic 97:20 Ε earlier 17:5 34:16

39:11 49:2 71:21 75:19 99:1 134:22 135:5 140:12 145:24 148:10 earn 16:11 91:20 92:3 easement 9:9 73:19,22,25 easements 19:18 24:10 27:20 29:12

30:24

**easily** 99:8,15

60:4,12,21 61:1,

**effect** 44:15 effective 119:20 122:5 126:2 127:1 effectively 16:9 60:4 144:20 **effects** 96:12 effort 97:10 100:1 **efforts** 71:3 99:10 **EFIS** 63:14 149:13 eighteen 64:14 eighty 37:25 65:9, 15 98:2 eighty-eight 129:19 eighty-one 129:19 eighty-seven 64:21 electric 10:18 12:8 14:23 28:5 75:11, 22 142:14,16 **Elm** 18:6 61:2 119:6 122:25 130:8,17 132:17 **Elms** 95:20 EM-2000-292 75:14 EM-2007-0374 75:2 email 68:3 149:11, 12,14,16 embarked 144:2 emergency 42:24 43:3,6,16 emphasis 26:25 employed 25:6,7 57:24 90:22 employee 104:20 105:5,20 106:15,

16,21,22,23 110:8 115:6,7 116:13 119:25 120:2 122:7 124:9 127:4 142:15 employees 97:25 98:3 99:7 100:8, 12 101:13 102:17, 18,19,21 103:7, 22,23,24,25 104:9 105:11,23,24 106:4 107:8,17,21 108:14 120:5,12, 20,21 122:11 124:7 128:21 129:1,9,19 131:20 134:12 135:20 140:23 141:4 143:7 147:13,14 employment 110:6 **EMS** 23:15 88:18, 20,21 89:11,16 encourage 113:4 **end** 18:4 20:12 43:7 47:14 48:4 82:8 85:10 121:3, 5 148:7 endeavor 14:15 ended 10:4 121:6 ending 81:1 **Energy** 90:17 **enforce** 116:22 enforceable 116:15 enforced 115:20 116:7 enforcement 35:16,22 115:18 engage 39:6

engineering 8:15 9:2,3,13 12:21 19:10,12 27:21 28:2,24 29:3 30:23 31:5,11 39:1,8 40:10,22 41:4 64:2 66:9 80:18 85:12 93:11 97:5 **Engineering**admin 65:22 **Engineering-gis** 65:23 **Engineeringsurvey** 65:20,24 England 6:8 English 90:16 **ensure** 134:25 143:6 enter 132:13 entered 54:10 139:25 enterprise 98:17 99:17 101:21 **entire** 63:11 92:20 99:13 112:19 131:22 entities 8:21 75:21,24 78:3 **entity** 75:6 77:24 114:16,24 115:1 127:12 entries 6:5 environment 34:3 36:25 49:23 environmental 37:20 environmentally 32:5 envision 33:3

**EPA** 35:4,12 Equivalent 90:18 **erring** 82:24 essentially 20:5 134:17 **establish** 54:12,18 142:7 established 10:18 75:21 establishing 69:13 93:12 establishment 69:2 142:19 143:2 **estate** 29:11 65:25 66:16 93:12 estimate 147:17 149:23 estimated 7:13 estimates 7:12 estimating 115:19 estimation 149:20 ether 35:23 evening 111:22 eventually 70:19 **Evergy** 114:9 **Evergy's** 114:13 evidence 26:3 54:5 55:8 118:13 132:16 evidentiary 6:2 evolving 98:20 **exact** 30:17 56:22 92:13 115:13.16 examination 18:17 20:22 23:6 25:2 26:22 31:21 38:12 55:5 57:20 80:3 81:5 84:14



engaged 11:21

86:11 89:8 90:13 125:13 128:3 134:6 136:4,22 139:21 145:22 147:10
examined 17:12 24:21 57:14 118:9 127:24 139:19
<b>examples</b> 21:7,18 23:24 78:10 80:9
exceed 56:25
<b>Excel</b> 89:13 122:12
Excellent 150:8
<b>exception</b> 99:25 100:7,22 101:18 137:3
Exchange 75:25
exclusively 99:22
excuse 118:2
<b>excused</b> 24:15 89:3 93:20 127:18 137:8 148:6
<b>execs</b> 147:21
execute 36:12
executed 105:13
executive 97:5 98:15 102:20 103:21,22,24 104:8 106:22 110:17 112:8,9,13 116:13 117:10 120:25 129:14 141:6,18 146:1
executives 96:3,9 97:1 98:16 99:20 100:2,18 101:11 102:5 104:23 105:1,4,20,23 107:3 110:15

112:10 114:1,9

I ranscript o
116:25 129:21 134:11 135:21 140:24
executives' 96:12
<b>exempt</b> 128:24
<b>exemption</b> 103:19 104:4
exhibit 20:8,18 47:20 48:4 50:9 51:2 55:1 58:21 61:20 63:13 67:10,14,18 68:11 89:12 132:12,22 133:1 137:25 139:5,8,10,11 140:4
exhibits 20:12 25:16,22 26:2,7 54:20 58:5,19,22 63:16 68:2 132:9 133:6 140:1
exist 22:18 27:19
existing 8:19 76:18 77:23 78:10 136:8
<b>expand</b> 16:10
expanding 117:1
expands 104:16
<b>expansion</b> 144:2, 5,8,17
<b>expect</b> 69:3 137:25 141:8
<b>expenditures</b> 9:2, 3,5,7 53:4
<b>expense</b> 46:10 91:20 92:6,9 100:1
<b>expenses</b> 39:10, 16,17 85:13 92:16 93:5

Proceedings August 14,	, 2023Index: examinedfift
<b>experience</b> 97:13, 23 99:5 110:6	<b>fairly</b> 17:4 49:12 72:17
<b>experienced</b> 98:13	fall 69:20 132:7
<b>expired</b> 95:3	falling 66:8 falls 150:5
<b>explain</b> 12:4 89:11 96:6	familiar 48:3,10 50:15 72:19 119:8 121:1,20,21,24
explained 96:5	122:23 143:23 146:12
<b>explanation</b> 118:24 121:14	fast 37:17 66:6
explicit 90:20	favorable 91:24
explicitly 15:7	<b>Fe</b> 115:12
expressly 61:9	feasibility 9:6
<b>extent</b> 69:5 74:20 101:14 113:9	<b>feel</b> 38:1 65:18 108:5 114:6 146:8
extra 39:23,25	feeling 6:12
F	fees 12:20 16:9 40:22,23 53:16
facilitate 79:3	68:15 142:11,15 <b>feet</b> 22:8,10,14,16
facilitated 79:21	fell 132:6
facilitates 79:13	felt 115:25
fact 12:16 32:25 54:12 84:20 96:11 99:25 101:24	fewer 96:23 97:25 103:25
114:11 135:10	field 87:15
144:4	fields 87:18
factor 97:15 98:12 101:21 102:8	<b>fifteen</b> 107:5 111:7 113:9 141:2,4
factors 35:25	fifteenth 149:18
facts 54:13 149:3	fiftieth 131:22
failed 121:11	fifty 7:14 28:11
<b>failure</b> 105:12 143:12	29:6 33:19 71:10, 13,14 84:16,17,
fair 64:4 70:25 71:11 72:6,20 82:11 83:2,17	20,21,22,24 86:18,20,22 87:2, 23 88:1 90:7



91:21 93:1 98:3

92:17,22 142:23

	114.1001.pt 01	Troceedings August 14,	20201140XI IIIIy Olgiliilgi oal
fifty-eight 65:25	finish 7:25	fourth 98:1	135:8 143:24
fifty-fifty 56:22	finished 40:24	fragmentation	generally 19:8
fifty-first 27:1,8	finishing 41:10	35:5	21:11,15 23:16 55:15 56:3 73:14
28:12	firm 6:7 19:13	framework 33:13,	80:12 81:20
fifty-five 112:9	fit 34:17		generate 95:4
fifty-nine 65:23	<b>fits</b> 8:1	frankly 37:22 108:18 140:16	genesis 79:25
fifty-one 28:10,15,	flexibility 106:21	freed 100:18	<b>geo</b> 30:23
20 29:2,16,21 69:25	107:1	Frequently 89:24	GIS 93:11
fifty-two 64:21	floor 8:12	Friday 10:3 32:21	give 17:6 20:15
figure 27:22 68:10	focus 96:17 99:16	front 19:22 43:7	27:12 30:1 39:24
83:25 90:7 109:10	126:1	74:6 130:17	43:12 65:19 118:24 138:1
111:15	focused 99:22	full 23:15 68:15	146:5
file 9:11 53:1,13	fold 78:4	88:22	giving 112:24
95:5 132:21 133:12	<b>folks</b> 94:11 99:11	function 37:15	<b>Glad</b> 6:11
filed 10:9 19:24	<b>follow</b> 103:12 106:7 112:4	78:6 131:24 132:1 144:6	<b>Glen</b> 86:6
20:12 34:5 64:6	122:11 123:25	functions 97:6	<b>good</b> 6:14 11:12
77:8 98:8 137:20, 22,24 148:23	146:12	future 36:4 47:1	12:1 14:14 15:22
files 54:16	follow-up 38:10	61:16 73:20,21	17:7,23,24 26:18 31:23,24 44:3,4
filing 41:7 64:3	forever 31:3	78:20 82:18 98:5 103:15 120:5	59:6,7 60:15 74:8
76:22	forget 54:1	125:1	81:7,8 83:20
fill 100:2,18	form 23:14 25:13		91:11 102:12 105:24 118:20
101:11,13 147:18,	48:19 78:7 119:23 120:16	<b>G</b>	128:11,12 129:21
21	formula 97:15,16	gallons 7:13,14	132:3 140:12,15
filled 148:1	98:22,24 100:14	gap 74:16	<b>gosh</b> 108:7
filling 105:1,25 131:6	forty 71:14	gas 14:22	government 114:24
find 32:8 42:4	forty-five 34:16	gave 30:25 126:17	grant 49:3
87:25 116:1	forty-four 66:13	130:19	granted 49:8
138:14	forward 12:23	<b>GBE</b> 91:12	granular 112:16
finding 30:24	13:20,22 33:1 56:12 73:18 74:4,	general 6:2 10:5,9,	granularity
finds 104:1,3	10 103:16	14 36:24 45:18 52:12 55:17 62:19	140:21,22 141:1
fine 35:19 67:17 102:19 141:13	found 61:7 80:14	79:16,24 87:16	grease 17:3
149:14	fourteen 66:2,17	88:16 95:13 103:17 104:10,14	great 18:9 27:12
fines 35:18	fourteenth 148:8	111:5 112:19	28:1 83:5 84:10
		115:22 117:3,5	90:17 119:1



greater 102:16 happened 14:18 **highly** 144:8 **Honor** 6:20 12:1 15:4 50:24 102:1, 17:14,17 20:16 green 124:3 Hillcrest 60:10 2 23:4 24:13,24 77:16 128:13,15, **grounds** 22:1,5 26:2 50:8,11 happening 115:13 20 133:3,17 135:5 53:23,25 54:24 **group** 98:7,11 138:13 148:13 **happy** 54:16 92:18 57:4 67:2 73:7 99:13 109:19 96:6 106:6 **Hills** 18:6,7 61:2 80:23 89:1 95:9 120:21 141:18 77:16 95:20 119:6 118:12 122:14 hard 19:13 90:3 **growing** 104:14 121:21 122:6,16, 125:10 127:16 99:25 25 123:1,25 124:2 128:4 132:19 grown 98:11 **harder** 37:16 126:21,22 127:2, 136:20 138:16,21 growth 98:4 143:21 3,12 129:24 140:6 149:15 130:8,18 132:17 **guess** 18:8 36:22 hazard 74:9 hope 63:21 139:2 62:24 74:9 84:21 138:13 148:13 head 43:19 137:23 horizon 34:2 103:18 104:10 historically 33:10 112:22,23 123:13 heading 105:9 90:16 hour 95:3 110:22 112:7,16,17,19 guidelines 112:24 hear 12:3 15:13 history 33:21 113:8 115:19 113:6,7,17 32:24 42:11 102:23 129:18 116:4,5,6 137:10 140:19 135:4 146:2,11 Н heard 26:5 58:20 hold 27:18 42:21 **hourly** 106:15 63:12 66:7.10 79:12 106:8 **Hahn** 11:14 38:8, 107:17 115:6,7 71:2 74:18 92:18 holding 42:6 9.13 39:19 40:18 141:2 131:2,9 135:20 44:7,8 110:1,2 139:9 **holiday** 105:15 hours 100:12 111:6,11,14,21 hearing 6:2 16:16 105:14,15,17 Holsman 11:14 112:4,23 113:16, 106:17,18 108:24 20:13 26:7 35:14 31:18,19,22 44:8, 18,21 145:20,23 110:16,18 111:6, 55:1 58:22 63:17 10 47:5 84:12,15 147:24 22,24 112:1 94:20 99:21 108:1 86:4 101:6,7 half 116:4,6 124:15 113:10 115:8 102:9 106:12,13, 116:14 122:7,9 20 107:2,12,15, hand 12:24 52:12 **helpful** 31:9,15 127:4 142:12,15 19,23 110:10 53:9 57:10 68:14 39:18 113:23,24 114:8, 123:8 house 30:25 31:1, hey 27:16,22 14,23 115:4,17 2,3 38:21 45:15, handbook 105:5, 30:18 35:21,22 116:3,11,19 16,17,21 111:15 20 107:21 124:9 37:10,15,18 117:8,14,19 **houses** 38:19 108:24 109:11 **handed** 122:15 134:4,7 135:24 117:6 125:16 **housing** 142:16 **Holsman's** 136:24 high 14:6 39:6 handle 8:9 **HRIS** 105:12 home 30:3,5,9,11, higher 76:8 handled 23:18 12 111:17 humanly 89:6 **highest** 35:3,5 hands 16:1 homeowner's hundred 7:14 77:24 34:17 38:18 44:20 highlighted hanging 27:15 45:1 56:20 63:7 119:14 122:3 39:10 honest 116:18,21 123:21 124:3 64:14,15,17,18, **happen** 29:14 honestly 108:6 21,22 65:21,22, 128:19 69:24 117:3 114:3 121:10 23,24,25 66:1,2,5,



8,13,15,16,17 impression 83:12 including 71:8 110:25 68:16,24 74:15 82:1,24 91:17,19 improved 79:18 indulge 105:6 96:23 98:3 114:4 97:6 101:22 122:7 127:3 information 11:23 135:5 inclusion 19:1 improvement 13:9 12:21 13:21 25:25 hunt 88:20 16:2 70:3 79:18 28:25 31:15 64:5 inclusional 91:25 hypothetical 92:2 72:24 81:11 87:3, improvements 9:6 110:14 22 100:17 104:18 10:21 11:1 13:25 inconsistent 120:1 148:24 hypothetically 16:5 29:1 33:16 105:5 44:19 64:17,19 69:23 infrastructure **Incorporated** 70:1,6,19 87:17 138:3 149:19 120:6 I **in-house** 21:11 initial 13:21 78:7 increase 15:25 39:9 **inject** 46:23 22:4 61:2,13 62:1 i.e. 105:15 Inaudible 60:23 123:17 132:21,25 ink 33:10 idea 27:20 116:4 increases 106:6 incentive 36:10. 141:6 146:23 inquire 7:18 14,19 47:5,13,16 incredible 13:14 inquired 6:23 identification 48:25 49:4.21 increment 141:2 86:21 25:16 50:1,4,6,22 51:17 52:1,4,5 54:19 inserted 62:8 identified 64:17 increments 107:5 55:8,10,12 86:25 87:10,19 inside 144:10 incur 40:9.19 88:2 90:11 99:8 incentives 47:7 incurred 12:19,25 installed 138:12, 55:15,19 134:24 identifies 63:22 14 148:13 53:16 69:4 70:18 incentivize 36:21 71:3 85:2 92:19 identify 60:25 instances 78:13, 142:21 143:4,10 61:24 64:25 69:16 incentivized 36:11 17 99:4 101:14 102:5 37:1 incurs 8:24 16:9 instructions 22:3 52:13 **Illinois** 113:25 incidental 84:18 146:5 87:3 independently illustrates 97:23 **instructs** 19:5,11 87:14 88:2 include 9:3,5,8 **imagine** 67:4,6 insurance 30:17 12:20,21 52:13 **Indian** 18:7 77:16 108:20 112:6 31:7 63:6 71:13 72:5 121:21 122:6,16 immediately 8:25 82:20 85:19 **insure** 97:7 101:9 124:2 126:21,22 27:21 29:2 80:8 88:18,21 91:16 104:18 127:2,12 129:24 92:16 119:23 **impact** 56:2,4,7 138:13 148:13 integrate 85:23 included 9:20 impacts 56:8 **indirect** 19:9,12 integrated 13:4 15:11 16:5 52:11 implement 95:22 indirectly 104:6 integrating 12:25 53:8 59:17 60:9 implemented 96:3 62:18 70:5.21 indiscernible 57:8 **intend** 54:20 74:19 82:4 88:23 102:6 123:7 implementing intended 67:9 95:24 120:7 includes 7:8,9 individual 38:21 intention 66:7 13:21 15:23 48:24 41:11 46:2,3 important 32:23 76:6 79:2,6 87:16 79:21 98:18 99:18 interest 7:9 24:4 47:3 90:1 141:20 individually interested 7:5 impossible 97:21



35:21 38:3,16 46:25 **Josiah** 24:19 25:5 102:6 52:12 53:9 56:4,9 100:24 117:24 interesting 34:25 **Kevin** 6:14 81:15 82:16,21 122:19,20 127:20, 35:10 37:5 54:6 **key** 32:6 22 141:20 84:8 85:5,10 84:17 86:25 95:6,15 **keys** 27:15 42:19, jots 141:7 internal 113:7 96:7 100:24 101:2 22 72:10 **Judge** 6:7,14 8:13 102:14 114:19 intimately 72:19 kind 15:15 27:25 11:12 26:12,18 138:4,11,22 139:3 introduce 54:4 31:25 32:7 34:17, 40:5 41:16 46:23 148:11,12,16,17, 95:12 19 35:25 38:2 57:18 58:25 62:23 22 40:21,23 55:20 63:12 81:3 84:11 inventory 56:15 **issued** 12:10 56:18 61:17 86:4 89:5 94:6 **invest** 36:15,20 68:16,21 70:15 **issues** 10:11 107:23 117:20 55:19 72:10 79:25 23:22,24 24:9 132:9,16 135:24 82:18,19 83:16 27:25 29:13 39:24 invested 37:13,19 **judges** 148:2 84:19 88:13,21 40:1 110:7,18 investigation 9:14 jump 59:19 90:20 92:13,17,22 112:12 148:7 investing 35:20 108:6 109:4 110:4 149:17 150:8 **June** 121:6,7 119:5 121:10 131:1 investment 13:8 item 21:10 46:10 144:24 27:1 32:7,17 51:14 jurisdiction 35:13 kinds 24:10 36:22 items 15:12 19:6 justified 80:2 99:2 knee 11:15 investments 27:7, 58:9,12 71:8 90:4 justify 99:25 116:5 10 32:12 33:4,14, 91:1 104:5,7 knew 43:5 15,24 34:1 37:11, 121:15 137:18 knowing 24:4 17 69:18 101:10 K 41:24 138:3 J **K-E-I-T-H** 57:23 knowledge 25:25 investor 114:15 58:13 114:5 **January** 121:5 Kansas 58:3 75:7 **invoice** 84:18,22 Kolkmeyer 11:18, **Jason** 11:14 Karen 6:15 102:13 invoices 82:2 21 86:6 Jefferson 6:16 **Kayla** 11:14 involved 17:25 18:6 23:23 60:14 **Jo** 75:15 **KCP&L** 12:9 L 75:6 77:17 98:6 **iob** 15:22 99:17 KCPL-AQUILA 100:1 108:11 **label** 87:8 119:24 122:9 78:25 involvement 129:22 132:3 labeled 14:8 87:1 keeping 95:22,25 12:22 **Joe** 77:3 80:10 96:2 98:25 99:3, **Lac** 50:18 51:11 **involves** 73:16,18 11,15 100:5,6,7, **John** 6:20 137:19 lack 7:3 56:14 23 102:18,22 involving 95:20 139:8 96:25 143:19 104:1,23 115:7 irregardless 29:16 **joined** 97:24 131:19,24 132:1,3 **lag** 32:15 135:21 **issue** 7:9,11,15, joining 11:22 **land** 19:18 21,23 8:10,13 **Keith** 12:3 57:12, ioint 149:1,2 language 84:7 10:3,24 15:2,16 23 125:25 Joseph 76:5 23:18 27:11 Kentucky 97:14 29:15,20,21 32:23



	- Tanconpt of	110000011110000011111111111111111111111	dox. langulonimilanagomon
languish 35:24	legally 77:19	loan 30:7	124:15 131:12,13,
large 14:21 36:5	length 119:24	lobby 17:1	14 137:21 140:19
75:10	lengthy 66:23	logic 115:2	<b>magic</b> 139:2
largest 33:20 100:3	let all 7:6	logical 15:18	<b>Maida</b> 11:15
lastly 105:4	levees 142:8	long 12:8 33:1,15,	main 87:17 106:14
late 20:12 133:13	level 14:6,20	23 34:1,2 63:3 83:3 92:14 112:17	maintained 120:1,
launch 27:21 39:8	70:23 97:22 99:7 100:8 101:12	119:16 131:3	
41:3	100.8 101.12	146:2,11 147:17,	maintaining 142:22 143:6
<b>Law</b> 6:7	23,24 104:9	19	maintenance
lawyer 21:6	106:23 121:24 140:21,22 141:1	longer 7:5 92:10	120:3
108:23	148:9	longest 92:2	major 14:20 38:4
lawyer's 108:21	levels 43:4	<b>lot</b> 26:24 32:1,20, 21 33:9 36:3	Major's 81:1
lawyers 21:11	lied 70:8	37:13,14 43:3,4,6	majority 30:22 34:18 72:21 82:3
lay 42:8	life 71:10,13 91:21	83:8,11 85:12 93:11 108:4	101:19,20
leaders 100:9	<b>Light</b> 75:7,15	111:24	<b>Majors</b> 12:4 15:23
leadership 101:16	limit 46:1	lots 66:20 112:7	57:9,12,23,24
105:22,24	lines 13:12 27:23	Louis 94:11	58:4 59:6 61:24 67:6 68:9 88:11
leading 23:16 28:18 36:9	52:25 59:14,24 66:20 71:10	Louisiana 37:14	89:3 93:19
learning 35:16	link 142:19 143:2	97:14 102:6 117:1	<b>make</b> 6:5 9:19
leave 8:4 141:15	list 19:20 20:8	love 37:2,3	16:5 21:4 25:18
leaving 93:23	27:4 31:4 133:11,	low 39:7	32:16,18 34:22 37:11 41:19 44:23
leeway 39:25	12,14	lower 45:13 46:12	45:20 46:7 59:19
left 27:15 68:15	listed 64:15	99:7 101:12 102:18	62:24 63:10 65:2 67:9,14 70:19
72:10 74:11	102:21 103:9,23, 24	lunch 81:1 94:12,	79:20 81:13 86:5
<b>Legacy</b> 60:10 62:3	listen 13:18	24 149:25 150:1,5	87:9 88:13 89:6
119:5	listeners 139:13	Lyons 7:24	90:21 131:16 134:13 137:12
legal 8:15 9:1,7,13	listening 7:7 16:21		138:1 139:3
12:20 16:9 29:13 39:1 40:10,22	128:1 149:22	M	140:17 149:6
53:16 66:8,14	lists 64:20	M-A-J-O-R-S	makes 16:20
68:15 77:5,9 91:14 93:4 97:5	literally 27:18	57:23	30:19 90:3 99:25 127:25
Legal-real 65:25	42:22 109:12,14,	macro 31:25	making 15:8 19:17
66:16	20,22 115:13,16	made 9:7 12:13	33:24 41:6 61:12
Legal-regulatory	live 7:11 38:6,21	13:25 23:17 27:1 32:12 33:4,16	62:13 84:9 97:17
66:2,17	<b>LLC</b> 25:9 103:4 119:23 126:4	47:12,16 69:19	management



19:14 99:16 meetings 93:21,22 mind 67:16 69:7 134:15,18,20,25 80:2 94:17 110:13 135:6,7,8,12,16, Manager 120:8,17 memoranda 94:4 114:17 146:4 18 144:16,19 managerial 97:5 **memory** 73:14 145:14 146:4,13 mindful 39:24 **manual** 97:10 mention 7:9 40:2 mistake 96:9 136:11 manually 66:24 mentioned 38:14, mini 7:17 8:11 23 42:10 75:25 11:24 95:6 **Mitten** 6:9 95:9,12 manufactured 86:8 98:20 102:20 101:12 102:10,20 142:16 **minimal** 96:13 112:8 128:4 135:5 117:24 118:2,11, mapped 35:1 minimum 13:9 12 122:14 123:10 Mere 68:5 49:14 54:9 124:6 125:10,14 mapping 28:3,24 **merged** 77:16 30:22 41:4,11 127:15,20 128:4 minute 46:24 80:19 132:15 136:20,23 merger 75:6,11 107:5 112:16,20 137:7 138:16,20 77:3,7,17 80:10 141:2,4 maps 9:4 27:18 90:18,19 91:13 145:11,18 147:5 56:14 85:12 **minutes** 111:8 149:14 95:20 96:19,22 112:9,10,12 mark 34:6 50:9 126:13 **MO** 132:21,25 113:10 137:13 51:1 61:20 146:10 mergers 10:17 138:1 146:3 **model** 32:3,8,14 **marked** 25:16 147:19,21 148:9 33:1 36:12 143:24 merit 93:11,13 47:20,22 50:10 miscategorized 58:5 60:17 meter 27:22 138:3 modeling 89:12 74:2 148:12 **moment** 64:13 material 114:16,19 misplaced 10:18 metering 149:19 66:12 101:2 materials 81:25 108:20 110:4 **missed** 72:13 meters 138:12 **matter** 69:24 131:21 148:12 **missing** 124:25 93:25 103:13 **Monday** 148:8 117:4,5 134:1 **method** 134:16 missions 32:4 money 21:24 27:7 135:10 matters 60:20 Mississippi 97:14 37:14 40:22 61:7 62:5 137:1 methodology 102:6 117:1 108:11 38:5,15 102:7 meaning 19:9 **Missouri** 6:15,17 month 7:13 98:2, 104:3 132:7 14:22 28:1,8 means 49:20 15,16 102:2,3 mic 140:3 33:13,18 34:15,17 86:22 91:3 100:5 109:2 35:11 36:15,20 105:1 142:19 micromanage **months** 41:10 37:24 43:8 56:19 143:2 148:21 140:25 146:7 58:1,3 95:20 morning 6:14 meant 45:4 114:21 microphone 41:15 96:20,21,24 98:24 11:22 12:2 14:14 101:9,10 103:17 mechanism Microsoft 89:13 17:23,24 26:18 104:9,15,17,19,21 115:10,18 144:24 122:12 31:23,24 44:3,4 109:7,8,9,11,12, 145:2 59:6,7 81:7,8,9 **middle** 107:3 13,15,16 110:19 116:12 141:7 medical 11:21 110:11 137:11 111:2,7,19 motion 26:5 47:24 **medium** 92:18 million 9:22 30:21 112:10,12 113:25 50:12 58:20 94:2 38:23,25 44:11,13 116:25 117:2,4,5, meeting 35:4 139:9 46:10,14,15,18,19 7,9,17 129:22 109:19 112:8,9, 55:21 64:14,17 131:25 132:2 13,17,19 146:11



83:24 108:24

motive 36:19 37:4
move 8:9 11:20,24
16:17 53:25 58:18
62:21 67:9 68:6
83:21 86:11 139:4
movement 33:20
moving 15:18
103:16 130:7
multiple 16:1
110:7 112:18
multiplied 44:16

N
names 44:9 75:20
naming 30:23
nationwide 96:1
Natural 35:17
nature 30:4 71:10
85:16 97:20 98:14

**names** 44:9 75:20 **nature** 30:4 71:10 85:16 97:20 98:14 necessarily 60:5 74:12 85:14 107:5 needed 27:12 45:5 needing 108:5 137:11 negligence 86:7 negotiated 18:4 negotiation 121:23 negotiations 23:16 89:19 **net** 37:8 46:6 76:6 **network** 13:1,4 news 17:7 **night** 107:3 110:7, 12.13 111:8 nineteen 37:7

**ninety** 119:20 122:5 126:1 127:1 ninety-five 65:21 ninety-four 39:5 ninety-six 38:18 56:20 ninety-two 131:19 **NOL's** 33:8 **non-** 100:12 105:15 Non-unanimous 132:23 nonarbitrary 71:15 noncompliance 33:19,21 35:3 nonregulated 8:21 **Nonunanimous** 61:1 **normal** 80:13 93:6,9 102:1 137:6 normalized 33:11 97:21 north 27:14 **note** 80:25 **noted** 98:19 110:10 **notes** 81:17 **notice** 54:9,15 63:14 132:14 149:13 number 9:23 27:13 33:6 37:24 38:21 43:2 44:25 45:2,8,12,19 48:4 49:15 50:13 51:5 55:21,24,25 56:18

118:25 122:9 130:14,15 134:17, 19 numbered 61:7 numbering 132:10 numbers 58:21 64:12 133:9,15 0 oath 16:23 118:4 139:14 object 24:2 objection 46:24 63:5,16 68:7 139:10 objections 26:6 54:23,25 58:21 67:11,12 139:10 obligates 122:18 126:7 127:12 obligation 100:18 127:8 observed 98:13 **obvious** 114:21 occasionally 29:19 occasions 83:13 occur 11:1 36:14 71:24 offer 26:2 95:17 96:10 139:8 140:18 **offered** 132:22 133:1 139:7 **office** 6:16,19 37:7 108:21 111:18 officer 94:20

official 17:1,6 63:14 oftentimes 35:19 one-fifth 91:16 one-hundred 131:22 onerous 129:22 online 69:19 **OPC** 46:7,8,11 61:11 67:13,17 108:16 110:14 132:12,22 133:1 135:14 137:19 **OPC's** 46:9,18 open 17:2 65:17 70:15 81:25 **opened** 39:23 opening 8:11,14 11:20,24 14:17 26:24 55:23 74:23,24 75:1 86:21 87:7 95:7, 15 96:16 101:3 108:7 140:13 operate 9:4 operates 113:25 **operating** 6:3 25:7 48:13,18 61:3,13 71:4 75:10 95:21, 22 116:14 119:6,7 120:6 122:17 123:1,17 125:21 126:3,14,21 127:3 128:14,20 130:12 operation 120:3 operational 98:21 operations 22:13 42:24 43:7 46:16 85:21 110:19 117:1 136:7



65:9,12,15 71:15

operators 46:20 overage 106:21 parent 76:4 104:19 134:14 135:16 opinion 27:7 Overruled 24:7 parenthesis 29:25 36:18 92:15 126:21 paying 22:20,22 oversighted 33:4 39:15 117:10 opportunity 11:12 115:21 part 16:2 54:11 134:18,21 144:20 94:10 95:11 61:4 63:2,3 69:6 overtime 106:18 71:7 87:2 110:9 payroll 105:14,16 opposed 12:16 110:8 115:22 121:23 91:18 120:3 **pays** 117:5 owned 107:14,15, 130:22 opposition 137:25 pending 7:1 11:19 16 114:15 partial 88:22 option 38:6 owner 14:1 27:14, **people** 37:15 121:25 18 35:18 42:22,23 38:21 45:11,16 options 6:24 participation 106:1 130:25 owners 29:12 30:9 100:11 order 12:10,11,13, 35:1,6,9,11,15,20 percent 37:25 19 16:9 60:20 parties 7:1,6,21 37:15 39:5 39:5 44:19 93:1 71:5 76:7 90:17 20:6 39:24 62:12. 108:25 109:1.2 owners' 76:23 95:24 96:20 16 68:2 83:23 114:4 117:5 109:25 117:25 84:6 89:22 95:18 ownership 27:20 131:19 135:5,7, 119:21 122:6 102:25 103:2 29:5 69:12 76:8 15,17 126:2 127:1 138:4,8,23 148:20 77:12 79:17 80:8 149:12 percentage 39:7 149:11 82:18 43:12,13,15,16 ordered 93:25 **parties'** 23:14 owning 16:6 52:9 93:1,2 94:9 95:22 99:1 party 46:16,19,20 110:24 116:10 owns 69:13 73:17, 103:2 60:13 61:11,16 132:1 137:5 18 **orders** 15:14 83:18,19 145:13 44:17 54:15 96:18 passed 32:7 percentages Р 131:21 110:23 past 10:16 14:19 organization 82:4 **pace** 98:11 15:1,2,5,6 54:15 perfect 99:12 134:11 59:17 84:22 98:5 package 63:11 perform 72:9 organizational 141:22,24 115:23 116:8 pages 59:13 63:7, 146:14 patience 123:23 10 103:3 125:19 performed 119:24 original 76:6 139:13 122:9 paid 12:14,15 30:6 108:6 pattern 42:6 performing 144:6 pain 38:1 originally 39:5 pay 21:6,25 22:16, 135:4 performs 97:5 paper 67:15 141:7 17 32:25 76:7 **Osage** 47:19 **period** 6:25 28:16 **papers** 23:14,19 115:14 117:2 48:12,18 77:16 33:23 34:2 71:6 67:20 81:24 142:14 74:7,14 85:6,15 145:15 outcome 92:22 **Paycor** 105:12 92:2,11,14,24 paragraph 62:6 outcomes 37:9 payer 134:20 99:23 105:16,18 122:4 128:19 135:1 150:1 outgrown 10:8 paraphrase 60:2,3 payers 12:14,16 permanent 17:7 outlays 13:24 **pardon** 64:13 13:15,17 39:15 **permit** 65:17 outlook 34:10 66:12 76:7,24 101:9



	Transcript of	1 10000 amigo 7 tagast 1 1, 2	ezemaexi percempregrees
<b>person</b> 30:3 140:16,19	46:14,17,19 51:2, 18 52:9 55:20	preliminary 8:15 9:13,14 28:2	99:1 102:25 119:1 131:13 133:21
personally 54:7 96:10 98:5 112:15	65:18 88:13 94:4 96:2	<b>premise</b> 62:19 79:16	private 34:20 107:13 114:16
personnel 96:18	pointing 55:24	prepare 58:4 97:2	115:1
97:12 105:9	<b>points</b> 16:13 44:6	prepared 25:10	privately 107:14, 15,16
perspective 28:17 31:25 34:19	policy 37:18	58:5 138:5	privy 20:6
114:24 135:8	<b>portion</b> 97:7,17 99:12 100:3	prescribes 97:11	problem 27:16
<b>phone</b> 112:6,7	pose 97:1	present 6:6	33:9 34:20,25
picture 80:7 83:20	posed 141:15	presented 97:4 106:23	115:25 116:1 122:22 136:14
piece 141:7	145:24	President 25:8	problematic 104:2
<b>pipe</b> 19:10 22:1,4,	position 12:4 54:8	32:2 100:25	problems 24:5
7,8,10,11,14,16, 17,22 33:23	60:4 80:13 83:16 110:14	Presiding 94:20	34:21 53:5 106:23
<b>pipes</b> 19:12 22:19	possess 68:4	presumed 100:13	procedure 10:7,8 18:23 21:13
pivot 66:21 67:1	post 6:16 29:17	pretty 74:16 109:11	proceed 67:10
<b>place</b> 39:9 40:19,	31:8 79:18 80:8	prevent 61:16	138:9 139:12
22 47:6 80:20 99:4	posted 19:21 potentially 21:22	previous 10:1	proceeding 25:11
plagues 110:10	39:13 70:10	51:5 110:6	38:17 84:9 134:22
<b>plain</b> 90:16	106:23 107:3	previously 17:11 20:11 36:13 38:14	<b>Proceedings</b> 95:1 150:11
<b>Plains</b> 90:17	<b>Power</b> 75:7,15	118:8 127:23	process 32:10
<b>plan</b> 64:17 91:19 92:1,2	powered 27:24 practice 104:4	139:18,23,25 141:17,22	42:7 60:8 66:23 82:7 115:23
planned 64:19	106:2 111:16	pricing 109:4	processes 41:8
plant 18:25 19:3,6,	pre 80:8 95:20	primarily 9:1	processing
10 44:13,16	96:19,22 126:13 137:20,24 148:23	primary 36:10	105:14
55:21,24 69:18,22 70:1,3,6,17 71:8,	pre-filed 96:5	principal 143:2	produce 94:13
12 76:8,10,15	118:13	principle 61:12	produces 104:20
82:5,20	precedent 14:24	62:14 84:3 142:19,23 143:13	professional 12:22 22:13
<b>play</b> 19:4 28:12 35:25	15:19	principles 15:8	profit 16:6,11
pleasure 95:13	precedential 61:18	<b>print</b> 67:21	22:17 36:19 37:3
<b>point</b> 9:22 10:6,11	predecessors	printer 63:8	<b>program</b> 89:13
15:23 30:21	10:2 48:16	<b>prior</b> 10:10 23:18	
38:23,25 42:14 44:11,13 45:5,17	prefer 54:14	29:9 30:4 71:1 74:11,20 79:17	progress 18:24



prohibitive 52:10 project 100:11 projects 101:21 103:18 104:10,15, 22 **promise** 103:14 124:21,22 125:2 promised 128:19 **promises** 121:16 124:15,18,20,21 131:12,13,14,16 132:4 pronouncing 63:21 **proof** 120:7,16 **proper** 9:9 71:6 143:6 properly 81:21 97:17 105:13 124:8 146:6 property 9:9 29:4, 22 31:1,2 42:8 69:4 73:19 100:11 proposal 82:13 100:15,19,23 101:8 **propose** 110:16 112:24 proposed 75:6 100:8,9 116:20 121:5 proposing 100:7 132:6 137:4 140:22 prospectively 98:25 108:12 protect 76:7 **proud** 33:18

**provide** 20:8 64:7

69:23 80:6 93:14

94:1,3,9 106:21 113:5 120:7 122:8 138:17 141:22 provided 22:19 52:19 59:20 72:8 143:4 145:16 provision 61:16 78:18 79:3,8,13, 14,21,22 142:22 prudent 106:2 **PSC** 132:21,25 142:8,11,15 **PTO** 105:15 **public** 6:15,19 25:12 34:20 37:7, 18 58:1,6,19 95:17 107:13 146:13 pump 28:5 33:24 69:20 87:17 **pumps** 19:12 purchase 28:11 30:3 39:3,13 41:23 75:15 77:22 93:8 purchased 12:9 13:8 32:15 77:24 78:2,22 purchases 13:15 77:20 purchasing 30:9 32:10 41:24 **Purely** 108:12 **purpose** 54:19 61:15 106:20 purposes 25:10 45:7 97:17 pursuant 78:14 **pursue** 34:13

**put** 12:6 21:15 26:25 42:6 53:23 61:20 82:8 84:3 93:16 149:9 putting 69:19 Q qualifies 10:7 qualify 38:16 question 10:13 19:2 24:8 25:13 27:6 34:14 36:10. 18,23 44:7,9,24 46:13 47:2 52:15 54:7.14.18 74:8 76:15 77:14 79:19 82:5 84:17 86:2 88:11 110:5 111:1 112:5 113:14 122:20 131:9 135:14 136:24 138:7,10,11 145:12,24 147:25 148:17 questioning 80:1 questions 7:23 8:3 11:5 14:3,4 16:15 18:10,12, 13,15 20:20,21,23 23:2,8,22 24:12 25:21 26:12,14,15 38:10 39:19 43:21 53:20 57:3 58:15 65:17 73:4,11 80:22,25 81:2 83:13 86:9,10,15 88:5 90:4 93:18 94:24 101:2,4,6 106:9,11 107:25 110:1 115:24,25 117:21 125:6,7,8, 15 127:15 133:24, 25 134:2,3 135:25

136:18 140:15,18

141:14,16 145:20 147:1,3,5,7 148:20 149:6 **quibble** 131:18 quick 6:5,22 15:18 16:25 63:19 68:15 137:17,18 139:13 **quickly** 94:13 **quote** 76:5 **quoted** 130:15 R Raccoon 129:5 133:4,18 radar 42:12 raise 57:10 ramp 35:22 ran 23:25 rapid 144:2,5,8,16 rapidly 104:14 rate 6:2,24 7:9,21 9:20,22 10:1,5,7, 9,13,14 12:6,14, 15 13:14,15,17 14:21 15:3,6,8,25 16:7 18:1,3 19:1 36:5,24 37:6 38:15 39:14 44:17,19 45:25 47:7 53:13,14 59:15,17 61:12 62:13,18 70:11, 13,24 71:14 74:20 76:7,23 81:23 82:7,22 84:3,9,25 88:14,16 90:25



91:3,9,10,15,18,

7,12,22 93:5,6

95:19 97:13,17

99:21 100:11

21,22,23,25 92:1,

101:9,17 103:1,18 104:19 106:6 118:23 119:1 121:11 122:23 124:1,4,12 130:11 131:11,13,17 132:12 133:21 134:13,20,25 135:16 144:5,16
rates 32:12,17 33:10 46:12 76:8 77:8 92:16 122:6 127:2
rational 100:15
rationale 96:4
rationalized 99:15
re-allowing 29:3
re-confirm 49:3
re-done 73:20
re-hash 108:5
re-litigate 84:7
re-sworn 16:23
reached 97:18 102:25 125:17
read 18:20 61:14 66:6 105:6 119:16 122:4 142:24
readily 103:11
reading 44:9 62:8
<b>reads</b> 51:15 52:25 61:8
real 23:21 29:11 31:1,2 36:10 42:7 93:12 97:1
realities 98:21
reality 104:16
realized 52:8
realizes 35:21

Transcript of
rear 21:8
reason 7:8 9:16 10:12 15:18 72:21 73:2 97:19 104:13 110:22 115:9 144:23
reasonable 56:10 70:18 92:15 97:9 109:3
<b>reasons</b> 96:15 100:21 144:5
rebuttal 18:19 25:11 52:16,22 58:6 59:8 63:22 64:15 103:11
recall 40:12,16 41:21 44:11 47:12 49:5,13 50:7,24 63:24 72:1,11 73:1 74:9 77:10 83:13 118:22 130:5,6,21 136:24 137:20,23 139:6
<b>receive</b> 12:6 22:15 116:9
received 11:23 94:2 104:24 115:23 118:13 128:5 132:16
receivership 35:24,25 37:24 43:4 76:19
receiving 22:11,12 49:21 50:1,3 99:10 135:16,18
recently 120:22
recess 95:3 137:14,15
recite 78:24
recognize 37:17 51:6 97:19 98:22

recognized 98:20 recross 20:22 recollection 54:3 81:14 recommend 85:3 recommendation 7:12 46:18 70:21, 23 90:21 106:4 140:17,20 recommendations 120:8 recommended 38:5 recommends 102:15 **record** 11:13 17:15 33:18 44:5 54:10,11 55:2 58:22 62:8 63:15 66:7 69:15 86:6 93:24 94:19,23,25 95:2 96:19 100:10 111:1,3,9,11,24 112:18 119:23 120:1 138:9 139:7 141:1,10,11 146:6 148:25 150:9 recorded 10:25 18:24 111:4 137:4 **recording** 96:4,9, 13 135:11 records 28:16 54:8,21 56:14 95:25 recover 12:6 16:6 39:17 70:10 90:20 92:23 recovered 12:14, 15 recovering 11:16 recovery 12:16 13:17 70:22

39:22 40:4 86:11 125:9 136:15 147:2 **RECROSS-**EXAMINATION 86:13 88:9 redirect 23:3,6 39:21 53:22 55:5 89:3,4,8 125:9,10, 13 136:19,22 147:6,10 reduced 37:24 reference 63:4,21 referenced 55:9, 21,22 64:6 69:1 71:24 74:23 81:10 82:1 referred 8:14 35:7, 8 97:11 referring 24:5 46:15 53:4,8 59:15.24 72:23 77:2 88:2 reflect 10:21 61:10 82:12 84:3 reflective 32:17 reflects 86:6 refresh 54:3 refurbished 87:17 regard 14:17 15:2 56:3 60:6 75:2 101:12 114:18 126:17 148:11 regular 82:22 116:14 regulate 35:12 regulated 8:22 10:18 37:23 53:18 75:10,22 77:23,25 78:3 97:20 114:20



	Transonpt of	Trooccaings / tagast 14, 20	J23Index. regulationRivers
regulation 55:13,	reminded 132:11	requested 20:3,12	responsible 32:5
17,19 regulations 35:4	reminder 118:4 139:13	37:7 50:5 91:18 148:25	rest 66:8
78:16	reminding 8:2	requesting 46:12	result 10:22 71:25 80:13 89:19
regulator 37:20	remnant 136:12	52:4 53:13 90:25	resulted 98:11
regulators 97:7, 10,14	removal 80:1 87:2	requests 13:18 20:18 64:20 81:10	resulting 11:1
regulatory 33:13	repaired 87:17	require 106:24	retain 120:7
34:3 36:25 49:23	repeatedly 90:6	required 9:11 53:1	return 12:7 44:17,
57:25 77:10 93:3 143:13	replacement 33:22	54:10 76:24 78:17 96:18 98:16 100:3	20 91:3,20,21,22 92:3 117:9
rehabilitating	report 12:9,11	105:11 112:11 114:1 120:16	returning 48:9
32:11	23:16 90:17 94:12	135:22	revenue 9:23 37:8
reject 11:2	142:4	requirement 9:23	44:16 46:11 55:25 56:2,3 61:2 62:1
related 7:15 8:10	reported 6:25 65:12 100:12	44:16 46:11 55:25	89:14,23 123:16
9:2 10:1,17 15:11 24:9 68:17 69:12	101:18 141:8	56:2,4 89:14,23	132:21,25
70:2 73:12 76:22	Reporter 57:22	requires 131:25 134:9,10	reverse 115:14
77:5 82:14 100:10 137:1 144:10	reporting 103:20	,	review 23:19
	104:4 105:18	requiring 100:1 110:15	reviewed 61:4
relation 143:5	137:3	rescue 36:16	81:25 88:19
relations 124:16	reports 12:22 99:6	researched 74:22	right-of-way 19:15 69:2
relevant 110:18	represent 98:21	resemblance	
reliable 32:4 132:5	131:21	10:19	right-of-ways 93:13
reliance 10:16	representative 104:14	resolution 83:19	rights-of-way
relied 84:6 90:21	represents 9:24	88:15	19:18
116:21	38:19 133:20	resolved 23:10,12	Riley 137:19 139:8
rely 61:17 116:17	135:17	resolving 51:10	Riley's 139:4
remaining 133:3	request 20:3,9	resources 32:5	River 8:17
remark 137:21	27:4 31:4 36:7 47:12 48:12,24	35:17	Rivers 6:3,6,9 8:8,
remedied 74:17	50:22 51:16 52:1	respect 12:5 41:17	19,24 9:13 10:1,6,
remember 18:5,8 42:16 47:11,16	61:2 62:1 63:13	respond 94:6	20 14:17 16:18 18:6 25:7 48:16,
49:6,7 56:21	64:7,16,19,23 65:4,7 67:9,25	response 17:6	20,22 50:18 51:11
62:21 129:15	68:21 74:13 80:5	59:20 64:16 66:19 74:13 80:5 84:19	65:5,8 73:18
131:5	84:19 87:3,15,20,	87:19,21	78:13 79:11 95:5, 8,14,17,21 96:24
remind 16:21 94:16 128:1	22 103:25 104:13, 25 105:8 123:17	responsibilities	97:7,9 98:13
34.10 120.1	132:21,25	98:14 99:17 110:9	99:22 100:7,21
			101:14,17,23



119:7 120:6	salaried 106:15,	127:14	set 14:20,24 15:19
123:17 125:20	20,22 107:17	securing 9:8	61:9,17 90:23
126:3,8,10,13,14	110:8 116:13		92:14 97:15
130:12 132:17	<b>salary</b> 106:16	<b>seed</b> 103:14	107:16,20 129:17
145:8	115:6	seek 21:15	137:16 144:1
Rivers' 10:22,24		cooking 52:16	148:9
19:14 76:14	satisfied 97:15	seeking 53:16	setting 35:14
143:23	saved 67:22 81:16	seeks 9:17	122:6 127:2
	105:17	seemingly 6:22	
road 49:24	<b>Saver</b> 105:19		settle 89:22
Robertsville 43:3	106:9 113:5	<b>sell</b> 30:9	settled 7:6 15:6,9
<b>ROE</b> 52:9	137:10,16 139:12,	<b>send</b> 109:1	62:17 118:23
	13,17 140:7,12	sending 68:2	119:2
roll 30:7	145:12,24 148:5		settlement 7:1
room 71:21 93:23		senior 96:3 99:16,	83:14 88:16
roughly 66:8	scenario 146:1	20 100:1,9 101:16	121:23
	scenarios 112:25	sentence 18:20,21	
rounding 65:9	schedule 7:8 8:1	136:8	settlements 83:8,
routinely 80:7	46:25 121:11	separate 30:8	12,17 88:12 89:18
	149:17 150:5	87:21	seventeen 96:23
rule 47:6 76:6			seventeenth
79:25 94:15 135:8	scheduled 93:22	separated 67:1	20:13
rules 49:24 78:16	schedules 89:15	separately 30:6	
80:14 107:21	93:14		seventy 28:8 34:7
ruling 81:13	science 92:13	<b>Serber's</b> 102:21 103:9,24 137:11	36:2 63:7
		·	seventy-two 66:3,
run 8:21 23:15,25	<b>scope</b> 24:3 98:14	<b>serve</b> 45:13 95:13	17
27:12,23 29:19	99:16	131:22 144:11	sewer 7:2 8:18,20
33:8 37:3 40:11	<b>Scott</b> 11:13	served 97:25 98:3	10:20 13:3 38:19
42:19 88:18,20,21 89:11,16 97:21	corannod 100:6	serves 96:24 98:7	45:4,6,9,12,22
· ·	scrapped 108:6	<b>Serves</b> 90.24 90.7	46:4 56:19,23,25
running 34:1 41:8	scratch 123:22	<b>service</b> 6:16 18:25	79:12 136:8
Rupp 11:13 14:6,	screw 69:16	19:3,6,18 22:19	71.0
11 26:18,23 31:17		58:1 69:23 76:25	sewers 71:9
81:3,6 84:11	search 21:21 30:10	78:18 79:4,8,14,	shareholders
rural 35:10 136:8		19,22,23 82:5,13	16:10
	seat 16:24 57:16	97:22 124:17,20	shift 9:24
<b>Russ</b> 95:12	118:5	131:12 132:4,5	
	seated 128:2	142:22 143:4	shocking 29:10
S	139:14	146:13	<b>short</b> 14:15 97:21
	socondary 38:6	services 108:21	132:6,7
saddled 76:19	<b>secondary</b> 38:6 70:23	serving 45:21	shorter 92:11
safe 32:4 79:8,14,			
19,22 132:5	secretary 123:14	session 68:11	<b>shortest</b> 92:11,13
	section 61:7 77:3	94:10	shortly 94:9
<b>sake</b> 139:7	78:14,15 105:6,10		



	I ranscript of	Proceedings Augus	st 14, 2023Index: showstat
show 121:25	situation 10:8	91:23 122:22	103:8,17 104:15,
123:4 128:13 129:5	72:8,23 111:7	source 19:7	21 108:24,25 109:6,15 110:17,
shown 74:12	situations 99:24	<b>span</b> 71:10,13	22 111:25 112:12
	Sixteen 83:4	91:21	113:9 116:10
shrinking 98:12	<b>Sixty</b> 93:1	speak 24:10 41:14	122:7,9 127:4
side 82:24	sixty-eight 28:9	113:3 120:18,24	134:14 137:5 144:13 146:8
sideways 125:1	34:7	140:2	spilt 33:9
sighting 54:21	sixty-five 65:24	<b>SPEAKER</b> 11:7,10 46:23 54:24	<b>Spire</b> 114:11
sign 41:3	sixty-nine 64:15	speaking 8:7	_
signatories 51:15,	sixty-seven 66:1,	21:15 106:16	<b>spoke</b> 91:7
25	16	special 103:18	sporadic 93:7
signature 122:21	<b>size</b> 38:16	104:10	spreadsheet
128:16 129:6	skewed 56:24	specific 15:2 20:1	66:20,23
signed 103:6 122:19	slapping 87:8	21:5,10 24:5 27:19 30:18,19	<b>SR-2016-0202</b> 133:3,20
significant 13:8	<b>sleep</b> 110:12	55:9 60:6 61:9	<b>St</b> 75:15 76:5 77:3
16:1 98:13 101:20	slightly 9:21	62:13,17 64:19	80:9 94:11
104:25 143:18	<b>slow</b> 24:10 35:19	65:13 86:25 109:19 112:12	<b>stable</b> 34:3 36:25
silly 108:8	<b>small</b> 8:18 10:19	114:22 142:20	49:23
similar 10:3 43:4	13:2 23:25 32:7	143:3 146:5	<b>staff</b> 6:13,15 9:16, 17,25 10:10 11:24
60:9 97:6	35:6 45:25 47:7 60:8 61:2,23 62:1	specifically 9:25	12:3,4 13:11,18
simple 15:20	79:11,12 88:18	31:8 51:21 59:24 114:18 124:16	14:7,9,23 17:19,
16:13 109:11,20	95:19 123:16	131:12 137:20	25 20:4 23:9,13,
simplify 49:25	132:20,24	138:13	15,19 27:16 28:14,16,19,23
simply 15:11	smell 17:1	specification	31:13 35:8 36:6,8
54:14 76:8 89:22	<b>Smith</b> 27:14	89:25	37:19 38:5,23
simultaneously 41:7	<b>Smithview</b> 42:10, 11,16 43:17	<b>speed</b> 13:14	42:13,16,22 45:25 57:7,8 62:7 65:4,
sincere 139:2	72:15,17	<b>spell</b> 57:22 84:2	7,12 66:18,19
single 35:1 108:16	solution 34:20	spend 40:22	67:14,25 74:7 79:20 81:19 82:6,
109:22	<b>solve</b> 34:21	109:12 117:4,7, 10.16	23,24 85:5 86:17
singular 124:21	<b>solved</b> 122:22	spending 107:6	87:23 89:15 90:7
sir 6:11 8:6 16:16,	<b>sort</b> 10:3 23:22	116:3 134:21	96:25 98:23 102:11,14,15,19
24 20:17 31:16 68:13	80:3 100:14	135:2 136:25	104:1,3,24 105:7,
	sorts 99:24	144:15,25 146:1	21 108:13,16
site 42:23	sought 103:6	spends 120:3	109:22 112:21,22, 24 113:3,5,19
1441 4000	_	<b>spent</b> 46:19 97:12	27 110.0,0,10
sitting 108:6	<b>sounds</b> 80:11	99:7 101:10	115:3,5,9,23



116:8 128:14 137:16 140:22 146:16,18 **staff's** 7:12 10:16 11:2 61:4 72:4 88:18 97:2 100:17 106:4 121:4 133:19 139:14 Staff-assisted 10:4,7,13 59:17 74:20 81:22 88:14 95:19 **stand** 16:21 24:17 94:11 96:6 124:23 127:25 standard 13:10 104:4 **Star** 91:12 start 6:6 8:10 16:6 26:24 28:10,19 29:2 31:25 33:4 34:4 36:23 44:5 46:21 55:7 77:13 85:12 95:6 113:2 128:2 140:21 started 42:23 56:18 75:1 108:7 129:17 starting 29:5 136:7 state 11:12 17:14 25:4 33:21 34:15. 21 37:10,21,24,25 47:9,10 49:11,21 50:1 52:6 57:22 71:16 76:21 94:18,20 97:12,22 98:8,19 99:8,18 117:10 131:25 132:2 134:9,10 135:9,12 137:1

138:6,7 144:10

**stated** 15:7 40:14

96:25 100:21 129:21 142:14 statement 8:15 15:23 75:1 77:21 79:3,6 82:11 83:2 95:15 96:16 101:3 statements 8:11 11:20,24 23:17 35:14 84:1 95:7 **states** 25:9 35:2,3, 5 37:12 79:6 98:1, 4,6 99:9 104:17 117:17 134:13,19, 23 135:2,19 144:11,16,17,21 146:8 stating 103:7 station 58:2 **stays** 31:3 steps 79:10 80:17 stick 33:23 stipulation 15:14 51:5,10,14,19 60:12 88:17 149:1,3 **Stock** 75:25 **stop** 16:13 96:9,13 137:12 stopped 96:3 131:6 straightening 73:16 strengths 99:5 stretch 13:16 **strikes** 100:15 structure 91:14 97:4 109:4 146:13,14

stuff 31:10 subject 55:7 subjects 112:18 146:2 **submit** 68:10 105:13 141:9 submitted 8:23 20:3 141:17 148:23 subsequent 8:25 substantial 74:16 substantially 62:7,9 **success** 37:23 sudden 53:6 sufficient 97:16 113:7 120:2 suggest 15:4 suggested 73:12 suggests 103:16, 21 104:8 144:4 summarize 7:2 66:22 103:25 **summary** 67:25 Supervisor 57:25 support 13:24 supposed 103:14 105:25 124:10 **surely** 107:1 surgery 11:15 surrebuttal 15:24 25:12 58:6 59:20, 23 63:21 75:13 76:21 103:9 137:22 139:8 **survey** 9:14 27:21 29:3 39:1 40:23

surveys 9:4 12:21 42:8 80:19 Swearengen 6:8 **sworn** 16:22 17:11 24:20 57:13 87:7 118:8 127:23 128:2 139:18 symptoms 33:22 37:18 synergies 85:25 **system** 9:3,7,10, 12 12:25 13:1,5, 25 15:25 16:4 18:22 19:17 27:12,24 28:4,24 32:16 35:2,23 40:11,24 41:4,12 42:17 43:9,11 50:19 51:11 53:12 68:20 69:19 70:19 72:13 74:4 76:18 85:10 89:12 92:20 93:8 95:23,25 96:2,4 98:25 99:4 100:5 103:8 105:12 116:20 120:1,13 122:8,10 127:4 136:10 142:21 143:3,7,22 148:13 **systems** 8:20 9:5 10:20,21 11:1 13:7,14 19:19 28:3,9 32:9,13 33:17,19,21 34:4, 7,11,14,17,23 35:4,6,7,19,24 36:1,3,17 37:1,7, 23 38:2 39:3,12 43:4,6,15 49:11, 17,21 50:1,3 52:5, 6 56:14 60:10,11 62:3 70:13,16,19 71:3,19 72:22 78:22 79:15 84:23



structured 77:19

85:24,25 92:8
103:5,17 104:9
107:11 119:5 144:21
111.21
Т
<b>T-H-I-E-S</b> 17:16
tab 66:19
<b>table</b> 37:16 67:1 68:16
tables 66:21
<b>tagging</b> 30:23 41:11
takes 78:7 96:6
102:11 125:9
135:8 147:17
<b>taking</b> 46:18 67:22 138:25 149:24,25
talk 9:20 32:22
33:16 47:4 55:15
83:15 92:5 123:13 135:4 146:11
talked 8:16 32:20,
21,25 34:16 35:11
36:13 80:14 88:11
92:12 126:23
talking 13:2 19:8
27:8,11 30:18,21, 22 44:20 45:14,19
63:20 64:1 80:18
92:25 113:7 124:2
125:1 141:3
tandem 41:8
task 108:18
tasked 68:2
tax 36:21 38:3
56:9 71:24
taxes 32:1,25 33:4

36:13

Transcript of
<b>team</b> 19:14 98:15 99:16 101:16 110:17
<b>technical</b> 77:7 102:5
technically 77:15
technology 7:3
telling 56:9 87:10
tells 36:16 37:10
ten 33:12 34:6 36:2,12 44:19 47:23 62:3 83:23 96:23 117:17 129:16 137:13 144:11 146:3
tender 17:17 26:3 58:25 140:7
tendered 26:9
tentatively 91:13
tenure 98:10
term 7:3 33:15
terms 15:8 34:11, 23 36:19 56:22 61:10 124:25
<b>Terre</b> 50:18 51:10
terrible 42:2
test 121:4,5
testified 17:12 24:21 33:5 39:11 57:14 105:21 118:9 127:24 130:25 137:19 139:19,23
testify 96:7
testifying 71:22
testimonies 20:2
testimony 15:24 18:20 23:17 25:11,12,15,19

119:12 120:10,14 121:13 134:8 thirtieth 121:6 thirty 66:1,15 93:23 94:24 thirty-first 121:5 thirty-five 28:7 thirty-nine 66:14 **Thomas** 138:17 Thompson 6:14, 15 12:1 14:9 15:21 17:22 18:10 20:22,23 23:8 24:12 26:11,12 40:4,5,8 41:14,16, 17 43:21 57:8,18, 21 58:18,25 62:23 67:16 89:4,5,9 93:18 thought 37:20 49:24 66:10 108:7 139:7 thoughts 11:17 32:24 **thousand** 7:13,14 38:20 44:21 45:1 46:1,2,3,4 57:1 64:15,18,21,22 65:21,22,23,25 66:1,2,5,8,13,15, 16 68:16,24 74:16 96:23 97:25 98:3 threads 13:6 threat 97:1 **thrown** 92:10 **thumb** 135:8 Thursday 20:13, 14 94:10 ties 143:7 till 26:25 110:11,13 116:25



time 6:25 15:13 20:15 25:19 32:15,16,21 33:1, 3,23 34:2,11 37:2 47:15 49:10 50:5 53:12 58:18 62:5, 21 68:20 69:4 74:7,13 75:11,25 76:15 77:10 85:6, 9,15 86:7 92:3,24 95:22,25 96:2,4,9, 13,19 97:11 98:17,25 99:3,6,9, 11,12,15,23,25 100:3,4,7,10,22 101:9,15,17,18, 20,22 103:4,5,8, 17,18 104:9,11, 15,21 106:24 107:6,8,9,11 108:25 109:11,15 110:9,16,17 111:24 112:14,25 113:1 116:10,22 117:4,7,9,17 119:23,24 120:1, 2,12,19,22,23 121:2,9 122:12 126:8 127:12 131:21,24 132:1 134:14,21 135:1, 6,11,17,19 136:25 137:3,4,6,15 139:1 140:24,25
139.1 140.24,23 141:11 144:13,15, 25 146:3,5,6 148:1 149:25
timeline 40:21
times 8:16,20 16:1 45:7 74:18 88:17 130:7
timesheet 96:25 100:19 101:11 105:12,13,16 111:2,10,13,15,

16,20,25 112:3

Transcript of
114:19 115:18 122:8 131:4 132:1 141:9,11,25 142:2,4 143:6 144:6 146:9 147:15,18
timesheets 7:16 95:6 100:2,6 101:13 102:14,17, 18,22 103:7 104:1,16,20 105:2,10 106:1,3, 5 107:22 108:15, 17 110:15,22 114:1,10,12,22 115:3,10,24 116:9 120:5 122:7,13 124:8,10,13 127:4 128:20,23 129:9, 17,20 130:4,9,13, 23 131:1,7,19 134:9,10,12 135:21 140:22 141:17,23 143:13, 19,22 144:12 145:3 146:17,22 147:13,21 148:1
title 9:8 21:18,20, 21,25 22:12 23:22,24 24:3 29:3,8,15,20,22, 23 30:3,6,10,17 31:6,7 40:10,23 42:7 64:3 67:24 68:25 69:1 73:12, 13,16 119:25
today 6:1,9 7:15 9:16 11:8,15 12:3 15:13 25:22 33:24 58:15 68:11 92:19 94:5 138:18 139:4 148:8
Today's 7:8
T 1-1 400.47

116:20
<b>told</b> 14:25 40:9,18 83:14 147:15
tomorrow 7:24 8:4 94:17 138:4,12, 19,22,23 149:18, 21 150:1
<b>top</b> 43:19 49:15 106:1 129:8 130:15 137:23
<b>topic</b> 136:2 148:10
total 85:22 89:23
totals 66:22
touches 112:17
tower 27:24
<b>track</b> 95:23 104:21 115:7 121:2 126:8
tracked 115:20 119:25 120:23
tracking 103:3 116:21 119:22 120:12,15,19,22 121:9 126:4
tracks 95:25
11acks 95.25
traded 75:25
<b>traded</b> 75:25
traded 75:25 traditional 106:24

28:8 31:5 transfer 18:23 53:11,13,17 79:16 8:08 transferred 53:13 68:21 69:4 transition 12:12, 15,17,24 13:13,24 14:7,8 31:13 41:18 69:8,21 70:4 71:17 75:3 78:25 85:8,19,20, 24 86:1 90:14 91:12 transitioned 85:7 transmission 19:17 treat 90:11 treated 90:14,15 treatment 36:13, 21 71:14 75:3 84:9 85:3 91:1,3, 7,9,11,15,18,23, 24 92:6,23 93:9 treatments 70:14 trick 68:1 trouble 63:8 true 14:19 15:5 25:24 35:15 47:8 58:12 76:18 77:17 88:24 89:20 99:19 107:7 145:17 **Tuesday** 149:18 turn 48:23 51:13 52:22 59:11 60:24 114:1,10 115:18 125:25 126:19,23 128:16 129:8 130:3,8 140:18 **turning** 59:19



**Todd** 138:17

turns 108:23 unbelievable 98:11 **twelve** 98:4 uncategorized twelve-thirty 7:25 81:20 8:4 149:24 150:4 unclear 82:23 twenty 37:23 38:20 44:20 49:11 unconventionally 91:21 97:25 95:16 108:25 109:1,2 undergoing 38:15 twenty-eight undermine 143:13 65:24 underneath 48:22 twenty-five 64:22 understand 21:4. 65:23 74:15 23 28:22 30:20 twenty-fourth 32:14 33:13 37:17 20:14 39:21,23 42:15 two-fold 56:8 45:20 46:7 54:2 65:3 70:20 85:7, **type** 37:10 46:3 11 94:14 148:20 52:11 59:17 74:13 84:2 138:12 understandable 142:2,3 97:3 types 10:19 12:22 understanding 63:20 74:19 78:6 14:9 25:15 29:7 148:10 typical 43:7 72:18, understood 44:24 25 49:24 94:18 150:7 typically 18:4 88:21 92:11 undertakes 72:18 underwriting U 13:15 undue 147:12 **U.S.** 32:6 unequivocally ultimate 54:13 21:14 70:20 uniform 9:12 ultimately 9:4,19 unilateral 96:8 16:11 18:25 19:5 48:19 50:13 75:7 **Unit** 57:25 79:13 99:13 United 35:2 un-depreciated 104:17 92:3 **UNKNOWN** 11:7, unanimous 51:4,9 utilize 128:22 10 46:23 54:24 61:22,25 118:22 unnumbered 62:6

119:2 123:4,15

125:17 132:20

unorthodox 67:3 unprecedented 90:19 unregulated 53:18 unusual 41:23 43:1 upcoming 40:2 upstairs 105:2 urges 100:22 usage 7:12,23 149:23 usefulness 76:9. 14 80:19 **USOA** 10:25 19:5, 11 22:3 **Utilicor** 75:17 76:5 **Utilicor-kcpl**aguila 77:4 utilities 8:18 27:15,17,19 75:11 79:13 107:10 115:15 142:8,14, 16 146:8,10 utility 6:3 18:25 19:3,6 25:7 27:13 48:12,18 57:25 61:2,3 62:1 69:23 76:8,25 78:11,18 79:4,13,22 95:21 97:6,13,18 98:19 114:9,16 115:14 120:6 122:16 123:1,16,17 125:21 126:14,21 127:3 128:14,20 130:12 132:20,24 142:2,3,12,20,21 143:3,4

V variety 115:5 vast 34:17 vendor 66:5 verbally 28:21 versions 25:12 **versus** 7:13 10:5 56:16,23 82:18 85:8 Vice 100:25 **victims** 37:22 view 30:16 viewing 83:18,19 viewpoints 84:4 violates 15:14 violation 15:12 violations 76:19 virtually 89:13 W WA-2019-0185 47:25

wait 15:16 26:25 27:8 52:19 122:20 123:21

waive 138:5

waived 7:21 148:21

**waiver** 47:25 50:12 138:9

**wake** 107:3

walk 29:25 110:4

**walked** 27:14

wanted 17:6 44:23 72:16 80:25

warranted 99:2 waste 32:9 35:1 56:24 watched 87:6 watching 74:22 water 7:2,12,23 8:18,19 10:20 13:2.3 14:21.22 25:9 27:13,17 32:5,9 35:1,2 38:19 44:25 45:4, 6,10,12,22 46:4 47:7 56:19,23,24, 25 60:8 61:23 79:12 142:21 149:20,23 ways 37:22,25 135:3 weaknesses 99:5 **Webex** 11:19 week 6:10,22 7:22 20:12 40:2 106:17 147:17 weekend 110:8 weekly 147:22 welcoming 37:10 West 91:12 whatsoever 72:24 108:12 wholesale 82:13 wide 99:18 101:21 109:20 111:4 113:11,12 wills 108:25 **wisdom** 97:23 withdrawing

51:16,25

withdrew 49:6

witness's 54:3 witnessed 98:4 witnesses 6:24 7:6,22 11:7 13:19 14:7 100:24 138:25 149:23 WM-2020-0403 50:13 Woodsmall 6:10 7:18,20 8:7,14 112:8 124:6 150:2,3 Woodsmall's 74:23 86:20 87:6 word 47:23 62:7 78:15 106:8 122:16 126:20 words 15:9 61:8 work 18:24 23:13, 14,19 28:5,18 29:3,4,11 30:12 58:2 67:15,19,20 72:9 73:12,13,16 77:6 81:24 89:10 95:23 96:19,21 106:17 109:5,9 111:16 119:22,25 120:15 126:4 135:9 144:9,20 145:15 **workday** 106:25 worked 81:12,21 105:14,15,18 110:6 111:19,23 115:8 142:12 workers 17:4 working 28:5 81:22 82:6 94:21 108:24 109:12,13, 15 110:18 112:1 116:16,25 121:18, 19 122:7 129:18

137:1 142:3,5 143:18 worry 138:25 **worst** 38:1 **worth** 90:4 WR-2016-0064 133:3 WR-2017-0259 126:17 WR-2020-0053 61:23 103:1 118:23 119:1 122:24 124:5 132:17 WR-2020-0275 WR-2022-0053 132:22 95:5 write 56:4 71:24 16,17,19 112:2 113:10 137:24 141:10 146:9 149:6 149:9 66:10 112:21 Υ year 34:6,10 71:10,13,17,20 91:11,15,16,21 98:15 102:1,2 121:4,5 years 27:13 33:6,

7,12 36:2,12

71:14,15 83:4

92:10,12 93:7 99:3 129:16 136:12 145:13 yesterday 32:20, 21 34:14 137:19 139:5 125:17 130:11,16 60:22 132:18,25 **WR-2023-0006** 6:4 72:5,6 109:13,14, writing 111:13,20 wrong 30:2 47:21