Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Plant-in-Service/ Depreciation Reserve/ CIAC/ CIAC Amortization Expense Witness/Type of Exhibit: Addo/True-Up Rebuttal Sponsoring Party: Case No.: WR-2013-0461

## **TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

#### OF

## WILLIAM ADDO

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

# LAKE REGION WATER & SEWER COMPANY

## CASE NO. WR-2013-0461

March 11, 2014

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer Company's Application to Implement a General Rate Increase in Water and Sewer Service

File No. WR-2013-0461

#### AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM ADDO

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF COLE )

William Addo, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. My name is William Addo. I am a Public Utility Accountant I for the Office of the Public Counsel.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my true-up rebuttal testimony.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

equ Ando

William Addo Public Utility Accountant I

Subscribed and sworn to me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March 2014.



JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 23, 2017 Cole County Commission #13754037

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My Commission expires August 23, 2017.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
III.	PLANT-IN-SERVICE	2
IV.	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE.	2
v.	CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION	3
VI.	CIAC AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	4

1		TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
2 3		WILLIAM ADDO
4 5		LAKE REGION WATER AND SEWER COMPANY
6		
7 8		CASE NO. WR-2013-0461
9	I.	INTRODUCTION.
10	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
11	A.	William Addo, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230.
12		
13	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME WILLIAM ADDO THAT HAS PREVIOUSLY FILED
14		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
15	A.	Yes.
16		
17	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
19	A.	The purpose of this True-Up Rebuttal Testimony is to respond to the True-Up Direct
20		Testimony of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("MPSC") Staff witness Ms.
21		Kimberly K. Bolin regarding Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation Reserve,
22		Contributions in Aid of Construction ("CIAC"), and CIAC Amortization Expense.
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	III.	PLANT-IN-SERVICE.
2	Q.	DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL AGREE WITH THE MPSC STAFF'S TRUE-UP PERIOD
3		PLANT BALANCE REFLECTED IN THE UNIFORM SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS
4		("USOA"), ACCOUNT 346 (METERS), FOR THE SHAWNEE BEND WATER
5		OPERATIONS?
6		
7	А.	No. My review of the MPSC Staff's Workpaper, Rice-LRWS After True-Up Work
8		Paper-Rice 2-26-2014, Tab: Water, shows that the MPSC Staff has made an adjustment
9		to include an amount of \$252 in USOA account 346 that has already been included in the
10		test year. Public Counsel believes this adjustment is duplicative and should be removed
11		from plant.
12		
13	IV.	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE.
14	Q.	DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL AGREE WITH THE ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
15		RESERVE BALANCE REFLECTED BY THE MPSC STAFF AT THE END OF THE
16		TRUE-UP PERIOD FOR THE SHAWNEE BEND WATER OPERATIONS?
17		
18 19	А.	No.
20		
I	I	

1	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN.
2	А.	Public Counsel believes that the accumulated depreciation reserve balance included in the
3		Lake Region Water and Sewer Company ("LRWS" or "Company") cost of service is
4		reflective of the overstated plant balance resulting from the duplicative plant entry
5		referenced above. The accumulated depreciation reserve balance should therefore be
6		recalculated based on the corrected plant balance at the end of the true-up period.
7		
8	V.	CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION.
9	Q.	DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL AGREE WITH THE CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF
10		CONSTRUCTION BALANCE REFLECTED BY THE MPSC STAFF AT THE END
11		OF THE TRUE-UP PERIOD FOR THE SHAWNEE BEND WATER AND SEWER
12		OPERATIONS?
13		
14	А.	No. My review of the Company's general ledger shows that four new water
15		customers and four new sewer customers have been connected with water and sewer
16		services in the true-up period. The MPSC Staff, however, did not include the CIAC
17		associated with these new connections in its true-up calculations.
18		
19		
20		
l	I	3

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF CIAC RELATING TO THESE NEW
2		CONNECTIONS?
3		
4	A.	By Public Counsel's calculations, the CIAC relating to these new connections
5		would amount to \$2,440 for the Shawnee Bend water operations and \$1,120 for
6		the Shawnee Bend sewer operations.
7		
8	VI.	CIAC AMORTIZATION EXPENSE.
9	Q.	DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL AGREE WITH THE CIAC AMORTIZATION
10		EXPENSE BALANCE REFLECTED BY THE MPSC STAFF AT THE END OF
11		THE TRUE-UP PERIOD FOR THE SHAWNEE BEND WATER AND SEWER
12		OPERATIONS?
13		
14	А.	No. Public Counsel believes that the CIAC amortization expense included in the
15		Company's cost of service is reflective of the overstated plant balance and the
16		understated CIAC balance referenced above. The CIAC amortization expense
17		balance should therefore be recalculated based on the corrected plant and CIAC
18		balances at the end of the true-up period.
19		

1	Q.	DID YOU DISCUSS PUBLIC COUNSEL'S CONCERNS REGARDING THESE
2		ERRORS WITH THE MPSC STAFF?
3	A.	Yes, I did. My understanding is that the MPSC Staff agrees with Public Counsel
4		that these corrections need to be incorporated into their recommendations to
5		address Public Counsel's concerns.
6		
7	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
8	A.	Yes, it does.