## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Procedural Conference

February 15, 2017

Jefferson City, Missouri

Volume 1

Derald Morgan, Rick and Cindy Graver, William and Gloria Phipps, and David Lott,

Compl ai nants,

٧.

Carl Richard Mills, Carriage Oaks Estates, Distinctive Designs and Caring Americans Trust Foundation, Inc., (f/k/a Caring American Foundation, Inc.,)

Respondents.

NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

File Number WC-2017-0037

REPORTED BY: Jennifer Leibach, CCR Number 1108 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	KARL FINKENBINDER, Attorney at Law (VIA TELEPHONE) Schenewerk & Finkenbinder
4	500 West Main Street, Suite 305
5	Branson, Missouri 65616 417.334.7922
6	karl@sfalawfirm.com FOR: Complainants
7	
8	BRYAN WADE, Attorney at Law (VIA TELEPHONE) WHITNEY SMITH, Attorney at Law
9	Husch & Blackwell 901 St. Louis Street, Suite 1800
10	Springfield, Missouri 65806 417. 268. 4116
11	bryan. wade@huschblackwell.com FOR: Respondents
12	Tok. Respondents
13	JACOB WESTEN, Legal Counsel Public Service Commission
14	200 Madi son Street P. O. Box 360
15	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.751.5472
16	jacob.westen@psc.mo.gov FOR: The Staff of the Missouri Public Service
17	Commission
18	
19	RYAN D. SMITH, Attorney at Law Office of Public Counsel
20	200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230
21	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 FOR: Office of Public Counsel and the Public
22	Tokk of the of the searcest and the tability
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDI NGS
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: This is Case Number
3	WC-2017-0037 in the matter of Derald Morgan, Rick and
4	Cindy Graver, William and Gloria Phipps and David Lott,
5	Complainants versus Carl Richard Mills, Carriage Oaks
6	Estates, Distinctive Designs and Caring Americans Trust
7	Foundation, Respondents.
8	My name is Nancy Dippell, and I'm the
9	Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this case. We've come
10	here today for a bit of a procedural conference. We
11	have several things going on since the Complaint was
12	filed. We've had a Motion to Dismiss, an Amended
13	Motion to Dismiss, Motions for Mediation, Motions to
14	Not Have Mediation.
15	So first I'm going to let each of the
16	attorneys make an entry of appearance and let's just go
17	ahead and start with the complainants.
18	MR. FINKENBINDER: Karl Finkenbinder
19	enters his appearance on behalf of all the
20	complainants: Derald Morgan, Rick and Cindy Graver,
21	William and Gloria Phipps, and David Lott.
22	JUDGE DI PPELL: Thank you. And
23	Respondents?
24	MR. WADE: Brian Wade and Whitney Smith
25	for all the named respondents in the case.

1 JUDGE DI PPELL: Thank you. And Staff? 2 MR. WESTEN: Jacob Westen, attorney for 3 the Staff of the Public Service Commission. JUDGE DIPPELL: And Public Counsel? 4 5 MR. SMITH: Ryan Smith on behalf of the 6 Office of Public Counsel. We're ready to proceed. 7 JUDGE DI PPELL: Okay. So like I say, I 8 called you-all here today so we can try to figure out 9 where to go from here procedurally, and I'm getting a 10 little bit of feedback of myself, so I don't know if 11 that's interfering with -- with you-all being able to 12 understand me. Let me know if you have trouble. 13 So I guess the first thing I want to do 14 is ask the -- well, let's see. We had yesterday an 15 Amended Motion to Dismiss filed, and I wanted to know 16 if that in any way resolved the issues, I guess. 17 MR. FINKENBINDER: Your Honor, this is 18 Karl Finkenbinder, attorney for the complainants, and I 19 did just have a chance to review it this morning. 20 Obviously this was filed last minute, so I think what 21 was stated in the Motion to Dismiss was -- the Amended 22 Motion to Dismiss was pretty identical to what was 23 previously filed as a Motion to Strike, a Motion for 24 Mediation.

It was a lot of the same stuff, but on

25

behalf of the complainants, we do not believe that it 1 2 would resolve it. I know Brian's going to argue that 3 because of what has happened subsequent to the 4 Complaint being filed, the dynamic has changed, but 5 even if that were the case, we believe that what has 6 occurred is still put -- still puts this matter before 7 the PSC and it would have jurisdiction. 8 If the complainants -- or if the judge 9 requires the complainants to file an amended complaint 10 to address what's happened very recently, since the 11

requires the complainants to file an amended complaint to address what's happened very recently, since the beginning of the new year, unbeknownst to the complainant, we can do so, and address it pleading-wise, but that's -- that's at least our perspective on what was filed yesterday.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE DIPPELL: So -- so excuse me. The complainant's position, then, is that the way the corporation was formed and so forth does not relieve their -- their complaints.

MR. FINKENBINDER: That's correct, Your Honor. And even though I have not been able to file Suggestions in Opposition to it, I was prepared -- I think I will state that I think that the Staff has filed a Motion for Mediation, which we agreed with, and we filed something in conjunction with that.

And the Staff counsel laid out several

different reasons that we also agree with, and I think were very appropriate and on point. And in particular, even though Mr. Wade addressed them in response -- in another pleading in response to those, he didn't adequately -- we don't believe that the respondents adequately addressed those issues specifically.

And I think the main one that we've got a big problem with is that the bylaws of the new corporation that they have set up still give the respondents all the control and all the power to do whatever he wants, which is what has occurred from day one out there. He's going to have multiple votes, he has multiple lots that do not have any water or sewer to them, yet they have a right to vote according to the bylaws.

So what he's done through the setup of these new bylaws has created a method in which he can -- the votes or the voice of my clients will be worthless. They might be able to cast a vote, but it will never do anything because he's able to control it by multiple votes. And the Staff counsel pointed out appropriately that that does violate Section 393, and we don't believe that any of those -- of the pleadings filed by the complainant even addressed that. They ignore it, wholesale. At least with regards to that

particular issue.

And so even if Caring Americans, which was the named respondent, no longer owns the water and sewer, if the Court were -- if the Judge were to believe that the complainants need to amend their complaint, we can do that, but the same issue is going to come up. We still have the same problems in that it does not -- it does not meet -- sorry, the non-profit company that the respondents have set up in an effort to remedy the issue still do not meet one of the exemptions from the PSC regulations and jurisdiction.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And Mr. Wade, did you want to respond to that?

MR. WADE: Yeah -- yes, I would like.

Judge, the history, briefly, I represent a gentleman and the various entities. He's 78 years old, he's a widower. He developed this subdivision a number of years ago and it has not developed, I assume, as he anticipated.

So there are less than ten actual homeowners in the subdivision. And it's been that way for some period of time. Mr. Mills has historically, he had an operating permit through DNR to run the water/sewer system. I don't think there's any question that there's been acrimony between some of the

homeowners and Mr. Mills about the operation of that water and sewer system, although he's had an operating permit for a number of years and hasn't had any issues with regard to the operation of it, to my knowledge.

With regard to how this particular action of Mr. Mills through some estate planning process transferred the real estate, water and sewer to a non-profit organization that he formed. At an annual meeting, Mr. Mills disclosed that he had transferred that property to a company called Caring Americans. And that lead to the complainants filing this action alleging that this Caring Americans was not -- was selling water and sewer for gain and therefore subject to PSC jurisdiction.

We initially filed motions -- (Reporter asked for clarification.)

MR. WADE: After the Complaint was filed, we responded with a Motion to Dismiss generally alleging that the PSC did not have jurisdiction over this particular development. In response to PSC Staff filed a motion or recommendation suggesting that the -- although the PSC did not rule, it suggested that the PSC did have jurisdiction but that the PSC would not have jurisdiction if, for example, a non-profit water and sewer company were formed and it accepted the

1 assets and ran the water and sewer system. 2 We didn't have -- we didn't agree with 3 that condition and still don't, but I think Mr. Mills 4 in an effort to avoid cost of litigation wanted to try 5 to resolve it, so we had some conversations with the 6 PSC Staff about it, and scheduling mediation, et 7 cetera. We elected to just -- given the options, we 8 elected to form the non-profit water and sewer company, 9 and that took some time to -- to get those ducks in a 10 row. 11 And you can see from the Amended Motion 12 to Dismiss, that that non-profit water and sewer 13 company has been formed, and that in fact the water and 14 sewer system real property had been transferred to this 15 So there is a new company. It had the assets 16 of the subdivision in it. The membership, according to 17 bylaws, is any member that lives within the subdivision 18 19 (Reporter asked for clarification.) 20 (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 21 JUDGE DIPPELL: So the membership 22 includes? 23 MR. WADE: The membership includes all 24 those persons in the subdivision that are -- that 25 receive or are to receive water and sewer services from 1
 2
 3

the water and sewer company. So that includes everybody that owns basically property within the development.

And I heard Mr. Finkenbinder take issue with the fact that there's some control by Mr. Mills over this non-profit water and sewer company. Let me first say that if there's an issue in that regard, it's not for the PSC to work out, it's for some other mechanism because they are all members of this company, and so they can either take issue with the -- through the corporate bylaws, through the corporate articles, or through I guess circuit court, hopefully not, but they have a mechanism by which they can address that.

Second, what he didn't say when he complained about the way it's set up is that even though there are members that have property that don't, at the current moment receive water and sewer services, they have to pay to participate in -- and pay a portion of those costs and -- associated with the operation of water and sewer system.

So that -- and we patterned these bylaws and articles. We found other non-profit water and sewer systems that were set up near this area, and we got copies of those documents to the extent we could, and we patterned these after those.

And then we -- so after we got that process started, and this goes to the Motion to Strike we filed, we sent the bylaws and the articles to Mr. Finkenbinder and to the PSC Staff counsel, and we said hey, give us your comments, and let us know what you think, what's your input.

And instead of getting any input or comments, we got a motion -- the motion filed with the PSC saying that it was inadequate without discussing it with us, and it was subject to confidentiality, so we thought that was unfair. That's why we filed a Motion to Strike. We didn't get any comments from Karl other than a motion saying we ought to do mediation.

So it seems to me that this -- the formation of this non-profit makes this moot, that the PSC -- that you need to rule upon the issue of jurisdiction, and then I think we're done. It does not mean that they have no remedy. They certainly have the remedies through their ownership interests and the non-profit.

It's equivalent to a cooperative and as I understand the statutes, if it's operated correctly, then they will pay for their utility usage, and that at the end of the year, there will be an accounting of the true-up, and if there are any excess revenues from the

1	operation of the non-profit, then it will be reimbursed
2	or allocated back to the owners of the of the
3	non-profit system.
4	So I think that it complies with the
5	non-profit statutes and it's set up and it owns the
6	assets currently, and I think I think, I hope, we're
7	done with involving the PSC.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Mr. Westen
9	MR. MORGAN: Your Honor.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Oh, I'm sorry.
11	MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, this is Derald
12	Morgan, and I
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Morgan, you're
14	represented by an attorney in this matter, so I'll have
15	to let you speak through them.
16	MR. MORGAN: All right.
17	(An off-the-record discussion was held.)
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: I was about to ask
19	Mr. Westen, has Staff had an opportunity to review the
20	documents, the corporation documents?
21	MR. WESTEN: So are you referring to the
22	documents attached to the Amended Motion to Dismiss,
23	Your Honor?
24	JUDGE DI PPELL: Yes.
25	MR. WESTEN: Despite the late filing,

Staff has reviewed them. I would not say that we've had an opportunity to extensively consider the legal consequences of those documents.

There are still two items that immediately jumped out to Staff regarding the 393 statutes. The first being that it wasn't explicitly clear on the face of the documents attached to the Amended Motion to Dismiss that the five board members were actually members of the company and actually owned property or lots there in the subdivision. I think Mr. Wade's statements this morning have suggested that that is actually the case, that all five of those board of directors are members of the company and therefore own lots within that subdivision plot.

The only other item that Staff has not been able to determine based on the documents in the Amended Motion to Dismiss is the number of votes per customer. The non-profit 393 statutes for both sewer and water are very clear that each member gets one vote. Votes in 339.839 RSMo for sewer and 393.921.7 for -- RSMo for water.

And both of those suggest that if you are a customer, you have one vote per customer for any issues that come -- that come before the board or that organization. That fact wasn't immediately clear in

the filing, and I don't know that Staff can make any 1 2 comment as to whether or not this is a complete 393 3 that follows the statutes without that information. 4 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. And what 5 about Public Counsel, did you have anything you wanted 6 to add, Mr. Smith? 7 MR. SMITH: I think we need more time to 8 evaluate the motion. It's been filed yesterday. OPC 9 had similar concerns about equal voting among the 10 members and how those voting shares are being So at this stage, nothing really else 11 allocated. 12 substantive to add. 13 JUDGE DI PPELL: Okay. 14 MR. WESTEN: If I may, this is 15 Mr. Westen. 16 Staff used its role in this case not 17 being a party with an agenda, but simply obligated by 18 the regulations to participate in this Complaint. 19 should the complainants and respondents reach some kind 20 of settlement outside of this proceeding, Staff would 21 not have any input in that or say whatsoever. 22 So to the extent that the parties are --23 the complainants and respondents are talking to each 24 other and working on a settlement outside of our input, 25 that's, you know, beyond the scope of what Staff would

1	revi ew.
2	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Okay. Well
3	
4	MR. FINKENBINDER: Your Honor.
5	JUDGE DI PPELL: Yes.
6	MR. FINKENBINDER: Sorry, this is Karl
7	Finkenbinder, and I apologize, I didn't mean to
8	i nterrupt.
9	JUDGE DI PPELL: No, go ahead.
10	MR. FINKENBINDER: If it's appropriate,
11	may I respond to a couple of the statements by
12	Mr. Wade?
13	JUDGE DI PPELL: Sure, go ahead.
14	MR. FINKENBINDER: Okay. I think that
15	it's important, and I think Staff counsel highlighted
16	it again in conjunction with what I previously said,
17	the one-member-one-vote issue.
18	But additionally, as he already briefed
19	out and we joined in his brief that the slightly
20	related issue is also whether or not somebody has a
21	right to vote if or if their lot or their ownership
22	of the lot within the corporation doesn't receive any
23	service at all, which is the vast which is the case
24	with the vast majority of the lots that exist out there
25	now.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And those two issues, we believe, and I know what Mr. Wade says is that now that he's formed this non-profit, that no longer brings in the jurisdiction -- or now it does not fall within the jurisdiction of the PSC, but that's not completely true.

One of the exemptions, the way it would become exempt from the PSC is if he had formed a properly -- properly formed non-profit company pursuant to Section 393, and we're saying that since he has not, it still falls within the purvey of the PSC because it no longer meets one of the exemptions.

And to go slightly back, Your Honor, I think it's important to understand what's happened and why we did this. My clients didn't hire me to just run off and file something before the PSC without talking to the respondents. We have -- it is like pulling teeth to deal with Mr. Wade's client. And I'm not blaming Mr. Wade. I've known him for a long time, I get away with him fine.

But his client has been one of the most obstinate persons I've ever met in my entire life and we have tried to meet with him on multiple occasions. We've tried negotiating with him. He refuses. We warned him before we filed this Complaint with the PSC that -- asked him if there's any way we can work something out because what's happening is my client has no say, they have no idea what's going on.

He unilaterally controls the budget. He sets the fees, they get bills in the mail with no idea what this means. He unilaterally controls the system itself, so much so that he's done things -- he's shut it down on multiple occasions, it's failed on multiple occasions. It's burned out purposes that my client are paying. Each time a pump fails, they pay over a thousand dollars to go fix it because the system is just unilaterally controlled by one man. It's one man. That's it. Who's doing everything. He files the paperwork, he sets the budget.

There's no transparency and is that's why we ended up where we're at. We didn't want to run and file a lawsuit because we thought PSC had jurisdiction, but before we filed this, we did ask them if they would be willing to meet and try to resolve this. We were ignored, and then when we finally did this, when we finally made the Complaint, it got Mr. Mills' attention. He realized that what he had done may be inappropriate, and then halfway through this process, or through the Complaint itself, unbeknownst to any of my clients, he makes the transfer.

And Mr. Wade simply sends these documents out for review, but we had no idea that he was going to actually effectuate the transfer during the tenancy of this Complaint. And I think that -- that -- those actions just are further proof and evidence that Mr. Mills does whatever he wants, when he wants, without any input from my clients. And that's ultimately what the problem is.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, and I'm going to have to agree with Mr. Wade that it seems like basically the jurisdictional issue is the key here, and that the PSC needs to rule on that. Is there -- is there anything else that you-all want to bring up with me?

What I'm thinking is that I will leave you-all to discuss, perhaps there's some agreement you can come to or another way to figure this out, and in the meantime, I will have -- get the Commission to rule on the jurisdiction issue, if there's no agreement or if you don't -- I mean, maybe you'll come back and say yeah, you want to go ahead and mediate it, but -- try that before -- before the Commission rules on the jurisdiction issue, but that appears to me to be the way to proceed.

Is there any other input from --

1	Mr. Wade, did you want to have any other input?
2	MR. WADE: No. Mr. Finkenbinder made a
3	number of factual arguments that I disagree with, but I
4	agree with the Court's analysis as to how to proceed.
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Mr. Finkenbinder,
6	did you have anything further?
7	MR. FINKENBINDER: No, Your Honor. The
8	only thing I would request is may I have since
9	Mr. Wade filed that new pleading yesterday, may I have
10	time to file Suggestions in Opposition to it and why I
11	think that the PSC does have jurisdiction?
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. And in fact, I will
13	also give Staff and Public Counsel a chance to file
14	anything additionally. Do you think you can do that in
15	a week?
16	MR. FINKENBINDER: Yes, Your Honor, I can
17	do that within seven days.
18	JUDGE DI PPELL: Okay. Let's say seven
19	days, then, for responses to that motion. Try not to
20	drag this out any longer. With it falling over the
21	holidays as it did and changes in Staff and everything
22	else that was going on at the Commission, I apologize
23	if this has drug out a little longer than maybe it
24	should have, but hopefully now we can can get things
25	rolling and get this decided and off of everybody's

1	case Load. So did anyone else have anything that they
2	wanted to say on the record?
3	MR. WESTEN: Just a quick clarification,
4	Judge. Should the parties expect an order from you
5	saying seven days starting from tomorrow, making that
6	the February 22nd, Wednesday?
7	JUDGE DIPPELL: Sure, that sounds good.
8	I'll issue a short order when I after this.
9	MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Uh-huh. Okay. If
11	there's nothing else, then, that needs to be on the
12	record, we can go ahead and conclude the on-the-record
13	portion and I will leave you-all. The line is open
14	until noon. So I'll leave you-all to discuss things
15	among yourselves. We can go off the record.
16	(End of Proceedings.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF MISSOURI )
3	) ss: COUNTY OF WARREN )
4	
5	I, JENNIFER L. LEIBACH, Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR
7	Number 1108, and Certified Realtime Reporter, the
8	officer before whom the foregoing matter was taken, do
9	hereby certify that the witness/es whose testimony
10	appears in the foregoing matter was duly sworn by me;
11	that the testimony of said witness/es was taken by me
12	to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
13	typewriting under my direction; that I am neither
14	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
15	parties to the action in which this matter was taken,
16	and further that I am not a relative or employee of any
17	attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto,
18	nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
19	of the action.
20	Our Hoidead
21	Jani Fribach
22	Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	

	 [	 I	 I	 I
A	apologize 15:7	<b>big</b> 6:8	chance 4:19	17:21,24 18:4
ability 21:12	19:22	<b>bills</b> 17:5	19:13	complaints 5:18
able 4:11 5:20	appearance 3:16	<b>bit</b> 3:10 4:10	changed 5:4	complete 14:2
6:19,20 13:16	3:19	Blackwell 2:9	changes 19:21	completely 16:5
accepted 8:25	appears 18:23	<b>blaming</b> 16:19	<b>Cindy</b> 1:11 3:4	complies 12:4
accounting	21:10	<b>board</b> 13:8,12	3:20	concerns 14:9
11:24	appropriate 6:2	13:24	circuit 10:12	conclude 20:12
acrimony 7:25	15:10	<b>Box</b> 2:14,20	City 1:7 2:15,21	condition 9:3
action 8:5,11	appropriately	Branson 2:4	clarification	conference 1:5
21:15,19	6:22	<b>Brian</b> 3:24	8:16 9:19 20:3	3:10
actions 18:5	area 10:23	Brian's 5:2	<b>clear</b> 13:7,19,25	confidentiality
actual 7:20	argue 5:2	<b>brief</b> 15:19	<b>client</b> 16:18,21	11:10
add 14:6,12	arguments 19:3	briefed 15:18	17:2,9	conjunction
additionally	<b>articles</b> 10:11,22	briefly 7:15	clients 6:18	5:24 15:16
15:18 19:14	11:3	<b>bring</b> 18:13	16:15 17:25	consequences
address 5:10,12	<b>asked</b> 8:16 9:19	<b>brings</b> 16:3	18:7	13:3
10:13	17:1	BRYAN 2:8	<b>come</b> 3:9 7:7	consider 13:2
addressed 6:3,6	assets 9:1,15	bryan.wade@	13:24,24 18:17	<b>control</b> 6:10,20
6:24	12:6	2:11	18:20	10:5
adequately 6:5,6	assigned 3:9	<b>budget</b> 17:4,14	comment 14:2	controlled 17:12
agenda 14:17	associated 10:19	burned 17:9	comments 11:5	controls 17:4,6
<b>ago</b> 7:18	assume 7:18	<b>bylaws</b> 6:8,15,17	11:8,12	conversations
<b>agree</b> 6:1 9:2	attached 12:22	9:17 10:11,21	Commission 1:1	9:5
18:10 19:4	13:7	11:3	2:13,17 4:3	cooperative
agreed 5:23	attention 17:22		18:18,22 19:22	11:21
agreement 18:16	<b>attorney</b> 2:3,8,8	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}}$	company 7:9	copies 10:24
18:19	2:19 4:2,18	C 2:1	8:10,25 9:8,13	corporate 10:11
<b>ahead</b> 3:17 15:9	12:14 21:17	called 4:8 8:10	9:15,15 10:1,6	10:11
15:13 18:21	attorneys 3:16	Caring 1:15,16	10:9 13:9,13	corporation
20:12	avoid 9:4	3:6 7:2 8:10,12	16:9	5:17 6:9 12:20
<b>alleging</b> 8:12,19		Carl 1:15 3:5	complainant	15:22
allocated 12:2	<u>B</u>	Carriage 1:15	5:12 6:24	correct 5:19
14:11	back 12:2 16:13	3:5	complainant's	correctly 11:22
amend 7:5	18:20	case 3:2,9,25 5:5	5:16	<b>cost</b> 9:4
amended 3:12	based 13:16	13:12 14:16	complainants	costs 10:19
4:15,21 5:9	basically 10:2	15:23 20:1	1:13 2:6 3:5,17	counsel 2:13,19
9:11 12:22	18:11	cast 6:19	3:20 4:18 5:1,8	2:21 4:4,6 5:25
13:8,17	beginning 5:11	CCR 1:22 21:6	5:9 7:5 8:11	6:21 11:4 14:5
American 1:16	<b>behalf</b> 3:19 4:5	certainly 11:18	14:19,23	15:15 19:13
Americans 1:16	5:1	CERTIFICATE	complained	21:14,17
3:6 7:2 8:10,12	<b>believe</b> 5:1,5 6:5 6:23 7:5 16:1	21:1	10:15	COUNTY 21:3
analysis 19:4		Certified 21:6,7	complaint 3:11	<b>couple</b> 15:11
annual 8:8	best 21:12	certify 21:9 cetera 9:7	5:4,9 7:6 8:17	court 1:23 7:4
anticipated 7:19	beyond 14:25	cetera 9.7	14:18 16:25	10:12 21:6,22
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	-	ī	ī	-
Court's 19:4	4:15,21,22	excuse 5:15	2:3,3 3:18,18	<b>Graver</b> 1:11 3:4
created 6:17	8:18 9:12	exempt 16:8	4:17,18 5:19	3:20
current 10:17	12:22 13:8,17	exemptions 7:11	10:4 11:4 15:4	guess 4:13,16
currently 12:6	<b>Distinctive</b> 1:15	16:7,12	15:6,7,10,14	10:12
customer 13:18	3:6	exist 15:24	19:2,5,7,16	
13:23,23	<b>DNR</b> 7:23	expect 20:4	<b>first</b> 3:15 4:13	H
	documents	explicitly 13:6	10:7 13:6	halfway 17:23
D	10:24 12:20,20	extensively 13:2	five 13:8,12	<b>happened</b> 5:3,10
<b>D</b> 2:19	12:22 13:3,7	extent 10:24	fix 17:11	16:14
<b>David</b> 1:12 3:4	13:16 18:1	14:22	follows 14:3	happening 17:2
3:21	<b>doing</b> 17:13		foregoing 21:8	heard 10:4
day 6:11	dollars 17:11	<b>F</b>	21:10	held 9:20 12:17
days 19:17,19	drag 19:20	<b>f/k/a</b> 1:16	<b>form</b> 9:8	hey 11:5
20:5	drug 19:23	<b>face</b> 13:7	formation 11:15	highlighted
<b>deal</b> 16:18	ducks 9:9	<b>fact</b> 9:13 10:5	<b>formed</b> 5:17 8:8	15:15
decided 19:25	duly 21:10	13:25 19:12	8:25 9:13 16:2	hire 16:15
<b>Derald</b> 1:11 3:3	dynamic 5:4	factual 19:3	16:8,9	historically 7:22
3:20 12:11		failed 17:8	<b>forth</b> 5:17	history 7:15
<b>Designs</b> 1:15 3:6	E	<b>fails</b> 17:10	<b>found</b> 10:22	holidays 19:21
Despite 12:25	<b>E</b> 2:1,1	<b>fall</b> 16:4	Foundation 1:16	homeowners
determine 13:16	effectuate 18:3	<b>falling</b> 19:20	1:16 3:7	7:21 8:1
developed 7:17	<b>effort</b> 7:9 9:4	<b>falls</b> 16:11	further 18:5	<b>Honor</b> 4:17 5:20
7:18	<b>either</b> 10:10	February 1:6	19:6 21:16	12:9,11,23
development	elected 9:7,8	20:6		15:4 16:13
8:20 10:3	employed 21:14	feedback 4:10	G	19:7,16
different 6:1	21:17	<b>fees</b> 17:5	<b>gain</b> 8:13	hope 12:6
<b>Dippell</b> 1:19 3:2	employee 21:16	<b>figure</b> 4:8 18:17	generally 8:18	hopefully 10:12
3:8,22 4:1,4,7	<b>ended</b> 17:16	<b>file</b> 1:13 5:9,20	gentleman 7:15	19:24
5:15 7:12 9:21	enters 3:19	16:16 17:17	<b>getting</b> 4:9 11:7	Husch 2:9
12:8,10,13,18	entire 16:22	19:10,13	<b>give</b> 6:9 11:5	
12:24 14:4,13	entities 7:16	<b>filed</b> 3:12 4:15	19:13	I
15:2,5,9,13	<b>entry</b> 3:16	4:20,23 5:4,14	given 9:7	idea 17:3,5 18:2
18:9 19:5,12	equal 14:9	5:23,24 6:24	<b>Gloria</b> 1:11 3:4	identical 4:22
19:18 20:7,10	equivalent 11:21	8:15,17,21	3:21	ignore 6:25
direction 21:13	<b>estate</b> 8:6,7	11:3,8,11 14:8	<b>go</b> 3:16 4:9 15:9	ignored 17:20
directors 13:13	<b>Estates</b> 1:15 3:6	16:25 17:18	15:13 16:13	immediately
disagree 19:3	<b>et</b> 9:6	19:9	17:11 18:21	13:5,25
disclosed 8:9	evaluate 14:8	<b>files</b> 17:13	20:12,15	important 15:15
discuss 18:16	everybody 10:2	<b>filing</b> 8:11 12:25	goes 11:2	16:14
20:14	everybody's	14:1	<b>going</b> 3:11,15	inadequate 11:9
discussing 11:9	19:25	<b>finally</b> 17:20,21	5:2 6:12 7:6	inappropriate
discussion 9:20	evidence 18:5	financially 21:18	17:3 18:2,10	17:23
12:17	example 8:24	<b>fine</b> 16:20	19:22	<b>includes</b> 9:22,23
<b>Dismiss</b> 3:12,13	excess 11:25	Finkenbinder	<b>good</b> 20:7	10:1

14:3	information	18:19,23 19:11	<b>lots</b> 6:13 13:10	2:4,10,15,16	<b>number</b> 1:13,22
Initially 8:15   Input 11:6.7   K   Karl 2:3 3:18   4:18 11:12   Interested 21:18   4:18 11:12   Interested 21:18   4:18 11:12   Interested 21:18   4:18 11:12   Interested 11:19   Interest 11:19   Interest 11:19   Interest 11:19   Interest 11:19   Interest 12:7   Interest 12:18   Interest 11:19   Interest 11:		,			
Imput 11:6,7	· -	•			
Table 2					
18:25 19:1	_	K			
Interested 21:18   15:6   15:6   12:12   13:16   15:6   13:5   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:12   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:11   13:11   13:11   13:11   13:13   13:11		<b>Karl</b> 2:3 3:18	M		0
Interests 11:19   Interrept 15:8   karl@sfalawfi   mail 17:5   mail 2:4 6:7   motion 3:12,13   motion 3:12,13   4:15,21,22,23   motion 3:12,13   motion 3:12,13   4:15,21,22,23   motion 3:12,13   motion 3:12,13   4:15,21,22,23   motion 3:12,13   motions 3:13,13		4:18 11:12	Madison 2:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Oaks 1:15 3:5
Interfering 4:11   Interrupt 15:8		15:6	2:20	, ,	obligated 14:17
Interrupt 15:8   involving 12:7   key 18:11   majority 15:24   making 20:5   main 17:12,12   making 20:5   main 17:12,12   mater 3:3 5:6   11:8,8,11,13   6:11   off-the-record 21:15   mean 17:18:15   mean 17:16   mean 11:18 15:7   mean 17:18:20   mean 17:18:15   mean 17:16   mean 11:18 15:7   mean 17:16   mean 11:18 15:7   mean 17:18:20   mean 17:18:20   mean 17:18:20   mean 17:18:15   mean 17:16   mean 11:18 15:7   mean 17:16   mean 11:18 15:7   mean 17:18:20   mean 18:21   mediation 3:13   mediate 18:21   mediation 3:13   mediate 18:21   mediation 3:13   meet 7:8,10	interfering 4:11	karl@sfalawfi	<b>mail</b> 17:5		obstinate 16:22
involving 12:7   issue 7:1,6,10   kind 14:19   making 20:5   man 17:12,12   matter 3:3 5:6   11:8,8,11,13   cocurred 5:6   cill office 2:13,8,17   cocurred 5:6   cill office 2:13	_	· =	main 2:4 6:7	<b>motion</b> 3:12,13	
Sisue 7:1,6,10		•	•	The state of the s	
11:16 15:17,20	_		C	4:23 5:23 8:18	,
18:11,19,23   20:8   issues 4:16 6:6   8:3 13:24 16:1   item 13:15   items 13:4	10:4,7,10			8:21 9:11 11:2	
18:11,19,23   20:8   knowledge 8:4   21:15   mean 11:18 15:7   m	11:16 15:17,20			11:8,8,11,13	
Sisues 4:16 6:6   8:3 13:24 16:1     Item 13:15   Items 13:4				12:22 13:8,17	
18:20	20:8	$\mathbf{c}$		14:8 19:19	
L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L   L	<b>issues</b> 4:16 6:6	<b>known</b> 16:19		<b>motions</b> 3:13,13	
Tem   13:15   Tem   13:4   Te	8:3 13:24 16:1	т		8:15	· -
laid 5:25   late 12:25   law 1:20 2:3,8,8   2:19 3:9   law suit 17:17   lead 8:11   leave 18:15   leave 18:15   20:13,14   legal 2:13 13:2   letach 1:22   21:5   joined 15:19   judge 1:20 3:2,9   3:22 4:1,4,7   5:8,15 7:4,12   7:15 9:21 12:8   12:10,13,18,24   14:4,13 15:2,5   15:9,13 18:9   19:5,12,18   20:4,7,9,10   jumped 13:5   jurisdiction 5:7   7:11 8:14,19   leave 13:5   load 20:1   jurisdiction 5:7   7:11 8:14,19   leit 13:20   load 16:19   longer 7:3 16:3   8:23,24 11:17   late 12:25   late 12:25   late 12:25   late 12:25   late 18:21   mediate 18:21   mediation 3:13   N	item 13:15			<b>multiple</b> 6:12,13	
Late 12:25	items 13:4			6:21 16:23	
Jacob 2:13 4:2         Law 1:20 2:3,8,8         mediation 3:13         N         15:2,14 18:9           jacob.westen         2:16         lawsuit 17:17         lead 8:11         mediation 3:13         N 2:1         name 3:8         nother 15:2,14 18:9           Jefferson 1:7         lead 8:11         meet 7:8,10         named 3:25 7:3         named 3:25 7:3         on-the-record           Jennifer 1:22         20:13,14         meeting 8:9         meat 10:23         near 10:23         on-the-record           judge 1:20 3:2,9         3:22 4:1,4,7         Leibach 1:22         member 9:17         14:7         OPC 14:8           judge 1:20 3:2,9         3:22 4:1,4,7         19:18         10:16 13:8,9         negotiating         operated 11:22           judge 1:20,13,18,24         16:622         13:13 14:10         negotiating         negotiating         operation 8:1,4           14:4,13 15:2,5         15:9,13 18:9         16:622         method 6:17         new 5:11 6:8,17         new 5:11 6:8,17         opportunity           19:5,12,18         10mg 16:19         9:3 10:5 18:6         8:8,24 9:8,12         19:10         options 9:7           jurisdiction 5:7         7:11 8:14,19         16:12 19:20,23         Mills' 17:21         11:20 12:1,3,5         organization 8:8 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>17:8,8</th><th>•</th></t<>				17:8,8	•
jacob.westen         2:19 3:9         3:14 4:24 5:23         N2:1         19:5,18 20:10           Jefferson 1:7         lead 8:11         meet 7:8,10         name 3:8         name 3:8         old 7:16           Jennifer 1:22         20:13,14         meet 7:8,10         Nancy 1:19 3:8         20:12         on-the-record           Joined 15:19         legal 2:13 13:2         meeting 8:9         mear 10:23         one-member         15:17           Joined 15:19         Leibach 1:22         member 9:17         14:7         OPC 14:8           judge 1:20 3:2,9         3:22 4:1,4,7         let's 3:16 4:14         members 10:9         needs 18:12         open 20:13           5:8,15 7:4,12         19:18         life 16:22         13:13 14:10         negotiating         operation 8:1,4           14:4,13 15:2,5         litigation 9:4         little 4:10 19:23         method 6:17         9:15,12,18         new 5:11 6:8,17         opportunity           20:4,7,9,10         LLC 1:23         Mills 1:15 3:5         7:22 8:1,6,9         8:8,24 9:8,12         Opposition 5:21           jurisdiction 5:7         10sg 16:19         9:3 10:5 18:6         Mills' 17:21         11:20 12:1,3,5         opening 20:4,8           8:23,24 11:17         16:12 19:20,23         minute 4:20         13:18					
Lawsuit 17:17		, ,		<del></del>	· ·
Lead 8:11	•				
Leave 18:15   20:13,14   Legal 2:13 13:2   Leibach 1:22   21:5   Leibach 1:22   20:13   Members 10:9   10:16 13:8,9   10:16 13:8,9   16:24   Membership   16:24   Memb	· -				
Jennifer 1:22         20:13,14 legal 2:13 13:2         meeting 8:9 meets 16:12 member 9:17         near 10:23 need 7:5 11:16         one-member           joined 15:19 judge 1:20 3:2,9 3:22 4:1,4,7 5:8,15 7:4,12 7:15 9:21 12:8 12:10,13,18,24 14:4,13 15:2,5 15:9,13 18:9 19:5,12,18 20:4,7,9,10 jumped 13:5 jurisdiction 5:7 7:11 8:14,19 8:23,24 11:17         judge 1:20 3:2,9 20:15 member 9:17 13:19 needs 18:12 nee			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Legal 2:13 13:2	i i				
joined 15:19 judge 1:20 3:2,9 3:22 4:1,4,7 5:8,15 7:4,12 7:15 9:21 12:8 14:4,13 15:2,5 15:9,13 18:9 19:5,12,18 20:4,7,9,10 jumped 13:5 jurisdiction 5:7 7:11 8:14,19Leibach 1:22 21:5 16t's 3:16 4:14 19:18 10:16 13:8,9 10:16 13:8,9 13:13 14:10 10:16 13:8,9 13:13 14:10 10:16 13:8,9 13:13 14:10 10:16 13:8,9 10:16 13:8,9 13:13 14:10 10:16 13:8,9 13:13 14:10 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:24 16:25 16:40 16:20 10:19 12:1 10:10 10:10 12:1 10:10 10:10 12:1 10:10 12:1 10:10 12:1,3,5 11:20 12:1,3,5 13:18 16:3,9		*	C		
judge 1:20 3:2,9         21:5         let's 3:16 4:14         13:19         needs 18:12         open 20:13           5:8,15 7:4,12         19:18         10:16 13:8,9         16:24         negotiating         operating 7:23           7:15 9:21 12:8         life 16:22         line 20:13         neither 21:13         operation 8:1,4           12:10,13,18,24         lite 20:13         nembership         neither 21:13         operation 8:1,4           15:9,13 18:9         little 4:10 19:23         met 16:22         new 5:11 6:8,17         opportunity           19:5,12,18         lives 9:17         method 6:17         p:15 19:9         12:19 13:2           20:4,7,9,10         load 20:1         7:22 8:1,6,9         8:8,24 9:8,12         19:10           jurisdiction 5:7         long 16:19         9:3 10:5 18:6         10:6,22 11:15         options 9:7           7:11 8:14,19         16:12 19:20,23         minute 4:20         13:18 16:3,9         organization 8:8					
3:22 4:1,4,7         let's 3:16 4:14         members 10:9         20:11         operated 11:22           5:8,15 7:4,12         19:18         10:16 13:8,9         16:24         s:2           7:15 9:21 12:8         life 16:22         line 20:13         membership         neither 21:13         peration 8:1,4           12:10,13,18,24         litigation 9:4         p:16,21,23         never 6:20         10:19 12:1           15:9,13 18:9         little 4:10 19:23         met 16:22         new 5:11 6:8,17         poportunity           19:5,12,18         lives 9:17         method 6:17         9:15 19:9         12:19 13:2           20:4,7,9,10         LLC 1:23         Mills 1:15 3:5         non-profit 7:8         Opposition 5:21           jumped 13:5         load 20:1         9:3 10:5 18:6         10:6,22 11:15         19:10           jurisdiction 5:7         longer 7:3 16:3         Mills' 17:21         11:20 12:1,3,5         order 20:4,8           8:23,24 11:17         16:12 19:20,23         minute 4:20         13:18 16:3,9         organization 8:8					
5:8,15 7:4,12       19:18       10:16 13:8,9       negotiating       8:2         7:15 9:21 12:8       life 16:22       neither 21:13       perating 7:23         12:10,13,18,24       litigation 9:4       neither 21:13       peration 8:1,4         14:4,13 15:2,5       little 4:10 19:23       new 5:11 6:8,17       operation 8:1,4         19:5,12,18       little 4:10 19:23       net 16:22       new 5:11 6:8,17       opportunity         19:19       10:19 12:1       opportunity       12:19 13:2         19:10       non-profit 7:8       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17         19:11 8:14,19       non-profit 7:8       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17         19:11 8:14,19       non-profit 7:8       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17         19:12 8:1,4       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17         19:10       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17       nethod 6:17         10:6,22 11:15       nethod 6:17       n					_
7:15 9:21 12:8 12:10,13,18,24 14:4,13 15:2,5 15:9,13 18:9 19:5,12,18 20:4,7,9,10 jumped 13:5 jurisdiction 5:7 7:11 8:14,19 8:23,24 11:17  life 16:22 line 20:13 little 4:10 19:23 little 4:10 19	, ,				_
12:10,13,18,24       line 20:13       membership       neither 21:13       operation 8:1,4         14:4,13 15:2,5       litigation 9:4       little 4:10 19:23       met 16:22       new 5:11 6:8,17       opportunity         19:5,12,18       lives 9:17       method 6:17       9:15 19:9       12:19 13:2         20:4,7,9,10       method 6:17       method 6:17       9:15 19:9       12:19 13:2         jumped 13:5       load 20:1       7:22 8:1,6,9       8:8,24 9:8,12       19:10         jurisdiction 5:7       p:3 10:5 18:6       mills' 17:21       10:6,22 11:15       options 9:7         7:11 8:14,19       16:12 19:20,23       minute 4:20       13:18 16:3,9       organization 8:8				0	_
12:10,18,18,24       litigation 9:4       9:16,21,23       never 6:20       10:19 12:1         15:9,13 18:9       little 4:10 19:23       met 16:22       new 5:11 6:8,17       opportunity         19:5,12,18       lives 9:17       Mills 1:15 3:5       non-profit 7:8       Opposition 5:21         20:4,7,9,10       load 20:1       7:22 8:1,6,9       8:8,24 9:8,12       19:10         jurisdiction 5:7       9:3 10:5 18:6       10:6,22 11:15       options 9:7         7:11 8:14,19       16:12 19:20,23       Mills' 17:21       11:20 12:1,3,5       organization 8:8				- '	
15:9,13 18:9       little 4:10 19:23       met 16:22       new 5:11 6:8,17       opportunity         19:5,12,18       20:4,7,9,10       Mills 1:15 3:5       non-profit 7:8       Opposition 5:21         jumped 13:5       load 20:1       7:22 8:1,6,9       8:8,24 9:8,12       19:10         jurisdiction 5:7       9:3 10:5 18:6       10:6,22 11:15       options 9:7         7:11 8:14,19       16:12 19:20,23       Mills' 17:21       11:20 12:1,3,5       order 20:4,8         8:23,24 11:17       16:12 19:20,23       minute 4:20       13:18 16:3,9       organization 8:8	, , ,		_		-
lives 9:17		0			
20:4,7,9,10 jumped 13:5 load 20:1				,	
jumped 13:5 jurisdiction 5:7 7:11 8:14,19 8:23,24 11:17 load 20:1 10ad 20:1 10ng 16:19 longer 7:3 16:3 16:12 19:20,23 minute 4:20 load 20:1 17:22 8:1,6,9 9:3 10:5 18:6 Mills' 17:21 minute 4:20 load 20:1 10:6,22 11:15 10:6,22 11:15 11:20 12:1,3,5 order 20:4,8 organization 8:8					
jurisdiction 5:7 7:11 8:14,19 8:23,24 11:17   long 16:19   9:3 10:5 18:6   10:6,22 11:15   options 9:7     Mills' 17:21   minute 4:20   13:18 16:3,9   organization 8:8				_	
7:11 8:14,19 8:23,24 11:17   longer 7:3 16:3   Mills' 17:21   minute 4:20   13:18 16:3,9   organization 8:8	~ -				
8:23,24 11:17   16:12 19:20,23   minute 4:20   13:18 16:3,9   organization 8:8	0	0		,	-
0.25,2 1 11.17	· ·	0			
10:4,5 17:17	,			· ·	
	16:4,5 1/:1/	1017.43 13.41,44	IVIISSOURI 1:2,7	noon 20:14	15:25
				l	l

	1	I	I	I
ought 11:13	20:13	purvey 16:11	REPORTED	run 7:23 16:15
outcome 21:18	position 5:16	<b>put</b> 5:6	1:22	17:16
<b>outside</b> 14:20,24	<b>power</b> 6:10	<b>puts</b> 5:6	Reporter 8:16	<b>Ryan</b> 2:19 4:5
owned 13:9	prepared 5:21		9:19 21:1,6,6,7	
owners 12:2	<b>Presiding</b> 1:19	Q	21:22	<u>S</u>
ownership 11:19	pretty 4:22	question 7:24	REPORTING	<b>S</b> 2:1
15:21	previously 4:23	quick 20:3	1:23	<b>saying</b> 11:9,13
owns 7:3 10:2	15:16	R	represent 7:15	16:10 20:5
12:5	problem 6:8		represented	says 16:2
	18:8	<b>R</b> 2:1	12:14	scheduling 9:6
P	problems 7:7	ran 9:1	request 19:8	Schenewerk 2:3
<b>P</b> 2:1,1	procedural 1:5	reach 14:19	requires 5:9	scope 14:25
<b>P.O</b> 2:14,20	3:10	ready 4:6	resolve 5:2 9:5	Second 10:14
paperwork	procedurally 4:9	real 8:7 9:14	17:19	Section 6:22
17:14	proceed 4:6	realized 17:22	resolved 4:16	16:10
participate	18:24 19:4	really 14:11	respond 7:13	see 4:14 9:11
10:18 14:18	proceeding	Realtime 21:7	15:11	selling 8:13
particular 6:2	14:20	reasons 6:1	responded 8:18	sends 18:1
7:1 8:5,20	<b>Proceedings</b> 1:4	receive 9:25,25	respondent 7:3	sent 11:3
parties 14:22	3:1 20:16	10:17 15:22	respondents	service 1:1 2:13
20:4 21:15,17	<b>process</b> 8:6 11:2	recommendati	1:18 2:11 3:7	2:16 4:3 15:23
party 14:17	17:23	8:21	3:23,25 6:5,10	services 9:25
patterned 10:21	Professional	record 20:2,12	7:9 14:19,23	10:17
10:25	21:6	20:15	16:17	<b>set</b> 6:9 7:9 10:15
pay 10:18,18	proof 18:5	reduced 21:12	response 6:3,4	10:23 12:5
11:23 17:10	<b>properly</b> 16:9,9	referring 12:21	8:20	sets 17:5,14
paying 17:10	property 8:10	refuses 16:24	responses 19:19	settlement 14:20
period 7:22	9:14 10:2,16	regard 8:4,5	revenues 11:25	14:24
permit 7:23 8:3	13:10	10:7	review 4:19	<b>setup</b> 6:16
persons 9:24	<b>PSC</b> 5:7 7:11	regarding 13:5 regards 6:25	12:19 15:1	seven 19:17,18
16:22	8:14,19,20,22	Registered 21:5	18:2	20:5
perspective 5:14	8:23,23 9:6	U	reviewed 13:1	sewer 6:13 7:4
<b>Phipps</b> 1:11 3:4	10:8 11:4,9,16	regulations 7:11	Richard 1:15	8:2,7,13,25 9:1
3:21	12:7 16:5,8,11	Regulatory 1:20	3:5	9:8,12,14,25
planning 8:6	16:16,25 17:17	3:9	<b>Rick</b> 1:11 3:3,20	10:1,6,17,20
pleading 6:4	18:12 19:11	reimbursed 12:1	<b>right</b> 6:14 12:16	10:23 13:18,20
19:9	<b>Public</b> 1:1 2:13	related 15:20	14:4 15:2,21	shares 14:10
pleading-wise	2:16,19,21,21	21:14	<b>role</b> 14:16	short 20:8
5:13	4:3,4,6 14:5	relative 21:16	rolling 19:25	shut 17:7
pleadings 6:23	19:13	relieve 5:17	row 9:10	similar 14:9
plot 13:14	pulling 16:17	remedies 11:19	<b>RSMo</b> 13:20,21	simply 14:17
point 6:2	<b>pump</b> 17:10	remedies 11.19	<b>rule</b> 8:22 11:16	18:1
pointed 6:21	purposes 17:9	11:18	18:12,18	<b>slightly</b> 15:19
portion 10:18	pursuant 16:9	11.10	rules 18:22	16:13
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

G 44 2 2 1 2						
Smith 2:8,19	suggest 13:22	time 7:22 9:9	Volume 1:8	Wednesday 20:6		
3:24 4:5,5 14:6	suggested 8:22	14:7 16:19	vote 6:14,19	week 19:15		
14:7	13:11	17:10 19:10	13:20,23 15:21	West 2:4		
somebody 15:20	suggesting 8:21	today 3:10 4:8	votes 6:12,18,21	Westen 2:13 4:2		
sorry 7:8 12:10	Suggestions	tomorrow 20:5	13:17,20	4:2 12:8,19,21		
15:6	5:21 19:10	TRANSCRIPT	<b>voting</b> 14:9,10	12:25 14:14,15		
sounds 20:7	Suite 2:4,9,20	1:4	$\mathbf{w}$	20:3,9		
speak 12:15	<b>Sure</b> 15:13 20:7	transfer 17:25	Wade 2:8 3:24	whatsoever		
specifically 6:6	sworn 21:10	18:3		14:21		
Springfield 2:10	system 7:24 8:2	transferred 8:7	3:24 6:3 7:12 7:14 8:17 9:23	Whitney 2:8		
ss 21:2	9:1,14 10:20	8:9 9:14		3:24		
St 2:9	12:3 17:6,11	transparency	15:12 16:2,19	wholesale 6:25		
Staff 2:16 4:1,3	systems 10:23	17:15	18:1,10 19:1,2	widower 7:17		
5:22,25 6:21		tried 16:23,24	19:9 <b>Wade's</b> 13:11	<b>William</b> 1:11 3:4		
8:20 9:6 11:4		trouble 4:12	16:18	3:21		
12:19 13:1,5	take 10:4,10	true 16:6		<b>willing</b> 17:19		
13:15 14:1,16	taken 21:8,11,15	true-up 11:25	want 4:13 7:13	witness/es 21:9		
14:20,25 15:15	<b>talking</b> 14:23	<b>Trust</b> 1:16 3:6	17:16 18:13,21	21:11		
19:13,21		<b>try</b> 4:8 9:4 17:19	19:1	work 10:8 17:1		
stage 14:11	teeth 16:18	18:21 19:19	wanted 4:15 9:4	working 14:24		
<b>start</b> 3:17	TELEPHONE	two 13:4 16:1	14:5 20:2	worthless 6:19		
started 11:2	2:3,8	typewriting	wants 6:11 18:6	X		
starting 20:5	ten 7:20	21:13	18:6	<b>A</b>		
state 1:2 5:22	tenancy 18:3	U	warned 16:25	Y		
21:2	testimony 21:9	-	<b>WARREN</b> 21:3	yeah 7:14 18:21		
stated 4:21	21:11	<b>Uh-huh</b> 20:10	wasn't 13:6,25	year 5:11 11:24		
statements	<b>Thank</b> 3:22 4:1	ultimately 18:8	water 6:13 7:3	years 7:16,18		
13:11 15:11	20:9	unbeknownst	8:2,7,13,24 9:1	8:3		
statutes 11:22	thereto 21:17	5:11 17:24	9:8,12,13,25	yesterday 4:14		
12:5 13:6,18	thing 4:13 19:8	understand 4:12	10:1,6,17,20	5:14 14:8 19:9		
14:3	things 3:11 17:7 19:24 20:14	11:22 16:14	10:22 13:19,21	you-all 4:8,11		
<b>Street</b> 2:4,9,14		unfair 11:11	water/sewer	18:13,16 20:13		
2:20	think 4:20 5:22	unilaterally 17:4	7:24	20:14		
<b>Strike</b> 4:23 11:2	5:22 6:1,7 7:24	17:6,12	way 4:16 5:16			
11:12	9:3 11:6,17	usage 11:23	7:21 10:15	Z		
stuff 4:25	12:4,6,6 13:10	utility 11:23	16:7 17:1			
subdivision 7:17	14:7 15:14,15	$\mathbf{v}$	18:17,24	0		
7:21 9:16,17	16:14 18:4	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ 1:14	WC-2017-0037			
9:24 13:10,14	19:11,14	<b>various</b> 7:16	1:14 3:3 we're 4:6 11:17	1		
<b>subject</b> 8:13	thinking 18:15	various 7:10 vast 15:23,24		11:8		
11:10	<b>thought</b> 11:11 17:17	versus 3:5	12:6 16:10 17:16	<b>1108</b> 1:22 21:7		
subsequent 5:3	thousand 17:11	violate 6:22		<b>15</b> 1:6		
substantive	TIGER 1:23	voice 6:18	<b>we've</b> 3:9,12 6:7 13:1 16:24	<b>1800</b> 2:9		
14:12	11GEN 1.23	. 0100 0.10	13.1 10.24			

## WC-2017-0037

2			
<b>200</b> 2:14,20			
<b>200</b> 2.14,20 <b>2017</b> 1:6			
<b>2230</b> 2:20			
<b>22nd</b> 20:6			
-			
3			
<b>305</b> 2:4			
<b>339.839</b> 13:20			
<b>360</b> 2:14			
<b>393</b> 6:22 13:5,18			
14:2 16:10 <b>393.921.7</b> 13:20			
393.941.7 15:20			
4			
417.268.4116			
2:10			
417.334.7922			
2:5			
5			
<b>500</b> 2:4			
573.751.5472			
2:15			
6			
<b>650</b> 2:20			
<b>65102</b> 2:15,21			
<b>65616</b> 2:4 <b>65806</b> 2:10			
05800 2:10			
7			
<b>78</b> 7:16			
8			
9			
901 2:9			
7 7			
	<u> </u>		