Exhibit No.:

Issues.

Salaries and Wages; Retirement

Benefits; Mileage; Allocations; Rate

Base and Other Cost of Service Scott D. Clark

Witness:

Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

MoPSC Staff
Direct Testimony

Case Nos.:

WR-2006-0250 and SR-2006-0249

Date Testimony Prepared: March

March 28, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

FILED²

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

Service Commission

SCOTT D. CLARK

HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY

CASE NOS. WR-2006-0250 AND SR-2006-0249 (Consolidated)

Jefferson City, Missouri March 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Hickory Hills Water &) Sewer Co.'s Request for a Small Company) Rate Increase) Case No. WR-2006-0250	
AFFIDAVIT OF SCOTT D. CLARK	
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
Scott Clark, being of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in su answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge a belief.	of ect ich
Scott D. Clark	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27 day of March, 2006.	
Notary Public TONI M. CHARLTON Notary Public - State of Missouri My Commission Expires December 28, 2008 Cole County Commission #04474301	/

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	SCOTT D. CLARK
4 5 6 7	HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY CASE NOS. WR-2006-0250 AND SR-2006-0249 (Consolidated)
8	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS 1
9	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY2
10	SALARIES & WAGES5
11	RETIREMENT BENEFITS7
12	MILEAGE 8
13	OTHER COST OF SERVICE ITEMS9
14	ALLOCATIONS10
15	RATE BASE11
16	

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2		\mathbf{OF}	
3		SCOTT D. CLARK	
4		HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY	
5		CASE NOS. WR-2006-0250 AND SR-2006-0249	
6 7	1	(Consolidated)	
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
9	A.	My name is Scott D. Clark. My business address is 615 East 13th Street,	
10	Kansas City, MO, 64106.		
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
12	A.	I am a Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission	
13	(Commission	or MoPSC).	
14	<u>BACKGRO</u>	UND OF WITNESS	
15	Q.	Please describe your education and other qualifications.	
16	A.	I graduated from Northwest Missouri State University in Maryville, Missouri,	
17	in May of 2004, with Bachelor of Science degrees in Accounting and Corporate Finance.		
8	commenced	employment with the Commission in September 2004.	
9	Q.	Have you worked on any other cases since your employment with the	
20	Commission	?	
21	A .	Yes. I have worked on a variety of different cases since my employment	
22	began with th	ne Commission. I have filed testimony in the following cases:	

Direct Testimony of

- A. Upon examining the books and records, I have determined that Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company (Hickory Hills or Company) is in need of additional revenue requirement of \$4,417 for the water system and \$5,912 for the sewer system. However, Hickory Hills is limited to the amount requested in their filing, that being, \$2,000 for the water system and \$2,500 for the sewer system. The company cannot get a greater rate increase than what it has initially requested and, therefore, is limited to the amount requested in its rate increase request letter dated July, 21, 2005. The results of my calculated revenue requirement can be seen on Accounting Schedule 1 of the water and sewer EMS runs, respectively.
- Q. Is the amount of revenue requirement filed with this testimony different than the amount that was initially filed by Staff on December 15, 2005, as item #3 in the Commissions Electronic Filing Information System (EFIS), titled Notice of Agreement Regarding Disposition of Small Company Rate Increase Request?
- A. Yes. Staff initially filed a revenue requirement of \$2,284 for the water system and \$10,772 for the sewer system.
 - Q. What changes did Staff make that resulted in this difference?
- A. Upon further review and discussion with my supervisors and the Commission's water and sewer department, there were some items that I changed from the initial filing that resulted in this difference. These items included: the hourly rate for the operator, the amount of retirement benefits for the operator, the allocation of salaries and wages, mileage between the two systems for the operator, and reclassification and removal of certain items in plant account 325.

The hourly rate for the operator was changed from \$22.50 in the initial filing to \$19 per hour in this filing.

 Because the amount of retirement benefits was calculated as a percentage of salaries and wages, this amount decreased as a result of decreasing the operator's hourly wage.

The allocation of salaries & wages and mileage between the two systems for the operator was changed from 50% water and 50% sewer in Staff's initial filing to 75% water and 25% sewer in this filing.

Upon further review of plant account 325 electric pumping equipment, it was determined that this account included amounts for pressure tanks purchased in 1998 and 2000. The amount included in account 325 for these pressure tanks was removed and reclassified to account 330 distribution reservoir and standpipes. An amount relating to a well pump that was replaced during the test year was also removed from account 325. Corresponding changes were made to depreciation reserve to account for these plant changes.

Q. Do these changes in Staff's revenue requirement affect the overall rate design of this case?

A. No, they do not. As stated earlier, the Company is limited to a \$2,000 increase for the water system and a \$2,500 increase for the sewer system.

Q. What issues will you be addressing in this testimony?

A. I will be specifically addressing Salaries and Wages, Retirement Benefits, Mileage, and Allocations. I will also be generally addressing all other cost of service items included in this case, as well as the general rate base items.

Q. What knowledge, skill, experience, training or education do you have in regulatory matters?

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. Since commencing employment with the Commission, I have attended various in-house training seminars and have reviewed in-house training materials. I have worked on two small water and sewer rate cases and two major electric and steam rate cases, which have provided a strong basis in the ratemaking process and an understanding of the cost of service

6 extensive regulatory knowledge. In addition, I have worked closely with members of the

determination. I have also worked closely with senior auditors and supervisors, who possess

7 Commission's Water and Sewer Department on this case.

SALARIES & WAGES

- Q. Who owns Hickory Hills?
- A. Randy and Kay Clifford own the water and sewer companies.
- Q. Who are the primary employees of Hickory Hills?
- A. The owners of these companies, the Cliffords, are also the primary employees of Hickory Hills.
 - Q. What are their qualifications and duties?
- A. Randy Clifford is a licensed operator holding a certificate in the State of Missouri. His duties include performing all of the day-to-day activities involved in operating a water and sewer system. These duties include, but are not limited to taking daily water samples, monitoring sewer effluent, performing various maintenance procedures to the system as needed, etc. He is responsible for making repairs to broken equipment such as water pumps and water pump housings. He is required to be "on-call" at all times to respond to customers' needs when problems arise. Mr. Clifford's duties also include being the primary decision maker for the Company. This role requires him to be involved in all

A.

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Department of Labor-Bureau of Labor Statistics web-site and the consumer price index (CPI) for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in the Midwest Region for Size Class D, which applies to areas with population less than 50,000. The CPI factor was then applied to the operator's hourly salary allowed by the Commission in the Bill Gold Investments Complaint Case (Case No. SC-93-576). As a result, the \$15.00 hourly wage allowed in that case was updated to an hourly wage of \$18.99 for this case.

The Commission's Water and Sewer Department researched the U.S.

Staff also received information from the City of Tipton, Missouri, which reflected an hourly rate of \$18.62 excluding benefits, for the water and sewer operator of that system. The city of Tipton paid benefits for this position that amounted to an additional \$13.41 per hour for a total hourly wage of \$32.02. The benefits were paid to cover health insurance, short-term disability and retirement.

Based upon the analysis described above, Staff believes \$19.00 per hour to be reasonable compensation for the services provided by Mr. Clifford as a licensed operator.

- How did Staff determine a rate of \$10.50 per hour to include for Q. Mrs. Clifford's wages?
- A. This was the amount used in the last informal rate case filed by the Company. In addition, in discussions with other Staff members it was determined to be a reasonable amount.

RETIREMENT BENEFITS

- Q. Did Staff include an expense for retirement benefits in this case?
- A. Staff included a retirement benefit expense equal to 9% of the employees' total salaries as retirement benefits. As a normal business practice, most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

companies provide benefits which include some form of retirement benefit to its employees.

A retirement benefit is usually in the form of a pension plan or a matching contribution to an

- Q. Has Staff allowed any reimbursement expenses relating to Mr. Clifford's services as an operator to the system?
- A. Yes. Staff has included an amount in this case to cover the automobile expense related to Mr. Clifford's use of his personal vehicle for business purposes associated with the running of the water and sewer systems.
 - Q. For what business purposes does Mr. Clifford use his personal vehicle?
- A. Mr. Clifford is required by Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR) regulations to make daily visits from his place of business to take water samples. Mr. Clifford runs the business from his home. The distance between his place of business and the well house is 11 miles, or 22 miles roundtrip.
- Mr. Clifford also uses his personal vehicle for other various operating activities such as picking up supplies, performing maintenance to the system, and service calls to customers.
- Q. How did Staff calculate the amount to be included for mileage expense in this case?
- A. Staff determined the amount of mileage expense by applying the Federal mileage rate of 40.5 cents per mile to Mr. Clifford's mileage incurred during the test year. The amount of mileage incurred during the test year was provided on a mileage log that Mr. Clifford maintained throughout the year. After review of the mileage log, Staff concluded that the mileage was necessary and reasonable.

OTHER COST OF SERVICE ITEMS

- Q. What other cost of service items did you include in this case for the water system?
- A. As well as the items mentioned above, I also included costs associated with the water system for power purchased for pumping, chemicals, testing supplies/services, maintenance and supplies expense, accounting fees, annual registration, PSC assessment, office supplies, postage expense, telephone expense, insurance, FICA, amortization of engineering expense, amortization for well repair and depreciation expense.
- Q. Will you please explain how you determined the proper level of each one of these expenses to be included in this case?
- A. Yes. All items except for insurance, FICA, amortization of engineering expense, and amortization for well repair were included at their test year level and/or annualized and normalized to include a proper amount of each expense in the case.

General liability insurance was included in the case as a result of Staff recommendation in prior years. The annual premium was purchased outside of the 2004 test year, and thus was not in the per-books amount for 2004. An adjustment was made to include this cost in the Company's cost of service.

FICA insurance had not been recorded in the 2004 test year amount. An adjustment was made to include 7.65% of the employee's salary as an expense to FICA in this case.

Amortization of engineering expenses and well repair expenses were included to evenly spread the cost incurred during the test year for both of these major expense items over five years.

Depreciation expense was determined by first calculating the proper depreciable balance of each plant account. A depreciation rate, supplied by the Commission's

Billing, office supplies, accounting fees, postage, annual registration, cell-phone, and insurance expenses were allocated equally 50% to water and 50% to sewer operations. The allocation of these expenses was determined to be reasonable based on the nature of these accounts.

22

23

- Q. Why is it appropriate to allocate these accounts equally between the water and sewer operations?
- A. The Company sends out bills to each of its water and sewer customers equally each month. Thus, billing, office supplies, and postage are expenses which should be shared equally between the two systems.

The Company's accountant spends an equal amount of time preparing the financial statements, tax returns and annual reports for each system. Therefore, this expense is allocated equally between the two systems.

Annual registration, cell-phone, and insurance expense are also items that benefit both the water and sewer systems equally.

- Q. Was there another expense item that had a different allocation between the two operating systems?
- A. Yes. The expense of having a toll-free telephone line for customers to call in free of charge was allocated 80% to water and 20% to sewer. This allocation was based on Mr. Clifford's estimate of actual usage of the line for each type of customer.

RATE BASE

- Q. Did Staff make any changes to the Company's test year plant balances that would change the Company's amount of rate base included in this case?
- A. Yes. Staff reclassified from account 325 to account 330 pressure tanks purchased in 1998 and 2000 that were not yet fully depreciated, but were included in account 325 as being so. Staff also corrected the depreciation reserve amounts relating to this reclassification.

Direct Testimony of Scott D. Clark

4

5

6

7

Staff also removed from account 325 an amount related to an old pump that was fully depreciated and replaced and included the cost of the new pump put into service. An adjustment was also made to the depreciation reserve for this replacement.

An adjustment was made to account 346 to reflect the cost of a new master meter that was replaced during the test year.

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.