EXHIBIT

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s):

Payroll Cost/

Mileage Cost/

Allocation of Payroll and Mileage Cost/

Plant Related Cost

Witness:

Ted Robertson

Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party: Rebuttal Public Counsel

Case Number:

WR-2006-0250/SR-2006-0249

Date Testimony Prepared:

April 5, 2006

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

FILED²

TED ROBERTSON

MAY 1 6 2006

Service Commission

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY

Case No. WR-2006-0250 and SR-2006-0249

Case No(s) Rptr XX

April 5, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Small Company Rate Increase Request of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company, Inc.)))	Case No. WR-2006-0250	
In the Matter of Hickory I Water & Sewer Co.'s Req a Small Company Rate In	uest for))	Case No. SR-2006-0249	
	AFFIDAVIT OF	TED RO	BERTSON	
STATE OF MISSOURI)		,	
COUNTY OF COLE) ss)			
Ted Robertson, of la	awful age and being fir	st duly sy	vorn denoses and states:	

- My name is Ted Robertson. I am a Public Utility Accountant for the Office of the 1. Public Counsel.
- Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal testimony consisting of pages 1 through 39 and Schedule TJR-1 through TJR-3 and Exhibits 1 and 2.
- I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Ted Robertson, C.P.A.

Public Utility Accountant III

Subscribed and sworn to me this 5th day of April 2006.

JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 10, 2009 Cole County Commission #05754036

Jerene A. Buckman

Notary Public

My commission expires August 10, 2009.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testin	timony	Page
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Executive Summary	3
III.	Payroll Costs	6
IV.	Mileage Costs	26
V.	Cost Allocation Methodology	31
VI.	Plant-Related Costs	33
VII.	Company's Current Financial Position	34
VIII.	Summary	37

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY 1 2 **OF** 3 **TED ROBERTSON** 4 5 HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER 6 **COMPANY** 7 CASE NO. WR-2006-0250 **AND** 8 CASE NO. SR-2006-0249 9 10 11 I. INTRODUCTION 12 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. Q. 13 Ted Robertson, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230. A. 14 15 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY? 16 A. I am employed by the Office of the Public Counsel of the State of Missouri ("OPC" or 17 "Public Counsel") as a Public Utility Accountant III. 18 19 WHAT IS THE NATURE OF YOUR CURRENT DUTIES AT THE OPC? Q. 20 A. Under the direction of the OPC Chief Public Utility Accountant, Mr. Russell W. 21 Trippensee, I am responsible for performing audits and examinations of the books and 22 records of public utilities operating within the State of Missouri. 23 24 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND OTHER 25 QUALIFICATIONS. 26 I graduated in May, 1988, from Southwest Missouri State University in Springfield, Α. 27 Missouri, with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting. In November of 1988, I

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A. The purpose of this testimony is to express the Public Counsel's recommendations regarding the requested revenue increases Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company ("Hickory Hills" or "Company") is seeking for its water and sewer operations.

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S POSITION.
- A. Attached to this testimony as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 are Microsoft Excel based accounting schedules I prepared which show the Public Counsel's recommended revenue requirements for the Hickory Hills water and sewer operations, respectively. Exhibit 1 shows that the water operation is in an <u>over-earnings</u> mode; thus, the revenue requirement (customer rates) for that operation should be reduced. However, Exhibit 2 shows that the sewer operation is <u>under-earning</u> by an amount that is somewhat lower than the amount of the revenue increase Company has requested for the operation.
- Q. DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL OPPOSE THE REVENUE INCREASE REQUEST SOUGHT BY COMPANY FOR ITS SEWER OPERATION?
- A. Yes. In its Application, Company requested a sewer operation revenue increase of \$2,500. I believe that Public Counsel's analysis substantiates that an increase in the revenue for the sewer operation of approximately \$2,161 is reasonable; therefore, the Public Counsel recommends that the amount of the increase requested by the Company for the sewer operation be denied and in its place an increase of \$2,161 be authorized.
- Q. DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL OPPOSE THE REVENUE INCREASE REQUEST SOUGHT BY THE COMPANY FOR ITS WATER OPERATION?
- A. Yes. It is the Public Counsel's position that the Hickory Hills is significantly (i.e., relative to the size of the operation) over-earning above what is an appropriate revenue requirement for this Company's water operation. Referencing Accounting Schedule 1, of

1

4

5

7

8

6

9 10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

the attached Exhibit 1, shows that the current over-earnings approximates \$1,776 on an annual basis. It is the Public Counsel's recommendation that customer rates for the water operation should be <u>reduced</u> by \$1,776 in order to eliminate the effect of the overearnings.

REGARDING THE WATER AND SEWER OPERATION COST STRUCTURES, Q. WHERE DO THE OPC AND THE MPSC STAFF ("STAFF") DIFFER?

- The contested issues are limited to the determination and allocation of wages and A. automobile mileage costs associated with the owner/operators of the Company, along with what Public Counsel believes to be unsupported plant-related cost adjustments included by Staff in its recommendation. The Public Counsel accounting schedules, included in Exhibits 1 and 2, were developed primarily based on the Staff's audit of the utility and the resulting Staff accounting schedules filed on December 15, 2005, as item #3 in the Commission's Electronic Filing Information System (EFIS), titled as Notice of Agreement Regarding Disposition of Small Company Rate Increase Request. Therefore, in most instances there are no differences in the costs/expenses identified for either system's operation; however, with respect to the size of the operations, the differences that do exist have a major impact on the amount of the final revenue requirements recommended by both the OPC and Staff.
- Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE LARGER ISSUES?
- A. Yes. OPC believes that Mr. Randy Clifford, the operator of Hickory Hills, has significantly overstated the hours that he works at the utility and the automobile mileage

cost allowance that he claims. OPC also believes that the Staff's recommended hourly wage rate is substantially over the level necessary for an operator performing the kind of work that Mr. Clifford performs.

Q. ARE THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS CASE DIFFERENT FROM THAT WHICH IT HAD PREVIOUSLY FILED WITH THE COMMISSION?

- A. No, the Staff still supports the requested revenue increase of \$2,000 for the water operation and \$2,500 for the sewer operation, but its supporting accounting schedules have been modified from its original filing in December 2005. In that original filing, EFIS item #3, Staff's audit identified that the revenue increases sought by the Company were reasonable due to a perceived revenue requirement need of \$2,284 for the water operation and \$10,772 for the sewer operation. With the filing of direct testimony in this case Staff's accounting witness, Mr. Scott D. Clark, included subsequently modified accounting schedules still purporting to support the Company revenue increase request, but now showing a perceived revenue requirement need of \$4,417 for the water operation and \$5,912 for the sewer operation. That is, the perceived need for the water operation revenue requirement was increased \$2,133 (94%) while the sewer operation's was decreased \$4,860 (46%).
- Q. HOW DID THE STAFF MODIFIY ITS ORIGINAL ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION?
- A. Staff's subsequent modification of its original accounting schedules and supporting documentation is related to three areas of costs, 1) Staff reduced the hourly wage rate

utilized in its analysis for the owner/operator of the system, Mr. Clifford, from \$22.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour, 2) Staff modified its allocation of Mr. Clifford's payroll-related and mileage costs from a 50%/50% basis to a 75%/25% basis for the water and sewer operation respectively, and 3) Staff reclassified and/or removed certain items in the water operations plant account 325, the result of which has a minor impact on the difference between Staff and OPC.

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

III. PAYROLL COSTS

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCES IN THE PAYROLL COSTS (I.E., WAGES,
 - RETIRMENT BENEFITS AND EMPLOYMENT TAX) PROPOSED BY THE OPC
 - AND STAFF.
- A. The primary differences between the Staff and Public Counsel payroll annualizations
 - relate to the determination of a reasonable hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford along with a
 - determination of his actual time worked and an appropriate allocation basis for all
 - Company payroll costs between the water and sewer operations.

Staff's original payroll annualization, in total, included costs associated with worked

performed by both Mr. Randy Clifford and Ms. Kay Clifford along with some

miscellaneous test year payments made to Matthew and Scott Clifford and Jared

Milligan; all allocated on a 50%/50% basis between the water and sewer operations.

Staff subsequently modified its original payroll annualization by reducing Mr. Clifford's

hourly wage from \$22.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour and then by allocating his payroll

costs on a 75%/25% basis to the water and sewer operations, respectively. Public

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SR-2006-0249 Counsel believes that the Staff has reduced the hourly wage it allowed for Mr. Clifford's 2 services due to OPC challenging of the reasonableness of the \$22.50 per hour it included in its original filing. I also believe that Staff moved to the 75%/25% allocation of his 4 payroll costs because my analysis identified that ratio as being more appropriate given the activities he actually performs. However, Public Counsel believes, as I will explain 6 later, that the \$19.00 per hour is still quite excessive based on my market analysis of current wage rates for utility operators doing similar work in this area. Furthermore, though the Public Counsel is concerned that the \$10.50 per hour wage rate

Staff has allowed Ms. Clifford in its payroll annualization is too high, I do not propose any adjustment (other than to include an appropriate amount of employment tax) to Staff's annualized hours worked or wage rate proposal for her as I believe due to the number of hours she worked it would be immaterial in its impact upon the operation and rates of the Company. I do recommend allocating Ms. Clifford's payroll costs on a slightly different allocation ratio than that utilized by Staff. Based on my review of the Hickory Hills calendar year 2004 time and mileage log, I believe that an allocation ratio of 75% for the water system and 25% for the sewer system is a more realistic representation of the time Ms. Clifford spends working for the respective operations. Staff in its modified accounting schedules filed with Mr. Clark's direct testimony has changed its allocation of Mr. Clifford's costs to match my recommendation of a 75%/25% split, but has left Ms. Clifford's payroll cost allocation on a 50%/50% basis.

 Q.

Lastly, I do not propose any adjustments that differ from the Staff's recommendation for the work performed by Matthew and Scott Clifford or Jared Milligan as these payroll costs, in total, are only about \$300 and any adjustment, allocation or otherwise, would likely be immaterial.

PLEASE EXPLAIN THE STAFF'S PAYROLL-RELATED ANNUALIZATION FOR MR. RANDY CLIFFORD.

A. The payroll-related costs that Staff now recommends for Mr. Clifford include, 1) annualized hours worked of 675 hours, 2) a wage rate of \$19.00 per hour, 3) Federal Insurance Contributions Act ("FICA") based upon 7.65% of the result of the 675 hours multiplied by the \$19.00 per hour wage rate, and 4) a retirement benefit based upon 9% of the result of the 675 hours multiplied by the \$19.00 per hour wage rate. The Staff's recommended total payroll costs for Mr. Clifford are as follows:

Annualized Hours	675	
Hourly Wage Rate	\$	19.00
Annualized Salary	\$12	,832.00
Plus:		
FICA 7.65%	\$	982.00
Retirement Benefit 9%	<u>\$ 1</u>	,155.00
Total	\$14	,969.00

Staff then allocates the total costs to the Company's water and sewer operations on a 75%/25% basis. (Note: I believe Staff's retirement benefits workpaper contains an error wherein those costs are allocated on a basis of approximately 65% and 35%.)

- Q. HOW DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL PAYROLL ANNUALIZATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD DIFFER FROM THE STAFF'S NEW PAYROLL ANNUALIZATION?
- A. The Public Counsel's payroll annualization for Mr. Clifford differs in that my recommendation includes, 1) annualized hours worked of 539 hours, 2) an hourly wage rate of \$13.00 per hour, 3) FICA based upon 7.65% of the result of the 539 hours multiplied by the \$13.00 per hour wage rate, and 4) I eliminated the retirement benefit entirely. I then allocated the following total payroll costs on a 75%/25% ratio between the water and sewer operations:

Annualized Hours	539		
Hourly Wage Rate	\$ 13.00		
Annualized Salary	\$ 7,010.00		
Plus:	,		
FICA 7.65%	<u>\$ 536.00</u>		
Total	\$ 7.546.00		

- Q. PLEASE STATE THE SOURCE OF THE STAFF AND OPC ANNUALIZED HOURS WORKED RECOMMENDATIONS.
- A. The Staff and OPC annualized hours worked recommendations are based upon the entries Mr. Clifford made to the Hickory Hills calendar year 2004 time and mileage log; however, it is my understanding that the hours Mr. Clifford entered into the log may have been "padded." That is, the time he entered into the log was inflated because it is not an accurate representation of the time he actually he spent operating the systems.
- Q. WHY DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL BELIEVE THAT MR. CLIFFORD "PADDED" HIS CALENDAR YEAR 2004 TIME LOG?

A. Our position is based upon the fact that Mr. Clifford has voluntarily admitted he does so on a regular basis. At the public hearing held in California, Mo., on January 19, 2006, Mr. Clifford stated the following in response to a series of questions from the Public Counsel, Mr. Lewis Mills, regarding the time he spent operating the systems (source: Case No. WR-2006-0250 Public Hearing <u>Transcript Of Proceedings</u>, Volume 1, beginning page 22, line 6):

Mr. Mills: Let's -- let's talk about your salary and your mileage. You said you logged 675 hours last year?

Mr. Clifford: Yes.

MR. MILLS: When do you start charging time with the company and when do you stop? Is it when you arrive at the plant or when you leave your home?

MR. CLIFFORD: I charge basically a minimum of an hour a day. I think Jim had alluded that there were some days with three-quarters of an hour, half an hour. It -- it depends on -- if I'm in the area, I may -- the probably (sic) the days where I log less than an hour was I was in the area and -- and just stopped just to do company business.

But if I leave home, I generally charge an hour minimum is what I write down. I think any service industry you go to, there's generally a minimum charge. And I feel the hour minimum is well within line, seeing that I'm on call 24/7 365 days a year and there's no -- nothing billed into this rate structure to -- to accommodate for that.

MR. MILLS: Who -- who requires you to log your hours? Is that something that the Commission Staff has requested for rate purposes, or is that something that DNR

requires?

MR. CLIFFORD: I don't know that anyone has told me I must log my hours. But I've learned from experience that if I don't have hours and time logged, it's difficult to obtain rate increases to cover those hours.

MR. MILLS: So there are no real standards that you're aware of that govern how you should be recording your time?

MR. CLIFFORD: Not that I am aware of no.

MR. MILLS: Okay. So let's just -let's just talk about an example that -- that
some of your customers have talked about. Say
your -- leave your home in the morning, you're
on your way to work in Jefferson City. You
stop by the system to check things out. You
spend 15 minutes, half an hour at the system.
How much time would you log?

MR. CLIFFORD: As I stated earlier, I've been -- I'm now logging an hour minimum.

(Emphasis added by OPC.)

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S ANNUALIZED HOURS WORKED.
- A. Attached as Schedule TJR-2 to this rebuttal testimony is a workpaper I developed that shows the Public Counsel's recommended annualized hours worked for Mr. Clifford. As the workpaper shows, it is the Public Counsel's belief that 2004 time log has been inflated by approximately 119 hours. It is OPC's recommendation that the annualized work hours utilized in the determination of Mr. Clifford's wages for this rate case exclude the 119

(1/2) hour.

hours which I believe represents a reasonable value for the inappropriate entries he entered into his time log.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOU DETERMINED YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S ALLOWABLE ANNUALIZED HOURS.

A. By far, the majority of time Mr. Clifford spends at the utility site is identified in the time log simply as "cl test/check system" or "water test." I reviewed each of the log entries for Mr. Clifford during calendar year 2004 and where the entries were limited to the identification of these activities, and other non-labor intensive testing-related activities, as the only work performed I made an adjustment to reduce the time logged to one-half

Q. WHY DID YOU LIMIT THE TIME IT TOOK FOR TESTING AND SYSTEM CHECK ACTIVITIES TO ONLY 1/2 HOUR?

Α.

Public Counsel made the adjustments based on Mr. Clifford' admission that he inflated the time he recorded in the 2004 time log. During the recent public hearing Mr. Clifford stated that he logs a minimum of 1 hour each time he visits the operations even if he spends less actual time working. Public Counsel adjusted each of the entries to 1/2 hour to better represent the actual time it takes him to perform the testing and checking activities.

5K-2006-02

Q. WHY DID THE PUBLIC COUNSEL CHOOSE 1/2 HOUR AS A MORE REASONABLE TIME TO PERFORM THE TESTING AND CHECKING ACTIVITIES?

- As described by Mr. Clifford, he logged a great many entries of 1 hour in the 2004 time log. Most of the 1 hour entries included limited descriptions which in most cases were identical to several other entries he logged wherein it only took him 1/2 hour to perform the same activities. Public Counsel concluded that since he admits he inflates his actual time spent working in the log to at least a 1 hour minimum (and it appears to be true due to the large number of 1 hour entries that are recorded in the log), it would not be unreasonable to reduce the suspect inflated time to 1/2 hour since, by his own admission, the log entries show that the work can be performed in that amount of time.
- Q. IS THERE ANOTHER REASON THAT THE PUBLIC COUNSEL BELIEVES 1/2
 HOUR IS A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME IN WHICH MR. CLIFFORD CAN
 PERFORM THE TESTING AND SYSTEM CHECKING SERVICES REQUIRED AT
 THE UTILITY?
- A. Yes. Mr. Clifford has stated that he can and has performed those services in as little as fifteen (15) minutes. Beginning on page 101 of the <u>Transcript of the Deposition Of Randy Clifford</u>, taken by Public Counsel on March 30, 2006, he states the following in response to a series of questions from the Public Counsel concerning how long it actually takes him to perform his services at the utility:
 - Q. Now, going back to -- and I think we had a discussion about this at the local public hearing -- we were talking about the amount of

time that shows up in your log versus the amount of time you were actually there. And I think you said that you charge a minimum of an hour a day; is that --

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that still your practice?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And are you always at the system for an hour a day?
- A. No.
- Q. What is -- you know, we've gone through, I think, most of the steps that you take when you're there. What is the minimum amount of time that you spend at the plant? Just pick a day when you had a really quick trip, you were in and out as fast as you ever do.
- A. Probably 15 minutes actually at the pump house.
- Q. From the time you park your car and get out, get back in the car and drive away, 15 minutes?
- A. That would be as fast as I could do it. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And during that 15 minutes, you're spending, according to my mental calculations, probably five to ten minutes doing the chlorine testing.
- A. Yes.
- Q. What is taking the rest of the time?
- A. You got to walk to the well house and back from the well house, record the data and clean up the instruments and the test vials and such as that.
- Q. How far from the well house do you have to park?
- A. Approximately 350 feet.

(Emphasis added by OPC.)

Since Mr. Clifford has, by his own admission, provided evidence that he can and has performed the required testing and system checking activities at the utility in as few as 15 minutes, it is the Public Counsel belief that it is reasonable, and in

fact quite generous, to include in the determination of his daily time allowance 30 minutes per day for those days where he has indicated that water testing and checking the systems are the only services he performed.

Q. DID THE PUBLIC COUNSEL ALSO MAKE ADDITIONAL

DISALLOWANCES FOR OTHER ENTRIES IN THE TIME LOG?

A. Yes. During the first few months of 2004 it appears that Mr. Clifford was recording three-quarters (3/4) of an hour as a minimum amount time to the log for his services.

Then in April 2004 he apparently switched to using in its stead a 1 hour minimum.

hour minimum was adjusted to 1/2 hour. In addition, there were several entries wherein

Public Counsel adjusted the 3/4 hour entries to 1/2 hour for the same reasons that the 1

the descriptions listed in the log did not adequately identify the actual time Mr. Clifford spent working on different activities he performed. If these entries were solely related to

testing and system checking activities I reduced the time spent to 1/2 hour per day;

otherwise, I accepted the log entries as written.

Q. DID THE PUBLIC COUNSEL MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO ADD ADDITIONAL HOURS TO THE 2004 TIME LOG?

A. Yes. There were several days during the year where no time was logged for work activities. Because it is my understanding that the water system requires the operator to visit it every day I added 1/2 hour to the development of Mr. Clifford's annualized hours

worked for each of those days.

- Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE HOURLY WAGE RATES STAFF AND PUBLIC COUNSEL UTILIZED TO DETERMINE MR. CLIFFORD'S ANNUAL WAGE EXPENSE.
- A. As I stated previously, the new Staff annualization of Mr. Clifford's wages is based upon an hourly wage rate of \$19.00 per hour while the Public Counsel's recommendation is based upon an hourly wage rate of \$13.00 per hour.
- Q. WHAT IS THE STAFF'S RATIONALE FOR USING THE \$19.00 PER HOUR WAGE RATE?
- A. Early on in this case, I sent Staff OPC Data Request No. 1 which sought copies of all documentation that supports the calculation and utilization of the original \$22.50 per hour wage rate it first supported. Staff's auditor, Mr. Scott Clark's, response to OPC Data Request No. 1 states:

The \$22.50 hour rate that was included for Randy Clifford was established and approved in the Company's last rate case. The same hourly rate was agreed to be appropriate in this case by myself and supervisors.

Subsequent to OPC receiving that response, Staff filed its direct testimony wherein it changed its payroll annualization by reducing its recommended hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford from \$22.50 per hour to \$19.00 per hour. In Mr. Clark's direct testimony beginning on page 6, line 9, he states that after continuous discussion between himself, senior staff members and the Commission's Water and Sewer Department, along with

reasonable amount.

1

research of other various sources of wage information this rate was determined to be a

3

4

A.

Q. WHAT OTHER SOURCES OF WAGE INFORMATION DOES HE CITE?

5

additional sources to support Staff's revised wage rate of \$19.00 per hour, 1) researched

Beginning on page 7, line 1, of his direct testimony, he identifies the following as

7

6

the U.S. Department of Labor-Bureau of Labor Statistics web-site and the consumer price

8

index (CPI) for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in the Midwest Region for

9

Size Class D, which applies to areas with population less than 50,000. The CPI factor was

10

then applied to the operator's hourly salary allowed by the Commission in the Bill Gold Investments Complaint Case (Case No. SC-93-576). As a result, the \$15.00 hourly wage

11 12

13

allowed in that case was updated to an hourly wage of \$18.99 for this case, and 2) Staff also received information from the City of Tipton, Missouri, which reflected an hourly

14

rate of \$18.62 excluding benefits, for the water and sewer operator of that system. The

15

city of Tipton paid benefits for this position that amounted to an additional \$13.41 per

16

hour for a total hourly wage of \$32.02. The benefits were paid to cover health insurance,

17

short-term disability and retirement.

18

19

Q. DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL AGREE WITH STAFF THAT AN HOURLY WAGE RATE OF \$19.00 IS A REASONABLE HOURLY WAGE FOR MR. CLIFFORD'S

2021

SERVICES?

22

A.

No. I believe that the cost structures of Bill Gold Investments and the City of Tipton,

Mo., identified by Staff as support for the \$19.00 hourly wage rate, have little or nothing

in common with the case at hand. Neither of those two organizations are related to the Hickory Hills operation and Staff has not provided any support that would link those

operations as being even remotely comparable to the utility operations in the instant case.

Q. HAS THE PUBLIC COUNSEL RESEARCHED WHAT AN APPROPRIATE WAGE
RATE WOULD BE FOR THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY MR. CLIFFORD?

 A. Yes. Suspecting that Staff's original hourly wage rate of \$22.50 was excessive, given that on an annualized basis of 2,080 hours (i.e., a 40 hour work week) per year it represents a wage of \$46,800 per year, I undertook an investigation of how the "local market" might value the services of an operator with Mr. Clifford's credentials and experience. My research uncovered that the original \$22.50 per hour and the revised \$19.00 per hour (annualized on a full year basis this represents income of approximately \$39,520 per year) Staff now recommends are both quite excessive when viewed in light of actual market conditions that exist currently in this geographic area of Missouri.

Q. PLEASE CONTINUE.

- A. Recognizing that an extremely small utility with only 49 customers should not be paying an owner/operator a wage, excluding employment taxes, which on a full year annual basis approximates \$39,000 \$47,000 yearly, I conducted a search of current hourly wage rates for like operating personnel. My research yielded the following:
 - 1. In the Jefferson City New Tribune, Sunday, February 26,2006, the City of Columbia, Missouri (pop. 120,164), advertised an opening for a "Wastewater Treatment Plant Operator I" for an hourly wage

range of \$11.82 - \$16.05 based on experience and possession of a Class "D" operator's certificate.

- 2. The City of Lebanon, Missouri (pop. 12,155), advertised on the Missouri Rural Water Association website (posted on December 5, 2005; expires March 5, 2006) an opening for a "Wastewater Treatment Operator" with a Class "C" certificate for \$10.00 \$15.00 per hour based on experience.
- 3. The City of Republic, Missouri (pop. 8,438), advertised on its website (posted on February 23, 2006; closes March 3, 2006) an opening for a "Wastewater Operator I" with a Class "D" certificate for \$10.40 per hour.
- 4. In the Jefferson City New Tribune, Friday, March 24, 2006, the Missouri-American water facility in Jefferson City, Missouri (pop. 39,636), advertised an opening for a "Water/Wastewater Plant Operator" for a minimum annual salary of \$31,875 (i.e., hourly wage of \$15.33 based on a 2,080 hour year) based on education and experience in addition to possession of a Class "A" operator's license preferred.
- 5. The U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics website states that in 2004, in the City of Springfield, Missouri (pop. 140,494), water and liquid waste treatment plant and system operators earned a mean hourly wage of \$15.65 per hour.
- 6. The U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics website states that in 2004, the hourly mean wage, by industry, for all water, sewage and other systems operators \$16.44 per hour.
- Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE ADVERTISED SALARIES ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF MARKET WAGES FOR SIMILIAR OPERATIONS IN THIS GEOGRAPHIC AREA?
- A. Yes.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CLASSIFICATION AND OPERATOR CERTIFICATION REQUIRED FOR THE HICKORY HILLS WATER SYSTEM.

The Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("DNR") separates water systems into two divisions, 1) water treatment systems, and 2) water distribution systems based upon factors such as the facility size, complexity, source of water and treatment performed. The divisions are further classified into A, B, C, and D systems for water treatment systems, and DS III, DS II and DS I systems for water distribution systems. Classes A and DS III; respectively, being the highest levels requiring more education, experience, etc. For example, Missouri Department of Natural Resources 10 CSR 60-14.010(3)(B) states in part:

The department will classify each distribution facility by size and complexity. This classification is based on, but may not be limited to, the criteria in Table 2 of this rule. Other distribution technologies will be considered on a case-by-case basis. From this classification process, the department will determine the certification level that a **chief operator** must have to supervise the operation of the distribution system.

1. Systems that only chlorinate, reduce the hardness of the water by ion exchange, or provide no treatment will be classified as distribution systems.

(Emphasis added by OPC.)

The Hickory Hills water operation is classified as a "water distribution system." It is not a "water treatment system" which entails a much more complex operation in size and scope. As such Mr. Clifford, the system's operator, does not possess the higher Class A, B, C or D operator certificate. He possesses a water distribution system DSIII certificate which is essentially a level higher in education and experience requirements than that needed to actually operate the system, but is lower than the certification requirements of a Class A, B, C or D water treatment operation. Company's response to OPC Data Request

No. 39 verified that the water system is classified as DSI which is the lowest level water distribution system classification.

Q. DOES MR. CLIFFORD POSSESS A VALID CERTIFICATE TO OPERATE THE WATER SYSTEM?

A. Yes. According to the Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 9, Mr. Clifford possesses a valid DSIII level operators certificate.

Q. SHOULD AN OPERATOR THAT HAS A HIGHER LEVEL OPERATING

CERTIFICATE THAN REQUIRED TO OPERATE A LOWER CLASSIFIED WATER

SYSTEM BE REMUNERATED WITH A HIGHER SALARY AMOUNT?

A. Not necessarily. A higher certification level does mean that the operator is legally allowed to operate a more complex operation; though in this case, the system in question is very simple to operate and does not, in Public Counsel's opinion, necessitate an increase in wages due only to the operator being certified at a slightly higher level.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CLASSIFICATION AND OPERATOR CERTIFICATION REQUIRED FOR THE HICKORY HILLS SEWER SYSTEM.

A. The Hickory Hills sewer operation is a Class D system; however, due to its size, it is an "exempt" system. This means that a requirement for its operation by certified personnel does not exist. For example, Missouri Department of Natural Resources 10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A) states in part:

Requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, serving population equivalents greater than two hundred (200) or with fifty (50) or more service connections..., and all other systems are exempt from this rule...

Legally, anyone with or without formal training or any actual experience could operate the sewer system.

Q. DOES MR. CLIFFORD POSSESS A VALID CERTIFICATE TO OPERATE THE SEWER SYSTEM?

A. No. Mr. Clifford is not required to possess a certificate to operate the sewer system because, according to DNR rule, it is exempt because of its small size. This fact was corroborated by the Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 9.

Q. DID EACH OF THE JOB ADVERTISEMENTS YOU DESCRIBED EARLIER

REQUIRE AN OPERATOR TO HAVE A HIGHER LEVEL CERTIFICATION THAN

THAT CURRENTLY HELD BY MR. CLIFFORD?

A. Yes. The advertisements are for water/wastewater treatment plant operators and they required the prospective employee to have a certification level of either A, C or D. Mr. Clifford is not certified at any of these levels. In fact, he is not certified all for the wastewater system and his water operator certification is a DSIII which is a lower certification for a system much much simpler to operate than a water treatment system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- IS IT REASONABLE TO BELIEVE THAT THE "MARKET" WOULD VALUE MR. Q. CLIFFORD'S CERTIFICATION LEVEL AND EXPERIENCE AT A LOWER HOURLY WAGE RATE THAN THOSE IDENTIFIED IN THE ADVERTISEMENTS?
- Yes. Based on Mr. Clifford's current certification and experience it is reasonable to A. assume that the lower ranges of the hourly rates identified in the advertisements might be excessive wages for him unless he were to operate larger systems or able to upgrade his certification to meet the requirements requested.
- Q. SHOULD THE CUSTOMERS OF THE HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER SYSTEM BE REQUIRED TO COMPENSATE MR. CLIFFORD AT AN HOURLY WAGE RATE HIGHER THAN WHAT THE "MARKET" IN THIS GEOGRAPHIC AREA DEEMS APPROPRIATE?
- A. No. Mr. Clifford's hourly wage rate should not exceed the prevailing market rates in this geographic area for the services he provides. The water and sewer systems which he operates are extremely small in relation to the populations of the communities identified in the advertisements I've listed; therefore, the size and complexity of his utility operations along with the certification and experience levels he possesses should be the deciding factors in his payroll costs authorized to be recovered from ratepayers.
- Q. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION FOR MR, CLIFFORD'S **HOURLY WAGE RATE?**
- Recognizing that the two systems he operates are extremely small, and more or less very A. simple in operation (based upon the description provided in the Missouri Department of

1 2

3

45

6

7

Q.

A.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

2122

Natural Resources rules), and recognizing the fact that Mr. Clifford has operated the systems for approximately fifteen (15) years and has significant experience with the operations, I recommend that his hourly wage rate be set at \$13.00 per hour. This hourly wage rate represents the approximate middle of the range for the operator positions described in the employment advertisements provided above (i.e., (\$10.00 + \$16.05)/2).

WHY DID PUBLIC COUNSEL CHOOSE TO RECOMMEND AN AVERAGE OF
THE HOURLY RATE RANGE SHOWN IN THE EMPLOYMENT

ADVERTISEMENTS?

Were it not for Mr. Clifford's years of experience with the Hickory Hills operations, I would have recommended an hourly wage rate approximating the lower ranges shown for the positions advertised by the Cities of Lebanon, Mo. and Republic, Mo., (i.e., low end of the advertised range is \$10.00 & \$10.40 per hour). Both of these cities are representative of smaller more rural-like communities. Yet, their operational needs and certification requirements are also greater than that currently held or provided by Mr. Clifford.

However, due to his years of experience, I believe it likely he could easily obtain a higher certification level if he so desired; though it is not required or needed for him to do so in order to operate the Hickory Hills systems. Therefore, because of his experience operating the Hickory Hill systems, I believe it reasonable to compensate him at a level

higher than the lower end of the "market" range identified in those smaller communities,

but not at the high end of larger communities and systems such as Springfield, Mo. and Columbia, Mo., (i.e., high end of the advertised range is \$15.65 & \$16.05 per hour).

Also, I did not recommend an hourly wage rate based on the U. S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics hourly mean wage, by industry, for all water, sewage and other systems operators (i.e., \$16.44 per hour) because this statistic likely includes large metropolitan areas and operators which would tend to skew the hourly wage rate higher that wages actually paid in rural areas such as California, Missouri. However, I do believe that on a national basis the U. S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics hourly mean wage does represent the average high end limit of hourly wages for these types of utility operations. Thus, Staff's recommendation of a \$19.00 hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford is definitely too high since it is \$2.56 more per hour than the national average identified in the statistic. I believe that under any scenario identified in this testimony Staff's position on this matter is extreme.

I also believe an average of the low and high range for the positions advertised represents a reasonable and generous hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford's services. It does so because it is representative of actual market wages in this geographic area for operators with a higher certification working on larger more complex systems. Setting Mr. Clifford's hour wage rate at an average of that range allows us to recognize his years of experience in operating the systems, but also recognizes that the Hickory Hills systems are extremely small and less complex in their operation.

3

5

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU ELIMINATED THE RETIREMENT BENEFIT COSTS STAFF INCLUDED IN ITS RECOMMENDATION FOR MR. CLIFFORD.

It is the Public Counsel's belief that a system the size of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer A. Company does not warrant the recovery from ratepayers of costs associated with a retirement benefit. The operation of this Company can easily be classified as nothing more than part-time jobs for Mr. and Ms. Clifford. In fact, it is my understanding that Mr. Clifford has a full-time job in Jefferson City wherein he obtains a majority of the income he requires for his livelihood while Ms. Clifford only works 40 hours per year for the utility. Public Counsel does not believe that it is a widespread business practice, or often used regulatory ratemaking procedure, to provide part-time workers (which in this case are also the owners of the utility) with additional employment benefits (e.g., pension retirement, 401K, medical insurance, dental benefit, etc.) that exceed those directly related to their hourly wage rates. This is especially true concerning a company the size of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company with only 49 customers which is reminiscent of a small "mom & pop" business operation. Therefore, I have excluded these costs in their entirety from the Public Counsel recommended payroll annualization.

IV. MILEAGE COSTS

- Q. DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION INCLUDE A MILEAGE COST AMOUNT THAT DIFFERS FROM THE MILEAGE COST AMOUNT RECOMMENDED BY STAFF?
- A. Yes. Staff is recommending annualized mileage costs of \$3,864 for Mr. and Ms. Clifford. The \$3,864 is based upon Mr. Clifford driving 9,316 miles annually and Ms.

Clifford driving 405 miles annually, for the benefit of the regulated operations, multiplied by a per mile rate of \$0.405. Staff originally allocated Mr. & Ms. Clifford's mileage costs 50% to the water system and 50% to the sewer operation, but in its filed direct testimony it revised Mr. Clifford's allocation 75% to the water operation and 25% the sewer operation (i.e., Mr. Clifford \$2,775 water and \$925 sewer and Ms. Clifford \$82 water and \$82 sewer). Whereas, Public Counsel recommends an annualized mileage cost of \$1,669 for the Clifford's services based on an annualized miles of 3,716 for Mr. Clifford and 405 miles for Ms. Clifford multiplied by the same per mile rate of \$0.405. Public Counsel allocates the recommended \$1,669 total cost 75% to the water system and 25% to the sewer operation (i.e., Mr. Clifford \$1,129 water and \$376 sewer and Ms. Clifford \$123 water and \$41 sewer).

12

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CLIFFORD'S ANNUALIZED MILEAGE COSTS.
- 16 17 18 19

20

21

22

15

A.

Other than the allocation of the mileage costs identified for Ms. Clifford's portion of the amount, the positions taken by Staff and OPC with regard to her costs are the same. However, attached as Schedule TJR-3 to this testimony is a workpaper I developed that shows the Public Counsel's recommended annualized mileage costs for Mr. Clifford which is very different from that proposed by Staff (the source for the information shown on the workpaper is Mr. Clifford's actual mileage log for calendar year 2004). As the workpaper shows, it is the Public Counsel's belief that Mr. Clifford has inappropriately inflated (i.e., padded) his 2004 mileage log by approximately 5,421 miles. Therefore,

OPC recommends that no mileage costs associated with the 5,421 miles should be allowed in the determination of rates recovered from the ratepayers of this utility.

Q. WHY DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL BELIEVE MR. CLIFFORD "PADDED" THE MILEAGE HE RECORDED IN THE CALENDAR YEAR 2004 MILEAGE LOG?

 A. Our position is based upon the fact that Mr. Clifford has voluntarily admitted he records mileage to the Hickory Hills utility operations for trips he makes between his home in Tipton, Mo. and his regular employment in Jefferson City, Mo. In response to a series of questions from the Missouri Public Counsel, Mr. Lewis Mills, regarding the mileage he recorded verses what he actual drove, Mr. Clifford stated the following (source: Case No. WR-2006-0250 Public Hearing Transcript Of Proceedings, Volume 1, beginning page 24, line 5):

MR. MILLS: Okay. Let's talk about mileage. Same situation. You're on your way home -- from your home in Tipton to your job in Jefferson City, and you stop by here to check out the system. How many miles would -- would you record?

MR. CLIFFORD: Twenty-two miles.

MR. MILLS: Okay. Twenty-two miles each way or --

MR. CLIFFORD: No. Total.

MR. MILLS: Twenty-two miles for that trip?

MR. CLIFFORD: Yes.

(Emphasis added by OPC.)

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

It is quite clear from Mr. Clifford's statements that he is attempting to have this Commission order ratepayers of the regulated utility operations reimburse him for at least a portion of the traveling costs he incurs to go to his regular full-time job in Jefferson City, Missouri. It is my belief that the ratepayers of the Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company should not be required to subsidize any of the costs associated with Mr. Clifford's nonregulated activities; including, but not limited to, other employment or work activities. To do so otherwise would result in a gross violation of the regulatory ratemaking theory and processes utilized by the State of Missouri to regulate public utility companies.

- Q. PLEASE DECRIBE HOW THE ANNUALIZED MILEAGE COST PUBLIC COUNSEL RECOMMENDS WAS DETERMINED.
- A. The workpaper shown in Schedule TJR-3 identifies that most of the entries Mr. Clifford recorded in the 2004 mileage log were for 22 miles per day. These entries included the days of Monday through Friday; dates which Mr. Clifford would normally be expected to by driving by the utility systems, located just outside California, Mo., to and from his home in Tipton, Mo. and his employment in Jefferson City, Mo. Because it is obvious that Mr. Clifford has inappropriately inflated the mileage he recorded in the 2004 log for these normal work days, OPC developed an annualized mileage that is a summation of the following:

- 1. For each day where mileage recorded in the 2004 log exceeded 22 miles the excess over the 22 miles was included.
- 2. For each weekend day, Saturday and Sunday, 22 miles was included.
- 3. 22 miles per day for a representation for a number of holidays Mr. Clifford might be expected to receive from his employer in Jefferson City, Mo., was also included.

Public Counsel's recommended mileage annualization allows all mileage above the 22 miles per day recorded in the 2004 log even though we can not be sure that those miles were not also inflated. It also includes 22 miles for every weekend day and a representative number of holidays wherein it could be expected that Mr. Clifford would indeed be required to use his time and vehicle to travel to the utility.

The primary result of the Public Counsel's mileage annualization is that it excludes the 22 miles per day Mr. Clifford recorded for travel on Monday through Friday during the year. This exclusion is due to the fact, as admitted by Mr. Clifford, that he records 22 miles on those days even though he is driving by the utility systems to and from his employment in Jefferson City, Mo. It is the Public Counsel's belief that Mr. Clifford's recording of mileage during normal work week days has inflated the utility's 2004 mileage log by approximately 5,421 miles, and that these "phantom" miles, the cost of which if authorized for recovery from the utility's regulated ratepayers, would be a subsidization of Mr. Clifford's driving costs to his nonregulated employment in Jefferson City, Mo.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

v. COST ALLOCATION METHDOLOGY

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR COST ALLOCATION RECOMMENDATION.
 - In reviewing the Staff's original rate case workpapers, and the various responses to OPC data requests, I noticed that the Staff's original allocation of the Hickory Hills payroll and mileage costs was based on a 50%/50% allocation between the water and sewer operations. To me that allocation did not appear reasonable due to the fact that, as I understand it, the water system must be checked every day, but that the sewer system has less frequent requirements. To determine a more reasonable allocation of the services provided, I reviewed the Clifford's calendar year 2004 time and mileage log and separated each of the logged entries based upon the descriptions provided for time spent on the various water and sewer activities. Though the log was lacking somewhat in the level of detail I would have liked to have seen, the result of my analysis was that approximately 70% of Mr. Clifford's time was spent on the water system and 30% was spent on the sewer system while approximately 79% of Ms. Clifford's time was spent on the water system and 21% was spent on the sewer system. I surmised that a more appropriate allocation basis for their costs would be 75% for water and 25% for sewer due in part to the limited testing requirements described in the sewer system's Missouri State Operating Permit provided in the Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 24.

The Missouri State Operating Permit describes that the operator of the sewer system is required to take the following measurements once a month:

- 1. Flow
- 2. Biochemical Oxygen Demands

- 1 2
- 3.
- 3 4

- 5 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26

- **Total Suspended Solids**
- 4. Ammonia as N
- 5. Temperature
- pH Units 6.

Though the measurements listed above are not the only activities likely to take place to operate the sewer company (e.g., the grounds require mowing, spraying, other maintenance, etc.), I believe that the results of the analysis I developed incorporates those activities and provided a more reasonable allocation of the payroll and mileage costs than the unsubstantiated 50%/50% allocation originally proposed by Staff. However, as I've already discussed, Staff in its direct testimony filing modified its accounting schedules so that the payroll and mileage costs associated with Mr. Clifford are now allocated on a 75%/25% basis.

- Q. DID STAFF ALSO MODIFIY ITS POSITION TO USE THE SAME 75%/25% ALLOCATION RATIO FOR THE PAYROLL AND MILEAGE COSTS ASSOCIATED
 - WITH THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY MS. CLIFFORD?
- A. No. Staff has not changed its allocation for the costs associated with Ms. Clifford's time and mileage.
- Q. IS STAFF'S POSITION ON THE ALLOCATION OF HER COSTS REASONABLE?
- A. No. Public Counsel's analysis of her time working for the utility clearly indicates that she spends approximately 79% on activities related to the water system and 21% on the sewer system. Therefore, I believe it more reasonable to allocate her payroll costs according to the same 75%/25% allocation ratio I utilized for Mr. Clifford.

VI. PLANT-RELATED COSTS

- Q. DO THE OPC AND STAFF REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECOMMENDATIONS
 FOR THE WATER OPERATION HAVE DIFFERENCES ASSOCIATED WITH
 PLANT-RELATED COSTS?
- A. Yes. There are a number of issues related to plant-related costs included in both Staff's original accounting schedules filing and the revised accounting schedules it filed with the direct testimony of its accounting witness, Mr. Clark. However, since Public Counsel was not provided with copies of Staff's workpapers supporting the changes, identified on page 4 in the filing of Mr. Clark's direct testimony, until late last week we have not had sufficient time to verify and analyze the reasonableness of the modifications. I have recently issued several data requests to both Staff and Mr. Clifford in an attempt to gather information that would ascertain whether the revised plant-related adjustments are proper, but at the time I am writing this testimony the responses to those data requests have not been received.

Q. DO YOU KNOW WHAT EFFECT THE STAFF'S PLANT-RELATED

MODIFICATIONS WOULD HAVE ON THE OPC'S RECOMMENDED REVENUE

REQUIREMENT FOR THE WATER OPERATION?

A. Yes. I have done a simple calculation of the effect that the Staff's plant-related changes would have on the OPC recommended revenue requirement for the water operation and I believe that effect to be relatively immaterial. Therefore, inasmuch as the Staff modifications to the plant-related accounts of the water operation have not been verified for accuracy or reasonableness, I recommend that the water operation's revenue

requirement be based on the plant-related entries as shown in the OPC's Exhibit 1 accounting schedules. At this time, I believe OPC's rendition of these costs to be more accurate since they have been thoroughly audited.

VII. COMPANY'S CURRENT FINANCIAL POSITION

Q. IS THE HICKORY HILLS WATER & SEWER COMPANY IN FINANCIAL DISTRESS?

A. No, I do not believe that it is. On or about January of 2005 the Company was authorized to increase its water and sewer rates for a total of approximately \$12,226 (i.e., \$8,178 (93%) for the water operation and \$4,048 (142%) for the sewer operation). Then on or about July 2005, approximately six (6) months after those significant increases were authorized by the Commission, Company filed the current cases wherein it now seeks to increase rates again by another \$4,500 (i.e., \$2,000 for the water operation and \$2,500 for the sewer operation).

In an attempt to understand why the Company believed that it needed the additional revenue increases so soon, I sent it OPC Data Request No. 27 seeking to find the level of outstanding unpaid bills it was currently experiencing. Company's response to OPC Data Request No. 27 provided copies of invoices and credit line information that identified the following past due balances occurring during 2004:

1.	Engineering Surveys & Services	6/2004	\$ 80.00
2.	Bobby Medlin, CPA	4/2004	\$582.00
3.	Line of Credit	1/2004	\$ 0.00
4.	USA Blue Book	4/2004	\$ 46.55

1 2

However, a review of Company's check register, included in the Staff's rate case workpapers, shows what appears to be payment of most of the Engineering S&S charge with check #2163, it also shows payment of all the Bobby Medlin CPA charges with checks #2158, #2165 and #2178, and payment of the USA Blue Book charge with check #2155. Clearly, the level of unpaid invoices past due is not material, in fact, it is nearly nonexistent. Thus, it is my belief that the Company is not in financial distress with regard to its ability to pay the costs it takes to operate the systems.

- Q. DID PUBLIC COUNSEL INQUIRE OF THE COMPANY IF THE ANNUALIZED

 LEVEL OF EXPENSES INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSED OPC AND STAFF

 ACCOUNTING SCHEDULES, EXCLUDING SALARY AND MILEAGE RELATED

 COSTS, WERE SUFFICIENT TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ITS WATER AND SEWER

 OPERATIONS?
- A. Yes. In at least two meetings held with Mr. Clifford I asked him if the level of costs, excluding payroll and mileage costs, being recommended in the current rate cases, by both OPC and Staff, were sufficient to operate the businesses, and if not, to identify the specific costs which were so low as to have a material negative impact on the operations. In neither case was Mr. Clifford able to identify any of the other recommended costs as being materially low. In fact, in the deposition of Mr. Clifford, taken by OPC on March 30, 2006, beginning on page 123 of the proceedings transcript, he responded inasmuch in the following exchange with the Public Counsel:

- Q. If you were to get the rate that you asked for in the water increase case and the sewer rate increase case, would that cover all of your expenses for operating the system?
- A. No.
- Q. What expenses would be left uncovered?
- A. Labor.
- Q. Okay. And correct me if I'm wrong, but under the current rates and under the proposed rates, if you didn't pay yourself as much as you think you should get, you would still be able to pay all other operating expenses of the company?
- A. Yes.

(Emphasis added by OPC.)

- Q. IN YOUR MEETINGS WITH MR. CLIFFORD DID HE EXPRESS

 CONCERNS THAT OTHER MONIES PREVIOUSLY EXPENDED IN PRIOR

 YEARS WOULD NOT BE RECOVERED IN THE RATES PROPOSED IN

 THE INSTANT CASE?
- A. Yes. Mr. Clifford did express concerns that monies previously expended in prior years for unexpected expenses reduced the amount of salaries they were able to withdraw from the Company.
- Q. IS IT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COMPANY'S MANAGEMENT TO OPERATE THE UTILITY IN A COST EFFICIENT MANNER?
- A. Yes. The ratemaking process is in fact a surrogate for competition, but it is management's responsibility to operate the business in a efficient and effective manner. If expenses increased above the level of costs allowed in current rates, then it is up to management to determine its options and courses of action. For

example, management can either operate the Company so as to mitigate the impact of the increased costs or ask the Commission for authorization to increase revenues if warranted. However, if costs were to decrease, which is always possible, then the Company would enjoy the resulting economic benefits until rates are again ultimately reset. In either case, the regulatory ratemaking process does not allow Company the right to retroactively recover unexpected increases in expenses not included in current rates.

VIII. SUMMARY

- Q. IN THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S OPINION WHAT ARE THE CONTESTED ISSUES

 SURROUNDING THE REQUESTED RATE INCREASE FOR THE HICKORY HILLS

 WATER AND SEWER OPERATIONS?
- A. It is my believe that wages, mileage and plant-related costs are the core contested issues for this rate case. The other annualized costs supported by both Public Counsel and Staff recommendations have not been challenged by the Company, or any other parties, as being materially insufficient to operate the water or sewer operation on a going-forward basis.

The OPC recommendation and the current Staff recommendation for wages and mileage costs differ primarily due to the following, 1) the OPC and Staff utilized a different hourly wage rate for Mr. Clifford's time; the OPC wage being based on current "market" conditions for operator positions advertised in the geographic area and the Staff's being based on, what I believe to be, unsupported cost structures of two totally unrelated

A.

entities, 2) OPC also made a disallowance adjustment to the Company's recorded 2004 time and mileage logs to account for the "padding" of time and mileage Mr. Clifford has admitted making to those documents; Staff did not, and 3) Staff has moved to OPC's position of allocating Mr. Clifford's wage and mileage costs on a 75%/25% basis to the water and sewer operations, but it continues to allocate Ms. Clifford's wage and mileage costs a 50%/50% basis. In addition, Staff has very recently made several changes to plant-related accounts which basically have a very small impact on the revenue requirement recommended by the Public Counsel.

Q. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE HICKORY HILLS OPERATIONS?

Public Counsel's recommendation is that the sewer operation be authorized to **increase** its rates by \$2,161 and that the water operation rates be **decreased** by \$1,776. This recommendation is based on my belief that the hourly wage rate allowed in the case for Mr. Clifford's services should be supported by current "market" conditions for similar positions in this geographic area. Further, I believe that a disallowance adjustment to his time and mileage log is appropriate so that a reasonable level of costs for his actual services provided is represented rather than just including the recorded log values since those values are now known to have been inflated. The resulting costs for both Mr. and Ms. Clifford's time and mileage should then be allocated as I have recommended due to the fact that the evidence shows it is a closer representation of the time they allege to have spent in the operation of the utility's water and sewer operations while Staff's recommended allocation of Ms. Clifford's costs is supported by nothing more than a

general position that that was the way it was done in the last case. Lastly, Staff's original and most recent plant-related adjustments which differ from those of OPC, though having a relatively minor effect on the water operation revenue requirement proposed by the Public Counsel, have yet to be supported by documentation that would verify their accuracy and reasonableness. Therefore, I recommend that the plant-related entries shown on the OPC's Exhibit 1 water operation accounting schedules, which have been thoroughly scrutinized, should be authorized in the development of the water operation's revenue requirement.

- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes, it does.

CASE PARTICIPATION OF TED ROBERTSON

Company Name	Case No.
Missouri Public Service Company	GR-90-198
United Telephone Company of Missouri	TR-90-273
Choctaw Telephone Company	TR-91-86
Missouri Cities Water Company	WR-91-172
United Cities Gas Company	GR-91-249
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-91-361
Missouri Cities Water Company	WR-92-207
Imperial Utility Corporation	SR-92-290
Expanded Calling Scopes	TO-92-306
United Cities Gas Company	GR-93-47
Missouri Public Service Company	GR-93-172
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	TO-93-192
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-93-212
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	TC-93-224
Imperial Utility Corporation	SR-94-16
St. Joseph Light & Power Company	ER-94-163
Raytown Water Company	WR-94-211
Capital City Water Company	WR-94-297
Raytown Water Company	WR-94-300
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-95-145
United Cities Gas Company	GR-95-160
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-95-205
Laclede Gas Company	GR-96-193
Imperial Utility Corporation	SC-96-427
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-96-285
Union Electric Company	EO-96-14
Union Electric Company	EM-96-149
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-97-237
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-97-382
Union Electric Company	GR-97-393
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-98-140
Laclede Gas Company	GR-98-374
United Water Missouri Inc.	WR-99-326
Laclede Gas Company	GR-99-315
Missouri Gas Energy	GO-99-258
Missouri-American Water Company	WM-2000-222
Atmos Energy Corporation	WM-2000-312
UtiliCorp/St. Joseph Merger	EM-2000-292
UtiliCorp/Empire Merger	EM-2000-369
Union Electric Company	GR-2000-512
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-2000-844
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2001-292
UtiliCorp United, Inc.	ER-2001-672
Union Electric Company	EC-2002-1
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2002-424

CASE PARTICIPATION OF TED ROBERTSON

Company Name	Case No.
Missouri Gas Energy	GM-2003-0238
Aquila Inc.	EF-2003-0465
Aquila Inc.	ER-2004-0034
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2004-0570
Aquila Inc.	EO-2005-0156
Aquila, Inc.	ER-2005-0436
Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company	WR-2006-0250

Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company

Case Nos. SR-2006-0249 & WR-2006-0250

OPC Mr. Clifford Wage Analysis

Sources: MPSC Staff Salary/Mileage Workpaper

2004 Time & Mileage Log Provided By Staff (Russo)

OPC Annualized Hours:

 2004 Log
 657.75

 Disallowance
 118.50

 Adjusted Annual Hours
 539.25

 Allocation:
 Water Allocation
 75%
 404.44

Sewer Allocation 25% 134.81 539.25

Mr. Clifford Hours:

Numbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
ī	Thursday	1/1/2004			0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
2	Friday	1/2/2004			0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
3	Saturday	1/3/2004			0.50	0.50				
4	Sunday	1/4/2004			0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
5	Monday	1/5/2004	cl test/check system		1.50	1.50			1.00	No support above min test/check
6	Tuesday	1/6/2004	cl test/check system		2.00	2.00			1.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
7	Wednesday	1/7/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
8	Thursday	1/8/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
9	Friday	1/9/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
10	Saturday	1/10/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
11	Sunday	1/11/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
12	Monday	1/12/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
13	Tuesday	1/13/2004	cl test/check system	Bact. sample at test point!	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
14	Wednesday	1/14/2004	cl test/check system	Missouri One Call Meeting	2.50			2.50		
15	Thursday	1/15/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
16	Friday	1/16/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
17	Saturday	1/17/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
18	Sunday	1/18/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check							•
19	Monday	1/19/2004	system/bookkeeping		0.50			0.50		
20	Tuesday	1/20/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check	Checked pump output, and						•
21	Wednesday	1/21/2004	system/prepare for well	distribute notices	4.00			4.00		
	-			Pulled pump, flushed lines,						
			cl test/check system/pulled	installed well vent, adjusted						
22	Thursday	1/22/2004	pump	chlorine	12.50	12.50				
			,							

Numbe	er Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
			cl test/check system/book							
23	Friday	1/23/2004	work		1.25			1.25		
24	Saturday	1/24/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
25	Sunday	1/25/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
26	Monday	1/26/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
27	Tuesday	1/27/2004	cl test/check system		0.50	0.50				
			cl test/check system/book	Drafted letter to City of						
28	Wednesday	1/28/2004	work	California, replaced water	2.00			2.00		
29	Thursday	1/29/2004	cl test/check system		0.50	0.50				
30	Friday	1/30/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
31	Saturday	1/31/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
32	Sunday	2/1/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/book							
33	Monday	2/2/2004	work	Compliance report	0.75			0.75		
34	Tuesday	2/3/2004	cl test/check system	.96 free at east drain	0.50	0.50				
			cl test/check system/book							
35	Wednesday	2/4/2004	work 1 hr.	Bank	1.75			1.75	0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
36	Thursday	2/5/2004	cl test/check system		0.60	0.60			0.10	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/book							
37	Friday	2/6/2004	work		6.00			6.00		
			cl test/check system/book							
38	Saturday	2/7/2004	work		2.50			2.50		
39	Sunday	2/8/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/cut							
40	Monday	2/9/2004	brush/book work	Mixed chlorine	8.00			8.00		
41	Tuesday	1/0/1900	cl test/check system	Adjusted feed pump	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/book							
42	Wednesday	2/11/2004	work	Adjusted feed pump	2.75			2.75		
43	Thursday	2/12/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
44	Friday	2/13/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/cut							
45	Saturday	2/14/2004	brush		11.00			11.00		
46	Sunday	2/15/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
47	Monday	2/16/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
48	Tuesday	2/17/2004	cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
49	Wednesday	2/18/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
50	Thursday	2/19/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/yar							
51	Friday	2/20/2004	work	Worked on ruts in yard	1.50			1.50		
52	Saturday	2/21/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
				1.13 free at well house bact.						
53	Sunday	2/22/2004	cl test/check system	sample	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	-		cl test/check system/book	-						-
54	Monday	2/23/2004	-		2.00			2.00		
55	Tuesday	2/24/2004	cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	•		•							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Numbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
56	Wednesday	2/25/2004	cl test/check system	Cleaned chem. pump	0.75	0.75				
57	Thursday	2/26/2004	cl test/check system	•	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	•		cl test/check system/repari							
58	Friday	2/27/2004	leaks/cut brush		9.00			9.00		
	, and the second		cl test/check system/cut							
59	Saturday	2/28/2004	•		7.00			7.00		
			cl test/check system/book							
60	Sunday	2/29/2004	•		1.50			1.50		
	- 		cl test/check system/book							
61	Monday	3/1/2004	•	Mixed chlorine 1.36 gal. 65	2.00			2.00		
62	Tuesday		cl test/check system	-	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
63	Wednesday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
64	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
65	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/repair							
66	Saturday	3/6/2004	ruts/install conduit		6.00			6.00		
67	Sunday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	Curray		cl test/check system/book	0.99 free, 0.99 total bact.						
68	Monday	3/8/2004	•	sample at test pt. #5	2.50			2.50		
00	Memaay	2.0,200.	cl test/check system/book							
69	Tuesday	3/9/2004	-		1.50			1.50		
70	Wednesday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
71	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
72	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
73	Saturday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
74	Sunday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
75	Monday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
76	Tuesday		cl test/check system	1.08 free @ test pt. #5	0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
77	Wednesday		cl test/check system	Adjusted feed pump	0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
78	Thursday		cl test/check system	, ,	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
79	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
80	Saturday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
81	Sunday	3/21/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
			cl test/check system/book							
82	Monday	3/22/2004			2.00			2.00		
83	Tuesday	3/23/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
0.5			cl test/check system/book							
84	Wednesday	3/24/2004	•		2.50			2.50		
85	Thursday	3/25/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
86	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
00			cl test/check system/book							
87	Saturday	3/27/2004	•		4.00			4.00		
88	Sunday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
89	Monday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
90	Tuesday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
70	i desidi	J. DO. 1007				•				

	Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
	Wednesday	3/31/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
	Thursday		cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	Friday	4/2/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	Saturday	4/3/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
95 S	Sunday	4/4/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
	•		cl test/check system/repair							
96 N	Monday	4/5/2004	lawn/city council		4.00			4.00		
	•		cl test/check system/book							
97 1	Tuesday	4/6/2004	work		1.50			1.50		
98 V	Wednesday	4/7/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
99 T	Thursday	4/8/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			-	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
100 F	Friday	4/9/2004	cl test/check system		0.00	0.50				Add in for no entry in log
101 S	Saturday	4/10/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
102 S	Sunday	4/11/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
103 N	Monday	4/12/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
104 1	Tuesday	4/13/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
105 V	Wednesday	4/14/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	00.1				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
106 1	Thursday	4/15/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
107 F	Friday	4/16/2004	cl test/check system		2.50	2.50				No support above min test/check
108 S	Saturday	4/17/2004	cl test/check system		3.00	3.00				No support above min test/check
109 S	Sunday	4/18/2004	cl test/check system		2.25	2.25				No support above min test/check
110 N	Monday	4/19/2004	cl test/check system		0.75	0.75				Adjust to minimum test/check
111 1	Tuesday	4/20/2004	cl test/check system		3.00	3.00				No support above min test/check
112 V	Wednesday	4/21/2004	cl test/check system		3.00	3.00				No support above min test/check
113 T	Thursday	4/22/2004	cl test/check system		1.25	1.25				No support above min test/check
114 F	Friday		cl test/check system		0.75	0.75			0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
115 S	Saturday	4/24/2004	cl test/check system/lagoon	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	4.00			4.00		
116 S	Sunday	4/25/2004	cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	3.00			3.00		
117 N	Monday	4/26/2004	cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	3.00			3.00		
118 T	Tuesday	4/27/2004	cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	2.00			2.00		
119 V	Wednesday	4/28/2004	cl test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	2.00			2.00		
120 T	Thursday	4/29/2004	cl test/check system		0.50	0.50				
121 F	Friday	4/30/2004	el test/check system	Cleared duck weed at lagoon	1.50			1.50		
			cl test/check system/work	Turned water on at Nelson	2.50			3.50		
122 S	Saturday		at lagoon/nelson water	residence, lagoon duckweed	2.50			2.50	0.50	A4. Cliffords admission to logging at legat the minimum
123 S	Sunday		cl test/check system	1 1/2 hour sewer	2.50	1.00	1.50		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	Monday		cl test/check system	3.5 hr. sewer	4.00	0.50	3.50			
•	Tuesday		cl test/check system	0:30 water, 3 hr. sewer	3.50	0.50	3.00			
126 V	Wednesday		cl test/check system	:30 water, 1:30 sewer	2.00	0.50	1.50		0.35	A 11 of the confining and the confin
127 T	Thursday		cl test/check system	45 min. water, 1:15 sewer	2.00	0.75	1.25			Adjust to minimum test/check
128 F	Friday		cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
129 S	Saturday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	1,00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
130 S	Sunday		cl test/check system	Ran compressor	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
131 N	Monday		cl test/check system	Clean chem pump	2.00	2.00			0.50	NA Chiffeedia administrate to be seen that the
132 T	Tuesday	5/11/2004	cl test/check system	Adj. chem pump	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu

Numbe		Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
133	Wednesday		cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	1.00	1.00	. .		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
134	Thursday	5/13/2004	cl test/check system	Adj. chem pump	1,00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
135	Friday	5/14/2004	cl test/check system	3 hr. sewer	3.50	0.50	3.00			
136	Saturday	5/15/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	00.1			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
137	Sunday	5/16/2004	cl test/check system	Bact, sample	1.50	1.50			1.00	No support above min test/check
138	Monday	5/17/2004	cl test/check system	1 hr water, 1 hr sewer	2.00	1.00	1.00		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
139	Tuesday	5/18/2004	cl test/check system	Meeting with engineer	4.00			4.00		
140	Wednesday	5/19/2004	cl test/check system	1 hr. at lagoon	1.50	0.50	1.00			
141	Thursday	5/20/2004	cl test/check system	Mixed chlorine	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
				Met with John Gibson of						
				MODNR, collected repeat						
			cl test/check	water samples, mowed at						
142	Friday	5/21/2004	system/samples/mowed	lagoon	7.00			7.00		
			ci test/check	Water sample and finished						
143	Saturday	5/22/2004	system/finished lagoon	mowing at lagoon	3.00			3.00		
144	Sunday	5/23/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
145	Monday	5/24/2004	cl test/check system	Adj. chem pump, 1 hr. sewer	1.50	0.50	1.00			
				Adj. chem pump, mix chlorine,						
146	Tuesday		cl test/check system	3 hr. at lagoon	4.00	1.00	3.00		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
147	Wednesday	5/26/2004	cl test/check system		00.1	00.1			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
				Adj. chem pump, worked at						
148	Thursday	5/27/2004	cl test/check system	lagoon 45 min.	1.50	0.75	0.75		0.25	Adjust to minimum test/check
				Repaired leak at well house,						
149	Friday	5/28/2004	cl test/check system	ran compressor	2.50	2.50				
				Checked system, mailed water						
150	Saturday		cl test/check system	bills, cleaned chem.	1.50			1.50		
151	Sunday		cl test/check system	Adjust. chem. pump	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
152	Monday		cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
153	Tuesday	6/1/2004	cl test/check system		4.00	4.00				No support above min test/check
154	Wednesday	6/2/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
155	Thursday	6/3/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
156	Friday	6/4/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
			cl test/check system/clean							
157	Saturday	6/5/2004	chem pump		1.50	1.50				
			cl test/check system/bact							
158	Sunday	6/6/2004	samples		2.00	2.00				No support above min test/check
159	Monday	6/7/2004	cl test/check system	_	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
160	Tuesday	6/8/2004	cl test/check system	Consumer confience report	3.00			3.00		
			cl test/check system/mixed							
161	Wednesday	6/9/2004	cl		1.00	1.00			1.00	No support above min test/check
			cl test/check							
162	Thursday		system/worked on mower		3.50			3.50		
163	Friday	6/11/2004	cl test/check system		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			cl test/check							
164	Saturday	6/12/2004	system/repaired lead at well		4.00	4.00				

Cl test/check system/bact Sunday 6/13/2004 samples Sunday 6/14/2004 cl test/check system 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to cl test/check Cl t	ogging at least 1hr. minimu
166 Monday 6/14/2004 cl test/check system 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to cl test/check 167 Tuesday 6/15/2004 system/mowed & sprayed 1.00	ogging at least 1hr. minimu
Clast/check	
167 Tuesday 6/15/2004 system/mowed & sprayed of test/check 168 Wednesday 6/16/2004 system/sprayed at cltest/check 169 Thursday 6/16/2004 system/sprayed at cltest/check system/wallin 1.00	ogging at least Thr. minimu
168 Wednesday 6/16/2004 System/sprayed at clest/check system/wallin 1.00 1	ogging at least Thr. minimu
168 Wednesday 6/16/2004 system/sprayed at clest/check system/wallin clest/check system/wallin clest/check system/wallin clest/check system/wallin clest/check system 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to state of test/check clest/check clest/c	ogging at least Thr. minimu
Cl test/check system/wallin 2.50 2.50 2.50 170 Friday 6/18/2004 letter 2.50 2.50 2.50 170 Friday 6/18/2004 cl test/check system 1.00 1.0	ogging at least Thr. minimu
169 Thursday 6/17/2004 letter 2.50 2.50	ogging at least Thr. minimu
170 Friday 6/18/2004 cl test/check system 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Ctifford's admission to le test/check system/trimmed & sprayed 171 Saturday 6/20/2004 at lagoon 10.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to lead 172 173 174 174 175	ogging at least thr. minimu
Color Colo	ogging at least thr. minimu
171 Saturday 6/19/2004 at lagoon 10.00 10.	
171 Saturday 6/19/2004 at lagoon 10.00 10.	
172 Sunday 6/20/2004 cl test/check system 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to lead 173 Monday 6/21/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50	
173 Monday 6/21/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 174 Tuesday 6/22/2004 water test/work at lagoon 2.25 2.25 175 Wednesday 6/23/2004 water test/work at lagoon 1.75 1.75 176 Thursday 6/24/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 7.50 7.50 177 Friday 6/25/2004 test/worked at lagoon 4.00 4.00 178 Saturday 6/26/2004 cl test/spray at lagoon 4.50 4.50 179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/cest/spray 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 183 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 184 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 185 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 186 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 186 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 187 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 188 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 188 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 188 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 188 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 188 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 189 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 180 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 180 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 180 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 180 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 180 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoo	
174 Tuesday 6/22/2004 water test/work at lagoon 2.25 2.25 175 Wednesday 6/23/2004 water test/work at lagoon 1.75 1.75 176 Thursday 6/24/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 7.50 7.50 177 Friday 6/25/2004 test/worked at lagoon 4.00 4.00 178 Saturday 6/26/2004 cl test/spray at lagoon 4.50 4.50 179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/c 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 9.00 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	ogging at least 1hr. minimu
175 Wednesday 6/23/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 1.75 1.75 176 Thursday 6/24/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 7.50 7.50 177 Friday 6/25/2004 test/worked at lagoon 4.00 4.00 178 Saturday 6/26/2004 cl test/spray at lagoon 4.50 4.50 179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/c 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 7.50 1.75 7.	
Thursday 6/24/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 7.50 7.50	
read meters/water 177 Friday 6/25/2004 test/worked at lagoon 4.00 4.00 178 Saturday 6/26/2004 cl test/spray at lagoon 4.50 4.50 179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/c 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 water test/spray lagoon/PSC 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 9.00 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	
177 Friday 6/25/2004 test/worked at lagoon 4.00 4.00 178 Saturday 6/26/2004 cl test/spray at lagoon 4.50 4.50 179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/c 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 water test/spray lagoon/PSC water test/spray lagoon/PSC 9.00 9.00 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	
178 Saturday 6/26/2004 cl test/spray at lagoon 4.50 4.50 179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/c 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 water test/spray water test/spray water test/spray 9.00 9.00 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 9.00 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	
179 Sunday 6/27/2004 water test/adj. chem pump 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/c 180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.50 4.50 water test/spray lagoon/PSC water test/spray lagoon/PSC 9.00 9.00 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 9.00 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	
180 Monday 6/28/2004 water test/worked at lagoon water test/spray lagoon/PSC 4.50 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50	
water test/spray lagoon/PSC	ieck
lagoon/PSC 181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 9.00	
181 Tuesday 6/29/2004 inspection/DNR letter 9.00 9.00 182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	
182 Wednesday 6/30/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.50 3.50	
183 Thursday 7/1/2004 water test/check language 180 150 150 Me Clifford's admission to be	
·	ogging at least 1hr. minimu
water test/mixed cl/work at	
184 Friday 7/2/2004 lagoon 3.00 3.00	
185 Saturday 7/3/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 3.00 3.00	
186 Sunday 7/4/2004 water test/worked at lagoon 4.00 4.00	
187 Monday 7/5/2004 water test/mixed cl 1.40 1.40 0.90 No support above min test/cl	
188 Tuesday 7/6/2004 water test 1.50 1.50 1.00 No support above min test/cl	ieck
189 Wednesday 7/7/2004 water test/book work 2,50 2.50	
190 Thursday 7/8/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to le	
191 Friday 7/9/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to lo	
192 Saturday 7/10/2004 water test/mixed cl 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to le	
193 Sunday 7/11/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to to	igging at least thr. minimu
194 Monday 7/12/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to k	ogging at least 1hr. minimu
195 Tuesday 7/13/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to ke	ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu
196 Wednesday 7/14/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to k	ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu
197 Thursday 7/15/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to lo	ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu
198 Friday 7/16/2004 water test 1.00 1.00 0.50 Mr. Clifford's admission to lo	ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu ogging at least 1hr. minimu

Numbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
			water test/mixed cl/mowed							
199	Saturday	7/17/2004	at Jagoon	.5 water, 6.5 sewer?	1,00	1.00				
200	Sunday	7/18/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
	•		water test/bact sample @ tp				•			
201	Monday	7/19/2004	3		2.00	2.00				No support above min test/check
202	Tuesday	7/20/2004	water test		1.00	00.1				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
203	Wednesday	7/21/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
204	Thursday	7/22/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
205	Friday	7/23/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
206	Saturday	7/24/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
207	Sunday	7/25/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	-		water test/mixed cl/found							
			tank leaking, closed valve							
			to leaking tank, charged							
208	Monday	7/26/2004	tank with air		1.50	1.50				
209	Tuesday	7/27/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/disconnected							
			leaking tank/mixed							
210	Wednesday	7/28/2004	cl/worked at lagoon	1.5 water, 1.5 sewer	3.00	1.50	1.50			
211	Thursday		water test/cleaned sewers	.5 water, 3 sewer	3.50	0.50	3.00			
212	Friday		water test/cleaned sewers	.5 water, 5.5 sewer	6.00	0.50	5.50			
			water test/cleaned	•						
213	Saturday	7/31/2004	sewers/read meter	.5 hr. water, 3.5 sewer	4.00	0.50	3.50			
			water test/book							
214	Sunday	8/1/2004	work/mixed sm cl		2.00			2.00		
215	Monday	8/2/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
	•		water test/replace chem							
			pump head/retested free cl							
216	Tuesday	8/3/2004	at 1.19		2.00	2.00				
	·		water test/adj. chem							
			pump/cleaned check							
			valves/retested free cl @							
217	Wednesday	8/4/2004			1.50	1.50				
			water test/worked on chem							
218	Thursday	8/5/2004	pump		1.50	1.50				
219	Friday	8/6/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
220	Saturday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
221	Sunday	8/8/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
222	Monday	8/9/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
223	Tuesday	8/10/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
224	Wednesday		water test/book work		3.00			3.00		
225	Thursday	-	water test/book work		2.00			2.00		
226	Friday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
227	Saturday	8/14/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
228	Sunday		water test/book work		3.00			3.00		
220		3. 12. EQU 1								

Numbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
			mowed & sprayed							
229	Monday		lagoon/bact sample	2 hr. water, 2 hr. sewer	4.00	2,00	2.00			
230	Tuesday	8/17/2004	mowed at lagoon	1 hr. water, 2 hr. sewer	3.00	1.00	2.00			
			sprayed and worked at							
231	Wednesday	8/18/2004		1 hr. water, 2.5 hr. sewer	3.50	00.1	2.50			
			worked at lagoon and well							
232	Thursday	8/19/2004		1 hr. water, 2 hr. sewer	2.00	00.1	2.00			
233	Friday	8/20/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/repaired							
•••			fence/mowed and sprayed		0.00		7.00		0.60	NA CHONAIS Aministra to longing at least the minimum
234	Saturday	8/21/2004	=	1 hr. water, 7 hr. sewer	8.00	1.00	7.00			Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
235	Sunday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
236	Monday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
237	Tuesday	8/24/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
220		0/25/2004	water test/mixed		1.76	1.75				
238	Wednesday		cl/repaired valves in chem		1.75	1.75			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
239	Thursday		water test		1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
240	Friday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
241 242	Saturday Sunday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
242	Sultuay	8/29/2004	water test/ran compressor		1.00	1.00			0.50	THE CHINGLES CONTROL TO TO SEE THE SECTION OF THE S
			to charge tanks/ mixed							
243	Monday	8/30/2004	cl/cleaned chem pump		2.50	2.50				
244	Tuesday		water test/adj. chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
4/17	rucsday	0/31/2001	water test/mixed cl/worked							
245	Wednesday	9/1/2004			2.00			2.00		
246	Thursday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
247	Friday	9/3/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
248	Saturday	9/4/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
249	Sunday	9/5/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
250	Monday	9/6/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
251	Tuesday	9/7/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
252	Wednesday	9/8/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
253	Thursday	9/9/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
254	Friday	9/10/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
255	Saturday	9/11/2004	water test/cleaned sewers		7.50			7.50		
256	Sunday	9/12/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/mixed cl/bact							
			sample/met with							
257	Monday	9/13/2004	lawyer/repaired sewer		3.50			3.50		
258	Tuesday	9/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
259	Wednesday	9/15/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
260	Thursday	9/16/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
261	Friday	9/17/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	•									

Numbe		Date_	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
262	Saturday	9/18/2004	water test		1.00	1.00		•	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
263	Sunday	9/19/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1,00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
264	Monday	9/20/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
265	Tuesday	9/21/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
266	Wednesday	9/22/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/repair							
			manholeWM1S1/auger							
267	Thursday	9/23/2004	sewers		7.00			7.00		
			water test/mixed cl/finished							
268	Friday	9/24/2004	repairs on manhole		5.00			5.00		
269	Saturday	9/25/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
270	Sunday	9/26/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
271	Monday	9/27/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/mowed at							
			lagoon/cleaned sewer							
272	Tuesday	9/28/2004	mains/book work		6.00			6.00		
			water test/adj chem							
			pump/hauled sewer auger							
			and tractor home/met with							
			engineersw at							
			Camdenton/met with							
273	Wednesday	9/29/2004	lawyers at Versailles		6.00			6.00		
274	Thursday	9/30/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
275	Friday	10/1/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
276	Saturday	10/2/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
277	Sunday	10/3/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
278	Monday	10/4/2004	contractor tested water		0.00	0.50			(0.50)	Add in for no entry in log
			water test/cleaned sewer							
			mains, checked							
279	Tuesday	10/5/2004	lagoon/mixed cl		3.00			3.00		
280	Wednesday	10/6/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
281	Thursday	10/7/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
282	Friday	10/8/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
283	Saturday	10/9/2004	water test		1.00	00.1			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/sewer line							
			clogged at Randy Martins,							
284	Sunday	10/10/2004	cleaned fine		2.00			2.00		
			water test/cleaned mess at							
			Martins/repaired leak in							
285	Monday	10/11/2004	well house		2.50			2.50		
286	Tuesday	10/12/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
287	Wednesday	10/13/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
288	Thursday	10/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
•	•									- Comp at the final line

Numbe	r Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
289	Friday	10/15/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
290	Saturday	10/16/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
291	Sunday	10/17/2004	water test/bact sample		2.00	2.00			1.50	No support above min test/check
292	Monday	10/18/2004	water test/adj chem pump		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
293	Tuesday	10/19/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/cleared air from							
294	Wednesday	10/20/2004	chem pump		2.50	2.50				
295	Thursday	10/21/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/cleaned chem							
296	Friday		pump with acid		2.00	2.00				
297	Saturday	10/23/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
298	Sunday	10/24/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
299	Monday		water test/adj. chem pump		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
300	Tuesday	10/26/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
301	Wednesday	10/27/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
302	Thursday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
303	Friday	10/29/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/charged tanks							
			with air/changed battery in							
• • •		40401	tester/worked on manhole							
304	Saturday	10/30/2004			6.00			6.00	0.50	A4 Olice # 1 (a) a 1 a 1 a 1
305	Sunday	10/31/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/attended							
306	Monday		California city council		4.00			4.00		
306	Monday	11/1/2004	-		4.00			3.00		
307	Tuesday		water test/book work		3.00	1.00		3.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admiraion to logging at least the minimum
308	Wednesday		water test/mixed sm cl		1.00 1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
309	Thursday	11/4/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Wit, Chirold's admission to logging at least thi, himmid
310	Friday	11/5/2004	water test/repaired manhole		4.50			4.50		
311	Saturday	11/6/2004	•		1.00	1.00		7.30	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
312	Sunday	11/7/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
312	Monday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
314	Tuesday		water test/2nd notice letters		2.00	1.00		2.00	0.50	in chinera admission to logging at least titl, militing
315	Wednesday	11/10/2004			1.00	1.00		2.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
313	14 canesaay		water test/book		1.00	1.00			0.50	tine enteres admission to togging at least tine mittand
316	Thursday		work/mixed sm cl		2.00			2.00		
	Friday	11/12/2004			1.00	1.00		2.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
317	Saturday	11/13/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
318	Sunday	11/14/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
319	-	11/15/2004			1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least Thr. minimu
320	Monday				1.50	1.50				No support above min test/check
321	Tuesday		water test/bact sample							* *
322	Wednesday		water test/mixed cl water test/checked sewer		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
222	Thursday	11/18/2004			1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimum
323	rnuisday	11/10/2004	[IOW		1.00			1.00	0.50	ion. Chinord's domination to logging at least thi, initiniti

Numb	er Day	Date	Description	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
324	Friday	11/10/2004	water test/adj chem pump/checked sewer flow		1.00			1.00	0.50	M. Cliffendle adminsion to localize at legat the minimum
34.1	Tiday	11/17/2004	water test/checked sewer		1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimum
325	Saturday	11/20/2004			1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimul
	·		water test/checked sewer							
326	Sunday	11/21/2004			1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimus
127		1.1.00.1000.1	water test/checked sewer							
327	Monday	11/22/2004			1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr, Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
328	Tuesday	11/23/2004	water test/checked sewer		1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimul
320	lucsuay	11/25/2007	IIOW	1.4" in rain gauge, creek above	1.00			1.00	0.50	Wit. Cliffold's admission to logging at least 111. hithing
			water test/checked sewer	discharge, lagoon 6" higher						
329	Wednesday	11/24/2004		than normal	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimus
	_		water test/checked sewer							60 0
330	Thursday	11/25/2004		Creek above discharge	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			water test/checked sewer							
			flow/worked on manhole							
33 I	Friday	11/24/2004	M4/augered lines/worked on leaks in manhole WM5	6" crow male	8.00			8.00		
331	rittay	11/20/2004	water test/mixed cl/cleared	o snow men	8.00			8.00		
			air bubble from chem							
			pump/checked sewer							
			flow/finished repairs in							
332	Saturday	11/27/2004	manhole WM5	0.6" rain in gauge	5.00			5.00		
			water test/checked sewer	Effluent line partially blocked,						
333	Sunday	11/28/2004	flow/cleared effluent line	cleared line, flow at 100 GPM	2.00			2.00		
334	Monday	11/29/2004	water test/checked sewer	0.1" rain in gauge	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to locating at least the minimum
334	Wionday	11/25/2007	water test/checked sewer	0.1 Talli ili gauge	1.00			1.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
335	Tuesday	11/30/2004	flow/book work		2.00			2.00		
336	Wednesday	12/1/2004			1.00	1.00		2.00	0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
			worked on fan for sewer							as c
337	Thursday	12/2/2004			4.00		4.00			
338	Friday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
339	Saturday	12/4/2004		0.18	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least Ihr. minimu
340	Sunday	12/5/2004	water test worked on fan for sewer	0.1" rain in gauge	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
341	Monday	12/6/2004		0.1" rain in gauge	3.00		3.00			
342	Tuesday	-		0.1" rain in gauge	1.00	00.1	5.00		0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
343	Wednesday		water test/charged tanks	Dung.	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
344	Thursday	12/9/2004	_		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
345	Friday	12/10/2004	water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
346	Saturday	12/11/2004			1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
347	Sunday	12/12/2004	water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1 hr. minimu

Number	r Day	Date	Description_	Comments	2004 Log	Water	Sewer	Mixed	Disallow	Reason For Disallowance
			worked on fan for s	sewer						
348	Monday	12/13/2004	test/bact test		5.00			5.00		
349	Tuesday	12/14/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
350	Wednesday	12/15/2004	water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
351	Thursday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr, minimu
352	Friday		water test		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
353	Saturday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
354	Sunday		water test		1.00	1,00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
355	Monday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
356	Tuesday		water test		00.1	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
357	Wednesday		water test/book wo	rk	2.50			2.50		
358	Thursday		water test	•••	1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
359	Friday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
360	Saturday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1,00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
361	Sunday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
362	Monday		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
363	Tuesday		water test/adj chem	numn	00.1	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
364	Wednesday		water test	pamp	1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
	-		water test		1.00	1.00			0.50	Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least thr. minimu
365	Thursday		water test/mixed cl		1.00	1.00				Mr. Clifford's admission to logging at least 1hr. minimu
366	Friday	12/31/2004	water testimized ci			291.25	56.50	317.50	118.50	
Total					- 557.77	_,		:		

Allocate Mixed 50/50 158.75 158.75 (317.50)
Total 450.00 215.25 0.00

68.42% 32.73% 0.00%

Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company Case Nos. SR-2006-0249 & WR-2006-0250

OPC Mr. Clifford Mileage Analysis

Sources: MPSC Staff Salary/Mileage Workpaper

2004 Time & Mileage Log Provided By Staff (Russo)

OPC Annualized Mileage:

 Log Day >22
 1,318.00

 Weekend
 2,288.00

 Holidays
 110.00

 OPC Annualized Mileage Tots
 3,716.00

 2004 Mileage Log
 9,137.00

 Adjustment
 (5,421.00)

Allocation:

 Water Allocation
 75%
 2,787.00

 Sewer Allocation
 25%
 929.00

 3,716.00
 3,716.00

Mr. Clifford Mileage:

Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
1	Thursday	1/1/2004	0	0	22
2	Friday	1/2/2004	0	0	
3	Saturday	1/3/2004	22	0	22
4	Sunday	1/4/2004	(22)	0	22
5	Monday	1/5/2004	22	0	
6	Tuesday	1/6/2004	22	0	
7	Wednesday	1/7/2004	22	0	
8	Thursday	1/8/2004	22	0	
9	Friday	1/9/2004	22	0	
10	Saturday	1/10/2004	22	0	22
П	Sunday	1/11/2004	22	0	22
12	Monday	1/12/2004	22	0	
13	Tuesday	1/13/2004	22	0	
14	Wednesday	1/14/2004	22	0	
15	Thursday	1/15/2004	0	0	
16	Friday	1/16/2004	22	0	
17	Saturday	1/17/2004	22	0	22
18	Sunday	1/18/2004	22	0	22
19	Monday	1/19/2004	22	0	
20	Tuesday	1/20/2004	22	0	
21	Wednesday	1/21/2004	45	23	
22	Thursday	1/22/2004	48	26	
23	Friday	1/23/2004	22	0	
24	Saturday	1/24/2004	26	26	22
25	Sunday	1/25/2004	22	0	22
26	Monday	1/26/2004	22	0	
27	Tuesday	1/27/2004	22	0	
28	Wednesday	1/28/2004	22	0	
29	Thursday	1/29/2004	22	0	
30	Friday	1/30/2004	22	0	
31	Saturday	1/31/2004	22	0	22
32	Sunday	2/1/2004	22	0	22
33	Monday	2/2/2004	22	0	
34	Tuesday	2/3/2004	22	0	
35	Wednesday	2/4/2004	22	0	
36	Thursday	2/5/2004	22	0	
37	Friday	2/6/2004	25	3	
38	Saturday	2/7/2004	23	Ţ	22
39	Sunday	2/8/2004	22	0	22
40	Monday	2/9/2004	25	3	
41	Tuesday	2/10/2004	22	0	
42	Wednesday	1/0/1900	22	0	
43	Thursday	2/12/2004	22	0	
44	Friday	2/13/2004	22	0	
45	Saturday	2/14/2004	45	23	22
46	Sunday	2/15/2004	22	0	22

Mr. Clifford	Mileage:
--------------	----------

Mr. Clifford I Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
47	Monday	2/16/2004	22	0	Weekend Honday
48	Tuesday	2/17/2004	22	ő	
49	Wednesday	2/18/2004	22	0	
50	Thursday	2/19/2004	22	Ö	
51	Friday	2/20/2004	22	0	
52	Saturday	2/21/2004	22	0	22
53	Sunday				
54	•	2/22/2004	22	0	22
	Monday	2/23/2004	22	0	
55	Tuesday	2/24/2004	22	0	
56	Wednesday	2/25/2004	22	0	
57	Thursday	2/26/2004	22	0	
58	Friday	2/27/2004	46	24	
59	Saturday	2/28/2004	45	23	22
60	Sunday	2/29/2004	22	0	22
61	Monday	3/1/2004	22	0	
62	Tuesday	3/2/2004	22	0	
63	Wednesday	3/3/2004	22	0	
64	Thursday	3/4/2004	22	0	
65	Friday	3/5/2004	22	Ö	
66	Saturday	3/6/2004	45	23	22
67	Sunday	3/7/2004	43 22	43	22
68	Monday	3/8/2004			22
69	•		22	0	
70	Tuesday	3/9/2004	22	0	
	Wednesday	3/10/2004	22	0	
71	Thursday	3/11/2004	22	0	
72	Friday	3/12/2004	22	0	
73	Saturday	3/13/2004	22	0	22
74	Sunday	3/14/2004	22	0	22
75	Monday	3/15/2004	22	0	
76	Tuesday	3/16/2004	22	0	
77	Wednesday	3/17/2004	22	0	
78	Thursday	3/18/2004	22	0	
79	Friday	3/19/2004	22	0	
80	Saturday	3/20/2004	22	o o	22
81	Sunday	3/21/2004	22	0	22
82	Monday	3/22/2004	22	0	
83	Tuesday	3/23/2004	22	0	
84	Wednesday	3/24/2004			
85	-		22	0	
86	Thursday	3/25/2004	22	0	
	Friday	3/26/2004	22	0	
87	Saturday	3/27/2004	22	0	22
88	Sunday	3/28/2004	22	0	22
89	Monday	3/29/2004	22	0	
90	Tuesday	3/30/2004	22	0	
91	Wednesday	3/31/2004	22	0	
92	Thursday	4/1/2004	22	0	
93	Friday	4/2/2004	22	0	
94	Saturday	4/3/2004	22	0	22
95	Sunday	4/4/2004	22	0	22
96	Monday	4/5/2004	30	8	
97	Tuesday	4/6/2004	22	0	
98	Wednesday	4/7/2004	22	0	
99	Thursday	4/8/2004	22		
100	Friday			0	
101	Saturday	4/9/2004	22	0	
	•	4/10/2004	22	0	22
102	Sunday	4/11/2004	22	0	22
103	Monday	4/12/2004	22	0	
104	Tuesday	4/13/2004	22	0	
105	Wednesday	4/14/2004	22	0	
106	Thursday	4/15/2004	22	0	
107	Friday	4/16/2004	22	0	
108	Saturday	4/17/2004	22	0	22
109	Sunday	4/18/2004	22	ő	22
110	Monday	4/19/2004	22	0	
	Tuesday	4/20/2004	22	0	
111			- i	U	
111 112	Wednesday	4/21/2004	22	0	

Mr. Clifford Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
113	Thursday	4/22/2004	22	0	
114	Friday	4/23/2004	22	0	22
115	Saturday	4/24/2004	44	22	22 22
116	Sunday	4/25/2004	24 22	2 0	22
117 118	Monday Tuesday	4/26/2004 4/27/2004	22	0	
118	Wednesday	4/28/2004	22	0	
120	Thursday	4/29/2004	22	ő	
121	Friday	4/30/2004	28	6	
122	Saturday	5/1/2004	22	0	22
123	Sunday	5/2/2004	44	22	22
124	Monday	5/3/2004	22	0	
125	Tuesday	5/4/2004	22	0	
126	Wednesday	5/5/2004	22	0	
127	Thursday	5/6/2004	22	0	
128	Friday	5/7/2004	22	0	42
129	Saturday	5/8/2004	22	0	22
130	Sunday	5/9/2004	22	0	22
131	Monday	5/10/2004	22 22	0 0	
132 133	Tuesday Wednesday	5/11/2004 5/12/2004	22	0	
134	Thursday	5/13/2004	22	0	
135	Friday	5/14/2004	22	0	
136	Saturday	5/15/2004	22	0	22
137	Sunday	5/16/2004	25	3	22
138	Monday	5/17/2004	22	0	
139	Tuesday	5/18/2004	32	10	
140	Wednesday	5/19/2004	22	0	
141	Thursday	5/20/2004	22	0	
142	Friday	5/21/2004	60	38	- 2
143	Saturday	5/22/2004	35	13	22
144	Sunday	5/23/2004	22	0	22
145	Monday	5/24/2004	22	0	
146	Tuesday	5/25/2004 5/26/2004	22 22	0	
147 148	Wednesday Thursday	5/27/2004	22	0	
148	Friday	5/28/2004	26	4	
150	Saturday	5/29/2004	26	4	22
151	Sunday	5/30/2004	22	Ġ	22
152	Monday	5/31/2004	22	0	22
153	Tuesday	6/1/2004	30	8	
154	Wednesday	6/2/2004	22	0	
155	Thursday	6/3/2004	22	0	
156	Friday	6/4/2004	22	0	
157	Saturday	6/5/2004	22	0	22
158	Sunday	6/6/2004	35	13	22
159	Monday	6/7/2004	22	0	
160	Tuesday	6/8/2004	22	0	
161 162	Wednesday Thursday	6/9/2004 6/10/2004	22 11	0	
162	Friday	6/11/2004	22	0	
164	Saturday	6/12/2004	35	13	22
165	Sunday	6/13/2004	38	16	
166	Monday	6/14/2004	22	0	=
167	Tuesday	6/15/2004	33	11	
168	Wednesday	6/16/2004	30	8	
169	Thursday	6/17/2004	22	0	
170	Friday	6/18/2004	22	0	
171	Saturday	6/19/2004	45	23	
172	Sunday	6/20/2004	22	0	
173	Monday	6/21/2004	22	0	
174	Tuesday	6/22/2004	22	0	
175	Wednesday	6/23/2004	22	0 13	
176 177	Thursday Friday	6/24/2004 6/25/2004	35 22	0	
178	Friday Saturday	6/26/2004	35	13	
170	Saturday	0/20/2004	دد	1.3	24

Mr.	Clifford	Mileage:
-----	----------	----------

Number 179	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
179 180	Sunday Monday	6/27/2004	22 22	0	22
		6/28/2004	39	0 17	
181 182	Tuesday Wednesday	6/29/2004	22	0	
183	Thursday	6/30/2004 7/1/2004	22	0	
184	Friday	7/2/2004	22	0	
185	Saturday	7/3/2004	22	0	22
186	Sunday	7/4/2004	35	13	22 22
187	Monday	7/5/2004	22	0	22 22
188	Tuesday	7/6/2004	22	0	
189	Wednesday	7/7/2004	22	0	
190	Thursday	7/8/2004	22	0	
191	Friday	7/9/2004	22	0	
192	Saturday	7/10/2004	22	0	22
193	Sunday	7/11/2004	22	0	22
194	Monday	7/12/2004	22	0	÷4
195	Tuesday	7/13/2004	22	0	
196	Wednesday	7/14/2004	22	0	
197	Thursday	7/15/2004	22	0	
198	Friday		22	0	
198	Saturday	7/16/2004 7/17/2004	22	0	22
199 200	Sunday	7/18/2004	22	0	22
200	Monday	7/19/2004	75	53	22
202	Tuesday	7/20/2004	22	0	
202	Wednesday	7/21/2004	22	0	
204	Thursday		22	0	
205	Friday	7/22/2004 7/23/2004	22	0	
205 206	Saturday		22	0	22
200 207	Sunday	7/24/2004	22	0	22 22
208	Monday	7/25/2004		0	22
208 209	•	7/26/2004	22 22	0	
	Tuesday Wednesday	7/27/2004	22	0	
210 21 1	•	7/28/2004			
212	Thursday Friday	7/29/2004	36 35	14 13	
212	Saturday	7/30/2004 7/31/2004	22	0	22
214	Sunday	8/1/2004	22	0	22
215	Monday	8/2/2004	22	0	22
216	Tuesday	8/3/2004	22	0	
217	Wednesday	8/4/2004	22	0	
218	Thursday	8/5/2004	22	0	
219	Friday	8/6/2004	22	0	
220	Saturday	8/7/2004	22	0	22
221	Sunday	8/8/2004	22	0	22
222	Monday	8/9/2004	22	0	44
223	Tuesday	8/10/2004	22	0	
224	Wednesday	8/11/2004	22	0	
225	Thursday	8/12/2004	22	0	
226	Friday	8/13/2004	22	0	
220 227	Saturday	8/14/2004	22	0	22
228	Sunday	8/15/2004	22	0	22
229	Monday	8/16/2004	35	13	22
230	Tuesday	8/17/2004	45	23	
231	Wednesday	8/18/2004	35	13	
232	Thursday	8/19/2004	22	0	
233	Friday	8/20/2004	22	0	
234	Saturday	8/21/2004	40	18	22
235	Sunday	8/22/2004	22	0	22
236	Monday	8/23/2004	22	0	
230 237	Tuesday	8/24/2004	22	0	
237 238	•				
238 239	Wednesday	8/25/2004	22	0	
	Thursday	8/26/2004	22	0	
24() 241	Friday	8/27/2004	22	0	
241	Saturday	8/28/2004	22	0	
242	Sunday	8/29/2004	22	0	
243	Monday	8/30/2004	22	0	
244	Tuesday	8/31/2004	22	0	

Mr. Clifford	Mileage:
--------------	----------

Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend Holiday
245	Wednesday	9/1/2004	22	0	
246 247	Thursday	9/2/2004	22	0	
24 <i>1</i> 248	Friday Saturday	9/3/2004	22	0	
246 249	•	9/4/2004	. 22	0	22
250	Sunday Monday	9/5/2004	22	0	22
251	Tuesday	9/6/2004 9/7/2004	22	0	
252	Wednesday	9/8/2004	22 22	0	
253	Thursday	9/9/2004	22	0	
254	Friday	9/10/2004	22 22	0	
255	Saturday	9/11/2004	40		22
256	Sunday	9/12/2004	22	18	22 22
257	Monday	9/13/2004	35	13	44
258	Tuesday	9/14/2004	22	0	
259	Wednesday	9/15/2004	22	0	
260	Thursday	9/16/2004	22	0	
261	Friday	9/17/2004	22	0	
262	Saturday	9/18/2004	22	0	22
263	Sunday	9/19/2004	22	0	22
264	Monday	9/20/2004	22	0	
265	Tuesday	9/21/2004	22	0	
266	Wednesday	9/22/2004	22	0	
267	Thursday	9/23/2004	75	53	
268	Friday	9/24/2004	75	53	
269	Saturday	9/25/2004	22	0	22
270	Sunday	9/26/2004	22	0	22
271	Monday	9/27/2004	22	0	
272	Tuesday	9/28/2004	38	16	
273	Wednesday	9/29/2004	162	140	
274	Thursday	9/30/2004		ΰ	
275	Friday	10/1/2004		0	
276	Saturday	10/2/2004		0	22
277	Sunday	10/3/2004		0	22
278 279	Monday	10/4/2004		0	
280	Tuesday	10/5/2004	25	3	
281	Wednesday	10/6/2004	22	0	
282	Thursday Friday	10/7/2004	22	0	
283	Saturday	10/8/2004	22	0	
284	Sunday	10/9/2004	22	0	22
285	Monday	10/10/2004 10/11/2004	25	3	22
286	Tuesday	10/12/2004	75 22	53	
287	Wednesday	10/13/2004	22	0	
288	Thursday	10/14/2004	22	0	
289	Friday	10/15/2004	22	0	
290	Saturday	10/16/2004	22	0	22
291	Sunday	10/17/2004	75	53	22
292	Monday	10/18/2004	22	0	22
293	Tuesday	10/19/2004	22	ő	
294	Wednesday	10/20/2004	22	0	
295	Thursday	10/21/2004	22	0	
296	Friday	10/22/2004	22	0	
297	Saturday	10/23/2004	22	0	22
298	Sunday	10/24/2004	22	0	22
299	Monday	10/25/2004	22	0	
300	Tuesday	10/26/2004	22	0	
301	Wednesday	10/27/2004	22	0	
302	Thursday	10/28/2004	22	0	
303	Friday	10/29/2004	22	0	
304	Saturday	10/30/2004	32	10	22
305	Sunday	10/31/2004	22	0	22
306	Monday	11/1/2004	55	33	
		1.12223004	22		
307	Tuesday	11/2/2004		0	
307 308	Wednesday	11/3/2004	22	0	
307	•				

Number	Day	Date	2004 Log	#>22	Weekend	Holida
311	Saturday	11/6/2004	22	0	22	
312	Sunday	11/7/2004	22	0	22	
313	Monday	11/8/2004	22	0		
314	Tuesday	11/9/2004	22	0		
315	Wednesday	11/10/2004	22	0		
316	Thursday	11/11/2004	22	0		
317	Friday	11/12/2004	22	0		
318	Saturday	11/13/2004	22	0	22	
319	Sunday	11/14/2004	22	0	22	
320	Monday	11/15/2004	22	0		
321	Tuesday	11/16/2004	35	13		
322	Wednesday	11/17/2004	22	0		
323	Thursday	11/18/2004	22	0		
324	Friday	11/19/2004	22	0		
325	Saturday	11/20/2004	22	0	22	
326	Sunday	11/21/2004	222	200	22	
320 327	Monday	11/22/2004	222	200	14	
				0		
328	Tuesday	11/23/2004	22			
329	Wednesday	11/24/2004	22	0		
330	Thursday	11/25/2004	22	0		22
331	Friday	11/26/2004	60	38	_	
332	Saturday	11/27/2004	22	0	22	
333	Sunday	11/28/2004	22	0	22	
334	Monday	11/29/2004	22	0		
335	Tuesday	11/30/2004	22	0		
336	Wednesday	12/1/2004	22	0		
337	Thursday	12/2/2004	28	6		
338	Friday	12/3/2004	22	0		
339	Saturday	12/4/2004	22	0	22	
340	Sunday	12/5/2004	22	. 0	22	
341	Monday	12/6/2004	22	0		
342	Tuesday	12/7/2004	22	0		
343	Wednesday	12/8/2004	22	0		
344	Thursday	12/9/2004	22	0		
345	Friday	12/10/2004	22	0		
346	Saturday	12/11/2004	22	0	22	
347	Sunday	12/12/2004	22	0	22	
348	Monday	12/13/2004	30	8		
349	Tuesday	12/14/2004	22	0		
350	Wednesday	12/15/2004	22	ő		
351	Thursday	12/16/2004	22	0		
352	Friday	12/17/2004	22	0		
353	Saturday	12/18/2004	22	0	22	
354	Sunday		22	0	22	
	-	12/19/2004			22	
355	Monday	12/20/2004	22	0		
356	Tuesday	12/21/2004	22	0		
357	Wednesday	12/22/2004	22	0		
358	Thursday	12/23/2004	22	0		
359	Friday	12/24/2004	22	0		
360	Saturday	12/25/2004	22	0	22	
361	Sunday	12/26/2004	22	0	22	
362	Monday	12/27/2004	22	0		2
363	Tuesday	12/28/2004	22	0		
364	Wednesday	12/29/2004	22	0		
365	Thursday	12/30/2004	22	0		
366	Friday	12/31/2004	22_	0		
Total	-		9,137.00	1,318.00	2,288.00	110.0

Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company

Case No. WR-2006-0250

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2004

Cost of Capital											
					Weighted	**[11.37%	0.00%	0.00%		
	Amount		Percent	Cost	Cost			Weighted Cost			
Equity	\$4	553	20.881%	**	**		2.37%	0.00%	0.00%		
Preferred Stock		<u> </u>	0.000%	0.000%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%€		
Long Term Debt	17.	251	79.119%	7.500%	5.93%		5.93%	5.93%	5.93%		
Short Term Debt			0.000%	0.000%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.007.		
Total	\$ 21	804	100.000%		Rate of Return	L	8.30%	5.93%	5.93%		
Tax Weighted Rate of	Return										
Tax Multiplier (1/(1	l-tax rate))						1.255814	1.255814	1.25581		
Weighted cost of equity					_	2.37%	0.00%	0.00%			
Tax weighted co	st of equity						2.98%	0.00%	0.00%		
Weighted cost of de	ebt					_	5.93%	5.93%	5.93%		

Tax Weighted Rate of Return

8.91%

5.93%

5.931/10

Revenue Requirement

			1	1.37%
			Equ	ity Return
Line	(A)			(B)
1	Net Original Cost Rate Base	(From Accounting Schedule 2)	\$	1,807
2	Rate of Return			8.30%
3	Net Operating Income Requirement		\$	150
4	Net Operating Income Available	(From Accounting Schedule 9)		1,937
5	Additional Net Operating Income Rec	quirement	\$	(1,787)
6	Income Tax Requirement:	(From Accounting Schedule 11)		
7	Required Current Income Tax		\$	11
8	Test Year Current Income Tax			0
9	Additional Current Income Tax Req	uirement	\$	11
10				
11	Gross Revenue Requirement		\$	(1,776)

Rate Base

		Desc	ription		A	mount
Line		(A)		''''	(B)
l	Plant in Service			(From Accounting Schedule 3)	\$	14,807
2	Less:			· -		
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reservation	rve		(From Accounting Schedule 6)		(
4	Net Plant in Service			•	<u> </u>	14,80′/
5	Add:					
6	Cash Working Capital				\$	(•
7	Total Additions to Net Plant	in Service	;		\$	14,807
8	Deduct:					
9	Interest Offset	@	#REF!		\$	(1
10	Federal Income Tax Offset	@	#REF!			(1
11	State Income Tax Offset	@	#REF!			(1
12	Contributions In Aid of Construc	ction				13,000
13	Contributions In Aid of Constru	ction Amo	rtized			0
14	Total Deductions from Net P	lant in Se	rvice		\$	13,000
15 16	Total Rate Base				<u> </u>	1,807

Plant In Service

	Account No.	Description		Total Company 6/30/2004		otal Company Adjustment	Alloc Factor		Juris Adjustment	Adj. No. Accig Sch 4		Adjusted Balance
Line	(A)	(B)		((,)		(D)	(D)		(1)	(G)		(H)
ı		Source of Supply & Pumping Plant										
2	314,000	Wells & Springs	\$	10,000	\$_	0	100.0000%	\$_	0	P-1	\$_	10,00:
3		Total	\$	10,000	\$	0		\$	0		\$	10,000
4		Pumping Plant										
5	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	\$	6.393	\$	(4,844)	100.0000%	\$	0	P-2	\$	1,549
6		Total	\$	6,393	\$	(4,844)		\$	0		\$	1,549
7		Transmission & Distribution Plant										
8	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$	3,000	S	0	100.0000%	\$	0	P-3	S	3,0(10
9	346.000	Meters		0		258	100.0000%		0	P-4		25n
10		Total	\$	3,000	\$	258		5	0		\$	3,250
11		General Plant										
12	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$	869	S	(869)	100,0000%	\$	0	P-5	\$	0
13	399.000	Other Tangible Plant		800		(800)	100.0000%		0	P-6		0
14		Total	S	1,669	\$	(1,669)		\$	0		\$	0
15			_	1,00	-	, , , ,						
16	Total Plan	nt In Service	S	21,062	\$	(6,255)			0		\$	14,8(17

Adjustments To Plant In Service

Adj. No.		Description	Total Company Adjustment	Mo Juris Adjustment		
A/C	314.000	Wells & Springs	P-1		\$ 0	\$ 0
1					\$ 	\$
2						
A/C	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	P-2		\$ (4,844)	\$ 0
1	To includ	e cost of new well pump. (Staff)			\$ 1,549	\$
2	Exclude a	s is fully depreciated. (OPC)			(6,393)	
A/C	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	P-3		\$ 0	\$ 0
1	•				\$	\$
2						
A/C	346.000	Meters	P-4		\$ 258	\$ 20
1	To includ	e the cost of new master meter. (Staff)			\$ 258	\$
2						
A/C	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	P-5		\$ (869)	\$ 0
1	Exclude a	as is fully depreciated. (OPC)			\$ (869)	\$
2						
A/C	399.000	Other Tangible Plant	P-6		\$	\$ 0
1	Exclude a	as is fully depreciated. (OPC)			\$ (800)	\$

2

Depreciation Expense

	Account No.	Plant Description	Adjusted Jurisdictional		Depr Rate		Depreciation Expense CxD
Line	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	_	(E)
1		Source of Supply & Pumping Plant					
2	314.000	Wells & Springs	\$	10,000	0.0000%	\$	
3		Total	\$_	10,000		_	C
4		Pumping Plant					
5	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	\$	1,549	10.0000%	\$	155
6		Total	\$ -	1,549			155
7		Transmission & Distribution Plant					
8	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$	3,000	0.0000%	\$	C
9	346.000	Meters	\$	258	3.3000%	\$	ç
10		Total	\$ -	3,258		_	9
11		General Plant					
12	398.000	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$	0	0.0000%	\$	C
13	399.000	Other Tangible Plant	\$	0	0.0000%	\$	С
14		Total	\$	0		-	C
15							
16	Total Pla	nt Depreciation Expense		14,807			163

Depreciation Reserve

	Account No.	Plant Description		Total Company 6/30/2004		tal Company Adjustment	Alloc Factor		Juris Adjustment	Adj, No: Accig Sch 7		Adjusted Balance ((टनाज्यान)
Lane	(A)	(B)		((,)		(D)	(E)		(f)	(G)		(11)
ı		Source of Supply & Pumping Plant										
2	314.000	Wells & Springs	\$_	5.967	\$	(5,967)	100.0000%	\$_	0_	R-1	\$_	0
3		Total	\$	5,967		(5,967)			0			0
4		Pumping Plant										
5	325.000	Electric Pumping Equipment	\$	6,393	\$	(6,393)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-2	\$	0
6		Total	\$ -	6,393	\$	(6,393)		s -	0		s ⁻	0
7		Transmission & Distribution Plant										
8	343.000	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$	1,790	\$	(1,790)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-3	\$	0
9	346,000	Meters	\$	0	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0	R-4	\$	0
10		Total	5	1,790		(1,790)		_	0		_	0
H		General Plant										
12	398,000	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$	869	S	(869)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-5	\$	0
13	399.000	Other Tangible Plant	\$	800	\$	(800)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-6	\$	0
14		Total	\$	1,669	s	(1,669)		s ⁻	0		\$	0
15												
16	Total Dep	reciation Reserve	\$	15,819	S	(15,819) #		\$	0		\$	0

Adjustments To Depreciation Reserve

Adj. No.	Description	Total Company Adjustment	Mo Juris Adjustment	
A/C	314.000 Wells & Springs	R-1	 (5,967)	\$ 0
1	Exclude CIAC depreciation. (Staff)		\$ (5,967)	\$
2				
A/C	325.000 Electric Pumping Equipment	R-2	\$ (6,393)	\$0
1	Exclude fully depreciated. (OPC)		\$ (6,393)	\$
2				
A/C	343.000 Transmission & Distribution Mains	R-3	\$ (1,790)	\$ 0
1 2	Exclude CIAC depreciation. (Staff)		\$ (1,790)	\$
A/C	346.000 Meters	R-4	\$ 0	
1			\$	\$
2				
A/C	398.000 Miscellaneous Equipment	R-5	\$	\$ 0
1	Exclude fully depreciated. (OPC)		\$ (869)	\$
2			 	
A/C	399.000 Other Tangible Plant	R-6	\$	\$ 0
1	Exclude CIAC depreciation. (Staff)		\$ (800)	\$

Income Statement

	Description		Total Company		Total Company Adjustment Awag Sch 10	Allocation Factor		Jurisdictional Adjustment	Adj. No.		Adjusted Jurisdictional (пьежи)не
i.ine 1	(A)		θn		(C)	(D)		(19)	(F)		(61)
2	Operating Revenues:	•	5054		0.264	100 00004	\$	^		•	14.010
3	Water Revenues	\$	7,954 92	\$	8.264	100.0000%	Þ	0	S-1 S-2	\$	16,218
4	Primacy Fee 'Fotal Revenues	\$	8,046	5	(92) 8,172	100.000076	<u>s</u> -	$\frac{0}{0}$	5-2	s ⁻	0 16,218
5	rotal Revenues	2	8,040	3	0,172		Þ	U		3	10,218
6	Operating Expenses:										
7	Salaries and Wages	\$	2,537	\$	3,182	100.0000%	\$	0	S-3	\$	5,719
8	Automobile	-	0		1,252	100.0000%		ø	S-4		1,252
9	Retirement		210		(210)	100.0000%		0	S-5		C
10	Purchased Power for Pumping		745		0	100.0000%		0	S-6		745
11	Chemicals		176		0	100.0000%		0	S-7		170
12	Testing Supplies/Services		1,430		0	100.0000%		0	S-8		1,430
13	Maintenance & Supplies Expense		682		0	100.0000%		0	S-9		682
14	Primacy Fee		92		(92)	100.0000%		0	S-10		0
15	Accounting Fee		323		0	100.0000%		Ō	S-11		323
16	Annual Registration		23		0	100.0000%		0	S-12		23
17	PSC Assessment		65		(28)	100.0000%		0	S-13		37
18	Office Supplies		299		(,	100.0000%		0	S-14		299
19	Postage Expense		247		0	%0000.001		0	S-15		247
20	Telephone Expense		247		335	100.0000%		0	S-16		582
21	Insurance Expense		0		1,659	100.0000%		0	S-17		1.659
22	FICA		0		426	100.0000%		0	S-18		426
23	Amortize Eng. Exp 5 Yrs.		0		127	100.0000%		0	S-19		127
24	Amortize Well Repair - 5 Yrs.		0		391	100.0000%		0	S-20		391
25	Total	S	7,076	S	7,041		\$	0		s -	14,117
26											
27	Other Operating Expenses:										
28	Depreciation	\$	260	\$	(96)	100,0000%	S	0	S-21	\$	164
29	Total Depreciation		260		(96)			0		-	164
30											
31	Total Operating Expenses	\$	7,336	\$	6,945	100.0000%	S	0		\$	14,281
32	,										
33	Net Income Before Income Taxes	\$	710	S	1,227	100.0000%	5	0		\$	1,937
34											
35	Income Taxes:										
36	Current Income Tax	\$	0	S	0	100.0000%	\$	0	S-22	\$	0
37	Deferred Income Tax		0		0	100.0000%		0	S-23		0
38	Amortization of ITC		0	_	0	100.0000%		0	S-24		0
39	Total Income Taxes	S	0	\$	0		\$		•	\$	0
40											
41	Net Operating Income	S	710	S	1,227		S	0	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	- 5	1,937

Adjustments To Income Statement

	Adjustments To Income Statement Adj. No. Description Total Compa. Adjustment										
	Description	Total Company Adjustment	Mr Juris Adjustment								
Water	Revenues Staff	S-I	S 8.264 S	D D							
2	-पवत		S 8,264 S								
Primec		8-2	\$ (92) \$	- 0							
2	Sin')		(921 5								
Salarie	and Wages	S-à	S 3.182 S	- 0							
2	Mr. Chihoid's salary adjustancia. OPC Ms. Chihoid's salary adjustment. OPC		5 3,063 \$								
Autom	rhile	<u>s</u> -1	\$ 1,252 \$. 0							
2	Mr. Chilon ^{Ps} mileage adjustment. OPC Ms. Chilord's mileage adjustment. OPC		\$ 1,129 \$								
Retain	icni	8-5	\$ (210) \$	g							
1	My Chillord's retirement adjustment (DPC)		\$ (210) \$								
	sed Power for Primping	8-6	\$ 0 S	0							
2	No adjustnetit		\$ \$								
Chemic	als	S-7	2 0 2	G							
ı	No adjustment		•								
2 Testino	Supplies Services	5-8	\$ n \$	0							
1	No adjustment		(,	<u>v</u>							
2 Manufa	S.C. Har Daniel	8.0									
- 1	павое & Supplies Ехропяо No adjustation	, s.p.	\$ 0 \$	0							
2											
Primac	Siafi	<u>S-10</u>	\$ {92} \$ \$ (92) \$	6							
2											
Account	No adposiment	<u>\$1)</u>	5 0 5	ΰ							
2											
Amus	Registration No adjustment	8-12	\$ 0 \$	Ü							
2											
PSC A	seesthen! Staff	\$-13	\$ (28) \$ \$ (28) \$	0							
2											
Office	Supplies No adjustment	S-14	\$ 0 \$	0							
2											
Postage	Expense No adjustment	S-15	5 6 5								
2											
i clepta	stic Expense Staff	\$ 16	\$ 335 S \$ 335 S	0							
2											
Insurar	we Expunse Staff	\$-17	\$ 1,659 \$ \$ 1,659 \$	0							
2			\$ 1,65 <u>0</u> \$								
FICA	Mr. Clifford's FICA adjustment, OPC	S-18	\$ 426 \$	Ð							
2	Ms. Chillord's FICA adjustment. OPC		\$ 402 \$								
Amenta	ree ling. Exp 5 Yes. Skall	S-10	5 127 \$	0							
2	Skill		\$ 127 \$								
Ameri	on Well Report - 5 Yrs.	S-20	\$ 391 \$								
2	Staff		5 391 5								
Перисс	iation	8-21	\$ (96) S								
2	Depreciation Adjustment, OPC		\$ (96) \$								
Curren	t Income Tax	S-22	\$ 0 S	0							
1	No adjustment		\$ \$								
Deferre	ad lucenne fax	s-23	\$ 0 \$								
,	No adjustment		5 5								
2 Ameri	zation of ITC	S-24	\$ U S	Ū.							
1	No adjustment		<u> </u>	· · · · · ·							

Income Tax Calculation

Description				est Year		8.30% Return
(A)			_	(B)		(C)
Net Income Before Taxes (Acct. Sch. 1	1)		\$	1,937	\$	16
Add:						
Book Depreciation			\$	164	\$	16
Total Additions			\$	164	\$	16
Subtractions to Net Income Before Inc	ome Ta	x:				
Interest Expense	@	5.93%	\$	107	\$	10
Depreciation				164		16
Total Subtractions			\$	271	\$	27
Net Taxable Income			\$	1,830	\$	5
Provision for Federal Income Tax						
Net Taxable Income			\$	1,830	\$	5
Deduct Missouri Income Tax	(a)	100.00%		106		
Federal Taxable Income	_		\$	1,723	\$	5
Federal Income Tax	@	15.00%	\$	259	\$	
Provision for Missouri Income Tax						
Net Taxable Income			\$	1,830	\$	5
Deduct Federal Income Tax	@	50.00%		130		
Missouri Taxable Income			S	1,700	\$	5
Missouri Income Tax	@	6.25%	\$	106	\$	
Provision for City Earnings Tax						
City Taxable Income			\$	1,830	\$	5
City Income Tax	@	0.00%	\$	0	\$	
Summary of Provision For Income Ta	х					
Federal Income Tax			\$	259	\$	
State Income Tax				106		
City Income Tax				0		
Total Current Income Tax			\$	365	<u> </u>	

Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company Case No. SR-2006-0249

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2004

Cost	οf	Capital	
------	----	---------	--

					Weighted	**	11.37%	0.00%	0.00%
		Amount	Percent	Cost	Cost	L		Weighted Cost	
Equity	\$	4,553	20.881%	**	**		2.37%	0.00%	0.00%
Preferred Stock			0.000%	0.000%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Long Term Debt		17.251	79.119%	7.500%	5.93%		5.93%	5.93%	5.93%
Short Term Debt		-	0.000%	0.000%	0.00%	_	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	5	21,804	100.000%		Rate of Return		8.30%	5.93%	5.93%
Tax Weighted Rate of									
Tax Multiplier (1/())					1.272727	1,272727	1.272727
Weighted cost of e						_	2.37%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax weighted co	ost of equ	ity					3.02%	0.00%	0.00%
Weighted cost of d	ebt					_	5.93%	5.93%	5.93%
Tax Weighte	d Rate of	Return					8.95%	5.93%	5.93%

Revenue Requirement

				11.37%
			E	quity Return
Line	(A)			(B)
1	Net Original Cost Rate Base	(From Accounting Schedule 2)	\$	458
2	Rate of Return			8.30%
3	Net Operating Income Requirement		\$	38
4	Net Operating Income Available	(From Accounting Schedule 9)		(2,120)
5	Additional Net Operating Income Req	uirement	\$	2,158
6	Income Tax Requirement:	(From Accounting Schedule 11)		
7	Required Current Income Tax		\$	3
8	Test Year Current Income Tax			0
9	Additional Current Income Tax Req	uirement	\$	3
10 11	Gross Revenue Requirement	<u> </u>	\$	2,161

Rate Base

		Desci	ription		Α	mount
ine		(.	A)			(B)
1	Plant in Service			(From Accounting Schedule 3)	\$	22,800
2	Less:					
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reser	rve		(From Accounting Schedule 6)		342
4	Net Plant in Service				\$	22,458
5	Add:					
6	Cash Working Capital				\$	0
7	Total Additions to Net Plant	in Service			\$	22,458
8	Deduct:					
9	Interest Offset	@	#REF!		\$	0
0	Federal Income Tax Offset	@	#REF!			0
1	State Income Tax Offset	@	#REF!			0
2	Contributions In Aid of Construc	ction				22,000
3	Contributions In Aid of Construc	ction Amo	rtized			0
4	Total Deductions from Net I	Plant in Ser	rvice		\$	22,000
15						
16	Total Rate Base				\$	458

Plant In Service

Cine	Account No.	Description		otal Company 6/30/2004		al Company djustment	Alloc Factor	Juris /	Adjustment (F)	Adj. No. Aeag Seli 4	A	djusted Balance ((C+DwE)+F
}		Collection Plant										
2	352,000	Gravity Collection Sewer	\$	19,000	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0	P-1	\$	19,000
3		Total	\$	000,01	s —	0		s —	0		\$	000,91
4		Treatment & Disposal Plant										
5	372,000	Oxidation Lagoon	\$	2,200	\$	0	100.0000%	\$	0	P-2	\$	2,200
6		Total	\$	2,200	s	0		s —	0		\$	2,200
7		Transmission & Distribution Plant										
8		General Plant										
9	393.000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	\$	1,600	5	0_	100.0000%	5	0	P-3	\$	1,600
10		Total	5	1,600	s —	0		\$	0		\$_	1,600
- 11						a						
12	Total Plan	nt In Service	\$	22,800	\$	0			Ð		S	22,800

Adjustments To Plant In Service

Adj. No.		Description		Total Company Adjustment	Mo Juris Adjustment		
A/C	352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	P-1		<u>\$</u>	0	\$ 0 \$
2					Ţ		•
A/C I	372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	P-2		\$	0	\$
2							
A/C	393.000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	P-3		<u>\$</u>	0	\$ 0 \$

Depreciation Expense

	Account No.	Plant Description		Adjusted Jurisdictional	Depr Rate		Depreciation Expense CxD
Line	(A)	(B)		(C)	(Đ)		(E)
Ţ		Collection Plant					
2	352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	\$	19,000	0.0000%	\$	0_
3		Total	\$_	19,000		s —	0
4		Treatment & Disposal Plant					
5	372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	\$_	2,200	0.0000%	\$	0_
6		Total	\$	2,200		\$	0
7		Transmission & Distribution Plant					
8		General Plant					
9	393,000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	\$	1,600	4.0000%	\$	64_
10		Total	\$	1,600		\$	64
11							
12	Total Plan	nt Depreciation Expense		22,800			64_

Depreciation Reserve

Lince	Account No.	Plant Description		Total Company 6/30/2004	The state of the s	Total Company Adjustment	Alloc Factor		Juris Adjustment	Adj. No Acetg Sch 7	Ad	justed Balance ((C+DrxE)+F
1		Collection Plant										
2	352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	\$	7,333	\$	(7,333)	100.0000%	٢.	O	R-1	ę	0
3		Total	s -	7,333	-	(7,333)	.00,000070	٠-	0		-	
4		Treatment & Disposal Plant				(-1)			•			v
5	372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	\$	2,200	S	(2,200)	100.0000%	\$	0	R-2	•	n
6		Total	s -	2,200	\$	(2,200)		5	0		₹—	
7		General Plant			-	,_,,,		•	v			U
8	393,000	Other General Equipment - 1/2 Is CIAC	S	1,142	S	(800)	100.0000%	\$	n	R-3	e	342
9		Total	5	1,142	s	(800)	100:500071	ς-	0	10-5	₹—	342
10				.,	•	(330)		•	v		Ψ	344
11	Total Den	reciation Reserve	\$	10,675	S	(10,333) #		\$. 0		s	342

Adjustments To Depreciation Reserve

Adj. No.		De	scription		Total Company Adjustment		Mo Juris Adjustment
A/C	352.000	Gravity Collection Sewer	R-1		\$ (7,333)	\$	0
1	Staff				\$ (7,333)	\$	
2							
A/C	372.000	Oxidation Lagoon	R-2		\$ (2,200)	\$.	y tradition of Conservation
1	Staff				\$ (2,200)	\$	
2							
A/C	393.000	0.00		#REF!	\$ (800)	\$	
	Staff				\$ (800)	\$	

Income Statement

	Description (A)		Total Company		Total Company Adjustment Accta Sch 10	Allocation Factor		Jurisdictional Adjustment	Adj, No		Adjusted Jurisdictional machine
ĸ	Operating Revenues:		(13)		(67	(17)		(1-)	(41)		, par
	Sewer Revenues	•	2,862		4,103	100.0000%		0	S-1	\$	6,965
	Total Revenues	<u>*</u> -	2,862	3-	4,103	100.0000%	» — s		3-1	ъ_ К	6,965
	roiai Revenues	3	2,002	D.	4,103		Þ	Ü		Þ	0,903
	Operating Expenses:										
	Salaries and Wages	\$	2,537	\$	(531)	100.0000%	\$	0	S-2	\$	2,006
	Automobile		0		417	100.0000%		0	S-3	-	417
	Retirement		210		(210)	100.0000%		0	S-4		0
	Testing Supplies/Services		870		426	100.0000%		0	S-5		1,296
}	Maintenance & Supplies Expense		1,146		0	100.0000%		0	S-6		1,146
	Accounting Fee		323		0	100.0000%		0	S-7		323
3	Annual Registration		23		0	100,0000%		0	S-8		23
	PSC Assessment		225		24	100.0000%		0	S-9		249
;	Office Supplies		299		0	100.0000%		0	S-10		299
,	Postage Expense		247		0	100,0000%		0	S-11		247
7	Telephone Expense		247		54	100.9000%		0	S-12		301
3	Insurance Expense		0		1,659	100.0000%		0	S-13		1,659
•	FICA		0		142	100.0000%		0	S-14		142
)	Amortize Eng. Exp 5 Yrs.		0		295	100.0000%		0	S-15		295
ı	Sewer Permit		650		0	100.0000%		0	S-16		650
2	Total	s ⁻	6,777	s ⁻	2,276		s ⁻	0		s ·	9,053
3											
4	Other Operating Expenses:										
5	Depreciation	\$	260	\$	(228)	100.0000%	\$	0	S-17	\$	32
5	Total Depreciation	_	260	-	(228)		_	0		•	32
7											
R	Total Operating Expenses	\$	7.037	S	2,048	100.000%	\$	0		\$	9,085
7											
)	Net Income Before Income Taxes	\$	(4,175)	\$	2,055	100.0000%	S	0		\$	(2,120)
1											
2	Income Taxes:										
3	Current Income Tax	\$	0	\$	0	100.0000%	5	0	S-18	\$	0
4	Deferred Income Tax		0		0	100.0000%		0	S-19		0
5	Amortization of ITC	_	0	_		100.0900%	_	0	S-20		0
6	Total Income Taxes	\$	0	\$	0		\$	0		\$	0
7 8	Net Operating Income		(4,175)	5	2,055		<u> </u>	0		S	(2,120)

Adjustments To Income Statement

Adj. No:	Daserijulon		Company istatent	Mo Juris Adjustment	
	Revenues	8-1	\$	4.103 \$	()
1	Staff*		\$	4,103 S	
	s and Wages	8-2	S	(531) \$	0
1	Mr Clifford's salary adjustment OPC		s	(442) S	
2 Autom	Ms, Clittlerd's salary adjustment OPC	8-3	<u> </u>	(89) 417 \$	0
ı	Mr. Clifford's mileage adjustment. OPC		S	376 5	
2	Ms. Clifford's mileage adjustment. OPC	····	**************************************	41	
Retirer ! 2	Mr, Clifford's retirement adjustment. OPC	S-4	\$	(210) S	Ü
Testin	g Supplies/Services Staff	83	<u>s</u>	426 \$	0
2	, Altri		•	720. 3	
	rnance & Supplies Espense	S-6	\$	0 \$	
2	No adjustment		s	S	
Aconu	nting Fee No adjustment	S-7	\$	0 1	
2					
Annue	al Registration No adjustment	S-8 .,	\$ \$	0 :	
2			-		
	Anocestrical	S-9	\$	24	
1 2	Staff		,	24 ;	1
Office	s Supplies	8-10	5	0 :	
2	No adjustment		5	:	3
	ge Hapense	S-I1	s	.0	0 4
1	No adjustment		s		5
Telep	hone hyperse Staff	S-12	\$		s
2	Staff			94	
	nnce Expense	8-13	<u> </u>		s 0
2	Slaff		S	1,659	S
HCA		S-14	3		s o
1	Mr. Clifford's FICA Adjustment, OPC Ms. Clifford's FICA Adjustment, OPC		S	134 R	Š
	rtize ling. Fixp 5 Yrs.	S-15	5	295	S
2	Staff		s	295	S
	т Гепліі	S-16	s	Ú	s (
1	Staff		s		S
2		0.17		(550)	
1 April	eciation Staff	S-17	\$		\$ (2
2	Staff			(32)	
T	ent Income Tax No adjustment	S-18	\$.	. 0_	\$ {
2 Delo	rred Income Tax	8-19	<u> </u>	0	\$ 7
1	No adjustment		š	<u>,, </u>	\$
Amor	risation of ITC	5-20	5	n	5 (
1	No adjustment	-	Ş		S

Income Tax Calculation

Description (A)				Test Year		8.30% Return
Net Income Before Taxes (Acct. Sch. 1)			\$	(2,120)	\$	4
Add:						
Book Depreciation			\$	32	\$	3
Total Additions			\$	32	\$	3
Subtractions to Net Income Before Incom	ie Tax	κ:				
Interest Expense	(a)	5.93%	\$	27	\$	2
Depreciation	_			32		3
Total Subtractions			\$	59	\$	5
Net Taxable Income			\$	(2,147)	s	
Provision for Federal Income Tax						
Net Taxable Income			\$	(2,147)	\$	1
Deduct Missouri Income Tax	(a)	100.00%		0		
Federal Taxable Income	_		s —	(2,147)	s	į
Federal Income Tax	@	15.00%	\$	(322)	\$	
Provision for Missouri Income Tax						
Net Taxable Income			\$	(2,147)	\$	1
Deduct Federal Income Tax	@	50.00%		(161)		
Missouri Taxable Income	_		s —	(1,986)	\$_	1
Missouri Income Tax	@	6.25%	\$	(124)	\$	
Provision for City Earnings Tax						
City Taxable Income			\$	(2,147)	\$	1
City Income Tax	@	0.00%	\$	0	\$	
•						
Summary of Provision For Income Tax						
Federal Income Tax			\$	(322)	\$	
State Income Tax				(124)		
City Income Tax				0		
Total Current Income Tax			S	(446)	S	