Exhibit No.:	
Issue:	AAO; Commission Assessment
Witness:	Scott A. Weitzel
Type of Exhibit:	Direct Testimony
<b>Sponsoring Party:</b>	Spire Missouri Inc.
Case Nos.	GU-2019-0011
Date Testimony	
Prepared:	October 1, 2018

Spire Missouri Inc.

# GU-2019-0011

# DIRECT TESTIMONY

# OF

# SCOTT A. WEITZEL

October 2018

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY		2
ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER	3	3

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SCOTT A. WEITZEL
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	А.	My name is Scott A. Weitzel and my business address is 700 Market Street, St.
4		Louis, Missouri 63101.
5	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT POSITION?
6	A.	I am Manager of Tariffs and Rate Administration for Spire Missouri, Inc. ("Spire
7		Missouri" or "Company").
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE HOW LONG YOU HAVE HELD YOUR POSITION AND
9		BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES.
10	A.	I have been in my present position since August 2016, when I joined Spire. In this
11		position, I am responsible for administration of rates, rules and regulations of Spire
12		Missouri Inc., including its operating units, Spire Missouri East and Spire Missouri
13		West, as filed with the Missouri Public Service Commission.
14	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR PREVIOUS PROFESSIONAL
15		EXPERIENCE PRIOR TO JOINING SPIRE MISSOURI.
16	A.	Upon graduation from college, I was employed by CenterPoint Energy as a Gas
17		Marketing Rep/Analyst where I handled billing, nominations, hedge settlement,
18		and account management for commercial, industrial and municipal gas customers.
19		I then spent 9 years working for Ameren Missouri in various roles relating to its
20		gas supply operations. This work included scheduling gas, peak day planning,
21		capacity and storage planning, gas supply procurement, capacity releases, hedging,
22		gas accounting, responding to data requests, PGA analysis, and review of
23		competitor's tariffs and cases. I then went to work for Ameren Illinois in the area

1		of gas business development where I focused on extending natural gas to
2		communities that were not currently supplied with natural gas and/or acquiring gas
3		utilities and municipalities.
4	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?
5	A.	I graduated from University of Missouri in Columbia in 2003 with a Bachelor of
6		Science in Human Environmental Sciences, with a major in Consumer Affairs and
7		a minor in Leadership and Public Service. I received a Masters of Business
8		Administration from Webster University in 2007.
9	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY BEFORE THIS
10		COMMISSION?
11	A.	Yes, in Files Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216.
12		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
14	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor and explain the Company's Accounting
15		Authority Order (AAO) application in which we are requesting authorization to
16		defer for potential recovery the significant increase in the government mandated
17		Missouri Public Service Commission annual assessment for Fiscal Year 2019.
18	Q.	WHY IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING AN AAO FOR THE
19		COMMISSION ASSESSMENT?
20	A.	The Commission assessment for Fiscal Year 2019 is a \$1,661,778.53 (51.2%)
21		increase from the Fiscal Year 2018 assessment. An increase of over 50% represents

1 Missouri. This is an increase that is beyond the control of Spire Missouri and for 2 which no provision is made in the ratemaking process.

# 3 Q. IS THE TIMING OF THE COMMISSION ASSESMENT INCREASE 4 SIGNIFICANT TO SPIRE MISSOURI?

- Yes, the assessment increase, and particularly the timing of this increase, is 5 A. significant to Spire Missouri. Spire Missouri's current rates became effective on 6 April 19, 2018, as a result of the Commission's Order in Files Nos. GR-2017-0215 7 and GR-2017-0216, and resulted in a decrease in rates for its customers. The rate 8 9 decrease took effect approximately 2 months before the Commission assessment letter was sent. The revenue requirements in those cases reflected the Fiscal Year 10 2018 assessment - \$1,661,778.53 less on an annual basis than the level assessed by 11 the Commission just a few months after the completion of the rate cases. This is in 12 addition to the nearly \$1,000,000 unrecoverable charge the Company already 13 14 incurred for sharing of expenses related to Files Nos. GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216. 15
- 16

#### ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER

#### 17 Q. DOES THE COMMISSION HAVE AUTHORITY TO GRANT AN AAO?

A. Yes. Although I am not an attorney, I have been advised by legal counsel that the
Commission, pursuant to its authority found in Section 393.140(8), RSMo "to
prescribe the accounts in which particular outlays and receipts should be booked",
has promulgated Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-40.040. That rule, in turn, prescribes
the use of the Uniform System of Accounts ("USOA") adopted by the Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission. The USOA provides for the deferred treatment

1	of extraordinary costs. An application for an AAO contains a single factual issue -
2	- whether the costs, which are asked to be deferred, are extraordinary in nature or
3	otherwise qualify for deferral. As the Commission has previously stated, all other
4	issues remain for later determination, "including, but not limited to, the prudency
5	of any expenditures, the amount of recovery, if any, whether carrying costs should
6	be recovered, and if there are any offsets to recovery." Mo.P.S.C.3d 200, 203-204
7	(1991).

8 Q ARE THERE ANY SPECIFIC STANDARDS THAT GOVERN WHEN AN
9 AAO SHOULD BE ISSUED?

- A. Again, I have been advised by legal counsel that Section 393.140(8), RSMo does not contain any express standard for the exercise of AAO authority and therefore, it is within the Commission's discretion. I have also been advised that Missouri courts have recognized the Commission's authority to approve an AAO, and there is nothing in the Public Service Commission Law or the Commission's regulations that would limit the grant of an AAO to any particular set of circumstances.
- 16 Q. HAS THE COMMISSION ISSUED AAO'S IN MISSOURI UTILTIY
   17 REGULATION?
- A. Yes, the Commission has repeatedly approved AAO's in electric cases, gas cases,
  and water cases.

# 20 Q. HAS THE COMMISSION ISSUED AAO'S THAT ARE RELEVANT TO

- 21 SPIRE'S ISSUE OF AN UNPREDICTABLE GOVERNMENT CHANGE?
- A. Yes. The Commission has in the past issued AAO's for costs "caused by
   unpredictable events, acts of government and other matters outside the control of

the utility or the Commission." In the Matter of St. Louis County Water Company's 1 Tariff Designed to Increase Rates, MoPSC Case No. WR-96-263, p. 13 (December 2 3 31, 1996). The Commission has further stated that it "has periodically granted AAOs and subsequent ratemaking treatment for various unusual occurrences such 4 as flood-related costs, changes in accounting standards, and other matters which are 5 unpredictable and cannot adequately or appropriately be addressed within normal 6 budgeting parameters." Id. at p. 14. Further, the Commission has issued AAOs for 7 Commission enacted mandates such as gas safety programs and changes in the Cold 8 9 Weather Rule.

# Q. CONSISTENT WITH THIS CRITERIA, HOW IS THE COMMISSION ASSESSMENT UNPREDICTABLE AND SOMETHING THAT CANNOT ADEQUATELY OR APPROPRIATELY BE ADDRESSED WITHIN NORMAL BUDGETING PARAMETERS?

14 A. The Commission assessment can vary greatly causing unpredictable assessments The fiscal year 2017 Commission assessment was 15 from the Commission. \$2,916,945.74. Just two years later the fiscal year 2019 Commission assessment is 16 17 \$4,904,390.63. A change of \$1,987,444.89. This unpredictable change, which arises from a number of factors beyond the Company's control, including when 18 19 other utilities file rate cases, makes normal budgeting parameters extremely 20 difficult to forecast. These two assessments were chosen as an example because 21 they represent the minimum and maximum Commission assessments in the past 22 decade.

# 1 Q. WHAT HAS BEEN THE COMMISSION ASSESSMENTS AND PERCENT

## 2 CHANGES OVER THE PAST DECASE?

- A. This information was provided to Staff in data request 0001. It is clear that a 51.2
  percent change is unusual in nature and an infrequent occurrence. A summary can
- 5 be seen in the table below.

Fiscal		Percent
Year	Annual	Change
Tear	7.111001	Change
2008	4,147,693.60	
2009	3,980,583.92	-4.0%
	, ,	
2010	3,585,137.41	-9.9%
2011	4,041,676.12	12.7%
2012	3,463,112.65	-14.3%
2013	3,384,578.19	-2.3%
2014	3,384,369.51	0.0%
2015	3,954,922.54	16.9%
2013	5,554,522.54	10.5%
2016	3,364,459.91	-14.9%
2017	2,916,945.74	-13.3%
2017	_,;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	13.370
2018	3,242,612.10	11.2%
2019	4,904,390.63	51.2%

MPSC Assessments Spire Missouri, Inc (East and West)

6

Q. DO YOU HAVE SOME EXAMPLES OF SITUATIONS WHERE THE
COMMISSION HAS GRANTED AAO'S FOR EXPENSES THAT ARE
DIFFICULT TO PREDICT?

1	A.	Yes, among others, these would include, AAO's for volatile pension and post-		
2		retirement benefits that, because of market, contribution and other factors can vary		
3		significantly for from year to year, such as:		
4		• Costs associated with the implementation of FAS 87 for pension expense		
5		(In the matter of Missouri Cities Water Company, 2 Mo.P.S.C.3d 60,		
6		January 8, 1993); and,		
7		• Cost associated with the implementation of FAS 106 (In Re Union Electric,		
8		1 Mo.P.S.C.3d 328, 330 (EO-92-179) (June 12, 1992); In Re St. Joseph		
9		Light and Power Company, 2 Mo.P.S.C.3d 248, 270 (ER-93-41, EC-93-		
10		252) (June 25, 1993) (In referring to the Western Resources proceeding,		
11		"[t]he Commission also found that expenses related to the adoption of FAS		
12	106 are extraordinary or unusual items which qualify for deferral and later			
13	amortization."); In Re Missouri Gas Energy, 3 Mo.P.S.C.3d 203 (GO-94-			
14	255) (September 28, 1994); In Re Empire District Electric Company (EO-			
15		93-35) (February 2, 1993)).		
16	Q.	YOU MENTIONED THAT THE COMISSION HAS ALSO GRANTED		
17		AAOS FOR EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH GOVERNMENTAL		
18		ACTION. CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME EXAMPLES?		
19	A.	Yes. The Commission has granted AAO's for this reason in a number of different		
20		contexts. Among others, they include authorizations relating to:		
21		- Payments for new property tax on natural gas held in storage in Kansas (In		
22		re Missouri Gas Energy, 2005 Mo. PSC LEXIS 1191 (GU-2005-0095,		
23		2005);		

1		- Costs to comply with new gas safety rules (GO-97-301, GO-2002-0048);
2		- Cost to comply with the Clean Air Act (In the matter of the application of
3		Missouri Public Service, 1 Mo.P.S.C.3d 200, 203-204 (1991)); and,
4		- The emergency cold weather rule (GA-2002-285, GA-2002-377).
5	Q.	HOW DOES FERC DEFINE AN "EXTRAORDINARY ITEM"?
6	A.	The General Instructions of the Uniform System of Accounts states as follows:
7		"7. Extraordinary items. It is the intent that net income shall reflect all items
8		of profit and loss during the period with the exception of prior period
9		adjustments as described in paragraph 7.1 and long-term debt as described
10		in paragraph 17 below. Those items related to the effects of events and
11		transactions which have occurred during the current period and which
12		are of unusual nature and infrequent occurrence shall be considered
13		extraordinary items. Accordingly, they will be events and transactions
14		of significant effect which are abnormal and significantly different
15		from the ordinary and typical activities of the company, and which
16		would not reasonably be expected to recur in the foreseeable future. (In
17		determining significance, items should be considered individually and not
18		in the aggregate. However, the effects of a series of related transactions
19		arising from a single specific and identifiable event or plan of action should
20		be considered in the aggregate.) To be considered as extraordinary under
21		the above guidelines, an item should be more than approximately 5 percent
22		of income, computed before extraordinary items. Commission approval
23		must be obtained to treat an item of less than 5 percent, as
24 25		extraordinary. (emphasis added)"
23		
26	Q.	UNDER THE FERC DEFINITION, WOULD THE EXTRAORDINARY
27		INCREASE IN THE ASSESSMENT QUALIFY FOR AAO TREATMENT?
28	A.	Yes, it does. The magnitude of FY 2019 assessment increase is a "of significant
29		effect" <sup>1</sup> , which is "abnormal and significantly different from" such increases (or
30		decreases) in the past, and which would not reasonably be expected to recur in the
31		foreseeable future. Although it does not meet the FERC 5 percent of income

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is difficult to deny that an increase of more than 50% is significant.

1		threshold, that just means that Spire cannot automatically defer it but, rather, must		
2		seek Commission approval. That is why Spire filed this case.		
3	Q.	WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE ASSESSMENT DROPPED BELOW		
4		THE \$3,242, 612.10 CURRENTLY REFLECTED IN RATES IN FY 2020?		
5	A.	The Company would be willing to also defer any such over-recoveries until the next		
6		rate case. Assessments cannot be avoided, and the amounts are largely out of the		
7		control of the utilities - as such, both increases, and decreases should be tracked		
8		and recovered from, or refunded to, customers as part of the Company's next		
9		general rate proceeding.		
10	Q.	WHAT ACTION SHOULD THE COMMISSION TAKE AS A		
11		CONSEQUENCE OF THE GOVERNMENTAL ACTION RESULTING IN		
12		AN OVER 50% INCREASE IN THE ASSESMENT TO SPIRE MISSOURI?		
13	A.	The Commission should grant Spire Missouri the accounting authority order it has		
14		requested in this proceeding.		
15	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?		
16	A.	Yes, it does.		

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Spire Missouri Inc.'s ) Request for an AAO )

File No. GU-2019-0011

### AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS.
CITY OF ST. LOUIS	)	

Scott A. Weitzel, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. My name is Scott A. Weitzel. I am Manager, Tariffs and Rate Administration for Spire Missouri Inc. My business address is 700 Market St., St Louis, Missouri, 63101.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony on behalf of Spire Missouri Inc. for the above referenced case.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this / day of OCTOB 2018.

Notary Public

