Exhibit No.:

**Issue(s):** Rate Design Overview/

Rate Design Water Operations / Rate Design Sewer Operations/ Affordability of Proposed Rates

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Russo/Direct Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel Case No.: WR-2016-0064

## **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

## **JAMES M. RUSSO**

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

## HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

CASE NO. WR-2016-0064

April 15, 2016

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Rate Increase	)	
Requests of the Hillcrest Utility	)	File No. WR-2016-0064
Operating Company, Inc	)	

## **AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES M. RUSSO**

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

James M. Russo, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is James M. Russo. I am a Consultant for the Office of the Public Counsel.
  - 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

James M. Russo Consultant

Subscribed and sworn to me this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2016.

NOTARY SEAL ST

TIFFANY HILDEBRAND
My Commission Expires
August 9, 2019
Cole County
Commission #15637121

Tiffany Hildebrand Notary Public

My Commission expires August, 2019.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	<u> </u>
Background of Witness	1
Introduction	2
Rate Design Overview	3
Rate Design Water Operations	5
Rate Design Sewer Operations	11
Affordability of Proposed Rates	12

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	JAMES M. RUSSO
4	HILLCREST UTILIITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.
5	CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. WR-2016-0064
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.
7	A. James M. Russo, 2215 Minnow Branch Road, Stover, Missouri 65102.
8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A. I am self-employed as a consultant.
10	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS
11	Q. Please describe your educational background and other
12	qualifications.
13	A. I graduated from California State University-Fresno, and received a BS in
14	Accounting. Local elected officials in county government employed me in various
15	capacities: I was the Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector for San Joaquin and El Dorado
16	Counties in California. My responsibilities included all financial dealings of the county
17	governments and all accounting activities of the Treasurer-Tax Collector office. In
18	addition, I was the Supervising Accountant Auditor in El Dorado County for two years.
19	My division was responsible for internal audits of all county agencies, special districts,
20	and external franchise/lease agreements.
21	Q. What were the nature of your duties when employed as a member of
22	the Staff by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff")?

A. From April 1997 to December 2001, I worked in the Staff Accounting Department, where my duties consisted of directing and assisting with various audits as well as examinations of the books and records of public utilities operating within the State of Missouri under the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission ("Commission"). From December 2001, to August 2003, I was a Regulatory Auditor IV in the Energy Tariffs/Rate Design Department where my duties consisted of analyzing applications, reviewing tariffs, and making recommendations based upon these evaluations. On August 16, 2003, I assumed the position of Rate and Tariff Examination Supervisor in the Water & Sewer Unit where my duties consisted of reviewing tariffs, preparing and analyzing cost of service and rate design, and performing accounting functions. I held this position until my retirement from the Commission Staff on December 31, 2015.

## Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?

A. Yes. A list of cases in which I have filed testimony before this Commission is attached as Schedule 1 to my direct testimony.

### **INTRODUCTION**

- Q. With reference to Case Nos. WR-2016-0064 and SR-2016-0065 consolidated to Case No. WR-2016-0064, have you made an examination and study of the material filed by Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc. ("Hillcrest" or "Company") relating to its proposed increase in water and sewer rates?
  - A. Yes, I have.

- Q. With reference to consolidated case numbered WR-2016-0064, have you made an examination and study of the *Partial Dispsition Agreement and Request for Evidentiary Hearing* filing by Staff on March 25, 2016?
  - A. Yes I have.
- Q. With reference to consolidated case numbered WR-2016-0064, have you made an examination of the Data Requests, work papers and documents provided to the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") by Staff and Hillcrest?
  - A. Yes I have.
  - Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
- A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide OPC's position relating to: water and sewer operations rate design and affordability of proposed rates for water and sewer operations. In addition, I have included for the Commission's consideration an option of phased-in rates for Hillcrest's water and sewer operations.

### RATE DESIGN OVERVIEW

- Q. Did you perform a Class Cost of Service ("CCOS") Study?
- A. No. A CCOS allocates each cost listed in a Company's cost of service into different cost components such as base costs, maximum day usage and maximum hour usage. The underlying data required to make these types of allocations generally are not available for small regulated utilities as Hillcrest. As an alternative, I used a general cost of service to develop rates since the Company is too small to perform a CCOS; normally done in a larger utility rate case. The Company has a total of 242 water customers, which consists of 218 residential customers, 20 apartment customers and 4 commercial

	Direct Testimony of James M. Russo
1	customers. The Company has a total of 240 sewer customers, which consists of 216
2	residential customers, 20 apartment customers and 4 commercial customers.
3	Q. What did you use to develop rates for water and sewer service as an
4	alternative to a CCOS?
5	A. I used a cost of service that was developed by Staff for the water and
6	sewer operations of the Company.
7	Q. What documents did you use in the development of your general Cost
8	of Service for your proposed rate design for water and sewer service?
9	A. I used Staff's accounting schedules attached to the Company/Staff Partial
10	Agreement Regarding Disposition of Small Company Water Rate Increase as well as
11	attached to the Company/Staff Partial Agreement Regarding Disposition of Small
12	Company Sewer Rate Increase, which are included in the Partial Dispsition Agreement
13	and Request for Evidentiary Hearing.
14	Q. Did you prepare rate design worksheets for the Company's water and
15	sewer operations?
16	A. Yes. The following are attached to my Direct Testimony: Schedule 2
17	Ratemaking Income Statement, Schedule 3 Revenue Annualization at Current Rates
18	Worksheet, Schedule 4 Development of Tariffed Rates Worksheet, Schedule 5 Revenue
19	Annualizations at Proposed Rates Worksheet, Schedule 6 Billing Comparison Worksheet,
20	Schedule 7 Ratemaking Income Statement, Schedule 8 Revenue Annualization at Current
21	Rates Worksheet, Schedule 9 Development of Tariffed Rates Worksheet, Schedule 10

Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates Worksheet, and Schedule 11 Billing

	Direct Testin James M. Ru	· ·
1	Comparison	Worksheet are for the Company's sewer operations, and Schedule 12
2	Summary of	Phased-in Utility Rates.
3	RATE DES	IGN WATER OPERATIONS
4	Q.	What is the current water rate design?
5	A.	The current water rate design is a monthly minimum per customer
6	("customer c	harge") and a volumetric rate per 1,000 gallons ("volumetric rate").
7	Q.	Please define customer charge.
8	A.	The customer charge is the amount charged to customers each month
9	regardless of	the amount of water used. In business terms, this is sometimes referred to as
10	a "fixed cost	", ·
11	Q.	What type of costs are included in the monthly minimum customer
12	charge.	
13	A.	The monthly minimum customer charge includes the costs that remain
14	relatively co	nstant throughout the course of the year. This would include the operating
15	expenses and	capital costs not directly associated with the production of water.
16	Q.	Please define the volumetric rate.
17	A.	The volumetric rate is the rate charged to customers based on the amount
18	of water used	d by the customer at specifically-set intervals.
19	Q.	What type of costs are included in the volumetric rate?
20	A.	The volumetric rate includes the operating and capital costs related to the
21	production o	f water.
22	Q.	Are you proposing any changes to the current water rate design
23	customer cla	assifications?

A.

2

3

A. Yes, I am proposing the current monthly minimum per customer classification be eliminated and replaced with a residential, apartment, and commercial customer class.

4

5

# Q. Are you proposing any changes to the current rate schedule tariff language?

6 7 A. I am proposing the current tariff language be changed from per 1,000 gallons to volumetric rate per 1,000 gallons. I am not proposing separate commodity rates for the new proposed customer classifications.

9

8

## Q. How did you determine the customer charge and volumetric rate?

I assigned the expenses listed in Staff's accounting schedules to either the

1011

customer charge or volumetric rate. 100% of the costs were assigned directly as a

12

customer charge or a volumetric rate or a representative portion of the costs were

13

allocated by a percentage to either the customer charge or volumetric rate based on the

14

particular characteristics of the cost.

16

15

# Q. Please explain how you assigned costs directly to the customer charge and volumetric rate.

17

18

19

A. Certain costs can be directly associated with either the customer charge or volumetric rate. Office supplies, bank fees, billing & collections and uncollectible accounts are examples of costs that can be directly allocated to the customer charge.

20

Electricity for pumping and water treatment expenses are example of costs that can be

21

directly associated to the volumetric rate.

22

23

Q. Please explain how you allocated costs between the customer charge and volumetric rate?

- 2
- 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

A. Different methodologies were used depending on the expense item. An explanation of the methodologies used for the allocations between the customer charge and volumetric rate are below.

#### Q. How was operator salary expense allocated?

A. Operators salary expense was allocated between the customer charge and volumetric charge based on a review of the contract provided in the Company's response to Staff data request #24 and OPC data request #1308.

#### How was the system repair expense allocated? Q.

- A. Systems repair maintenance was allocated between the customer charge and volumetric charge on the basis that the water system is a new plant and costs directly associated with the production of water should be minimal.
- Q. How were the administrative and general salaries, employee pension and benefits, employer FICA taxes, and state unemployment taxes expense allocated?
- A. The administrative and general salaries, employee pension and benefits, employer FICA taxes, and state unemployment taxes were allocated between the customer charge and volumetric charge based on the amount of time of each employee's job responsibilities that can be attributed to customer charge or volumetric rate functions. I reviewed the job descriptions provided by the Company in response to Staff data request # 12 and OPC data request #1314 to determine this information. This calculation is shown on Schedule 6 in the box titled "Payroll".

#### Q. How was the transportation expense allocated?

A. I reviewed the travel expense work paper provided by Staff. The transportation expense was entirely related to Mr. Cox. In addition, a further review of the travel expense work paper did not indicate any activity by Mr. Cox that did not mirror the activities listed on his timesheet. Based on this information and the fact there was not enough detail provided to distinguish between travel expenses and salary expenses, I used the same 10% I used in allocating Mr. Cox's salary to the volumetric rate.

## Q. How was the regulatory commission expense allocated?

A. This expense was allocated equally between the customer charge and volumetric rate.

- Q. How did you allocate the state and federal income taxes expense, interest expense, and return on rate between the customer charge and volumetric charge?
- A. I determined the net rate base attributed to the plant accounts in the following categories: source of supply, pumping plant, and water treatment plant. The net rate base for these accounts was multiplied by Staff's proposed weighted rate of return including income tax. The result of this calculation was allocated to the above accounts based on the same ratio these accounts have in Staff's water accounting schedules.

## Q. How was depreciation expense allocated?

A. The actual depreciation expense related to source of supply, pumping plant, and water treatment plant accounts were allocated to the volumetric rate.

## Q. How did you calculate the proposed total customer charge?

A. There were two steps to the calculation of the customer charge. In the first step, I developed a customer equivalent factor for each customer class with the residential customer class being a factor of 1. I developed a customer equivalent factor of .8 for the apartment customer classification. This is the current equivalent factor used in the Company's existing sewer rates and there is no valid reason to modify it in this case. I developed a customer equivalent factor of 1.5 for the commercial class customers. The customers in the commercial class represent 1.65% of the total water customers but these commercial customers consume 8.41% of the water used by all of the water customers.

The second step in the calculation of the customer charge was dividing the total cost of service allocated to the customer charge by the total customer equivalents determined in the first step. This number was then multiplied by the factor for each customer class to determine the customer charge for that customer classification. The results of these calculations can be found on Schedule 4.

## Q. How did you calculate the proposed volumetric rate?

A. This was calculated by dividing the total cost of service allocated to the volumetric rate by the total amount of annualized gallons of water listed on Accounting Schedule 8-2 included in Staff's work papers filed in this case. The results of this calculation can be found on Schedule 4.

# Q. What are the results of your proposed rate design for the water operations of Hillcrest?

A. The results of my proposed rate design for the water operations of Hillcrest are in the box below:

Monthly Customer Charge:

Residential Class \$36.97

Apartment Class \$29.57

Commercial Class \$55.45

Commodity Charge all Customer Classifications:

\$5.91 per thousand gallons

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

# Q. How much water does a typical Hillcrest residential water customer consume in a month?

- A. The amount of water consumed by a typical Hillcrest residential water customer can be determined by using the information provided in Staff's accounting schedule 8.2 filed in this case. It is the number of gallons sold on an annual basis divided by 12 with this number divided by the number of residential water customers. The result is 3,830 gallons of water per month.
- Q. What are the results of your proposed rate design on the typical Hillcrest residential water customer using 3,830 gallons of water per month?
- A. The results of my proposed rate design on the typical Hillcrest residential water customer using 3,800 gallons of water per month is in the box below:

Proposed Bill of Typical Residential Water Customer

Monthly Customer Charge \$36.97

Volumetric Rate \$22.62

Total Proposed Monthly Bill \$59.59

13

## RATE DESIGN SEWER OPERATIONS

## Q. What is the current sewer rate design?

A. The current sewer rate design is a flat monthly charge for residential single families and a slightly-lower flat monthly charge for apartments regardless of the amount of water consumed.

## Q. Are you proposing any changes to the current sewer rate design?

A. Yes, I am proposing a commercial class of customers be added to the existing residential and apartment classes. I am also proposing the current "Residential Single Family" classification be relabeled to "Residential" class in the Company's sewer tariff.

## Q. How did you calculate the proposed sewer customer charge?

A. I used the same methodology t I used in calculating the proposed water customer charge. The results of this calculation can be found on Schedule 10.

# Q. What are the results of your proposed rate design for the sewer operations of Hillcrest?

A. The results of my proposed rate design for the sewer operations of Hillcrest are in the box below:

Monthly Customer Charge Comp	oarison Curre	nt Rates to Proposed Rates:
	Current	Proposed
	Rates	Rates
Residential Class	\$14.63	\$73.07
Apartment Class	\$11.70	\$58.46
Commercial Class	\$14.63	\$109.61

## AFFORDABILITY OF PROPOSED RATES

Q.	Did you	review	the	transcript	of the	e March	9,	2016	Local	Public
Hearing ("Ll	PH") held	for this	case	in Cape Gi	rardea	ıu?				

- A. Yes I have.
- Q. What are the results of your review?
- A. Sixteen different customers testified at the LPH. The common themes were: improvements needed to be done to the system, a rate increase may be warranted, the size of the increase is extremely high, customers cannot afford the proposed rates, and customers will not be able to sell their homes because of the high water and sewer rates.
- Q. Let's talk about how this rate increase might affect these consumers.

  What is the median household income for the Cape Girardeau area?
- A. The Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Economic Research lists the median household income for Cape Girardeau County in 2014 as \$47,705<sup>1</sup>.
- Q. What is the current total water and sewer rates on a typical residential customer?
- A. Using the information from the Billing Comparison Worksheets (Schedule 6 for water and Schedule 11 for sewer), the typical residential customer pays monthly \$10.63 for water and \$14.63 for sewer. For a typical Hillcrest residential water and sewer customer, this is a combined monthly bill of \$25.26 and an annual total bill of \$303.12.
- Q. What is the total of your proposed water and sewer rates on the typical residential customer?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This information may be found at the website: research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/MHIMO29031A052NCEN.

	Direct Testi James M. R	•
1	A.	Using the information from the Billing Comparison Worksheets (Schedule
2	6 for water	and Schedule 11 for sewer), the typical residential customer will pay monthly
3	\$59.99 for v	vater and \$73.07 for sewer. For a typical Hillcrest residential water and sewer
4	customer, ti	his is a combined monthly bill of \$133.06 and an annual total bill of
5	\$1,596.72.	
6	Q.	What is the percent increase of your proposed water and sewer rates
7	on the typic	eal residential customer?
8	A.	A typical residential customer would see their water bill increase by
9	approximate	ely 460% and their sewer bill increase by 400%.
10	Q.	What percentage is the typical residential water and sewer customers
11	proposed b	ill of the median household income for the county of Cape Girardeau?
12	A.	The percentage would be 3.36% using an average median household
13	income of \$	47,500.
14	Q.	Have you compared the existing water and sewer rates of Hillcrest to
15	other regul	ated water and sewer utilities in Missouri?
16	A.	Yes. The existing water rates for Hillcrest are near the lowest of any
17	regulated wa	ater utility in Missouri. The existing sewer rates are in the lower half of any
18	regulated se	wer utility in Missouri.
19	Q.	Have you compared the proposed water and sewer rates of Hillcrest
20	to other reg	gulated water and sewer utilities in Missouri?
21	A.	Yes. The proposed water and sewer rates would be among the highest, if

not the highest, for a regulated water and sewer utility in Missouri and would result in

rate shock for many of Hillcrest's customers.

22

23

	Direct Testim James M. Rus	·
1	Q.	What is rate shock?
2	A.	Rate shock is when a current rate is raised dramatically. It is my opinion
3	any proposed	rate over 100% greater than the existing rate qualifies as rate shock.
4	Q.	Are there any ways to mitigate the high proposed rates and rate shock
5	to the consur	mer?
6	A.	Yes, the phasing-in of rates is an established mechanism that helps
7	mitigate any 1	rate shock attributed to high rates.
8	Q.	What are phased-in utility rates?
9	A.	Phased-in utility rates spread a Commission ordered increase in utility
10	rates over a p	eriod of time.
11	Q.	Are there benefits to phased-in utility rates?
12	A.	Yes. One of the biggest benefits to the customer in a phased-in utility rate
13	is the mitiga	tion of rate shock. A benefit to the Company is they are allowed the
14	opportunity to	o recover their full cost of service at the end of the rate phase-in period and
15	the Company	is allowed to recover carrying costs on the portion of the rate increase that
16	is delayed fro	m taking effect on day one of any approved rate increase.
17	Q.	Is OPC recommending the utility rates be phased-in?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Please explain OPC's alternative proposal for the phase-in of utility
20	rates?	

as the expenses to be set-aside and used in any phase-in of utility rates.

OPC's proposes to take the costs associated with investment in new plant

This would

21

22

A.

	Direct Testimony of James M. Russo
1	include the accounts of depreciation expense, interest expense, return on rate base, and
2	state and federal income taxes.
3	Q. Why did OPC choose these accounts?
4	A. First, these accounts are the primary driver for the increase in the
5	Company's water and sewer utility rates. Second, these are the accounts that the costs
6	related to the investment in new plant appear in the Company's cost of service. Third,
7	the costs related to these accounts that would be recovered in rates are not necessary for
8	the day-to-day operations of the utility.
9	Q. What is OPC's recommendation for phased-in water and sewer utility
10	rates?
11	A. OPC is recommending rates be phased-in over a two year period. Initial
12	rates would go up by approximately 50% of the overall recommended increase. 50% of
13	the remaining balance, plus carrying costs on the total outstanding balance, would be
14	implemented after year one. The remaining balance, plus carrying costs on the balance
15	remaining after the first phase-in of rates, would be implemented after year two.
16	Q. How does OPC propose to determine carrying costs?
17	A. OPC proposes carrying costs are the expenses not included in the initial
18	setting of water and sewer utility rates multiplied by the total weighted rate of return
19	including income taxes approved in this case. OPC has used Staff's recommend 10.68%
20	in its calculations.

- Q. How does OPC propose to treat the carrying costs related to these accounts?
  - A. OPC proposes the carrying costs be amortized over five years.

	Direct Testin James M. Ru	
1	Q.	What are the proposed rates of OPC's option to phase-in Hillcrests
2	water and u	tility rates?
3	A.	The proposed rates of OPC's option to phase-in Hillcrests water and sewer
4	utility rates n	nay be found in Schedule 12 attached to this testimony.
5	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
6	A.	Yes it does.

## RATE CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION

### JAMES M. RUSSO

COMPANY	CASE NO.
Union Electric Company	GR-97-393
Gascony Water Company	WA-97-510
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	EC-98-573
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	HR-99-245
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	GR-99-246
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	ER-99-247
UtiliCorp United Inc./St. Joseph Light and Power Company	EM-2000-292
UtiliCorp United Inc./Empire District Electric Company	EM-2000-369
Osage Water Company	WR-2000-557
Osage Water Company	SR-2000-556
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2001-292
Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P.	GR-2001-0388
Environmental Utilities	WA-2002-65
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356
Laclede Gas Company	GA-2002-429
Missouri Gas Energy	GT-2003-0033
Aquila Networks L & P	GT-2003-0038
Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P.	GT-2003-0031
Atmos Energy Corporation	GT-2003-0037
Fidelity Natural Gas, Inc.	GT-2003-0036
Laclede Gas Company	GT-2003-0032
Union Electric Company	GT-2003-0034
Union Electric Company	GR-2003-0517
Missouri Gas Energy	GT-2004-0049
Aquila Inc.	GR-2004-0072
Missouri Gas Energy	GC-2004-0216
Missouri Gas Energy	GC-2004-0305
Algonquin Water Resources of Missouri, LLC	WR-2006-0425

Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2007-0216
Missouri-American Water Company	SR-2007-0217
Timber Creek Sewer Company	SR-2008-0080
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2008-0311
Missouri-American Water Company	SR-2008-0312
Lake Region Water & Sewer Company	SR-2010-0110
Lake Region Water & Sewer Company	WR-2010-0111
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2010-0311
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2011-0337
Emerald Pointe Utility Company	SR-2013-0016
Lake Region Water & Sewer Company	WR-2013-0461
Central Rivers Wastewater Utility, Inc.	SR-2014-0247

## **Water Operations**

## **Rate Making Income Statement**

	Operating Revenues at C				
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	32,378	\$ 10,396	\$ 21,981
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	15		\$ 15
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	32,393	\$ 10,396	\$ 21,996
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details				

	Cost of Service							
	Item		Amount		Bas	e Charge	Com	modity
1	Operators Salary-Maintenance	\$	18,479	0.75	\$	13,859	\$	4,620
2		\$	-				\$	-
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$	6,129				\$	6,129
4		\$	-				\$	-
5	Utility Water Usage	\$	-				\$	-
6	Water Treatment Expense-Chemicals	\$	1,797				\$	1,797
7	Bank Fees	\$	2,331		\$	2,331	\$	-
8	Outside Services Employed	\$	1,017				\$	1,017
9	System Repairs Maintenance	\$	1,037	0.80	\$	830	\$	207
10	Billing & Collections	\$	6,253		\$	6,253	\$	-
11	Office Supplies	\$	495		\$	495	\$	-
12	Postage	\$	168		\$	168	\$	-
13	Administration & General - Salaries	\$ \$	24,153		\$	22,711	\$	1,442
14	Office Utilities	\$	-				\$	-
15	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$ \$	361		\$	361	\$	-
16	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598	0.90	\$	1,438	\$	160
17	Fuel Expense-Vehicles	\$	-				\$	-
18	Medical Expense							
		\$	11,827	1.00	\$	11,827	\$	-
20	Rent Expense-Building	\$	6,121		\$	6,121	\$	-
21	Rate Case Expense	\$	-				\$	-
22	MO DNR Fees	\$	200		\$	200	\$	-
23	Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$ \$	6,971		\$	6,555	\$	416
24	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	735	0.50	\$	368	\$	368
25			467		\$	467	\$	-
26	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ <b>\$</b>	90,139		\$	73,984	\$	16,155
	Property Taxes	\$ \$ \$ \$	16		\$	16	\$	-
	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-				\$	-
	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	2,104		\$	1,997	\$	107
	Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$	-				\$	-
	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	600		\$	564	\$	36
	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$ <b>\$</b>	4,315		\$	1,411		\$2,904
	Sub-Total Taxes	\$	7,035		\$	3,988	\$	3,047
	Depreciation Expense	\$ \$	26,675		\$	11,105		\$15,570
	Interest Expense	\$	35,844		\$	11,723		\$24,121
	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	-				\$	
	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	62,519		\$	22,828	\$	39,691
38	Return on Rate Base	\$	17,330		\$	5,668		\$11,662
39	Total Cost of Service	\$	177,023		\$	106,468	\$	70,555
40	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	144,630		\$	96,071	\$	48,559

## Water Operations Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates

## Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

#### **Retail Metered Customers**

Customer Class	Residential	Total Meters	Rate *	Annual evenue
Residential	242	242	\$ 3.58	\$ 10,396
Apartment	0	0	\$ -	\$ -
Commercial	0	0	\$ -	\$ -
Total *monthly service cl	242	242		\$ 10,396

## Annualized Commodity Sales - Volumes and Revenues

sales shown in Mga	llons			1	Annual
Customer Class	Gallons	Total Sales	Rate	R	evenue
Residential	10,941.1	10,941.1	\$ 1.840	\$	20,132
Apartment	-	-	\$ 1.840	\$	-
Commercial	1,005.3	1,005.3	\$ 1.840	\$	1,850
Total	11,946.4	11,946.4		\$	21,981
Not Appublize	¢	21 001			

#### Net Annualized Commodity Revenues \$ 21,981

Other Operating Revenues					
	_				
Bulk Water Sales	\$	-			
Sales to Other Public Authorities	\$	-			
Late Charge Fees	\$	-			
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Charges	\$	-			
Miscellaneous Revenues - Primacy Fee	\$	15			
Rents from Water Property - Tower Rental	\$	-			
Discounts Earned	\$	-			
Total Other Revenues	\$	15			

Total Operating Revenues						
Service Charges - Retail Customers	\$	10,396				
Commodity Revenues - Retail Customers	\$	21,981				
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues	\$	32,378				
Other Operating Revenues	\$	-				
Total Operating Revenues	\$	32.378				

## Water Operations Development of Tariffed Rates

The current rate structure consists of a monthly service and a commodity charge for water service, and a monthly service charge for sewer service. OPC is proposing a new customer class be created for commercial customers and has reallocated costs between the customer charge and commodity charge.

Revenues Generated by Current Tariffed Rates	\$	32,378
Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase	\$	144,630
Percentage Increase Needed	4	46.696%

		Met	ered	Custome	er Rate	es			
	Cı	urrent	Pr	oposed	Cı	urrent	Pre	Proposed	
Customer	Se	ervice	S	Service	U	sage	ι	Jsage	
Class	C	harge	C	harge	I	Rate		Rate	
Residential	\$	3.58	\$	36.97	\$	1.840	\$	5.91	
Apartment	\$	3.58	\$	29.57	\$	-	\$	5.91	
Commercial	\$	3.58	\$	55.45	\$	-	\$	5.91	
Customer charg	je:								
customer equiva	alents								
·	Numl	ber	factor		equivalent custo		mers		
residential	218		1		218		\$	36.97	
commercial	4		1.5		6		\$	55.45	
apartment	20		8.0		16		\$	29.57	
	242				240				
			\$	106,468	\$	36.97			
Commodity:									
·	(	COS	C	allons	Cost	per 1,000			
	\$	70,555		11,946.4	\$	5.91			

### Water Operations

## **Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates**

## **Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues**

#### **Retail Metered Customers**

Customer Class	Meters	Total Meters	Rate *	Annual Revenue
Residential	218	218	\$ 36.97	\$ 96,708
Apartment	20	20	\$ 29.57	\$ 7,098
Commercial	4	4	\$ 55.45	\$ 2,662
Total monthly service charge	242	242		\$ 106,468

## **Annualized Commodity Sales - Volumes and Revenues**

sales shown in Mgallo	ons			-	Annual
Class	Gallons	Total Sales	Rate	R	evenue
Residential	10,256.8	10,256.8	\$ 5.91	\$	60,577
Apartment	684.3	684.3	\$ 5.91	\$	4,042
Commercial	1,005.3	1,005.3	\$ 5.91	\$	5,937
Total	11,946.4	11,946.4		\$	70,555

volumes adjusted as needed per net revenue annualization at current rates

Other Operating Revenues				
Bulk Water Sales	\$	_		
Sales to Other Public Authorities	•			
Late Charge Fees				
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Charges				
Miscellaneous Revenues - Primacy Fee	\$	15		
Rents from Water Property - Tower Rental				
Discounts Earned				
Total Other Revenues	\$	15		

Total Operating Revenues				
	_			
Service Charges - Retail Customers	\$	106,468		
Commodity Revenues - Retail Customers	\$	70,555		
Sub-Total Tariffed Rate Revenues	177,023			
Other Operating Revenues	\$	15		
Total Revenues at Proposed Rates	\$	177,038		
Davis and Ohards Davis and Datas and Out				

Revenue Check - Floposed Rates vs. C	Juli elil ivales	
Total Revenues at Proposed Rates	\$	177,038
Total Revenues at Current Rates	\$	32,378
Increase In Revenues at Proposed Rates	\$	144,660
Agreed-Upon Increase in Operating Revenues	\$	144,630

# Water Operations Residential Customer Bill Comparison

Rates for 5/8" Meter					
Current Base	Proposed Base	Current	Proposed		
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	Usage Rate	Usage Rate		
\$3.58	\$36.97	<del>\$1.84</del>	<u>\$5.91</u>		

current service charge is monthly charge usage rate is per 1,000 gallons used

## MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

3,830 gallons/month usage

e,eee gamene,menur	ou.go	
<b>Current Rates</b>		
Customer Charge	\$	3.58
Usage Charge	\$	7.05
Total Bill	\$	10.63
Proposed Rates		
Customer Charge	\$	36.97
Usage Charge	\$ \$	22.62
Total Bill	\$	59.59
INCREASES		
Customer Charge		
\$ Increase	-	33.39
% Increase	93	32.62%
Usage Charge	_	
\$ Increase		15.57
% Increase	22	20.98%
Total Bill	^	40.00
Total Bill \$ Increase % Increase	-	48.96 60.71%

## **Sewer Operations**

## **Rate Making Income Statement**

	Operating Revenues at Current Rates						
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$	41,431				
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-				
3	<b>Total Operating Revenues</b>	\$	41,431				
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details						

	Cost of Service					
	Item		Amount			
1	Operators Salary	\$	-			
2	Operator-Backup	\$	-			
3	Electricity-Pumping	\$	4,971			
4	Electricity-Shop	\$	· -			
5	Utility Water Usage	\$ \$ \$ \$	-			
6	Sewer Treatment -Chemicals	\$	4,179			
7	Sewer Treatment -Testing/Laboratory Fees	\$	23,088			
8	Sludge Removal	\$	· <u>-</u>			
9	Maintenance Expense-Parts/Equipment	\$	10,484			
10	Maintenance Expense-Outside Labor	\$	1,203			
11	Bank Fees	\$	2,331			
12	Administration & General - Salaries	\$	24,153			
13	Telephone & Internet Expense	\$ \$	361			
	Transportation Expense	\$	1,598			
	Property & Liability Insurance	\$	11,827			
	Rent Expense		6,121			
	Outside Services	\$ \$	1,017			
18	Office Supplies	\$	495			
	Postage Expense	\$	58			
	Bookkeeping	\$	6,229			
	Employee Pensions & Benefits	\$	6,971			
	Regulatory Commission Expense	\$	3,745			
	Uncollectable Accounts	\$	467			
23	Miscellaneous General Expenses	\$	-			
	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	109,298			
	Property Taxes	<u> </u>	16			
	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-			
27	Employer FICA Taxes	\$	2,104			
	Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$	· -			
29		\$	600			
30	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	4,895			
31	Sub-Total Taxes	\$	7,615			
32	Depreciation Expense	<u> </u>	31,467			
	Interest Expense	\$	40,657			
	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	· -			
	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$ \$ <b>\$</b>	72,124			
	Return on Rate Base	\$	19,657			
37	Total Cost of Service	\$	208,694			
38	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	167,263			

## Sewer Operations Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates

## **Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues**

#### **Retail Customers**

Customer Class	Residential	Business	Total Meters	F	Rate *	-	Annual evenue
Residential	216	4	220	\$	14.63	\$	38,623
Apartments	20	0	20	\$	11.70	\$	2,808
Total	236	4	240			\$	41.431

\*monthly service charge

Other Operating Revenues					
Sales to Other Public Authorities	\$	-			
Late Charge Fees	\$	-			
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Charges	\$	-			
Miscellaneous Revenues - Service Lines	\$	-			
Rents from Water Property - Tower Rental	\$	-			
Discounts Earned	\$	-			
Total Other Revenues	\$	-			

## **Total Operating Revenues**

 41,431
\$ -
\$ 41,431
\$ <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>

# Sewer Operations Development of Tariffed Rates

OPC is recommending a new commercial customer class and the rates be recalculated due to this new customer class.

Revenues Generated by Current Tariffed Rates	\$ 41,431	
Agreed-Upon Overall Revenue Increase	\$ 167,263	
Percentage Increase Needed	403.712%	

Retail Customer Rates								
Customer	_	urrent ervice		roposed Service				
Class	С	harge	(	Charge				
Residential	\$	14.63	\$	73.07				
Apartments	\$	11.70	\$	58.46				
Commercial	\$	14.63	\$	109.61				
Residential	216		1			216	¢	73.07
						16	\$	73.07 58.46
Apartments	20		0.8				\$	
Commercial	4		1.5			6	\$	109.61
						238		
			\$	208,694	\$	73.07		

## Sewer Operations Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates

## **Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues**

#### **Retail Customers**

Customer Class	Residential	Business	Total Meters	Rate *	Annual Revenue
Residential	216		216	\$ 73.07	\$ 189,403
Apartments	20	0	20	\$ 58.46	\$ 14,030
Commercial	4		4	\$ 109.61	\$ 5,261
Total	<b>236</b>	0	236		\$ 208,694

### Other Operating Revenues

Sales to Other Public Authorities Late Charge Fees Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Charges Miscellaneous Revenues - Service Lines Rents from Water Property - Tower Rental Discounts Earned

**Total Other Revenues** 

Total Operating Revenues					
Sarviga Chargas Batail Customers	\$	200 604			
Service Charges - Retail Customers Other Operating Revenues	<b>¢</b>	208,694			
	<u>Ψ</u>	200.004			
Total Revenues at Proposed Rates	<u>\$</u>	208,694			
Revenue Check - Proposed Rates vs. Current Rates					
Total Revenues at Proposed Rates	\$	208,694			
Total Revenues at Current Rates	\$	41,431			
Increase In Revenues at Proposed Rates	\$	167,263			
Agreed-Upon Increase in Operating Revenues	\$	167,263			

## Sewer Operations Residential Customer Bill Comparison

## **Rates for Residential Customer**

Current Base Proposed Base
Customer Charge
\$14.63 Customer Charge
\$73.07

current service charge is monthly charge

## MONTHLY BILL COMPARISON

Current Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 14.63
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 14.63
Proposed Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 73.07
Usage Charge	\$ -
Total Bill	\$ 73.07
INCREASES	
Customer Charge	
Customer Charge \$ Increase	\$58.44
	\$58.44 399.47%
\$ Increase	
\$ Increase % Increase	
\$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge	399.47%
\$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge \$ Increase	399.47% \$0.00
\$ Increase % Increase Usage Charge \$ Increase % Increase	399.47% \$0.00

# HILLCREST UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC. OPC SUMMARY OF PHASED-IN WATER & SEWER RATES

## **Water Operations**

No Phase-in						
	Curren Service	Proposed Service Charge	Percent Increase	Current	Proposed	Percent
Residential	<b>Charge</b> \$3.58	•		Usage Rate \$1.84	Usage Rate \$5.91	Increase
	T	\$36.97	932.68%	¥	¥ - · · ·	221.20%
Apartment	\$3.58	\$29.57	725.98%	\$1.84	\$5.91	221.20%
Commercial	\$3.58	\$55.45	1448.88%	\$1.84	\$5.91	221.20%
Phase-in						
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent	Current	Proposed	Percent
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase	Usage Rate	Usage Rate	Increase
Residential	\$3.58	\$28.84	705.59%	\$1.84	\$1.84	0.00%
Apartment	\$3.58	\$23.07	544.41%	\$1.84	\$1.84	0.00%
Commercial	\$3.58	\$43.25	1108.10%	\$1.84	\$1.84	0.00%
Phase-in afte	r Year 1					
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent	Current	Proposed	Percent
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase	Usage Rate	Usage Rate	Increase
Residential	\$28.84	\$33.30	15.46%	\$1.84	\$3.91	112.50%
Apartment	\$23.07	\$26.64	15.47%	\$1.84	\$3.91	112.50%
Commercial	\$43.25	\$49.94	15.47%	\$1.84	\$3.91	112.50%
Phase-in afte	r Year 2					
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent	Current	Proposed	Percent
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase	Usage Rate	Usage Rate	Increase
Residential	\$33.30	37.21	11.74%	\$3.91	\$6.04	54.48%
Apartment	\$26.64	29.77	11.75%	\$3.91	\$6.04	54.48%
Commercial	\$49.94	55.82	11.77%	\$3.91	\$6.04	54.48%

## **Sewer Operations**

No Phase-in						
NO FIIASE-III						
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent			
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase			
Residential	\$14.63	\$73.07	399.45%			
Apartment	\$11.70	\$58.46	399.66%			
Commercial	\$14.63	\$109.61	649.21%			
Commercial	ψ14.03	φ109.01	049.2176			
Phase-in						
i nase m						
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent			
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase			
Residential	\$14.63	\$49.09	235.54%			
Apartment	\$11.70	\$39.27	235.64%			
Commercial	\$14.63	\$73.63	403.28%			
Phase-in after	Year 1					
		_	_			
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent			
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase			
Residential	\$49.09	\$61.63	25.54%			
Apartment	\$39.27	\$49.31	25.57%			
Commercial	\$73.63	\$92.45	25.56%			
Phase-in after	Phase-in after Year 2					
	Curren Service	Proposed	Percent			
	Charge	Service Charge	Increase			
Residential	\$61.63	73.92	19.94%			
	•	73.92 59.13	19.94%			
Apartment	\$49.31 \$02.45	59.13 110.87	19.91%			
Commercial	\$92.45	110.87	19.92%			