Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Renewable Energy Witness: Amanda Coffer

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Case Nos.: ER-2022-0129 and

ER-2022-0130

Date Testimony Prepared: July 13, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

AMANDA COFFER

Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129

Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130

> Jefferson City, Missouri July 2022

| 1 | TABLE OF CONTENTS OF | |
|--------|---|---|
| 2 | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF | |
| 3 | AMANDA COFFER | |
| 4 5 | Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129 | |
| 6 7 | Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130 | |
| 8 | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| 9 | PROGRAM DETAILS | 2 |
| 10 | RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARD | 4 |
| 11 | PRICING | 6 |
| 12 | PROGRAM INTEREST | 9 |
| 13 | RECOMMENDATION | 9 |

| 1 | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY | | |
|--------|---|--|--|
| 2 | OF | | |
| 3 | AMANDA COFFER | | |
| 4 5 | Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129 | | |
| 6 7 | Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130 | | |
| 8 | Q. Please state your name and business address. | | |
| 9 | A. My name is Amanda Coffer, and my business address is Missouri Public Service | | |
| 10 | Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102. | | |
| 11 | Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? | | |
| 12 | A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as | | |
| 13 | an Associate Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Department of the Industry Analysis | | |
| 14 | Division. | | |
| 15 | Q. Please describe your educational background and relevant work experience. | | |
| 16 | A. I received my Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from the | | |
| 17 | University of Missouri in 2012. I was employed by the Missouri Department of Natural | | |
| 18 | Resources as an Environmental Engineer from 2015 through 2018. I have been employed by | | |
| 19 | the Commission since 2018 as an Associate Engineer. My credentials and case participation are | | |
| 20 | included in Schedule AC-r1. | | |
| 21 | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | | |
| 22 | Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony? | | |
| 23 | A. I will be responding to the Direct Testimony of Evergy witness, | | |
| 24 | Kimberly H. Winslow, regarding Evergy's Green Pricing Program. | | |

| 1 | Q. V | What is Staff's recommendation in regard to the Green Pricing Program? | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | A. S | Staff recommends rejection of the proposed Green Pricing Program as Evergy | |
| 3 | has not demonstrated a need for this type of program. Additionally, Staff questions th | | |
| 4 | appropriateness | of Evergy's proposed REC pricing given Evergy has not valued the RECs it | |
| 5 | intends to sell through the program. It continues to be Staff's position that Evergy should se | | |
| 6 | its excess RECs in lieu of letting them expire, however, this program is a poor attempt a | | |
| 7 | addressing Staff's on-going prudence concern. | | |
| 8 | Q. V | What is Staff's on-going prudence concern? | |
| 9 | A. I | n Case Numbers EO-2022-0065 and EO-2022-0064, Commission Staff has | |
| 10 | recommended a | disallowance due to Evergy having allowed excess RECs to expire rather than | |
| 11 | selling them. | | |
| 12 | Q. I | f Staff wants Evergy to sell its excess RECs, why is Staff recommending this | |
| 13 | program be rejected? | | |
| 14 | А. Т | This program creates more problems than it solves. It is true that Evergy | |
| 15 | would be selling | g RECs with this program, however Evergy has not valued its RECs, has not | |
| 16 | specified which RECs it intends to use for the program, and its only plan to keep up with the | | |
| 17 | program if demand for the program exceeds its REC supply is to purchase additional RECs a | | |
| 18 | an unknown pri | ce. | |
| 19 | PROGRAM D | FTAILS | |
| | | | |
| 20 | Q. V | What is a Green Pricing Program? | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. A Green Pricing Program, as defined in 20 CSR 4240-20.100, is a voluntary program that provides an electric utility's retail customers an opportunity to purchase renewable energy or renewable energy credits (RECs).
 - Q. What is a REC?
- A. A REC is a tradable certificate that represents that 1 MWh of electricity has been generated from renewable energy resources.¹
 - Q. What is Evergy proposing in regards to its Green Pricing Program?
- A. Evergy is proposing to sell existing RECs, unbundled from energy, to residential and business customers. The proposed program would allow customers to purchase fractional RECs (i.e. RECs represent 1 MWh whereas participants would be buying RECs per kWh) equivalent to some or all of their energy consumption. The proposed program is voluntary, has no program fee, and no cancellation fee. The customer bill will include a Renewable Energy Charge that will consist of \$0.0046 per kWh with a program administrative fee of \$0.0001 per kWh. Evergy proposes to review and update the Renewable Energy Charge on an annual basis according to current and expected market prices for the following year.
 - Q. Will customers actually be purchasing and using renewable energy?
- A. No. Customers will be purchasing RECs unbundled from energy that was previously generated and used to serve its customers. Once sold to the customer, Evergy will

¹ As defined in Commission rule 20 CSR 4240- 20.100(1)(M):

REC, Renewable Energy Credit, or Renewable Energy Certificate means a tradable certificate, that is either certified by an entity approved as an acceptable authority by the commission or as validated through the commission's approved REC tracking system or a generator's attestation. RECs validated through an attestation must be signed by an authorized individual of the company that owns the renewable energy resource. Such attestation shall contain the name and address of the generator, the type of renewable energy resource technology, and the time and date of the generation. A REC represents that one (1) megawatt-hour of electricity has been generated from renewable energy resources. RECs include, but are not limited to, solar renewable energy credits. A REC expires three (3) years from the date the electricity associated with that REC was generated;

1 retire the RECs on behalf of the customer. The Commission approved REC tracking system, 2 the North American Renewables Registry ("NAR") defines retirement as, "An action taken to 3 remove a Certificate from circulation within the NAR system. Retirement may be initiated only 4 by the Account Holder for Certificates in his/her own Accounts. Retirement is effectuated by 5 transferring Certificates into a Retirement Sub-account or a Retirement Group Sub- account."² 6 The purpose of retiring RECs is to ensure that they cannot be double-counted for other purposes. 7 Q. Will renewable energy be generated specifically for this program? 8 A. No. The RECs that Evergy is proposing to sell are primarily RECs from its 9 existing renewable resources or acquired through current PPAs. 10 Q. Are there any comparable programs in effect? 11 A. Evergy offers a similar program in Kansas at a price of \$0.25 per 100 kWh block, 12 which Evergy decreased from \$1.00 per 100 kWh block to encourage participation.³ 13 Evergy also provided a list of other similar programs in response to OPC Data 14 Request 2015. 15 Q. Where will the revenues from this program go? 16 A. The Company plans to isolate the Green Pricing REC program revenues related 17 to the program and flow those back through the FAC.⁴

What is the Renewable Energy Standard ("RES")?

RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARD

Q.

18

² NAR Operating Procedures, page viii, <u>NAR-Operating-Procedures-November-2018.pdf (apx.com).</u>

³ Response to Staff Data Request No. 0400, ER-2022-0129.

⁴ Response to Staff Data Request No. 0257.1, ER-2022-0129.

| 1 | A. | The Renewable Energy Standard is a set of regulations requiring electric utilities | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | to obtain a portion of its energy portfolio from renewable resources. | | | |
| 3 | Q. | What are the requirements of the Renewable Energy Standard? | | |
| 4 | A. | Electric utilities are required to generate or purchase no less than 15% of its | | |
| 5 | energy from renewable resources, 0.03% of which must be from solar resources. A full list of | | | |
| 6 | the RES requirements are listed in 20 CSR 4240-20.100. | | | |
| 7 | Q. | Are you concerned with Evergy's ability to meet RES requirements along with | | |
| 8 | the demands of the Green Pricing Program? | | | |
| 9 | A. | Not currently. Evergy Metro has ** banked RECs and | | |
| 10 | anticipates it | will need ** ** to meet the RES requirements for 2022. Evergy West | | |
| 11 | has ** | ** and anticipates it will need ** ** for 2022. | | |
| 12 | Q. | How does Evergy plan to supply RECs for the program if interest exceeds | | |
| 13 | Evergy's sup | oply of RECs? | | |
| 14 | A. | The tariff states, "the Company agrees to generate or purchase energy from | | |
| 15 | renewable so | ources and/or purchase RECs in an amount at least equal to the level of service | | |
| 16 | purchased by | Participants in the Program." ⁵ | | |
| 17 | Q. | Is it reasonable for Evergy to plan to purchase additional RECs for this program? | | |
| 18 | A. | No. If approved, Staff recommends Evergy set a cap for the number of RECs | | |
| 19 | available und | der the program as a method for managing Evergy's supply of RECs for both the | | |
| 20 | program demand and RES compliance. Staff has additional concerns with Evergy's plan to | | | |
| 21 | purchase RECs for this program, which I will discuss below. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | ⁵ Case ER-2022 | 2-0129, Proposed Green Pricing REC Program Rider, Sheet No. 56. | | |

PRICING

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. How did Evergy decide on its pricing for this program?
- A. Evergy based its REC pricing for the program on the price forecast for 2023

 4 from AMEREX Brokers.⁶
 - Q. Does Staff have any concerns with the REC price that Evergy is proposing; if so please explain?
 - A. Yes. Evergy should first consistently determine the value of its RECs before setting a price. Evergy has produced conflicting statements on the value of RECs. For instance, Evergy stated that it has not valued the renewable attributes associated with its wind PPAs.⁷ It has also consistently been Evergy's position in its RES filings that its RECs from its Company owned wind resources have no value.⁸ However, Evergy recently sold 2021 vintage RECs in early 2022 for \$3.30 per REC on average,⁹ recently stated current estimated prices of 2019 Vintage RECs as \$0.95 per REC,¹⁰ and now wants to sell RECs to customers for \$4.60 based solely on the AMEREX brokers forecasted pricing.
 - Q. What factors should Evergy consider when determining a value for RECs?
 - A. Evergy discussed the value of RECs in cases EO-2022-0065 and EO-2022-0064 in the direct testimony of Evergy witness, Kayla Messamore. In her testimony, she states several factors that determine the value of a REC on page 6 line 13 page 7 line 21. These factors are listed below with a brief explanation of each.

⁶ Amerex Brokers, LLC is an energy brokerage offering services in electricity, natural gas, emission credits and allowances, renewable energy credits, retail energy procurement, energy consulting and energy data services.

⁷ Response to Staff Data Request No. 0439, ER-2022-0129.

⁸ EO-2022-0285, EO-2022-0286, EO-2021-0345, EO-2021-0346, EO-2020-0329, EO-2020-0330 Compliance Reports, Section 2.4.

⁹ Case No. EO-2022-0065, Surrebuttal of Kayla Messamore, page 10, lines 14–16.

¹⁰ Case No. EO-2022-0065, Direct testimony of Kayla Messamore, page 11, lines 18-19.

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
- Vintage the older a REC, the less value it has.
- Certification RECs can be eligible for Green-e certification through the Center for Resource Solutions ("CRS"). For a REC to be certified, the facility it was generated by must have been built in the last 15 years, have an approved tracking attestation on file with CRS, and only RECs generated in the calendar year in which they are sold, plus the prior six months and the following three months can be sold as green-e certified product.
- Market liquidity, supply, and demand Ms. Messamore states, "Finally, while not a determinant of the value of a particular REC or resource, the value of all RECs is influenced by overall market liquidity, supply, and demand. The REC market is made up of bilateral transactions facilitated by brokers and is relatively illiquid. This dynamic can create fluctuations in the value realized through REC sales."¹²
- Q. Did Evergy value RECs for its Green Pricing Program as described by Ms. Messamore?
- A. No. Evergy's proposed \$4.60 per REC is based solely on a REC price forecast, AMEREX Brokers 2023, Green E-Voluntary, National GE Wind table.¹³
 - Q. Are the RECs Evergy intends to sell Green-e certified?
- A. That is not clear. The tariff defines renewable energy as "electricity that is generated using renewable energy sources as defined in the Missouri Renewable Energy Standard 20 CSR 4240-20.100." While Evergy notes that the proposed pricing is from

¹¹ Per CRS, Green-e® Energy is a consumer protection program designed to provide purchasers of renewable energy good product information, assurance of product quality and verification of product ownership.

¹² Case EO-2022-0065, direct testimony of Kayla Messamore, page 7, lines 17-21.

¹³ Response to Staff Data Request No. 0305, ER-2022-0129.

¹⁴ Case ER-2022-029, Proposed Green Pricing REC Program Rider, Sheet No. 56A.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 AMEREX Brokers 2023, Green E-Voluntary, National GE Wind table, nothing in its proposed 2 tariff indicates that RECs under the program will be Green-E certified.
 - Q. Are the RECs Evergy intends to sell eligible for Green-e certification?
 - A. Evergy has not identified which existing renewable resources will support this program. However, none of Evergy's owned wind resources are certified and only three of its wind PPAs are certified: Pratt, Prairie Queen, and Cimarron Bend 3.¹⁵
 - Q. If the RECs sold under the program are not certified by Green-e is the proposed pricing appropriate?
 - A. No. In order for the RECs that Evergy would be selling via the proposed Green Pricing Program to be Green-E certified, Evergy would only be able to sell RECs that were generated within the last 21 months. As previously noted, these RECs would be worth more and the older vintage RECs would be worth less. In her testimony in this case, Ms. Winslow stated, "It is the Company's intent to use company-owned RECs that are in excess to meet the Company needs for compliance first before purchasing on the market." Evergy has excess RECs from 2019, 2020, and 2021. If Evergy were to sell its 2019 and 2020 RECs to its customers at this price, it would be selling its oldest and least valuable RECs to its customers at premium prices.

Further, Evergy plans to purchase RECs on the market if need be to meet RES compliance standards and provide for this program. If Evergy had to purchase RECs at \$7 per REC, it would be taking a loss. If Evergy was able to purchase RECs at \$2 per REC, the

https://www.green-e.org/sfdc/reports-data.php.
 Case ER-2022-0129, Direct testimony of Kimberly Winslow, Page 50, lines 17-18.

- participating customer would be overpaying. It is also unclear if this path for selling RECs is the most beneficial overall for Evergy and its customers, as Evergy has not valued its RECs.
 - Q. Previously you recommended capping the number of RECs available under the program, would this alleviate Staff's pricing concern?
 - A. No. However, it would ensure Evergy does not purchase additional RECs for this program.

PROGRAM INTEREST

- Q. Do you think there is enough interest in the program?
- A. Evergy has not provided any evidence that there is. In response to Staff Data Request No. 0302, Evergy stated that it conducted a survey in late 2021 on the Solar Subscription Pilot program, which it claims contains a similar REC component to the proposed Green Pricing program and provided a PowerPoint of the results of the survey. While the survey is geared toward gauging interest in a similar type of program, i.e. offsetting energy usage with renewable energy, it is unclear if the survey actually explained to participants what that means. Additionally, the Solar Subscription program contemplates building resources specifically for the program, whereas the Green Pricing Program will be utilizing resources that are already in existence. Once fully explained to customers, some may find it difficult to discern the actual benefits of such a program since no new renewable resources are being built specifically for the program.

RECOMMENDATION

Q. What is Staff's position on the proposed Green Pricing Program?

- Staff recommends rejection of the proposed Green Pricing Program as Evergy 1 A. 2 has not demonstrated a need or that the RECs are valued appropriately. 3 Q. What recommendations would you make if this program were to be approved? Evergy should first value its RECs before setting a price. Evergy should do this 4 A. 5 by deciding which specific RECs it intends to sell, by facility and vintage. It should then set 6 the price based on the weighted average of the current value of those RECs, taking into 7 consideration facility and vintage. As REC prices are currently volatile, ¹⁷ Evergy should 8 reevaluate and update prices quarterly. 9 Additionally, Evergy should have a plan to ensure that RECs are not going to expire, it 10 will continue to meet its RES requirements, and that it will not have to purchase RECs in order 11 to comply with RES and maintain this program. As a requirement of its RES filings, Evergy 12 plans ahead three years to ensure compliance. Evergy has the ability to determine how many 13 RECs it can feasibly sell in in order to meet RES compliance and maintain this program. Evergy 14 should do this and set a cap on the amount of RECs that it will sell. The cap can be adjusted 15 on an annual basis. 16 Evergy should also conduct a survey to find out how much interest there is for this 17 specific type of program. This would help them to determine an appropriate cap for the program 18 and give them some insight as to whether they would still need to sell additional RECs to avoid 19 expiration.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

_

20

¹⁷ Direct Testimony of Kimberly Winslow, page 50, line 1.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service |) Case No. ER-2022-0129 | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service |) Case No. ER-2022-0130) | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OF AM | AANDA COFFER | | |
| STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE) | * | | |
| COMES NOW AMANDA COFFER and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and awful age; that she contributed to the foregoing <i>Rebuttal Testimony of Amanda Coffer</i> ; and that the ame is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief. Further the Affiant sayeth not. AMANDA COFFER | | | |
| JURA | AT | | |
| Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly const | tituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for | | |
| he County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office | e in Jefferson City, on this day of | | |
| July, 2022. | | | |
| D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070 | Suzullankin Notary Public | | |

Amanda Coffer

Present Position:

I am an Associate Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Department, of the Industry Analysis Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Educational Background and Work Experience:

I received my Bachelor of Science in Chemical Engineering from the University of Missouri in 2012. I was employed by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources as an Environmental Engineer from 2015 through 2018. I have been employed by the Commission since 2018.

Case History:

| Case Number | Utility | Type | Issue |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| EC-2020-0252 | Evergy West | Electric | Formal Complaint |
| EO-2019-0315 | KCPL | Electric | RES Compliance Report |
| EO-2019-0317 | KCPL | Electric | RES Compliance Plan |
| EO-2019-0396 | City of Gallatin | Electric | Addendum to Territorial Agreement |
| EO-2020-0060 | Farmers' Electric | Electric | Territorial Agreement |
| EO-2020-0329 | Evergy Metro | Electric | RES Compliance |
| EO-2020-0331 | Evergy Metro | Electric | RES Compliance |
| EO-2020-0341 | Evergy Metro | Electric | Vegetation Management Report |
| EO-2020-0342 | Evergy West | Electric | Vegetation Management Report |
| EO-2021-0001 | Empire | Electric | Reliability Compliance Report |
| ET-2021-0082 | Ameren | Electric | Surge Protection Program |
| SA-2019-0161 | United Services | Sewer | Depreciation |
| SR-2019-0157 | S.K.&M. | Sewer | Depreciation |
| EA-2020-0371 | Ameren | Electric | CCN Application Requirements |
| EO-2021-0163 | SEMO | Electric | Change of Supplier |
| EO-2021-0345 | Evergy Metro | Electric | RES Compliance |
| EO-2021-0346 | Evergy West | Electric | RES Compliance |
| EO-2021-0347 | Evergy Metro | Electric | RES Compliance |
| EO-2021-0348 | Evergy West | Electric | RES Compliance |
| SA-2022-0014 | Elm Hills | Sewer | Depreciation |

cont'd Amanda Coffer Case Participation

| Case Number | Utility | Туре | Issue |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| SA-2022-0029 | Mid Mo Sanitation | Sewer | Depreciation |
| EE-2022-0074 | Ameren | Electric | Variance Request |
| WA-2021- 0391/SA-2021- 0392 | Missouri American Water | Water/Sewer | Depreciation |
| WA-2022-0049 | Missouri American Water | Water/Sewer | Depreciation |
| ER-2021-0240 | Ameren | Electric | Rate Case |
| ER-2021-0312 | Empire | Electric | Rate Case |