City of Riverside, Missouri List of Exhibits WR-2011-0337

FILED March 8, 2012 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Riverside-1

Direct Testimony of David Blackburn

Riverside-2

Direct Testimony of Mayor Kathy Rose

Riverside Exhibit No_1
Date 2-21-12 Reporter TL
File No. WR-2011-0337

EXHIBIT NO. **RIVERSIDE-1** ISSUE: REVENUE REQUIREMENT WITNESS: DAVID BLACKBURN TYPE OF EXHIBIT: DIRECT TESTIMONY SPONSORING PARTY: CITY OF RIVERSIDE CASE NUMBER: WR-2011-0337 DATE PREPARED: NOVEMBER 17, 2011

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

WR-2011-0337

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DAVID BLACKBURN

BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ON BEHALF OF

CITY OF RIVERSIDE

NOVEMBER 17, 2011

David Blackburn Direct Testimony

1		Missouri-American Water Company
2		WR-2011-0337
3		Direct Testimony of David Blackburn
4	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
5	A.	My name is David Blackburn.
6	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS
7		DIRECT TESTIMONY?
8	А.	I am appearing for the purposes of this testimony on behalf of intervenor City of
9		Riverside (the "City"). The City receives service directly and its residents also receive
10		service from Missouri-American Water Company ("MAWC").
11	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EMPLOYMENT.
12	Α.	I am the City Administrator for the City.
13	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR INTEREST IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE?
14	A.	I am testifying as a representative of the City, which is attempting to protect its citizens in
15		the present case. The City is within the Parkville District, subject to the Platte County
16		water tariff at issue in this case.
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
18	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe certain water service and public safety issues
19		affecting the City. The City also requests that these issues be considered in determining
20		its water rate.

ì

WA 3166002.2

2 WATER SERVICE PROVIDED BY MAWC? 3 A. The City's major public safety concerns with the water distribution system are low water pressure and low gallons per minute produced by the fire hydrants located within the 4 5 City. As described in the testimony submitted by Fire Chief Fowlston in WR-2010-0131, 6 the City's Fire Department has had difficulty with the low water pressure produced by the 7 City's fire hydrants when responding to fires within the City. The City is very concerned about this public safety issue, and has requested and reviewed information provided by 8 9 MAWC related to fire flows within the City. 10 Q. BASED ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY MAWC, ARE THE FIRE 11 FLOWS PRODUCED BY THE CITY'S WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM 12 WITHIN THE CITY SUFFICIENT FOR FIRE PROTECTION? 13 No, based on the information provided by MAWC, it appears that approximately thirty-Α. 14 five percent (35%) of the fire hydrants located in residential areas of the City do not meet 15 the City's minimum residential fire flow requirements. It also appears that nearly all of 16 the fire hydrants located in commercial areas of the City do not meet the City's minimum 17 commercial fire flow requirements, unless such fire hydrants are all operating at their 18 maximum capacity. 19 Q. WHAT ARE THE CITY'S MINIMUM FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS? 20 Under the City's Ordinance 2005-05, the City has minimum residential fire flow Α.

WHAT ARE THE CITY'S MAJOR PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS WITH THE

21

I

Q.

WA 3166002.2

requirements of 1,000 gallons per minute, and minimum commercial fire flow

1		requirements of 1,500 gallons per minute. These fire flow requirements are based on the
2		requirements contained in the 2003 International Fire Code.
3	Q,	HOW LONG HAVE THESE FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS BEEN
4		EFFECTIVE?
5	А.	The current fire flow requirements became effective on January 18, 2005, when
6		Ordinance 2005-05 was adopted. Prior to January 18, 2005, the City had adopted the
7		1994 Uniform Fire Code, which contains similar requirements regarding fire flows (1,000
8		gallons per minute for residential areas and 1,500 gallons per minute for commercial
9		areas).
10	Q.	DO OTHER DISTRICTS WITHIN MAWC'S SERVICE AREA HAVE SIMILAR
11		FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS?
1 2	A,	Yes, to our knowledge many other districts within MAWC's service area have similar or
13		greater minimum fire flow requirements. The City believes that its fire flow
14		requirements are standard and reasonable.
15	Q.	BASED ON THE FOREGOING, WHAT RELIEF ARE YOU REQUESTING IN
16		RELATION TO THESE ISSUES?
17	A.	The City believes that MAWC has an obligation to furnish and provide water service,
18		instrumentalities and facilities, including fire flows, as shall be safe and adequate and in
19		all respects just and reasonable. Accordingly, the City believes that MAWC is obligated
20		to keep its infrastructure reasonably updated to comply with the City's reasonable fire
21		flow requirements, which have been effective since the City's adoption of the 1994
22		Uniform Fire Code standards, and that the costs of any necessary or advisable upgrades

.

1		should be shared by MAWC's equity owners. Therefore, the City requests that MAWC
2		be required to take reasonable action in good faith to update its water distribution system
3		in order to comply with the City's reasonable fire flow requirements, and to ensure that
4		the equity owners of MAWC share in the cost of such improvements in a reasonable
5		manner.
6	Q.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

7 A. Yes.

WA 3166002,2

1 2	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4 5 6 7 8	In the matter of Missouri-American) Water Company's Request for Authority) to Implement a General Rate Increase) Case No. WR-2011-0337 for Water and Sewer Service Provided) in Missouri Service Areas)
9	
10	Affidavit of David Blackburn
11 12 13	State of Missouri)) ss.County of Platte)
14	David Blackburn, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:
15 16	1. My name is David Blackburn. I am City Administrator for the City of Riverside, Missouri.
17 18	2. The above Direct Testimony in question and answer form was prepared by me, or at my direction.
19 20	3. I hereby swear and affirm that the aforesaid written direct testimony is true and accurate to the best of my present knowledge, information and belief.
21 22	David Blackburn
23	Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 17th day of November, 2011.
24 25	Robin L. Littrell Notary Public Notary Public
26	My commission expires: March 8, 2015 Commission # 11390631 My Commission Expires Mar 8, 2015

WA 3166002.2

EXHIBIT NO. **RIVERSIDE-2** ISSUE: REVENUE REQUIREMENT WITNESS: MAYOR KATHY ROSE TYPE OF EXHIBIT: DIRECT TESTIMONY SPONSORING PARTY: CITY OF RIVERSIDE CASE NUMBER: WR-2011-0337 DATE PREPARED: NOVEMBER 17, 2011

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

WR-2011-0337

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

MAYOR KATHY ROSE

BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ON BEHALF OF

CITY OF RIVERSIDE

NOVEMBER 17, 2011

1		Missouri-American Water Company
2		WR-2011-0337
3		Direct Testimony of Mayor Kathy Rose
4	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
5	Á,	My name is Kathy Rose.
6	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS
` 7		DIRECT TESTIMONY?
8	А.	I am appearing for the purposes of this testimony on behalf of intervenor City of
9		Riverside (the "City"). The City receives service directly and its residents also receive
10		service from Missouri-American Water Company ("MAWC").
11	Q,	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EMPLOYMENT.
12	Α.	I am the Mayor of the City.
13	Q,	WHAT IS YOUR INTEREST IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE?
14	A.	I am testifying as a representative of the City, which is attempting to protect its citizens in
15		the present case. The City is within the Parkville District, subject to the Platte County
16		water tariff at issue in this case.
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
18	А,	The purpose of my testimony is to address whether the City supports a consolidated tariff
19		rate structure and to address certain water service and public safety issues affecting the
20		City.

.

1

2

Q. DOES THE CITY SUPPORT A CONSOLIDATED TARIFF RATE STRUCTURE AS REQUESTED BY MAWC IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes, provided that the water rates applicable to customers located within the City are
reduced by at least the amount described in MAWC's materials filed in this case.
However, the City also believes that the inadequate fire flows produced by MAWC's
water distribution system, as described in the testimony submitted by David Blackburn in
this case, should be a factor in determining the final tariff rates.

8 Q. DOES THE CITY BELIEVE THAT MAWC SHOULD TAKE FURTHER 9 ACTION TO ADDRESS THE CITY'S PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS 10 REGARDING FIRE FLOWS?

11 Yes, the City is very concerned about the public safety issues created by the inadequate A. 12 fire flows produced by MAWC's water distribution system, and is not satisfied with 13 MAWC's previous responses to this issue. The City believes that MAWC should take every reasonable action to correct the fire flow deficiencies within the water distribution 14 15 system. At a minimum, MAWC must take action in good faith in order to address these 16 issues. For example, if MAWC could commit to improve the fire flows within the City to 17 comply with the City's current fire flow requirements based on the 2003 International 18 Fire Code by the year 2020, and to add at least one fire hydrant (or a number as agreed 19 between MAWC and the City's Fire Chief) to the water distribution system within the 20 City per year, it would be a step in the right direction.

Q. BASED ON THE FOREGOING, WHAT RELIEF ARE YOU REQUESTING IN RELATION TO THESE ISSUES?

The City believes that a consolidated rate structure should be adopted only if the water 3 A. 4 rates applicable to customers located within the City are reduced by at least the amount 5 described in MAWC's materials filed in this case, and that the inadequate fire flows produced by MAWC's water distribution system should be a factor in determining the 6 7 final tariff rates. The City also believes that MAWC is obligated to keep its infrastructure 8 reasonably updated to comply with the City's reasonable fire flow requirements, and that 9 the costs of any necessary or advisable upgrades should be shared by MAWC's equity 10 owners. Therefore, the City requests that a consolidated tariff rate structure and final 11 tariff rates consistent with the foregoing be adopted in this case. The City also requests 12 that MAWC be required to take reasonable action in good faith to update its water 13 distribution system in order to comply with the City's reasonable fire flow requirements 14 consistent with the foregoing, and to ensure that the equity owners of MAWC share in the 15 cost of such improvements in a reasonable manner.

16 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

17 A, Yes.

1 2	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI
3	
4 5 6 7 8	In the matter of Missouri-American) Water Company's Request for Authority) to Implement a General Rate Increase) Case No. WR-2011-0337 for Water and Sewer Service Provided) in Missouri Service Areas)
9	
10	Affidavit of Mayor Kathy Rose
11 12 13	State of Missouri)) ssCounty of Platte)
14	Kathy Rose, being first duly sworn, on her oath states:
15	1. My name is Kathy Rose. I am Mayor of the City of Riverside, Missouri.
16 17	2. The above Direct Testimony in question and answer form was prepared by me, or at my direction.
18 19	3. I hereby swear and affirm that the aforesaid written direct testimony is true and accurate to the best of my present knowledge, information and belief.
20 21	Kathy Rose
22	Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 17th day of November, 2011.
23 24	Mala CupiO Notary Public
25	My commission expires:



WA 3167931.2
