Exhibit No.: Issues: Capacity Planning, And SO₂ Witness: Andrew N. Korte Sponsoring Party: Aquila Networks-MPS And L&P Case No.: ER-2005-0436

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Surrebuttal Testimony

of

Andrew N. Korte

****Denotes Highly Confidential Information****

NP

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ANDREW N. KORTE ON BEHALF OF AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P CASE NO. ER-2005-0436

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1	-
PROJECT X	L
CONTRACTED COAL PRICES	3

Surrebuttal Testimony: Andrew Korte

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ANDREW N. KORTE ON BEHALF OF AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P CASE NO. ER-2005-0436

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Andrew Korte. My business address is 10750 East 350 Highway, Kansas
3		City, Missouri, 64138.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by Aquila, Inc., ("Aquila") as Vice President Energy Resources, in its
6		regulated electric utility operations.
7	Q.	Are you the same Andrew N. Korte who has previously filed rebuttal testimony in this
8		proceeding?
9	A.	Yes, I am.
10		EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
11	Q.	What is the purpose of this surrebuttal testimony?
12	A.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to address specific issues in the rebuttal
13		testimony filed by Staff witness Cary G. Featherstone regarding a new purchase power
14		contract (commonly referred to as Project X) and in the rebuttal testimony of Office of
15		the Public Counsel ("OPC") witness Ted Robertson regarding the replacement of the
16		C.W. Mining coal contract.
17		PROJECT X
18	Q.	Please summarize your understanding of Mr. Featherstone's testimony.

1	A.	Mr. Featherstone has stated that Aquila's Project X activity has exposed Aquila and
2		ultimately its customers to the energy market place without adequate consideration of the
3		option to build or acquire generating capacity. Mr. Featherstone has also stated that
4		Aquila has no intention of building, or even seriously examining this option in a
5		meaningful way.
6	Q.	By way of background, what is "Project X"?
7	A.	Project X was a placeholder for a new purchase power contract for 200 MWs beginning
8		in the Fall of 2005 to replace a portion of a purchased power contract that expired during
9		the year. At the time of filing of our direct testimony, the specifics of a replacement
10		purchase power contract had not been finalized and so the necessary purchased power
11		contract was referred to as "Project X".
12	Q.	Does Project X activity expose Aquila and its customers to the energy marketplace
13		without adequate consideration of the option to build or acquire generation?
14	A.	No. Project X activity resulted in a short term purchase power agreement for 200 MW. The
15		amount of 200 MW is roughly the equivalent of capacity Aquila is required to have on
16		reserve. That is, Aquila is required to have approximately 200 MW more capacity than it
17		expects to need. Since the capacity is not needed it is rarely exposed to the marketplace.
18		Aquila is considering the option of building or acquiring long term capacity in the future.
19	Q.	Mr. Featherstone stated that Aquila has no intention of building, or even seriously
20		examining this option in a meaningful way. How do you respond?
21	A.	If by option he means self-build, then Mr. Featherstone's statement is false. Aquila has
22		recently completed construction of a 315 MW plant showing a commitment to build. Aquila
23		is actively pursuing partnership in the Iatan II coal fired plant. Aquila will soon issue a

1		request for capacity proposals starting in 2008, and will share that request with the
2		Commission Staff before it is sent out for bids. Proposals received in response, including an
3		internally developed self-build option, will be evaluated using least cost planning objectives.
4		The results may or may not produce a self-build result. In summary, it is prudent for an
5		electric utility, such as Aquila, to purchase capacity when it is anticipated on the basis of
6		analysis that such a purchase would result in a lower overall revenue requirement in the
7		long-run. It is important for an electric utility to continually canvass the market so that it is
8		aware of the options available to it whenever it must decide whether to meet its load with a
9		supply option or a purchase option.
10	Q.	What is your response to Mr. Featherstone's rebuttal testimony reference regarding Aquila's
11		failure to consider "access to facilities Aquila owns"?
12	A.	I believe that Mr. Featherstone is referring to facilities owned or contracted by Aquila
13		Merchant Service. Aquila has considered these facilities and believes their use as a viable
14		source of energy would be cost prohibitive. Aquila is concerned that Staff apparently does
15		not understand that energy from these facilities is not available for use within the MPS
16		service territory without the incurrence of substantial additional costs which would make this
17		energy non-competitive with other sources. Differences between short-term and long-term
18		firm point-to-point transmission service; a host of refused requests for long-term firm
19		transmission service from similarly situated generating facilities; and, the fact that Aquila
20		Networks is not a participant in the Regional Transmission Organizations in which such
21		"facilities" exist are all factors which were apparently not considered when Mr. Featherstone
22		made this statement.

23

CONTRACTED COAL PRICES

1	Q.	In OPC witness Robertson's rebuttal testimony on SO ₂ Emission Allowances, Public
2		Counsel has indicated that recovery, from ratepayers, of the incremental costs associated
3		with the necessity of the Company to obtain coal from new sources after the default of C.W.
4		Mining on its existing contract should not be allowed. Mr. Robertson also indicated that he
5		had been advised by the Public Counsel that recovery of new contract costs in rates includes
6		some risk that C.W. Mining could be relieved of the obligation to pay Aquila. How do you
7		respond?
8	A.	Aquila has been advised by counsel in essence that the Uniform Commercial Code (Article
9		2) does not allow a breaching seller (C.W. Mining) to require a buyer (Aquila) to mitigate its
10		cover damages for breach by passing on the costs of cover to customers. Schedule ANK-1 is
11		a legal opinion letter from Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P. addressing this issue in more
12		detail. Based on this letter, it is clear that if the new contract costs are included in rates,
13		Aquila may still recover from C.W. Mining. Mr. Robertson's understanding is simply in
14		error.
15	Q.	Do you agree with Mr. Robertson's characterization that the potential recovery from a
16		lawsuit creates a situation whereby double recovery of the increased costs might occur?
17	A.	No. Any recovery from litigation would be flowed back to customers to the extent the costs
18		have been included in rates.
19	Q.	Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
20	A.	Yes.

Entire Schedule "Highly Confidential"

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

County of Jackson)) State of Missouri)

SS

AFFIDAVIT OF ANDREW N. KORTE

Andrew N. Korte, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the witness who sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "Surrebuttal Testimony of Andrew N. Korte;" that said testimony was prepared by him and under his direction and supervision; that if inquiries were made as to the facts in said testimony and schedules, he would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony and schedules are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

Indent

Andrew N. Korte

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1971 day of

Notary Public -Terry D. Lutes

My Commission expires:

8-20-2008



TERRY D. LUTES Jackson County My Commission Expires August 20, 2008