BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,

Complainant,

vs.

Case No. GC-2011-

Missouri Gas Energy, a Division of Southern Union Company,

Respondent.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff"), by and through counsel, pursuant to Section 386.390, RSMo 2000,¹ and for its Complaint states as follows:

Introduction

1. This Complaint concerns Respondent's tariff provisions purporting to limit its liability to its customers. Staff contends that these tariff provisions violate the Commission's Natural Gas Safety Rules and public policy.

Complainant

2. Complainant is the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") acting through the Chief Staff Counsel as authorized by Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.070(1).

¹ All statutory references, unless otherwise specified, are to the Revised Statutes of Missouri ("RSMo"), revision of 2000.

Respondent

3. Respondent is Southern Union Company, a Delaware general business corporation in good standing, headquartered at 5444 Westheimer Road, Houston, Texas 77056, which engages in the business of distributing natural gas at retail in Missouri under the registered fictitious name "Missouri Gas Energy" (MGE). Southern Union Company is properly registered as a foreign corporation doing business in Missouri and its registered agent is CT Corporation System at 120 South Central Avenue, Clayton, Missouri 63105. Southern Union's Missouri headquarters is located at 3420 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri 64111. Operating as MGE, Southern Union distributes natural gas for light, heat and power, using gas plant that it owns or operates, to approximately 501,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers in 34 Missouri counties under tariffs approved by this Commission. Respondent will be referred to as MGE in this complaint.

Jurisdiction

4. By virtue of its activities described in Paragraph 3, above, MGE is a gas corporation pursuant to § 386.020(18) and a public utility pursuant to § 386.020(43), and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission under sections 386.250 and 393.140.

5. This Commission has authority to hear and determine complaints against public utilities pursuant to § 386.390.1, which provides that "[c]omplaint may be made ... in writing, setting forth any act or thing done or omitted to be done by any corporation ... in violation, or claimed to be in violation, of any provision of law, or of any rule or order or decision of the Commission"

2

6. The Commission is expressly authorized to hear and determine complaints concerning the tariff provisions of public utilities by § 393.140(5), which provides:

[w]henever the Commission shall be of the opinion, after a hearing had upon its own motion or upon complaint, that the rates or charges or the acts or **regulations** of any such persons or corporations are **unjust**, **unreasonable**, unjustly discriminatory or unduly preferential or **in any wise in violation of any provision of law**, the **Commission shall determine** and prescribe the just and reasonable rates and charges thereafter to be in force for the service to be furnished, notwithstanding that a higher rate or charge has heretofore been authorized by statute, and the **just and reasonable acts and regulation to be done and observed** ... (emphasis added).

The Commission's Position on Tariffs Purporting to Limit Liability

7. On January 13, 2010, the Commission issued its Report and Order in Case No. GT-2009-0056, concerning certain tariff sheets proposed by Laclede Gas Company ("Laclede") that purported to limit Laclede's liability to its customers for damage resulting from Laclede's negligence. Like Respondent MGE, Laclede is a public utility that distributes natural gas at retail in Missouri pursuant to tariffs approved by this Commission. In rejecting the proposed tariff sheets, the Commission stated:

Ultimately, even though the Commission has the legal authority to add some liability limits in tariffs, it is choosing not to do so in this case because the limitations in the Amended Tariff are not just and reasonable. The court system is qualified to determine whether negligence has occurred even in matters involving regulated utilities. The state legislature is also an appropriate place to set liability limits on negligence claims or to give more specific authority to the Commission in this area. Laclede has produced no convincing evidence that it would be in the public interest for the Commission to limit liability in the manner it proposes. The Commission, therefore, concludes it is unreasonable to include liability limiting language in Laclede's tariffs as proposed in the Amended Tariff and rejects the tariffs.

8. On July 21, 20190, the Commission denied Laclede's timely-filed Application for Rehearing and Alternative Request for Clarification. Laclede has not sought a writ of review and the time for doing so has now passed. Consequently, Staff considers the Report and Order issued in Case No. GT-2009-0056 to embody an authoritative statement of Commission policy. For this reason, Staff brings this action against MGE so that the Commission may take up and consider the liability-limiting provisions of MGE's current tariff Sheet R-34, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

9. Staff contends that MGE's Tariff Sheet R-34 is not just and reasonable in that the tariff sheet:

- (a) Purports to immunize MGE from all liability, even in cases in which MGE fails to comply with Commission rules and applicable codes and standards;
- (b) Purports to limit MGE's liability even when MGE is negligent in the operation of its system, so that, for example, MGE would not be liable for over-pressuring its system and thereby causing damage to a customer's home and appliances;
- (c) Purports to limit MGE's liability even when MGE has inspected the customer's equipment; and
- (d) Purports to limit MGE's liability even for gross negligence or wanton or willful conduct.

10. Additionally, Staff contends that MGE's Tariff Sheet R-34 does not comply with the Commission's Natural Gas Safety Rules 4 CSR 240-40.030(10)(J) and 4 CSR

4

240-40.030(12)(S), in that the tariff sheet:

- (a) Purports to eliminate MGE's duty to test for leakage in a competent way, ensure compliance with industry standards and local codes, and warn of potential hazards;
- (b) Purports to eliminate MGE's duty to test for leakage in a competent way, ensure compliance with industry standards and local codes, and to discontinue service to a customer when equipment is unsafe;

11. On account of all the foregoing, MGE's Tariff Sheet R-34 is unjust, unreasonable, unlawful, and void and unenforceable as a matter of public policy.

12. Staff has contacted MGE prior to the filing of this complaint and has attempted to resolve the issues herein complained of through negotiation, but to no avail.

WHEREFORE, Staff prays that the Commission will give notice to Respondent MGE as required by law and, after hearing, enter its order (1) finding that MGE's Tariff Sheet R-34 is unjust, unreasonable, unlawful, violates public policy, and is void and unenforceable, (2) finding that MGE's Tariff Sheet R-34 does not comply with the Commission's Natural Gas Safety Rules 4 CSR-240-40.030(10)(J) and 4 CSR 240-40.030(12)(S); and (3) pursuant to § 393.140(5), requiring MGE to file revised tariff sheets that are just and reasonable and in compliance with the Commission's rules and the law; and granting such other and further relief as the Commission deems just.

5

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kevin A. Thompson

Kevin A. Thompson, MBE 36288 Robert S. Berlin, MBE 51709 Attorneys for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-6514 (telephone) 573-526-6969 (facsimile) kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov

P.S.C. MO. No. Canceling P.S.C. MO. No. <u>1</u> 1

Fourth Revised Third Revised

SHEET No. R-34 SHEET No. R-34

Missouri Gas Energy, <u>a Division of Southern Union Company</u>

For: All Missouri Service Areas

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR GAS SERVICE

3.19					save Company es, shrubs, buildin				
	by reason necessary persons of	of the inst appurtenar	allation, ices to so property	operation, erve custor complained	or replacement o mer unless it sh d of has been caus	f the service all affirmative	e line, yard lir ely appear tha	ne and other at the injury to	
	of gas on whatsoeve	customer's	s premise v such le	es. Compa akage, esca	ce if an inspection any will not be li ape or loss of gas other equipment.	able for ar	ny loss, dam	nage or injury	
	or gas util piping. All being serv be respon practice an constituted piping, ver such the o shall owe	ization equi piping, vent ed shall be sible for the nd in confor authorities nts or gas ut owner/custo customer n	pment of s or gas a suitable f a repair a mity with and by ilization e mer of th o duty to	n the delive utilization ec for the purp and mainte requirement the Compa equipment of pe premises warn of po	onsible for the rep ery side of the ga quipment furnisher oses hereof and the nance of such at nots of public health my. As with any f can fail, malfunctio being served sh otential hazards the ppurtenances and	is meter, its d by the own he owner/cus all times in a and safety, ixture or app n or fall into all be aware hat may exis	related appur er/customer of stomer of the p accordance as set forth b purtenance with disrepair at ar of this fact, a	tenances and f the premises premises shall with accepted by the properly thin premises, ny time and as and Company	
	installed o authorized premises Company	n the premi employees being serve for the cos	ises bein , contrac ed shall t of repa	g served, a tors or age be liable f irs for dam	at all times for th and to that end sh nts, access to suc or and shall inde nage done to Cor ons on the premis	hall give no o ch property. emnify, hold mpany's pro	one, except th The owner/cu hannless an perty due to	ne Company's ustomer of the id defend the	
	directly or utilization and all su inspected failure of s equipment proceeding limitation k	indirectly of equipment of ch loss, da or not by th ervice or de , strike, riot, as or action by the prece	connected on the de mage or ne Comp alay in co act of G or any eding enu	d with or a livery side of injury invo any, or occ mmencing od, order of order of ar umeration, a	damage or Injury prising out of the of the meter, which lying piping, vents asioned by intern service due to acc f any court or judg ny commission or any other act or the the Company, its	delivery of h shall inclu s or gas util uption, failure cident to or b ge granted in tribunal hav nings due to	gas through de but not be ization equipre to commence reakdown of any bonafide ring jurisdictio causes beyor	piping or gas limited to any ment, whether ce delivery, or plant, lines, or adverse legal on; or, without nd Company's	
	•.								
DATE OF I	SSUE	March month	<u>28,</u>	<u>2007</u> year	DATE EFFEC		month April	28 2007 3, 2007 ^{ye}	ear
ISSUED B	Y_ <u>Michae</u>	R. Noack				Director,	Pricing and R	egulatory Affai	rs
					Miss	souri Gas En	ergy, Kansas	City, MO. 641	11



GR-2006-0422