

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water)
Company's Request for Authority to)
Implement a General Rate Increase for)
Water and Sewer Services Provided in)
Missouri Service Areas.)

Case Nos. WR-2011-0337
SR-2011-0338

PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE AND LIST OF CONDITIONS

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (“Staff”), by and through the undersigned counsel, and on behalf of the Missouri-American Water Company (“MAWC” or “Company”), the Office of the Public Counsel (“Public Counsel”), and on behalf of the intervenors UWUA Local 335, City of Joplin, Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District, AG Processing, Inc., Public Water Supply Districts Nos. 1 and 2 of Andrew County and the City of Brunswick, City of St. Joseph, Triumph Foods, LLC, BJC HealthCare, and the City of Jefferson¹, with all the above-mentioned known collectively hereafter as “the Parties”², and submits to the Missouri Public Service Commission (“Commission”) the following *Proposed Procedural Schedule and List of Conditions*:

The above-named Parties unanimously agree to recommend the following procedural schedule:

¹ MIEC, City of Jefferson, City of Joplin, Empire Electric Company, and City of Riverside did not participate in the prehearing conference held in this matter on July 28, 2011. At the time of this filing, only the City of Jefferson has stated its position approving this final version of the *Proposed Procedural Schedule And List Of Conditions*, although the Counsel for Staff attempted to contact the party representatives.

² Counsel for Staff provided an opportunity to review this pleading to representatives from the City of Warrensburg, but has not received a response on its position to this final version at the time of filing, therefore it has been excluded from this filing, though present at the prehearing conference.

Date Case Filed	June 30, 2011
Pre-Hearing Conference	July 28, 2011
Schedule Modification Request	August 2, 2011
Discovery Conference	August 29, 2011
Discovery Conference	September 28, 2011
Discovery Conference	October 31, 2011
Staff Revenue Requirement Calculation	November 10, 2011
Direct Testimony Non-Company Parties - Revenue Requirement	November 17, 2011
Discovery Conference	November 29, 2011
Prehearing Conference for Revenue Requirement	November 29- December 2, 2011
Direct Testimony Non-Company Parties - Class Cost of Service & Rate Design	December 12, 2011
Prehearing Conference for Rate Design	December 15-16, 2011
Discovery Conference	December 28, 2011
List of Issues (Circulated Among Parties)	January 4, 2012

Rebuttal Testimony	January 19, 2012
Discovery Conference	January 26, 2012
Surrebuttal Testimony	February 2, 2012
Settlement Conference	February 6-8, 2012
Joint List of Issues, Order of Witnesses, Order of Cross Examination	February 8, 2012
Reconciliation	February 9, 2012
Discovery Conference	February 10, 2012
Joint Stipulation of Non-Disputed Material Facts	February 10, 2012
Statements of Position	February 13, 2012
Evidentiary Hearing	February 21- March 2, 2012
True-Up Direct	March 9, 2012
True-Up Discovery Cut Off (last date to submit)	March 15, 2012
True-Up Rebuttal	March 23, 2012
Post-Hearing Briefs	March 26, 2012
True-Up Hearing	March 29-30, 2012

Reply Brief/True-Up Brief	April 6, 2012
True-Up Reply Brief, if needed	April 13, 2012
Operation of Law date	May 27, 2012

PROPOSED LIST OF CONDITIONS

The above-named Parties request that the Commission issue an order, which adopts and incorporates the following conditions:

1. The Parties may electronically serve all pleadings, testimony, and other filings by transmitting a copy to all Parties' counsel of record. The Parties shall serve such filings contemporaneous with the filing itself, and shall go only to the attorneys, who will bear the responsibility for the further distribution to their respective clients.

2. The Parties shall, without the necessity of a request, serve workpapers electronically upon all parties no later than two business days following the filing of the testimony to which they pertain.

3. The Parties agree to shorten the response and objection intervals for Data Requests ("DRs") as of the date for filing the Direct Testimony-Revenue Requirement testimony (i.e. December 12, 2011), to ten calendar days for responses and five business days for objections.

4. Counsel for each party shall receive electronically from each other party, an electronic copy of the text of all data request "descriptions" served by that party on another party in the case contemporaneously with service of the request. If the description contains highly confidential or proprietary information, or is voluminous, a hyperlink to the EFIS record of that data request shall be considered a sufficient copy. The Parties shall electronically provide

all DRs to the counsel of record for each party as shown on the certificated service list. The party propounding the DR shall endeavor to avoid including highly confidential or proprietary matter in a DR question. The responding party shall provide all DR responses only to the specific party(ies) requesting them. When a party requests that a response to a specific DR posed by another also be provided to said party, that request shall not initiate a new time period if the response originally requested is readily available, but the response shall be provided as soon as practicable and concurrently with the response to the original request if the time period for the original request has not expired.

5. The responding party shall provide DR responses in electronic format to the extent reasonably possible. No party shall construe this condition to require undue efforts to convert materials from hard copy to electronic format that do not already exist in the electronic format.

6. On or before the first day of the evidentiary hearing (i.e. February 21, 2012), the parties shall pre-mark all their pre-filed testimony as exhibits, and provide a listing of such exhibits to all other Parties. Each party shall number its exhibits sequentially, and shall include a shorthand indication of the party's name as part of the exhibit number, separated from the number by a dash. Example: the Company might designate an exhibit "MAWC-1".

7. The Parties request that the Commission waive 4 CSR 240-2.045(2) for the purposes of this proceeding and any item filed electronically using EFIS shall be deemed timely filed if received in EFIS by midnight of the date on which it is due.

8. The Staff respectfully requests that the Commission expedite the transcripts for both the evidentiary and true-up hearings, as to allow the Staff and all Parties as much of the time allotted herein for preparing briefs as possible.

WHEREFORE, the Staff, on the behalf of the Parties, respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order which adopts and incorporates both the *Proposed Procedural Schedule* and the *Proposed List of Conditions* provided herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rachel M. Lewis

Rachel M. Lewis
Deputy Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 56073

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 526-6715 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
rachel.lewis@psc.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or by electronic mail to all counsel of record on this 2nd day of August, 2011.

/s/ Rachel M. Lewis