Exhibit No.:		
Issue(s):	Rate Base	
Witness/Type of Exhibit:	Robinett/Surrebuttal	
Sponsoring Party:	Public Counsel	
Case No.:	WR-2017-0259	

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN A. ROBINETT

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

INDIAN HILLS UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

CASE NO. WR-2017-0259

November 13, 2017

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application)	
Of a Rate Increase)	Case No. WR-2017-0259
For Indian Hills Utility)	
Operating Company, Inc.)	

AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN A. ROBINETT

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE)	

John A. Robinett, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is John A. Robinett. I am a Utility Engineering Specialist for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

John A. Robinett

Utility Engineering Specialist

Subscribed and sworn to me this 13th day of November 2017.

MOTARY OF MIST

JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 23, 2021 Cole County Commission #13754037

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My Commission expires August 23, 2021.

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN A. ROBINETT

Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.

CASE NO. WR-2017-0259

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	John A. Robinett, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
3	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
4	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") as a Utility Engineering
5		Specialist.
6	Q.	Are you the same John A. Robinett that filed direct and rebuttal testimony on behalf of
7		the OPC in this proceeding?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
LO	A.	The purpose of this testimony is to address booking treatment for the extension of electric
l1		service line.
12	Q.	Do you have a recommendation for the Commission?
L3	A.	Yes. OPC recommends the Commission order Indian Hills Utility Operating Company,
L4		Inc. ("Indian Hills" or the "Company") to recover its expense by amortizing the expense
l5		of the electric service line extension over five years; OPC recommends return of the
L6		investment but not return on the investment since the electric service lines are owned by
L7		Crawford Electrical Cooperative Inc. ("Co-Op").
18 19	Exte	ension of Electric Line Service
20	Q.	What do Staff and the Company state is the correct treatment of the extension of electric
21		service?
22	A.	Staff Witness Grisham doesn't necessarily oppose a five year amortization that I proposed.
23		However, Staff continues to prefer capitalization of plant not owned or operated by Indian

1		Hills. As I discussed in my rebuttal testimony, the bylaws of Crawford Electric Cooperative,
2		Inc. clearly define the payment received as a contribution in aid of construction (CIAC).
3	Q.	Does OPC agree with Staff witness Grisham's claim that OPC wants to treat the
4		extension of electric service as a recurring expense?
5	A.	No. As previously indicated, OPC is recommending to amortize the one-time fee over 5 years.
6		Nowhere has OPC indicated that the line extension is a recurring expense.
7	Q.	Does Company witness Macias address the treatment of the extension of electric service?
8	A.	Yes. Mr. Macias agrees with the direct testimony of Staff witness Grisham to capitalize the
9		extension of electric service fee to National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
10		("NARUC") Uniform System of Accounts ("USoA") account 325 Electrical Pumping
11		Equipment.
12	Q.	Is that the appropriate treatment?
13	A.	No. As was discussed in my rebuttal testimony and mistakenly confirmed by Company
14		witness Thomas in his rebuttal testimony, Mr. Thomas points out at page16 lines 8 through
15		11 the following:
16 17 18 19		"The Consumer shall remit to the Seller a non-refundable payment in the sum of \$23,000 on account of the cost of facilities required to make service available to the Consumer on or before commencement of construction of such facilities." (Emphasis added)
20	Q.	Does the NARUC USoA support plant in service treatment as Staff witness Grisham
21		prefers and Company witnesses' Macias and Thomas recommended?
22	A.	No. Utility plant account 101 Utility Plant in Service, clearly defines what costs are allowable:
23		101. Utility Plant in Service.
24 25 26		A. This account shall include the original cost of utility plant, included in the plant accounts prescribed herein and in similar accounts for other utility departments, owned and used by the utility in its utility operations, and having an expectation of

 life in service of more than one year from date of installation, including such property <u>owned by the utility</u> but held by nominees. Separate subaccounts shall be maintained hereunder for each utility department. (Emphasis added).

Q. Does Indian Hills own the electric service line extension?

 A. No. This is clearly not owned or operated by Indian Hills. The Crawford Electrical Cooperative Inc. Bylaws were attached to my rebuttal testimony as Schedule JAR-R-1, which is publically available on the Crawford Electrical Cooperative Inc.'s website.² Article 1 Membership Section 1. Requirements for Membership (D) & (E) define in OPC's opinion the extension of service fee that Indian Hills payed to Crawford Electrical Cooperative Inc. as a contribution in aid of construction.

- (d) Payment of a membership fee in the amount determined by the Board of Directors, making a deposit to secure payment of future electric service bills, if required, making a contribution in aid of construction, if required, and payment of charges for additional service connections.
- (e) Granting to the Cooperative a right of way easement on the terms and conditions to be proposed by the Cooperative, to construct the necessary lines and appurtenances to give the applicant electric service, and to enable the Cooperative in the future to extend its facilities on the property of applicant to serve others beyond property of applicant.

Provided that no such natural person, firm, association, partnership, corporation, body politic, state or federal government or agency thereof may become a member unless and until he, she or it has been accepted for membership by the Board of Directors or by the membership and provided that no member shall hold more than one membership in the Cooperative, and no membership in the Cooperative shall be transferable except as provided in these bylaws.³

Q. Has OPC asked Indian Hills about ownership of the electric service line extension?

A. Yes. OPC issued Data Request No. 30. The Company's response states the contract does not specify ownership. However, Company stated that electrical equipment is booked to account 325 and a depreciation rate of 10.5% was utilized.

¹ NARUC USoA Water Utilities Class A and B 1973 Balance Sheet Accounts 1. Utility Plant p.44

² http://www.crawfordelec.com/content/bylaws

³ The Crawford Electrical Cooperative Inc. Bylaws Article 1 Membership Section 1. Requirements for Membership (D) & (E)

Surrebuttal Testimony of John A. Robinett Case No. WR-2017-0259

- 1 Q. Has OPC reached out to Crawford Electrical Cooperative, Inc., regarding ownership of the electric service line extension?
 - A. Yes. Attached to this surrebuttal testimony as Schedule JAR-S-1 is an affidavit signed by the Manager of Operations for Crawford Electrical Cooperative, Inc. attesting that the poles and lines needed to deliver power to Indian Hills are the property of the Co-Op.
 - Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- 7 A. Yes, it does.

3

4

5

6

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Case No. WR-2017-0259

NOV 0 9 2017

In the Matter of the Rate Increase Request Of Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.) Case No. <u>WR-2017-0259</u>			
AFFIDAVIT OF BRETT PALMER				
State of Missouri)				
State of Missouri) County of Crawford) ss				
I, Brett Palmer, being duly sworn, on his oath sta	tes:			
Cooperative, Inc., ("Crawford Electric") v North Service Road, Bourbon, MO 65441 Crawford Electric.	Manager of Operations with Crawford Electric which has its principal place of business at 10301 1. I am authorized to act as an agent on behalf of			
and consumer Indian Hills Utilities, Inc. (hase of Power between seller Crawford Electric ("Consumer"), 500 Northwest Plaza Drive, Suite lectric's perspective is that the Agreement for I, confidential record.			
 The Agreement for Purchase of Power refundable payment to account for cost available. 	requires the Consumer to remit to seller a non- of facilities required to make electric service			
 The line extension belongs to Crawford Electric. Crawford Electric's books and re for Consumer's non-refundable payment. 	Electric and is booked as an asset by Crawford ecords reflect a contribution in aid of construction			
	extension, Crawford Electric also maintains and			
I hereby swear and affirm that the statements are true and correct and it shows the matters and things that it purports to show.				
	32			
	Brett Palmer			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day	of November, 2017.			
(a)(a)(12018)	Collen Funde NOTARY SEAL *			
My Commission Expires: 10/25/2018	Notary Public MINCOSTATE OF MI			
Schedule	e JAR-S-1			