

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service
Commission,

Complainant,

v.

Ronald E. Ragland,
d/b/a Lake Northwoods Utility Co., Inc.

Respondent.

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, through the undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Section 386.370 RSMo (2000)¹, files this Complaint with the Missouri Public Service Commission against Respondent, Ronald E. Ragland, d/b/a Lake Northwoods Utility Co., Inc. ("Company"), for violation of the Commission's statutes relating to the payment of annual assessments. In support of its Complaint, Staff respectfully states the following:

1. This matter concerns Respondent's failure to pay the Company's annual Public Service Commission Assessment.

2. Complainant is the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, acting through the Staff Counsel's Office as authorized by Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.070(1).

1

3. Respondent Company is a sole proprietorship owned by Ronald E. Ragland, 3068 Hwy 19, Owensville, MO 65066. Respondent's official representative, as listed in EFIS, is also Ronald E. Ragland.

4. Respondent Ronald E. Ragland on information and belief is the owner or operator of Respondent Company and is responsible for that Company's failure to pay annual assessments.

General Allegations

5. Respondent owns, controls, and manages water and/or sewer sources and other plant and infrastructure by which he sells water to the public for gain and is therefore a "water corporation" as defined by § 386.020(59), RSMo, a "sewer corporation" as defined by § 386.020(49), RSMo, and a "public utility" as defined by § 386.020(43), RSMo, and thus is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission pursuant to § 386.250(3), RSMo.

6. Section 386.390.1, RSMo authorizes the Commission to entertain a complaint "setting forth any act or thing done or omitted to be done by any...public utility...in violation, or claimed to be in violation, of any provision of law, or of any rule, or order or decision of the commission."

7. Section 386.600, RSMo provides, "an action to recover a penalty...under this chapter or to enforce the powers of the commission under this or any other law may be brought in any circuit court in this state in the name of the state of Missouri and shall be commenced and prosecuted to final judgment by the general counsel to the commission."

Respondent failed to pay Company's fiscal year 2019 water assessment

8. Complainant hereby adopts by reference and re-alleges the allegations set out in Paragraphs 1 through 7, above.

9. Section 386.370.2 RSMo, states:

The Commission shall allocate to each group of public utilities the gross intrastate operating revenues of such group during the preceding calendar year. The Commission shall then assess the amount allocated to each public utility in proportion to their respective gross intrastate operating revenues during the preceding calendar year.

10. On June 20, 2018, the Commission, in Case No. AO-2018-0379, issued its Assessment Order for Fiscal Year 2019 (2019 Assessment Order) pursuant to Section 386.370 RSMo. The Assessment Order fixed the amount allocated to public water and sewer corporations at \$3,074,056.

11. Section 408.020 RSMo allows "creditors to receive interest at the rate of nine percent per annum, when no other rate is agreed upon....on accounts after they become due and demand of payment is made[,]" and Complainant is statutorily entitled to prejudgment interest on Respondent Company's delinquent assessment amount.

12. On June 21, 2018, the Commission's Budget and Fiscal Services sent Respondent notice stating that \$25.10 was due no later than July 15, 2018, to keep Company's account in good standing.

13. On August 15, 2018, the Commission's Budget and Fiscal Services sent Respondent notice that the \$25.10 was to be paid immediately in order to keep Company's account in good standing.

14. As of the date of this filing, Respondent has failed, omitted, or neglected to pay \$25.10 of Company's total fiscal year 2019 water assessment.

15. Section 386.570.1 RSMo provides that,

Any...public utility which violates or fails to comply with any...law, or which fails to comply with any order, decision, decree, rule direction, demand or requirement, or any part or provision thereof, of the commission in a case in which a penalty has not herein been provided for such...public utility, is subject to a penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than two thousand dollars for each offense.

16. Section 386.570.2, RSMo, provides that "...in case of a continuing violation each day's continuance thereof shall be and be deemed to be a separate and distinct offense."

WHEREFORE, the Staff respectfully requests the Commission give notice to the Respondent as required by law and, after the opportunity for hearing, issue an order that finds the Respondent has failed to pay the fiscal year 2019 assessment and authorizes the General Counsel's Office to bring a penalty action against the Respondent in circuit court as provided in §§ 386.600, 386.370, and 386.570, RSMo., for the collection of the assessment, penalties, and interest on the assessment amount.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Travis J. Pringle

Missouri Bar No. 71128

Legal Counsel

Attorney for the Staff of the

Public Service Commission

P. O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-4140 (Telephone)

(573) 751-9265 (Fax)

Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the foregoing were mailed, electronically mailed, or hand-delivered to all counsel of record this 13th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Travis J. Pringle