## Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch, L.C.

Attorneys at Law

Robert D. Blitz
John E. Bardgett, Sr.
James B. Deutsch
Richard B. Rothman
Robert C. O'Neal
R. Thomas Avery
Henry T. Herschel
Andrea L. Charters
Marc H. Ellinger
Peter C. Palumbo III

1 . 7

308 East High Street, Suite 301

Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-3237

Telephone (573) 634-2500

Facsimile (573) 634-3358

E-Mail atty@blitzbardgett.com

120 South Central - Suite 750
St. Louis, Missouri 63105-1794
Telephone (314) 863-1500
Facsimile (314) 863-1877

March 7, 2000

## VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Executive Secretary
Missouri Public Service Commission
Truman State Office Building, Room 530
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

FILED<sup>2</sup>
MAR 0 7 2000

Missouri Public Service Commission

RE:

Missouri-American Water Company

PSC Case No.: WR-2000-281, et al.

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed please find the original and fourteen (14) conformed copies of (1) Application for Rehearing of Order of March 3, 2000 and (2) Joint Response in Partial Opposition to Joint Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule to be filed in the above case. Additional copies of these pleadings are enclosed which I request you please mark as filed and return to me.

Sincerely,

James B. Deutsch

James B. Doutack

JBD:krw

**Enclosures** 

(KW2062.WPD;1)

F/LED<sup>2</sup>
MAR 0 7 2000

## STATE OF MISSOURI MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| Service ( | uri public<br>Commission |
|-----------|--------------------------|
|           |                          |

| In the Matter of Missouri-American  |
|-------------------------------------|
| Water Company's Tariff Sheets De-   |
| signed to Implement General Rate    |
| Increases for Water and Sewer Ser-  |
| vice provided to Customers in the   |
| Missouri Service Area of the Compa- |
| nv                                  |

WR-2000-281 SR-2000-282 (Consolidated)

## APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF ORDER OF MARCH 3, 2000

COME NOW INTERVENORS AG PROCESSING INC, A COOPERATIVE ("AGP"), FRISKIES PETCARE, A DIVISION OF NESTLE USA ("Friskies") and WIRE ROPE CORPORATION OF AMERICA INC. ("Wire Rope"), ST.

CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI ("St. Charles County"), the CITY OF ST.

PETERS, MISSOURI ("St. Peters"), the CITY OF WARRENSBURG, MISSOURI ("Warrensburg"), HAWKER ENERGY PRODUCTS, INC., ("Hawker"),

HARMON INDUSTRIES INC. ("Harmon"), STAHL SPECIALTY COMPANY

("Stahl"), SWISHER MOWER AND MACHINE CO. ("Swisher"), CENTRAL

MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY ("CMSU"), the CITY OF O'FALLON, MISSOURI ("O'Fallon"), the CITY OF WELDON SPRING, MISSOURI ("Weldon

Spring"), and the CITY OF JOPLIN, MISSOURI ("Joplin"), all by

their respective counsel of record in this proceeding, and

pursuant to Section 386.500 RSMo. 1994 request rehearing or

correction of the portions of the Commission's Order of March 3,

2000 as indicated below and with respect thereto state:

1. The Commission's statement on page 1 of said Order incorrectly states that "on March 1, 2000, several intervenors 43420.1

filed a request for a hearing on the non-unanimous Stipulation and Agreement . . . . " While correct as to the date of such filing, these intervenors did not request a hearing that is limited to the non-unanimous Stipulation and Agreement. Rather, undersigned these intervenors explicitly requested a hearing on all issues in the case. Their Objection and Request for Hearing explicitly stated:

. . . pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.115(1), (2) and (3) [they] do hereby *REQUEST A HEARING ON ALL ISSUES IN THE CASE*, all PURSUANT TO THE PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE PREVIOUSLY ORDERED BY THE COMMISSION IN THIS PROCEEDING.

Objection and Request for Hearing, March 1, 2000, p. 1-2 (italicized emphasis added). The Commission's statement in its March 3, 2000 Order is a mistake of fact and should be corrected.

- 2. The Order of March 3 further states that "a hearing on the non-unanimous stipulation and agreement" is to be scheduled at a later time. Without regard to the date of such hearing, the Commission's order is incorrect and unlawful in seeking to predetermine the scope of the hearing that was lawfully requested by these parties.
- 3. Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.115, the hearing is not to be "on the stipulation and agreement," but rather on the issues for which the hearing was requested. 4 CSR 240-2.115(1). Further, Rule 4 CSR 240-2,115(2) states that where a hearing has been requested, "the commission will grant the hearing and will conduct the hearing in accordance with its rules of procedure." (Emphasis added). In this case, that hearing has been requested

- 2 -

on all issues in the case and, pursuant to the Commission's own rules, the hearing is to be granted on all issues in the case, not just on the proposed settlement stipulation. The March 3 Order has misstated and misapplied the Commission's own rules.

Further, a hearing "on the stipulation and agreement" does not constitute the full and contested hearing on all issues in the case that has been requested by these parties. By holding a "hearing on the non-unanimous stipulation and agreement, " the Commission violates the admonition of the Missouri Court in State ex rel. Fischer v. Public Service Commission, 645 S.W. 39 (Mo.App. 1982) cert. denied, 464 U.S. 819, 104 S.Ct. 81, 78 L.Ed.2d 91 (1983). A full and fair hearing must be held on all issues requested and at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner and a limited hearing procedure focused on a non-unanimous stipulation and agreement does not meet that test. Fischer, supra, at 43. Absent unanimous agreement or acquiescence to the proposed stipulation, the proffered stipulation becomes no more than a joint recommendation of the signatory parties and a current representation of their position. See, State ex rel. Kansas Power & Light Co. v. Public Service Commission, 770 S.W.2d 740, 742 (Mo.App. 1989); In re Missouri Public Service, 2 MoPSC 3rd 221, 223 (1993); In re Application of Empire District Electric Co., 1999 MoPSC Lexis 173, 179 (1999) (non-unanimous stipulation merely considered to be an amendment of the applicants' application; "If [the legal requirements for a certificate] have not been met, then no certificates will be granted, no matter

what some of the parties may have agreed upon in the non-unanimous stipulation and agreement."); In re ALLTEL Communications,
Inc., 1999 Mo. PSC Lexis 84, 86 (1999) ("Because the non-unanimous stipulation and agreement was opposed by SWBT, the matter proceeded to a hearing on the merits as required by 4 C.S.R. 240-2.115(2)." (emphasis added)).1/

5. Given prior determinations by the Commission that the significance of a joint recommendation proffered by some, but not all, parties to a case, simply amounts to no more than an amendment of the signatory parties' prior pleadings or positions before the Commission, Missouri-American Water Company's earlier Motion for an Accounting Authority Order should be considered as withdrawn de jure. See, e.g., In re Application of Empire District Electric Co., 1999 MoPSC Lexis 173, 179 (1999) (non-unanimous stipulation merely considered to be an amendment of the applicants' application). The non-unanimous stipulation proposes a revenue deferral with a means, apparently satisfactory to the signatories thereto, to attempt to verify that deferral, coupled with an amortization of a recovery over a five-year period. Since this approach is obviously inconsistent with and mutually exclusive to an accounting authority order, Missouri-American

43420.1 - 4 -

½/To put a finer point on it, these intervenors obviously oppose the non-unanimous stipulation and just as obviously question what possible rationale could support a resolution of the case on the basis proposed by the non-unanimous stipulation. Their March 1, 2000 Objection and Request for Hearing goes well beyond a mere request for a hearing on the non-unanimous stipulation and is a subsumption of their broader request for a hearing on all issues in the case.

Leland B. Curtis, Mo. Bar

Leland B. Curtis, Mo. Bar # 20550 CURTIS, OETTING, HEINZ, GARRETT & SOULE, P.C.

130 South Bemiston

Suite 200

St. Louis, MO 63105

(314) 725-8788

ATTORNEYS FOR ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI, CITY OF ST. PETERS, MISSOURI, CITY OF WARRENSBURG, MISSOURI, HARMON INDUSTRIES, INC., STAHL SPECIALTY COMPANY, SWISHER MOWER & MACHINE COMPANY, CENTRAL MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY, CITY OF O'FALLON, MISSOURI AND CITY OF WELDON SPRING, MISSOURI

James B. Deutsch, Mo. Bar # 27093

Alitz, Bardgett & Deutsch, L.C.

308 East High Street

Suite 301

Jefferson City, MO 65101

(573) 634-2500

ATTORNEYS FOR CITY OF JOPLIN, MISSOURI

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this day served the foregoing pleading by U.S. mail, postage prepaid addressed to the following persons:

Mr. John Coffman Assistant Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel P. O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mr. Dean Cooper Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue P. O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456

Mr. James B. Deutsch Attorney Blitz, Bardgett & Deutsch, L.C. 308 East High Street Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Mr. James M. Fischer Law Offices of Jim Fischer 101 West McCarty Street Suite 215 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Louis J. Leonatti Attorney Leonatti & Baker, P.C. 123 E. Jackson St P. O. Box 758 Mexico, MO 65265

Lisa M. Robertson City of St. Joseph City Hall, Room 307 11th & Frederick Ave. St. Joseph, MO 64501

Diana Vuylsteke Attorney Bryan Cave, LLP One Metropolitan Square Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102-2750

Mr. Karl Zobrist
Attorney
Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP
Two Pershing Square
2300 Main, Suite 1100
Kansas City, MO 64108
Dated: March 7, 2000

Shannon Cook Assistant Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel P. O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mr. Lee Curtis Attorney 130 S. Bemiston Suite 200 Clayton, MO 63105

Mr. William R. England Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Avenue P. O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456

Mr. Keith Krueger Assistant General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission Truman Office Building - R530 P. O. Box 360 301 West High - P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Joseph W. Moreland Attorney Blake & Uhlig, P.A. 2500 Holmes Road Kansas City, MO 64108

Charles B. Stewart Stewart & Keevil 1001 E. Cherry Street Suite 302 Columbia, MO 65201

Martin W. Walter Attorney Blake & Uhlig, P.A. 2500 Holmes Road Kansas City, MO 64108

James B. Deutsch